Volcanic controls on the microbial habitability of Mars-analogue hydrothermal environments
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Abstract
Due to their potential to support chemolithotrophic life, relic hydrothermal systems on Mars are a key target for astrobiological exploration. We analysed water and sediments at six geothermal pools from the rhyolitic Kerlingarfjöll and basaltic Kverkfjöll volcanoes in Iceland, to investigate the localised controls on the habitability of these systems in terms of microbial community function. Our results show that host lithology plays a minor role in pool geochemistry and authigenic mineralogy, with the system geochemistry primarily controlled by deep volcanic processes. We find that by dictating pool water pH and redox conditions, deep volcanic processes are the primary control on microbial community structure and function, with water input from the proximal glacier acting as a secondary control by regulating pool temperatures. Kerlingarfjöll pools have reduced, circum-neutral CO₂-rich waters with authigenic calcite-, pyrite- and kaolinite-bearing sediments. The dominant metabolisms inferred from community profiles obtained by 16S rRNA gene sequencing are methanogenesis, respiration of sulphate and sulphur (S⁰) oxidation. In contrast, Kverkfjöll pools have oxidised, acidic (pH < 3) waters with high concentrations of SO₄²⁻ and high argillic alteration, resulting in Al-phyllosilicate-rich sediments. The prevailing metabolisms here are iron oxidation, sulphur oxidation and nitrification. Where analogous ice-fed hydrothermal systems existed on early Mars, similar volcanic processes would likely have controlled localised metabolic potential and thus habitability. Moreover, such systems offer several habitability advantages, including a localised source of metabolic redox pairs for chemolithotrophic microorganisms and accessible trace metals. Similar pools could have provided transient environments for life on Mars; when paired with surface or near-surface ice, these habitability niches could have persisted into the Amazonian. Additionally, they offer a confined site for biosignature formation and deposition that lends itself well to in situ robotic exploration.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The Noachian period of Martian history (~4.1 to 3.7 Ga) was characterised by widespread volcanism and impact bombardment (Phillips et al., 2001; Segura et al., 2002). These events triggered localised hydrothermal activity within the Martian crust through interaction with Mars’ hydro- or cryosphere, providing transient surface and subsurface heat, liquid water and geochemical energy (Schulze-Makuch et al., 2007). The combination of these factors could have provided localised habitats for chemoautotrophic microorganisms (Cockell & Lee, 2002; Osinski et al., 2013).

Likewise, within either ‘warm’ or ‘cold’ climatic scenarios on Mars (Wordsworth, 2016), surface volcano–ice interaction is a relevant mechanism for habitability (Cousins & Crawford, 2011; Cousins et al., 2018). Glaciovolcanism on Mars may have occurred throughout Mars’s history, with the emplacement of lava flows and magma bodies into the planet’s cryosphere (Chapman et al., 2000; Cousins & Crawford, 2011; Head and Wilson, 2007). The habitability of hydrothermal systems that result from glaciovolcanic interactions relies on: (i) the phase change of water (from frozen to liquid) enabled by volcanism, (ii) release of gases such as CO₂, H₂S, CH₄, (iii) liberation of essential elements for life from rocks into solution, and (iv) the chemical disequilibrium produced by water–rock interaction and volcanic fluxes, all of which can be exploited by chemautotrophic organisms (Cousins & Crawford, 2011; Gaidos & Marion, 2003). Glaciers or ground ice deposits paired with volcanic activity could also have provided transient environments for life that persisted into the Amazonian (~3 to 1.1 Ga) (e.g. Scanlon et al., 2014), expanding the temporal range for localised hydrothermal habitats on Mars. Recent direct evidence for such glacier-related hydrothermal systems has been described at Arsia Mons with orbital topographic data (Scanlon et al., 2014, 2015) and Sisyphi Montes with orbital topographic and mineralogical data (Ackiss et al., 2018). Arsia Mons is located south of Tharsis Montes and presents fan-shaped deposits associated with subglacially erupted volcanic edifices (Scanlon et al., 2014, 2015). Some of the morphological evidence indicates wet-based glacial processes, involving the glacier melting at the base due to the heat transfer from the volcano, with ice sliding and subglacial water creating outflow channels (Scanlon et al., 2014, 2015). Sisyphi Montes is a group of volcanic edifices located in a high-latitude region on Mars, the Sisyphi Planum (Tanaka & Scott, 1987). The Sisyphi Montes volcanoes are interpreted to have erupted subglacially, as they present flat top edifices typical of subglacial volcanism (Ackiss et al., 2019). Furthermore, mineralogical assemblages detected (palagonite, smectites, gypsum, sulphates) reveal they were formed in subglacial hydrothermal conditions involving low temperature but high-water/rock ratios (Ackiss et al., 2018). Such hydrothermal systems exemplify habitable alcoves for life on Mars that could have existed throughout much of its history (Michalski et al., 2017; Van Kranendonk et al., 2018; Westall et al., 2015).

While hydrothermal environments are well-recognised as an important habitat for chemolithotrophic microbial life on Earth (Havig et al., 2011) and potentially for early Mars (Pirajno & Van Kranendonk, 2007), only one relict hydrothermal system on Mars has been studied in situ. The Home Plate deposit in Gusev Crater (Columbia Hills) is characterised by high Ti concentrations and deposits of opaline silica (opal Si) in nodular masses (Ming et al., 2008). Together, the high opal Si and Ti concentrations at Home Plate indicate intense basalt leaching, produced by contact with acidic hydrothermal waters (Squyres et al., 2008). The opaline nodule deposits further suggest formation by hydrothermal leaching of basaltic rocks (Skok et al., 2010) or precipitation of silica-sinter deposits (Ruff & Farmer, 2016; Ruff et al., 2011). Such localised and relatively small-scale systems are particularly challenging to investigate from orbit compared with deposits from other potentially habitable environments such as lakes (Hays et al., 2017). However, their small scale is an advantage for surface exploration, as any putative biosignatures are confined to syn-depositional deposits along with the geochemical context for their formation. The localised nature of surface hydrothermal environments can concentrate redox-sensitive mineralogical indicators that can record past surface environmental conditions. Given the possibility for ice-fed hydrothermal systems throughout Mars’ history, there is a strong rationale to further our knowledge of their potential as a biological habitat.

We investigated two chemically distinct ice-fed Mars-analogue hydrothermal systems in Iceland to identify the major controls on aqueous geochemistry and the implications for microbial habitability. These systems serve as useful analogues to snow and ice-fed hydrothermal habitats on Mars, such as Sisyphi Montes or Arsia Mons (Ackiss et al., 2018; Scanlon et al., 2014), and also to surface hydrothermal environments fed by meteoric water. A total of six hydrothermal pools in Iceland were used to assess: (i) controls on the dominant aqueous geochemistry in Mars-analogue ice-fed hydrothermal pools; (ii) signatures of aqueous geochemistry recorded by sediment authigenic mineralogy and (iii) implications of geochemistry for the microbial community structure and function. We found that volcanic processes act as the main control of the pool water pH and redox conditions. As a result, volcanism acts as the primary control on microbial community structure and function, whereas the water from the proximal glacier acts as a secondary control by regulating the temperature.

### Summary points

- Environment geochemistry and mineralogy in Mars-analogue hydrothermal systems are controlled by acid supply, redox and secondary mineral solubility, with lithology playing a minor role
- Deep volcanic processes and glacial meltwater input control microbial metabolic function at Mars-analogue hydrothermal environment
- Sulphur- and iron-driven redox metabolisms are dependent on local pH with implications for resulting geochemical biosignatures
2 | ICELAND ANALogue SITES

Iceland’s similarities with Mars are wide: availability of extensive mineralogical outcrops, lack of vegetation, little anthropogenic disturbance, perennial sub-zero temperatures and low levels of precipitation (Cousins, 2015). Iceland is a volcanic island, situated above a mantle plume and part of the Mid Atlantic Ridge (Gudmundsson, 2000; Sigvaldason, 1974). The majority of Iceland basalts are tholeiitic, transitioning to alkali (Jakobsson et al., 2008; Sigmarsson & Steinthórsson, 2007). Some Icelandic volcanic basalts are enriched in Fe relative to most terrestrial basalts, resembling the composition of Martian meteorites of mafic to ultramafic composition (shergottites) (Nicholson & Latin, 1992). Due to its high latitude, many of Iceland’s volcanoes were once (or still are) covered by glaciers, which lead to subglacial volcanism, drawing parallels with Martian subglacial volcanoes in Tharsis, NE Syrtis, Arisia Mons, Sisyphi Montes and elsewhere (Ackiss et al., 2018; Cassanelli & Head, 2019; Hiesinger & Head, 2004; Scanlon et al., 2014). The Sisyphi Montes glaciovolcanic hydrothermal system (where the mineralogy is dominated by gypsum, smectite-zeolite-iron, palagonite and a polyhydrated sulphate-dominated material) presents similarities to Icelandic glaciovolcanic hydrothermal systems studied by Cousins et al., (2013), who identified gypsum and jarosite, iron oxides, smectites and palagonite. The mineralogy from Arisia Mons has not been studied as a thick mantle of dust inhibits mineralogical spectroscopic measurements (Scanlon et al., 2014). Microbial communities previously investigated in these active Icelandic volcano–ice systems are dominated by microorganisms employing metabolisms such as anaerobic and microaerobic chemolithotrophic Fe reduction, sulphate reduction and sulphide oxidation (Cousins et al., 2018; Gaidos et al., 2009; Marteinsson et al., 2013).

2.1 | Kerlingarfjöll

The Kerlingarfjöll volcano (64°38’33.34”N, 19°17’44.43”W) covers an area of ~200 km², with the highest peaks (1,000-1,488 m) partially covered by the Hosfjökull glacier (Figures 1a and S1). The volcanic complex formed subglacially between 331 and 65 Ka and has a rhyolitic composition underlain by basalt (Flude et al., 2010; Grönvold, 1972). The reservoir temperatures estimated by gas thermometer calculations from fumaroles indicate Kerlingarfjöll volcanic subsurface temperatures are between 250 and 300°C within the geothermal system (Richter et al., 2010). The northern part of the complex experiences ongoing geothermal activity (Flude et al., 2010; Humlum, 1936). Our area of study is the Vestur-Hveradalir valley area. Here, meltwater from the glacier interacts with fumaroles downstream, forming a series of pools (Humlum, 1936; Figure 1b–d).

2.2 | Kverkfjöll

The Kverkfjöll volcano (64°41’22.28”N, 16°40’43.01”W) underlies the northern margin of the Vatnajökull glacier (Figures 1a and S1). Kverkfjöll eruptions date back to ~7.6 Ka (Óladóttir et al., 2011a). It rises 1,000 m above the local area and has two calderas with an associated NW-extending fissure swarm (Björnsson & Pálsson, 2008). The volcanic complex hosts a high-temperature geothermal area at the glacier margin, covering 25 km², with a surface manifestation of pools, mudpots and fumaroles (Figure 1f–h). Most of the exposed geothermal areas lie within the northern caldera (Ármannsson, 2016; Cousins et al., 2013, 2018; Ólafsson et al., 2000). Gas thermometer calculations indicate the Kverkfjöll volcanic subsurface temperature is ~300°C within the geothermal system (Ólafsson et al., 2000). Eruptive materials are tholeiitic basalts (Jakobsson et al., 2008).
including hyaloclastite, pillow lava and fine-grained tuff sequences (Óladóttir et al., 2011b). The study area, Hveratagl, is situated on the northern caldera ridge. Here, as with Kerlingarfjöll, the geothermal features investigated comprise snow/ice-fed meltwater pools interacting with the fumarolic ground (Figure 2). Previous studies in Kverkfjöll identified pools with a pH of 3–4, temperatures ranging from 10 to 20°C (Cousins et al., 2013) and alteration phases including zeolites (heulandite), sulphates (gypsum, jarosite, alunogen), crystalline Fe-oxides (goethite, hematite), smectite (montmorillonite, saponite) and ferric oxides. Similar alteration phases have also been detected at Sisyphi Montes on Mars by orbit, including gypsum, polyhydrated sulphates, smectites, zeolites and iron oxides (Ackiss et al., 2018).

3 | METHODS

3.1 | Field sampling

Water and sediment samples were collected in August 2017 from pools with either visible or absent fumarole steam input (e.g. observed active gas bubbles), capturing a range of colour variations indicative of compositional differences. Pool sizes were between 30 cm and 1.5 m in diameter. In most pools, the observed bubbles in the water resulted from volcanic gas input rather than from boiling, as the temperatures of the pools were between 20 and 60°C. Three pools were sampled within the Kerlingarfjöll Hvestur-Hveradalir valley: (i) KR-P1 (water with gas input; Figure 1b), and (ii) KR-P2 (no visible gas input; Figure 1c), both about 2 m downslope from the glacier; and (iii) KR-P3 (gas input and black sediments; Figure 1d), which was located on an contiguous slope, only a 50 m from KR-P2. Lastly, a meltwater stream was sampled at the adjacent valley, 500 m SE (KR-Bio; Figure 1e). At Kverkfjöll three pools were sampled: (i) KV-P4 (with visible gas input; Figure 1f); (ii) KV-P5 (with no gas input; Figure 1g); and (iii) KV-P6 (which had a strong red colouration and no visible gas input; Figure 1h). Snowpack samples were taken close to the pools at both Kerlingarfjöll (KR-ice) and Kverkfjöll (KV-ice) for SO$_4^{2-}$ and Cl$^-$ measurements. Sediment sample locations within the pools are shown in Figure 1; sediments were taken from up to 2–5 cm depth at the sediment–water interface to capture the authigenic alteration environment, with approximately 50 ml of wet sediment collected.

Temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured in situ using a Mettler Toledo meter (±0.02 pH error, ±1% DO), calibrated in the field. Thermal imaging was achieved using a Testo 882 thermal camera. Waters for ionic analyses from pools were filtered through 0.2 µm Surfactant-free Cellulose Acetate (SFCA) filters and subsequently stored in polypropylene 15 ml tubes at ~4°C.

Duplicates were acidified in the field with 1% HNO$_3$ and preserved for analysis of (i) dissolved major cations (Ca, Fe, Si, Al, Mg, Na, K, Pb, Zn, Cr, Mn, P) and (ii) Cl$^-$ and HCO$_3^-$ analyses. Water samples for dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ and H$_2$S were collected by filtering the water through 0.2 µm SFCA filters. The H$_2$S was fixed immediately as ZnS with 0.5% ZnCl$_2$, in 15ml tubes in the field. Samples for DNA extraction were collected in sterile 50 ml tubes, transported on ice and frozen at ~20°C immediately upon return to the laboratory until DNA extraction.

3.2 | Water chemistry and isotope composition

Cations were measured using a Prodigy7 (Teledyne-Leeman) ICP-OES. Mean values were taken from three replicate analysis per sample, and a standard was measured every 5th measurement to assess the drift of the ICP-OES. The accuracy of the results is reported with the Minimum Detection Limit (MDL) value (between 0.01 and 0.04 ppm, Table 1), which show the precision of the measurement for each element.

Anions were measured in triplicate using ion chromatography with a Metrohm 930 Compact IC Flex. Standard deviations of measurements were ±0.1% for all anions. Sulphate concentrations were measured photometrically using the methylene blue method (±2% precision with 95% confidence; Cline, 1969) with a Thermo Scientific GENESYS 10S Series Uv-Vis Spectrophotometer. Hydrogen and oxygen isotope values of water oxygen ($^{18}$O/$^{16}$O; $^{18}$O) and hydrogen ($^2$H/$^1$H; δD) were measured by cavity ringdown spectroscopy using an L2140-i Picarro interfaced with an A0211 high-precision vaporiser. Isotopic results are given as δ-values (%) for V-SMOW (Vienna-Standard Mean Ocean Water), and analytical precisions were better than 0.05 ‰ for $^{18}$O and 0.4 ‰ for δD. All analyses were conducted at the University of St Andrews, UK, except for cation analyses, which were performed at the Open University, UK.

Eh-pH diagrams were constructed in the ACT2 module of the Geochemist’s Workbench (GWBI4 Professional) software with the ‘thermo’ database (Bethke, 2011). The Eh values were calculated using the GSS module.

3.3 | Mineralogy and major element composition

Sediments were freeze-dried (~ 5 g), homogenised and ground to <150 µm to be analysed for major element composition by Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) using a Spectro XEPOS HE at the University of St Andrews. XRF analysis was carried out on glass discs prepared by fusing 0.5 g of sample with 5 g of flux (50:50 mix lithium tetraborate and lithium metaborate). For X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis of crystalline sediment components, samples were further hand ground to <5 µm in a mortar and pestle. These powders were mixed with a NIST SRM ZnO 674 b internal standard (10% ZnO by weight), loaded into a 0.7 mm diameter borosilicate glass capillary and mounted onto the powder diffraction beamline at the Australian Synchrotron (Wallwork et al., 2007). The wavelength was determined using NIST SRM LaB6 660 b to be 0.7769787 (5) Å. Data were collected using the Mythen II microstrip detector (Schmitt et al., 2003) from 1.5 to 76° in 2 theta. To cover the gaps between detector modules, two data sets, each of 5 min in duration,
were collected with the detector set 0.5° apart and these were then merged to give a single data set. Merging was performed using the in-house software PDViPER. The capillary was rotated at ~1 Hz during data collection to aid powder averaging. Mineral phases present were determined using a Panalytical high score with the ICDD PDF4+ database. Semi-quantitative phase analysis was carried out in Topas version 6 (Bruker AXS), using the internal standard method to determine relative amounts of the crystalline material.

3.4 | DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA was extracted from sediment samples using the Qiagen DNEasy PowerMax Soil Kit (Qiagen laboratories, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions, modified with the addition of 1 M phosphate buffer (adapted from Direito et al., 2012) to minimise clay adsorption of nucleic acids. To mitigate against extraction bias, duplicate extractions comprising a ‘soft’ and ‘hard’ method were conducted. For each, 1 g of sample was used for the extraction, with 4 ml of 1 M phosphate buffer added to the bead-beating tubes, and then, the mix was gently inverted twice and incubated for 30 min at 60°C before continuing with the DNA isolation protocol. For the soft extraction, the bead-beating step was replaced with a further 30-min incubation at 60°C temperature. After extraction, the DNA was concentrated from 5 ml to a final volume of 1 ml using 5 M NaCl and 100% cold ethanol, and hard and soft extractions were pooled before sequencing.

PCR amplification was used to screen for positive 16S rRNA gene products for bacteria and archaea. Each 50 μl PCR reaction contained 25 μl of REDTaq Ready Mix with MgCl₂ (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 μl forward primer (either 21F- TTC CGG TTG ATC CYG CCG G for archaea or 27F- AGA GTT TGA TYM TGG CTC AG for bacteria), 0.5 μl of reverse primer (UN1492R- GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T), 1 μl template DNA and 23 μl of nuclease-free water. PCR conditions were as follows: denaturing at 94°C for 3 min, annealing at 53°C for 40 s and elongation at 72°C for 90 s, with a final elongation step of 72°C extended for 90 s. The PCR cycle was repeated 30 times and PCR products verified with gel electrophoresis (Primers and PCR conditions from DeLong (1992)).

PCR screening was also conducted for the gene that encodes the APSr enzyme (adenosine-5′-phosphosulphate reductase) (Friedrich, 2002), used here as a proxy for sulphur metabolism potential of the microbial community. PCR master mix was prepared as above using forward primer APSF- TGGCAGATMATGATYMACGG and reverse primer APSR- GGGCCGTAAACCGTCTTGAA (Friedrich, 2002). The thermal cycle for PCR was as follows: denaturing stage at 94°C for 2 min, annealing at 60°C for 1 min and elongation at 72°C for 3 min, with a final elongation of 72°C extended for 10 min (Friedrich, 2002). The PCR cycle was repeated 30 times and products visualised using gel electrophoresis.

3.5 | DNA sequencing and analysis

Circular Consensus Sequencing was performed by MR DNA (Shallowater, TX, USA) on the PacBio Sequel using bacteria (27F- AGAGTTTGATCTGGCTCAG and 519R- GTNTTACNGCGGCGTG) and archaea (21F- TCCGGTTGATCCYGGCGG and 505R- CCR TGC TTS GGR CCV GCC TGV CGG AA) specific primers. A depth of 5,000 reads per sample was achieved for each 16S rRNA assay with an average post-processing read length of 1,400 bp. Sequence data were processed using the MR DNA analysis pipeline to remove barcodes, orientate sequences 5′ to 3′, and to remove sequences <150 bp and sequences with ambiguous base calls. Sequences were denoised, OTUs generated and chimeras removed. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were defined by clustering at 97% similarity. Final OTUs were taxonomically classified using BLASTn against a curated database derived from RDPII (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu) and NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Downstream bioinformatics analysis of OTU sequences was performed using Mothur (v. 1.42.3; Schloss et al., 2009), following an adapted protocol from Wagner et al. (2016). Briefly, sequences <1,400 bp and >1,500 bp were removed using screen.seqs command and the remaining sequences aligned with the Silva reference database (v. 132, Quast et al., 2012). Aligned sequences were further screened to remove alignments outside of expected positions (start = 1,046, end = 43,116) and filtered using filter.seqs to remove empty columns. Filtered sequences were used to generate a phylip-formatted distance matrix using a cutoff of 0.03
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerkfjöll</th>
<th>Kerkfjöll</th>
<th>Kerkfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>27.52</td>
<td>20.87</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>54.38</td>
<td>78.27</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>195.73</td>
<td>162.24</td>
<td>60.46</td>
<td>39.27</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>79.60</td>
<td>54.38</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>34.93</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>39.49</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe_total</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>2050.91</td>
<td>4156.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>113.79</td>
<td>38.73</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>17.41</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>72.05</td>
<td>92.89</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>386.00</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>910.65</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>2.170</td>
<td>2.488</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>937.75</td>
<td>542.47</td>
<td>333.87</td>
<td>108.28</td>
<td>61.71</td>
<td>13888.82</td>
<td>21001.12</td>
<td>13.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total ions</td>
<td>1310.13</td>
<td>767.69</td>
<td>486.91</td>
<td>231.34</td>
<td>85.76</td>
<td>16608.50</td>
<td>26438.99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>δ¹⁸O (%)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-5.39</td>
<td>-3.54</td>
<td>-7.07</td>
<td>-10.63</td>
<td>-4.65</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>error std</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>δD(‰)</td>
<td>55.29</td>
<td>-70.00</td>
<td>-79.92</td>
<td>-86.11</td>
<td>-87.15</td>
<td>-68.36</td>
<td>-45.35</td>
<td>-60.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error std</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and a phylogenetic tree created using command clearcut. The similarity between Kverkfjöll- and Kerlingarfjöll-hosted pools for both bacteria and archaea was visualised using tree.shared. All trees were visualised using the Interactive Tree of Life (Letunic & Bork, 2019).

Lastly, FAPROTAX (Functional Annotation of Prokaryotic Taxa, Louca et al., 2016) was used to assign predicted microbial metabolic functions, converting taxonomic microbial community profiles into functional profiles, using default parameters. The files used to construct the plots were sequence counts, which are the actual number of sequences counted for a designated taxonomic classification.

Sequenced data are available at the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample), under submission number SUB7731879. The BioSample accession numbers are SAMN15482945 to SAMN15482949 for archaeal data and SAMN15482950 to SAMN15482956 for bacteria.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Water geochemistry

Rhyolite-hosted (Kerlingarfjöll) and basalt-hosted (Kverkfjöll) pools show clear physicochemical distinctions (Figure 3, Table 1). In particular, pH delineates these two sites: Kerlingarfjöll is acidic to neutral (pH from 5.5 to 7.3), whereas Kverkfjöll is acidic (pH from 1.7 to 2.7). The aqueous chemistry at both sites is dominated by SO$_4^{2-}$ (up to 937.75 ppm at Kerlingarfjöll, and up to 21,000.01 ppm at Kverkfjöll), but differences in water composition can be seen in the other dominant ions (Figure 3e). Dissolved oxygen concentrations are variable across all sites: microoxic conditions characterise Kerlingarfjöll pools (0.06 ppm at KR-P1, 0.93 ppm at KR-P2, 0.80 ppm at KR-P3) and Kverkfjöll KV-P4 (0.26 ppm), while oxic conditions are found in KR-Bio (average of dissolved oxygen is 2.47 ppm), and at Kverkfjöll in KV-P5 and KV-P6 (2.00 ppm and 1.57 ppm, respectively). Pool temperatures at both sites are between 16 and 23°C, apart from Kerlingarfjöll KR-P3 and KR-Bio, at 60°C and 52°C, respectively.

Kerlingarfjöll pools have high concentrations of total dissolved Ca, Mg, K, Na, H$_2$S (0.05–2.5 ppm) and undetectable total Al and Fe (the only pool with detectable Fe was KR-P1, with 0.55 ppm). Conversely, Kverkfjöll acidic waters are dominated by high concentrations of total Al and total Fe (7.15–2050.91 ppm), with no detectable H$_2$S. Chloride concentrations at both sites are low, ranging from 0.81 to 2.64 ppm for Kerlingarfjöll pools, similar to the nearby snowpack sample (KR-ice, 2.32 ppm). Chloride concentrations for the Kverkfjöll pools (0.21–2.99 ppm) are lower than that of the snowpack sample (KV-ice, 3.97 ppm; Figure 3b). Only Kverkfjöll has ppm levels of total Mn, P, Zn and Cr, which were not detected at Kerlingarfjöll.

Both Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll pool waters show δ$^{18}$O and δD values (Figure 3d) deviating from the Icelandic Water Meteoric Line (IWML; MacDonald et al., 2016). The waters with the highest δ$^{18}$O and δD values are KR-P1 and KV-P6 (temperatures of 22 and 16°C, respectively), for which pools have no visibly apparent active water inlets or outlets at the time of sampling. At Kverkfjöll, the δ$^{18}$O and δD values are higher than those reported in a previous study that

![Figure 3](image-url)
measured stable isotopes in steam from fumaroles and water from the same area (Ölafsson et al., 2000). This same study measured the gas from the fumaroles in Kverkfjöll showing a resulting composition of 80%–97% of CO$_2$, 1%–12% of H$_2$S and 0.2% of CH$_4$.

The calculated Eh-pH diagrams for Fe and S speciation are shown in Figure 4 with a representative sample from each site (KR-P1 from Kerlingarfjöll and KV-P6 from Kverkfjöll; the diagrams from all pools can be found in Figures S2–S5). The main stable aqueous species of S in Kerlingarfjöll pools is SO$_4^{2-}$ (Figure S2), whereas in Kverkfjöll it is the aqueous ion pair FeSO$_4^{0}$ (Figure S3). The most abundant stable aqueous Fe species in Kerlingarfjöll are Fe(OH)$_2^-$ and Fe(OH)$_3^0$ and in one case Fe(SO$_4$)$_2^{2-}$. In all of these species (Figures 4 and S4), Fe is in the +3 redox state. In contrast, Kverkfjöll is dominated by Fe$^{2+}$-species in the form of either free Fe$^{2+}$ (KV-P4) or FeSO$_4^{0}$ (KV-P5 and KV-P6) (Figures 4 and S5). When iron minerals are included in the plots (Figure 4a,b), all Kerlingarfjöll fluids are in equilibrium with hematite, a Fe$^{3+}$ mineral. At Kerlingarfjöll, three out of four samples plot outside any mineral stability field, indicating that these fluids are not in direct equilibrium with a mineral phase. The sample KV-P4 is in equilibrium with hematite.

### 4.2 | Sediment mineralogy and geochemistry

Bulk mineralogy derived from XRD analysis is presented in Table 2 and Figure 5. XRD patterns for Kerlingarfjöll sediments have sharper peaks than those measured from Kverkfjöll sediments, with the latter exhibiting broad amorphous peaks, indicating more X-ray–amorphous phases are present (Figure 5, Table 2). Crystalline phases detected by XRD in Kerlingarfjöll sediments include quartz, calcite, kaolinite, montmorillonite and anatase, while pyrite dominates the sediment from KR-P3 and KR-Bio. Kverkfjöll sediment XRD patterns indicate kaolinite, pyrite, anatase and montmorillonite. The relative abundance of kaolinite distinguishes the two field areas, whereby

---

**TABLE 2** Table below shows XRD results with percentages (+-5%) of each phase present on the sediment sample. n/a = not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Pyrite</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Anatase</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Montmorillonite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kerlingarfjöll</td>
<td>KR-P1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerlingarfjöll</td>
<td>KR-P2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerlingarfjöll</td>
<td>KR-P3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerlingarfjöll</td>
<td>KR-Bio</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kverkfjöll</td>
<td>KV-P4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kverkfjöll</td>
<td>KV-P5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kverkfjöll</td>
<td>KV-P6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIGURE 4** Eh-pH diagrams for iron species, with sulfur stability fields marked with dashed lines for two representative pools from Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll. Diagrams for mineral stability fields for (a) KR-P2 and (b) KV-P6. Diagrams for water species stable at (c) KR-P2 and (d) KV-P6.
kaolinite in Kerlingarfjöll pools accounts for ~10% to 25% crystalline phases compared to ~60% to 70% in Kverkfjöll pool sediments.

XRF bulk major elemental composition data show Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll sediments are all depleted in SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O and K$_2$O, and Kverkfjöll sediments enriched in Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ (Figure 6 and Table 3), in relation with their host lithologies. Kerlingarfjöll sediments are also enriched in MgO and CaO, the latter consistent with the XRD detection of calcite. Open-system Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA = Al$_2$O$_3$/(Al$_2$O$_3$+CaO+K$_2$O+Na$_2$O); Nesbitt & Young, 1982) values at Kerlingarfjöll range from 52% to 78%, and 96% to 98% at Kverkfjöll. The ternary AFK plot (Figure 6f) supports this high degree of enrichment in Al relative to major cations, with Kverkfjöll sediments progressing further along the path of argillic weathering, compared with Kerlingarfjöll, which instead is slightly enriched in FeO and MgO, following a more typical terrestrial weathering profile (Hurowitz et al., 2006; Nesbitt & Young, 1984).

### 4.3 Archaeal communities

Archaeal communities were similar across all pools at the phylum level, dominated by the Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota (Figure 7a). At the genus level, the archaeal communities in the Kerlingarfjöll pools and KR-Bio are dominated by sequences that affiliate with – (38%–65% relative abundance Figure 7b, Tables S1 and S2). The Kerlingarfjöll pool archaeal communities also composed of a variety of methanogenic genera (Methanobrevibacter, Methanomassiliicoccus, Methanoseta, Methanothermobacter, Methanococcus, Methanocaldococcus, Methanocella and Methanotorris). The KR-Bio population conversely has few methanogens (Methanotorris, 1.5%). The ammonia oxidising archaeon Candidatus Nitrosocaldus is the only genus found across both Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll (Figure 8c), and at KV-P5 makes up ~50%
Table 3: Table with elemental chemistry data results for both sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kverkfjöll</th>
<th>Kverkfjöll</th>
<th>Kverkfjöll</th>
<th>Kverkfjöll</th>
<th>Kverkfjöll</th>
<th>Kerlingarfjöll</th>
<th>Kverkfjöll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample ID/ Major elements (wt%)</td>
<td>KR-P1</td>
<td>KR-P2</td>
<td>KR-P3</td>
<td>KR-Bio</td>
<td>KV-P4</td>
<td>KV-P5</td>
<td>KV-P6</td>
<td>absolute deviation (avg)</td>
<td>relative deviation (%)</td>
<td>Average values for rhyolitic host rock (Flude et al., 2010)</td>
<td>Average values for basaltic host rock (Oladottir et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>42.36</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>40.38</td>
<td>48.03</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>34.65</td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>74.41</td>
<td>49.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>10.56</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>18.36</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>14.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>9.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>&lt;0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃²⁻</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIA (%)</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O+K₂O</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI (%)</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>24.91</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>18.83</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM</td>
<td>97.89</td>
<td>96.53</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98.92</td>
<td>99.74</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>99.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sulphates measured (total S in the sample, part of LOI) semiquantitative measurement. This is not volatised sulphides; **CIA = (Al₂O₃/(Al₂O₃+CaO+K₂O+Na₂O)) × 100.
of the community profile (Figure 7b). Archaeal genera found at KV-P5, however, are still distinct from those at Kerlingarfjöll (Figure 8e), despite their similarity at the phylum level (Figure 7a).

4.4 | Bacterial communities

Proteobacteria are the most abundant phylum across Kerlingarfjöll pools KR-P1 and KR-P2 and all three Kverkfjöll pools (46%–77%; Figure 7c, Tables S3–S5). The remaining community profiles between KR-P1 and KR-P2 are similar (Figure 7c) with varying abundances of Actinobacteria (1%–3%), Nitrospirae (3%–5%), Firmicutes (2%–6%), Acidobacteria (1%–3%), Spirochaetes (1%–3%) and Chloroflexi (2%–29%). At Kverkfjöll pools, the remaining phylum profiles have likewise similar taxonomic affiliations, dominated by Actinobacteria (13%–26%), Nitrospirae (8%–20%) and Firmicutes (12%–21%). Within the Proteobacteria, Desulfuviribio represents 35% of the profile at genus level in KR-P1 and 21% in KR-P2 (Figure 7d). KR-P2 Proteobacteria also includes Desulfovibrio (24%) and Thiobacillus (14%), while Kverkfjöll pools are dominated by Syntrophus (7%–25%), Acidithiobacillus (9%–24%) and Acidiferrobacter (6%–12%) (Figure 7d). Kerlingarfjöll sites KR-P3 and KR-Bio are not dominated by Proteobacteria. At KR-Bio, Proteobacteria make up <1% of the bacterial community, and only 22% for KR-P3 (Figure 7c). Instead, KR-Bio is dominated by Aquificae (82%), of which OTUs are dominantly Sulfurhydrogenibium (75%).

Phylogenetic trees for Bacteria and Proteobacteria across all sites are shown in Figure 8. Despite being a relatively small component of most pools in terms of sequence reads, Chloroflexi members exhibit a high diversity at the genus level. Proteobacteria likewise exhibit many distinct OTUs, with Kerlingarfjöll pool communities comprising an array of α-, β-, γ- and δ-Proteobacteria, while Kverkfjöll pool proteobacterial diversity is largely limited to the γ-Proteobacteria.

The dendrogram (Figure 8d) groups Kerlingarfjöll pools into two clusters: KR-P1 and KR-P2, and KR-P3. Kverkfjöll pool OTUs are distinctive from Kerlingarfjöll pool OTUs, with KV-P4 and KV-P6 sharing more bacterial clades than with KV-P5.

4.5 | Inferred metabolisms

Microbial metabolism inferred using FAPROTAX (Louca et al., 2016) is provided in Figures 9 and 10. The dominant archaeal metabolic pathways (Figure 9 and Table S6) identified within Kerlingarfjöll pools are sulphur respiration (S⁰), methanogenesis and ammonia
oxidation. Different types of methanogenesis are potentially operating at Kerlingarfjöll. Hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis dominates at KR-P1 and KR-P3, whereas in KR-P2 sediments acetoclastic methanogenesis dominates. The dominant archaeal metabolic pathways within the KR-Bio community are sulphur respiration (from *Thermofilum*), aerobic ammonia oxidation and nitrification. The dominant bacterial metabolic pathways (Figure 10 and Table S7) identified at Kerlingarfjöll pools KR-P1 and KR-P2 are sulphur oxidation and respiration of sulphate. Dark oxidation of sulphur compounds dominates KR-P3 and KR-Bio. KR-Bio OTUs are associated with both the oxidation of sulphur compounds and molecular hydrogen. Both functional respirations are carried out by *Sulfurihydrogenibium* (Flores et al., 2008). These results are supported by positive ApSr PCR products for KR-P1, KR-P2, KR-P3 and KR-Bio, identifying the potential of the communities in these pools to undertake sulphate reduction.

The bacterial metabolisms identified within Kverkfjöll pools dominantly involve the oxidation of sulphur and iron species. Pools KV-P4 and KV-P6 present parallel metabolic pathways with dark oxidation of iron being preferred, linked to the presence of *Acidithiobacillus*, *Sulfobacillus* and *Leptospirillum*. Pool KV-P5 shows almost complete cycling of nitrogen coupled with chemoheterotrophy (including

**FIGURE 8** (a) Pairwise phylogenetic tree for bacterial OTUs across all sites, with branches <0.04 distance collapsed. Outgroup is *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* (NR_115499.1). (b) Subtree of Proteobacteria, showing OTUs for both Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll sites. (c) Pairwise phylogenetic tree for Archaea OTUs across all sites that have archaea present, with branches <0.06 distance collapsed. (d-e) Dendrogram (Yue and Clayton) measure of similarity between bacterial (d) and archaeal (e) community OTUs at Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll
metabolic paths that oxidise methanol and more complex organic molecules such as aromatic hydrocarbons).

5 | DISCUSSION

5.1 | Volcanic gas and surface water controls on the local environment

The major differences in pH and temperature between Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll pool chemistries can be explained by acid supply and the ratio of geothermal steam to snowmelt; both are the result of deep geothermal processes. The geochemistry of the Kerlingarfjöll pools is typical of carbonated waters, with pH between 5 and 7 and high levels of K, Ca and Mg in solution (Björke, 2010; Björke et al., 2015; Kaasalainen & Stefánsson, 2012; Markússon & Stefánsson, 2011). When the CO₂ from volcanic steam mixes with surface waters, it releases a mild acid, dropping the pH < 7 (Björke, 2010). Kverkfjöll pool geochemistry conversely is consistent with acidic SO₄²⁻-rich waters (Markússon & Stefánsson, 2011), with the concentration of SO₄²⁻ and Cl⁻ in surface geothermal waters dependent on depressurisation, boiling and vapour generation in the upwelling fluid (Arnórsson et al., 2007; Giggenbach & Stewart, 1982; Gysi & Stefánsson, 2012; Markússon & Stefánsson, 2011). Here, Cl⁻ is retained in the liquid
phase and concentrates underground after boiling, whereas H$_2$S goes into the vapour phase, encounters oxidising water, condenses and becomes oxidised to H$_2$SO$_4$, producing acidic surface waters with almost undetectable Cl$^-$ and high SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations (Arnórsson & Andresdottir, 1995; Stefánsson et al., 2016; Figure 3a,b). At both sites, steam mixes with snowmelt, indicated by the similar Cl$^-$ concentrations between the pools (from 0.85 to 2.01 at Kerlingarfjöll, 0.21 to 3.0 ppm at Kverkfjöll) and their respective snowpack values (KR- ice 2.32 ppm and KV- ice 3.97 ppm). This mixing is further evidenced by the low temperatures (16.8–23.6°C) of the pools that are proximal to the glacier and surface snowpack (KR-P1, KR-P2; KV-P4, KV-P5, KV-P6). Thermal imaging (Figure 2) reveals the spatial association between these thermal end members.

The $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D values of pool waters from both sites also imply condensed steam mixing with surface waters. The isotopic values of pool waters follow an evaporation trajectory with a lower slope for both Kerlingarfjöll (3.5, $R^2 = .7$) and Kverkfjöll (4.2, $R^2 = .9$), compared with the IMWL (Icelandic Meteoric Water Line; 6.5), suggesting the pools underwent different degrees of evaporation (highest for smaller pools KR-P1 and KV-P6, Figure 3d). The origin of the evaporation trajectory for Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll, estimated from the intersection with the IMWL, differs from the ice-melt values measured and Icelandic rainwaters (MacDonald et al., 2016).

**FIGURE 10** Sequence counts of bacterial OTUs associated with different cellular metabolisms (Y axis) obtained with the FAPROTAX data base for bacteria metabolism.
Instead, the Kverkfjöll intersection with the meteoric line is close to the water and steam values measured here by Ölafsson (2000; Figure 3d). This indicates that the source of water for the pools is isotopically depleted compared with the meteoric input, with additional contribution by steam condensation from boiling groundwater at depth, which has more negative δ18O and δD (Ölafsson et al., 2000). No steam values have been measured at Kerlingarfjöll, but the similarity of the Kerlingarfjöll trend to the Kverkfjöll trend indicates a comparable process.

5.2 Water–rock interaction and alteration mineralogy

Phase segregation of geothermal aquifer fluids (the separation of a volatile-enriched vapour phase during subsurface boiling) upon ascent to the surface affects acid supply, which in turn drives bedrock leaching (Kaasalainen & Stefansson, 2012). This process explains differences in ion concentrations between sites. The Kverkfjöll pools KV-P5 and KV-P6 have an extremely low pH (1.7–2.7) and the highest total ion concentrations (Figure 3), whereas the circum-neutral Kerlingarfjöll pools show lower dissolved ion concentrations. A low ion concentration in Kverkfjöll pool KV-P4 is likely due to dilution by the observed influx and outflow of meltwater. The mineral alteration assemblages are largely specific to their immediate pool environments, with no assemblage consistently representing either basalt- or rhyolite-hosted pools. Instead, phases are locally controlled by (i) acid supply, (ii) the intensity of the surface hydrothermal activity and temperature and (iii) the ratio of geothermal steam to meteoric water. All of these result from geothermally active rather than host lithology (Markússon & Stefánsson, 2011).

A geothermal control on alteration mineralogy is also supported by the elemental geochemistry results. Both Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll sediments are depleted in SiO2 and Na2O+K2O compared with their host rocks and enriched Al2O3 and TiO2, indicative of hydrothermal alteration (Markússon & Stefánsson, 2011). CIA values of authigenic phases further indicate high levels of chemical alteration compared with the volcanic host rock. An exception to this pattern is the quartz identified within the low temperature (~20°C) Kerlingarfjöll pools KR-P1 and KR-P2. Given the temperatures of these pools, this is the only phase that attests to an origin within a felsic host lithology, as it is likely detrital, weathered directly from surrounding quartz-bearing bedrock. Alternatively, it could be derived via higher-temperature hydrothermal remobilisation of Si and subsequent mineralisation. Given the hydrothermal nature of the alteration environment, it is also likely that there are amorphous Si phases present, but not detectable with XRD.

5.3 S and Fe redox chemistry of the pools

The Eh-pH diagrams help to further resolve the S and Fe redox chemistry of the pools. The sulphur results indicate SO4\(^{2-}\) being the stable form in Kerlingarfjöll and FeSO4\(^0\) in Kverkfjöll, which is consistent with the high SO4\(^{2-}\) concentrations measured at both sites. The results show Fe contained in fluids from Kerlingarfjöll has undergone more extensive oxidation compared to Kverkfjöll. Importantly, the degree of Fe oxidation is not only dependent on dissolved oxygen availability but also a function of pH. As the pH is higher at the Kerlingarfjöll site, the thermodynamic redox boundary between Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\) is lower, allowing oxidation to go to completion even at relatively low concentrations of dissolved oxygen. Since Kerlingarfjöll is rhyolite-hosted, the initial Fe endowment of the fluid is likely lower compared to the basalt-hosted site at Kverkfjöll. However, a higher degree of oxidation may have further lowered the total dissolved Fe concentrations at Kerlingarfjöll, as the fluids have been pushed well into the stability field of hematite, likely causing Fe precipitation (Figures 4 and S4). This leads to Kerlingarfjöll having almost no dissolved Fe available for microbial cycling. In contrast, the samples from Kverkfjöll contain a high proportion of reduced iron (paired with some dissolved oxygen), sufficient to support an active community of Fe\(^{2+}\)-oxidising microbes, as confirmed by the DNA results (Figure 10). Microbially oxidised iron would likely initially precipitate as amorphous hydroxides and later dehydrate into hematite (Fischer & Schwertmann, 1975). Occurrences of hematite at Kverkfjöll noted by Cousins et al., (2013) could be evidence of such microbial activity. In the current study, pyrite dominated sediment XRD data, despite the fluids falling in the hematite stability field (Figure 4d). This may indicate that the ferric iron in these sediments has not yet ‘matured’ into hematite, which typically requires dehydration of amorphous ferric hydroxide phases (Fischer & Schwertmann, 1975). The presence of pyrite indicates a strong thermodynamic disequilibrium between the fluid that is exposed to the atmosphere and the underlying sediments. This disequilibrium is likely a key driver of the biological metabolisms present in the pools.

5.4 Volcanic controls on microbial communities

The deep volcanic processes discussed above control which microbial groups and associated metabolisms are supported by the local geochemistry, by defining local pH and the bioavailability of electron donors and acceptors through speciation of S and Fe. For example, the volcanic processes operating at Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll result in a predominance of SO4\(^{2-}\) in the resulting hydrothermal pools at both sites, which in turn facilitates a dominance of sulphur-driven redox metabolisms. This control is broadly consistent with previous observations at Yellowstone National Park, USA (Colman et al., 2016, 2019).

At Kverkfjöll, acidic pools are dominated by the strong acid released when H2S is oxidised to SO4\(^{2-}\). In hydrothermal acidic waters, Fe is more soluble, with the relative distribution of Fe\(^{2+}/Fe^{3+}\) controlled by a combination of the underlying basaltic bedrock, Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation kinetics and microbial Fe cycling (Kaasalainen et al., 2017). At Kverkfjöll pools, the Eh-pH diagrams show Fe\(^{2+}\) as the most abundant species in solution, forming a FeSO4\(^0\) ion pair, or free Fe\(^{2+}\) at
The glacier and snowmelt into the pools. The mild temperatures of the individual pools are regulated by the input of melted ice from P2 within Kerlingarfjöll, and Kverkfjöll pools. The temperatures of temperature KR-P1 and KR-Bio; low-temperature KR-P1, and KR-P2 within Kerlingarfjöll, and Kverkfjöll pools. The temperatures of the individual pools are regulated by the input of melted ice from the glacier and snowmelt into the pools. The mild temperatures from Kerlingarfjöll KR-P1, KR-P2 and Kverkfjöll pools define a bacterial community dominated by mesophilic phylum Proteobacteria. Instead, KR-P3 and KR-Bio pools, which present higher temperatures (60–70°C), are dominated by thermophilic Aquificae groups.

5.5 Implications for the habitability of past Martian hydrothermal environments

Icelandic hot springs such as Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll provide a useful example of how hydrothermal systems are a localised source of metabolic redox pairs for chemolithotrophic microorganisms and accessible trace metals (e.g. Cr, Mn, Zn) leached from the bedrock. These redox pairs and trace metals are fundamental for microbial metabolism (Havig et al., 2015; Kee et al., 2013) and potentially prebiotic chemistry (Rimmer & Shortle, 2019). Acid supply and surface activity resulting from deep volcanic processes and redox conditions create two distinct geochemical environments that are largely independent of bedrock lithology. The interaction between steam and gas from volcanic fumaroles and meteoric surface water-ice results in liquid water of unusually moderate temperatures for hydrothermal systems (around 16–20°C). Where these types of ice-fed hydrothermal systems existed on early Mars (e.g. Sisyphi Montes and Arsia Mons), similar processes would likely operate. Such hydrothermal volcanic environments on Mars could have maintained locally independent anoxic conditions and circum-neutral pH through the delivery of reduced volatile gases, even when surface conditions on Mars became more oxidised. Subsequently, hydrothermal sites would present an important habitability advantage compared with non-volcanic systems, locally isolating the habitat from Mars’s oxidising atmospheric conditions. Sedimentary mineral alteration assemblages are also controlled by the volcanic system at a small scale and thus record a signature of the pH and major metabolic substrates (Fe, S) available for microorganisms. Such assemblages may persist long after the hydrothermal system ceases activity. Recognition of sedimentary mineral alteration assemblages, however, presents challenges. The mineralogy found here (quartz, kaolinite, smectites, anatase, pyrite) differs from those identified on Mars as resulting from hydrothermalism; for example, from the mineralogy of Sisyphi Montes and Home Plate (opaline silica, sulphates, smectites, palagonite), but also from the study done in Kverkfjöll by Cousins et al., (2013) where gyspum, jarosite, pyrite, iron oxides, smectites and palagonite were found. This discrepancy in mineralogy can be explained with two points. Firstly, Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll hydrothermal systems present large mineralogical variations across a small scale. In this study, within pools from the same sites, inter-pool mineralogical differences can be observed even though the separation between the pools is <5 m. This demonstrates how the mineralogy from volcanic environments can be highly variable within a localised area and exposes a potential for a poor agreement between small-scale studies and large-scale orbital observations on Mars. Secondly, the samples here were taken from wet sediments at the...
sulphur stable isotope fractionation values (Johnston et al., 2007; Skok et al., 2010). The pools investigated here imply geothermally derived pH limitations on these metabolisms by dictating the bioavailability of Fe or S phases. For circum-neutral-alkaline environments, Fe-driven metabolisms face challenges due to pH-driven precipitation of Fe, while in acidic environments, sulphate respiration and methanogenesis can be inhibited. Furthermore, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation could be challenging in acidic conditions on Mars, as it is limited to circum-neutral waters and restricted to using nitrate as an electron acceptor (Price et al., 2018). Iron reduction-based metabolisms could alternatively use S\textsuperscript{0} as an electron acceptor, with H\textsubscript{2} as an electron donor under anaerobic conditions, with Fe\textsuperscript{3+} as the final electron acceptor (Lovley & Phillips, 1988; Lovley et al., 1989; Price et al., 2018). Consequently, Martian circum-neutral pH hydrothermal environments could have been dominated by methanogenesis, sulphate respiration and sulphur oxidation. Metabolisms dominating acidic hydrothermal environments would be sulphur oxidation, and potentially sulphate respiration, iron oxidation/reduction and nitrate respiration. Overall, our results suggest that sulphur-driven redox metabolisms are the most plausible across different pH environments, as both volcanic systems investigated bear hydrothermal pools with sulphur available for sulphate respiration and sulphur oxidation using either CO\textsubscript{2} or H\textsubscript{2}, consistent with findings from other Mars relevant environments (Macey et al., 2020).

This volcanically driven limitation on feasible microbial metabolisms has wider implications for the detection of resulting microbial biosignatures, particularly those that utilise stable isotope fractionations to distinguish between abiotic from biological processes. Sulphate respiration in particular can produce variable carbon and nitrogen isotope values will ultimately be recorded within the inorganic sulphur-bearing mineral phases present within the sediments, which at both Kverkfjöll and Kerlingarfjöll are exclusively pyrite. The sample analysis at Mars instrument onboard the NASA MSL Curiosity rover has measured sulphur isotope compositions in Gale crater sediments, with $\delta^{34}$S values from Fe-sulphides varying between $\sim$47\% and $\sim$28\%, attributed to sulphate-sulphide equilibrium fractionation within a long-term hydrothermal system (Franz et al., 2017). It is therefore of particular importance to use analogue sites such as Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll to constrain how $\delta^{34}$S biosignatures would manifest within relict Martian hydrothermal systems, and how these can be differentiated from abiotic signatures, particularly once the environment becomes inactive.

6 | CONCLUSION

We investigated the geochemical environments and microbial communities within hydrothermal pools at the rhyolitic Kerlingarfjöll volcano and basaltic Kverkfjöll volcano in Iceland. The primary controls on dissolved ion chemistry are acid supply, redox conditions and secondary mineral solubility, with underlying lithology playing a minor role in the precipitation of authigenic phases. Due to the difference in these deep volcanic processes, Kerlingarfjöll and Kverkfjöll hydrothermal pools have distinct geochemical properties, including different water pH (circum-neutral versus acidic).

The resulting microbial communities are controlled by these deep volcanic processes in addition to thermal regulation by surface snow and ice input, determining the availability of electron donors and acceptors for metabolism and the temperatures of the pools. Consequently, Kerlingarfjöll sites are dominated by methanogens, sulphate reducers and S oxidisers, whereas the Kverkfjöll microbial communities are dominated by microorganisms utilising S oxidation and Fe oxidation, which themselves will impart different geochemical biosignatures.

This study demonstrates how hydrothermal pools are relevant for understanding past Martian hydrothermal environments on a small scale and that local volcanic inputs can strongly affect microbial community function, with implications for the feasibility of geochemical biosignatures that can be preserved within the geological record.
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