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**Summary**

*Giardia duodenalis* is a common intestinal parasite in humans and a wide range of livestock species. It is a genetically heterogeneous parasite that has been characterized in seven distinct genetic assemblages or cryptic species, and molecular markers can be used to differentiate both animal-specific and potentially zoonotic genotypes. Little is known about *G. duodenalis* and the range of assemblages occurring in domestic livestock species in the UK. Here, we present data on the occurrence and molecular diversity of *G. duodenalis* detected in the faeces or large intestinal contents of cattle, sheep, pigs, goats and camelids from farms in the north-west of England. Both healthy and clinically diseased animals were included in the survey. The presence of *Giardia* spp. and assemblages was determined by sequencing of the small-subunit ribosomal RNA gene. The potential association of infection with various clinical and epidemiological parameters was studied in cattle using both univariate and multivariate analyses. *Giardia* spp. were detected in 127 (34.3%) of the 370 animals tested. *G. duodenalis* assemblage E was found to be predominant in cattle and sheep, followed by assemblage A. Mixed infections with assemblages A and E were also detected. Interestingly, some cattle, sheep and pigs were found to be infected with more unexpected assemblages (C, D, F). Pre-weaned calves were more likely to test positive than adult animals, but no association between the occurrence of overt intestinal disease and *G. duodenalis* infection was detected. The common occurrence of assemblage A and the finding of unusual assemblages in atypical hosts suggest that in future, a multilocus analysis should be used to confirm the actual diversity of *G. duodenalis* in livestock and the presence of potentially zoonotic genotypes. These data also suggest that there is a need to re-evaluate the clinical significance of *G. duodenalis* infection in livestock.

**Introduction**

The genus *Giardia* includes some of the most common intestinal flagellates of vertebrates. These parasites have a wide host range, including mammals, birds and amphibians. Amongst the six currently accepted species of *Giardia*, *G. duodenalis* (syn. *intestinalis/lamblia*) has the broadest host range and is the species with the greatest public and animal health significance in terms of gastrointestinal disease. *G. duodenalis* is detected frequently in many mammals (Feng and Xiao, 2011) and is one of the most common intestinal parasites in pets like dogs (Batchelor et al., 2008) and in livestock (Thompson et al., 2008). The reported prevalence rates in production animals vary...
and Olson, 2006). Nevertheless, between rhoea complicates the interpretation of the relationship has been suggested that the multifactorial aetiology of diarrhoea in livestock is less convincing. It causes significant disease in livestock and is recognized as a common cause of intestinal disease in humans, evidence that this parasite also belongs to assemblage A (Geurden et al., 2008b; Sprong et al., 2009). Assemblage B has also been reported to sporadically infect ruminants (Coklin et al., 2007; Dixon et al., 2011). The detection of genotypes belonging to the assemblages A and B in these species is potentially very important when evaluating the role of livestock in the possible zoonotic transmission of this parasite (Khan et al., 2011).

Given both the potential for G. duodenalis to exert a pathogenic effect on production animals and the potential for livestock to harbour zoonotic genotypes of this parasite, it is surprising that only one study has been published so far on the occurrence of G. duodenalis assemblages in livestock in the UK (Geurden et al., 2012). However, this study involved only a limited number of calves, and nothing is known about the occurrence of this parasite in farm animals other than cattle in the UK with the exception of a report on infection in lambs (Taylor et al., 1993). In this article, we present the results of a study of the occurrence and molecular diversity of Giardia spp. in faeces and large intestine content samples collected from 370 livestock from farms in north-west England. The assemblages of G. duodenalis were determined by PCR amplification and sequencing of the small-subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) gene.

Materials and Methods

Samples and data collection
Samples from farm animals submitted to the Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency (AHVLA) Regional Laboratory in Preston, Lancashire, between September 2007 and June 2008 were included in this study. Samples tested consisted of surplus material (i.e. large intestine contents or faeces) submitted by private veterinary surgeons to AHVLA Preston either for disease diagnosis (the majority of samples) or for monitoring purposes and faeces collected during diagnostic post-mortem examinations at AHVLA Preston. All samples or animals submitted during the study period were eligible for the survey. The majority of specimens came from the area served by the Preston Regional Laboratory (i.e. counties of Lancashire and Cumbria) (n = 261, 70.5%) and Cheshire (n = 44,
11.9%). Samples submitted from other counties including Yorkshire \((n = 27, 7.3\%)\), Greater Manchester \((n = 16, 4.3\%)\), Isle of Man, Merseyside and Staffordshire \((n = 15, 4\%)\) and Derbyshire and Clwyd \((n = 7, 1.9\%)\) were also included. Where available, details of the animal gender, age, production system, housing and presenting clinical signs were recorded using a standard submission form completed by the private veterinary surgeon and/or by AHVLA veterinary investigation officers. The actual consistency of faeces (graded as normal, soft or diarrhoeic) was recorded at the time of sample examination. Also, in the case of samples/carcasses submitted for diagnostic purposes, the final disease diagnosis for each animal was recorded, based on examination protocols and diagnostic criteria adopted by AHVLA and as recorded in its VIDA database (Hall et al., 1980). Samples were not tested for \textit{G. duodenalis} infection by AHVLA – Preston. For those samples where tests for other enteropathogens were carried out, the results of these other examinations were also recorded. Approximately 2 g of large intestine contents and/or faeces from each animal submission was collected into individual screw cap plastic vials, stored at 4°C and forwarded in iced parcels to the Department of Infection Biology, Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, on a monthly basis. Here, they were stored at 4°C before DNA extraction.

**DNA extraction and \textit{Giardia} spp. genotyping**

Faecal samples underwent molecular characterization to detect the presence of \textit{Giardia} spp. and to determine the species and assemblage of the parasite. Total genomic DNA was extracted directly from samples using the QIAGEN DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Manchester, UK) as per manufacturer’s instructions, with only minor modification. Specifically, purified genomic DNA was eluted in 100 µl of elution buffer (instead of 200 µl, as recommended by the manufacturer). A 292-bp fragment of the SSU rRNA gene was then amplified by PCR using the forward primer RH11 (5′-CATCCGGTCGATCCTGCC-3′) and the reverse primer RH4 (5′-AGTCGAACCCTGAT-TCTCCGGCAG-3′) (Hopkins et al., 1997). PCRs were carried out in 20 µl volumes, with the final mix containing 1× Buffer (containing 18 mM Tris–HCl, 4.4 mM \((NH_4)_2SO_4\), 1.8 mM MgCl₂, 452 µg BSA and 1.76 mM EDTA), 400 µM of each dNTP, 10 pmol of each primer, 2.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (QIAGEN) and 1–5 µl of purified genomic DNA as templates. The reactions were performed in a DNA Engine Dyad® Peltier Thermal Cycler, with an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 min, a set of 35 cycles (each consisting of 20 s at 94°C, 20 s at 59°C and 30 s at 72°C) and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. To determine the amplified fragments, 5 µl of PCR products was electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. A positive (\textit{G. duodenalis} isolate WB C6 genomic DNA) and negative (PCR water) control samples were included in each PCR.

PCR products were purified using the QIAquick® PCR Purification kit (QIAGEN) as per the manufacturer’s instructions and sent for sequencing to either Geneservice, Nottingham, or the DNA Sequencing Core service, Molecular Biology Support Unit at Cardiff University. All products were sequenced in both directions using the RH11 and RH4 primers, and obtained sequences were edited using BioEdit (version 7.0.9). To determine the species and/or the assemblage of \textit{Giardia}, sequences were aligned with ClustalW with the following reference sequences from each assemblage: assemblage A [GenBank: AF199446], B [GenBank: AF199447], C [GenBank: AF199448], D [GenBank: AF199443], E [GenBank: AF199448] and F [GenBank: AF199444].

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed with PASW® Statistics 18 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), with the level of statistical significance set at 95\% \((P < 0.05)\). The potential association of each tested variable with \textit{Giardia} infection was examined in cattle. Univariate analysis was used to examine potential associations of each variable with \textit{Giardia} infection using a chi-squared test, and wherever appropriate, odds ratios (OR) with 95\% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using \textit{Giardia}-negative animals as controls. Variables with \(P < 0.2\) were then included into a multivariate logistic regression model to test the association of infection with the various risk factors. Interactions between risk factors were included as well. The final model was fitted using a stepwise method with variables significant at \(P < 0.05\) retained in the final model. Model fit was assessed using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test.

**Results**

\textit{Giardia} spp. prevalence and \textit{Giardia duodenalis} assemblages

Of the 370 samples tested by PCR, 127 (34.3\%) gave a positive result for \textit{Giardia} spp. Of the 127 PCR-positive samples, 91 (71.6\%) were successfully sequenced, confirming infection with \textit{G. duodenalis} assemblages. No other species of \textit{Giardia} were identified. The prevalence of \textit{Giardia} infection in the six species surveyed and the distribution of the assemblages in the whole sample are reported in Table 1 and Fig. 1, respectively. Figure 2 shows the occurrence of the assemblages in the two most represented species in our sample. In general, \textit{G. duodenalis} assemblage E was the most common type found (57.1\% of the samples), followed
by assemblage A (22%) and mixed infections with both assemblages A and E (7.7%). The predominance of the assemblages A and E was observed in both cattle and sheep.

Unexpectedly, the canine-specific assemblage C was found in a pig and two cattle and also mixed with assemblage A in the latter. Furthermore, assemblage D (alone or mixed with A) was detected in a few cattle and a ewe. Interestingly, two pigs were found harbouring the feline-specific assemblage F.

### Table 1. PCR prevalence of *Giardia* spp. in 370 farm animal faecal/large intestine content samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal species</th>
<th>No. samples tested</th>
<th>No. PCR-positive for <em>Giardia</em> spp. (%)</th>
<th>No. with SSU rRNA sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle (n = 283)</td>
<td>93 (32.9)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep (n = 64)</td>
<td>28 (43.7)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig (n = 7)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat (n = 9)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpaca (n = 6)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llama (n = 1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Distribution of *G. duodenalis* assemblages in 91 farm animal samples genotyped at the SSU rRNA locus.

Fig. 2. Distribution of *G. duodenalis* assemblages in cattle and sheep samples genotyped at the SSU rRNA locus.

### Risk factors for infection in cattle

The potential association between *G. duodenalis* infection and parameters relating to age, housing, production type, clinical presentation and final disease diagnosis was examined specifically for cattle. The prevalence of infection varied according to the age group, with the highest prevalence of infection being seen in pre-weaned animals (43.6%), followed by neonatal calves (31.8%), post-weaned animals (26.1%) and adult cattle (23%). The risk of *G. duodenalis* infection was significantly higher only in pre-weaned calves (univariate: OR 2.59, 1.42–4.73 95% CI, *P* = 0.002).

Prevalence of *G. duodenalis* infection did not vary significantly according to production system, with an infection prevalence of 39% recorded in cattle belonging to suckler systems, and an infection prevalence of 31.1% in cattle from non-suckler (dairy, calf rearer or beef fattener) enterprises. Although the prevalence of infection in housed animals (32.9%, 46 positives of 140) was higher than that in cattle that were kept outdoors or in mixed accommodation (22%, 11 positives of 50), this difference was not statistically significant (*P* = 0.150).

There was no significant difference in the prevalence of infection between cattle submissions with a reported clinical history of diarrhoea (infection prevalence 32.9%, 54 positives of 164) and cattle submissions that had no clinical history of diarrhoea reported (26.8%, 26 positives of 97). The consistency of the faeces, determined in the laboratory
as normal, soft or diarrhoeic, was also not associated with an increased risk of *G. duodenalis* infection ($P > 0.05$). Finally, both undiagnosed digestive disease and the diagnosis of another enteric infection showed no statistical association with infection ($P > 0.05$).

Age group was the only significant variable, which could be retained in the final multivariate model for factors associated with *G. duodenalis* occurrence in cattle (Table 2). To control for the large variation in weaning age between suckler cattle and dairy, calf rearer and beef finisher enterprises, a variable relating to management systems was also forced into the model, but inclusion of this production-related variable had little effect on odds ratios or statistical association recorded in univariate analysis. Pre-weaned animals were significantly more likely to be infected with the parasite.

**Discussion**

*Giardia* spp. was detected by PCR in 34% of animals sampled in this survey, and *G. duodenalis* was the sole *Giardia* species identified using molecular sequencing. The infection prevalence varied between different host species, with the highest observed in sheep (43.7% of samples positive) and pigs (57.1% positive), although relatively small numbers of samples from these two species were examined. In cattle, which represented the majority of samples examined, the prevalence of *G. duodenalis* infection was nearly 33%. Our study was not a formally structured random epidemiological survey, and many of the samples came from diseased animals submitted for diagnostic purposes (although not specifically for gastrointestinal disease), so our figures may therefore not reflect the true population prevalence. Nevertheless, these results show that *G. duodenalis* is a common intestinal parasite of cattle of all ages and also of other farm animals in the area under study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>No. positive/total (prevalence) (%)</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>32/139 (23)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-weaned</td>
<td>6/23 (26.1)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.43–3.25</td>
<td>0.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-weaned</td>
<td>34/78 (43.6)</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.42–4.73</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal</td>
<td>7/22 (31.8)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.56–4.30</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy, calf rearing or beef fattening</td>
<td>69/222 (31.1)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suckler</td>
<td>23/59 (39)</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.67–2.65</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Multivariate analysis of variables significantly associated with the detection of *Giardia* in cattle from north-west England

In cattle in this survey, the occurrence of infection with *G. duodenalis* was higher in immature cattle than in adult animals. The highest risk of infection was seen in the pre-weaned age group, and this was independent of the production system (dairy or suckler enterprise). In the UK, the age of calves at weaning varies widely between production systems; whilst it is usual practice to wean dairy calves from 6 to 10 weeks of age, suckler calves are commonly weaned much later at around 6–10 months of age. The age-related prevalence of infection is in accordance with the work of others, who have also demonstrated significantly higher infection prevalence in young calves compared with older animals (Trout et al., 2005; O’Handley and Olson, 2006; Santín et al., 2009).

Differences in management, including differences in animal stocking density, hygiene regimes or water supply, could have an important effect on the exposure of cattle to intestinal parasites like *Giardia*. The prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection was reported to vary according to production system in England and Wales (Featherstone et al., 2010), with suckler calves at lower risk of infection than those of dairy, calf-rearing or beef-fattening enterprises. Although it was not possible to test the effect of housing in their study, animal management practices such as stocking density of similar age animals were proposed as possible explanations for the variation in prevalence. However, no statistical association between *G. duodenalis* infection and housing was detected in our study. There is relatively little information about the effect of farm management on the occurrence of *G. duodenalis*, and the results are not consistent. A 3-fold increase in the risk of infection was reported in housed cattle in Canada (Ruest et al., 1998), and the authors attributed this to the fact that the parasite cysts survival and infectivity may be reduced outdoors compared with a closed environment. In a study of cattle in Denmark, the type of calf housing did not influence the prevalence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium*, but maternity pens were proposed as an indirect source of infection (Maddox-Hyttel et al., 2006). In a large multicentre study across Europe, calves that were kept in contact with the dam were found to be significantly more at risk of infection (Geurden et al., 2012). Conversely, in the same study, disinfection of the calves housing emerged as a protective factor against *G. duodenalis* infection.

There was no association between *G. duodenalis* and digestive disease in cattle in our sample. Neither unformed faeces at the testing stage nor presenting with diarrhoea as one of the clinical signs were found to be significantly associated with the presence of the parasite. It is certainly plausible that soft/diarrhoeic faeces and diarrhoea are associated with *G. duodenalis* infection, and this has been demonstrated in cattle and sheep in both natural and experimental infections (Geurden et al., 2010b). The lack
of significance in our survey could be related to the correlation with other variables, most notably the occurrence of co-infections with other enteropathogens causing similar symptoms. Despite reports of the pathogenic potential of *G. duodenalis* in livestock (Castro-Hermida et al., 2005; Aloisio et al., 2006; Geurden et al., 2010b), many laboratories do not routinely test livestock samples for evidence of this particular infection. However, despite the lack of clinical significance of giardiasis shown in our study, the possibility of this infection should perhaps be considered as part of the differential diagnosis in livestock with digestive system disease.

Genotyping at the SSU rRNA locus confirmed the predominance of *G. duodenalis* assemblage E genotypes in cattle and sheep. Also assemblage A genotypes were frequently found in this survey, and mixed infections with assemblages A and E were reported in cattle and an alpaca. These findings are in accordance with other reports on *G. duodenalis* genetic diversity in cattle across the world (Geurden et al., 2008b; Spong et al., 2009), including the UK (Geurden et al., 2012). The common occurrence of assemblage A isolates observed in our study warrants further investigation due to the zoonotic potential of genotypes within this assemblage. The SSU rRNA gene is particularly suitable for screening large numbers of samples as it is both easy to amplify because of its multicopy nature and shows no cross-amplification with the DNA of other organisms (Hopkins et al., 1997). However, this locus is too conserved to detect variability at the subassemblage level (Wielinga and Thompson, 2007), and the use of more variable markers (such as beta-giardin, triose phosphate isomerase or glutamate dehydrogenase genes) is needed to identify the presence of homologous human and animal, and therefore potentially zoonotic, genotypes (Spong et al., 2009).

Unexpectedly, the diversity of *G. duodenalis* appeared to be greater than that anticipated in our livestock samples, with the supposedly canine-specific assemblages C and/or D being found in some cattle, a pig and a sheep. These two assemblages have never been reported from cattle or sheep before. Similarly to our study, assemblage D was also found in two pigs from Denmark (Langkjaer et al., 2007), and a goat isolate was identified as assemblage C in Australia as well (Ng et al., 2011). Furthermore, in our survey, two pig isolates were also typed as the canine-specific assemblage F, similar to a report of infection in two animals in Australia (Armson et al., 2009). In all the above reports, as in this study, molecular typing involved solely the use of the SSU rRNA locus. The detection of unusual and animal-specific assemblages in human faecal samples has sometimes been associated with the use of this marker (Feng and Xiao, 2011). Because in our survey it was not possible to confirm the assemblage at other genetic loci, the occurrence of these unusual assemblages must be interpreted with caution. An alternative possible explanation for the finding of canine and feline assemblages in atypical hosts is that these animals may simply act as mechanical vectors by ingesting parasite cysts of dog or cat origin. The use of a multilocus sequence typing approach is recommended in future studies to confirm the assignment of an isolate to a particular assemblage and to detect potentially zoonotic subgenotypes of *G. duodenalis* in the area. Nevertheless, recent reports showed the occurrence of supposedly host-specific assemblages in a variety of different hosts, including mixed infections with the E and C assemblages in pet chinchillas (Levecke et al., 2011), and also assemblage C was isolated from a human (Soliman et al., 2011). Our results along with the aforementioned reports suggest that the actual host range of *G. duodenalis* assemblages should be re-evaluated in future studies involving farm animals using a multilocus approach.
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