Citation

van der Ploeg, Robin; Cramwinckel, Margot J.; Kocken, Ilja J.; Leutert, Thomas J.; Bohaty, Steven M.; Fokkema, Chris D.; Hull, Pincelli M.; Meckler, A. Nele; Middelburg, Jack J.; Müller, Inigo A.; Penman, Donald E.; Peterse, Francien; Reichart, Gert-Jan; Sexton, Philip F.; Vahlenkamp, Maximilian; De Vleeschouwer, David; Wilson, Paul A.; Ziegler, Martin and Sluijs, Appy (2023). North Atlantic surface ocean warming and salinization in response to middle Eocene greenhouse warming. Science Advances, 9(4), article no. eabq011.

URL

https://oro.open.ac.uk/87322/

License

(CC-BY 4.0) Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Policy

This document has been downloaded from Open Research Online, The Open University's repository of research publications. This version is being made available in accordance with Open Research Online policies available from Open Research Online (ORO) Policies

Versions

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding
**OCEANOGRAPHY**

North Atlantic surface ocean warming and salinization in response to middle Eocene greenhouse warming

Robin van der Ploeg1,*, Margot J. Cramwinckel1, Ilja J. Kocken†, Thomas J. Leutert2, Steven M. Bohaty3,4, Chris D. Fokkema1, Pincelli C. Mull4, A. Nele Meckler2, Jack J. Middelburg1, Inigo A. Müller5,6, Donald E. Penman5, Francien Peterse1, Gert-Jan Reichart1,6, Philip F. Sexton7, Maximilian Vahlenkamp8, David De Vleeschouwer9,10, Paul A. Wilson9, Martin Ziegler1, Appy Sluijs1

Quantitative reconstructions of hydrological change during ancient greenhouse warming events provide valuable insight into warmer-than-modern hydrological cycles but are limited by paleoclimatic proxy uncertainties. We present sea surface temperature (SST) records and seawater oxygen isotope ($\delta^{18}O$) data of well-preserved planktonic foraminifera from the North Atlantic Newfoundland Drifts. These indicate a transient $\sim3^\circ$C warming across the MECO, with absolute temperatures generally in accordance with trace element (Mg/Ca)-based SSTs but lower than biomarker-based SSTs for the same interval. We find a transient $\sim0.5\%$ shift to higher $\delta^{18}O$ which implies increased salinity in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre and potentially a poleward expansion of its northern boundary in response to greenhouse warming. These observations provide constraints on dynamic ocean response to warming events, which are consistent with theory and model simulations predicting an enhanced hydrological cycle under global warming.

**INTRODUCTION**

The Eocene epoch (56 to 34 Ma ago) is a potential analog for future climate states (1). The early Eocene was characterized by high atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations (2), resulting in globally hot climates and reduced meridional temperature gradients (3, 4). This early Eocene greenhouse world was marked by a number of transient warming episodes known as hyperthermal events (5), of which the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM; $\sim56$ Ma ago) is the most prominent and best studied (6–8). The Middle Eocene Climatic Optimum (MECO; 40 Ma ago) was the last major warming event of the Eocene, but it remains enigmatic because it was comparatively long-lived (9–12). Global greenhouse warming is generally thought to result in increased atmospheric moisture content and therefore more vigorous hydrological cycling, as reflected in the “wet-gets-wetter, dry-gets-drier” hypothesis (13). However, the regional response to increased radiative forcing may be altered by dynamic changes in atmospheric circulation and is therefore notoriously difficult to capture accurately in paleoclimate model simulations. For example, integrated data–model studies for the Pliocene suggest an important role for weakening of the atmospheric Hadley cell, with wetter instead of drier conditions in the evaporation-dominated subtropical band (14). By comparison, substantial evidence exists of increased precipitation at higher latitudes for the early Eocene (7, 15), but patterns are less clear and consistent for the tropics and mid-latitudes (6, 16–18). Here, we investigate the response of mid-latitude ocean-atmosphere circulation to MECO warming.

Existing proxy records suggest that the MECO greenhouse warming episode included $\sim400$ ka of gradual surface and deep ocean warming $\sim40$ Ma ago, followed by a short peak warming phase and then a comparatively rapid ($\sim100$ ka) cooling (9–11). The warming was associated with a rise in atmospheric partial pressure of CO$_2$ (12, 19), carbonate dissolution in the deep ocean (10, 11), and biotic change (19–21). Both the extended duration of warming and the absence of a negative carbon isotope excursion set the MECO apart from the PETM and other short-lived Eocene hyperthermals (11). Reconstructions suggest that the release of CO$_2$ into the atmosphere during an episode of enhanced mafic volcanism may have acted as a driver of prolonged MECO warming (22). The source of this excess CO$_2$ remains elusive, but a recent compilation of radiometric ages of volcanic deposits in Iran and Azerbaijan suggests a link with a continental flare-up in the Neotethys region at that time (23). The MECO and/or its associated changes in ocean chemistry and biological communities have now been identified in all major ocean basins (Fig. 1) (11). At southern high latitudes, where the event was first described (9), $4^\circ$ to $6^\circ$C of warming has been estimated for both the sea surface and the deep sea, on the basis of oxygen isotope ratios of biogenic calcite ($\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$) and distributions of alkenones (U$_{\text{alk}}$) and isoprenoid glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs; $\text{TEX}_{\text{alk}}$) (9, 10, 19, 24). A rise in sea surface temperature (SST) of similar magnitude has subsequently...
been observed at mid-latitude sites in the former Tethys Ocean (25–28) and in the North, South, and equatorial Atlantic oceans (3, 10, 29–33). However, with some exceptions, most inferences of MECO SST warming are based on δ18Oc records of foraminifera or bulk carbonate, which are also affected by (changes in) the oxygen isotope composition of surface ocean seawater (δ18Osw). Most published records of the MECO are hindered by carbonate dissolution. In the Newfoundland ridges accumulated primarily as drift deposits since ~47 Ma (40), and hence, these expanded, clay-rich middle Eocene sediments yield well-preserved foraminifera (fig. S2) and sufficient preservation of organic matter allowing for multiproxy temperature reconstructions. Moreover, because they are located near the present and past (i.e., middle Eocene) boundary between the mid-latitude and adjacent atmospheric circulation cells, these sites are sensitive to effects of climate-induced changes in ocean-atmosphere circulation. The first planktonic foraminiferal stable isotope records for the MECO at Site U1408 were published recently (32), but it has now become apparent that a composite record of sections from both Sites U1408 and U1410 is needed to capture the complete MECO interval (fig. S3) (41).

We aim to determine the full amplitude and character of sea surface warming and hydrological change during the MECO in the North Atlantic by combining high-resolution clumped isotope (Δ47, δ18Oc, and trace element (Mg/Ca) records of planktonic foraminifera with biomarker records based on GDGT distributions (TEX94) and alkkenones (U37K). The δ18Oc, Mg/Ca, U37K, and TEX94 proxies have been widely used as paleothermometers in Cenozoic paleoclimate studies (4), whereas temperature records based on Δ47 have only become available in recent years (42, 43). The Δ47 proxy is based on the degree of binding between the heavy 13C and 18O isotopes in carbonate minerals, which is inversely related to temperature (44). Unlike δ18Oc and Mg/Ca, the Δ47 composition of carbonates is independent of δ18Osw and the elemental composition of seawater, and hence, Δ47 has the unique potential of delivering absolute temperature estimates without the need for additional assumptions. Moreover, Δ47 compositions of planktonic foraminifera appear unaffected by species-specific vital effects (45). Now that consensus is being reached on Δ47-temperature calibrations (46), the uncertainty in Δ47-based temperature reconstructions is controlled mostly by analytical precision, provided that the quality of carbonate preservation is sufficient (47) and no
postdepositional resetting has occurred. Uniquely for $\Delta_{47}$ applications to Eocene paleoclimate reconstructions so far, we report high-resolution planktonic foraminiferal records using large numbers of replicate analyses to reconstruct changes in both SST and $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ through time using the exact same analyses.

**RESULTS**

**High-resolution foraminiferal clumped isotope records**

No single planktonic foraminiferal species is continuously present across the studied MECO interval at both Sites U1408 and U1410 (32, 48, 49). Therefore, we have constructed a composite record based on three partially overlapping species (groups): *Acarinina (prae-)*topilensis, *Acarinina bullbrooki*, and *Globigerinathéka index*. On the basis of their $\delta^{18}O$–$\delta^{13}C$ relationships, *A. (prae-)*topilensis and *A. bullbrooki* are both considered to have had photosynthetic algal symbionts and thus reflect surface mixed-layer conditions (fig. S4) (50, 51). *G. index* is also suggested to have symbionts (32), but it is likely to have added gametogenic calcite and to have sunk to greater water depths during its reproductive cycle (52, 53), so it may therefore record somewhat lower temperatures from deeper in the ocean (50, 53).

Across the study interval (~39.6 to 41.0 Ma), our $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ records for these three foraminiferal species groups display trends that are similar to recently published Site U1408 data of lower temporal resolution (fig. S4) (32). The combined, multispecies $\delta^{18}O$ record shows a total decrease of ~1% across the MECO interval (Fig. 2A), which is similar in magnitude to the change observed in the bulk carbonate $\delta^{18}O$ record at these sites and most other Atlantic Ocean and Southern Ocean records (9, 10). Notably, on the Newfoundland Margin, this $\delta^{18}O$ decrease appears to start as early as 40.7 Ma and is characterized by several distinct minima and maxima rather than the more continuous decrease that was previously observed in other less well-resolved records (9, 10). These variations in $\delta^{18}O$ may, in part, be related to orbital forcing recorded in the expanded drift sequences at these sites, but even higher resolution records would be needed to fully resolve this. Cyclic variations in these middle Eocene Newfoundland sediment records have previously been attributed to the obliquity cycle (54, 55), but analyses now show that they most likely reflect the precession cycle instead (41). On the basis of the collective $\delta^{18}O$ profiles, we assign four phases to the MECO event (background, warming, peak, and cooling, respectively; see Table 1) to facilitate a systematic evaluation of our temperature records in subsequent sections. Notably, on the basis of $\delta^{18}O$, the peak MECO warming phase on the Newfoundland Margin appears to occur earlier (i.e., between 40.1 and 40.3 Ma), and the cooling phase appears to be more gradual than in previously published records (9, 10). We observe a gradual increase in $\delta^{13}C$ of ~1% across the MECO similar in magnitude to other records (Fig. 2B), and during the peak warming interval, we find a subtle $\delta^{13}C$ decrease followed by a comparatively rapid $\delta^{13}C$ increase in both the *G. index* and bulk carbonate records. This small negative $\delta^{13}C$ excursion appears to be coupled with a short-lived increase in $\delta^{18}O$. Given the relatively consistent offsets between the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values of *A. (prae-)*topilensis and *G. index* across the MECO, we find no evidence for migration of *A. (prae-)*topilensis to greater depths in response to warming (56, 57). Close agreement between the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ compositions of *G. index* and bulk carbonate further suggests that both records carry similar imprints of environmental change in the studied interval, thus limiting the likelihood that *G. index* would instead record transient changes in gametogenic calcification and/or associated depth shifts. The detailed character and meaning of $\delta^{13}C$ change across the MECO are further discussed in other studies (10–12, 29, 30, 32).

We present our $\Delta_{47}$-based temperature estimates in two ways (see Materials and Methods): as temperature clusters based on $\Delta_{47}$ averages of neighboring measurements per foraminiferal species and as smoothed, continuous records based on locally weighted smoothing (LOESS) fits to all available $\Delta_{47}$ measurements per foraminiferal species (Fig. 2C, Table 1, and fig. S5). For *A. bullbrooki*, which is only abundantly present in the oldest part of the record, we obtain $\Delta_{47}$-based temperatures of $22^\circ \pm 3^\circ$C [95% confidence interval (CI)] between 40.7 and 40.9 Ma. We obtain similar $\Delta_{47}$-based temperatures for *A. (prae-)*topilensis (i.e., $23^\circ \pm 3^\circ$C) in this part of the record (40.7 to 40.9 Ma), despite a clear offset of ~1‰ in $\delta^{18}O$ values between the two taxa (Fig. 2A and fig. S4). This similarity in reconstructed temperatures might indicate that ecological differences between *A. (prae-)*topilensis and *A. bullbrooki* are smaller than previously inferred from stable isotopic compositions (50, 51), but the limited data for *A. bullbrooki* hinder any further interpretations. We further reconstruct *A. (prae-)*topilensis–derived temperatures of $25^\circ \pm 2^\circ$C across the MECO warming interval between 40.3 and 40.7 Ma, and temperatures of $26^\circ \pm 4^\circ$C in the post-MECO interval between 39.7 and 40.1 Ma. We observe the highest absolute temperatures (~26.5° ± 4°C) between 40.1 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MECO phase</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>$\Delta_{47}$-based temperatures</th>
<th>$\Delta_{47}$-based temperatures</th>
<th>$\Delta_{47}$-based temperatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*A. (prae-)<em>topilensis</em></td>
<td><em>A. bullbrooki</em></td>
<td><em>G. index</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (°C) Min (°C) Max (°C)</td>
<td>Mean (°C) Min (°C) Max (°C)</td>
<td>Mean (°C) Min (°C) Max (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>&lt;40.1</td>
<td>26.0 22.0 30.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.4 19.3 25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>40.1–40.3</td>
<td>26.4 22.9 30.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25.3 22.9 27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warming</td>
<td>40.3–40.7</td>
<td>25.0 22.8 27.4</td>
<td>22.7 20.4 25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Background | >40.7   | 23.0 19.8 26.3                   | 21.6 18.2 25.1                  | –                               | –
Fig. 2. Foraminiferal stable isotope records and clumped isotope-based temperature records for the MECO interval at Sites U1408 and U1410. (A and B) δ¹⁸Oc and δ¹³Cc records for A. (prae-)topilensis (in red), A. bullbrooki (in purple), and G. index (in blue), as well as bulk carbonate (in gray). (C) Δ₄⁷-derived temperatures for A. (prae-)topilensis (in dark red), A. bullbrooki (in dark purple), and G. index (in dark blue). Diamonds represent means of clustered measurements, with vertical error bars indicating 95% confidence intervals (CI), horizontal error bars indicating age ranges of the included measurements, and n representing the number of measurements included (see Materials and Methods). Curves represent the median (thick solid lines), 68% CIs (dark shaded areas), and 95% CIs (light shaded areas) of LOESS fits to all measurements for A. (prae-)topilensis and G. index. (D) Δ₄⁷-derived temperature anomalies for A. (prae-)topilensis (in dark red), A. bullbrooki (in dark purple), and G. index (in dark blue), relative to their respective mean temperatures for the interval between 39.9 and 39.7 Ma. A. bullbrooki was normalized to the A. (prae-)topilensis value. All records are plotted against age following the revised composite age model for U1408 and U1410.
40.2 Ma, but the near absence of *A. (prae-*)topilensis* between 40.0 and 40.3 Ma precludes reliable temperature reconstructions in the peak warming phase of the MECO based on this species group. This may either be related to seafloor carbonate dissolution (58) during peak MECO warmth, as *A. (prae-*)topilensis* has thinner walls compared to other species and carbonate content is generally low in this interval (48, 49), or be related to susceptibility of *A. (prae-*)topilensis* to symbiont bleaching (59) or surface ocean acidification (12). Another explanation for the absence of *A. (prae-*)topilensis* may be a thermal range shift related to MECO warming (29). However, *G. index* is present through this interval and captures the extent of MECO warming, yielding temperatures of 23° ± 3°C between 40.3 and 40.6 Ma, 25° ± 3°C between 40.1 and 40.3 Ma, and 22° ± 3°C between 39.7 and 40.1 Ma. These *G. index*–derived temperatures are mostly cooler than the *A. (prae-*)topilensis*–derived temperatures, which is consistent with the 0.5 to 1.0‰ offset in δ18O between these two species groups, except for a single data point between 39.9 and 40.0 Ma that appears to coincide with a small δ18O decrease during the cooling phase. Because *A. (prae-*)topilensis* is mostly absent between 40.0 and 40.3 Ma, the warmest available *A. (prae-*)topilensis* temperatures most likely underestimate true peak MECO warmth. Using a conservative approach, we estimate a total upper ocean mixed-layer warming of 3° ± 3°C across the event, although the more complete *G. index* record suggests that a larger magnitude of warming is likely.

**Surface ocean seawater δ18O and salinity reconstructions**

We use our Δ17-based temperature records to reconstruct hydrographic change in the North Atlantic by extracting δ18Osw from our foraminiferal δ18O records (Fig. 3A and see Materials and Methods). Crucially, this is the most direct method to estimate δ18Osw values currently available for paleoclimate reconstructions. We observe a generally good match between the δ18Osw values obtained from *A. (prae-*)topilensis* and *G. index*, with considerable changes in δ18Osw across the studied interval (Fig. 3B). Because some of the observed variability between δ18Osw clusters could be related to analytical uncertainties in both Δ17 and δ18O, we prefer to use a LOESS fit based on the δ18Osw data from all three foraminiferal species groups rather than directly comparing estimates from adjacent clusters. Such a LOESS fit produces a smoothed trend with a transient δ18Osw increase of 0.5 ± 0.5‰ across the MECO. By comparison, the individual clusters show a pre-MECO δ18Osw value of −0.5 ± 1‰ based on *A. (prae-*)topilensis* between 40.7 and 40.9 Ma, a peak MECO warmth δ18Osw value of 0.5 ± 1‰ based on *G. index* around 40.2 Ma, and a post-MECO return to a δ18Osw value of 0.0 ± 1‰ based on both *A. (prae-*)topilensis* and *G. index* between 39.7 and 39.9 Ma. We note that the single δ18Osw data point for *A. bullbrooki* between 40.7 and 40.9 Ma is offset from the adjacent *A. (prae-*)topilensis* δ18Osw data points. This arises because these species have similar Δ17-based temperatures but different δ18O compositions. We further observe that the highest δ18Osw data points for *A. (prae-*)topilensis* and *G. index* occur between 40.6 and 40.7 Ma and 39.9 and 40.0 Ma, respectively, suggesting that additional short-term δ18Osw variability may be present within the record. We also use our δ18Osw records to estimate changes in mixed-layer salinity based on present-day δ18Osw–salinity relationships for the Atlantic Ocean (using a slope of 0.558‰ / practical salinity unit (psu); see Materials and Methods) and conservatively reconstruct a transient surface salinity increase of ~1 psu during MECO warming (Fig. 3B).

**Multiproxy SST comparison**

In Fig. 4, we compare our Δ17-based SST records to our generated SST reconstructions derived from Mg/Ca, TEX86, and U37K′. Our Mg/Ca-based SST estimates are based on *A. (prae-*)topilensis* and *G. index* using Mg/Ca–temperature relationships that account for the effects of changes in salinity, pH, and the Mg/Ca composition of seawater (Mg/Casw) relative to their modern values (see Materials and Methods, Fig. 4C, and figs. S6 and S7) (60, 61). We use Monte Carlo simulations to propagate the associated analytical uncertainties and a range of probable middle Eocene salinity, pH, and Mg/Casw values and test for the impact of using different Mg/Ca-temperature calibrations. The resulting Mg/Ca-based records yield similar overall temperatures to the Δ17-based records but with a relatively subdued warming across the MECO. For *A. (prae-*)topilensis*, we obtain temperatures of ~22° ± 2°C at 40.8 Ma and ~23° ± 2°C at 40.3 Ma, which would suggest a total upper ocean mixed-layer warming of ~1°C. Again, no data are available for the interval between 40.0 and 40.3 Ma owing to the absence of *A. (prae-*)topilensis*. For *G. index*, however, we find no significant signs of warming and instead, we observe stable temperatures at 19° ± 2°C across the entire studied interval (40.5 to 39.8 Ma).

We also perform a rigorous evaluation of the branched and isoprenoid GDGT (isoGDGT) distributions in the studied interval (see Materials and Methods) and exclude approximately half of our TEX86 dataset based on aberrant values of the Ring Index and other key isoGDGT ratios indicating nonthermal effects on the isoGDGT composition (fis. S8 to S10). Branched and isoprenoid tetraether (BIT) index values of >0.3 throughout the studied interval (fig. S8) suggest a reasonable contribution of isoGDGTs from land (62), although we find no distinct relationship between the BIT index and TEX86 in the residual dataset, providing more confidence in the temperature signal. For the observed range of TEX86 values (0.7 to 0.8), the exponential TEX8611 calibration (63) and the linear Bayesian SPAtially-varying Regression (BAYSPAR) calibration (64) result in very similar absolute temperature estimates. We reconstruct pre-MECO temperatures of 30° ± 2.5°C at 40.8 Ma and peak MECO temperatures of ~31° ± 2.5°C at 40.0 Ma (Fig. 4B), which suggests a very modest sea surface warming of ~1.5°C (~2°C with a linear calibration; see fig. S8). Moreover, for the samples where alkkenones could be detected, we obtain U37K′ values that are consistently at saturation, implying SSTs of at least 28°C (Fig. 4B and see Materials and Methods) (65). Similarly high U37K′ values in this part of the North Atlantic around 40 Ma have been reported from the nearby Site U1404 (66). Together, these lines of evidence suggest that the high TEX86 and U37K′ values may be internally consistent, but because of the high brGDGT contributions, both absolute and relative temperature estimates from TEX86 should be interpreted with caution.

**DISCUSSION**

The pre-MECO δ18Osw value for the Newfoundland margin of −0.5‰ in our records is fairly similar to paleo-estimates for the North Atlantic obtained by using present-day surface ocean δ18Osw with corrections for middle Eocene latitude and present-day ice-volume (67). A δ18Osw value of −0.5‰ is also in agreement
with the predictions of general circulation model simulations of the Eocene (35, 37). However, the −0.5‰ shift to higher δ^{18}O_{sw} during the MECO implies that assumptions of constant δ^{18}O_{sw} under ice-free conditions—as often applied in δ^{18}O_{sw}-based reconstructions of absolute temperatures across Eocene warming events (68)—are unjustified, at least for this oceanographic setting (6). Assuming no net transfer of water from the ocean to the cryosphere (ice sheets) or terrestrial realm (groundwater) and, thus, a constant global ocean mean δ^{18}O_{sw} composition of −1.0‰ across our study interval, a transient increase in δ^{18}O_{sw} in the mid-latitude North Atlantic also implies that, elsewhere (e.g., at high latitudes), δ^{18}O_{sw} must have decreased, consistent with hydrogen isotope (δD) reconstructions of plant wax biomarkers across early Eocene hyperthermals (7, 69) or with changing oceanographic influences at the sites. These observations are key for the interpretation of δ^{18}O_{sw} records of the MECO at other sites, which may misrepresent the magnitude of warming if changes in local δ^{18}O_{sw} are not considered. In addition, because foraminiferal δ^{18}O_{c} compositions are affected by seawater pH (70), part of the MECO warming reconstructed from δ^{18}O_{c} may be masked by a pH decrease across the event (12). We note that such a transient pH decrease could also affect our estimated δ^{18}O_{sw} shift: a pH decrease from ~7.8 to ~7.6, as suggested by foraminiferal boron isotope (δ^{11}B) records across the MECO (12), could potentially result in an overestimation of the δ^{18}O_{sw} shift by up to 0.3‰ through the impact of seawater carbonate chemistry on δ^{18}O_{c} (70). However, this is not sufficient to account for the total δ^{18}O_{sw} variability and the magnitude of δ^{18}O_{sw} change that we observe in our records.

The shift toward higher surface ocean δ^{18}O_{sw} and salinity during MECO warming could be explained by a northward expansion of the saline surface waters characteristic of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre (35, 71). The large SST and salinity gradients observed at the Newfoundland Drifts in the present-day (fig. S1) suggest that slight shifts in the northern front of this subtropical gyre could have
a relatively large effect under local oceanographic conditions. This apparent salinization of the mid-latitude North Atlantic, if representative at scale, would be consistent with enhanced atmospheric moisture transport from intensified hydrological cycling, in line with the predictions of salty-gets-saltier and fresh-gets-fresher for warmer-than-modern greenhouse climates (72). Similar patterns of warming and salinization have been inferred at low-to-mid-latitude sites for the PETM and Eocene Thermal Maximum 2 (ETM-2) hyperthermals (6, 18, 73). Given the reconstructed paleo-latitude of the study sites (~32° ± 3°N) (38), increasing $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ during the MECO may also indicate poleward expansion of the atmospheric Hadley cell. This tropical circulation cell, which extends to ~30°N in the present day, could have brought warmer and drier conditions to the North Atlantic if its limits moved poleward.

![Fig. 4. Multiproxy temperature reconstructions for the MECO interval at Sites U1408 and U1410.](image)

- **A** $\Delta_{AG}$-derived temperatures as presented in Fig. 2C. **(B)** TEX$_{86}^{H}$-derived temperatures (in green) and U$_{37}^{C}$-derived temperatures (in black), with the solid green line representing a LOESS fit. Calibration uncertainties for temperatures based on TEX$_{86}^{H}$ are ~2.5°C (63). U$_{37}^{C}$ was at proxy saturation in all samples where alkenones could be detected, so the resulting temperatures represent absolute minimum estimates upward of 28°C. **(C)** Mg/Ca-derived temperatures for A. (prae-)topilensis (in orange) and G. index (in light blue) based on the Mg/Ca-temperature relationships of (60), with modifications following (61). Squares represent estimates from individual samples based on most probable middle Eocene values for the salinity, pH, and Mg/Ca composition of seawater (salinity = 34 psu, pH = 7.8, and Mg/Ca$_{sw}$ = 2.25 mmol/mol; see Materials and Methods for further details). Curves represent the median (thick solid lines), 68% CIs (dark shaded areas), and 95% CIs (light shaded areas) of LOESS fits to Monte Carlo simulations of Mg/Ca-derived temperatures ($n = 1000$) in which the analytical uncertainties and uncertainties regarding salinity, pH, and Mg/Ca$_{sw}$ are fully propagated (see Materials and Methods). Note that the combined temperature scale of (B) and (C) is equal to the temperature scale of (A). **(D)** Comparison of $\Delta_{AG}$-derived and Mg/Ca-derived temperature anomalies for A. (prae-)topilensis (in dark red and orange, respectively) with TEX$_{86}^{H}$-derived temperature anomalies (in green), normalized to their respective mean temperatures for the interval between 39.9 and 39.7 Ma. **(E)** Comparison of $\Delta_{AG}$-derived and Mg/Ca-derived temperature anomalies for G. index (in dark blue and light blue, respectively) with TEX$_{86}^{H}$-derived temperature anomalies (in green). Normalization interval as in (D).

van der Ploeg et al., Sci. Adv. 9, eabq0110 (2023) 25 January 2023
However, on regional scales, the relationship between δ¹⁸O_sw and the hydrological cycle can also be affected by changes in ocean circulation and high-latitude ventilation (37) and changes in meridional and zonal temperature gradients (14). Moreover, as our observations remain limited to one location, we cannot rule out regional oceanographic changes as a driver of the recorded shifts in δ¹⁸O_sw and salinity rather than globally intensified hydrological cycling. Future studies should therefore focus on reconstructing variations in SSTs and the isotopic composition of both seawater and precipitation (δ¹⁸O and δD) (74) in different locations and at a sufficient temporal resolution to further test these relationships.

In addition to reconstructing δ¹⁸O_sw our Δ₄⁷-based temperature record also enables us to assess the SST estimates from the other proxies and their underlying assumptions, both in terms of absolute temperatures and the total magnitude of warming (Fig. 4 and Table 2). In general, our biomarker-based reconstructions result in higher absolute temperatures for the studied interval than our foraminiferal-based reconstructions. Although temperature proxies may disagree for a variety of reasons, it is likely that part of this difference is attributed to different proxy tracking different water depths and/or seasons. First, we note that saturated U₁₈⁷⁰ values in modern sediments are observed at an SST range of 24° to 29°C and therefore do not always correspond to the 28°C limit of the U₃⁷-temperature calibration (65). Nevertheless, U₁₈⁷⁰ may still be biased toward summer temperatures relative to the other proxies (65), particularly in the North Atlantic (75). GDGT fluxes governing TEX₈⁶ are expected to be greatest in the season of highest productivity (76), which, in the modern North Atlantic, is spring (77). However, no effect of seasonality is apparent in the TEX₈⁶-temperature calibration based on the modern core-top dataset (64) or sediment trap studies (76, 78). Alternatively, GDGTs and alkenones accumulating at the Newfoundland Drifts could potentially be more strongly affected by lateral transport than planktonic foraminifera (79, 80). However, because the source of North Atlantic drift sedimentation (40) is located toward the north of the study sites (i.e., in colder waters), lateral transport effects due to drift sedimentation would most likely result in a cold bias in biomarker-based temperatures rather than a warm bias (66), opposite to what we observe in our datasets. Nevertheless, differential lateral transport effects in the surface waters may be important (81) and could be exacerbated given the large (present-day) latitudinal temperature gradient offshore Newfoundland. Moreover, TEX₈⁶ and U₁₈⁷⁰ are calibrated to reflect SST (i.e., 0-m water depth), while the planktonic foraminifera-based proxies reflect more integrated upper ocean mixed-layer conditions (56, 82). We also explore subsurface TEX₈⁶ calibrations (82) for our dataset (Fig. S8) and find that a subsurface calibration brings the TEX₈⁶-derived temperatures close to the Δ₄⁷-derived temperatures for G. index. However, it virtually eliminates all signs of warming for the MECO interval, which contrasts starkly with the G. index and bulk carbonate records. For comparison, structural offsets between absolute temperatures derived from TEX₈⁶, Mg/Ca, and δ¹⁸O have also been recognized in other Paleogene and older paleoclimate reconstructions (4) with similarly high uncertainties arising from non-analog and poorly constrained factors, including changes in seawater composition, species physiology, and taxonomy, among others.

Furthermore, our Δ₄⁷-based estimates yield higher absolute temperatures and more pronounced temperature change across the MECO compared to Mg/Ca-based estimates derived from the same sets of foraminiferal specimens—in particular, for G. index (Fig. 3, B and C). Given the overall good-to-excellent quality of foraminiferal preservation in the studied interval (fig. S2), we consider it unlikely that our Δ₄⁷-derived temperatures are significantly biased toward lower values owing to some form of diageneric alteration during burial (47, 83). Solid-state clumped isotope reordering could theoretically change Δ₄⁷ without affecting δ¹⁸O, but this is highly unlikely given the shallow burial depth of the study sites (84) and would result in warmer rather than cooler reconstructed temperatures. Moreover, a recent study testing the effects of diageneric alteration on middle Eocene planktonic foraminiferal Δ₄⁷ from the Newfoundland Drifts (Sites U1408, U1409, and U1410) found no detectable impact of diageneric alteration (47). Selective dissolution of Mg from foraminiferal tests could result in Mg/Ca-based temperatures that are biased toward cooler temperatures (85), particularly in the interval of very low carbonate content toward the peak of the MECO between 40.1 and 40.3 Ma. Evaluation of coupled Δ₄⁷ and Mg/Ca measurements relative to the Δ₄⁷-Mg/Ca relationships expected from their respective temperature calibrations (86) could be a way to resolve this, but we cannot make definitive inferences owing to the limited material available for paired measurements in high resolution and the uncertainties involved in Mg/Ca-temperature reconstructions (Mg/Ca-temperature relationships, salinity, pH, and Mg/Ca_within; see Materials and Methods). Moreover, any transient seawater salinity increase or pH decrease during the MECO would reduce the total amount of warming inferred from Mg/Ca. Although the relative warming inferred from Δ₄⁷ and Mg/Ca for A. (prae-)topilensis are in fairly good agreement with each other in those parts of the record where this species is...
present (Fig. 3B), the absence of any increase in Mg/Ca for G. index during the MECO lies in stark contrast with the warming inferred from Δ_{47} for G. index (Fig. 3C), which remains regardless of the calibration chosen. Collectively, our records show that the set of confounding uncertainties discussed above may result in rather low agreement between the different paleotemperature proxies, which highlights the critical importance of integrated multiproxy reconstructions and balanced data evaluation. Until a fully integrated inversion workflow for temperature proxies is established, we recommend that future paleoclimate studies incorporate Δ_{47} in addition to all other data types available within the constraints of the local oceanographic and sedimentary environments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Site descriptions**

International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) Sites U1408 and U1410 are both located on the southeast Newfoundland Ridge in the northwest Atlantic Ocean (39). Site U1408 is situated at 41°26’N, 49°14’W (~3022 m in water depth), and Site U1410 is situated at 41°20’N, 49°10’W (~3400 m in water depth) (39, 48, 49). The palaeodepth for Sites U1408 and U1410 at 50 Ma is estimated at ~2575 and ~2950 m below sea level, respectively (48, 49). Both sites contain sequences of calcareous middle Eocene sediments deposited above the carbonate compensation depth (CCD), but the shallower Site U1408 sediments are especially enriched in carbonate and are more expansive than the sediments of Site U1410 (48, 49). The MECO interval at Site U1408 consists of alternations between greenish gray nannofossil clays and whitish to light gray nannofossil oozes, which feature a well-developed cyclicity on a decimeter scale and a higher carbonate content than underlying and overlying sediments (48). Because a hiatus was recognized in the top part of the MECO interval at Site U1408, we incorporate an additional interval from Site U1410 to construct a composite record that captures the full extent of the MECO.

**Stratigraphy and age models**

An astronomically tuned age model is available for Site U1408 and U1410 sediments (54), but reevaluation of the available X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) data paired with recently generated bulk carbonate and benthic foraminiferal stable isotope records shows that the peak warming interval at Site U1408 actually contains a hiatus (see fig. S3) (41). Hence, we use a revised composite depth scale (CCSF-X) based on a tentative reinterpretation of the available data and tie that to the established astrochronology, as presented in (41). See table S1 for an overview of the tie points used. We note that these stratigraphic correlations could be further improved at a later stage if even more complete datasets were to become available.

**Sampling and foraminiferal species selection**

The MECO interval was sampled at a continuous, high resolution (~3 cm) at Site U1408. In addition, a smaller sample set of similar resolution was taken from the inferred MECO peak warming interval at Site U1410. Samples were washed and sieved, and subsequently, specimens of mixed-layer dwelling planktonic foraminifera were picked from the 250- to 355-μm size fraction for three species (groups): A. (prae-)topilensis, A. bullbrooki, and G. index.

**Foraminiferal preservation**

Foraminiferal preservation is generally good to excellent at Sites U1408 and U1410, owing to the high clay content of the sediments deposited in the Newfoundland Drifts (48, 49). Many foraminiferal shells at Site U1408 have glassy textures (fig. S2), which is regarded as an exceptional preservation state for foraminifera and allows for geochemical reconstructions of original seawater conditions with little to no diagenetic alteration (51, 87). A similar high quality of foraminiferal preservation has also been reported for Late Eocene sediments at Site U1411 (88), which is located in close proximity to Sites U1408 and U1410.

**Cleaning**

Shells of the aforementioned planktonic foraminiferal species were cracked and cleaned following the protocol of (89). For clumped and stable isotope analyses, this involved the cracking of at least 20 foraminiferal shells per sample between two glass plates and the removal of clays and coccoliths through ultrasonication in 20 μl of deionized water, followed by treatment with 200 μl of methanol (CH_3OH) and three additional rinsing steps with 200 μl of deionized water. For trace element analyses, splits of methanol-cleaned and homogenized material were subjected to further cleaning involving the removal of organic matter through oxidative treatment in hot alkali-buffered hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and the removal of adsorbed contaminants through weak acid leaching with nitric acid (HNO_3). To minimize sample loss, a reductive treatment step was omitted.

**Clumped and stable isotope analyses**

The clumped isotope paleothermometer (Δ_{47}) is defined as the excess of the mass-47 CO_2 isotopologues relative to their expected abundance in a stochastic distribution of the 13C and 18O isotopes among all isotopologues (44). Cleaned and homogenized shells of A. (prae-)topilensis, A. bullbrooki, and G. index were analyzed for clumped (Δ_{47}) and stable (δ^{18}O and δ^{13}C) isotopic compositions at Utrecht University (UU) and the University of Bergen (UiB). We analyzed one to three aliquots per sample to generate high-resolution Δ_{47} and δ^{18}O/δ^{13}C isotope records simultaneously, with a total of 365 samples and 514 analyses. Samples analyzed at UU consist of A. (prae-)topilensis, A. bullbrooki, and G. index from the entire studied interval of Sites U1408 and U1410, while samples analyzed at UiB consist of G. index from the peak-MECO interval of Site U1408 and A. (prae-)topilensis from the onset of MECO warming at Site U1408 (fig. S5). Excellent agreement was observed in a subset of samples that were analyzed at both labs.

At UU, measurements were performed on two instruments, using either a Thermo Fisher Scientific MAT 253 mass spectrometer or a Thermo Fisher Scientific 253 Plus mass spectrometer, respectively, coupled to a Thermo Fisher Scientific Kiel III or Kiel IV carbonate device. Consequently, the analytical methods differed between the two instruments. Approximately 120 to 180 μg of material was used per measurement on the MAT 253 and approximately 80 to 100 μg per measurement on the 253 Plus, due to differences in the sensitivities of both mass spectrometers. Samples were reacted with hypersaturated phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) at 70°C; in the Kiel IV setup, the CO_2 from these samples was passed through a Porapak Q trap kept at ~40°C to filter out any organic contaminants (90), whereas in the Kiel III setup, a Peltier cooling system kept at ~20°C was used instead. During each instrument
sequence, 16 to 20 aliquots of randomly selected samples were analyzed alongside multiple replicates of the ETH-1, ETH-2, ETH-3, ETH-4, and IAEA-C2 carbonate standards (respectively named after the Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich and International Atomic Energy Agency institutes). The analyses on the Thermo Fisher Scientific MAT 253 mass spectrometer consisted of eight measurement cycles, switching between sample and reference, while the analyses on the Thermo Fisher Scientific 253 Plus mass spectrometer used the long-integration dual-inlet (LIDI) method (91). During LIDI analyses, sample gas was measured continuously for 400 s, followed by the reference gas for 410 s. At UiB, measurements were performed on two different Thermo Fisher Scientific 253 Plus mass spectrometers coupled to Thermo Fisher Scientific Kiel IV carbonate devices using similar methods as at UU (LIDI technique with 400 s of integration time; ETH-1, ETH-2, and ETH-3 carbonate standards for data correction; acid digestion at 70°C; PoraPak Q temperature at −20°C).

**Clumped isotope data processing**

For the 253 Plus instruments at UU and UiB, a pressure-baseline (PBL) correction (92, 93) was performed using daily background scans (high voltage versus beam intensity on different masses). Briefly, a linear regression between the minima in the signal plateau left of the peak of masses 45 to 48 and the maxima of masses 45 to 48 was performed, resulting in a mass-44 intensity–dependence correction for secondary electron backscatter. At UU, a factor of 0.9 was applied to the PBL correction based on improved final values of standards ETH-1, ETH-2, and Merck (Δc values, long-term SDs, and offsets). Background scans were also performed on the MAT 253 mass spectrometer, but a factor of 0.76 was used for the Kiel III setup compared to a factor of 0.9 for the Kiel IV setup. Raw Δ and δ values were calculated using the International Union of Pure Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) parameters. All Δc measurements were transferred to the Absolute Reference Frame or Intercarb Carbon Dioxide Equilibrated Scale (I-CDES) by calculating the empirical transfer function (ETF) based on the carbonate standards ETH-1, ETH-2, and ETH-3 using their values reported in (46). For samples measured at UU, data analysis was performed in R (94) using the packages isoreader and clumpedr. Automatic detection of failed measurements was performed on the basis of sudden pressure drops during acquisition, contamination estimates from mass 49, initial sample, and reference gas intensities (intensities outside of 8 to 40 V range or with >3-V difference between sample and reference gas were excluded) and final Δc values of analyzed standards (>4SD off deemed outlier). Standards and samples were corrected for intrarun drift by subtracting a rolling average of ETH-3 with a width of 7 aliquots for δ18Oc and of ETH-1, ETH-2, and ETH-3 with a width of 15 aliquots for δ18Oc and δ13Cc. Conversion to the absolute reference frame was performed with a 201-aliquot-rolling ETF that spanned multiple preparations.

For samples measured at UiB, clumped isotope data were processed using Easitone (95), including a PBL correction based on daily background scans (without scaling factor), and a rolling ETF interval of in total 20 to 60 separate standard measurements (ETH-1, ETH-2, and ETH-3) before and after each sample measurement. The number of standards measurements used for correction was determined on the basis of instrument stability. δ18Oc and δ13Cc values were corrected for drift using a total of 60 standard measurements of ETH-1, ETH-3, and either ETH-2 or ETH-4.

Replicate measurements of the IAEA-C2 (UU) and ETH-4 (UiB) standards were used to estimate the external reproducibility of Δ47, δ18Oc, and δ13Cc. On the basis of 90-replicate IAEA-C2 measurements at UU over the full length of the study period, external reproducibility (1σ) is estimated at 0.0365‰ for Δ47, 0.07‰ for δ18Oc, and 0.03‰ for δ13Cc. At UiB, external reproducibility was 0.0534‰ for Δ47, 0.06‰ for δ18Oc, and 0.03‰ for δ13Cc based on 606 measurements of ETH-4 during the study period. δ18Oc and δ13Cc values are reported relative to the Vienna Pee Dee belemnite (VPDB) standard. See fig. S5 for an overview of all raw Δ47 data for Sites U1408 and U1410 and the laboratories where each replicate was measured.

**Temperature reconstructions using Δ47**

We calculate Δ47-based temperatures using the updated foraminifera-based Δ47-based temperature calibration of (96), based on the I-CDES scale as proposed by (46). This is expressed in the following two equations

\[
\Delta_{47} = 0.0397(\pm 0.0011) \times \frac{10^6}{T^2} + 0.1518(\pm 0.0128) \tag{1}
\]

\[
T = \sqrt{\frac{0.0397(\pm 0.0011) \times 10^6}{\Delta_{47} - 0.1518(\pm 0.0128)}} \tag{2}
\]

where Δ47 is given in ‰ (I-CDES) and T represents the temperature in kelvin.

We use a combination of two data analysis approaches to convert our Δ47 measurements to temperature estimates (97). The first approach is based on averaging sets of Δ47 replicates from neighboring samples to obtain precise temperature estimates. For this purpose, we select clusters of at least 20 but ideally >40 neighboring measurements per foraminiferal species group in prescribed time intervals of 0.1 to 0.2 Ma. We calculate mean Δ47 values for each cluster and report them with their respective 95% CIs, based on the SD of the included measurements and the number of included measurements. This approach generally results in CIs that are larger than the external reproducibility inferred from the IAEA-C2 standard. The mean Δ47 values and confidence margins of these clusters are subsequently converted to temperature using Eq. 2. The age range of the clusters incorporates the full age range of the included measurements.

The second approach that we use to obtain temperature estimates is based on LOESS, which we apply to all Δ47 values per foraminiferal species group. We calculate the 2.5th, 16th, 50th, 84th, and 97.5th percentiles of these LOESS fits to obtain the median Δ47 estimates and their associated 68 and 95% CIs, which are subsequently converted to temperature using Eq. 2. For simplicity, we ignore the uncertainties associated with the Δ47-based temperature calibration, because these calibration uncertainties (± 1°C at 95% CI) are much smaller than the typical analytical uncertainties for foraminiferal-based Δ47 estimates (93, 98).

**Seawater δ18O and salinity reconstructions**

Our foraminiferal δ18O records (δ18Oc) and Δ47-based temperature records enable us to estimate changes in the δ18O composition of seawater (δ18Osw) across the MECO. To this end, we use the δ18Oc–temperature calibration of (99) as modified by (100), which
is based on precipitation of synthetic calcite at a temperature range of 10° to 40°C and is recommended by DeepMIP for Paleogene reconstructions (4). It is expressed as follows

\[
T = 16.1 - 4.64 \cdot (\delta^{18}O_{\text{c}} - \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}}) \\
+ 0.09 \cdot (\delta^{18}O_{\text{c}} - \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}})^2
\]

where \( T \) is temperature expressed in degrees Celsius, \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{c}} \) in %o (VPDB), and \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \) in %o [Vienna standard mean ocean water (VSMOW)].

First, we calculate mean \( \delta^{18}O \) values for each of the aforementioned \( \Delta_t \) clusters per foraminiferal species group, using the same prescribed time intervals. We then use the mean \( \delta^{18}O \) values and \( \Delta_t \)-derived temperatures for each cluster to solve Eq. 3 and obtain mean \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \) estimates. Because the CIs for \( \delta^{18}O \) are much smaller than the CIs in \( \Delta_t \)-derived temperatures for each cluster, we only use the CIs of the latter and propagate them to provide CIs for \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \).

In addition, we calculate individual \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \) estimates for all paired \( \Delta_t \) and \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{c}} \) measurements and subsequently fit an LOESS to those \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \) estimates from all foraminiferal species groups combined. We then calculate the 2.5th, 16th, 50th, 84th, and 97.5th percentiles of these LOESS fits to obtain the median \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \) estimates and their associated 68 and 95% CIs.

Last, we use our \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \) records to reconstruct changes in sea-surface salinity across the MECO. For this purpose, we use the modern \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \)-salinity relationship for the Atlantic Ocean (101, 102) to obtain a first-order approximation of absolute salinity. Salinity (S) is calculated as follows

\[
\delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} = -19.264 + 0.558 \cdot S \\
S = \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} + 19.264 \\
0.558
\]

The slope of this modern \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \)-salinity relationship (0.558‰/psu) for the Atlantic Ocean is similar to the conservative estimate of 0.50‰/psu used in salinity reconstructions for the PETM (6) and ETM-2 (18). However, because \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} \)-salinity relationships are also affected by temperature and the \( \delta^{18}O \) value of precipitation (67), the exact expression of this relationship for the middle Eocene remains uncertain. Therefore, we prefer to present our estimates as salinity anomalies relative to \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}} = 0 \)‰.

**Trace element analyses**

Splits of fully cleaned and homogenized shells of *A. (praec-)topilensis* and *G. index* were analyzed for trace elemental compositions at the Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ) using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Element 2 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). Samples were dissolved in 0.1 M HNO₃ and were diluted to a Ca²⁺ concentration of 40 parts per million following an initial run to determine optimal Ca concentrations. Quantitative Mg/Ca ratios were calculated against five ratio calibration standards with similar matrix using a ratio calibration method (103). Monitor standards JCp-1 *Porites* spp. and JCt-1 *Triadacna gigas* were measured to monitor the accuracies. Drift corrections were applied to improve the accuracy and were based on replicate analyses of an in-house coral monitor standard. Standard analytical precision at NIOZ is estimated to be better than ±0.4%.

The efficiency of our cleaning protocol and potential diageneric effects on the resulting Mg/Ca ratios were assessed by evaluation of the corresponding Fe/Ca, Mn/Ca, Al/Ca, and Sr/Ca ratios (fig. S6). We have excluded measurements that displayed high Fe/Ca ratios (>0.8 mmol/mol for *A. (praec-)topilensis* and >0.5 mmol/mol for *G. index*; cutoffs were chosen relative to the values recorded by each species group) or Al/Ca ratios (>0.25 mmol/mol for both species groups) compared to the rest of the dataset but find no substantial evidence for contamination from detrital phases. We observe several *A. (praec-)topilensis* measurements with low Sr/Ca ratios relative to the rest of the dataset, which could hint at signs of diageneric Sr and Mg loss, but these outliers are automatically excluded on the basis of the aforementioned criteria for Fe/Ca.

**Temperature reconstructions using Mg/Ca**

Here, we primarily adopt the calibration of (60) to convert our foraminiferal Mg/Ca values (Mg/Caₚ) to absolute temperature estimates but we also explore alternatives below. This calibration for *Globigerinoides ruber* takes changes in both salinity (S) and the carbonate system (either through pH or |CO₂|⁻¹) into account and arrives at a different, more robust Mg/Ca-temperature relationship than the canonical calibration of (104), due to the use of climatological temperature estimates rather than \( \delta^{18}O \)-based temperature estimates. Consequently, the calibration of (60) yields significantly lower temperatures for a given Mg/Caₚ value. Here, we use their calibration for pH but with modifications to account for differences in the Mg/Ca composition of seawater (Mg/Caₚ) between past and present-day conditions based on (61). The resulting expression is

\[
T = \frac{1}{0.060} \ln \left( \frac{\text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{foram}}}{\text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{sw},t}} \right) \\
0.033 \cdot S \\
+ 0.83 \cdot (\text{pH} - 8.0) + 1.07
\]

where \( T \) represents temperature in degrees Celsius, \( \text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{foram}} \), and \( \text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{sw},t} \) respectively represent the Mg/Ca compositions of present-day and past seawater, and \( H \) represents the power component of the relationship between Mg/Caₚ and Mg/Caₚ following (61).

We calculate absolute temperatures with full propagation of the associated uncertainties using Monte Carlo simulations (\( n = 1000 \)). These simulations incorporate analytical uncertainty and uncertainty in salinity, pH, and Mg/Caₚ. Considering the lack of independent salinity reconstructions for the middle Eocene and the strong present-day salinity gradient at the study sites (fig. S1), we assume a relatively large range of possible salinity values between 32 and 36 psu (uniform distribution). For pH, we assume values between 7.6 and 8.0 (uniform distribution) to reflect a range of probable middle Eocene estimates from both modeling studies (105) and reconstructions based on boron isotopic compositions (\( \delta^{11}B \)) of planktonic foraminifera (12, 106). For Mg/Caₚ, we assume values between 1.5 and 3.0 mmol/mol for the middle Eocene, which is relatively well constrained on the basis of a range of reconstructions from fluid inclusions (107), calcium carbonate veins (108), and large benthic foraminifera (109); for Mg/Caₚ, we use the present-day value of 5.2 mmol/mol. Last, for \( H \), we use a value of 0.42 based on best estimates for planktonic foraminifera based on *Globigerinoides saccularis* (110, 111). Following
the Monte Carlo simulations based on these parameters, we applied LOESS fitting to every iteration of our dataset and calculated the 2.5th, 16th, 50th, 84th, and 97.5th percentiles of these LOESS fits to obtain the median temperature estimates and their associated 68 and 95% CIs.

In addition to our Monte Carlo simulations of Mg/Ca-based temperatures, we also present an optimal scenario based on $S = 34$ psu, pH = 7.8, and Mg/Ca$_{sw}$ = 2.25 mmol/mol (Fig. 4C). We do not explicitly account for an increase in salinity as obtained from our $\Delta T_T$-based reconstructions (Fig. 3B) or a potential decrease in pH during the MECO resulting from CO$_2$ rise and ocean acidification (11, 12, 22), but note that both of these factors would result in a reduction in the total amount of inferred warming. For our observed range of Mg/Ca$_{foram}$ values, a progressive salinity increase from 34 to 36 psu would reduce total warming by $-1^\circ$C, while a progressive pH decrease from 7.8 to 7.6—as reported for the peak MECO interval by (12)—would reduce total warming by $\sim3^\circ$C. Given the long oceanic residence times of Mg and Ca, we assume no transient change in Mg/Ca$_{sw}$ across the MECO.

Although we are able to account reasonably well for the uncertainties regarding both pH and Mg/Ca$_{sw}$, the exact expression of the Mg/Ca-temperature calibration remains the largest source of uncertainty for deep-time Mg/Ca-based temperature reconstructions. Therefore, we also perform our calculations with two different Mg/Ca-temperature relationships (fig. S7). First, we followed the Mg/Ca-temperature relationships outlined in (109), which are based on the (104) calibration and account for changes in both pH (112) and Mg/Ca$_{sw}$ (113). These Mg/Ca-temperature relationships have been used by (109) to recalculate existing Mg/Ca-derived temperatures for Eocene planktonic foraminifera and are expressed as

$$T = \frac{1}{A} \ln \left( \frac{\text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{norm}}}{B} \right)$$

$$\text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{norm}} = \frac{\text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{foram}}}{1 + \exp (6.9 \times (\text{pH} - 8.0)) + 0.76}$$

$$B = 0.019 \times \text{Mg/Ca}_{sw}^2 - 0.16 \times \text{Mg/Ca}_{sw} + 0.804$$

$$A = -0.0029 \times \text{Mg/Ca}_{sw}^2 + 0.032 \times \text{Mg/Ca}_{sw}$$

For a direct comparison of temperature estimates we again use pH = 7.8 and Mg/Ca$_{sw}$ = 2.25 mmol/mol, similar to the scenario outlined above for the calibration of (60).

Second, we test the widely applied calibration of (104), with modifications for changing Mg/Ca$_{sw}$ following (61). This Mg/Ca-temperature relationship has, for instance, been used for foraminiferal Mg/Ca records of the PETM (8, 111) and is expressed as

$$T = \frac{1}{A} \ln \left( \frac{\text{Mg/Ca}_{\text{foram}} [\text{Mg/Ca}_{sw,T}]^H}{B} \right)$$

We use the default values of $A = 0.09$ and $B = 0.38$ following (104), as well as Mg/Ca$_{sw,T} = 2.25$ mmol/mol, Mg/Ca$_{sw,t0} = 5.2$ mmol/mol, and $H = 0.42$ for consistency.

These alternative Mg/Ca-temperature relationships both yield remarkably similar temperature estimates for our observed range of Mg/Ca$_{foram}$ values (fig. S7), but the resulting temperatures are $\sim5^\circ$C higher than those obtained from our preferred Mg/Ca-temperature calibration of (60). This major difference has implications for previous Mg/Ca-based temperature records that are calculated with the calibration of (104).

**Biomarker paleothermometry**

For a total of 53 samples from Sites U1408 and U1410, lipids were extracted from 4 to 6 g of freeze-dried and powdered sediment with dichloromethane (DCM):methanol (MeOH; 9:1, v/v) using a Dionex accelerated solvent extractor (ASE 350) at a temperature of 100°C and a pressure of $7.6 \times 10^6$ Pa. Lipid extracts were separated into an apolar, ketone, and polar fraction by Al$_2$O$_3$ column chromatography using hexane:DCM (9:1), hexane:DCM (1:1), and DCM:MeOH (1:1) as respective eluents. Ninety-nine grams of a synthetic C$_{16}$ [mass/charge ratio (m/z) = 744] glycerol triakyl glycerol tetraether standard was added to the polar fraction, which subsequently was dissolved in hexane:isopropanol (99:1, v/v) to a concentration of $\sim$3 mg/ml and passed through a 0.45-µm polytetrafluoroethylene filter. This fraction was then analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry using an Agilent 1260 Infinity series HPLC system coupled to an Agilent 6130 single-quadrupole mass spectrometer at UU following (114) to measure the abundance of GDGTs. The BIT index and TEX$_{86}$ values were calculated according to (115, 116), respectively, although the BIT index was adjusted to include both 5- and 6-methyl brGDGTs. Temperatures were calculated with the logarithmic TEX$_{86}$ calibration, which has an associated calibration uncertainty of $\sim2.5^\circ$C (63). The long-term analytical precision for TEX$_{86}$ at UU based on replicate analyses of an in-house standard is $\pm0.3^\circ$C.

We use established indicator ratios between different isoGDGTs to assess GDGT sourcing by microbes other than surface ocean-dwelling marine Thaumarchaeota, which might overprint the temperature signal recorded in TEX$_{86}$. We calculate the Methane Index (117) (cutoff value, 0.3) and assess the GDGT-0/crenarchaeol (118) (cutoff value, 2.0) and GDGT-2/crenarchaeol (119) (cutoff value, 0.2) ratios to respectively evaluate contributions by methanotrophic-, methanogenic-, and AOM (anaerobic oxidation of methane)–associated archaea. The GDGT-2/GDGT-3 ratio (cutoff value, 5.0) is used to assess GDGT production by deeper-dwelling archaea (120). Aberrant proportions of the crenarchaeol isomer are quantified as Cren’ relative to (Cren + Cren) with a cutoff value of 0.25 (121). The isoGDGT distribution as a whole is evaluated by its deviation from the modern pelagic TEX$_{86}$-to-Ring Index relationship in the ARI (cutoff value, [0.3]) (122). Last, the BIT index is used to indicate the relative dominance of branched GDGTs over isoGDGTs.

All analyzed samples yielded sufficient GDGTs for integration. While BIT values are very high throughout the studied interval (0.69 ± 0.11, 1σ), we find no distinct relationship between BIT and TEX$_{86}$ (fig. S8), suggesting that the relatively high supply of branched GDGTs was not accompanied by the influx of anomalous isoGDGTs affecting TEX$_{86}$. Half of the studied samples (10 of 28 for Site U1408; 16 of 24 for Site U1410) suffer from ARI values of >0.3 (figs. S9 and S10), indicating that these middle Eocene isoGDGT distributions have a large offset from the modern global TEX$_{86}$-RI.
relationship. The TEX\textsubscript{86} values of these samples are thus likely to have been affected by other factors than SST. Many of these samples also have elevated GDGT-2/C\textsubscript{24} ratios of >0.2, suggesting a possible contribution by AOM-associated archaea (fig. S9). On the basis of these observations, we exclude samples with aberrant values based on the RI and other isoGDGT ratios but not based on the BIT index. Last, the composition of the brGDGTs and, in particular, the low \#ring\textsubscript{b24223a} index values (0.08 ± 0.01, 1σ), suggests that they are soil-derived (I23).

Furthermore, 15 ketone fractions were analyzed for alkenones at NIOZ using an Agilent 6890 N gas chromatograph (GC) with flame ionization detection after dissolving in ethyl acetate. The GC was equipped with a fused silica column with a length of 50 m, a diameter of 0.32 mm, and a coating of CP Sil 5 (film thickness, 0.12 μm). Helium was used as carrier gas, and the flow mode was a constant pressure of 100 kPa. The ketone fractions were injected on-column at a starting temperature of 70°C, which increased by 20°C/min to 200°C, followed by 3°C/min until the final temperature of 320°C was reached. This end temperature was held for 25 min. Selected fractions were analyzed by GC–mass spectrometry using an Agilent 7890B GC coupled to an Agilent 5977A mass spectrometer. The starting temperature was 70°C and increased to 130°C by 20°C/min, followed by a linear gradient of 4°C/min to an end temperature of 320°C, which was held for 25 min. One microinjector was used, and separation was achieved on a fused silica column (25 m by 0.32 mm) coated with CP Sil-5 (film thickness, 0.12 μm). Helium was used as carrier gas with a constant flow of 2 ml/min. The mass spectrometry operated with an ionization energy of 70 eV. Identification of alkenones was done in full scan mode, scanning between 50 and 850 m/z.

Alkenones could be detected in most analyzed ketone fractions. However, these alkenones consisted only of the C\textsubscript{37,2} and C\textsubscript{38,2} alkenones, yielding U\textsubscript{K45} = 1 (proxy saturation) and hence SSTs of at least 28°C (65).

### Supplementary Materials
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