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ABSTRACT
This paper conducts a case study of the marketing of Virol – a malt extract preparation that was popular in early twentieth-century Britain – using advertisements from British newspapers. Using multimodal critical discourse analysis, it explores how marketers drew upon linguistic/semiotic resources to embed Virol in discourses of scientific knowledge and how these discourses were made to appear true. Through targeted marketing campaigns, Virol established consumer bases framed around three health concerns: malnutrition, constipation and anxiety. Using testimonies, buzzwords, photographs and infographics, Virol created an illusion of scientific rationality, yet the studies or authority figures behind their findings were never explicitly specified, leaving consumers to make assumptions about the product’s benefits using their own limited understandings. As women were the primary household shoppers, ‘scientific motherhood’ (and ‘wifehood’) was also drawn upon, producing a dichotomy that framed women as responsible for their families’ health, yet incapable of this responsibility without expert intervention.

Introduction
Contemporary marketing is often described as fickle, fast-moving and continuously splintering in response to technological advances, changing customer needs and a desire to increase competitiveness and financial gain.\(^1\) However, these characteristics and motivations are not a product of the modern age; in fact, they can be traced back to the late nineteenth century – a period when scientific discoveries and inventions were occurring at a rapid rate and coinciding with the birth of modern advertising and mass consumerism.\(^2\) Canny food manufacturers saw opportunities in growing public interest in science, instantly producing advertisements that framed their products as health-restoring elixirs and constantly remarketing them in relation to rapidly changing scientific understanding so as to remain popular and relevant to consumers.\(^3\) The use of
science in marketing was, thus, a key way to construct authority and credibility and was reflective of a broader change in networks of trust across Victorian society, with people moving away from ‘thick’ networks of friends and family to ‘thin’ networks based around institutions and authority figures.

While the historical use of (pseudo)scientific marketing has been well-documented for such products as patent medicines, vitamins and soap, to date, scant attention has been paid to its application when it comes to foods and how they shape discourse, beliefs and behaviours around health and healthy lifestyles. Furthermore, of the limited studies that exist, most have concerned a US context, thereby providing a one-dimensional understanding of the link between science, food marketing and healthy eating in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Despite the wealth of evidence left by advertisements in British newspapers on how food products were positioned as ‘scientific’ and therefore ‘healthy’, this data remains widely overlooked by scholars and certain brands that were once bestsellers have been neglected in academic research.

With this in mind, the current paper conducts the first case study of the marketing practices of one brand – Virol, a malt extract preparation that was popular in early twentieth-century Britain – using a dataset of 150 advertisements collected from the British Newspaper Archive. It is particularly concerned with the way that marketers draw upon linguistic and semiotic resources to embed Virol in discourses of scientific knowledge and development, and how these discourses are made to appear true and credible. These claims are made not just through language, but also through images, infographics, typography and colour, which help illustrate Virol’s functions and efficacy. Therefore, the analysis draws on the tools of Multimodal Critical Discourse Analysis (MCDA) – a method to reveal how certain ideas and values are represented and conveyed through semiotic choices, the extent to which they are valid and how marketers appear aligned to the promises they put forward.

By embedding Virol’s marketing strategies within the social transformations taking place at that time and developments in medical and scientific knowledge, I demonstrate how the brand sought to distance itself from patent medicine advertising in order to appear more professional and decrease consumer scepticism. Yet, in doing so, it adhered to many of the same tried and tested formats, using such selling tactics as dubious testimonials, uncited or unsubstantiated references to scientific studies, scientific charts and images of the human body. In particular, I note how Virol segmented its product lines according to three major health concerns of the period – malnutrition, constipation and anxiety – picking and choosing scientific information that would be relevant to its main consumer target: women. As public understanding of science was malleable and influenced strongly by the popular press at this time, I argue that the extensive marketing of Virol in different ways across local and national newspapers was influential in constructing discourses of truth around health management and moved advertisements beyond merely informational tools into sensational and psychological apparatus.

**The origins of selling with science**

The Great Exhibition of 1851 is often described as the event that paved the way for scientific discourse in British advertising because it promoted the latest technological
advancements, inaugurated a new way of seeing things and fashioned a mythology of consumerism. Moreover, it showed producers the importance of knowing customers’ desires to compete successfully and survive in an ever more competitive market. This transformed the advertising industry and set a precedent for the consumer economy in which we now live.

Today, the use of science in food and drink marketing is so commonplace that we take it as a given, but this was not the case in the nineteenth century. The introduction of scientific discourse into advertising was innovative and novel, creating an artificial demand for products on the basis that trusting consumers thought that they would improve their lives. Following the Great Exhibition, advertisements for old products started to emphasise their improved scientific processes, while advertisements for new products began to foreground their scientific origins. However, at the same time, these products were surrounded with an air of mystique, as their complexities were not readily understood by consumers, thus turning them into both mirrors and instruments of social ideals. Increased attention to marketing was facilitated by the abolishment of newspaper stamp duty in 1855 and changes in production, which moved advertisements from textually oriented, uniformed layouts to heavily illustrated and multimodal in nature. However, it was not until the 1880s that scientific discourse in advertising was widely adopted in Britain, reaching its peak in the interwar period.

The reasons for this widespread growth from 1880 onwards are manifold. One major cause was the passing of the 1875 Sale of Food and Drugs Act. Prior to this Act, Britain had a huge problem with food adulteration, whereby inferior materials were substituted for genuine articles to cope with consumer demand. Through the Act, a code of practice in commercial relationships was developed, with food companies now trading on their reputation for honesty, integrity and quality. Branded foods became an essential part of this code, offering assurance of consistent high standards from a credible source. Recognising their privileged position as knowledge shapers, food brands began to make heavy use of science in their marketing, using narratives of health and wellbeing to differentiate their products from competitors and build consumer trust.

Another important contributory factor was the social connotations of health and wellbeing. As nutrition grew as a science, food manufacturers became strongly aware of the prevalence of such diseases as tuberculosis, typhoid, scarlet fever, smallpox and dysentery across all classes and that the key to their prevention was proper diet and good hygiene. However, more importantly, they became aware of the strong link in the public consciousness between health and morality and used this to their advantage. For the average Victorian family, nutrition was embedded in nationalist rhetoric: it was one’s moral duty to be a ‘strong’ citizen and to neglect health through poor diet was deemed selfish and inexcusable. Food companies latched onto these beliefs, quickly identifying that employing scientific discourse into their advertisements would imply that they were concerned about the welfare of British people, while at the same time providing them with new profits.

Food marketers also capitalised on the effects of compulsory schooling and decreased book production costs, which had resulted in rising literacy rates and fostered a new reading public in Britain. This public was alerted to the latest medical and scientific advances in the popular press, even if they did not understand them, and viewed doctors and scientists as important authority figures. Thus, popular consciousness gained a new idea that medical research could provide widespread benefits for their
health and wellbeing. In response to the public’s new fascination with scientific innovation, marketers quickly employed infographics, facts and quotes from doctors and scientists into their advertisements, shrewdly perceiving that most consumers would assign the expert status on the grounds that he knew something that they did not know and, therefore, not question the veracity of the information presented. As Church notes, this tactic created an inertia in consumer behaviour, desensitising people to considerations of price and quality and turning products into lifestyle aspirations. For consumers, as long as the scientific statements were coming from reputable brands and figures, there was no need to doubt them.

As the ‘chancellresses of the family exchequer’ and those responsible for childrearing and family health, women were the main target of these advertisements. Such was their importance that a 1913 report noted that 90% of advertisers chose to leave men out of their advertisements when designing them. Whether lowbrow tabloids or highbrow broadsheets, advertisements were squarely aimed at women, using images of housewives, ‘slice-of-life’ stories, direct address and fear appeals to underscore the importance of family safety and survival. Furthermore, as many women were now also in paid work, advertisements regularly framed products as labour-saving fix-alls that would enable women to maintain a happy and healthy family despite the time pressures of modernity. As we will see in this paper, Virol replicated the strategies of other contemporaneous brands, preying particularly on consumer fears about being bad citizens who risked disease or even death if they did not place faith in the science behind these products. Loeb describes this approach as a ‘consumerist natural selection’ in its overt instructions on how women in particular should behave to ensure the future health of the British population.

The marketing of Virol

Virol was initially produced by the Liquor Carnis company in 1894, but grew in popularity when it was purchased in 1899 by Bovril. It came about as an experimental product, created so as to make use of the by-products of the brewing industry, but was quickly recommended in medical journals as an alternative to cod liver oil. Virol was described as a ‘highly concentrated complete food,’ which consisted of malt extract, beef marrow, egg, lemon syrup and soluble phosphates. It was sold in ceramic jars and, according to The Hospital, tasted like toffee, looked like honey and was taken in teaspoonfuls, which could be spread on bread. Its high palatability, coupled with its well-balanced and easily assimilable ingredients, made it particularly suitable for children and invalids.

The chosen product name had important connotations, many of which were gendered and reflective of the social context in which it emerged. First, Virol gave an evident nod to ‘virility’, which was seen as a core aspect of masculinity and was measured by physical strength, energy and sex drive. The virility of both self and nation/race was a major concern at this time in Britain after one-third of recruits enlisted to fight in the Second Boer War were deemed unfit for military service due to their poor health. Virol also made reference to science and modernity, ‘vril’ being the latent source of energy mentioned in Edward Bulwer-Lytton’s novel The Coming Race that gives a person extraordinary strength and creates a superior master race (this was also the inspiration for Bovril). The importance of science and modernity for Virol’s image can be seen in a promotional
article for *The Illustrated Sporting and Dramatic News* entitled ‘Here Health Is Made’, which describes the Virol factory as having ‘electricity’, ‘up-to-date lines,’ ‘spotless cleanliness’ and ‘strictly enforced laws of hygiene’ and is accompanied by photographs of workers in white lab coats amongst metallic vats (Figure 1).

Virol’s marketing practices fit with the emerging pattern of creating ‘science-based’ foods that were reflective of progress and offered a solution to a particular medical problem. However, while it had some nutritional benefits, doctors warned that the product should be used as an *auxiliary* rather than a *substitute* for ordinary food and that consumers should exercise caution in interpreting its claims about high protein and vitamin content. Porter argues that the commercialisation of science by food manufacturers led to a growth of hypochondria amongst consumers, who were susceptible to the new vocabulary drawn from the laboratory and believed that these products were essential for staving off illness. This susceptibility is apparent in the rapid consumer demand for Virol, leading to the creation of a larger factory in Perivale, Middlesex in 1920. Virol was exhibited at the British Industries Fair in 1929 and even employed ‘Virol Ladies’ to dish out spoonfuls to children in schools throughout the 1940s. Over its peak period on the market – 1900 to 1940 – Virol branded its product in three different ways: as Virol for malnutrition, Virolax for constipation and Virol & Milk for anxiety. What is interesting, however, is that all three products were essentially the same in form and taste; the only thing that distinguished them was the way that scientific discourse was used to create segmented marketing campaigns and increase the brand’s impact and uptake.

‘Don’t let your child die’: preventing malnutrition with Virol

At the turn of the twentieth century, Britain was facing a serious malnutrition problem as a result of disparities across classes in standards of living and diet. A high percentage of
children suffered from rickets and scurvy, resulting in brittle bones and stunted growth, and leading to a weakened immune system, increased vulnerability to infections and, ultimately, death. An 1899 article in The Gentlewoman provided the shocking statistic that 50% of all deaths in England were of children, many of whom were malnourished. Health reformers, social commentators and even physicians blamed mothers for these high rates of child mortality, claiming that poor child health was a result of maternal ignorance. Women were increasingly told that they needed to forget about their instincts or knowledge passed down from their mothers and listen to expert scientific and medical advice to raise their children healthfully. Virol immediately saw the benefits of using this notion of ‘scientific motherhood’ in its advertisements, employing the prestige of science to play upon the emotions of mothers and frame them as incapable of child-rearing without the intervention of experts. This strategy was commonly employed by brands at the time, from Cadbury’s and Pear’s to Mellin’s and Ovaltine, and was seen as an effective way to tap into women’s insecurities about ‘respectability’ and to shame them into purchasing a particular product.

We see this clearly in the bold headlines in Virol advertisements that target mothers: ‘Look, mother, look, this concerns your child!’; ‘Virol will save your child’s life’; ‘Your child needs Virol now.’ Here, the direct address and strong modal expressions (e.g. ‘will,’ ‘needs’) imply urgency and command mothers to act in a particular way to protect their children, in this case by purchasing Virol. Apple found similar examples in her study of scientific motherhood in US food marketing during the same period: ‘Advice to Mothers!’; ‘Add science to love and be a perfect mother!’ Such headlines imply that not to follow the brand’s advice is to be irresponsible and go against the conventional female role of caregiver.

These headlines are often accompanied by testimonials from mothers in the form of letters, telling personal stories about their children and how their malnutrition was overcome by taking Virol (Figure 2). Through these testimonials, Virol cleverly creates the impression that mothers have ‘role model authority’, but the voices of the doctors are masked through depersonalised verbs (e.g. ‘I was advised to try Virol’) and lay terms (e.g. ‘he was wasting … he pulled round … he’s a splendidly sturdy little fellow’), which gives mothers a false sense of control over the dietary requirements of their child. Barker has traced these types of letters back to late Georgian medical advertising and has argued that they tend to mimic the language and rhetoric of court witness statements and affidavits, which gives them a high level of credibility. In the context of the early twentieth century, these forms of public testimonials also build credibility by creating a sense of community and encouraging mothers to gain confidence in a particular product because of the collective experience around it.

Although these testimonials seem convincing, only initials or titles and surnames are used, making it hard to know whether the person existed or was simply invented by Virol to appeal to its target consumer base. Indeed, in the context of patent medicines, such vague references were often fake and relied on consumer trust in a brand to be honest and ethical. Nonetheless, weight is added to Virol’s testimonials by the accompanying images of smiling, healthy-looking babies, often with blonde hair and no clothes to signal their purity, who are framed in such a way that they can be easily interpreted as the children referred to in the testimonials. Naked children were a common feature of Victorian and Edwardian advertising, used particularly during the ‘pure foods’ campaign
against adulteration. Advertisements for Fry’s, Tetley and Nestlé often featured androgynous children with cherub-like blonde hair, rosy cheeks and full lips, thereby equating food purity with physical and spiritual purity. Thus, we see how products gain

Figure 2. Mother’s testimonial. Illustrated London News, 29 March 1919.
credibility by positioning themselves as offering a ‘return’ to a pristine, natural state that is in line with domestic ideals about motherhood and child-rearing. The children in the Virol advertisements are often depicted looking away from viewers in what Kress and van Leeuwen call an act of ‘offer’ because it encourages us to contemplate them and, thus, strengthens the impact of consuming the product. The children also tend to face left, which is associated with portraiture and historically considered to be the more emotionally powerful side of the body. This angle further frames the testimonials as honest and authentic, therefore making it hard to question what is presented as the truth.

These visual representations of ‘truth’ are taken even further in another form of Virol advertisement, which shows ‘before’ and ‘after’ vignettes. Such vignettes have their origins in patent medicine advertisements, which often depicted the ‘before’ and ‘after’ effects of diet pills and hair regrowth treatments, and extended to trade cards in the late nineteenth century. They were also commonly used as publicity stunts by Dr Barnardo to demonstrate the positive impact of his homes for poor and orphaned children. Loeb sees them as promoting a ‘quasi-evangelical idea of a life transformed’ that was in keeping with middle-class religious beliefs of the time. Figure 3 shows a malnourished child on the left with their head looking down, while on the right is a healthy child with their head raised and smiling. In such compositions, the left side represents ‘given’ information, while the right side depicts ‘new’ information to which the viewer must pay special attention. Thus, a certain causality between the two children is implied, suggesting a development over time.

This causality is accentuated by the accompanying caption, which claims that the two images are of the same child, taken before and after Virol. Although readers are given no sense of how long the child had to take Virol to overcome malnutrition, they have little room to question the images’ veracity, particularly as the ‘after’ child engages them in a visual form of direct address that almost dares them to dispute Virol’s benefits. This veracity is further emphasised by the larger image above of a topless teenager leaping through the air in a high-action shot, legs and arms extended and head down. The caption alongside states that it is the same child today showing ‘permanent effects’ of Virol. We have no way of knowing if it is the same boy or indeed if his name is even real, but the framing is convincing, as is the affective description of Virol as ‘the food of life’ and the challenge that those who are not convinced by the photos can obtain a full medical report by writing to Virol. Again, Virol cleverly places the children at its centre and casually embeds the medical authority in small print. However, even this medical authority can be misleading: the claim that doctors say ‘Virol abounds in the vital foods without which health life and growth cannot exist,’ for example, suggests that Virol is a nutrient already present in food rather than a supplement and, thus, grants it greater status.

In other advertisements, just one of the images is included, often for emotive effect: before images are frequently captioned ‘baby fail,’ suggesting that women have failed at motherhood if they do not buy Virol, while after images often bear the caption ‘the Virol smile,’ implying that the product will bring an overall sense of wellbeing to the person who consumes it. Similar tactics have been found in other contemporaneous advertisements, such as Allenbury’s infant food and Mother Seigel’s syrup, which show crying babies and scare women into purchasing the products out of fear of being seen as unfit mothers otherwise (e.g. ‘Hurry mother. Don’t let your child down!’). In these cases, we see how modality draws upon interpersonal, rather than ideational,
Figure 3. Before and after. *Belfast Telegraph*, 22 October 1925.
meaning to convey truthfulness, playing upon emotions to shape readers’ understanding of truths rather than express absolute truths.\textsuperscript{63}

Another striking aspect of Virol advertisements is the use of infographics, which deliver dense information in a fun, user-friendly way. While infographics are often seen as a product of modern-day advertising, they can be found frequently in advertisements from as early as 1880. Advertisements for the Edwardian protein powders Emprote and Plasmon, as well as for Sunkist fruit juice, for example, frequently use bar charts, pie charts and other forms of data visualisation to show nutritional content.\textsuperscript{64} While infographics create the sense that consumers are being empowered, deeper engagement with their content often reveals that the visual components are vague, misleading and/or pseudoscientific. We see this in the example in Figure 4 of four schoolchildren climbing a ladder, its rungs signalled by three arrows marking ‘on ordinary meals only’ (bottom), ‘while on other supplements’ (middle) and ‘while on Virol’ (top). The arrows imply an upward directional flow associated with vitality and energy,\textsuperscript{65} suggesting that children who take Virol will be stronger and fitter. This point is emphasised by the large-scale photograph of the woman alongside looking up and pointing at the boy at the ladder’s top who, in turn, gazes down at her with a smile on his face. A sign stating ‘ideal rate of growth’ hangs beneath his feet, illustrating that Virol has helped him exceed growth expectations. While the text alongside informs us that the results are based on a ‘scientific investigation,’ the advertisement does not explain how ‘ideal’ or ‘ordinary’ are defined, nor what the ‘other supplements’ are, which makes it challenging to interpret the information.\textsuperscript{66} The study’s main finding that children ‘definitely need something extra’ is also unclear. Despite these contradictions, readers are likely to rely on their limited knowledge of ‘scientific investigations’ to make assumptions about Virol’s effectiveness.\textsuperscript{67}

Similar contradictions are at work in the bar charts used in Virol advertisements. The chart in Figure 5 shows five columns of variable size with the silhouette of a child standing on top. The accompanying text displays different values in ounces (from lowest to highest) to represent average weight increase per week when following an ordinary diet, when consuming cod liver oil, when consuming halibut liver oil and when consuming Virol. The visual and verbal signs imply that Virol outperforms the others and even surpasses the standard average increase per week for children. While the numbers suggest fixed measurements and communicate a logical process of data presentation, we have no knowledge of how these figures were collected, their relative status, whether the supplements are comparable or indeed what age, gender or social background these children come from.\textsuperscript{68} The small print also states that the oils tested were not from advertised proprietary brands, suggesting that Virol has deliberately selected information that will frame it as most effective. The section below, entitled ‘What this means to you as a parent’, implies that the information in the bar chart will be synthesised for readers. However, the text remains vague in its assertion that Virol supplies ‘all the factors necessary for growth’ without stating any, as well as its claim that ‘doctors recommend Virol’ without naming any particular figures of authority. Nonetheless, through these techniques, Virol is able to provide a general sense of scientific integrity, thus giving readers few opportunities for circumspection and making them more inclined to trust the information they receive. Nelson, Dahs and Ahn\textsuperscript{69} and O’Hagan\textsuperscript{70} also found vague information and contradictory statements in their studies.
Figure 4. Ladder infographic. *Daily Herald*, 6 December 1938.
on infographics in early twentieth-century advertisements; however, as Jones notes, these subtleties were often missed by the average reader who saw such information as an ‘openness of exchange’ between brand and consumer that portrayed a unified image of progression and science.

‘Save your children from harmful drugs’: treating constipation with Virolax

Another major health concern in early twentieth-century Britain was constipation, which was seen as the foremost disease of industrialised societies. Influenced by the development of bacteriology in the 1880s, physicians came up with the theory of ‘auto-intoxication,’ believing that to be constipated was to poison oneself. There was a widespread belief that ‘auto-intoxication by faecal products’ was the cause of 90% of disease, including bad breath, headaches, impotence, nervousness and insomnia, and thus a constipated person was ‘always working towards his own destruction’ through ‘continual attempts at suicide by intoxication.’ While these theories had some form of medical basis, constipation also had a moral component and was framed as ‘the root of all evil’ by the Church. One clergyman even told his parishioners that they would be unfaithful to God and the laws of nature if they did not ‘expulse food’ on a daily basis. Children were seen most at risk of constipation, but also most able to be ‘saved’ from impurity if their constipation was treated from a young age. As such, a stream of aperients were...
launched onto the market by canny businessmen who used a relentless barrage of advertising aimed at frightening mothers into giving their children daily doses. Virol immediately saw an opportunity in this growing culture of concern around gastric health, adding a dash of paraffin to its product and releasing it under the name Virolax in 1915.

As scientific motherhood was particularly concerned with ensuring normalcy in children, regular toilet habits provided an ideal topic for Virolax to exploit. However, unlike malnutrition, constipation was not externally visible so Virolax could not rely on provocative images in the same way. Instead, they drew heavily upon medical explanations, yet delivered in simplified and often scare-mongering or sensationalist forms, typical of the popular press. Disguising their advertisements as informative articles meant that textual and paratextual information fused into one, making it likely that readers mistook them for factual content. Advertisements frequently bear such headlines as ‘Eliminate intestinal poisons’ or ‘Get rid of slow poisons’, which play up the auto-intoxication theory and use imperatives to command mothers to take action (Figure 6). These advertisements bear a strong resemblance to other aperient advertisements of the period (e.g. Natura-lax, Ex-Lax, California Syrup of Figs), which warn mothers to act quickly to ‘remove poisons from stomach, liver and bowels’. However, they also show striking similarities to food advertisements produced during the Spanish Flu pandemic (1918–19), which commanded consumers to ‘attack the germs before they attack you’, thereby putting the onus on individuals to take responsibility for their own wellbeing and that of their loved ones.

The subsequent body of text explains that Virolax removes ‘waste products that poison the body’ and prevents ‘the multiplication of microbes’ that cause anaemia, dyspepsia, headaches and ‘the evils arising from irregularities.’ At the same time, Virolax ‘feeds the tissues’ and ‘strengthens the organs,’ restoring to users ‘a sense of pleasure in life.’ To lay readers, these statements appear scientifically sound, which makes them hard to dispute, even if no studies or experts are cited to support them. Furthermore, their value-laden language is highly emotive and builds a firm case for Virolax’s effectiveness. Such scientific phrases were a common feature of food advertisements from the period and sought to build credibility by tapping into popular beliefs that science was innovative and necessary to modern life. As it was deemed distasteful to discuss bodily fluids, especially in the presence of women, Virolax frequently uses euphemistic language to describe constipation and laxatives: ‘irregular action’, ‘obstinate case’, ‘opening medicine’, ‘trouble remover’, ‘nature’s assistant’, ‘organs of elimination.’ This euphemistic language is still apparent in contemporary adverts for menstrual products and contraceptives and serves to maintain rules of etiquette while signalling that the company understands what its potential consumers are experiencing and why they might need their product.

In many cases, Virolax advertisements explicitly address mothers, reminding them that their children have ‘tender skin’ and ‘delicate internal membranes’ and, thus, ‘risks should not be taken’ with their health or they will suffer ‘permanent damage’ and ‘serious illnesses’ in later life (Figure 7). Again, there is no medical rationale for these bold statements, but they rely on the drastic difference in semantic prosody between the tenderness and delicacy of children and the permanent and serious consequences of not treating constipation to scare mothers into action. This is a strategy
found across advertisements of the period (e.g. Chymol, Formamint, Lifebuoy), all of which play upon the fragility of children as a scaremongering, persuasive tactic. Virolax even informs mothers that its product tastes of sweetmeat, which is ‘so delicious’
that children will be asking to take it. Early twentieth-century manufacturers commonly produced chocolate- or sugar-coated medicines to make them more palatable for children. Whorton\textsuperscript{85} describes these products as a shameful exploitation of the juvenile market, using sugar to encourage dosing. This active encouragement can also be seen in Virolax’s suggestion that ‘the first spoonful does you good,’ but it is the second half of the jar that ‘really shows the benefits,’ implying that the product is to be taken every day as a precautionary measure, not just when constipated. Although this goes against general medical advice of the period,\textsuperscript{86} Virolax’s bold statements position it as an expert authority, therefore leaving little room for mothers to question the recommendation and risk jeopardising their child’s health.\textsuperscript{87}

Despite Virolax clearly encouraging daily doses for children, some of its advertisements criticise other aperients and even ask mothers ‘why become a slave to the aperient habit?’ Virolax deflects this contradiction by cleverly describing itself as a ‘laxative food,’ not a medicine, and coining the slogan ‘the nutrient laxative,’ suggesting to mothers that it is perfectly safe to give daily doses to their children because it is full of essential nutrients. Studies on radium-based products from the same period\textsuperscript{88} show similar strategies to deflect the potential harm of radium, with manufacturers emphasising radium as ‘nature’s nutrient’ and informing consumers that products contain ‘just the right amount’ to be safe. Depicting Virolax as a nutrient not only removes it from the realm of elite science and makes it more accessible to consumers, but it also taps into growing public interest around vitamins, which had only been discovered several years before in 1912.\textsuperscript{89} This is furthered by the suggestions that Virolax is ‘the laxative that strengthens’ and ‘feeds while relieves.’ As Vincent\textsuperscript{90} notes, these types of phrases work because they allow interpretative flexibility, yet are recognisable enough to enable

\textbf{Figure 7.} The ‘good’ mother. \textit{Belfast Newsletter}, 1 February 1926. \textit{Sheffield Independent}, 7 January 1926.
consumers to adapt them to their own purposes. Here, they are essential in generating consumer interest in Virolax as a natural and health-restoring cure without fully understanding its properties or benefits. Other advertisements inform mothers that they should ‘never be without Virolax in a house where there are children.’ Similar straplines can be found in advertisements for Lux (‘I can’t keep house without it’), Bovril (‘Don’t go without Bovril within’) and Ledingham (‘Never be without it’). Not only do these statements frame women as bad mothers if they do not purchase a certain product, but they also domesticate said product, moving it into the ‘sanctum sanctorum’ of consumers and, thereby, turning it into something unthreatening that should become part of one’s everyday routine.91

In some cases, Virolax advertisements include testimonials from mothers. While these testimonials resemble those of Virol, they connote an additional level of reliability because they include the woman’s full name and address (Figure 8) – a practice that dates back to late eighteenth-century medical advertising.92 An investigation of census records and street directories indicate that the individuals behind the Virolax testimonials did not actually exist. However, unknowing readers are likely to assign them ‘role model’ status because they stand in for the typical everyday contacts who would have provided word-of-mouth reputations when towns were smaller and populations were less diverse.93 The testimonials, thus, provide reassurance that Virolax works and legitimise its use for those unconvinced of its benefits.94

In other cases, advertisements make explicit references to doctors, giving the impression that the product has been medically approved. However, these references are deliberately vague, making it challenging to disprove (or indeed prove) them: ‘The doctor is the best friend of Virolax’; ‘the doctor knows that … ’; ‘the doctor informs that … ’ Bartrip95 and Loeb96 have explored the use of doctor testimonials in patent medicine advertisements and have found that many were, in fact ‘figments of the advertising imagination’ extorted or taken out of context. The British Medical Journal even warned of this practice in a 1912 article,97 advising consumers to be aware of endorsements by doctors whose names were not in the Medical Register, as well as ‘vague titles’ and ‘misapplied quotes’. In the context of Virolax, an exploration of British medical journals of the period (British Medical Journal, The Lancet, The Hospital) shows no such references to the product; in fact, warnings are frequently given about laxatives and more natural methods including rhubarb and bicarbonate of soda are often suggested instead.98 However, most consumers are likely to have willingly accepted Virolax’s testimonials on the basis of perceived expertise without questioning their veracity or checking the person’s credentials.

While the majority of these advertisements are heavily text-based, there is one image that regularly reoccurs: children taking a spoonful of Virolax (Figure 9). Similar images can be found across contemporaneous advertisements for cod liver oil (e.g. Mellin’s), malt extracts (e.g. Chymol) and (e.g. Quaker Oats). In these cases, the children are depicted smiling and looking directly at viewers, thereby encouraging parents to purchase the product for their own child.99 Furthermore, the fact that the children are holding a heaped spoon to their mouths implies their willingness to consume Virolax because it is extremely appetising. This ‘fetishisation’ of the laxative, further emphasised by the caption ‘Children Love Virolax,’ creates an unnecessary demand for the product.
rather than an actual medical need, yet this is softened by the headline that it is ‘safe’ for children and the strong modal assertion that it ‘cannot irritate.’ Studies on radium-based products\textsuperscript{100} have also noted identical strategies, whereby brands emphasise that ‘no modern person can do without radium’ and that it is ‘carefully controlled’ and therefore ‘guaranteed to be harmless’. As we have seen in earlier Virol advertisements, the image is supported by a testimonial in italics from ‘Lieut. R.N.R’ about the effects of Virolax on his young daughter. Viewing the text alongside the photograph immediately implies that the girl in the image is his daughter, although we have no means of knowing whether this is true or not. Again, authority is granted by the man’s status as a military officer,\textsuperscript{101} yet the use of initials makes it impossible to trace his actual identity.

\textbf{Figure 8.} Mother’s testimonial. \textit{Birmingham Daily Gazette}, 18 February 1926.
**Figure 9.** Child consuming Virolax. *Belfast Telegraph*, 22 January 1926.
‘You cannot afford a breakdown!’: relieving anxiety with Virol & Milk

Although anxiety had always existed, it only started to be understood as a condition in the mid-nineteenth century, where it was described as a ‘disorder of modernity caused by the fast pace of urban life’. Nervous disorders interlaced with Victorian assumptions about normalcy and deviance, civilisation and barbarism, order and chaos, masculinity and femininity. For this reason, physicians developed a clear dichotomy in their understanding of anxiety, seeing it as a ‘prestige disease’ that brought out physical symptoms (e.g. fatigue, aches and pains, headaches) in men due to their busy working lives and mental symptoms (e.g. insomnia, depression, irritability, excessive emotions) in women who had weaker constitutions. Physicians frequently repeated the importance of correct diet as a cure for anxiety, recommending plain foods such as oatmeal and cream, stale bread and butter, milk, potatoes and beef steak, and soft-cooked eggs to ease the stomach upset associated with emotional strain. Entrepreneurs quickly recognised the potential of a new market, tapping into consumer health concerns with the creation of ‘nerve food’. ‘Nerve food’ was essentially just a product made of powdered milk protein diluted with water, yet through clever marketing campaigns, consumers were lured into believing that it would cure their anxiety. Virol quickly stepped into this growing market, launching Virol & Milk in 1924 as a safeguard against nervous breakdown.

As anxiety was considered to affect adults rather than children, Virol & Milk advertisements were squarely directed at wives rather than mothers, encouraging them to keep well for the sake of their families and give their ‘tired husbands’ the product to ensure that they are able to enjoy ‘their pleasant evenings’ with them. Furthermore, as mental health was poorly understood at the time and a relatively taboo topic, Virol & Milk advertisements relied far more on striking, metaphorical visuals than scientifically rational discourse (as in the case of Virol and Virolax) to promote the benefits of the product. Similar strategies can be found across advertisements for all nerve foods in the period (e.g. Wincarnis, Sanatogen, Sanaphos, Phosferine). Anxiety is regularly depicted as a large boulder being pushed up a hill, as a black cloud of negativity or a monster ambushing an unsuspecting person – all images that are still commonly used today in graphic illness narratives. Accompanying captions command readers to respond in a particular way – ‘Don’t give in!'; ‘Fight the dark hours!'; ‘Lift the cloud!’ – suggesting that Virol & Milk will remove these feelings of anxiety by ‘rebuilding nerve cells and tissue.’ However, the most frequent depictions of anxiety are as the Grim Reaper or the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse.

A case in point is Figure 10, which shows a businessman in a suit mounted on a white horse. His furrowed brow, wrinkled cheeks and hunched posture indicate that he is clearly distressed. Behind him sits a hooded figure cloaked in black, his skeletal hand raised and ready to grip the unsuspecting man’s shoulder. Viewed alongside the white horse, we can interpret him as the First Horseman in the Bible, associated with war and disease. Here, the implication is clear: nerves creep up on you unexpectedly and can make you ill or even kill you if left untreated. The message is further emphasised by the striking black and white colour contrast, which plays upon cultural connotations of good versus evil, as well as the bold heading ‘Nerves’ written in a quivering font that connotes anxiety and tension. There is also a quote in Latin from Horace, written...
Figure 10. The first Horseman. *Edinburgh Evening News*, 11 November 1924.
alongside and translated in large print below as ‘Behind the Horseman sits Black Care,’ further conjuring up an allegorical description of worry. The text also associates anxiety with the supernatural rather than medical realm, describing it as a ‘haunted feeling’ and encouraging readers to ‘shake off the spectre of nerves’ by taking Virol & Milk. Any attempt at medical explanations are heavily pseudoscientific and appeal to consumer emotions, personifying nerves as ‘starved,’ ‘exhausted,’ ‘thrown out of gear’ and ‘crying out’ for a ‘special form of nutriment.’ This metaphorical, emotive and pseudoscientific language is a common feature of both nerve food and radium-based food advertisements, used to strategically manipulate consumers’ feelings and lead them to act by purchasing the product. While the Virol & Milk advertisement ends by informing consumers that ‘Forty million prescribed portions of Virol were given last year in Hospitals and Clinics,’ this information is vague and not grounded in any evidence. Nonetheless, the sheer quantity of portions and their association with medical institutions is likely to build consumer faith in the product. In their studies of patent medicines, Loeb and Jones found similar claims about the number of doctors who recommended certain products. They argue that these claims were ethically problematic and risked damaging doctors’ reputations because they duped consumers into believing that unorthodox treatments were endorsed by the medical profession.

Other images depict the realities of life for men and women rather than rely on metaphorical imagery. Slice-of-life presentations are common in advertisements from this period, used to show potential consumers how well a product fits into the needs of each family member. Virol & Milk advertisements often show men in suits sitting at their desks surrounded by papers with their head in their hands or pacing their offices nervously with their hands interlocked behind their backs (Figure 11). These images fit with the growing belief that work pressure and the hectic pace of modern life had a direct impact on emotional strain and physical health, particularly for men, and regularly reoccur across advertisements for nerve food and radium-based food. Here, anxiety is described as ‘the curse of modern life’ and men are warned that their ‘viral current of nervous energy is failing’ and not to ‘wait until the tension gets too strong and something snaps’ before they act. This terminology is in keeping with the medical expertise of the time, which saw nerves as wires that suffered electric impulse malfunctions. Men are also frequently warned ‘You cannot afford a breakdown!’ placing the onus on them for their mental health and suggesting that it is their own fault if they do not take precautionary measures by consuming Virol & Milk, which ‘has the effect of a holiday.’ Health as a personal responsibility to avoid letting down one’s family and one’s nation is a frequent theme of early twentieth-century food advertisements, emphasising that a fit body is an ‘obligation of citizenship’ and essential for Britain’s military prowess, economic success and social harmony.

In contrast, women are portrayed in typically middle-class homes, seated in armchairs and looking distressed as their children run around, scream and bang drums. Captions state ‘When the children get on your nerves’ or ‘Do people blame you for your nerves?’, while descriptions use value-laden language to emphasise the problems of anxiety: ‘every noise stabs through your head,’ ‘racked with nagging pain,’ ‘it is a despairing condition.’ In the early twentieth century, there was a growing fear that middle-class women suffered from ‘suburban neurosis,’ caused by moving to the suburbs and experiencing social isolation as their husbands worked all day. Typical sufferers were described as in their late twenties.
to early thirties with bob hairstyles and ‘cleanly dressed but lacking any sense of zest or glamour’. Virol & Milk clearly tap into these depictions of women in their advertisements, following a pattern established by the advertisements of other nerve food and radium-based food brands of the period. Women are informed that ‘when everything else has failed,’ Virol & Milk will get ‘right to the root’ of nervous trouble and supply ‘exactly the balanced nutriment’ that nerves crave. Similar phrases can be found in advertisements for radium-based foods and protein-enhanced foods, which give the sense that the quantities are scientifically measured. This, therefore, builds credibility that Virol & Milk is a medically approved product, even though it is not.

Through their advertisements, Virol & Milk also promote the belief that 11:00am is the opportune time to take their product. Just as with Horlicks and the invented condition of night starvation, this was based on no scientific evidence, yet it invested the product with a sense of medical authority, suggesting that its consumption at this time would cure anxiety. Similar techniques can be seen in advertisements for Mackintosh’s toffee, which manufacturers claim is an ‘ample meal replacement’ and Plasmon protein food, which supposedly ‘cures the milk danger’ and helps consumers ‘stay cool’ in summer and ‘keep warm’ in winter. This is clearly shown in Figure 12, where the bold ‘XI’ in the heading is tilted at the angle it would be found on a clockface, visually connoting advice for potential consumers in a user-friendly format. The clock
Figure 12. 11am. Sheffield Independent, 27 April 1927.
hand pointing at XI also serves as an arrow, highlighting the importance of the message,128 which is further accentuated by the declarative ‘this is the hour’ below. The body of text informs consumers that if they ‘don’t wish to feel exhausted’ when their day’s work is done, they must take Virol & Milk at 11:00am. Again, no reason is given for this, but the claim that doing so will ‘renew your vitality and nervous energy and drive away depression’ is likely enough to encourage anxiety sufferers to try it. The image of hot water being poured from a kettle evokes a sense of familiarity and homeliness, while the rising cloud of steam envelops the text and further draws attention to its message. Furthermore, the description of Virol & Milk as a ‘golden powder’ gives it an air of mystery, playing upon associations with opulence and luxury to promote it as an exclusive elixir. Many ‘new’ foods of the early twentieth century were powder based (e.g. Emprote, Plasmon, Chymol) and did not look particularly appetising; therefore, such descriptions were commonplace in advertisements to ascribe them quasi-magical powers and frame them as wonder foods.129

Conclusion

In this paper, I have conducted a case study of the marketing of Virol, using a dataset of newspaper advertisements (1900–1940) to explore how linguistic and semiotic resources were used to embed the brand in discourses of scientific knowledge and development, and how these discourses were made to appear true and credible. The analysis has shown that Virol, Virolax and Virol & Milk essentially all contained the same ingredients, yet through targeted marketing campaigns, the brand established distinct consumer bases framed around three major health concerns: malnutrition, constipation and anxiety. Cutting-edge new theories – from auto-intoxication to suburban neurosis – were delivered in palatable formats to consumers through testimonies, buzzwords, direct address, rhetorical questions, emotive language, photographs, infographics, metaphorical images and slice-of-life presentations, as well as the cultural connotations of typography, colour and layout. While these resources created an illusion of scientific rationality, the evidence, studies or authority figures behind their findings were often obscured or never explicitly specified, thus leaving consumers to ‘connect the dots’ about the products’ benefits with their own limited understandings.

Furthermore, as women (the household shoppers) were the key target of these advertisements, these resources also served an ideological purpose, constructing notions of scientific motherhood (or wifehood, in the case of Virol & Milk) that framed women as responsible for keeping their families healthy, yet at the same time, incapable of this responsibility without the intervention of experts. In this way, women were given a false sense of control over their households, as scientific advice filtered into and gradually replaced their traditional advice networks. As it was impossible for homemakers to have command of all the information to buy intelligently, mass advertising, thus, became a key way to educate them on what foods were necessary to maintain a healthy lifestyle, even if this education had ulterior motives and was not strictly accurate. Therefore, through the excitement around scientific advances, Virol was able to create an artificial demand for its products amongst middle-class women when, ironically, it was the working classes who were more likely to suffer from malnutrition and constipation in particular and would have benefitted enormously from them.
In its canny marketing practices, Virol was simply following in the footsteps of other brands that had emerged in the late nineteenth century and capitalised upon the growing public interest in science to frame themselves as innovative and essential for maintaining health. As asserted throughout this paper, Virol’s strategies bear strong parallels with the marketing of radium products, pure foods, nerve foods, vitamins and fruit and vegetables in the same time period, as well as changing strategies to food marketing in response to the Spanish Flu pandemic. Virol’s practices also bear striking similarities to neoliberalist rhetoric in contemporary marketing and consumer behaviour, which encourages citizens to take responsibility for their own health to limit the burden they might place upon society. Like today, Virol was successful in using nutrient claims — although often unsupported or unverified by credible sources — to position its products as necessary for a healthy lifestyle and link them to broader societal concerns around motherhood, civic responsibility and national pride. These findings, thus, indicate the importance of adopting a historical perspective to the study of food marketing to embed seemingly novel strategies in a broader trajectory of patterned practices and use. It also demonstrates the importance of engaging with historical perspectives when developing policies around food advertising and marketing. Reconstructing the roots of seemingly contemporary problems and restricting policies that develop from distorted renderings or misunderstandings of scientific and medical discourse can prevent consumers from being manipulated by the power of semiotics and misled into purchasing products that offer no real additional benefits to their health. Ultimately, it could stop them being blinded by science.
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