First Detection and Thermal Characterization of Terminator CO₂ Ice Clouds With ExoMars/NOMAD
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Abstract We present observations of terminator CO₂ ice clouds events in three groups: Equatorial dawn, Equatorial dusk (both between 20°S and 20°N) and Southern midlatitudes at dawn (45°S and 55°S east of Hellas Basin) with ESA ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter’s Nadir and Occultation for MARs Discovery instrument. CO₂ ice abundance is retrieved simultaneously with water ice, dust, and particle sizes, and rotational temperature and CO₂ column profiles in 16 of 26 cases. Small particles (<0.5 μm) prevail at dusk, while water ice likely provides most source nuclei at dawn. Clouds east of Hellas are found to be dominantly nucleated on surface-lifted dust. CO₂ ice is sometimes detected in unsaturated air together with dust nuclei at dawn, suggesting ongoing sublimation. Depending on latitude and local time, the interplay between particle precipitation and the lifetime of temperature minima (i.e., cold pockets) determines CO₂ ice properties.

Plain Language Summary The upper atmosphere of Mars is characterized by the seasonal presence of CO₂ ice clouds. Their properties have been long studied, as well as their formation mechanisms in relation to the thermal structure of the atmosphere and its variability. In this study, we present the first observations of these clouds at the terminator (dawn and dusk) by the NOMAD spectrometer onboard the Exomars Trace Gas Orbiter. CO₂ ice is detected simultaneously with dust, water ice and the temperature profile. Our results agree with previous findings in terms of how clouds are spatially distributed and their temporal occurrence. However, we also explore the sources of condensation nuclei for CO₂ ice particles, showing that water ice is a possible source at dawn near the Equator. We also identify surface-lifted dust below the CO₂ ice clouds observed east of Hellas Basin, suggesting that, at that location, dust could provide nuclei for CO₂ ice. CO₂ ice is also sometimes detected at temperatures higher than the CO₂ freezing point, suggesting ongoing sublimation. In this work we explore for the first time the composition of CO₂ ice clouds, which is critical to advance our understanding of how CO₂ ice clouds form in the mesosphere at Mars.

1. Introduction

CO₂ ice clouds are unique features of Mars’ atmosphere, occurring often around aphelion and in polar nights. Conditions for CO₂ condensation were first observed during the Pathfinder descent (Schofield et al., 1997) around 80 km, and CO₂ ice cloud formation has been discussed in multiple works. Clancy and Sandor (1998) and Magalhães et al. (1999) showed that CO₂ can condense in supersaturated air pockets formed by constructive interference of thermal tides and/or gravity waves. González-Galindo et al. (2011) determined that mesospheric CO₂ ice clouds form in temperature minima due to atmospheric thermal tides, even where the temperature is not low enough to cause condensation. Wherever gravity waves do
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not destructively interfere and can propagate upward into the mesosphere, CO₂ is likely to condense (Spiga et al., 2012). Simulations with temperature profiles that take these dynamics into account (Listowski et al., 2014) produced mesospheric CO₂ ice clouds that are consistent with the observed locations and times.

CO₂ mesospheric clouds have been characterized with several space-based observations, as they are effective tracers of thermal tides and gravity wave propagation in the upper atmosphere. The first detection was claimed using Mariner and Pathfinder descent data (Clancy & Sandor, 1998) arguing that such clouds would form in the low latitude mesosphere with tidal- and gravity wave-induced temperature minima, but subsequently the observation of diffuse CO₂ fluorescence questioned the Mariner discovery (Piccialli et al., 2016). The first systematic study of their distribution was performed with MGS-TES data and MOC limb data (Clancy et al., 2004, 2007) over the course of Mars Years (MY) 24–26 at specific local times (13–15 hr). These results showed that mesospheric clouds occur at altitudes of 70 km, latitude 10°S to 10°N and longitude 20°E to 20°W, mostly at $L_z = 20–35^\circ$ and 145–160°. The first nighttime CO₂ ice clouds were observed at altitudes 80–110 km, at low to mid $S$ latitudes and $L_z = 134–137^\circ$ using UV stellar occultations by SPICAM on board Mars Express (MEx) (Montmessin et al., 2006). This is also the first case of simultaneous identification of CO₂ ice absorption and atmospheric temperature (also in Jiang et al., 2019), which unambiguously classified the observed absorption as CO₂ ice as opposed to water ice.

A global view of mesospheric CO₂ ice daytime clouds was provided by the MEx-OMEGA, and the CRISM onboard the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) (Clancy et al., 2019; Määttänen et al., 2010; Montmessin et al., 2007; Vincendon et al., 2011). In MY 27–29, 60 occurrences of daytime mesospheric CO₂ clouds were found in OMEGA data (Määttänen et al., 2010), from $L_s = 0–120^\circ$, with an interruption at $L_s = 60–90^\circ$. Most of the observations occurred at low latitudes and altitudes 60–85 km, with only three exceptions at southern mid-latitudes ($−45$ to $−49^\circ$S) at lower altitudes (53–62 km). CO₂ ice clouds have been detected in specific longitudinal corridors (Spiga et al., 2012) around Sinus Meridiani and Valles Marineris, suggesting that diurnal thermal tides play a role in CO₂ ice formation.

The spatial and temporal distributions of CO₂ cloud detections by OMEGA and CRISM were confirmed by an independent analysis using PFS-MEx (Aoki et al., 2018), which showed 111 CO₂ clouds detections in MY 27–32. The high spectral resolution of PFS allowed to test the accuracy of different sets of refractive indices to reproduce CO₂ ice extinction (Warren, 1986; Wood & Roux, 1982) at 4.2 μm. This investigation indicated the need to further improve the characterization of CO₂ ice optical properties and their dependencies on particle shape, which varies with temperature. Particle sizes derived with PFS and OMEGA fell in the range 1–3 μm, with an average radius of 2.2 μm. The study by Clancy et al. (2019) showed unique vertical and spatial distributions of particle size and new diagnostic information on size distribution variances, particularly the very narrow distribution associated with iridescence in many CO₂ clouds, and particles as small as 0.3 μm in daytime. Unexpectedly much larger particles (up to 7 μm) occurred at night, suggesting that high-altitude atmospheric updrafts could be strong enough to counteract the fast gravitational precipitation (>10 m/s) of particles (Montmessin et al., 2007).

Observations of CO₂ ice clouds at the terminator should place important constraints on existing working hypotheses about their formation and lifetime: the current understanding comes primarily from measurements taken at two local times, centered around 2–3 a.m./p.m., with limited evidence of their properties at other local times. Some useful observations have been provided by Stevens et al. (2017), where 161 mesospheric clouds were observed at 5–11 a.m. by the Mars Atmosphere and Volatile Evolution (MAVEN) IUVS spectograph during MY33. Many of these clouds were located at tangent altitudes 60–80 km near $−110^\circ E$, $−10^\circ E$ and 90°E near the Equator. Although these measurements could not distinguish water ice from CO₂ ice, IUVS data showed a coupling between upper atmospheric temperature oscillations due to thermal tides enabling the formation of mesospheric clouds.

This work explores the connection between mesospheric CO₂ ice clouds, temperature, dust, and water ice at the terminator. Although no daily contiguous latitudinal coverage can be obtained (Neefs et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2016), NOMAD data have contributed to build a global view of aerosols (Liuzzi et al., 2020), and here are used to map CO₂ ice clouds simultaneously with dust, water ice, their particle sizes, as well as to estimate the vertical temperature profile to identify CO₂ supersaturation. This latter aspect is relevant to understanding whether the formation of cold air pockets occurs at locations differing from previous
measurements, to inform the role of thermal tides and gravity waves (e.g., Yiğit et al. (2015)), whether CO$_2$ ice is detected coincidentally with thermal minima, and to formulate hypotheses about CO$_2$ ice lifetime in the atmosphere.

2. Data, Methods, and Uncertainties

NOMAD is part of the payload of ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter 2016, an ESA/ROSCOSMOS mission to Mars. In this work we use the data from the Solar Occultation channel of NOMAD, SO, whose science observations started in April 2018. This operates in the IR (2.2–4.3 μm, 2325-4500 cm$^{-1}$) with a spectral resolving power close to 20,000. SO consists of an echelle grating in a Littrow configuration combined with an Acousto-Optic Tunable Filter (AOTF) that selects the diffraction orders of interest. Each measurement consists of a cycle of five or six diffraction orders, chosen to include absorption by gases/organics of interest. As SO is pointed toward the Sun, it observes the solar radiation attenuated by the atmosphere at increasing/decreasing altitudes (dawn/dusk). Each measurement has vertical sampling of 0.6–1.5 km, depending on the angle between surface and line of sight. More details are reported in Liuzzi et al. (2019), Vandaele et al. (2018) and in the Supporting Information S1.

The foundations of the aerosols retrieval methods for SO data are extensively described in Liuzzi et al. (2020). This work slightly adapts these methods to identify CO$_2$ ice and its specific features. The data used in this study correspond to the level 1.0a of the data provided by the NOMAD PI institute (nomad.aeronomie.be) between Feb/2019 ($L_S = 340^\circ$ MY 34) and Nov/2020 ($L_S = 325^\circ$ MY 35). For each altitude and diffraction order, the data contain the derived atmospheric transmittances from the surface up to the top of atmosphere, used to compute a broadband spectrum by aggregating all the measured orders at each altitude. As demonstrated in Liuzzi et al. (2020) SO data are sensitive to water ice, dust abundances, and to their particle sizes, especially for small water ice particles, while the information content is limited for dust (e.g., Smith et al., 2013).

Because of the similarity between the broadband extinction properties of dust and CO$_2$ ice the latter would be initially detected as dust using the methods developed so far. This is particularly true around the aphelion, when high altitude dust rarely occurs, except for rocket dust storms in regions with enhanced gradients in the topography (Spiga et al., 2013). Therefore, data need additional processing to isolate genuine CO$_2$ ice detections from dust. In this work this is done both spectrally and by deriving an atmospheric temperature proxy to verify whether it is below the CO$_2$ freezing point. These two supplementary analyses are performed for any observation where “dust” is detected at $L_S = 320-150^\circ$, and altitudes >30 km.

The spectral indicator of CO$_2$ ice is given by characteristic CO$_2$ ice features (the $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ combination bands, respectively centered at 3600 and 3710 cm$^{-1}$). These features allow to unambiguously distinguish CO$_2$ ice from dust, and is automatically detected in the spectra when its amplitude exceeds 0.005 over the continuum, with possible false positives that are excluded by visual inspection. The second indicator is provided by atmospheric temperature: consistently with the scheme to retrieve aerosols abundance, we estimate simultaneously the rotational temperature and CO$_2$ column density along the line of sight using spectra acquired in orders 147 to 149 (3300-3375 cm$^{-1}$) in the occultations of interest. Each observation is considered independent of the others, similarly to previous works (e.g., Mahieux et al., 2015). Retrievals are performed using the Planetary Spectrum Generator (Villanueva et al., 2018) where the retrieval module is based on Optimal Estimation (OE, Liuzzi et al., 2016; Rodgers, 2000). A-priori information about temperature and CO$_2$ density is provided by the GEM-Mars model (Neary & Daerden, 2018). Also, since T and CO$_2$ retrievals are performed only when orders 147 to 149 are observed, CO$_2$ ice abundance is always reported relative to the density profile as in GEM and not to the retrieved ones, as they are not always available.

An example to showcase the retrieval of CO$_2$ ice clouds with SO is presented in Figure 1. The analysis of high-resolution radiances is used to confirm that the observed absorption above 40–42 km is due to CO$_2$ ice. The $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ feature is propagated from order 164 (where it is originally located) to order 169 by the AOTF (Liuzzi et al., 2019), and is visible at many altitudes up to 61 km. Further proof of the detection is provided by the rotational temperature proxy, which drops well below the CO$_2$ freezing point (Sánchez-Lavega et al., 2015) in coincidence with CO$_2$ ice.
Figure 1. Detection of CO₂ ice during a NOMAD occultation (2019/07/15, 16:35 UTC, Lat 44.7 °S, Lon 146.3 °E, LS = 53.1°). (a) Continuum transmittance in each order (identified by the vertical lines). (b) Retrieved profiles of water ice (blue), CO₂ ice (black) and dust (orange), and their particle size; (c) derived rotational temperature proxy. (d) Spectra of order 169 at different altitudes (red: fit; black: data). The vertical lines indicate the position where to expect the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ feature (not included in the fit, except for h2).
The statistical uncertainties derived by the OE on CO₂ ice, dust and water ice opacity are 10%–20%, and are driven by the uncertainty on the broadband transmittances and their fluctuations due to the AOTF function and continuum (Liuzzi et al., 2020). The uncertainty on the particle sizes is usually large for CO₂ ice and dust (>30%). In most cases, basic distinctions can only be drawn between small (<0.5, <1 μm) and large particles (>1 μm). The uncertainty on water ice particle size is smaller because of the strong dependence of spectral shape on particle size: it usually is 0.2–0.4 μm for particles <2 μm, and >0.5 μm for particles >2 μm.

The uncertainties on retrieved temperatures are usually 5–30 K (Mahieux et al., 2015), depending upon the opacity level. However, it must be noted that the retrieved temperature is only a proxy of the local temperature at the tangent height and is not directly obtained by considering hydrostatic equilibrium. Therefore, other effects such as sharp temperature gradients above the tangent height may yield differences between the local temperature and the measured one. The retrieved uncertainty on temperature is smaller than the difference between water and CO₂ frost points, which ranges from 50 to 70 K in average Martian conditions (Sánchez-Lavega et al., 2004), allowing to avoid issues in interpreting the nature of the observed clouds. Retrieval of CO₂ column, which is independent of the temperature are affected by a 5%–20% uncertainty, and are particularly challenging at lower altitudes, where subtleties in the modeling of saturated lines can complicate the estimation of the CO₂ column.

Finally, the retrieved altitude of aerosols is itself affected by an uncertainty, as they could be located higher than the tangent altitude along the line of sight, because occultations are not purely 1-D and can span several degrees in latitude-longitude. This uncertainty can be quantified in 5–10 km and is considered when the correlation between aerosols and temperature is analyzed. Nevertheless, the quantities derived from SO observations have very similar vertical sensitivity, allowing to draw connections between aerosols, their properties, and the temperature proxy. More details on retrievals are given in the Supporting Information S1.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Spatial and Temporal Distribution

The sparse nature of TGO measurements—related to its orbital constraints—implies that the detections presented here are not exhaustive of the actual variability of CO₂ ice properties at Mars’ terminator. However, SO detections permit comparison with previous results, mostly descriptive of the daytime cloud formation dynamics, and to identify peculiarities of CO₂ ice terminator clouds. Figure 2 shows a summary of the 26 detections and a comparison with some of the most extensive previously published CO₂ clouds databases (Aoki et al., 2018; Stevens et al., 2017; Vincendon et al., 2011). It also shows the frequency of CO₂ ice detections over the total number of observations in the regions of interest identified based on previous works. The location of the SO detections is mostly consistent with daytime clouds, where most of them are located above the Tharsis region (50-120 °W), and in the equatorial region where steep surface elevation gradients occur. Observations confirm that the period of maximum cloud formation activity at the Equator is centered at $L_s = 20°$ (Vincendon et al., 2011). One notable exception is an SO detection of a dawn cloud in MY 35 at $L_s = 320°$ and 52 °N, which is the earliest and northernmost mesospheric CO₂ ice cloud ever detected.

SO detections are vertically resolved, while the altitude of clouds detected in Nadir can be inferred only indirectly by the temperature profile, when available. This is the case of the results in Aoki et al., (2018), where co-located temperature profiles from PFS/MEx suggest that daytime equatorial clouds form at altitudes above 50 km; similar values are reported using independent observations by CRISM (Clancy et al., 2019). SO detections suggest that the altitude of CO₂ ice at the terminator varies, and that while the average altitude of CO₂ ice clouds at the equator is 50–70 km, they are observed at ~40 km around $L_s = 120°$.

The physical driver of the CO₂ ice cloud variability can be investigated by contextualizing these observations with the simultaneously retrieved dust, water ice, and CO₂ ice particle size profiles. With some exceptions, SO data show the same dichotomy seen in Clancy et al., (2019), where particle radii are <1 μm for dusk clouds, and larger than 2 μm at dawn with sizes up to 5 μm (full list in Supporting Information S1). Overall, CO₂ ice clouds properties vary with location and local time, and the CO₂ ice clouds in this work can be divided in three different groups: (a) Equatorial dusk clouds; (b) Equatorial dawn clouds; (c) “Hellas” dawn clouds.
3.2. Equatorial Clouds

Figures 3a-3c show the cases where CO$_2$ ice was detected with other aerosols and temperature, and figures 3d–3f show the distribution of retrieved particle size against their retrieved number density for the Equatorial and Hellas clouds. Despite SO capability to detect CO$_2$ ice, in cases where dust and CO$_2$ ice are present together, SO data cannot unambiguously identify the mixing ratio of both: SO results show only where CO$_2$ ice is detected, noting that part of the retrieved CO$_2$ ice may actually be dust, and that the CO$_2$ ice abundances reported herein constitute an upper limit to the actual abundances. To represent the rotational temperature proxy (see Supporting Information S1) and CO$_2$ partial pressure profiles cohesively, the aerosol profiles are shown with a derived CO$_2$ saturation ratio, $S$ (Kasting, 1991; Noguchi et al., 2014). By definition, when $S = 1.0$, the temperature is the CO$_2$ condensation temperature at a given pressure (Sánchez-Lavega et al., 2004); however, past modeling efforts have shown that the critical $S$ for the heterogeneous nucleation probability to be unity (i.e., all nuclei are activated within $10^{-3}$ s) is 1.32–1.35 for CO$_2$ (Glandorf et al., 2002; Määttänen et al., 2005). Assuming an uncertainty of 5 K on a retrieved temperature that yields $S = 1$, the confidence interval of $S$ is 0.2–4.6, enabling some considerations on CO$_2$ ice nucleation for values outside this range.

The equatorial clouds detected by SO are consistent with previous studies (Clancy et al., 2019; Määttänen et al., 2010), that report particle size >1.0 μm in daytime in the primary cloud region, and smaller particles at its margins. Here particles <1.0 μm are often seen, and the particle size characterization is affected by uncertainties of 0.1–0.3 μm. In most cases, particle sizes slightly decrease with altitude, consistently with sedimentation of larger particles, and clouds' vertical extension varies between 15 and 27 km at dusk, a factor of 1.5–3 larger than dawn. SO retrievals indicate that larger CO$_2$ ice particles occur in the same cases where water ice is more abundant at the same altitudes. For example, this is the case at $L_s = 120.2^\circ$ and $121.6^\circ$ at dusk, where large (>2.0 μm) water ice particles are observed at altitudes up to 65 km at 80–90 W, and at the same altitude as CO$_2$ ice. This is when cloud formation in the Aphelion Cloud Belt (ACB)
is expected to be the most active (e.g., Smith, 2004; Wolff et al., 2019). Previous works (e.g., McCleese et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2013) and current modeling by the Mars Climate Database (Millour et al., 2015) show that water ice is confined mostly below 50 km. These two cases show that sporadic lifting of water ice particles above the ACB cloud top can occur, and previous studies envisaged a similar evolution of the ACB behavior after $L_s = 120^\circ$ (Clancy et al., 1996). Often, surface-lifted dust is detected at the bottom of CO$_2$ ice clouds, suggesting that dust, and occasionally water ice crystals, may be sources of nuclei for CO$_2$ ice, in agreement with the interpretation of heterogeneous over homogeneous nucleation (Määttänen et al., 2005).

**Figure 3.** (a) Summary of the detections in the Equatorial region at dusk, dawn (b) and in the Hellas region at dawn (c). The plots report only the cases in which temperature (and CO$_2$ saturation ratio, contours) is retrieved together with the properties of dust (orange dots), CO$_2$ ice (white) and water ice (blue). The bottom plots report the particle size versus number density from all the Equator clouds, dusk (d), dawn (e) and Hellas (f), compared to reference constant-mass lines.
but in disagreement with previous observations of iridescence in CO$_2$ ice clouds (e.g., Clancy et al., 2019). Based on the estimated particle sizes and abundances (Figure 3d), the number density of CO$_2$ ice particles is in the interval 0.01–2 cm$^{-3}$, consistent with previous works (e.g., Figure 18 in Clancy et al., 2019). However, the numerous caveats in the separation of different aerosols in SO data prevents from conclusively proving this point.

Dawn observations suggest a different scenario, where water ice particles may be the dominant condensation nuclei for CO$_2$. The two dawn cases are characterized by lower dust content, possibly due to diurnal variability in dust activity (Wolkenberg & Giuranna, 2021), while water ice is present from the lower atmosphere up to the same altitude as CO$_2$ ice. As seen in previous works (e.g., Liuzzi et al., 2020), generally water ice particle size decreases with altitude; here we see that small (<1.0 μm) ice particles are mixed with CO$_2$ ice, suggesting the former may act as condensation nuclei for the latter. At the altitudes where CO$_2$ ice is present, the density of water ice nuclei is 0.1–10 cm$^{-3}$, far larger than the CO$_2$ ice particle density (0.001–0.2 cm$^{-3}$); moreover, Figure 3e shows that the trend of the number density of smaller water ice nuclei is inconsistent with the constant-mass line of larger particles. Both considerations support the inference that part of the available water ice mass is trapped in CO$_2$ ice.

In most equatorial dusk cases (and in both the dawn observations) the highest CO$_2$ ice opacity is detected at or immediately below the altitude of CO$_2$ supersaturation, which could be interpreted as cloud formation being active at the time of those observations. The derived S peaks between 5 and >500 for dusk cases, and between 50 and 100 for dawn cases; the high S in some dusk cases is in agreement with the small particle size (and nuclei) observed, which requires high S to efficiently nucleate (Listowski et al., 2014). Aside from one case ($L_\theta = 120.2^\circ$), the observation of CO$_2$ ice only in supersaturated regions seems consistent with the hypothesis that CO$_2$ ice sublimation is controlled by the cold pocket itself, rather than by the sedimentation of CO$_2$ ice out of the cold pocket where it forms (Listowski et al., 2014). SO observations do not disprove the idea that the observed cold pockets and CO$_2$ ice clouds (high S) may be the result of gravity wave activity, which at aphelion is mostly confined between 20 °S and 20 °N (Creasey et al., 2006).

### 3.3. Hellas Clouds at Dawn

CO$_2$ ice clouds in the Hellas region have been rarely reported in daytime observations. Ice clouds were first reported by MCS limb observations at 3 p.m. in band A6 (Sefton-Nash et al., 2013) and at 5–11 a.m. by IUVS (Stevens et al., 2017). Out of more than 20 SO occultations at dawn and dusk east of Hellas Basin at $L_\phi = 0–140^\circ$, six of such clouds were detected in three temporal instances ($L_\phi = 53^\circ$, 103°, 121°), and the first occurrence coincides geographically with one of the IUVS detections. These clouds are characterized by large CO$_2$ ice crystals (1–3 μm) between 40 and 60 km altitude, making them among the lowest CO$_2$ ice clouds observed (e.g., Määttänen et al., 2010). Yet it should be noted that a direct comparison with IUVS results is not possible, as IUVS altitudes were reported only when >60 km because of observational constraints. Dust is present from the surface to the bottom of the zone where CO$_2$ ice is seen, often with a vertical structure characterized by multiple layers, while water ice is scarce, if present at all. In all cases, the strongest constraint to identify the lowest altitude where CO$_2$ ice is located is the presence of the $\nu_3 + \nu_1$ feature in the data, which distinguishes dust from CO$_2$ ice. The continuity between the vertical distributions of CO$_2$ ice and dust suggests that the surface-lifted dust may provide most of the condensation nuclei for these clouds. The three instances where these clouds are seen may correspond to regional lifting of dust from Hellas Basin, seen also by MGS in Southern Winter (e.g., NASA/JPL/MSSS, 2001), and may fuel the formation of CO$_2$ ice clouds when sufficiently cold pockets occur in the mesosphere.

There are two explanations for these SO observations: either they show (a) ongoing sublimation, with CO$_2$ ice particles falling from the altitude where they formed, sublimating, and leaving dust nuclei visible; or (b) ongoing condensation, with dust being transported upwards and CO$_2$ condensing. The S inferred from the temperature proxy seems to favor hypothesis (a), as CO$_2$ ice appears in unsaturated air, indicating that ice could form before dawn in cold pockets that dissipate as the Sun rises. While providing a quantification of the lifetime of these clouds is beyond the scope of this work, we notice that the typical lifetime of CO$_2$ ice in unsaturated air is short (10–30 min, Listowski et al. (2014)). Precipitation from the formation zone may also play a role in their sublimation, as in the upper mesosphere micron-sized particles can precipitate by
several kilometers in minutes (Colaprete & Toon, 2003; Glandorf et al., 2002). In one profile (Lg = 102.8°) this argument appears to be true, with CO₂ ice particles increasing in radius as altitude decreases, even with thermal conditions far from saturation.

Despite the generally large particle size, Hellas dawn CO₂ ice particle number density is comparable to the Equatorial clouds, between 0.005-1 cm⁻³ (Figure 3f). If dust nuclei size are comparable to that of the CO₂ ice, this would not require very high S (Glandorf et al., 2002), consistent with SO observations. Nevertheless, high values of S (>500) are locally observed in the Lg = 53.1° case, where the CO₂ ice abundance could be as high as 4 × 10⁻³ kg/kg. Considering the lack of continuous temporal sampling and the sporadic frequency of SO detections of CO₂ ice clouds, these cases cannot be used to definitively distinguish whether the source of high-altitude nuclei are more widely available than surface-lifted dust or water ice, such as meteoric smoke (Clancy et al., 2019; Crismani et al., 2017; Hartwick et al., 2019; Plane et al., 2018) or the source of high-altitude nuclei are more widely available than surface-lifted dust or water ice, such as meteoric smoke. More modeling studies and follow-up observations with TGO of these high-altitude clouds in connection with local aerosol lifting, gravity waves and thermal tides will be needed to provide more insights into their lifetime and frequency.

Data Availability Statement

The retrieval package used in this study is the Planetary Spectrum Generator, free and available online at https://psg.gsfc.nasa.gov/helpatm.php#retrieval, at the PSG GitHub site: https://github.com/nasapsg/retrievalOE. The data used in this analysis are available at https://nomad.aeronomie.be/index.php/data, while the data corresponding to the figures are available on Zenodo (Liuzzi, 2021).
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