Why at All Complain?: “Bad” Poetry and Denatured Form in Spenser’s *Daphnaïda*

How should we read *Daphnaïda*—as Spenser’s worst poem or as covert advocacy on Spenser’s part for Arthur Gorges? In the light of such divergent recent approaches, this essay considers the poem through its formal choices and the ways in which such framing devices ironize and complicate its protagonist. It begins by rereading the monotonous quality of Alycon’s long lament, suggesting that Spenser uses devices that critically align Alcyon with poets of the previous age in the choice of a particular line shape. It then explores the ways in which this characterization draws on aspects of contemporary drama in the presentation of him as an affectively problematic figure—in this reading, metrical allusion to the drama contributes to the ironic characterization of the poem’s protagonist. The connection between *Daphnaïda* and contemporary poetry is enhanced by a detailed account of the way Alcyon’s complaint rewrites and “denatures” the famous contemporary sonnet “Like to a Hermite poore in place obscure” before turning to the poem’s complex bibliographical relationship with the *Complaints* volume. Finally, analysis of the stanza reveals it as denatured rhyme royal, designed to frustrate the eloquent tonalities of the original form.
Daphnaïda is the problem child of the Spenser canon. As William Oram remarks in The Spenser Encyclopedia, the poem has “found few admirers”; David Lee Miller, in contrast, views it as a comically inept work, to which the appropriate aesthetic response is derision. Yet in a sense the problem with Daphnaïda is stated with some authority within the poem by the dying Daphne:

I, since the messenger is come for mee,
That summons soules unto the bridale feast
Of his great Lord, must needes depart from thee,
And straight obay his soveraine beheast:
Why should Alcyon then so sore lament,
That I from miserie shall be releast,
And freed from wretched long imprisonment?

Our daies are full of dolor and disease,
Our life afflicted with incessant paine,
That nought on earth may lessen or appease.
Why then should I desire here to remaine?
Or why should he that loves me, sorie bee
For my deliverance, or at all complaine
My good to heare, and toward joyes to see?

(ll. 267–80)

The argument is familiar from Christian apologetics and pastoral elegy: If death leads to salvation—a summons to “the bridale feast” of Revelation—why lament “or at all complaine”? In a lovely phrase (and parenthetically, it is worth remarking that Daphnaïda contains powerful, memorable twists of language), which poignantly captures the elusive promise of Christian eschatology, Daphne counterbalances her “toward joyes” against the “incessant paine” of mortal life. Or as Colin Clout puts it in the elegy for Dido from the “November” eclogue, “Why wayle we then? Why weary we the Gods with playnts, / As if some evill were to her betight?” (ll. 173–74): from the “happy” perspective of revealed religion, lament is shown to be otiose. Yet neither poetry nor grief works precisely on the script of this salvationist logic. Daphne’s speech, in its insistent repetitions and critical modulations of Alcyon’s obsessive practice as a complainer, underlines the doubleness that animates this most perverse of poems. On the one hand, Daphnaïda need not exist—or need not exist in quite the unremitting form that it does. On the other,
Alcyon incorporates Daphne’s rebuke into the fabric of his cyclical, unbridgeable mourning that achieves no moment of transcendent equipoise or consolation. In quoting her, he fails utterly to learn from her. The difficulty is knowing what Spenser intended his readers to make of this exercise in “dolor and disease”: how seriously we should treat it as a pastoral elegy; the extent to which it was an intervention in the real-life concerns of Arthur Gorges (or indeed the possibly recently bereaved Edmund Spenser); and—the “undersong” of this essay—the devious ways in which it responds to the complaint tradition. I do not attempt to resolve these conundrums so much as review the scholarly evidence alongside the poem to explore afresh what its formal affiliations tell us about its agendas. This will not rebrand the poem as one of Spenser’s greatest hits, nor reform the problem child as prodigal son. But it may help to refocus attention on Spenserian complaint as a category—a difficult, resistant mode to which he was temperamentally prone.

***

Modern Daphnaïda scholarship turns on whether the poem should be read ironically or literally. Is this a poem that deliberately broadcasts its own limitations, or does it represent a more straightforward response to real-world events connected to the death of Arthur Gorges’s wife Douglas Howard in 1590? The ironic approach is exemplified by Oram’s essay, “Daphnaïda and Spenser’s Later Poetry,” which argues that the poem is a deliberate experiment with complaint, one that warns against excessive grief and anticipates the increasingly experimental, self-citational practices of Spenser’s later poetry. My own sense of Daphnaïda supplements this influential reading: the poem expects its readers to register its extravagancies and to view its protagonists critically. “Gloomy, tenacious, obsessive,” and “long-winded” as it may be, Oram demonstrates that Daphnaïda uses allusion and rhetorical excess for the purposes of poetic innovation.

In contrast, Jonathan Gibson reads the poem literally, in terms of Spenser’s relationship with Arthur Gorges, the poem’s Alcyon. Gibson rejects ironic readings, arguing that the work intervenes in support of Gorges’s protracted legal battle with his father-in-law, Thomas Howard, over the wardship of Gorges’s daughter, Ambrosia. For Gibson, Daphnaïda aims to raise awareness of Gorges’s legal predicament by presenting his grief sympathetically. Gibson’s essay is a significant contribution to the understanding of Gorges, scrupulously mapping the intertextual links between Spenser and the still underread Gorges. The great originality of this essay is that it suggests that Spenser read and imitated Gorges’s own work in writing
**Daphnäida.** Much of the “drabness” of Spenser’s poem may be a result of this imitation, since Gorges’s work reproduces the “drab” styles of the 1550s and 1560s.¹² Gorges’s Poem 39, for instance, provides a double analogue for *Daphnäida:* first, it presents the inset complaint of “A happles man,” who records his mental distress “Unto his lute with heavye tunes.” Second, the man’s complaint has a lamenting sequence, moving from “Witnesse with me yee heavens” through identical apostrophes to his thoughts, eyes, ears, and hand in ways that recall Alcyon’s litany of hatred and despair.¹³

In “Laughing at Spenser’s *Daphnäida,*” Miller presents an interpretation of the poem that is at once ironic and literal, proposing historical grounds for its swirling paradoxes. Miller writes in direct dialogue with Gibson, accepting his argument that the legal dispute over Ambrosia’s wardship is a key context for the poem but reading Spenser’s engagement with those circumstances very differently. Miller hypothesizes that Spenser wrote the poem in support of Gorges at the behest of Sir Walter Ralegh, and he argues that its verse is made “deliberately bad” to expose both Spenser’s resentment at being charged with this task and his recoil from Ralegh’s “extravagant grief” in the wake of the Throckmorton marriage.¹⁴ Like Timias in Book IV of *The Faerie Queene,* Alcyon is presented critically—the unconvincing poem shows how Spenser was unconvinced by Ralegh’s “cynical posturing” as he tried to get back in royal favor.¹⁵ This element of deliberate aesthetic shortfall helps to explain the poem’s republication together with *Fowre Hymnes* in 1596: the juxtaposition of the *Hymnes* with *Daphnäida* constitutes “a curious act of mirroring,” which ultimately complicates both texts and warns against the “false posture” of “hatred for the world.”¹⁶ Miller thus establishes an alibi, or rather a pair of alibis, for Spenser: first, *Daphnäida* witnesses his growing skepticism about Ralegh; second, the republication underscores the critical distance between the *Hymnes* and an earlier work. Rachel Hile’s recent work on Spenserian satire suggests similar possibilities. She argues that the ineptitude diagnosed by Miller is a flag, alerting the reader to the need for a more quizzical, active approach: Spenser satirizes Alcyon and expects his readers to pick up on his purposively “indirect” method, so that the poem becomes “a biting commentary on the danger of *idées fixes* in the real world.”¹⁷

* * *

As this discussion shows, aesthetics is at the heart of the critical dilemma around *Daphnäida:* Is its bad poetry a backhanded compliment to Gorges,
or a satirical marker of some kind? Much turns on the reading of Alcyon’s lament, and one stanza in particular has been repeatedly singled out to exemplify Daphnaïda’s provocative ineptitude. In fact, there is little disagreement among recent critics about the value of this passage as poetry: nobody has a good word to say for it. It is therefore worth quoting again to reconsider the kind of complaint it embodies:

I hate to speake, my voyce is spent with crying:
I hate to heare, lowd plaints have duld mine eares:
I hate to tast, for food withholds my dying:
I hate to see, mine eyes are dimd with teares:
I hate to smell, no sweet on earth is left:
I hate to feele, my flesh is numbd with feares:
So all my senses from me are bereft.
(ll. 414–20)

Hile’s summary is an authoritative statement of its angularity: “The automaton meter, repetitive diction, and clichéd imagery are hallmarks of bad poetry . . . Spenser expects the reader to recognize the excess of the poem, and this extends beyond Alcyon’s emotions to the characteristics of his verse: excessively regular, excessively repetitive, and excessively trite.” I want to offer some caveats to this reading, while not going so far as to suggest this is “straightforward ‘good poetry.’” It is repetitive and (seemingly) automaton, but these features point to contexts previous scholarship has downplayed.

The first point arises from Hile’s observation of “automaton meter.” This is in fact both a highly traditional manipulation of the iambic pentameter line and an unusual realization of the form for Spenser in the virtual exclusion of all other metrical patterns. The line shape used in the first six lines is what I have called elsewhere “the 4/6 line”: a regular pentameter where the caesura is heavily marked after the fourth syllable, following the influential counsel of George Gascoigne. The decasyllabic line with a heavy pause after the fourth syllable lends itself readily to anaphoristic structures and was common in French poetry: Marot uses this pattern on many occasions. Although this stanza of Daphnaïda underlines the line shape through punctuation, the presence of a comma after the fourth syllable is not essential to trigger the expected pause pattern: Chidiock Tichborne’s self-elegy uses the 4/6 pattern throughout, but the designating comma is often missing, as in the first line “My prime of youth is but a frost of cares.” As Gibson
implies, “Alcyon’s monotonous lists” connect *Daphnaïda* not just with the verses of Arthur Gorges but with the earlier practice of the “drab” age; poets like Gascoigne and Churchyard have several texts where the 4/6 pattern overbears all other realizations of the iambic pentameter line.25

The context in the history of meter is worth bearing in mind: the subordination of syntactic pattern to the simple yet firm exigencies of the 4/6 template guaranteed that poets could produce regular ten syllable iambic lines, in contrast to the more chaotic scansion of Sir Thomas Wyatt a generation earlier.26 Of course, a succession of 4/6 lines remains monotonous. Consider the opening of Churchyard’s “Thomas Wolsey”:

> Shall I looke on, when states step on the stage,  
> And play theyr parts, before the peoples face:  
> Some me[n] liue now, scarce four score yeares of age,  
> Who in time past, did know the Cardnalls grace.  
> A gamesom worlde, when Byshops run at bace,  
> Yea, get a fall, in striuing for the gole,  
> And body loase, and hazard seely sole.27

For the modern reader, such writing is striking for its methodical cack-handedness, as the subordination of word to meter eliminates rhythmic nuance or variation of emphasis. Yet as George T. Wright has observed, the “obsessional design . . . the two-step move to the pause, then the three-step sweep to the line’s end . . . evidently gave distinct pleasure to Elizabethan listeners.”28 These pleasures are distantly visible in the antithetical energy of “Yea, get a fall, in striuing for the gole,” or Alcyons’s “I hate to tast, for food withholds my dying”: you hear the start, and then you know what’s coming.29 Tichborne’s elegy is a vivid testament to the force of such writing; it is a durable anthology piece not only because of the grisly circumstances of its composition (he was executed for his part in the Babington plot in September 1586, and the poem is plausibly dated to the last days of his life) but because of the repetitive intensity of its plangent effect: “And nowe I liue, and nowe my life is donn.”30 Spenser learned much from such writing, but mercifully—from the point of view of the modern reader—avoided long passages of the same pattern in sequence. The final stanza of “December” features four lines out of six that conform to the 4/6 shape in a syntactic context of elaborate anaphora, but this is an exception rather than the rule.31 As I have shown elsewhere, 4/6 lines are discernible on almost every page of *The Faerie Queene*, but they are almost invariably paired with—and juxtaposed
against—lines with different caesural patterns, thus avoiding the “metrical arthritis” of Churchyard’s poem.\textsuperscript{32} In the Cave of Despayre, the insinuating voice of the abstract principle latches onto the almost narcotic qualities of the 4/6 line to advance its deadly argument. In the climactic discussion, Despayre and Redcrosse trade 4/6 lines as their voices irresistibly merge: “Sleepe after toyle, port after stormie seas”; “Nor leaue his stand, vntill the Captaine bed”; “Are written sure, and haue their certain date”; “The lenger life, I wote the greater sin, / The greater sin, the greater punishment”; unsurprisingly, Una’s assertion of “heauenlie mercies” has its own 4/6 line: “Where justice growes, there grows eke greter grace” (I.ix.40–43, 53). Yet pervasive as the metrical pattern is in this passage, such lines are always played off against contrasting caesural patterns and rhythmic inflections—audible of course in the almost spondaic onset of “Sleepe after toyle.” Spenser learned from Churchyard’s monotony how to write differently. This same mingling of line shapes is visible in this section of \textit{Daphnaïda}: though the 4/6 predominates, it is not the only line used. In the stanza immediately before the “I hate to speake” stanza, “I hate the darknesse and the drery night” suggests a pause after the fifth syllable. Such a pause is a common variant of the 4/6 line, especially when a trochaic word shape like “darknesse” fills this position; compare “Unto the many, that provoke them might,” and “So all my senses from me are bereft” (ll. 409, 326; my emphases). “But as a speedie post that passeth by” (l. 413) in contrast wittily demands no pause at all.\textsuperscript{33}

The unusualness of this marked metrical design in Spenser’s 1590s work suggests that he intended readers to recognize that he was playing variations on a traditional tune. The “obsessional” nature of the design and its deviation from Spenser’s normal practice underline the case for an ironic reading of Alcyon: his recursion to “drab” metrical norms characterizes him as a stylistic fossil, a poet who is incapable of keeping up with the present; as he says in the previous stanza, “I hate all times, because all times doo flye / So fast away, and may not stayed be” (ll. 411–12). Such attention to \textit{Daphnaïda}’s meter doesn’t reveal a different reading from that of other scholars who view Alcyon ironically so much as to prompt related reasons for being suspicious of him. Of course, all metrical patterns are on one level agents of control; at the extreme, they are tools that subject linguistic usage to predetermined norms.\textsuperscript{34} Yet the combination of a highly restrictive form with a distinct pedigree and Alcyon’s hyperbole forces the reader to a critical perspective on the poetry under inspection. In this light, it is not simply that the verse is robotic—apparently stuck in a locked groove of unmodulated anaphora—as that Spenser’s employment of these devices constitutes a
technique of characterization, intended to make the reader differentiate Alcyon from the narrator and from other less one-note complainants like Verlame in *The Ruines of Time*.

* * *

The second caveat about our oft-derided stanza is that such writing was not confined to poetry: contemporary drama has many examples that are just as formulaic and monotonous as this passage, yet that use these devices to innovative effect. As Wolfgang Clemen described long ago, the dramatic lament is a major component of pre-Shakespearean tragedy. In all likelihood, practice in dramatic verse influenced nondramatic verse, as well as vice versa. The tendency to harder distinctions between poetry and drama typical of modern literary studies was not a Renaissance way of thinking, and a reader as sophisticated as Spenser—who may himself have been a dramatist of some kind—is unlikely to have viewed genre parochially. Though it is difficult to be precise about Spenser’s knowledge of the drama, because of his residence in Ireland and the paucity of unequivocal references in his work, he was certainly aware of Sackville and Norton’s *Gorboduc* (1570). *Gorboduc* though is very much a drama that is stylistically “drab,” so I juxtapose *Daphnaïda* with the more adventurous work of a younger alumnus of Merchant Taylors school, Thomas Kyd. Most authorities date *The Spanish Tragedy* to the late 1580s, so it is conceivable that Spenser could have seen this extraordinarily popular play during his return to London. Reread in this light, Alcyon’s tirade is not unlike the kind of elaborate rhetoric Kyd deploys for Hieronimo, as in the celebrated speech, “O eyes, no eyes, but fountains fraught with tears” (3.2.1–23). The use of anaphora and the 4/6 line for dramatic pathos finds a direct parallel in the play’s penultimate scene, as Hieronimo reveals Horatio’s body and the reason for his revenge:

([Draws the curtain and] shows his dead son.)
See here my show, look on this spectacle.
Here lay my hope, and here my hope hath end;
Here lay my heart, and here my heart was slain;
Here lay my treasure, here my treasure lost;
Here lay my bliss, and here my bliss bereft.
But hope, heart, treasure, joy, and bliss,
All fled, failed, died, yea, all decayed with this.
(4.4.88–94)
Clemen offers a still useful account of the change of sentiment and idiom that is visible in the transition from *Gorboduc* to *The Spanish Tragedy*. As he comments about the former, the dramatists’ legal training meant that “emotional speech remains a derivative product, a linguistic structure organized by the intellect and not a spontaneous expression of the feelings.” Hieronimo’s many complaints are also insistently rhetorical, yet as Clemen demonstrates in his analysis of the “O eyes, no eyes” speech, rhetoric for Kyd is a means to a different end: “Kyd’s handling of the arts of rhetoric . . . may add considerably to the effectiveness with which Hieronimo voices his feelings, provided that we are willing to be guided by the standards of late sixteenth-century taste, and not by present-day tastes.” This is an important caveat: the change within late sixteenth-century drama is not a move from legalistic rhetoric to realism; it is rather a sophisticated appreciation that traditional poetic tools (including both Senecan rhetoric and the dominant metrical patterns of the 1570s) could be put to fresh use in the framing of character. That is what happens in this speech, as Hieronimo’s anaphora-fest repeats the devices he had used in his earlier soliloquy: through a highly controlled form of recapping, the subjects of his anaphora clauses produce the climactic statement of the rhyming couplet with its significantly heavier realization of the iambic pentameter line as the script dictates that the actor must slow down both for tragic emphasis and grammatical coordination. As Clemen observes, “The grief-stricken old man . . . must with his very strange manner and speech have produced an uncanny effect on the stage.” The effect of these overlapping antithetical 4/6 lines is ideally one of profoundest pathos as Hieronimo stages the unveiling of the murdered Horatio on stage; Kyd’s “drab” techniques enable a new kind of dramatic writing.

To turn from Hieronimo to Alcyon is not a radical change of focus, despite differences of genre. Kyd’s emotive, rhetorically informed verse provides a template that Spenser critically adapts in *Daphnaïda*. Yet Spenser’s poem is not in any simple way a reading of Kyd’s play; rather, the facets of “bad” writing that critics have diagnosed in the poem have exact correspondences in the play that suggest both Spenser’s informed stylistic appreciation of contemporary drama and his appropriation of techniques from the stage to his own literary purposes. Once again, Alcyon’s style emerges as a characterizing device: drawing on the poetry of the 1570s and contemporary drama, Spenser utilizes a familiar pattern to underline Alcyon’s excesses. Unlike Hieronimo—whose runaway success made him a cultural template of grief—Alcyon’s “lowd plaints” are intended to “dul” the reader’s
ears as much as his own (l. 415); Spenser copies Kyd to stress the distance between his emotionally self-involved character and Hieronimo’s more universal appeal.45

Steven Mullaney’s recent work on “affective irony” gives a related way of analyzing Daphnaïda in terms of contemporary drama. On the basis of close readings of The Spanish Tragedy and Titus Andronicus act 2, scene 4, where Marcus interprets the mutilated Lavinia through the tropes of Ovidian poetry, Mullaney suggests, “If ‘dramatic irony’ is what we call a situation when a character is left in the dark but the audience is in the know, ‘affective irony’ seems an appropriate name for situations like this one, when a character’s expressed feeling or ‘sympathy’—Marcus’s Ovidian, narcissistic grief—is an object of irony for the audience rather than a model for its own sympathies.”46 As he goes on to note, this technique “is not limited to this play or genre.”47 Though Daphnaïda lacks the metatheatric devices of Andrea and Revenge as a play-within-a-play frame, or Lavinia as “a boundary crosser and an uncanny presence, occupying a space she should no longer occupy,”48 it does respond to Mullaney’s sense of affective irony as a means of forcing the reader to confront the limitations of Alcyon’s perspective. Thus Alcyon’s recitation of Daphne’s speech to him (quoted above) transgressively stages the object of the lament as a resistant participant in that text—Daphne crosses boundaries that Alcyon will not. Similarly, through the narrative frame, the reader overhears Alcyon by means of the narrator’s sympathetic, quasi-dramatic presentation. Yet this frame is in numerous ways unsatisfactory and provocative. As Marcus falsely interprets Lavinia, so the narrator fails either to comfort or even interpret Alcyon, with the obvious difference that the latter is articulate where Lavinia is brutally muted. If Marcus’s Ovidian commentary alienates the audience from his flawed interpretation, similarly the Daphnaïda narrator’s “pittie” and empathetic “teares” do not lead to any convergence of perspective (ll. 171–72). The ending of the poem suggests the futility of the narrator’s gestures of friendship (“But by no meanes I could him win thereto” [l. 561]) as the frame itself becomes questionable in its failure to conform to the traditional decorum of pastoral elegy. As most commentators register, Alcyon feels too much, feels in excess, and thus makes it difficult for readers to empathize with his sense of his own deprivation. Section 5 of his lament underlines this alienating logic: “I hate to feele . . . So doo I live, so doo I daylie die, / And pine away in selfe-consuming paine” (ll. 419, 435–36). As audiences are expected to “recoil from Marcus’s sympathy,” readers are intended to resist Alcyon’s self-serving numbness, a numbness that explicitly marks his chosen social and emotional isolation.49 If this
suggests a moralizing approach to Alcyon, that is chiefly in the sense that Spenser constructs him as a dramatic character for readers to evaluate, much as Shakespeare expects audiences to evaluate Marcus’s response to Lavinia; the difference is one of perspective. Alcyon is psychologically and aesthetically limited, yet through the device of the narrative frame Spenser withholds any categorical framework for judging him.50

***

Thus far, I have considered metrical and dramatic contexts for the ironically limited perspective and poetry of Alcyon’s lament. Spenser seems to have expected his audience to read Daphnaïda as a cultural sampler of complaint, with multiple and sometimes contradictory echoes. This becomes especially clear if we examine one of Alcyon’s favorite tropes in the light of a neglected intertext. Section 4 of the lament recaps the image of Alcyon as a pilgrim that the narrator used at the beginning of the poem (see ll. 41–42):

For I will walke this wandring pilgrimage
Throughout the world from one to other end,
And in affliction wast my better age.
My bread shall be the anguish of my mind,
My drink the teares which fro mine eyes do raine,
My bed the ground that hardest I may finde;
So will I wilfully increase my paine.
(ll. 372–78)

Alcyon returns to related imagery at the end of section 6: “I will withdraw me to some darksome place, / Or some deepe cave, or solitarie shade” (ll. 486–87). Pilgrimage gives way to a complete withdrawal from the sociability that Alcyon finds so oppressive; the “toylsome trade[s]” of other men are replaced with a monotonous soundtrack of complaint: “There will I sigh and sorrow all day long, / And the huge burden of my cares unlade” (ll. 485–89). As Gibson has noted, Spenser paraphrases a popular sonnet, later attributed to Ralegh, that has close links with Gorges’s work.51 This poem was itself a translation of a sonnet by Phillippe Desportes, beginning “Je me veux render hermite et faire penitence,” and was first translated by Thomas Lodge into archetypally “drab” fourteeners in 1589.52 Yet it is the second version that caught Spenser’s attention:
Like to a Hermite poore in place obscure,
I meane to spend my daies of endles doubt,
To waile such woes as time cannot recure,
Where none but Loue shall euer finde me out.

My foode shall be of care and sorow made,
My drink nought else but teares falne from mine eies,
And for my light in such obscured shade,
The flames shall serue, which from my hart arise.

A gowne of graie, my bodie shall attire,
My staffe of broken hope whereon Ile staie,
Of late repentance linckt with long desire,
The couch is fram’de whereon my limbes Ile lay,

And at my gate dispaire shall linger still,
To let in death when Loue and Fortune will.53

The connections between Daphnaïda and the English poem are clear: Spenser’s “My bread shall be the anguish of my mind, / My drink the teares which fro my eyes do raine” mimic lines 5–6 above, while “My bed the ground that hardest I may finde” echoes the end of the third quatrains; more distantly, “My staffe of broken hope whereon Ile staie” anticipates Alcyon’s “Jaakob staffe in hand devastlie crost” (l. 41) at the beginning of the poem.54

Throughout, Spenser revisits the trope of the hermit’s withdrawal into a kind of atrophied or literalized complaint; rather than being just a mode you perform, complaint is at the heart of Alcyon’s identity and is underlined by his performance and adaptation of this intertext.

Though “Like to a Hermite” did not appear in print until 1591, there is evidence that it circulated in manuscript during the 1580s;55 whoever wrote the translation, Spenser is likely to have recognized it both as a clever, and in many ways superior, adaptation of a French source. As well as its fondness for anaphoristic structures (“My foode . . . My drink”; “My staffe of broken hope whereon Ile staie . . . The couch is fram’d whereon my limbes Ile lay”) the English poem is marked by a deeper melancholy than the French original. Consider the endings: Desportes concludes with a conventional assertion of Petrarchan fealty to a displaced beloved—“tousjours, pour prier, devant mes yeux j’auray / La peinture d’Amour et celle de ma dame.”56 In contrast, the English poem substitutes a sharper and more
hysterical image of the speaker at the mercy of a sequence of quasi-allegorical personifications of “dispaire,” “death,” and “Loue and Fortune.” Where the French speaker anticipates the self-denying pleasures of the hermitage, the English speaker almost passively forecasts his own extinction—“when Loue and Fortune will”—while largely expunging the image of a lady. As Desportes’s speaker is characteristically “languissant”—languishing for his inaccessible mistress—the English speaker is more purposive in his abjection; this is legible in the terrific second line “I meane to spend my daies of endles doubt,” which has little warrant in the original.57 The gesture of a cultivated pastoral withdrawal that animates the poem substantially informs Alcyon’s lament. Anne Lake Prescott notes that “Desportes’s sonnet is a worldly poem about isolation and refuge, readily borrowed and readily understood”; Alcyon’s lament, however, follows “Like to a Hermite” in the single-minded, almost monomaniac quality of the seclusion it envisages.58

“Borrowing” is too neutral a term for what happens to this poem in Daphnæida: Spenser’s version is a critical adaptation of the English text, immersed in its hyperbolic atmosphere of “broken hope” and “late repentance.” When the motifs of the sonnet are recontextualized in the fictive context of Alcyon’s lament, they become something more than what Arthur Marotti calls “A courtier’s disingenuous announcement of his retirement from the field of political competition.”59 Instead, Spenser synthesizes a well-known poem to underline the limitations of Alcyon’s self-presentation. One word reveals Spenser’s strategy: “So will I wilfully increase my paine” (l. 378). The annotating adverb gets to the heart of how Daphnæïda presents Alcyon: where the rest of the stanza inhabits the same milieu as “Like to a Hermite,” “wilfully” suggests a discriminating editorial presence who doesn’t want his readers to accept Alcyon at face value. Parallel uses of this word in The Faerie Queene suggest Alcyon’s problematic kinship, as when Scudamour “wilfully him throwing on the gras, / Did beat and bounse his head and brest full sore” outside of the House of Busirane (III.xi.27).60 As we shall see, Scudamour at the end of Book III is an analogue to Alcyon both in his impotence and his repeated prostration. In my view, this intertext shows Spenser denaturing a text of the moment for his own purposes. By “denature,” I mean that through the strategy of paraphrasing “Like to a Hermite” into Daphnæïda, Spenser changes the properties of the original poem almost out of recognition: the revision rewrites the conceit of a courtly fantasy of seclusion to provide a covert commentary on the complainant.61 What interested Spenser about “Like to a Hermite” was that it was a complaint text he could absorb and modify into his own extreme version of complaint.
As with his adoption of “drab” sources and his use of techniques from contemporary drama, Spenser uproots texts, tropes, and patterns of imagery from a range of sources to complicate how we respond to them in the hard new ground of his poem. This process of denaturing is also at work in his reshaping of the rhyme royal stanza.

* * *

_Daphnaïda_ is thus neither disinterested advocacy for Arthur Gorges nor an unaccountable example of Spenserian ineptitude; rather, it is a poem that is conscious of its status as complaint and of its multiple dialogues with overlapping literary pasts—with Ovid, with Chaucer, with the poets of the 1570s, with contemporary drama, and also with Spenser’s own back catalog.62 This is what I turn to now: _Daphnaïda_ as a continuation of the concerns of the _Complaints_ volume. As Miller underlines, one of the most puzzling aspects of _Daphnaïda_’s publication history is its reappearance in the _Fowre Hymnes_ quarto. Ponsonby may have included _Daphnaïda_ as an extra to fill out what would otherwise have been a relatively meager volume: the _Hymnes_ occupy forty-five pages of the 1596 quarto, which is increased to seventy-one by the inclusion of the earlier poem.63 Recent research suggests that this is an issue for both the poem’s second and the first editions: _Daphnaïda_ is from the outset something of an extra, a kind of bonus track.

Bonus tracks are integral to the marketing strategies of record companies as they seek to resell music in increasingly lavish formats to consumers in a market where digital platforms are making physical products increasingly redundant.64 Though such strategies for fleecing music lovers may seem remote from the book trade of the 1590s, Adrian Weiss’s research on the watermarks of the _Complaints_ and _Daphnaïda_ quartos suggests that there is nothing new under the commercial sun: publishers and record companies exploit different formats to stimulate interest and attract buyers. It seems that the _Complaints_ volume was frequently broken into discrete sections and sold accordingly: “the distribution of the nine verse texts into four bibliographical units with first-leaf recto title-pages could have been a deliberate marketing strategy.”65 We have known for a long time that _Mother Hubberds Tale_ was still available as a separate, more expensive text after its “calling in” in the early 1590s, but Weiss’s work suggests that the nine-poem “complete” _Complaints_ was often sold discretely from the outset: “each section could have been tied up and marketed as a separate book, or all four could have been purchased for binding into a
complete set." Weiss further establishes that the dating of Spenser’s dedication on January 1, 1591, to Helena Snackenborg, Marquess of Northampton, is new style by showing “that exactly the same papers were used in both Daphnaïda and Complaints.” He thus demonstrates the physical proximity of the two publications, even positioning Spenser in Orwin’s printing shop in January 1591. Physical proximity implies aesthetic proximity, or at least a continuity of creative endeavor. Though only three copies of the 1591 Daphnaïda survive, one (now at the Huntington Library) seems to have been bound with the Complaints. As Weiss suggests, it was possible for customers to assemble a unified Complaints and Daphnaïda—a “collector’s edition” of Spenserian complaint.

Seen in this light, Daphnaïda was something of an extra in both 1596 and 1591. Weiss rightly stresses the separation between Complaints and Daphnaïda as bibliographical units, noting that Daphnaïda starts with a new set of signatures despite the common provenance of the paper. Nevertheless, this putative collector’s edition suggests ways of reading Daphnaïda in terms of Complaints. The buyer who had the two quartos bound together would have turned from the closing sections of The Visions of Petrarch (hereafter cited as VP), and the weary eschatology provoked by the death of Laura, to a rescripting of that scenario in Daphnaïda. The move from Laura’s death (“Wherewith she languisht as the gathered foure” [VP, l. 79]) and the narrator’s contemptus mundi (“I wish I might this wearie life forgoe” [VP, l. 89]) anticipates Alcyon’s mourning for Daphne: “O that so faire a flower so soone should fade, / And through untimely tempest fall away”; “to dye must needes be joyeous, / And wishfull thing this sad life to forgoe” (ll. 237–38, 451–52). The continuity of feeling between the close of Complaints and Daphnaïda is remarkable: Spenser is copying his own work, and in doing so ventriloquizing both the Petrarchan tradition and his own back catalog, albeit in a different context and with a more complex narrative frame. The analogy with the Visions of Petrarch helps to locate the mood of the later poem. While at one level, the Visions sequence is juvenilia Spenser had recast for the purposes of the Complaints volume, at another these are serious and inventive poems in their own right, which prefigure and participate in the skills of the mature poet. To imitate them again in Daphnaïda shows the ongoing usefulness of these lamenting modalities.

The conflation of different texts and congruent moments of mourning may account for a puzzling slip in Daphnaïda, as Alcyon mistakes “faire Eu- rydice” for Proserpina when he resolves to travel in imitation of the grieving Ceres “Throghout the world, with wofull heavie thought” (ll. 463–65).
mistake has puzzled commentators because it is the kind of error a reader as
learned as Spenser should not have made. But if he was remembering the old
Petrarchan poem he had recently revamped for the Complaints volume, the
choice of name is more explicable. The death scene in The Visions of Pe-
trarch—and the woodcut from A Theatre for Worldlings—conflate the death
of “so faire a Ladie” with that of Eurydice: “Above the waist a darke clowde
shrowded her / A stinging Serpent by the heele her caught; / Wherewith she
 languisht as the gathered floure” (VP, ll. 77–79); as Robert Durling notes,
“this vision reenacts the death of Eurydice.”74 As Oram’s notes indicate,
the use of Eurydice in Daphnaïda is more of a mythic clustering than a mis-
take, in which Spenser uses one name to trigger memories of two myths,
positioning Alcyon—never, it must be said, a modest speaker—as both Or-
pheus and Ceres.75 Understood in this expanded bibliographical context, the
voice we hear in lines like “She fell away against all course of kinde: / For age
to dye is right, but youth is wrong; / She fel away like fruit blowne downe
with winde” (ll. 242–44) is a mélange of Petrarch via Marot, der Noot, early
Spenser, and the complaint tradition.

***

Finally, I turn to what may be the oddest thing about Daphnaïda: its
stana. Oram aptly characterizes its distinctiveness: an ababcbc variant of
ryme royal that “typically avoids the neat chime of a final couplet, and in-
tegrates the last rhyme more fully into the structure of the whole.”76 As
Steven May and W. A. Ringler’s epic work of bibliography demonstrates,
the Daphnaïda stanza is extremely unusual: they list just nine examples, in-
cluding Spenser’s poem, two of which are later than 1591; there are only five
poems that follow the same pattern of an ababcbc stanza with decasylla-
labic lines, and nothing that offers a functional equivalent to Daphnaïda
in terms of length and complexity.77 This underlines the singularity of Spenser’s
poem: in a culture where rhyme royal was the dominant seven-line form,
Spenser’s modification is all but unprecedented. During the later sixteenth
century, rhyme royal was associated with Chaucer and The Mirror for Mag-
istrates; as Puttenham notes, it was “the chief of our ancient proportions
used by any rhymer writing anything of historical or grave poem.”78 By
the 1590s, its persistence is largely in texts that look back to The Mirror—
Daniel’s Complaint of Rosamund, Drayton’s Legends, even Shakespeare’s
Lucrece. Elsewhere, I have made two related suggestions about this shift:
first, that by the 1590s rhyme royal had become outmoded and almost an-
tiquarian—it is the perfect stanza for The Ruines of Time; second, that the
variable syntaxes mandated by rhyme royal underlie the Spenserian stanza. Why then did Spenser disrupt this form for *Daphnaïda*?

The easiest way to answer this is to compare *Daphnaïda* with a congruent poem. *The Ruines of Time* is the obvious candidate, both because it is the single rhyme royal text in *Complaints* and because of the intertextual connections between the two. Both ventriloquize lament for the vanished past as a precursor to contemptus mundi, and both frame experimental laments in the context of solitary strolls by the poet-narrator. In terms of the 1591 “collector’s edition” of *Complaints*, the inclusion of *Daphnaïda* would have given to that collection a powerful sense of circularity, as laments for the depredations of time and the elegies for the Dudley and Sidney families anticipate the melancholy tonalities of the later poem. Rhyme royal is well suited to the different elements of *The Ruines of Time*, ranging from its assertion of the “eternizing” powers of poetry to its mourning of cultural evanescence:

```
For deeds doe die, how ever noblie donne,
And thoughts of men do as themselves decay,
But wise wordes taught in numbers for to runne,
Recorded by the Muses, live for ay;
Ne may with storming showers be washt away,
Ne bitter breathing windes with harmfull blast,
Nor age, nor envie shall them euer wast.
```

*(RT*, ll. 400–406)

This stanza demonstrates the propensity of rhyme royal to fall into two unequal portions: an *abab* quatrain followed by a *bcc* tercet. The fourth line—like the fifth line of the Spenserian—determines whether the stanza emphasizes this organization or whether it foregrounds the double couplet climax. In this case, the connection between lines four and five is predominantly periodic or syntactic, by which I mean that Spenser promotes the grammatical turn at the start of the fifth line, “Ne,” above the sonic link between the *ay: away* rhyme; that Spenser is writing on the basis of a final tercet is clearly legible in the heavy pause at the end of the fourth line and the linked connectives “Ne/Ne/Nor” of the next three lines.

Rhyme royal is equally suited to maximizing the rhetorical embellishment afforded by the double couplet, as in Verlame’s initial lament:

```
I was that Citie, which the garland wore
Of Britaines pride, delivered unto me
```
By Romane Victors, which it wonne of yore;
Though nought at all but ruines now I bee,
And lye in mine owne ashes, as ye see:
Verlame I was; what bootes it that I was,
Sith now I am but weedes and wastfull gras?

(RT, ll. 36–42)

The syntax—cued by the emphatic punctuation of the 1591 quarto—demands a strong pause after “yore” (all the stronger for the heavy enjambment from the second to the third line), while the following line features a new clause of qualification and extension that develops into an independent, exemplary statement of lament as Verlame points at herself as the ruin of time. Spenser wants us to hear Verlame’s heart-wringing changes, which means that syntax yields to the dominating form of the double couplet close. In this permutation, rhyme royal derives its poetic energy from its nimble ability to shift from the interwoven form of its first “half” to the more conclusive shape afforded by the second “half.” As with the Spenserian stanza, rhyme royal is at once formally restrictive and syntactically permissive, enabling the skillful poet to manipulate it seemingly at will.

By the simple revisionary strategy of swapping the rhymes in the fifth and sixth lines, Daphnaïda disables such euphony. Consider the second most often-cited stanza in Daphnaïda, in which the narrator reveals his own melancholy and that has been connected with Spenser’s own bereavement:

There came unto my minde a troublous thought,
Which dayly dooth my weaker wit possesse,
Ne lets it rest, untill it forth have brought
Her long borne Infant, fruit of heavinesse,
Which she conceived hath through meditation
Of this worlds vainnesse and lifes wretchednesse,
That yet my soule it deeply doth empassion.

(ll. 29–35)

Though the first half of the stanza preserves the abab structure of rhyme royal, what happens after goes in a different, less emphatic direction. That is not to say that through devices like anaphora, Spenser cannot manipulate the Daphnaïda stanza into the recursive, reiterative frameworks that Alcyon employs; nevertheless, this new stanza form seems designed to slacken the marriage of syntax and rhyme characteristic of rhyme royal. Rather than juxtaposing the big gloomy phrases of “fruit of heauinesse” and “lifes
wretchednesse” in the way rhyme royal would, the stanza frustrates rhyming conjunction in favor of a more languid, prose-like syntax, evident in grammatical connectives like “Which she conceiued”; “That yet my soule” (my emphases). Where rhyme royal, like the Spenserian stanza, mixes inwoven lines with couplet climaxes, the Daphnaïda stanza artfully eliminates the latter, with consequences for the way it functions poetically. And it may well be that this imbricated, less emphatic stanza fits the depression the narrator describes: “a troublous thought / Which dayly dooth my weaker wit possesse” demands such an attenuating discursive structure.

One way of emphasizing the oddness of the Daphnaïda form is to try to rearrange stanzas back into the rhyme royal pattern. Naturally, not all stanzas are amenable to such transposition; the one I’ve just quoted uses a periodic syntax that develops from line to line—reorder its fifth and sixth lines and you are left with nonsense, elevating the object-qualifier phrase ahead of the subject-verb phrase. However, Alcyon’s lament—by virtue of its obsessive stylization—does yield stanzas that can be rearranged:

Yet whilst I in this wretched vale doo stay,
My wearie feete shall ever wandring be,
That still I may be readie on my way,
When as her messenger doth come for me:
Ne will I rest my feete for feeblenesse,
Ne will I rest my limmes for frailtie,
Ne will I rest mine eyes for heavinesse.
(ll. 456–63)

The repeated “Ne will I rest” formula facilitates a seamless rhyme royal palimpsest:

Yet whilst I in this wretched vale doo stay,
My wearie feete shall ever wandring be,
That still I may be readie on my way,
When as her messenger doth come for me:
Ne will I rest my feete for feeblenesse,
Ne will I rest my limmes for frailtie,
Ne will I rest mine eyes for heavinesse.

This experiment does not suggest that Spenser wrote Daphnaïda as rhyme royal and then “decomposed” it into the new form. My point is rather that the relatively minimal semantic differences between the two stanzas draw
attention to the larger dissonance between the *Daphnæïda* stanza and rhyme royal. Although the transposition of lines makes little difference to overall meaning—Alcyon either claims he won’t rest his “feete” or “limmes” first—aesthetically, the difference between Spenser’s stanza and the new version is significant and audible. My stanza is wrong precisely because it achieves the euphonious climaxes that Spenser avoided. Particularly in Alcyon’s lament, *Daphnæïda* signals rhyme royal but is deliberately thwarted from achieving that pattern. In other words, my rearrangement is how Alcyon wants to sound—he gestures to the emphatic and authoritative style of a Verlame or a Troilus whose lament commands sympathy—but that is precisely how Spenser frustrates him from sounding. As with the 4/6 line and the allusions to contemporary drama, the *Daphnæïda* stanza frames the poem’s protagonist for the purposes of distancing the reader from his “outragious” claims (l. 555).84

The eccentricity of *Daphnæïda*’s stanza form has implications for its broader failure to conform to the conventions of pastoral elegy. The poem is notorious for its withholding of the consolation that Colin Clout provides in “November,” and this refusal of literary decorum highlights a further innovation: that of complaint overwhelming narrative. *Daphnæïda* is structured around a sequence of repeated failures: the narrator tries to establish an emotional rapport with Alcyon, which the latter serially refuses (see ll. 57–91, 169–96, 540–67). Indeed, the “affective irony” of the poem is enhanced by a dissonance Spenser hardwires into his poem: on the one hand, the narrator repeatedly presents Alcyon as an object of sympathy, but on the other, Alcyon’s words and actions deny that sympathy. This approach contrasts with *The Ruines of Time*, where the narrator’s voice merges with that of Verlame, and the later sequence of visions that closes the poem.85 If the rhyme royal stanza of that poem offers a place in which the “mourning melodie” of various complainants may be successfully combined for the purposes of visionary reflection (*RT*, l. 596), in contrast, the “meditations” of the narrator and Alcyon produce no comparable harmony—Alcyon’s note remains “the huge burden of my cares,” a resis-
tant and ultimately antisocial “undersong” that the narrator struggles to join. Consider the penultimate stanza:

Tho when the pang was somewhat overpast,  
And the outragious passion nigh appeased,  
I him desirde, sith day was overcast,  
And darke night fast approched, to be pleased
To turne aside unto my Cabinet,
And staie with me, till he were better eased
Of that strong stownd, which him so sore beset.

(ll. 554–60)

There is something peculiar about Spenser’s stanzaic writing here. Despite the presence of emphatic, trisyllabic rhymes on “overpast” and “overcast,” both of which suggest conclusiveness, the syntax is more strongly enjambed than is Spenser’s usual practice, or certainly more than Paul Alpers’s influential model of the sovereign, individual line in *The Faerie Queene* would predict. Despite the quarto’s punctuation, there are enjambments after the second, fourth, fifth, and sixth lines; sense hurries on and the verse refuses to make its habitual line-end half pauses as the narrator is involved with Alcyon’s unavailing grief. The same thing happens in the final stanza: “he foorth did goe / With staggering pace and dismall looks dismay, / As if that death he in the face had seene” (ll. 563–65) is as close as Spenser gets to breathless. The stanza form is thus best understood as denatured rhyme royal, whose rhyme scheme disrupts the reader’s expectation and ironizes Alcyon’s heavy-handed grief. As Spenser denatures “Like to a Hermite” in Alcyon’s lament to subvert the romance of hermetic seclusion, so through the strategy of changing the placement of the third line in the b-rhyme, he rewire the properties of the seven-line stanza form; the revision undoes how rhyme royal usually works for the purposes of frustration and qualification. If we find *Daphnaïda* an aesthetically half-hearted and alienating experiment, that was baked into the stylistic challenge Spenser set himself: to rework the brilliantly fluid and malleable rhyme royal stanza in such a way that it loses those characteristics while at the same time invoking the assumptions of post-Chaucerian rhyme royal complaint.

In the oeuvre of a poet who is already famously “difficult,” a poem of “The heaviest plaint that ever I heard sound” (l. 541) is perhaps not likely to win sympathetic readers: it remains a resistant, almost antisocial poem. Yet the reasons for heeding its unconventional frequencies have never been more compelling as we come to understand Spenser more as an innovator and experimenter and less as a social and artistic conservative. *Daphnaïda* shows Spenser’s continuing engagement with complaint, extending that mode in unexpected ways. If texts like *The Ruines of Time, The Teares of the Muses*, and the *Visions* that close the 1591 *Complaints* volume suggest a poet too comfortable with the conservative aspects of the complaint tradition, *Daphnaïda*’s radical aesthetic offers a corrective:
Spenser complains because of the critical space the mode affords for distancing and discrimination.\textsuperscript{87} Indeed, the later poem partly counters the accumulated weight of the complaint tradition in the figure of Alcyon. Though Spenser remains deeply fascinated by this state of mind, the poem’s final gesture of the narrator’s failing to follow Alcyon’s “staggering pace and dismall looks dismay” (l. 564) hints at the parting of the ways between the critical poet and the self-involved subject. More broadly, the poem’s ironic techniques both at the level of form and intertextual play are relevant to any reading of \textit{The Faerie Queene}. In the comparatively brief space of this poem, Spenser showcases the ways in which his manipulation of traditional materials underpins the complex work of allegorical characterization.

Yet Spenser never altogether escapes from complaint. Consider the proximity between \textit{Daphnaïda} and the revised ending of Book III of \textit{The Faerie Queene}, first published in 1596. The 1590 passage, and in particular the hermaphrodite image, has been discussed extensively and remains one of the fundamental cruxes of Spenser scholarship.\textsuperscript{88} The revised ending, because it is plainer, more transactional—and more \textit{Daphnaïda}-like—has had less commentary:

\begin{quote}
But he sad man, when he had long in drede
Awayted there for \textit{Britomarts} returne,
Yet saw her not nor signe of her good speed,
His expectation to despaire did turne
Misdeeming sure that her those flames did burne;
And therefore gan aduize with her old Squire
Who her deare nounslings losse no lesse did mourne,
Thence to depart for further aide t’enquire:
Where let them wend at will, whilest here I doe respire.
(III.xii.45 [1596])
\end{quote}

“Sad” is something of a signature adjective in \textit{Daphnaïda}—the Fates have “sad hands”; care, death, balefulness, and life are sad; saddest of all is Alcyon himself—“the wofulst man alive; / Even sad Alcyon” (ll. 6–7). Though it is a common epithet, in the context of the previous canto and Britomart’s repeated failure to get Scudamour off the ground (Alcyon and Scudamour are both sufferers of the falling sickness, a neat signature of masculine abjection), a \textit{Daphnaïda} note is audible in the downturn of the revised ending with its postponement of the distracting plenitude of
the original. What we see in both *Daphnaïda* and the revised Book III ending is a deliberate experiment with complaint that overwhelms, or disables, narrative. Oram suggests that *Daphnaïda* anticipates the greater prominence of the narrator in Spenser’s later poetry: he “becomes the subject of his poetry,” particularly in *Amoretti, Prothalamion*, and the *Hymnes.* We might also think of the passages like the Aemylia’s lament in Lust’s cave, the Book V proem, and the romance narratives of Book VI, where complaint no longer knows its place. It, too, threatens to become the poetry, and Spenser is increasingly preoccupied with telling “the dolefull dreriment, / And pittiful complaints” of heroines like Pastorella, Serena, and Mirabella at the expense of finishing their stories (VI.x.44).

One of the tacit messages of *Daphnaïda* is that the longer and more self-absorbed the lament, the harder it is for readers or audiences to remain patient with them. Spenser didn’t write *The Teares of the Muses* for nothing. Yet the sense we make of these laments as readers remains disconcerting. To reapply David Lee Miller’s characterization of Spenser’s allegorical poetics, such complaint texts constitute “a sort of internalized iconoclasm that makes the poetry a perpetually self-displacing mode of discourse.”” Complaint, in other words, keeps returning to Spenser as the shadowy twin of allegory. That displaced, lamenting, unidealized self is never altogether out of his discursive—much less poetic—reach.
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This essay owes much to my friends David Lee Miller and Jonathan Gibson: I am indebted to their published and unpublished work on the poem and their encouragement in taking my thinking in new directions. I would also like to thank Mark Rasmussen for his careful reading of the essay, which has been much improved by his suggestions.
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