Functional analysis of the mouse 
*CBP* gene in the adult central 
nervous system

by Zuwen Zhang

Thesis submitted to the Open University for the degree 
of Doctor of Philosophy

August 2001

Sponsoring Establishment: 
Max-Planck-Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany

First supervisor: Dr. Beat Lutz
Max-Planck-Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany

Second supervisor: Dr. Cahir O’Kane
University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

DATE OF SUBMISSION 30 JULY 2001
DATE OF AWARD 8 JANUARY 2002
献给我所有的家人！

没有他们各方面的全力支持，尤其是在我动荡的生活中总是有一个归处让我安享平静。否则，我实在是难以想象可以顺利完成该论文。

自古道忠孝难以两全。可是我既谈不上忠，又谈不上孝，这又算得是什么呢？有时我也常常纳闷，我为什么要漂泊国外？我到底图得是什么？自九一年在北京求学起十多年来的生活，每当离开家门，最不敢看的就是妈妈的眼睛，否则我自知还不能狠心离开，虽然妈妈从没有当面流过泪。这么多年来常伴随着我始终是妈妈那双企盼的眼睛。虽然妈妈身边儿孙绕膝，可是作为一个为子女付出一切的普通母亲晚年的唯一愿望：所有子女团聚一堂，安享快乐和平静，我却不能满足，为此我深感内疚。

我谨以此文献上最衷心的祝福，

祝全家和和睦睦，永远平安，快乐！无论何时，我都拥有这个温馨的家可归。

祖文

公元二〇〇一年八月
Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 cAMP pathway and memory

1.2 Transcription factor CREB

1.3 Transcriptional cofactor CREB-binding protein (CBP)
Chapter 2 Materials and Methods........................................................................... 36
2.1 Materials............................................................................................................. 36

2.1.1 Common chemicals......................................................................................... 36

2.1.2 Antibodies and enzymes.................................................................................. 38

2.1.3 Nucleotides and nucleic acids......................................................................... 39

2.1.4 Kits and special materials................................................................................ 40

2.1.5 Commonly used media, buffers and stock solutions........................................ 41

2.1.6 Oligonucleotide primers and probes................................................................. 46

2.1.7 Bacteria strains................................................................................................ 49

2.1.8 Plasmids.......................................................................................................... 49

2.2 Methods.............................................................................................................. 50

2.2.1 Basic DNA/RNA methods............................................................................... 50

2.2.1.1 DNA isolation.............................................................................................. 50

2.2.1.1.1 Plasmid mini-preparation.......................................................................... 50

2.2.1.1.2 Large scale plasmid preparation............................................................... 51

2.2.1.1.3 Preparation of genomic DNA from embryonic stem cells......................... 52
2.2.1.4 Preparation of genomic DNA from mouse tails........................................52
2.2.1.2 RNA isolation.............................................................................................53
2.2.1.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis........................................................................54
2.2.1.4 PCR reaction...............................................................................................54
2.2.1.5 RT-PCR.......................................................................................................55
2.2.1.6 Restriction enzyme digestion.................................................................57
2.2.1.7 Blunting of DNA.........................................................................................57
2.2.1.8 Phosphatase treatment...............................................................................58
2.2.1.9 Ligation.......................................................................................................59
2.2.1.10 Preparation of competent bacteria.........................................................59
2.2.1.11 Transformation.........................................................................................60
2.2.2 Southern blot.................................................................................................60
2.2.3 In situ hybridization.......................................................................................61
2.2.4 Immunostaining ............................................................................................64
2.2.5 Transfection....................................................................................................65
2.2.6 Isolation of genomic CBP DNA.................................................................66
2.2.6.1 Preparation of host bacteria and phage....................................................66
2.2.6.2 Absorption of phage into host bacteria....................................................67
2.2.6.3 Blotting of phage onto membranes...........................................................67
2.2.6.4 Hybridization..............................................................................................68
2.2.6.5 Screening for genomic CBP DNA from phage libraries...........................69
2.2.6.6 Large scale preparation of phage DNA....................................................69
2.2.6.7 Sequence analysis.......................................................................................71
2.2.7 Embryonic stem (ES) cell culture ................................................................. 71
  2.2.7.1 Preparation of mouse fibroblast feeder cells .............................................. 71
  2.2.7.2 ES cell culture ......................................................................................... 73
      2.2.7.2.1 Trypsinization of ES cells ................................................................ 73
      2.2.7.2.2 Thawing and expanding of ES cells .................................................. 74
      2.2.7.2.3 Electroporation of ES cells, antibiotic selection, picking, expansion and
           freezing of cell clones .............................................................................. 75
  2.2.8 Blastocyst injection ..................................................................................... 77
  2.2.9 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion in ES cells .................................... 78

Chapter 3

Results ...................................................................................................................... 79
  3.1 Expression pattern of CBP .............................................................................. 79
      3.1.1 Introduction ............................................................................................. 79
      3.1.2 Preparation of riboprobes ........................................................................ 79
      3.1.3 In situ hybridization with radioactive probes ........................................... 80
      3.1.4 In situ hybridization with non-radioactive probes .................................. 81
      3.1.5 Immunostaining ...................................................................................... 84
  3.2 Mutational analysis of CBP protein in vitro ................................................... 86
      3.2.1 Generation of CBP mutants .................................................................... 88
      3.2.2 Transfection assays ................................................................................. 92
  3.3 Genomic organization of CBP ....................................................................... 96
      3.3.1 Isolation of genomic CBP DNA ................................................................. 96
3.3.1.1 Preparation of probe for genomic DNA screening.....................................96
3.3.1.2 Cloning, restriction mapping and sequencing of CBP........................................96
3.3.2 Genomic structure of CBP..............................................................................97
3.3.2.1 Phage clones of genomic CBP...........................................................................97
3.3.2.2 Plasmid clones of genomic CBP.......................................................................99
3.3.2.3 Structure of the CBP gene..............................................................................100
3.3.3 Targeting constructs for generation of conditional CBP knock-out mice.......101
3.4 Probes for screening for correct homologous recombinants.................................103
3.4.1 Preparation of probes........................................................................................103
3.4.2 Qualitative analysis of probes...........................................................................104
3.5 Generation of CBPstop523 knock-out mouse..........................................................106
3.5.1 Construction of the targeting vector CBPstop523 ..............................................106
3.5.2 Screening for correct homologous recombinant of the targeted CBPstop523.......110
3.5.3 Mating for germline transmission.......................................................................112
3.5.4 Generation of a mouse model for human RTS.....................................................115
3.5.5 Generation of mice lacking the Neo cassette........................................................118
3.5.6 Towards the generation of a tissue-specific mouse mutant....................................120
3.5.7 Preliminary phenotypic analysis of CBPstop523 mice..........................................120
3.6 Generation of CBP^{Tyr658Ala} knock-out mouse.....................................................122
3.6.1 Construction of the targeting vector CBP^{Tyr658Ala}............................................122
3.6.2 Screening for correct homologous recombinants of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} allele.................................................................126
3.6.3 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion of CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} .......................................................... 129

3.6.3.1 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion of CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} in bacteria ................. 129
3.6.3.2 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion of CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} in ES cells ............... 132

3.6.4 Examination of splicing pattern of the inverted allele of the targeted CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} .......................................................... 133

3.6.4.1 Excision of PGK-Neo cassette from the inversion cell line ............................................. 133
3.6.4.2 Examination of splicing pattern of the inversion allele ..................................................... 134

3.6.5 Mating for the germ line transmission of the targeted CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} .................. 136

3.7 Conclusions on generation of CBP mutant mice ................................................................. 137

Chapter 4 Discussion .............................................................................................................. 138

4.1 Expression pattern of CBP in adult mouse brain ................................................................. 139

4.2 Genomic organization of CBP ......................................................................................... 140

4.3 Functional analysis of CBP \textit{in vitro} ................................................................................. 142

4.4 Cre-mediated inversion by using mutated Cre/loxP system ........................................... 143

4.5 Generation of CBP knock-out mice .................................................................................. 144

4.5.1 CBP\textsuperscript{Stop523} knock-out mice .............................................................................. 145
4.5.2 CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} knock-out mice ........................................................................... 147

4.6 Preliminary phenotypical analysis of CBD2\textsuperscript{+/−} mouse line .................................... 147

Future perspectives .............................................................................................................. 149
Appendix I........................................................................................................................................150
Appendix II......................................................................................................................................156
References.......................................................................................................................................171
Declaration

I declare that no part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a academic degree or other qualification to the Open University or any other university or institution.

There is no collaboration has been made concerning the work in this thesis.

I agree that this thesis, if approved for the Doctoral degree of Philosophy, is deposited in the University Library and maybe available and photocopied at the discretion of the Librarian.

Zuwen Zhang
Acknowledgements

The deepest thanks go to my first supervisor **Dr. Beat Lutz** for giving me the opportunity to pursue the Doctoral degree of Philosophy in his laboratory, helping me to shift from the field of Medical Sciences to one of the most interesting basic research field in the new century, neurosciences. His invaluable advice and guidance will be of "long-term memory" in my brain, surely be of great treasure for my research career in the future.

I would like to thank my second supervisor **Dr. Cahir O’kane** for his many useful suggestions throughout the whole period of my study by visiting each other, and emails, especially for the wonderful time we had in Cambridge University, which had been one of my dreams to be indulged in the top university in the world.

**Dr. Clementine Hofmann** is another person I should not forget to thank for her guidance of my experiments concerning ES cell culture and many others. She always teaches me patiently and explained every step as detailed as possible. I would also like to thank **Susanne Bourier** in GSF-National Research Centre for Environment and Health in Munich for her providing of feeder plates for ES cell culture and her many assistance in the cell culture laboratory.

My many thanks now go to all members in Dr. Lutz's laboratory, **Giovanni Marsicano**, **Rebekka Mindnich**, **Jan Hendrik Sitz** and **Heike Hermann** for their many comprehensive discussions scientifically, useful suggestions, and a lot of others we shared together which made my daily life in Germany colourful and plentiful; **Katja Finkl**, **Michaela Wiedeman**, **René Schadowski**, **Christine Schleicher**, **Henriette Dietrich**, **Anika Daschner** and **Barbara Wölfel** for their excellent technical supports; **Elisabeth Güll** for her many help on documental management and administration. And thank all for them teaching and making me big progress in “Deutsch Lernen”.

I thank my **whole family** and all **friends** for their countless support in many aspects during my roving life in foreign countries. I will be forever in debt to my **Mama** who gives all she could provide to her children, for that I spend too less time to company with her.

At last but not the least, I thank God for giving me all.
CBP (CREB-binding protein) was originally characterized as a transcriptional coactivator of CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein) which is implicated in many processes including the formation of long-term memory. CBP itself is regulated by nuclear Ca$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$/Calmodulin kinase IV, suggesting a crucial role in synaptic plasticity. Mutations in human CBP gene are associated with the Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome (RTS) characterized by mental retardation and patterning abnormalities. These features suggest that CBP plays a central role in cAMP-mediated gene expression, which in turn is implicated in the formation of long-term memory.

The expression patterns of CBP and its homologue p300 were analyzed. Both are expressed in most of forebrain areas, with particularly high expression in the hippocampus. Parts of the mouse CBP gene were characterized. Six exons encode the CREB-binding domain (CBD). Two gene targeting experiments were performed to generate mouse lines with mutations in the CBP gene. The first was a deletion of the CBD exon 2, resulting in a frameshift and, thus, a truncated CBP protein (CBP$^\text{stop523}$). In the second experiment, a point mutation was aimed to be introduced in the CBD exon 5 (CBP$^{\text{Tyr658Ala}}$). After germline transmission, three mouse lines were obtained from CBP$^{\text{stop523}}$ allele: CBD2$^{+/}$, a general deletion of the CBD exon 2; CBD2.floxed, which will be crossed with different Cre mouse lines for tissue-specific mutants; and CBD2.floxed.neo, which might be a hypomorphic allele due to the Neo cassette. For the construct CBP$^{\text{Tyr658Ala}}$, none of the chimeras gave germline transmission, as apparently
the splicing of the inverted allele was incorrect, possibly leading to strong reduction of vitality. Meantime, six CBP mutants were generated to elucidate functional domains of CBP \textit{in vitro}: a deletion mutation CBPΔCBD2-5; CBP1100aa, which was reported in mutant mice displaying RTS-like phenotypes; and a point mutation CBP658Ala, which was shown to be critical for interaction with phospho-CREB. The other three mutants retain different sizes of truncated N-terminal CBP and similar proteins were observed in RTS. Both CBP658Ala and CBPΔCBD2-5 interfered with the cAMP pathway, but not with nuclear receptor-mediated functions. RTS mutants showed strong inhibitory effects on the cAMP pathway.
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>adenylyl cyclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKAPs</td>
<td>PKA-specific anchoring proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMD</td>
<td>amygdala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP1</td>
<td>activator protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP5</td>
<td>a specific antagonist for NMDA receptors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATFs</td>
<td>activating transcription factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP</td>
<td>bone morphogenetic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>bovine serum albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bZIP</td>
<td>basic leucine zipper domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1,3</td>
<td>hippocampal CA1, 3 regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMK</td>
<td>calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP</td>
<td>cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>CREB-binding domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>CREB-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cys/His residues-rich region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ci</td>
<td>cubitus interruptus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKII</td>
<td>casein kinase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>central medial thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cre</td>
<td>Cre recombinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRE</td>
<td>cAMP response element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREB</td>
<td>CRE-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREM</td>
<td>CRE modulatory factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTP</td>
<td>cytidine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPC</td>
<td>diethyl pyrocarbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG</td>
<td>dentate gyrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIG</td>
<td>digoxigenin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM</td>
<td>Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethylsulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP</td>
<td>deoxynucleotide monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpc</td>
<td>days post coitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>embryonic days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ent</td>
<td>entorhinal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>embryonic stem cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9 EC</td>
<td>F9 embryonal carcinoma cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCS</td>
<td>fetal calf serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flp</td>
<td>flipase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frt</td>
<td>flipase recognition target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>inhibitory G proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-proteins</td>
<td>GTP-binding proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>stimulatory G proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK-3</td>
<td>glycogen synthase kinase-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP</td>
<td>guanosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>hemagglutinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG</td>
<td>human chorionic gonadotropin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hh</td>
<td>hedgehog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIF-1</td>
<td>hypoxia-inducible factor-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>horseradish peroxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEGs</td>
<td>immediate early genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>isopropyl-β-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>intelligence quotient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>ionizing radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KID</td>
<td>kinase-inducible domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIX</td>
<td>small region for binding to phospho-CREB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Luria broth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIF</td>
<td>leukemia inhibitory factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lox66</td>
<td>mutated loxP at right element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lox71</td>
<td>mutated loxP at left element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loxP</td>
<td>locus of crossover in P1 bacteriophage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTM</td>
<td>long-term memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTP</td>
<td>long-term potentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>N-methyl-D-aspartate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMJ</td>
<td>neuromuscular junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>neurotransmitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>open reading frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA</td>
<td>paraformaldehyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGK-Neo</td>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase-neomycin phosphotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKA</td>
<td>cAMP-dependent protein kinase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>protein kinase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMSG</td>
<td>pregnant mare serum gonadotropin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>retinoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAR</td>
<td>retinoic acid receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS</td>
<td>Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>shrimp alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC</td>
<td>sodium chloride/sodium citrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>short-term memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAD</td>
<td>transactivation domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAE</td>
<td>Tris-acetate/EDTA buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBE</td>
<td>Tris-borate/EDTA buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP</td>
<td>TATA-box-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Tris-HCl/EDTA buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF IIA,B...</td>
<td>transcription factor IIA,B...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>transforming growth factor-β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tk</td>
<td>thymidine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>international unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>wild-type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Figures

1.1 Mechanism of cAMP pathway and formation of long-term memory (LTP) ........4
1.2 Three major excitatory synapses in the trisynaptic circuit of the hippocampus ....5
1.3 Structure of CREB ........................................................................................................9
1.4 Two small domains of mouse CBP ........................................................................14
1.5 Schematic representation of mouse CBP domains ..................................................15
1.6 Cre/loxP recombination system .............................................................................30
1.7 Schematic representation of tissue-specific gene disruption using the Cre/loxP system ................................................................................................................31
1.8 The mutated Cre/loxP system ................................................................................32
3.1 Expression pattern of CBP and its related mRNA of p300 using ^35^S-labelled riboprobes ..................................................................................................................81
3.2 Expression pattern of CBP using DIG riboprobe in cortex and hippocampus ......82
3.3 Expression pattern of CBP using DIG riboprobe in entorhinal cortex and amygdala ...................................................................................................................83
3.4 Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody in visual cortex and hippocampal CA1 areas ..................................................................................................................84
3.5 Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody in hippocampal CA1/CA3 and DG areas ......................................................................................................................85
3.6 Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody in entorhinal cortex ................................85
3.7 Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody in amygdala ............................................86
3.8 Schematic representation of six CBP mutants .......................................................87
3.9 Restriction map of pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA ................................................................90
3.10 CRE-luciferase activity induced by wild-type CBP and CBD mutants ..................93
List of Figures

3.11 GRE-luciferase activity induced by wild-type CBP and CBD mutants with dexamethasone (Dex) as stimulator.................................................................95

3.12 CRE-luciferase activity induced by wild-type CBP and the RTS mutants...........95

3.13 Schematic representation of part of mouse CBP locus around the CBD region......98

3.14 Exon/intron structure of CREB-binding domain of CBP...................................101

3.15 Schematic representation of two targeting constructs and their expected CBP products...........................................................................................................103

3.16 Qualitative analysis of the diagnostic probes using inverse Southern blot........105

3.17 Subcloning of PGK-Neo cassette flanked by two frt sites into pBlueScript vector pBS II KS(-)..................................................................................................107

3.18 Combination of PGK-Neo cassette with loxP ..................................................107

3.19 Subcloning of loxP-PGK-Neo into pGEM-7zf(+)..............................................107

3.20 Subcloning of loxP into pGEM-7zf(+)..............................................................107

3.21A,B Insertion of loxP-PGK-Neo into CBD intron 1............................................108

3.22A,B Insertion of loxP into CBD intron 2.............................................................108

3.23 Extension of the left homology arm.................................................................109

3.24 Combination of CBP fragments from two phage clones..................................109

3.25 Final construct of CBP<sup>stop523</sup>; extension of the right homology arm........109

3.26 Schematic representation of homologous recombination between the targeting construct CBP<sup>stop523</sup> and CBP gene locus...............................................110

3.27 Screening for correct recombinants of the targeting construct CBP<sup>stop523</sup> with Southern blot analysis..............................................................111

3.28 Examination of the germ line transmission of the targeted CBP<sup>stop523</sup> by PCR...114

3.29 Examination of Cre-mediated excision in the targeted CBP<sup>stop523</sup> by PCR.........116

3.30 Genotyping of the F2 offspring after Cre-mediated deletion..............................117
3.31 Examination of Flp-mediated excision in the targeted CBP_{Stop523} by PCR with primers Z22 and Z39.................................119
3.32 Comparison of heterozygous CBP_{Stop523} mutant mouse (CBD 2^{+/-}) with its wild-type littermate at the age of 5 weeks........................................121
3.33 Combination of PGK-Neo with lox71.........................................................123
3.34 Mutagenesis of CBDAexon5 at aa658...........................................................123
3.35 Combination of PGK-Neo-lox71 with mutant CBDAexon5............................123
3.36 Subcloning of lox66 into pGEM-7zf(+)........................................................124
3.37 Removal of the repetitive sequence from downstream of CBD region..........124
3.38A,B Insertion of lox66 into CBD intron 4.....................................................124
3.39 Combination of CBP with fragments from two phage libraries....................125
3.40A,B Insertion of clone 5-2 (see Fig. 3.35) into CBD intron 5.........................125
3.41 Combination of tk to the end of the right homology arm.............................125
3.42 Final construct of CBP^{Tyr658Ala} .............................................................126
3.43 Schematic representation of homologous recombination between the targeting construct CBP^{Tyr658Ala} and CBP genome.............................127
3.44 Screening for correct homologous recombinants of the targeting construct CBP^{Tyr658Ala} .................................................................128
3.45 Cre-mediated inversion in bacteria..............................................................131
3.46 Cre-mediated inversion in ES cells..............................................................132
3.47 Flipase-mediated excision of PGK-Neo gene in the inversion of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} in ES cells.................................................................134
3.48 Examination of splicing pattern of the inversion of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} ...135
List of Tables

2.1 Common chemicals................................................................................................36
2.2 Antibodies and enzymes........................................................................................38
2.3 Nucleotides and nucleic acids...............................................................................39
2.4 Kits and special materials......................................................................................40
2.5 Commonly used media, buffers and stock solutions...............................................41
2.6 Oligonucleotide primers and probes.......................................................................46
2.7 Bacteria strains.......................................................................................................49
2.8 Plasmids.................................................................................................................49
2.9 Standard 50 µl PCR reaction .................................................................................54
2.10 RT-PCR: DNase mix..............................................................................................55
2.11 RT-PCR: DNase reaction.......................................................................................55
2.12 RT-PCR: 10 µl reaction..........................................................................................56
2.13 Standard digestion..................................................................................................57
2.14 Genomic DNA digestion.......................................................................................57
2.15 Fill-in of sticky ends with T4 DNA polymerase..................................................57
2.16 Removal of single-stranded extension with mung bean nuclease.......................58
2.17 Phosphatase treatment..........................................................................................58
2.18 Standard ligation....................................................................................................59
2.19 Labelling of riboprobes with digoxigenin .............................................................62
2.20 Parameters of cell culture plates or dishes............................................................74
3.1 The riboprobes for CBP and p300.........................................................................80
3.2 Summary of blastocyst injection of the targeted CBP^{stop523} ES cells...............113
3.3 Summary of blastocyst injection of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} ES cells...........136
Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 cAMP pathway and memory

1.1.1 cAMP

Cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP) was first identified as an intracellular mediator of hormone action in 1959, and since then has been recognized to act as an intracellular second messenger in many prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells (Sutherland, 1970). In response to extracellular stimuli, the concentration of cAMP (normally $\leq 10^{-7}$ M at physiological level) will be changed up or down: cAMP levels can change five-fold in seconds upon hormonal stimulation (Alberts et al., 1994). cAMP is synthesized from adenosine triphosphate (ATP) by plasma membrane-bound enzymes, adenylyl cyclases, and rapidly destroyed by cAMP phosphodiesterases which hydrolyze cAMP to adenosine 5'-monophosphate (5'-AMP). Many extracellular signaling molecules such as hormones, growth factors, and neurotransmitters may alter the activity of adenylyl cyclase, and thereby control cAMP level. Notably, the alteration of cAMP level mainly depends on the types of target cells (Antoni, 2000).

1.1.2 cAMP and CREB

Intracellular levels of cAMP are regulated primarily by adenylyl cyclase. This enzyme is in turn modulated by various extracellular stimuli mediated by receptors and their interaction with G-proteins (Gilman, 1987; McKnight et al., 1988). The binding of a specific ligand to a receptor results in the activation or inhibition of the cAMP-
dependent pathway. The neuropeptide gene somatostatin was one of the first genes shown to be regulated by cAMP (Montminy et al., 1986a). Deletion studies of the 5'-upstream region of the somatostatin gene identified the cis-acting element TGACGTCA, an 8 bp palindromic sequence, named a cAMP response element (CRE), which was later found in the promoter region of many other target genes (Montminy et al., 1986b). A comparison of the CRE sequences identified showed that the 5'-terminus of the palindromic sequence, TGACG, is more conserved, whereas the 3'-terminus, TCA motif, is less constant (Sassone-Corsi, 1995). The binding site specificity appears to require 18-20 bp, since 5 bases flanking the core consensus have been shown to dictate the permissivity of transcriptional activation (Deutsch et al., 1988). The search for CRE motif-recognizing factors led to the identification of CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein), a transcription factor belonging to the family of basic leucine zipper transcription factors (Gonzalez et al., 1989b).

cAMP is also a central molecule in synaptic plasticity and memory consolidation. During learning, extracellular stimuli such as neurotransmitters activate cell membrane receptors (G protein-coupled receptors), which in turn activate heterotrimeric GTP-binding proteins (G-proteins). Certain receptors activate adenylyl cyclase through stimulatory G protein (Gs), while others inhibit it through inhibitory G protein (Gi). In its inactive form, Gs exists as a trimer of Gα, Gβ and Gγ with Gα bound to GDP. After receptor activation, Gs dissociates to Gα and Gβγ subunits. The Gα activates adenylyl cyclase, while Gβγ can stimulate other pathways. After Gα has hydrolyzed GTP, it no longer stimulates adenylyl cyclase, and binds again as a tight complex to Gβγ.

The dissociated G-protein units influence the activity of various effector molecules including adenylyl cyclase. This enzyme then increases the intracellular cAMP concentration leading to the activation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) in
the cytoplasm and to the modification of ion channels and synaptic proteins. The PKA holoenzyme, which consists of two catalytic subunits and two regulatory subunits, is localized in the cytoplasm, presumably by the interaction of the regulatory subunit R2 with specific anchoring proteins called AKAPs (Faux and Scott, 1996). If the extracellular stimuli are persistent for a critical period of time, binding of cAMP to the regulatory subunits causes the release of the catalytic subunits of PKA, which are then free to translocate to the nucleus from cytoplasmic and Golgi complex anchoring sites to phosphorylate a number of substrates e.g., transcription factors on serines in the context X-Arg-Arg-X-Ser-X (Roesler et al., 1988; Meinkoth et al., 1990).

PKA has to phosphorylate the transcription factor CREB protein at serine-133 to achieve memory consolidation (Gonzalez and Montiminy, 1989a). Phospho-CREB then binds as a homodimer to CREs, thus, activates the transcription of immediate early genes (IEGs). The protein products of many IEGs are themselves transcription factors that can activate the transcription of late response genes, which are likely to encode proteins necessary for long-term modulation of synaptic function (Fig. 1.1). Nuclear localization of phosphatases, named PP1 and PP2-A, are responsible for CREB dephosphorylation. PP-1 is present in the nucleus, while PP2-A is located mainly in the cytoplasm (Hagiwara et al., 1992; Wadzinski et al., 1993; Bito et al., 1996).

1.1.3 cAMP and LTP

It has been shown that CRE-mediated gene expression is stimulated by signals that induce long-term potentiation (LTP) (Impey et al., 1996). LTP is defined as a long-lasting increase of synaptic efficacy following a brief high-frequency stimulation. LTP was discovered by Bliss and Lomo (1973) in the dentate gyrus and since then has been studied widely as a promising cellular mechanism for learning and memory. In the
hippocampus, synaptic potentiation can be classified according to its responsive duration. Short-term potentiation (STP) presumably lasts for minutes, in contrast, LTP lasts at least 1 hour and may last up to several days.

![Diagram of cAMP pathway and formation of long-term memory (LTM)](image)

**Fig. 1.1:** Mechanisms of cAMP pathway and formation of long-term memory (LTM) (A). Short-term exposure to a neurotransmitter leads to the brief generation of cAMP that can activate PKA, and can lead to the modification of ion channels and synaptic proteins. (B). Long-term exposure to a neurotransmitter leads to continuous increase of cAMP, allowing the catalytic subunits of PKA to translocate to the nucleus to phosphorylate CREB, thereby to activate transcription of IEGs. Many IEG proteins are themselves transcription factors that activate the late response gene transcription. Those proteins are likely required for the formation of LTM.

A systematic study of the distribution of synapses that possesses the ability to undergo LTP in the limbic system was carried out. It was shown that the lateral olfactory tract
shows no LTP, the pyriform cortex shows little LTP, followed by the amygdala, entorhinal cortex, perforant path, hippocampal CA1, septal area and fornix/fimbria (Racine et al., 1983). Note that hippocampal pathways show significantly larger LTP effects than do the non-hippocampal pathways. LTP has been observed in the three major excitatory synapses in the trisynaptic circuit of the hippocampus (Fig. 1.2).

Fig. 1.2: Three major excitatory synapses in the trisynaptic circuit of the hippocampus: perforant pathway, mossy fiber pathway and Schaffer collateral pathway.

The perforant pathway starts from the pyramidal cells of the entorhinal area to the granule cells of the dentate gyrus (dentate LTP); the mossy fiber pathway starts from the granule cells of the dentate gyrus to the CA3 pyramidal cells (mossy fiber LTP); and
the Schaffer collateral pathway starts from the CA3 pyramidal cells to the CA1 pyramidal cells (CA1 LTP). Both dentate LTP and CA1 LTP are initiated postsynaptically by the activation of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. In contrast, CA3 LTP is independent of NMDA receptors and is thought to be initiated presynaptically. It was shown that LTP of the hippocampal slice in either the dentate gyrus following perforant path stimulation or the hippocampal CA1 area following Schaffer collateral stimulation, resulted in an increase in the incorporation of labelled valine into proteins destined for secretion into the extracellular medium from just those regions that had been stimulated (Bennett et al., 2000). Moreover, induction of LTP was also found following the increase in the size of dendritic spines (Fifkova et al., 1982) and the amount of neurotransmitters released (Malinow and Tsien, 1990).

The hippocampus is a major component of the medial temporal lobe, a brain system that plays an important role in declarative or relational memory (Eichenbaum et al., 1992; Squire 1992). Synaptic plasticity at the trisynaptic circuit of the hippocampus has been well defined anatomically and best studied in relation to learning and memory (see Fig. 1.2). Lesion studies in rodents showed that two forms of declarative memory, spatial memory and contextual fear memory, depend on the intact hippocampus (Morris et al., 1982; Kim and Fanselow 1992; Phillips and LeDoux 1992; Chen et al., 1996). Furthermore, both spatial memory and contextual fear memory have been shown to be disrupted by AP5, a specific antagonist for NMDA receptors. Mutant mice containing a CA1-specific inactivation of the NMDA receptor 1 showed a complete loss of LTP in hippocampal CA1 region as well as a profound defect in spatial memory (Tsien et al., 1996). These results support the notion that LTP in the Schaffer collateral pathways is important for memory formation, and that the formation of LTP requiring the activation of NMDA receptors is critical for those hippocampus-dependent learning and memory
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(Swanson et al., 1982; McNaughton et al., 1986). The initiating step for LTP in Schaffer collateral pathway involves the release of glutamate from the presynaptic terminals of the CA3 neurons, which leads to the activation of NMDA receptors on the postsynaptic CA1 pyramidal cells, resulting in an influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) into the postsynaptic neuron. The Ca\(^{2+}\) signal, in turn, activates many gene transcription through a number of second messenger kinases, including protein kinase A (PKA), calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII), protein kinase C (PKC) and many tyrosine kinases.

1.2 Transcription factor CREB

1.2.1 CREB family

CREB belongs to the large family of leucine zipper CREB/ATF transcription factors (Gonzalez et al., 1989b). The mammalian proteins show a high degree of homology throughout their C-terminal regions, which contain the bZip domain, but outside of this region, sequence homology between the family members is relatively poor. In rodents, the CREB/ATF family is comprised of at least ten genes (Brindle and Montminy, 1992), in addition to CREB, including CREM (CRE modulatory factor) and its variants, activating transcription factor (ATF1, ATF2, ATF3, and ATF4). All of these transcription factors can bind to the CRE sequence as homodimer or heterodimer, leading to extensive cross-interactions. Many of these genes are rapidly activated in response to the elevation of cytoplasmic cAMP or Ca\(^{2+}\) levels. Furthermore, each of the genes is thought to encode many isoforms by different mechanisms, such as alternative splicing, use of alternative initiation codons and/or an alternative intronic promoter (Sassone-Corsi et al., 1995). Among the isoforms, some proteins activate gene
transcription, and some repress. For example, partial or complete deletions of glutamine-rich regions give rise to repressors, e.g. CREB2b in *Drosophila*, and CREMα, CREMβ and CREMγ in mammals (Yin et al., 1995). In Aplysia, the insertion of premature stop codons in the CREB gene produces truncated proteins that lack the DNA-binding domain and the nuclear localization signal (Bartsch et al., 1998).

Different members of the CREB/ATF superfamily also function differently on many signal transduction pathways. Within the brain, CREB subtype factors are the major CRE activator, while CREM factors may function primarily as negative modulator of CREB (Pham et al., 1999).

1.2.2 Structure of CREB

CREB binds to CRE sites on target genes as a homodimer formed via the leucine zipper motif present at its C-terminus. In the last decade, numerous studies have contributed much to our understanding of CREB structure and function, and CREB-mediated gene transcription. CREB exists in three alternatively spliced isoforms (Ruppert et al., 1992; Blendy et al., 1996), CREBa, CREBp and CREB5, which have different developmental regulation (Walton and Dragunow, 2000). Most of CREB/ATF proteins consist of three functional domains (Montminy, 1997): the basic/leucine zipper (bZIP) domain which mediates dimerization and DNA-binding properties and is necessary for translocation of CREB into the nucleus (Johnson and McKnight, 1989; Waeber and Habener, 1991); the transactivation domain (glutamine-rich domain Q1 and Q2) are important for basal transcription (Q1) and interaction with the TATA-box-binding protein (TBP)-associated factor hTAF130 (Q2), a component of TFIID complex (Quinn, 1993; Xing and Quinn, 1994; Ferreri et al., 1994); and the kinase-inducible domain (KID) contains the important phosphorylation sites, i.e., Ser-133 for PKA (Lamprecht, 1999), and sites for
other kinases: Ser-115 and −129 for glycogen synthase kinase-3 GSK-3 (Fiol et al., 1994); Ser-133 and −142 for Ca^{2+}/calmodulin dependent kinase II and IV (CaMKII and IV) (Dash et al., 1991; Sun et al., 1994); and Ser-108, -111, -114 for casein kinase II (Saeki et al., 1999) (Fig. 1.3).
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Fig. 1.3: Structure of CREB. The glutamine-rich domain Q1 and Q2, kinase-inducible domain (KID) and basic domain and leucine zipper are shown. The amino acid Ser-133 phosphorylated by cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) is also indicated.

1.2.3 CREB and LTM

One of the hallmarks of memory consolidation in both vertebrates and invertebrates is the requirement for new protein synthesis. Duffy et al. (1981) found that two specific glial proteins are rapidly labelled and released into the extracellular fluid after the goldfish acquired a new pattern of behavior. Long-term but not short-term facilitation of the synaptic connections between neurons requires new mRNA expression and new protein synthesis, and such altered expression level of specific proteins is regulated through the cAMP second messenger pathway. The transcriptional activation of CREB is crucially dependent on phosphorylation of Ser-133 site by cAMP-dependent PKA and Ca^{2+}-dependent calmodulin kinases. It has been suggested that this modification induces a conformational change that transforms CREB from an inactive to an active form (Bito
et al., 1996). Interestingly, in its non-phosphorylated form, CREB can suppress activator protein 1 (AP1) activity by competing with Jun protein for the AP1 site in target genes. As phosphorylated CREB lacks this ability, it acts as a regulator to facilitate transcriptional activation of immediate early genes (Lamph et al., 1990; Masquiler and Sassone-Corsi, 1992).

CREB is proposed to be a key factor that mediates memory consolidation, as both mice homozygous for a hypomorphic allele of the CREB gene (Bourtchuladze et al., 1994; Blendy et al., 1996) and Drosophila overexpressing a dominant-negative form of CREB show a reduced ability to form long-term memory (Yin et al., 1994; 1995). Mice with a targeted disruption of the α and Δ isoforms of CREB are profoundly deficient in long-term memory based on the behaviour tests, fear conditioning and Morris water maze. In contrast, short-term memory, lasting between 30 and 60 minutes, remains normal. Electrophysiological studies in hippocampal slices from these mutants revealed that LTP in mutants is small and decays to baseline within 1.5 hours after induction (Bourtchuladze et al., 1994; Kogan et al., 1997). However, these results have recently been contradicted, as the homozygous mutants for CREBα and CREBΔ have showed no significant abnormalities observed in contextual or cued fear conditioning, or in hippocampal LTP (Gass et al., 1998). Meantime, the third isoform CREBβ was strongly up-regulated. CREBβ has nearly the same transactivation potential as the other CREB isoforms and is expressed ubiquitously; and transgenic mice expressing a dominant-negative form of CREB (CREB\textsubscript{A133}) in the limbic system displayed normal LTP and depotentiation in basolateral amygdala (Rammes et al., 2000). These recent results suggest that CREB activity per se is dispensable and compensated by other CREB-like proteins.
In *Drosophila*, a gene for CREB (dCREB2) was also cloned, and its two isoforms, activator dCREB2-a and repressor dCREB2-b, were analyzed for a functional role in memory consolidation. The dCREB2-a isoform is a PKA-responsive transcription activator, whereas the dCREB2-b product blocks PKA-responsive transcription induced by dCREB2-a in cell culture. To investigate the role of CREB in LTM formation in *Drosophila*, a transgene that expresses dominant-negative dCREB2-b under the control of a heat-shock promoter (*hs-dCREB2-b*) was generated. Induction of the transgene by heat shock prior to a massed training session did not affect short-term anesthesia-resistant memory. By contrast, induction prior to spaced training severely disrupted long-term memory. Heat shock alone had no effect on memory in wild-type flies or in flies containing a control transgene. They found that the expression of a dominant-negative CREB (dCREB2-b) completely and specifically blocked long-term memory, while the expression of dCREB2-a enhanced long-term memory formation. Mutating two amino acids in the leucine zipper dimerization domain of the CREB repressor was sufficient to prevent the dominant-negative effect on LTM. Thus, induction of LTM is not only protein synthesis-dependent but also CREB-dependent (Yin *et al.*, 1994; 1995). Taken together, these findings suggest that CREB is necessary for the establishment of long-term but not short-term memory in *Drosophila*.

The switch from short- to long-term facilitation induced by behavioral sensitization in *Aplysia* involves CREB-like proteins, as the formation of long-term facilitation in *Aplysia* can be disrupted by drugs that interfere with transcription and translation, and it involves cAMP-dependent gene expression (Dash *et al.*, 1996). Dendritic spines, the loci of excitatory interaction between central neurons, are prime candidates for long-term synaptic modifications. *In vitro* studies with primary cultured rat hippocampal neurons have shown that estradiol induces two-fold the density of dendritic spines and
increases concomitantly the levels of phosphorylated CREB and its major cofactor CREB-binding protein (CBP) (Murphy and Segal, 1997). Blockade of PKA eliminates estradiol-evoked spine formation, as well as the CREB and CBP responses. The dendritic outgrowth can also be inhibited by antisense oligonucleotides specific against CREB. These results indicate that CREB phosphorylation is a necessary step in the process leading to generation of new dendritic spines.

1.3 Transcriptional cofactor CREB-binding protein (CBP)

1.3.1 Characterization of CBP as a cofactor of CREB

As examined by affinity selection assay, phospho-CREB did not appear to associate directly with factors of the basal transcriptional machinery, i.e. TFIIA, B, D, E, F, H or RNA polymerase II. However, the glutamine-rich domain Q2 of CREB interacts with hTAF130, a component of the TFIID complex. It was supposed that CREB needs cofactors to interact with the basal transcriptional machinery to further regulate gene transcription. Chrivia et al. (1993) screened a human thyroid λgt11 cDNA library using a recombinant CREB protein labeled at the PKA phosphorylation site with $^{32}$P. Through this binding assay, a portion of a 265 kDa protein was identified, named CREB-binding protein (CBP). Immunohistochemical studies in vertebrate cells demonstrated that CBP appears to be located exclusively in the nucleus. Far Western blot analysis of HeLa nuclear extracts with $^{32}$P-labeled phospho-CREB probe also revealed a single band of 265 kDa, which associated only with phospho-CREB (Arias et al., 1994). In vitro binding experiments showed that CBP specifically binds to the Ser-133 phosphorylated kinase-inducible domain (KID) of CREB through its CREB-binding domain (CBD) (amino acid 461-661), which is highly conserved in CBP homologs from...
Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster to mammals. Hence, it is likely that a small region termed KIX (amino acid 591-661) is actually sufficient for binding to phospho-CREB (Parker et al., 1996). α helices formed in the CBD were shown to be crucial for the binding to phospho-CREB. Several hydrophobic residues were found in CBD, e.g., the phosphorylated Ser-133 was shown to form a hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl group of Tyr658 in CBD region. The KID:KIX complex is disputed by low concentrations of non-ionic detergents, and mutation of hydrophobic residues in either KID or KIX severely affects the binding, suggesting that hydrophobic interactions play an important role in the complex formation (Radhakrishnan et al., 1997). In addition to CREB, the KIX domain of CBP also recognizes the transactivation domains of other nuclear factors, including c-Jun (Arias et al., 1994), c-Myb (Dai et al., 1996), Cubitus interruptus (Akimaru et al., 1997a), Gli-3 (Dai et al., 1999), tumor suppressor gene product BRCA1 (Pao et al., 2000), the E2 protein of human papillomavirus (HPV E2) (Lee et al., 2000), HTLV-1 virally encoded Tax protein (Kwok et al., 1996) and the mouse clock transcription factor Arnt3 (mAmt3, also termed as BMAL1 or MOP3) (Takahata et al., 2000).

1.3.2 Domain structure of CBP

CBP, itself, is also directly regulated by nuclear Ca²⁺ and Ca²⁺/calmodulin kinase IV (CaMK IV) (Chawla et al., 1998). Moreover, CBP serves also as a coactivator for other transcription factors such as nuclear hormone receptors (Kamei et al., 1996; Hanstein et al., 1996; Chakravarti et al., 1996 and Torchia et al, 1997), p53 (Avantaggiati et al., 1997; Gu et al., 1997; Lill et al., 1997), NF-κB (Perkins et al., 1997; Gerritsen et al., 1997), c-Jun and c-Fos (Bannister et al., 1995a; 1995b), for transcriptional coactivators such as SRC1 (Chen and Li, 1998; Sheppard et al., 2001), and for kinases such as
pp90rsk (Nakajima et al., 1996) and CDK2 (for more details about interacting proteins of CBP see reviews by Janknecht and Hunter, 1996; Goodman and Smolik, 2000). Two small domains directly interacting with phosphorylated CREB range from amino acid residues 597 to 614 and from 647 to 662, which are located on two different exons (CBD exon 3 and exon 5) in the CREB-binding domain (CBD), and tyrosine 658 in CBD exon 5 is shown to be absolutely required for the interaction with phospho-CREB (Fig. 1.4), as a tyrosine to alanine mutation at position 658 fully abolished in vitro binding of phospho-CREB with CBP (Radhakrishnan et al., 1997). By mutational analyses of CBP, the interaction domains in CBP with these various factors were characterized (Fig. 1.5).

Fig. 1.4: Two small domains of mouse CBP encoded by CREB-binding domain (CBD) exon 3 and 5 are known to bind to phosphorylated CREB. The amino acid residues 591-665 are listed under the corresponding helical structure of CBP; Amino acid residues involved in the binding to CREB are indicated in red.
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1.3.3 CBP and its homologous protein p300

CBP was originally characterized as a co-activator of CREB, while p300, which is highly homologous to CBP (a 2414 amino acid residues for human p300), was first identified as a protein interacting with the adenovirus E1A oncoprotein (Yee et al, 1985; Arany et al, 1994; Eckner et al, 1994), and it is thought to participate in the prevention of the G0/G1 transition during the cell cycle, in activation of certain
enhancers and in the stimulation of differentiation pathways (Wang et al., 1993). E1A is believed to mediate transformation and immortalization through two conserved regions, named CR1 and CR2. CR1 is responsible for binding and presumably inhibition of p300 and CBP activity (Moran, 1993; Wang et al., 1993; Smits et al., 1996). Overexpression of CBP and p300 can overcome the E1A-mediated gene repression and block the transformation process, it suggested that E1A represses genes that inhibit cell proliferation by competing for a limiting amount of p300 and CBP. In agreement with this notion, p300 mutations were identified in certain cancers (Muraoka et al., 1996), suggesting that p300 might also function as a tumor suppressor gene.

Several protein motifs in p300 and CBP such as the bromodomain, the KIX domain, and three Cys/His residues-rich (C/H domains) region are well conserved in species ranging from *C. elegans*, *Drosophila* to mammals (Parker et al., 1996; Akimaru et al., 1997b). These domains serve as binding sites for sequence-specific transcription factors and other components regulating gene expression. For example, mediated by one of the conserved C/H domains, p300 and CBP can interact with RNA helicase A, which in turn binds RNA polymerase II (Nakajima et al., 1997a). Recruitment of the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme by p300 and CBP appears to be an essential step in the process of transcriptional activation by CREB (Nakajima et al., 1997b). In mammal, CBP and p300 are 63% identical at the protein level. Greater similarity (85-90% homology) is observed in specific regions of CBP and p300, including the CREB-binding domain (CBD), the E1A binding site and the bromodomain (Arany et al., 1994). 60-70% homology to mammal is observed in the same regions in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and *Drosophila* homologue of CBP (dCBP) has also been identified and shown to have striking homology with mouse CBP (Akimaru et al., 1997b). Recently, p300/CBP-like polypeptides were identified in the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. 
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Interestingly, homology between animal and plant p300/CBP is largely restricted to a C-terminus, about 600 amino acids in length, which encompasses acetyltransferase and E1A-binding domains (Bordoli et al., 2001).

1.3.4 Functions of CBP and p300

Both CBP and p300 contain many common structural motifs, are highly related in primary structure and functionally overlap to a great extent, since they bind a similar set of cellular target factors (Janknecht and Hunter, 1996) (Fig. 1.5). Accumulating evidence now suggests that both proteins have very similar cellular functions, but also many functional differences have recently been reported (Kawasaki et al., 1998; Yuan et al., 1999; Ugai et al., 1999).

CBP and p300 display pleiotropic functions, several mechanisms by which CBP and p300 contribute to their transcriptional regulation. First of all, CBP and p300 participate in a variety of cellular processes, such as cell growth, proliferation, homeostasis, viral pathogenesis, DNA repair and apoptosis by interaction with multiple transcription factors, indicating that both of CBP and p300 function as molecular integrators in complex signal transduction pathways. CBP and p300 can synergize with each other to interact with many nuclear factors when bound to the same promoter in cis position, while inhibition between the factors may occur when CBP and p300 are bound to different promoters. It has been proposed that this results from factor competition for limiting amounts of CBP and p300 in the nucleus (Kamei et al., 1996). For example, since p53 and AP-1 interact with the same domain of CBP and p300, these factors compete with each other functionally.

CBP and p300 directly contact components of the general transcriptional machinery, the transcription factors TFIIB, TFIID, and RNA helicase A. The helicase is proposed to
bridge CBP and p300 and the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme (Nakajima et al., 1997a). For example, as a prerequisite to activate the basal transcriptional machinery, phospho-CREB has to bind to CBP to further activate CREB-mediated gene transcription (Kwok et al., 1994). The adenovirus E1A oncoprotein may compete for the RNA helicase A binding site on CBP, thereby preventing CBP from interacting with RNA polymerase II. The E1A binding to CBP/ p300 may also dissociate CBP and p300 from phospho-CREB. Thus, CBP and p300 are considered as physical bridging molecules between upstream DNA-bound transcription factors and the basal transcriptional machinery.

CBP and p300 have also been shown to have intrinsic histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity, which decreases the association of the positively charged histones with negatively charged DNA. CBP and p300 acetylate the amino terminal tails of nucleosomal histones, then destabilizes histone-DNA interactions and facilitates access and binding of the DNA to transcription factors. Moreover, CBP and p300 associate with other nuclear cofactors that have acetyltransferase activities, such as p/CAF (p300/CBP-associated factors). Thus, both CBP and p300, in part, facilitate transcription by directly modifying chromatin structure.

Despite the fact that CBP and p300 are structurally similar, their functions are not entirely overlapping. Mutations in one copy of the CBP gene cause serious defects in human (Petrij et al., 1995), while p300 does not seem to give a similar syndrome. Kawasaki et al. (1998) generated p300 and CBP knock-down cell lines by using specific hammerhead ribozymes. p300 knock-down cell line showed a resistance against retinoic acid-induced differentiation, but CBP knock-down cell lines did not. It has also been shown that both CBP and p300 proteins play different roles in the cellular response to DNA damage (e.g. ionizing radiation, IR). p300, but not CBP, contributes to
IR sensitivity of cells, and IR-induced apoptosis is impaired in the p300-, but not CBP-deficient cells.

1.3.5 Mice with mutations in CBP and p300

The functions of CBP and its closely related protein p300 have recently been investigated by gene targeting in mouse. Three different alleles of CBP have been generated. First, using conventional knock-out technology, amino acids 29-265 were deleted and replaced by the neo cassette (Tanaka et al., 1997), presumably leading to a complete deletion of the CBP protein. Second, using a retroviral gene trap vector, an insertional mutation into the CBP gene was generated, leading to a truncated N-terminal CBP (residues 1-1084) as shown by Western blot analysis (Oike et al., 1999a). Third, the mouse CBP gene was targeted by homologous recombination in which exons encoding the first Cys/His-rich (CH1) domain (amino acids 340-443) were deleted and replaced by the neo cassette. It was investigated whether the mutant CBP locus generates a truncated N-terminal CBP (residues 1-340) by Western blot analysis, but none was found (Kung et al., 2000). The mouse p300 allele was also inactivated in which exons encoding the CH1 domain were replaced with the neo cassette by homologous recombination (Yao et al., 1998).

The functions of CBP in vivo was firstly investigated in the mice heterozygous for CBP generated by replacement of amino acid 29-265 with neo cassette (Tanaka et al., 1997). CBP\textsuperscript{+/-} in both BALB/c and C57BL/6 genetic background displayed abnormal skeletal patterning and severe growth retardation, but the significantly lower frequency of the different abnormalities was found in C57BL/6 background. Similar abnormalities were observed in the human Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome (RTS), a haplo-insufficiency disorder that is characterized by mental retardation, severe developmental defects and
patterning abnormalities and that is shown to contain mutations in the human CBP gene (Petrij et al., 1995) (see below, 1.3.6). The most pronounced phenotype in the CBP\(^{+/−}\) mice was delayed ossification of frontal bones with an enlarged anterior fontanel (14/21); various anomalies of the sternum (extra, fusion, reduction, and asymmetry of the ossification with split in the sternum) were found in one-third (7/21) of the heterozygotes. Asymmetric sternocostal joints were also found in the heterozygotes with similar penetrance; an additional phenotype found in the heterozygotes was the malformation of the xiphoid process and vertebrae (6/21). The expression level of bone morphogenetic protein 7 (Bmp7) was shown to be significantly reduced in the CBP heterozygous mutants. As reported recently, the Drosophila homologue of CBP (dCBP) functions as a coactivator of the products of the segment polarity gene cubitus interruptus (ci) (Akimaru et al., 1997a) and dorsal, a Drosophila homologue of the vertebrate NF-κB (Akimaru et al., 1997b). Ci is a critical transcription factor for pattern formation in the hedgehog (hh) pathway (Dominguez et al., 1996). In response to Hh, Ci activates the decapentaplegic (dpp) and wingless (wg) genes, which encode the Drosophila homologue of transforming growth factor β/bone morphogenetic protein (TGF-β/BMP) and the Wnt family proteins, respectively. Dorsal activates twist gene expression, which is critical for dorso-ventral polarity (Jiang et al., 1991; Pan et al., 1991; Thisse et al., 1991). Taken together, this suggests that CBP contributes to the conserved dpp/Bmp signaling pathway in both Drosophila and mouse. This could be the explanation for some phenotypes observed in RTS by haplo-insufficiency of CBP. Furthermore, analysis of the homozygous CBP mutant mice (75% BALB/c, 12.5% C57BL/6 and 12.5% CBA) (Tanaka et al., 2000) showed embryonic lethality at embryonic days (E) 10.5 to 12.5 as a result of massive hemorrhage caused by a defect in blood vessel formation in the central nervous system. In addition, CBP\(^{+/−}\) mutants
showed developmental retardation, delays in both primitive and definitive hematopoiesis, open neural tube and defects in mesenchymal cells (Tanaka et al., 2000).

A second mouse model of RTS was generated by an insertional mutation into the CBP gene (Oike et al., 1999a). The heterozygous mice (C57BL/6), which had a truncated CBP protein (residue 1-1084) containing the CREB-binding domain, showed clinical features of RTS, such as growth retardation (100%, in 100 mice), retarded osseous maturation (100%), large anterior fontanel (100%), hypoplastic maxilla with narrow palate (100%), cardiac anomalies (17%) and skeletal abnormalities (7%). Importantly, the behavioral tests of the heterozygous mice showed that the mice were deficient in long-term memory (100%); in contrast, short-term memory appeared to be normal. They also showed poor locomotor activity (100%). The homozygous CBP mutant mice (background: 75% C57BL/6, 25% CBA or 87.5% C57BL/6, 12.5% CBA) died at E9.5 to 10.5 and showed defective neural tube closure, a lack of vascular network formation, and an inhibition of primitive hematopoiesis in the yolk sac and complete blockage of definitive hematopoiesis developing in the para-aortic splanchnopleural mesoderm region and impaired vasculo-angiogenesis (Oike, et al., 1999b).

A detailed analysis of CBP<sup>−/−</sup> mice (background: C57BL/6) generated by replacement of amino acids 340-443 with neo gene was also described recently (Kung et al., 2000). Defects included growth retardation, craniofacial abnormalities, dramatic splenomegaly, e.g. grossly enlarged spleen. Significantly, the defects in hematopoietic differentiation and an increased incidence of hematologic malignancies with advanced age were also found in the CBP<sup>−/−</sup> mice, but not in p300<sup>−/−</sup> (described below) and wild-type mice. It suggests that a full complement of CBP, but not p300, is required for normal hematopoietic differentiation and that CBP appears to contain tumor suppressor activities.
Mice lacking both copies of \textit{p300} die \textit{in utero} between E9 to 11.5. Mice double-heterozygous for \textit{CBP} and \textit{p300} mutants have a comparable phenotype to either \textit{CBP} or \textit{p300} null mutants (Yao \textit{et al.}, 1998). This suggests that these two proteins exert certain common embryonic survival functions and that the combined dose of \textit{CBP} and \textit{p300} is critical for mouse embryonic development, although \textit{CBP} and \textit{p300} are not completely physiologically redundant. The \textit{p300} mutant mice were acquired by either an inbred cross with 129/Sv or a cross with C57BL/6 mice. The more C57BL/6 background was present, the less lethality among \textit{p300} heterozygous animals was observed, indicating that there is a modifier gene(s) in C57BL/6 mice that suppresses the lethality observed in 129/Sv background. The embryos nullizygous for \textit{p300} were much smaller than their littermates and exhibited severe open neural tube, as well as heart defects (an enlarged heart cavity) as observed in ~20% of the \textit{p300}-deficient embryos at E10.5. Remarkably, there was also a significant embryonic lethality in mice lacking just one copy of \textit{p300} (as early as at E10.5) and \textit{CBP} (lower number of viable CBP\textsuperscript{+/−} mice than p300\textsuperscript{+/−} mice).

A significant number of the \textit{p300} heterozygotes displayed symmetrical exencephaly mostly in the midbrain and in the anterior part of the hindbrain region, with the rest of the neural tube fused normally. This partial penetrance of exencephaly was compatible with the restricted lethality observed among the heterozygous mutants. The heart development was perturbed in embryos lacking one or both copies of \textit{p300}, and this failure of the cardiovascular system may, at least in part, be responsible for the observed embryonic lethality. The \textit{p300}-deficient mice also showed that \textit{p300} is essential for normal cell proliferation \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} and functions as a critical cofactor of retinoic acid receptor (RAR)-\textsubscript{β}, but not of CREB-mediated signaling pathway. This indicates that the physiological functions of \textit{p300} and \textit{CBP} do not fully overlap, at least during embryonic development. Thus, a reduction in the dose of one of them cannot be fully compensated by a call on the other to overcome the functional deficit.
Thus, the importance of CBP during development is underscored by the occurrence of the CBP haplo-insufficiency disorder called RTS in humans. The heterozygous CBP mice (Tanaka et al., 1997; Kung et al., 2000) exhibit only mild RTS phenotypes in comparison to the phenotypes observed in the mouse model generated by Oike et al. (1999), which leads to a truncated CBP protein (amino acids 1-1084). Thus, a new mechanism by which CBP defects lead to RTS phenotypes was proposed: abnormal CBP derived from the mutant allele might interfere with wild-type CBP function in a dominant manner.

1.3.6 CBP and Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome (RTS)

If a mutation in human CBP is present in all cells of the individual, Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome (RTS) emerges. RTS is a heritable human developmental disease, characterized by multiple abnormalities, including mental and growth retardation (94-100%), associated with characteristic craniofacial appearance, including hypoplastic maxilla with narrow palate (100%), downward slanting palpebral fissures (100%) and large anterior fontanel (64%); skeletal defects (broad thumbs and broad big toes) (100%). Additional symptoms include microcephaly (84%), stiff gait, electroencephalographic abnormalities (60%), agenesis of corpus callosum and congenital heart defects (33%) (Rubinstein and Taybi, 1963; Jones et al., 1988; Hennekam et al., 1990). Thus, RTS is a multiple congenital malformation and mental retardation syndrome caused by a generalized dysregulation of gene expression. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study of the brain of a RTS patient revealed bilateral rolandic cortical clefts and diminished white matter, which probably account for mental retardation (Sener, 1995). The typical features of the syndrome develop progressively, beginning in early infancy and reaching the fully developed phenotype.
by the age of two years. The syndrome is surprisingly common, the prevalence of RTS has been estimated to be about 1 in 125,000 living newborns (Hennekam et al., 1990), and it is important to note that RTS has also been estimated to account for as many as 1 in 300 institutionalized subjects with mental retardation over the age of 5 years (Padfield et al., 1968; Roldan 1969). Since the recurrence risk for offspring of affected persons is estimated at 50%, an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern has been favoured. Verbal abilities are limited and intelligence is usually low. The average IQ value of the patients is below 40, and the average age and range for attainment of several developmental milestones such as standing, walking or speech development are severely delayed (Hennekam et al., 1992). Behaviourally, the patients show a shortened attention span and impaired executive functions, expressed as difficulties in planning motor acts, and the execution of locomotor and oculomotor skills is disturbed (Gotts and Liemohn, 1977).

However, the knowledge about the physiological features of RTS is very limited. It is hoped that the behavioural analysis of a genetic mouse model of the disease may help to elucidate the molecular mechanisms for these phenotypes. Genetically, RTS has been shown to be associated with the long arm of chromosome 16 (16p13.3), including deletions, translocations, or point mutations. Two point mutations associated with RTS were characterized, and these two point mutations were located in the CBP gene (Petrij et al., 1995). Two patients had a premature stop codon at amino acid positions 136 and 357, leading to truncated products missing most of the 2441 amino acid 265 kDa CBP protein. In 12% of the RTS cases, small deletions in 16p13.3 have been observed (Taine et al., 1998). Moreover, de novo somatic chromosomal re-arrangements account for about one-fourth of these cases (Breuning et al., 1993; Hennekam et al., 1993). Translocations of CBP to other chromosomal loci can also generate aberrant fusion
proteins leading to e.g. acute myeloid leukemia (Borrow et al., 1996) and other diseases (Giles et al., 1998). Although some of the CBP mutations may generate dominant-negative isoforms, most of the evidence suggests that RTS is a haplo-insufficiency disorder.

Taken together, a genetically modified mouse model is a very useful tool to elucidate the physiological functions of CBP, and to understand the complex mechanisms underlying RTS. A molecular mechanism to explain the RTS symptoms was proposed based on the fact that CBP is a multiple transcriptional cofactor, for example, with Ci and Gli-3, which are critical for embryonic pattern formation, and with hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) which is responsible for neural tube closure. However, for most RTS symptoms, for example, developmental retardation and impairment of long-term memory, the molecular mechanisms still remain unclear. However, CBP, as a molecular integrator, functions as a coactivator of many other transcription factors, including CREB, which is a central factor required for long-term memory. In vitro experiments using a Gal4-GFP system, the ability of CBP tethered to the promoter was examined by means of fusion to the Gal4 DNA-binding domain, indicating that the activation of NMDA receptors and voltage-sensitive calcium channels leads to CREB-mediated transcription in cortical neurons via regulation of CBP (Hu et al., 1999). The recruitment of CBP by CREB is a crucial step for the induction of gene transcription following Ca^{2+} flux through ligand- and/or voltage-gated ion channels in hippocampal neurons (Hardingham et al., 1999). Furthermore, Marek et al. (2000) presented a genetic and electrophysiological analysis of Drosophila CBP (dCBP) function in the neuromuscular junction (NMJ), which showed that postsynaptic dCBP is necessary and may also be sufficient for the development of normal presynaptic transmitter release at the NMJ, and that dCBP can inhibit the development of presynaptic transmitter release.
when overexpressed either pre- or post-synaptically. This indicates that dCBP-dependent mechanisms can act as both positive and negative regulators of presynaptic development. Although the precise relationship between CREB and CBP in the control of synaptic plasticity, learning and memory remains unclear, the mental retardation of RTS caused by mutations in \textit{CBP} could be due to the impairment of CREB-mediated gene expression in the central nervous system. Because CBP interacts with so many different transcription factors, possibly at the same time point, it is difficult to dissect the complex components of the RTS phenotype into distinct contributions from various individual transcriptional pathways. It is hereby evident that conditional \textit{CBP} knock-out mice in different tissues and at different time points will be a powerful model to pinpoint the mechanisms of the multiple congenital malformation and mental retardation syndrome observed in the RTS.

1.4 Gene targeting

Since the first transgenic mouse was reported in 1980, genetically engineered mice have become an invaluable biological tool for better understanding of physiological and pathological processes in many fields of biomedical research. Transgenic technology allows researchers to carry out specific genetic manipulation in all cells of a laboratory animal, and makes it possible to dissect deliberately selected gene function in a living organism and to evaluate systematically complex biological process, such as embryonic development and adult behaviors. Transgenic mice as described by Palmiter \textit{et al.} (1982) are generated by pronuclear injection of oocytes with naked DNA. This technique allows the generation of several founders within a relatively short period of time, although, there are several disadvantages (for review, see Müller, 1999): 1) vector integration occurs randomly and the neighbouring sequence may strongly influence
expression levels of the transgene; 2) the copy number of the transgene can be highly variable; 3) the regulatory elements included in the injected DNA may be located at a great distance from the coding sequence or within introns of large genes, thus, it is not sure that the transgene does follow the expected expression pattern.

Concomitantly, gene targeting, defined as the introduction of site-specific modification into the genome by homologous recombination, has been developed and has revolutionized the field of mouse genetics. It allows the analysis of diverse aspects of gene function in embryonic development and adult physiology. In 1981, two groups (Evans and Kaufmann, 1981; Martin, 1981) acquired from mouse blastocysts totipotent embryonic stem cell (ES cell) lines, which maintain the capacity to colonize every cell lineage including germline when injected into host blastocysts under appropriate conditions (Bradley et al., 1984). Concomitantly, Capecchi and his colleagues showed that homologous recombination can occur in mammalian cell lines (Folger et al., 1982), paving the way to altering the mouse genome at any chosen locus by homologous recombination in ES cells. Combining both accomplishments (i.e. embryonic stem cells and homologous recombination) led to the first gene targeting experiments in mouse (Thomas and Capecchi, 1987; Doetschman et al., 1987). Gene targeting of this first generation, here referred to as conventional knock-out, leads to inactivation or modification of a gene of interest in all tissues in a living organism from the onset of development throughout the whole lifespan. This technology is widely used in all fields of biological research in mouse, including embryonic development, cancer research, immunology and neuroscience (e.g. Silva et al., 1992; Grant et al., 1992). In the field of neurosciences, knock-out mutants have contributed greatly to the understanding of basic mechanisms of brain function involved e.g., in learning and memory (Bourtchuladze et al., 1994), as well as to generate useful animal models for studying human neurological
disorders (Brusa et al., 1995; Acampora et al., 1996; Mansouri et al., 1996; Brunet et al., 1996; Timpl et al., 1998). Despite the enormous progress that has been made by this technology, some intrinsic limitations are evident.

Thus, this method has been further developed with the aim of controlling the modification of a gene in a time- and tissue-dependent manner, here referred to as conditional knock-out. This is particularly useful in cases where conventional gene targeting leads to lethality in early developmental stages, thus, preventing phenotypic analysis in adults. Since a given gene often has a broad expression pattern, tissue-specific knock-out may define physiological roles of the gene in a certain tissue, without compromising functions in other tissues of the organism; knock-outs in a time dependent manner may allow us to analyze gene functions at different time points during development and in adult life. Moreover, conventional knock-out may lead to unexpected phenotypes, due to compensation mechanisms, since mammalians are generally equipped with well organized regulatory systems which may overcome naturally occurring disbalancies. The disruption of a gene at early developmental stage could induce compensation mechanisms, which could then mask some physiological functions of the gene in adulthood. Such mechanisms range from simple overexpression of other related genes with similar functions, to mis-expression of non-related genes (for review see Gerlai, 2000). Keeping gene function intact throughout ontogeny, time-specific knock-outs in adulthood might avoid such compensatory responses.

Tissue- and time-specific knock-out mice can be generated by using the Cre/loxP system (for review, see Sauer, 1998). Cre is a 38 kDa site-specific DNA recombinase isolated from bacteriophage P1 (Sternberg and Hamilton, 1981). loxP (locus of crossover in P1) was originally found in the P1 genome, and is a sequence 34 bp long, consisting of two 13 bp inverted repeats flanking an 8 bp non-palindromic core
sequence that indicates the polarity of the loxP site (Fig. 1.6). Two mouse lines are required for the generation of conditional knock-out mice. One is called a "floxed" mouse line (the sequence of interest flanked by two loxP sites), and such a mouse line should not display any phenotypical changes, and is therefore considered as a wild-type mouse. These two loxP sites are introduced into 5' and 3' ends of essential exons of the gene of interest by homologous recombination in ES cells, the cells are then injected into blastocysts to generate finally the floxed mouse. The second mouse line that is required expressing Cre recombinase, driven by regulatory sequences of interest in a defined tissue and/or at a distinct time point.

When these two mouse lines are crossed, Cre recombinase will recognize the two loxP sites and excise or invert the sequences between the loxP sites. Cre-mediated recombination between two directly repeated loxP sites results in the excision of the sequence between them as a covalently closed circle (Fig. 1.6, 1.7). Cre-mediated recombination between pairs of loxP sites positioned in an opposite orientation results in inversion of the intervening sequence rather than excision, and this inversion will take place continuously as long as Cre recombinase is present (Fig. 1.6).
Fig. 1.6: Cre/loxP recombination system. Graphical representation of loxP site (black triangle), Cre recombinase (blue circle) and nucleotide sequence of the loxP site. Orientation of the loxP site is indicated by the orientation of the 8-nucleotide core sequence. Two molecules of Cre bind to one loxP site (Mack et al., 1992). (1) If loxP sites are oriented "head-to-tail", Cre recombinase catalyzes an excision of the sequence between two loxP sites. (2) If loxP sites are oriented "head-to-head", Cre recombinase catalyzes an inversion of the sequence between two loxP sites.
Recently, Albert et al. (1995) further developed the Cre/loxP technique. They introduced a 5-nucleotide change into the left 13 bp element (LE) and the right 13 bp element (RE) of loxP site, generating LE mutant lox site (lox71) and RE mutant lox site (lox66), respectively. Inversion recombination between the lox71 and lox66 sites produces a wild-type loxP site and a LE+RE double mutant loxP site that is poorly recognized by Cre recombinase. This technique offers some interesting applications: 1) Integration: the frequency of site-specific integration via the mutant lox sites reached a maximum of 16%, showing high stable integration. In contrast, the wild-type loxP sites yielded very low frequencies (<0.5%) of site-specific integration events (Araki et al.,
1997); 2) Inversion: this technique has not yet been applied. As proposed in this thesis, a conditional CBP point mutation tyrosine to alanine at amino acid 658 (CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala}) can be introduced by Cre-mediated inversion with two mutant loxP sites (lox66 and lox71). With luciferase as report gene, Cre-mediated recombination has been shown to be 4 to 7-fold more efficient between lox66 and lox71 than that between double mutated loxP and wild-type loxP under the different Cre concentration used (0.1-20 \( \mu \)g) in tobacco protoplasts; at lower Cre concentration, the recombination between lox66 and lox71 was favoured (Albert et al., 1995). The strategy of the Cre/loxP mutant system is indicated in Fig. 1.8.

![Diagram of the mutated Cre/loxP system](image)

**Fig. 1.8:** The mutated Cre/loxP system. Nucleotide sequences of loxP and its mutants are listed, and the mutated sequences are marked in red. Recombination between lox66 and lox71 sites produces a wild-type loxP and a double mutated loxP sites. Since the double mutated loxP site exhibits reduced binding affinity for Cre recombinase, thus, Cre-mediated recombination in the mutated Cre/loxP system is preferred for the direction as indicated.
In addition to Cre/loxP, Flp/frt system is another commonly used recombination system, which originally derived from the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Babineau *et al.*, 1985). Both have been shown to catalyse excisions, integrations, or translocations of DNA between their distinct recognition target sites loxP and frt, respectively, without requirement of additional cofactors. Flp recombinase (Flipase) functions efficiently at 30°C, but less efficient than Cre recombinase at 37°C. However, Flipase still maintains recombinase activity sufficient for Flp-mediated recombination in mammalian cells. frt (flipase recognition target), similar to loxP, is 34 bp long, consisting of two 13 bp inverted repeats flanking an 8 bp non-palindromic core sequence that indicates the polarity of its site. As for the Cre/loxP system, the type of the recombination reaction is determined by the orientation of target sites relative to each other on a segment of DNA, specifically, directly repeated frt sites specify excision of the intervening DNA.

1.5 Aims of the thesis

Several lines of evidence demonstrate that CBP might play an important role in the transfer of learned information from short-term memory to long-term memory. (1) CBP is a major cofactor in the CREB-mediated transcriptional response, which is initiated by learning, and is required for the formation of long-term memory; (2) CBP is activated by increased intracellular concentrations of cAMP and Ca\(^{2+}\) through the kinases PKA and CaMKIV, respectively. As CaMKIV was reported to be restricted mainly to the nucleus of neurons (Means *et al.*, 1991; Matthews *et al.*, 1994), and catalytically inactive mutants of CaMKIV expressed in AtT20 cells and hippocampal neurons block Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated, CREB/CBP-mediated transcriptional response (Hardingham *et al.*, 1999; Chawla *et al.*, 1998), CBP might play a crucial role in synaptic plasticity; (3) the human
Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome (RTS) is caused by mutations in the \textit{CBP} gene and is characterized by mental retardation.

In order to analyse the physiological functions of CBP in neurons, I aimed to inactivate the \textit{CBP} gene in a tissue-specific manner by using the Cre/loxP system, in the hippocampus, a brain region essential for learning and memory. Conditional knock-outs are essential, as conventional knock-outs of CBP in mice lead to embryonic lethality. In addition, since CBP is a co-factor of a variety of transcription factors and kinases, and acts as a molecular integrator of many signaling pathways. I aimed to inactivate only the CREB-binding domain (CBD) of CBP.

\textbf{Expression analysis of CBP} In order to investigate the functions of CBP in CNS, it is necessary to characterize its expression pattern. This is especially important in the light of the generation of tissue-specific \textit{CBP} knock-out mice. So far, the expression pattern of mouse CBP has not been described. Therefore, I investigated the expression of CBP and its highly related protein p300, in particular, in forebrain areas essential for learning and memory. \textit{In situ} hybridization and immunohistological experiments showed particularly high expression of both CBP and p300 in the so-called limbic system, i.e. neocortex, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and amygdala.

\textbf{Mutational analysis of CBP in vitro} Transfection assays constitute a useful tool to obtain insights into possible physiological functions of CBP. In order to show whether it is possible to remove only the CREB-binding domain (CBD) of CBP and to keep other activities such as steroid hormone receptor-mediated activities, and to identify mouse mutant phenotypes that might result specifically from disruption of the CREB-CBP interaction, several mutants were generated: one that lacks most of CBD (CBPΔCBD2-5); the second, which lacks the C-terminal domain of CBP, retaining only the first N-terminal 1100 amino acid residues, and displaying dominant-negative effects; and the
third, the point mutation Tyr658Ala (CBPAla658), which abolishes CREB-binding activity \textit{in vitro}. Lastly, three RTS-like mutants were generated, containing different C-terminal truncations of CBP. I then tested whether these mutants lack either cAMP-mediated or glucocorticoid-mediated transcriptional enhancement in F9 teratocarcinoma cells. Both CBPACBD2-5 and CBPAla658 have a dominant-negative effect on cAMP signaling. This effect of CBPAla658 was shown to be dose-dependent. As expected, glucocorticoid-mediated transcriptional enhancement was retained in both of the mutants CBPAla658 and CBPACBD2-5. The three RTS-like mutants displayed dominant-negative effects on CBP function in the context of cAMP signalling.

\textit{Generation of mouse CBP mutants} The central part of this thesis was the generation of two mouse mutants of the \textit{CBP} gene using the Cre/loxP system:

\textit{1) Generation of a mouse model for RTS} \textit{CBP}^{\text{stop}523} is characterized by a mutation in exon 2 of CBD, where a new stop codon was introduced at amino acid 523 disrupting the open reading frame of the downstream sequences of CBD. It aims to mimic a CBP mutation found in human RTS patients. When this mutation is present in all cells of the animal in a heterozygous state, some RTS-like phenotypes, e.g., developmental retardation, embryonic lethality and smaller size, were observed. To dissect the complex mechanisms underlining RTS, the generation of tissue-specific heterozygous knock-outs of this allele has been initiated.

\textit{2) Generation of a CREB-binding mutation of CBP} The second mutant generated is a tyrosine to alanine substitution at amino acid 658, which is reported to abolish CREB-binding activity of CBP \textit{in vitro} (Radhakrishnan \textit{et al.}, 1997). When crossed with Cre-expressing mice, this point mutation should be generated in a conditional tissue-specific manner. Proof of principle of this novel technique was obtained in bacteria and embryonic stem cells.
## 2.1 Materials

### 2.1.1 Table 2.1 Common chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
<th>Company (Catalog number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-Iodoacetamid</td>
<td>Calbiochem, USA (407710)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Mercaptoethanol</td>
<td>Roth, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Mercaptoethanol (for cell culture)</td>
<td>GIBCO, Germany (31350-010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic anhydride</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (A0933)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide (29:1)</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (A 515.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar, agarose</td>
<td>GibcoBRL, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin (sodium salt)</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (K029.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS (ammonium peroxodisulfat)</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (9592.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromophenol blue</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.08122.0005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casamino acids</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (C-0378)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (6340.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPC (diethylpyrocarbonate)</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (K028.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran sulfate</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (D-8906)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide)</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (D-5879)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drying pearls</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (0728.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT (dithiothreitol)</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.120.130.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid)</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (E5134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>Supplier and Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.00983.1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethidium bromide</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficoll 400</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (F2637)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formamide</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.09684.2500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gancyclovir</td>
<td>Cytove, Syntex, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td>BDH, England (44045)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneticin G418</td>
<td>GIBCO, Germany (11811-03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaraldehyde (25%)</td>
<td>Serva, Heidelberg, Germany (23115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanidium isothiocyante</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (0017.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochloric acid</td>
<td>Roth, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen peroxide (30%)</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.072.100.250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG (isopropyl-β-thiogalactopyranoside)</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (2316.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>Roth, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Glutamine</td>
<td>GIBCO, Germany (25030-024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIF (Leukemia Inhibitory Factor)</td>
<td>GIBCO, Germany (13275-029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maleic acid</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (8.003.800.500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltose</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (8951.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (4627.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO4</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.05883.0500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N'-N-dimethyl-formamide</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (D-8654)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraformaldehyde</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.045005.1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG 8000</td>
<td>Sigma, Germany (P-5413)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin-Streptomycin</td>
<td>GIBCO, Germany (15140-114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (0038.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puromycin</td>
<td>Sigma, Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retinoic acid (RA, all trans)</td>
<td>Sigma, Germany (R-2625)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponin</td>
<td>Sigma, Germany (S-7900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scintillation solution</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (0016.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium acetate</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium citrate</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate)</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (2326.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium N-lauryl sarcosine</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spermidine</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany(S-0266)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (2367.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA (Triethanol amine)</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (6390.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris (Trihydroxymethyl-aminoethane)</td>
<td>Riedel-de Haen, Seelze, Germany (33742)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypton</td>
<td>GibcoBRL, Germany (50014-034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween-20</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (P-9416)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Gal</td>
<td>Roth, Germany (2315.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene cyanol</td>
<td>MERCK, Germany (1.105900.0005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>GibcoBRL, Germany (30393-029)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2 Table 2.2 Antibodies and enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibodies/enzymes</th>
<th>Company (Catalog number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CBP (A-22) sc-369</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CBP (C-1) sc-7300</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Digoxigenin-AP</td>
<td>Roche, Germany (1093274)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Digoxigenin-POD</td>
<td>Roche, Germany (851299923-07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HA (F-7) sc-7392</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-p300 (C-20) sc-585</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cloned Pfu polymerase
DNase I (RNase-free)
MMLV reverse transcriptase
Mung Bean Nuclease
Proteinase K
Restriction enzymes (incl. 10x Buffer)
RNase A
RNasin
Shrimp alkaline phosphatase (SAP)
Streptavidin-AP
T3-, T7-RNA polymerase
T4 DNA ligase
T4 DNA polymerase
T4 polynucleotide kinase
Taq DNA polymerase
Vent DNA polymerase

Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany
Roche, Germany (84105421-23)
GibcoBRL, Germany (28025-013)
New England BioLabs, USA (250S)
Roth, Germany (7528.2)
New England BioLabs, USA
Roche, Germany
Roche, Germany (109142)
Promega, USA (N251B)
Roche, Germany (1758250)
Roche, Germany (85777720)
Roche, Germany (85121525-22/85502020-45)
New England BioLabs, USA (202S)
New England BioLabs, USA (203S)
New England BioLabs, USA
Roche, Germany (1647679)
New England BioLabs, USA (254S)

2.1.3 Table 2.3 Nucleotides and nucleic acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleotide/Nucleic acid</th>
<th>Company (Catalog number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deoxynucleotide (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP)</td>
<td>Roche, Germany (1277049)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIG RNA labeling mix</td>
<td>Roche, Germany (1277073)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DNA-Marker: X Roche, Germany (1498037)

Smart Ladder Eurogentec, Belgium (MW-1700-02)

Herring sperm DNA Roche, Germany (85346024-39)

Ribonucleotide (rATP, rCTP, rGTP, rUTP) Roche, Germany (85730020-50)

\[\alpha^{-32}\text{P}]\text{-dCTP}\text{ Amersham, Germany}

\[\alpha^{-35}\text{S}]\text{-UTP} NEN Lifescience, Boston, USA (NEG039H)

tRNA Roche, Germany (84694421-87)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kits and special materials</th>
<th>Company (Catalog number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antifoam A Emulsion</td>
<td>Sigma, Germany (A-6457)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoradiography Emulsion NTB-2</td>
<td>Kodak, New Haven, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking reagent</td>
<td>Roche, Mannheim, Germany (1096176)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (Bovine serum albumin)</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany (8076.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCG (human chorionic gonadotropin)</td>
<td>Intervet GmbH, Germany (27541)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIQUID DAB Concentrated Substrate</td>
<td>BioGenex, Germany (HK 153-5K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x lysis buffer (for transfection assay)</td>
<td>Promega, USA (E397A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ Amine (caseine hydrolysate)</td>
<td>Sigma, Germany (A-2427)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZY broth</td>
<td>GIBCO, Germany (13635-024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peqGOLD RNAPure™</td>
<td>peqlab, Erlangen, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMSG (pregnant mare serum gonadotropin)</td>
<td>Intervet GmbH, Germany (28509)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIAprep Plasmid Purification Kit (Midi, Maxi and Endo-free)</td>
<td>QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QIAquick Nucleotide Removal Kit  
Random primer labelling kit  
Rapid-hyb buffer  
RNeasy mini kit  
Swine serum  
Trypsin/EDTA (1x)  
Trypsin inhibitor (from soy bean)  
TSA™ Biotin System  
Vector® Red Alkaline Phosphatase Substrate Kit I

2.1.5 Table 2.5 Commonly used media, buffers and stock solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediums/Buffers/Stock solutions</th>
<th>Recipe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 0.1x TE plus RNaseA             | 1 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2  
0.1 mM EDTA                     |
|                                 | 100 μg/ml heat-inactivated RNaseA |
| 1 M Na-phosphate buffer         | 257.3 g/l Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O  
38.5 g/l NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, pH 7.2 |
|                                 | autoclaved |
| 10x PBS                         | 120 mM NaCl  
2.7 mM KCl  
7 mM Na₂HPO₄  
3 mM NaH₂PO₄, pH 7.4, autoclaved |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer Type</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10x TBE</td>
<td>1 mM Tris-Base, 0.83 mM boric acid, 20 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, autoclaved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20x SSC</td>
<td>3 M NaCl, 0.3 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0, autoclaved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Freezing medium</td>
<td>50 % FCS, 20 % DMSO, in DMEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x Loading buffer</td>
<td>20% Ficoll 400, 0.05% bromophenol blue, 0.05% xylene cyanol, 40 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50x TAE</td>
<td>2 M Tris-Base, 1 M sodium acetate, 50 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), autoclaved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin (stock of ampicillin Na-salt)</td>
<td>100 mg/ml in 75% ethanol, Stored at -20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell lysis buffer</td>
<td>10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium N-lauryl sarcosine, Prior to use, add 1 mg/ml Proteinase K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denaturing solution</td>
<td>0.4 M NaOH, (for blotting of DNA onto membrane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denaturing solution (for phage screening)</td>
<td>1.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M NaOH, (for phage screening)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEPC-H$_2$O</td>
<td>2 ml DEPC in 2 l ddH$_2$O, shaking vigorously, leaving for 4 h at RT, then autoclave twice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing solution D 19</td>
<td>Kodak, New Haven, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNase stop mix</td>
<td>5.5 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP-Mix</td>
<td>5 mM deoxyadenosine triphosphate (dATP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM deoxycytosine triphosphate (dCTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM deoxyguanine triphosphate (dGTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM deoxythymidine triphosphate (dTTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E14 complete medium</td>
<td>500 ml DMEM (GIBCO, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(41966-029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 ml FCS, heat inactivated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(210471, Boehringer, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ml L-glutamine (200 mM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(25030-024, GIBCO, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ml penicillin (10,000 units/ml)/ streptomycin (10,000 µg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15140-114, GIBCO, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500 µl β-mercaptoethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(50 mM, 31350-010, GIBCO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 µl Leukemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13275-029, GIBCO, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixation solution</strong></td>
<td>Kodak, New Haven, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heat-inactivated RNaseA</strong></td>
<td>Dissolve 40 mg in 4 ml 1x TE, heat for 10 minutes at 95°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Let slowly cool down to RT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make aliquots and store at -20°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hybridization buffer</strong></td>
<td>0.5 M Na-Phosphate buffer, pH 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HyMix (for <em>in situ</em> hybridization)</strong></td>
<td>50% formamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 M NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% dextran sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02% Ficoll 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02% polyvinylpyrrolidone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02% BSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 mg/ml yeast transfer RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 mg/ml carrier DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 mM DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPTG</strong></td>
<td>100 mg/ml in H2O, sterile filtered, stored at -20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Luria Broth (LB) Medium</strong></td>
<td>1.0% trypton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5% yeast extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0% NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>autoclaved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Neutralizing solution (for blotting of DNA onto membrane)** | 0.2 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5  
| 1x SSC |
| **Neutralizing solution (for phage screening)** | 1.5 M NaCl  
| 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 |
| **NTE (for *in situ* hybridization)** | 500 mM NaCl  
| 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0  
| 5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 |
| **NZY broth** | 5 g/l NaCl  
| 2 g/l MgSO$_4$$\cdot$7H$_2$O  
| 5 g/l yeast extract  
| 10 g/l NZ amine (caseine hydrolysate) |
| **NZY plate** | NZY broth  
| 1.5% Difco bacto agar, autoclaved |
| **SM buffer** | 0.58% NaCl  
| 0.2% MgSO$_4$$\cdot$7H$_2$O  
| 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5  
| 0.01% gelatin, autoclaved |
| **SOC-Medium** | 2.0% trypton  
| 0.5% yeast extract  
| 10 mM NaCl  
| 2.5 mM KCl  
| 10 mM MgSO$_4$  
| 10 mM MgCl$_2$, autoclaved  
| 20 mM glucose (sterile filtered, added after autoclaving) |
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Solution D (for RNA isolation)
- 4 M guanidium isothiocyanate
- 25 mM sodium citrate pH 7.0
- 0.5% sodium N-lauryl sarcosine
  in DEPC-H$_2$O

Tail lysis buffer
- 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0
- 0.1 M EDTA
- 0.1 M NaCl
- 1% SDS, autoclaved

TE
- 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0
- 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0

TENS
- 0.1 M NaOH
- 0.5% SDS in TE buffer

Top agar
- NZY broth
- 0.7% agarose, autoclaved

TSS
- 1x LB
- 10% PEG 8000
- 5% DMSO
- 50 mM MgCl$_2$, adjusted to pH 6.5

X-Gal
- 20 mg/ml in N'-N-dimethylformamide,
  sterile filtered

2.1.6 Table 2.6 Oligonucleotide primers and probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z01 F 5'-ACC ACA AGT CCA TTT GGA CAA</td>
<td>F 811-831</td>
<td>Including the strong TAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z02 R 5'-TGC ACC AAC AGA ACC AAT TGT</td>
<td>R 1363-1383</td>
<td>of CBP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z03</th>
<th>F 5’- GCA GGG CAA CAG AAT GCC ACT T</th>
<th>F 1381-1402</th>
<th>Including the CBD of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z04</td>
<td>R 5’- CGT GTC CTC CGC TTT TCT TCT A</td>
<td>R 1991-2012</td>
<td>CBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z05</td>
<td>F 5’- GCT GGA AGA AGG AGA AGA ATT</td>
<td>F 817-837</td>
<td>p300 sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z06</td>
<td>R 5’- TCC ACC CAT CAG CCC TGT GTT</td>
<td>R 1358-1378</td>
<td>homologous to TAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z07</td>
<td>F 5’- CTA GGT AGC GGG CTT CTA GTA</td>
<td>F 1380-1400</td>
<td>p300 sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z08</td>
<td>R 5’- GTC GGA GGA GCA CGT GAG TAA</td>
<td>R 1958-1978</td>
<td>homologous to CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z09</td>
<td>F 5’- GAA GAA AAG CGG AGG ACA CGT</td>
<td>F 1993-2013</td>
<td>Downstream sequence of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z10</td>
<td>R 5’- GCC ATG CTG TCT ATC TGC ACA</td>
<td>R 2247-2267</td>
<td>CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z11</td>
<td>F 5’- TTC CAC CAG CCC AGT CTG TAA</td>
<td>F 2081-2101</td>
<td>Amplification of sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z12</td>
<td>R 5’- CTG AGG CCA TGC TGT TCA TCT</td>
<td>R 2252-2272</td>
<td>from exon 6 to 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z13</td>
<td>F 5’- TGC TTT TGC CAG TGA ATC GCA</td>
<td>F 2120-2140</td>
<td>Amplification of sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z14</td>
<td>R 5’- GAG GCA TCC GTG AAG GAG AAA</td>
<td>R 2288-2308</td>
<td>from exon 6 to 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z15</td>
<td>F 5’- TCT CAA TGC CCT AG(G TA)A TGG</td>
<td>F 1556-70(GTA)2111-30</td>
<td>Generation of mutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCC CCT GCC TTT GCC A (including AvrII)</td>
<td>CBPACBD2-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z16</td>
<td>R 5’- TCT GGG ATT CCT AGG AGC TGA</td>
<td>R 3345-3374</td>
<td>CBPACBD3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGA TCT ACA (including AvrII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z17</td>
<td>F 5’- AGA ATC AGC TCT TCC AAC TTC CT</td>
<td>F 1638-73; 1820-39</td>
<td>Generation of mutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GGC TAC CAA (exon 3) CGT TCA</td>
<td>CBPACBD3</td>
<td>CBPACBD3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGC CAT CTT CCC A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z18</td>
<td>R 5’- AGA GTG CTT CTA GAG TTG GCA</td>
<td>R 3278-3307</td>
<td>CBPACBD3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAA GTT CCT</td>
<td></td>
<td>CBPAla658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z19</td>
<td>F 5’- AGA ATC AGC TCT TCC AAC TTC CT</td>
<td>F 1638-1660</td>
<td>Generation of mutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z20</td>
<td>R 5’- TTT GTA TTT T(CC C)*GA TTT TCT</td>
<td>R 1959-1984</td>
<td>CBPAla658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z21</td>
<td>F 5’- GCA GAG AAA ATC (GCG)* AAA ATA</td>
<td>F 1960-1988</td>
<td>Together with Z18 (* for introduction of Nru I site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z22</td>
<td>F 5’- GCC GAA GCT TCT CGT GAT AAC TTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Generation of lox66 site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z24</td>
<td>F 5’- GAT TAG CAT ACA TTA TAC GAA CGG T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z25</td>
<td>R 5’- ACC GGA ATT CCC GGA CTA CCG TTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GTA TAA TGT ATG CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Z26** F 5'- GCC GAA GCT TCT CGT GTA CCG TTC
  GTA TAG CAT ACA T
  **Generation of lox71 site**

**Z27** R 5'- ACC GGA ATT CCC GGA CAT AAC TTC
  GTA TAA TGT ATG CTA TAC GAA CGG T

**Z28** F 5'- CCT CGA CAT AAC TTC GTA TAA TGT
  R 5'- ACC GGA ATT CCC GGA CAT AAC TTC
  **Screening for CBPstop**

**Z29** F 5'- GAC CAA GCT TGA TCT CGT GTA CCG TTC
  GGA AAC TT
  **Generation of MutRTS1**
  **Generation of MutRTS2**

**Z30** R 5'- ATT AGC GGC CGC TAC TGT TTG GCC
  **Generation of MutRTS3**

**Z31** R 5'- ATT AGC GGC CGC TAC TGT TTG GCC
  **Shortening of Δexon5**

**Z32** R 5'- ATT AGC GGC CGC TAC TGT TTG GCC
  **Genotyping of floxed mice**

**Z33** F 5'- GGT CCA GCT GGC AAA CTA AAT GAT
  GGG CTC TCA
  **For screening of Neo gene**

**Z34** R 5'- AAC TCG AGT TGA TAT CAA AGA GCA
  CTA AGC AAA CAA GCT
  **Check for Cre-mediated deletion in CBPstop**

**Z35** F 5'- TTC TGT AGG CGG AAA GAA CCA GCT
  **Check of splicing pattern**

**Z36** R 5'- AGG CAA CCA GGG TGA GGA TCA TAT
  **of inverted MutΔexon5**

**Z37** F 5'- CCT CGT AGG CAG AAA CAA GCA
  **Check for splicing pattern**

**Z38** R 5'- ACC ATC ATT CAT CAG TGG ACT
  **of inverted MutΔexon5**

**Z39** F 5'- CTC ATT AGT GTA AGC TGT AGT CT
  **Genotyping of floxed mice**
  With Z22

**Z40** F 5'- ATG TAA GAA CAG CCC CAA ACA
  **Check for Cre-mediated deletion in CBPstop**
  With Z38

**Z41** F 5'- CTC TGG TAA CAT TGG AAG CCT
  **Check of splicing pattern**

**Z42** R 5'- CAT CCC TGG AGA AAC CTG CAT
  **Check of splicing pattern**
  **of inverted MutΔexon5**

**Z43** R 5'- CTT CTA GTT CTT TTT GTA TTT TCG C
  **Check of splicing pattern**
  With Z41

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F 9927-9948**</th>
<th>Check for Cre-mediated deletion in CBPstop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 10142-10162**</td>
<td>Check for splicing pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 9415-9435**</td>
<td>Check for Cre-mediated deletion in CBPstop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 2140-2160</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 1698-1718</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 2140-2160</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 4417-4440+ EcoRI</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 4417-4440+ XhoI **</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 384-407+ NcoI</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 1046-1068+ Not I</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 1548-1570+ Not I</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 15841-15865**</td>
<td>Check of inverted MutΔexon5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.1.7 Table 2.7 Bacteria strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DH 5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL-1 Blue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.8 Table 2.8 Plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRE-luciferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE-luciferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p705-Cre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Position at cDNA level, referring to appendix I
** Position at genomic level, referring to appendix II
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector/Construct</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pBluescript® KS - (pBS)</td>
<td>Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany (212207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCAGGS-FLPe</td>
<td>Gift from Marcus Conrad, GSF, Munich, Germany (Buchholz et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCEV (catalytic subunit of PKA)</td>
<td>Dr. Beat Lutz (Lutz et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCre-Pac</td>
<td>Gift from Ralf Kist, GSF, Munich, Germany (Taniguchi et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-7Zf(+)</td>
<td>Promega, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHd-CREB</td>
<td>Dr. Beat Lutz (Lutz et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRC/RSV-mCBP.HA.RK</td>
<td>Gift from Dr. Richard H. Goodman, Vollum Institute, Oregon Health Science University (Kwok et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSVaZ11-PGK-Neo</td>
<td>Gift from Dr. Bernhard Lüscher, University of Zürich, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTM-loxP</td>
<td>Gift from Dr. Theo Mantamadiotis, DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUSEFUL (containing tk gene)</td>
<td>Gift from Dr. Allan Bradley, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Methods

#### 2.2.1 Basic DNA/RNA methods

##### 2.2.1.1 DNA isolation

**2.2.1.1.1 Plasmid mini-preparation**
From transformation plates, one single colony was inoculated in 2 ml LB medium containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin, incubated with rotation at 37°C for overnight. Then 1 ml of culture was poured into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube, the rest of the culture was kept at 4°C to make later a glycerol stock. The medium was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 seconds in a microfuge (Heraeus, Germany). After pouring off the LB, about 50 to 100 μl of LB was left in the tube, cells were resuspended completely by vortexing, mixed well with 300 μl of TENS solution by inversion, 150 μl of 3.0 M sodium acetate pH 5.2 was added and mixed completely by vortexing. The mixture was put on ice for 5 minutes and a centrifugation step was performed at 13,000 rpm for 8 minutes at RT. 400 μl of supernatant was transferred into a fresh tube which contained 900 μl of 100% ethanol, mixed well and put on ice for 5 minutes. The plasmid DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 8 minutes at RT. The DNA pellet was washed once with cold 70% ethanol, and then dried for 3 minutes in a Speed Vac® Plus (BACHOFER, Reutlingen, Germany). The pellet was resuspended in 30 μl of 0.1x TE containing 100 μg/ml heat-inactivated RNaseA. 2 μl of this preparation was mostly sufficient for a enzymatic digestion in 20 μl.

### 2.2.1.1.2 Large scale plasmid preparation

Plasmid DNA preparations were performed by using QIAprep Plasmid Purification Kits (Midi or Maxi kits for preparation of up to 100 μg or 500 μg of plasmid DNA, respectively; Endo-Free Maxi kit for preparation of up to 500 μg of endotoxin-free plasmid DNA) (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The concentration of DNA was measured at OD_{260 nm} with Spectro photometer Du®640 (BECKMAN, USA).
2.2.1.1.3 Preparation of genomic DNA from embryonic stem (ES) cells

ES cells were cultured in gelatinized 96-well plates until cells grew to confluency or the medium changed to a yellow colour. The cells were washed twice with PBS. 50 μl of lysis buffer/well was added and plates were incubated at 50°C overnight in a sealed humidified box. The plates were spun briefly to save the condensation water present in the lid. 100 μl of precipitation solution (150 μl of 5 M NaCl plus 10 ml of cold 100% ethanol) was added into each well. The plates were shaken at RT for 30 minutes. After centrifugation at 2,500 rpm for 2 minutes, the supernatant was carefully discarded by inverting the plates onto paper towels. The pellets were washed three times with 70% ethanol. Genomic DNA was stored at −20°C in 70% ethanol until use, or air dried for a few minutes and then used immediately for enzymatic digestion.

2.2.1.1.4 Preparation of genomic DNA from mouse tails

About 1 cm of tail in length was cut and incubated in 700 μl of tail lysis buffer containing 40 μl of freshly added 10 mg/ml Proteinase K overnight at 56°C in a shaking Eppendorf thermomixer (Eppendorf, Germany). Tail lysate was vortexed vigorously for 5 minutes, 300 μl of 7 M NaCl solution was added, and lysate was shaken for another 5 minutes. After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 minutes at RT, 750 μl of the upper phase was immediately transferred into a new tube, mixed well with 500 μl isopropanol by inversion and then spun at 13,000 rpm for 5 minutes at RT. Supernatant was discarded carefully using a pipette. Pellet was washed once with 1 ml of 70% ethanol, air dried for about 30 minutes and dissolved into 200 μl TE buffer by incubation at 37°C for 10 minutes and gently vortexing. DNA was stored at −20°C until use.
2.2.1.2 RNA isolation

**RNA isolation from cultured cells.** Cells were rinsed once with PBS. 4 ml Solution D was added to a 150 mm-dish or 1.6 ml to a 100 mm-dish. The lysate mixture was transferred into a Greiner centrifuge tube.

**RNA isolation from animal tissues.** After dissection, the tissue was homogenized. Solution D (1.5 ml/100 mg tissue) was pipetted into the tube containing the sample and grinded immediately using a Polytron. Prior to use, 7.2 µl of 2-mercaptoethanol/ml (final concentration 100 mM) and 12 µl Antifoam A Emulsion/ml were added to Solution D.

**Extraction.** 100 µl acidic NaOAc (pH 4.5)/ml lysate was added and shaken well. Then, 1 ml phenol was added to the mixture, which was shaken well by inversion. Afterwards, 200 µl of chloroform/isoamylalcohol (24:1) was added, and shaken vigorously for 10 seconds. The mixture was chilled on ice for 15 minutes. The top (aqueous) phase was transferred into a new tube after centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. An equal volume of isopropanol was added, mixed well by inversion, and then incubated at -20°C for at least 2 hours. The pellet was formed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, then resuspended in 500 µl Solution D. Another 50 µl of acidic NaOAc and 400 µl of phenol was added and mixed well by vortexing 10 seconds. 400 µl of chloroform was added and mixed by vortexing. The mixture was put on ice for 15 min and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. The top phase was transferred into a new tube, mixed well with 550 µl of isopropanol, incubated at -20°C for at least 2 hours. The RNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, then washed once with 1 ml ice-cold 70% ethanol and air-dried. The RNA pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of DEPC-H2O, and stored at -80°C.
RNA isolation from ES cell culture with peqGOLD RNAPure reagent. ES cells cultured on a 10 cm-gelatinized plate was rinsed twice with PBS, then, 1.8 ml of peqGOLD RNAPure solution was added. The lysate was scraped, collected into a 2 ml tube, then mixed with 0.4 ml chloroform by inverting 15 seconds and finally incubated at RT for 5 minutes. After centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, 1 ml of supernatant was transferred carefully into a fresh tube and mixed with an equal volume of isopropanol. The mixture was incubated for 10 minutes at RT. The RNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. The pellet was washed twice with 1 ml 70% ethanol, air dried, resuspended in 40 µl of DEPC-H₂O, and then stored at -20°C.

2.2.1.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis

0.8-2.0% agarose gels containing 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide were prepared to check and/or isolate DNA fragments. DNA containing 1x loading buffer was separated for 30 minutes to overnight at about 5 V/cm in 1x TAE buffer. Gels were photographed on UV transilluminator.

2.2.1.4 PCR reaction

Table 2.9 Standard 50 µl-PCR reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>36 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs (5 mM each)</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Taq polymerase buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM KCl, 15 mM MgCl₂)</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward primer, 10 pmol/µl</td>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reverse primer, 10 pmol/μl 2.5 μl
DNA template (include also a control 1 μl
without DNA!)
Taq polymerase (0.5 unit/μl) 1 μl

**Conditions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Replicas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>1x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>30 to 35 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(for Stratagene Robocycler 96)

### 2.2.1.5 RT-PCR

**DNase treatment of RNA**

**Table 2.10 DNase mix (6 μl total)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 μl</td>
<td>10x DNase buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 50 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DTT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 μl</td>
<td>RNase-free DNase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 μl</td>
<td>RNasin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 μl</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.11 DNase reaction (30 μl)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 μl</td>
<td>DNase mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 μl</td>
<td>RNA (2-10 μg total)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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After 30 minutes incubation at 37°C, 33 μl of DNase-stop mix was added. RNA mixture was extracted with 70 μl of phenol/chloroform (1:1). The supernatant was transferred into a new tube and precipitated by adding of 1/10 volume of 3 M NaOAc (pH 5.2) and 2.5 volumes of 100% ethanol, incubating at -20°C for at least 2 hours. After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, RNA pellet was washed with 100 μl cold (-20°C) 70% ethanol. Pellet was dried at RT for 10-20 minutes and dissolved into 10 μl DEPC-H₂O.

After DNase treatment, purification of RNA was also performed with RNeasy mini kit.

Table 2.12 RT reaction (10 μl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 μl</th>
<th>5x RT-buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 μl</td>
<td>d(N)₆ (100 pmol/μl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 μl</td>
<td>dNTP mix (5 mM each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 μl</td>
<td>DTT (0.1 M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3 μl</td>
<td>RNasin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 μl</td>
<td>BSA (1 mg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 μl</td>
<td>MMLV reverse transcriptase (or H₂O for control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 μl</td>
<td>RNA (150-1000 ng) (denatured for 2 minutes at 70°C and chilled on ice, quick spin in centrifuge, then pipette above reagents as a pre-mix into this tube)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reaction was carried out at 37°C for 30 minutes and then at 55°C for another 30 minutes. The reverse transcriptase was inactivated by heating for 4 minutes at 95°C.

**PCR.** 1-2 μl of RT reaction was taken for a 50 μl-PCR reaction.
2.2.1.6 Restriction enzyme digestion

Table 2.13 Standard digestion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10x incubation buffer</td>
<td>2 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x BSA</td>
<td>2 μl or not (depending on enzyme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA (1 μg/μl in 0.1x TE)</td>
<td>1 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restriction enzyme</td>
<td>1 unit/μg DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>Added to 20 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.14 Genomic DNA digestion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10x incubation buffer</td>
<td>3 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x BSA</td>
<td>3 μl or not (depending on enzyme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA (from ES cells prepared from 1 well of a 96-well plate or 15 μg tail DNA)</td>
<td>x μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase (10 mg/ml)</td>
<td>0.3 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spermidine (0.1 M)</td>
<td>1.2 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restriction enzyme</td>
<td>100 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>Added to 30 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Digestion mixture was incubated at 37°C or special temperature as product’s description for a proper time. Genomic DNA was generally digested at 37°C or special temperature at least overnight.

2.2.1.7 Blunting of DNA

Table 2.15 Fill-in of sticky ends with T4 DNA polymerase (100 μl)
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Table 2.16 Removal of single-stranded extensions with Mung Bean Nuclease (50 μl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume (μl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA digestion (1-2 μg total)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Mung Bean Nuclease buffer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mung Bean Nuclease (10 units/μl)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 30 minutes. DNA was purified by QIAquick PCR Purification kit.

2.2.1.8 Table 2.17 Phosphatase treatment (20 μl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume (μl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA digest (about 100 ng)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x phosphatase buffer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrimp alkaline phosphatase (SAP) (1 unit/μl)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mixture was incubated 1 hour at 37°C for blunt-ends; and 10 minute at 37°C for sticky ends. SAP was inactivated for 15 minutes at 65°C. The reaction mix can be used for ligation directly, 20-30 ng of dephosphorylated vector is good for one ligation.

### 2.2.1.9 Ligation (20 μl reaction)

20 ng of vector was generally taken for a 20 μl standard ligation reaction. The amount of insert principally depends on the size ratio of vector to insert. Mostly, a molar ratio of between 3 and 6 (insert to vector) was taken.

\[
\text{Insert (ng)} = 20 \text{ ng} \times \frac{\text{insert (bp)}}{\text{vector (bp)}} \times \text{ratio}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x ligase buffer (supplied with ligase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 ligase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mixture was incubated at 16°C for overnight, or at RT for 2 hours.

### 2.2.1.10 Preparation of competent bacteria

A single colony of XL1 blue or DH5α was inoculated in 1 ml LB medium and incubated at 37°C overnight. 100 ml of pre-warmed LB medium was inoculated with the overnight culture and incubated with shaking at 37°C to OD₆₀₀nm = 0.3 - 0.4 (about 2 hours). After centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C, supernatant was
carefully discarded, and cell pellet was gently resuspended on ice with 10 ml of ice-cold TSS solution. On ice, 250 μl and 500 μl aliquots were made in Eppendorf tubes, and immersed into a dry-ice/ethanol bath for a few minutes and then stored at -140°C.

2.2.1.11 Transformation

10 μl of the ligation reaction was mixed gently with 200 μl competent bacteria that were thawed on ice for about 15 minutes. The mixture was incubated for 30 min on ice, heat-shocked at 42°C for 90 seconds, then chilled on ice for 2 minutes. 800 μl SOC was added, and incubated with shaking for another 30 min at 37°C. 100 μl and 400 μl of the reaction mix were plated onto LB plates with proper selection antibiotic(s). Plates were air-dried and incubated in an inverted position overnight at 37°C.

2.2.2 Southern blot

**Blot.** DNA digestions were run on a 0.8% agarose gel (containing 0.5 μg/ml ethidium bromide) in 1x TAE buffer. After electrophoresis, gels were photographed on a UV transilluminator. Pre-treatment of gels was performed by the following steps: shaking 6 minutes in 0.25 N HCl; brief rinsing of gels with distilled water; shaking 30 minutes in denaturation buffer and then in neutralization buffer. Appropriate size of Hybond NX membrane (Amersham, USA) was immersed briefly in H₂O and 15 minutes in 10x SSC buffer, then used for transferring DNA. Capillary blot was set up according to the protocol described in “Molecular Cloning” (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989) in 10x SSC buffer for about 18 hours. After blotting, the membranes were treated 1 minute in 0.4 M NaOH and 1 minute in 0.2 M Tris-HCl/1x SSC, were then air-dried and crosslinked under the condition of “autocross link” with a Stratalinker (Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany).
Hybridization. Rapid-hyb buffer (Amersham) and hybridization bottles were pre-warmed at 65°C. The blotted membranes were pre-wetted firstly with H₂O, then with 2x SSC, and placed onto nylon mesh. The membrane/mesh was rolled and put into hybridization bottle, then un-rolled. For the prehybridization, 10 ml pre-warmed Rapid-hyb buffer was required, for at least half a hour at 65°C. Afterwards, heat denatured (95°C, 5 minutes) ^32P-labelled probe was added into hybridization bottle directly. Hybridization was carried out at 65°C for 2 hours.

Washes. After hybridization, membranes were subjected to washing steps: rinsing briefly twice in 50 ml of 2x SSC at RT in the bottles; washing once at 65°C with shaking for 15 minutes in 500 ml of 2x SSC/0.1% SDS and 0.2x SSC/0.1% SDS, respectively; rinsing briefly once more in 2x SSC. The membranes were kept wet and sealed in plastic bags immediately, then exposed at -80°C for a few hours to a few days to autoradiographic film with an intensifying screen.

2.2.3 In situ hybridization

Preparation of riboprobes. After digestion, the linearized plasmid was extracted with equal volume of phenol/chloroform (1:1). After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 3 minutes at RT, the supernatant was transferred into a fresh tube and added with 1/10 volume of 3 M NaOAc and 2.5 volumes of 100% ethanol to precipitate the DNA. The mixture was incubated at -20°C for at least two hours and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, the DNA pellet was washed once with cold 70% ethanol, and air-dried for a few minutes, dissolved in 10 μl DEPC-treated H₂O. The concentration of probes was measured and then adjusted to 1.0 μg/μl with DEPC-treated H₂O.
Table 2.19 Labelling of riboprobes with digoxigenin (50 µl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (µl)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.5 µl</td>
<td>DEPC-treated H$_2$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 µl</td>
<td>10x transcription buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 µl</td>
<td>DIG RNA labelling mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 µl</td>
<td>linearized plasmid DNA (1.0 µg/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 µl</td>
<td>RNasin (40 units/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 µl</td>
<td>T3 or T7 RNA polymerase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mixture was prepared at RT and was incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. Integrity of RNA was checked by running of 0.5 µl of above reaction mix on 1.0% agarose gel. The remaining 49.5 µl of the reaction was treated with 2 µl DNase, I (RNase-free) for 15 minutes at 37°C.

**Purification of riboprobes.** The DNase-digested reaction mix was mixed well with 5 µl of 5 M NH$_4$OAc and 50 µl of isopropanol, incubated 30 minutes at -20°C. After centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, the pellet was washed once with cold 70% ethanol, and air-dried for 5-10 minutes. RNA was then dissolved in 20 µl DEPC-H$_2$O very well by vortexing vigorously.

**Quantitation of DIG-labelled riboprobes.** It was achieved by using of DIG Quantitation and DIG Control Teststrips (Roche, Germany).

**Pre-treatment.** Cryosections (20 µm) were cut coronally from unfixed mouse brain. Pre-treatment was performed as follow: fixed for 20 minutes with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS; rinsed twice with 1x PBS for 5 minutes; quenched for 15 minutes with 1% H$_2$O$_2$ in 100% methanol; washed twice with 1x PBS; quenched for 8 minutes with 0.2 M HCl; washed twice with 1x PBS; digested with 20 µg/ml proteinase K (0.4
units/ml) in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) for 10 minutes; rinsed with 1x PBS for 5 minutes; fixed with 4% PFA for 20 minutes; acetylated with acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanol amine/HCl (pH 8.0) (by adding of 600 µl acetic anhydride to the solution as it is being stirred in a hood, 3 minutes later, another 600 µl acetic anhydride was added. Total acetylation treatment time was up to 10 minutes); rinsed with 1x PBS for 5 minutes; washed with 0.9% NaCl for 5 minutes; dehydrated with 30% and 50% ethanol for 20 seconds each; transferred into 70% ethanol for 1 minute; passed through 1x 80%, 1x 95%, and 2x 100% ethanol for 20 seconds each and air dried.

**Hybridization.** Before adding probes to the complete hybridization mix, riboprobes were placed for 2 minutes into boiling water to be denatured, then spun quickly to remove condensation water from the cap of the tube. The probes were added to the complete pre-warmed hybridization mix (HyMix/10 mM DTT/400 U/ml RNasin) to the final concentration of 200 ng/ml and left at 54°C in heating block (Thermomixer, Eppendorf, Germany), while it is being added onto the slides. 100 µl of hybridization mix containing riboprobe was placed onto each slide that was covered with coverslip. This was done carefully to avoid the formation of bubbles over and around the sections. Slides were then placed into a humidified chamber containing 50% formamide/5x SSC and incubated overnight at 54°C.

**Post-hybridization.** Coverslips were removed and slides were firstly washed at 62°C as follow: in 5x SSC/0.05% Tween-20 for 20 minutes; 50% formamide, 2x SSC/0.05% Tween-20 for 30 minutes; 50% formamide, 1x SSC/0.05% Tween-20 for 30 minutes and 0.1x SSC/0.05% Tween-20 for 30 minutes. Then slides were washed at 30°C with NTE/0.05% Tween-20 and twice with NTE/0.05% Tween-20.

**Antibody reaction.** Slides were blocked 1 hour with 4% heat-inactivated sheep serum in TNT (0.05% Tween-20 in 100 mM Tris/150mM NaCl, pH 7.6), washed 3 times with
TNT, and incubated for 30 minutes with NEN-TNB blocking buffer (600-700 µl/slide) and for 1.5 hour with anti-Dig(Fab)-POD, 1:1200 diluted in TNB (400-500 µl/slide). Afterwards, slides were washed 3 times with TNT, incubated for 12 minutes with tyramine-biotin (TB) (TSA Individual Reagent Packs) diluted 1:50 with NEN reaction buffer (150-200 µl/slide). Slides were washed with wash buffer (100 mM maleate/150 mM NaCl/0.05% Tween-20, pH 7.5), incubated for 1 hour with streptavidine-POD antibody, 1:750 diluted with 1% Roche's blocking reagent in wash buffer (400-500 µl/slide), washed again 3 times with wash buffer followed by two washes with TNT.

**Evaluation.** Reaction was visualized by incubation with BioGenex-DAB substrate at RT for up to 40 minutes and stopped by dipping in H₂O. Counterstain was performed as follow: staining 10 seconds in toluidin blue (0.1% in distilled H₂O); twice rinsing 30 seconds in H₂O; destaining 45 seconds in 70% ethanol containing 1-2 drops of 100% acetic acid and rinsing again 45 seconds in 100% ethanol. Lastly, the slides were air dried at RT and covered with HistoFluid and coverslipped.

### 2.2.4 Immunostaining

8 µm thick paraffin sections were made from mouse brains. Pre-treatment was performed in cuvettes as follow: sections were deparaffinized in xylene twice for 10 minutes; washed twice with 100% ethanol for 5 minutes, once with 95% ethanol for 2 minutes and once with 70% ethanol for 5 minutes, then rinsed twice in H₂O for 2 minutes. After incubation for 15 min in 0.3% H₂O₂ in H₂O (freshly prepared) at RT, sections were washed 3 times in PBS for 2 minutes at RT, then incubated for 30 minutes in 5 µg/ml saponin in H₂O at RT. Afterward, sections were washed 3 times in PBS for 2 minutes at RT. Slides were removed from the cuvette, drained quickly and encircled.
with Pap Pen. Then, slides were placed horizontally and blocked for 20 minutes in 1.5% swine serum/PBS in humidified chamber, washed once with PBS, incubated with 100-200 \( \mu l \) primary antibody appropriately diluted in 1% BSA/PBS overnight at 4°C or for 60 min at room temperature in humidified chamber. Slides were then rinsed quickly with PBS by using Pasteur pipette and wash 3 times in PBS in cuvette. Biotinylated secondary antibody was added onto the slides placed horizontally and incubated for 30 minutes at RT in humidified chamber. Slides were placed horizontally and washed 3 times with PBS for 5 minutes, then incubated with Streptavidin-Peroxidase Complex (pre-incubated for at least 30 min on ice) for 30 min at RT. Slides were again washed 3 times with PBS for 5 minutes and rinsed once with TBS (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl) containing 0.5% Tween-20. Diaminobenzidine (DAB)-stain was done as follow: two DAB tablets and two \( \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2 \) tablets (Sigma fast DAB tablets, D-4168) were dissolved in 5 ml TBS, and vortexed vigorously for 1 minute. DAB solution was added immediately onto sections and staining was controlled through microscope. Generally, staining time was 5-15 minutes. Reaction was stopped by removal of DAB solution and transferring slides into cuvette containing \( \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \). Counterstain was done with 0.1% toluidin blue (referring to Chapter 2.2.3, \textit{in situ} hybridization). Slides were dehydrated in an ethanol series (70%, 95%, 100%) for 30 seconds for each step, washed once in xylene for 2 minutes and air dried, lastly covered with Histofluid and coverslipped.

2.2.5 Transfection

Wild-type or mutant CBP together with CRE-luciferase or GRE-luciferase reporter construct were co-transfected into F9 teratocarcinoma cells with Effectene\textsuperscript{TM} Transfection Reagent Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) to measure the luciferase activity induced by PKA or dexamethasone, respectively. 1.5x 10\(^5\) F9 cells/well were plated in
6-well tissue culture testplate (TPP, Switzerland) and treated for three days with 5 μM retinoic acid (RA). For each well, 3.0 μg total amount of plasmid DNA were transfected into RA pre-treated F9 cells, including 0.25 μg pCREB, 0.25 μg pCEV, 1.0 μg CRE-luciferase, 1.0 μg WT or mutant CBP, and empty vector pRc/RSV to 3.0 μg (pRc/RSV was obtained by Hind III and Not I double digestion of pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA, isolation of the 5.2 kb vector backbone, fill-in of both sticky ends and self-ligation). 16-18 hours later, cells were fed with fresh medium containing 5 μM RA. 2 days after transfection, the cells/well were lysed with 150 μl 1x lysis buffer, harvested into a Eppendorf tube. After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C, 50 μl of the supernatant was transferred into a 96-well plate for the measurement of luciferase activity in Wallac Victor™ 1420 multilabel counter (Wallac distribution GmbH, Germany).

2.2.6 Isolation of genomic CBP DNA

Genomic DNA fragments spanning CREB-binding domain of CBP were isolated from λ phage genomic DNA libraries prepared from E14 and D3 ES cells. The libraries were generated by insertion of the Sau3A I partially digested fragments into λ DASH II phage vector digested with BamH I, provided by Dr. Klaus Kaestner, Philadelphia, USA.

2.2.6.1 Preparation of host bacteria and phage

A single colony of the host Escherichia coli bacterial strain LE392 was inoculated into 50 ml of LB medium supplemented with 0.2% maltose and 10 mM MgSO₄. The bacteria were then incubated at 30°C with shaking for overnight. After centrifugation of the culture at 2,000 rpm for 10 minutes at RT, the bacterial pellet was resuspended
gently in 30 ml of 10 mM MgSO₄, then the concentration of the host bacteria was measured with Spectro photometer Du®640 (BECKMAN, USA) and adjusted to OD₆₀₀nm = 0.5 with 10 mM MgSO₄. Definite amount of E14 or D3 phages were used for screening. 100,000 plaque forming units (pfu) was taken for the primary screening of each λ phage genomic library, and 10 times and 100 times lower numbers of phages for the secondary and tertiary screenings, respectively.

2.2.6.2 Absorption of phage into host bacteria

For primary screening, 22 x 22 cm² (or 10 cm diameter dishes for the secondary and tertiary screenings) NZY agar plates were prepared and pre-warmed at 42°C for at least 2 hours. The mixture of 1.8 ml LE392 (OD₆₀₀nm = 0.5) and 0.9 ml phage (appropriate amount of phages) was incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes, then 25 ml of top agar which pre-warmed at 48°C was added, mixed well and poured immediately onto each pre-warmed plate. The plates were cooled down at RT for 15 minutes, then incubated at 37°C overnight.

2.2.6.3 Blotting of phage onto membranes

When phage plaques appeared to be visible in the bacterial lawn, the plates were transferred into 4°C for hours. Two appropriately sized Hybond NX membranes (Amersham pharmacia Biotech, UK) (a little smaller than 22 x 22 cm²) were prepared for each plate. The first one was carefully placed onto the plate, and left soaking for 2 minutes. Meantime, for orientation of the membrane and corresponding plate, eight asymmetrical holes were punched through the membrane into the NZY agar plate with a syringe needle containing black ink. After removal of first membrane, the second one was placed onto the plate for another soaking of 5 minutes. Both membranes blotted
with phage plaques were placed onto series of sheets of 3 MM filter paper (Whatman, USA) saturated by denaturation solution and neutralization solution, respectively, then committed to denaturation for 5 minutes and neutralization twice for 3 minutes each. The membranes were then submerged in 2x SSC and washed vigorously to get rid of proteinous debris. Afterwards, membranes were air-dried and UV crosslinked under the condition of “autocross link” with a Stratalinker (Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany).

2.2.6.4 Hybridization

Hybridization buffer (referring to Tab. 2.5) and hybridization bottles were pre-warmed at 65°C. The blotted membranes were pre-wetted firstly with H2O, then in 2x SSC, and placed onto nylon meshes. The membrane/mesh stack was rolled and put into the hybridization bottle, then un-rolled. 20 ml of pre-warmed hybridization buffer was required for the pre-hybridization at 65°C for at least one hour. Afterwards, hybridization buffer was substituted with 10 ml of fresh hybridization buffer and heat denatured (95°C, 5 minutes) 32P-labelled probe. The probes were acquired by double digestion of the plasmid pBS-CBP.TAD and pBS-CBP.CBD (see Chapter 3; Results) with HindIII and EcoRI, then purified through the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit. Quantitation of the probes was carried out by comparison of the extracted band with the Smart Marker on the agarose gel. 50 ng of each probe was labelled with α 32P-dCTP for 2 hours at RT with Random primer DNA labelling system. Purification of probe was performed by MicroSpin™ S-300HR column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK). The probes were heat denatured at 95°C for 5 minutes, then immediately cooled down on ice before use. Hybridization was carried out at 65 °C for 2 hours.

After hybridization, hybridization mix (including probe) was frozen at −20°C, which was re-used up to 3 times (only for phage re-screening). The membranes were subjected
to washing steps: rinsing briefly in 50 ml of 2x SSC/0.1% SDS at RT in the bottle; 50 ml of 2x SSC/0.1% SDS at 65°C with rotating for 10 minutes in the bottle; twice in 500 ml of 0.1x SSC/0.1% SDS at 65 °C with shaking for 10 minutes; rinsing briefly once more in 2x SSC/0.1% SDS. The membranes were kept wet and sealed in plastic bags immediately, then exposed to film at -80°C with intensifying screen for a few hours to a few days for autoradiography.

2.2.6.5 Screening for genomic CBP DNA from phage libraries

After hybridization with CBP probe TAD and CBD, the phage plaques that showed signals on both membranes from the same plate were considered as positive. The surrounding areas (about 0.5 cm²) of the positive plaques were picked and transferred into Eppendorf tubes, each containing 1 ml of SM solution. The agar containing phages was split into pieces with tips, and incubated at RT for 3 hours. After brief centrifugation, 2 drops of chloroform was added into the phage supernatant for long-term storage of phages at 4°C.

The further screenings were carried out as the primary screening, but with the differences that more diluted phage titers were plated out, and smaller surrounding areas of positive plaques were picked from plates. Generally, four rounds of screening were required for isolation of the phages, which was considered when all plaques on one plate were positive after hybridization.

2.2.6.6 Large scale preparation of phage DNA

To prepare a large phage stock, 5 ml of SM buffer was added into a confluent phage plate (10 cm diameter), incubated at RT with shaking for 4 hours. Phage eluent was collected as completely as possible, then mixed with 0.1 ml chloroform, stored at 4°C.
After titeration, $3 \times 10^7$ pfu of each positive phage in 0.5 ml SM buffer were prepared and mixed with $1 \times 10^9$ host bacteria LE392 in 0.5 ml 10 mM MgSO$_4$ and 0.5 ml MgCl$_2$/CaCl$_2$ solution (10 mM each). The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes and then inoculated into 400 ml of NZCYM broth (NZY broth plus 1 g/l Casamino acids) and incubated at 37°C with shaking overnight until phages lysed. 0.8 ml chloroform was added into the flask, and then incubated at 37°C for further 15 minutes. The cell debris were spun down at 7,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C in chloroform-resistant 500 ml-bucket, the supernatant was cleaned by filtration and transferred into a 1 liter-glass beaker, and 23.4 g NaCl and 40 g polyethylene glycol (PEG 8,000) were added. After shaking at 37°C until complete dissolving of PEG, the beaker was placed in an ice-water bath for about 45 minutes to precipitate phages. Phages were then collected by centrifugation at 7,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C. The phage pellet was resuspended in 6.5 ml 1x buffer A (freshly prepared by mixing of 5 ml 10x Buffer A, 45 ml H$_2$O and 20.5 µl 14.63 M 2-mercaptoethanol). Phages were harvested as much as possible into a 50 ml-Falcon tube, incubated with 16 µl DNase I (10 mg/ml) and 40 µl RNase A (10 mg/ml, heat-inactivated) for 30 minutes at 30°C. Removal of PEG was performed by extraction once with an equal volume of chloroform, the mixture was shaken gently for 5 minutes and spun at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 20°C. The upper phage layer was carefully collected. In order to purify the phage DNA, an one-step gradient in conical polyallomer 10 ml-tube (14x89 mm, Beckmann, USA) was set up with the bottom layer of 0.75 ml 40% glycerol/1x Buffer B/6 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, the middle layer of 2.5 ml 5% glycerol/1x Buffer B/ 6 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and upper layer of 8 ml phage solution, and centrifuged at 35,000 rpm for 1 hour at 4°C with L8-70M Ultracentrifuge (Beckman, USA). The supernatant was carefully discarded, the phage pellet was resuspended into 1.6 ml of 2x ET buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5/0.1 M EDTA) and transferred into a 5 ml-cryotube (Nunc,
After adding of 0.4 ml of 10% SDS, the suspension was incubated overnight at 55°C. Phage DNA was then extracted with an equal volume of phenol, phenol/chloroform and chloroform by gentle inversion and centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for 5 minutes at RT, respectively. Afterwards, the upper phase was carefully transferred into a fresh 15 ml-Falcon tube. Phage DNA was precipitated by mixing well with 2 volume of 100% ethanol at RT. The visible DNA clump was pulled out with a bended glass capillary and briefly washed by dipping the clump 5-10 times in 70% ethanol, then dissolved into 500 μl of 0.1x TE buffer. The phage DNA solution was stored at -20°C until use.

2.2.6.7 Sequence analysis

After restriction mapping, subcloning and sequencing, the genomic CBP was analysed by using HUSAR program (Heidelberg Unix Sequence Analysis Resources, German Cancer Research Center, DKFZ, Germany).

2.2.7 Embryonic stem (ES) cell culture

2.2.7.1 Preparation of mouse fibroblast feeder cells

G418-resistant fibroblast feeder cells were isolated from the G418-resistant C57BL/6 J-TgN (PGK-Neo bpA) 3-Ems transgenic mouse strain, and purchased from The Jackson Lab., USA. The G418-resistant feeder plates were prepared same as the commonly used feeder plates described below.

Primary embryonic fibroblast cells were isolated from mouse embryos at 14-16 days post coitus (dpc). The pregnant mouse was killed, the uterus was isolated and placed in a 10 cm Petri dish containing PBS. The embryos were dissected from the uterus free of
all the extraembryonic membranes, then transferred into a new dish containing PBS and heads were removed. The embryos were transferred into dishes without PBS, all internal organs (liver, heart, kidney, lung and intestine) were removed, about 10 carcasses were isolated in this way and put in new dishes containing PBS. The carcasses were then washed three times in a 50 ml Falcon tube with 35 ml PBS to remove as much blood as possible. After sucking off the PBS, the carcasses were transferred into a Petri dish (on ice) and quickly minced with a sterile scalpel. The minced bodies were collected in 10 ml of 0.25% trypsin/EDTA solution (GIBCO, Germany) supplemented with 200 μg/ml of DNase I, and incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C. A mesh was sterilized in boiling water for 30 minutes, then cooled down to RT, washed with PBS and 0.25% trypsin/EDTA solution and placed on an Erlenmeyer flask. The minced bodies were pressed with the syringe plunger without needle through the mesh. A total about 50 ml 0.25% trypsin/EDTA solution was used to flush the mesh. The elution was incubated with shaking for 30-45 minutes at 37°C. The cell suspension was decanted in two 50 ml Falcon tubes, filled up with feeder DMEM medium (same as E14 complete medium, but without LIF) and spun down at 1,500 rpm for 5 minutes. The cell pellet was washed at least twice with DMEM, and then resuspended in 10 ml feeder DMEM medium, and viable cells were counted using Trypan blue method (Sigma, Germany).

5 x 10^6 viable cells were plated onto 15 cm-dish containing 25 ml feeder DMEM medium and were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ overnight, the next day changed with 25 ml of fresh feeder DMEM medium. 2 or 3 days later, the fibroblast cells were trypsinized and split 1:5 onto new dishes for further incubation. After another 2 or 3 days, cells were confluent and to be frozen at -80°C (about 5-10 x 10^6 cells/vial), then stored in liquid nitrogen.
One vial of frozen primary embryonic fibroblast cells was quickly thawed at 37°C and transferred into a 15 ml Falcon tube containing 10 ml feeder DMEM medium. After centrifugation at 1,200 rpm for 5 minutes at RT, the cells were resuspended into 5 ml feeder DMEM medium. The cell suspension was distributed evenly onto five 15 cm-dishes each containing 25 ml feeder DMEM medium and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ until cells reaching a confluent mono-layer (about 4 days). The cells were trypsinized and transferred in Falcon tubes. After centrifugation, the cell pellet was resuspended into feeder DMEM medium. The cell suspension was then distributed evenly onto twenty 15 cm-dishes and incubated for 3 days, then treated with feeder DMEM medium containing 0.01 mg/ml mitomycin C (stock one: 1 mg/ml in PBS, stored in dark at 4°C and used within two weeks) for 3 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Lastly, cells were trypsinized as usual and plated onto convenient dishes at the concentration of 1 x 10⁴ cells/cm². The feeder plates were then fed with feeder DMEM medium, and stored at 37°C, 5% CO₂ up to 7 days.

2.2.7.2 ES cell culture

E14 mouse embryonic stem cells originally isolated from inbred blastocysts of 129/Ola strain (Hooper et al., 1987), were kindly provided by Dr. Klaus Pfeffer, Department of Immunology, Technical University of Munich, Germany.

2.2.7.2.1 Trypsinization of ES cells

Trypsinization was performed as following: cells were washed once with 1x PBS (without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, GIBCO, Germany). After aspiration of PBS, ES cells were mixed with proper amount of 0.25% trypsin/EDTA solution (Tab. 2.22) and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 15 minutes. Cell suspension was transferred into a 15 ml Falcon
tube containing 10 ml E14 complete medium (see Tab. 2.5). After centrifugation at 1,200 rpm for 4 minutes at RT, cell pellet was resuspended in the medium and was ready for further expansion, or other purposes.

Table 2.20 Parameters of cell culture plates or dishes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dish and plate</th>
<th>Area (cm²)</th>
<th>Amount of medium (ml)</th>
<th>Amount of trypsin (ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 cm-dish</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-dish</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 cm-dish</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 well-plate</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 well-plate</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 well-plate</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.7.2.2 Thawing and expanding of ES cells

A vial of E14 ES cells (approx. 1-2x 10⁶ cells) was quickly thawed in a 37°C water bath, then transferred into a 15 ml Falcon tube containing 10 ml of E14 complete medium and mixed gently by inversion. After centrifugation at 1,200 rpm for 5 minutes at RT, the cell pellet was resuspended in 6 ml E14 complete medium, then plated onto a 6 cm-feeder dish, and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ overnight. The next day, the cells were washed once with 1x PBS, fed with 6 ml of fresh E14 complete medium and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for another day. The cells were trypsinized and plated in an approximately 1:3 dilution onto a 10 cm-feeder dish, incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for another 2 days. The cells were split again onto three 10 cm-feeder dished for further expansion on gelatinized dishes (gelatin pre-treatment of dishes was performed by incubation with 0.1% gelatin for a few minutes. Afterwards, gelatin solution was
aspirated, and dishes were filled with E14 complete medium.) for another 2 days. ES cells were then ready for electroporation.

2.2.7.2.3 Electroporation of ES cells, antibiotic selection, picking, expansion and freezing of cell clones

Electroporation of ES cells. E14 ES cells on gelatinized dishes were trypsinized and resuspended in PBS. After counting (Neubauer improved cell count slide, Marienfeld, Germany), 7 x 10^6 cells in 800 µl cold PBS of E14 cell suspension was prepared and transferred into one 0.4 cm electrode gap gene pulser cuvette. Targeting constructs were linearized by digestion with proper restriction enzymes (see Construction of targeting vectors in Chapter 4) for overnight. Complete linearization of targeting constructs was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis. The enzymes were then heat-inactivated at 70°C for 20 minutes. 20 µg in a volume of each linearized DNA was added into the cuvette. Electroporation was performed with the conditions of 240 V, 500 µF for about 6.0 milliseconds with a BioRad Gene Pulsor. The cuvette was then incubated for 15 minutes on ice. The cell suspension was distributed evenly onto two 10 cm-feeder dishes (or G418 resistant feeder dishes if Neo selection was performed) each containing 10 ml of E14 complete medium. ES cells were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ overnight.

Antibiotic selection. The day after electroporation, the medium was substituted with selection medium. When Neo selection gene was used, the cells were daily changed with E14 complete medium supplemented with 200 µg/ml geneticin G418 until formation of ES cell colonies ready for picking. When thymidine kinase gene was used, ES cells were daily changed with freshly prepared E14 complete medium supplemented with 2 µM gancyclovir for 2 days, then daily changed with E14 complete medium without gancyclovir until the formation of ES cell colonies ready for picking. When
puromycin resistant gene was used, selection was performed for the first two days with 1 μg/ml puromycin in E14 complete medium. Then, the cells were daily fed with fresh E14 complete medium without puromycin until the formation of ES cell colonies for picking.

**Picking of single ES clones.** Generally, after 8-9 days of selection and growth of ES cells, ES cell colonies were visible and ready for picking. The cells were fed with fresh E14 complete medium, and the colonies were picked with 200 μl aerosol resistant filter tips (USA) under the microscope (Leica MZ6, Germany) into 96 well-feeder plates containing fresh E14 complete medium without any selection antibiotics, and the plates were then incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ overnight.

**Expansion of ES clones.** One day after picking, "tryplation" was performed. Each cell clone was washed once with 1x PBS and trypsinized with 50 μl of 0.25% trypsin/EDTA at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 15 minutes. Then 150 μl of E14 complete medium was added to stop trypsinization. The cell clumps were pipetted up and down carefully to get single cells in the original 96 well-feeder plates, and cells were then incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for two days. Principally, ES cells have to be trypsinized every two-days, otherwise they might start to differentiate. For slower growing clones, trypsinization was performed every two days until reaching proper density. Finally, the ES cells were split into three 96 well-feeder plates and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Two days late, the cells of one of the 96 well-feeder plate were split again into three 96 well-gelatinized plates, incubated for another two days or until reaching confluency. They were then ready for genomic DNA extraction and later for Southern blot analysis. The cells of the two other feeder plates were be frozen.
Freezing of ES clones. For freezing of ES cells in 96 well-plates, ES cells were firstly trypsinized as usual with 50 μl of 0.25% trypsin/EDTA at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 15 minutes. Then, 50 μl of E14 complete medium was added to stop trypsinization, and cells were mixed well by pipetting up and down with 100 μl of cold 2x freezing medium. The plates were sealed with Parafilm (American National Can™, USA) and frozen immediately at −80°C up to three months.

Expansion of positive clones. After the positive clones were examined by Southern blot and/or PCR, the corresponding frozen clones in 96 well-feeder plates were thawed quickly in a 37°C water bath, and expanded progressively on feeder cells in the order of 96 well-plates, 24 well-plates, 6 well-plates, 6 cm-dishes and finally 10 cm-dishes. The cells on 10 cm-feeder dishes were trypsinized and resuspended in 2 ml of E14 complete medium, then, evenly distributed into four 2 ml-freezing vials (Nalgene, UK). 0.5 ml of ES cell suspension/vial was added and mixed well with an equal volume of pre-cold 2x freezing medium. The vials were immediately transferred into pre-cooled Cryo 1°C Freezing Container (Nalgene, UK), stored at −80°C for a few days and then transferred into liquid nitrogen until use.

2.2.8 Blastocyst injection

Blastocyst injection was performed as described by Hogan et al. (1994), including superovulation by hormone injection using pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (PMSG) and human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG), glass microinstrument fabrication, blastocyst collection, identification of pseudopregnant females, surgical transfer of blastocysts to pseudopregnant recipients, and microinjection of ES cells into the blastocoel of C57BL/6 blastocysts.
2.2.9 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion in ES cells

One of the correct homologously recombined ES cell lines (F3B12) from targeting vector CBP^{Tyr658Ala} was expanded and finally, $1 \times 10^6$ ES cells in 800 μl PBS buffer were prepared and transferred into the electroporation cuvette (Gene Pluser Cuvette 0.4 cm electrode gap, BioRad Laboratories, USA), mixed with 20 μg pCre-pac plasmid which contains the genes for Cre recombinase and puromycin resistance driven under constitutive promoters. After electroporation (240 V, 500 μF), the cuvette was incubated for 15 minutes on ice. Cell suspension was then evenly distributed onto three 10 cm-feeder dishes, fed with DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles Medium, high glucose, plus Na-Pyruvate) E14 complete medium and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ overnight. The cells were then daily fed for two days with fresh E14 complete medium supplemented with 1 μg/ml puromycin for selection of Cre recombinase expression, then daily fed with E14 complete medium until formation of ES colonies. 96 single colonies were picked and expanded, from where two batches of cells on 96-well feeder plates were frozen at −80°C and three batches of cells were expanded on 96-well gelatinized plates for Southern blot analysis.
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Results

3.1 Expression pattern of CBP

3.1.1 Introduction

CBP is expected to be expressed in most, if not all, tissues, due to its characteristics as a multifunctional molecular integrator of many signalling pathways. As outlined in Chapter 1, the physiological roles of CBP in the cAMP-CREB pathway were to be investigated, for example, in the context of learning and memory. An immunohistological study showed that CBP is expressed in the nuclei of a large number of neurons and glial structures in rat brain and spinal cord. Intense CBP-labelling was found, among others, in the hippocampus, amygdala and the thalamus, suggesting that CBP may be involved in the formation of long-term memory and modulation of cortical activities (Strömberg et al., 1999). However, the expression pattern of CBP in the adult mouse brain has not yet been characterized. As the examination of the expression of CBP at a single cell resolution is a prerequisite for better understanding of the physiological functions of CBP in mouse, in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry experiments were performed.

3.1.2 Preparation of riboprobes

To determine the expression patterns of CBP and p300, both radioactively ($^{35}$S) and non-radioactively (digoxigenin) labelled riboprobes were used for in situ hybridization experiments. The templates were generated by RT-PCR from cDNA derived from total mouse brain RNA. The primers were synthesized based on the cDNA sequence
deposited in GenBank (accession number S66385 for mouse CBP, and AF000581 for mouse p300). The sequence of the CREB-binding domain (CBD) from CBP and the related sequence from p300 were amplified by PCR with primers Z3-Z4 and Z7-Z8 (see Table 2.6), respectively, then subcloned into plasmid pBSII KS (-) and used as templates for riboprobe synthesis. The sequences were confirmed by sequencing. The generation of sense and antisense riboprobes for CBP and p300 are described in Table 3.1 and were made by in vitro transcription.

Table 3.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>probe</th>
<th>Enzyme for linearization / RNA polymerase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pBS-CBD.CBP</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>Hind III / T7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>EcoR I / T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBS-CBD.p300</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>EcoR I / T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>Hind III / T7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The riboprobes for CBP and p300 (including antisense and sense probes) were labelled with $^{35}$S-UTP or digoxigenin-labelled UTP. The integrity of DIG probes was determined by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis, and the quantitative analysis was achieved by using DIG Quantitation and DIG Control Teststrips.

3.1.3 In situ hybridization with radioactive probes

In situ hybridization experiments on mouse brain sections derived from adult wild-type C57BL/6 mice revealed that both CBP and p300 were highly expressed in most of areas of the forebrain, including different layers of cortex, hippocampal CA1 and CA3 regions and dentate gyrus, entorhinal cortex, amygdala and various thalamic nuclei (Fig. 3.1). Sections hybridized with sense control probes showed no signal.
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In situ hybridization

Fig. 3.1: Expression pattern of CBP and its related protein p300 using 35S-labelled riboprobes. Both genes are strongly expressed in neocortex (CX), hippocampus (CA1, CA3 and DG), entorhinal cortex (Ent), amygdaloid (AMD) and central medial thalamic (CM) areas. Control hybridization with sense probes did not show any signal.

3.1.4 In situ hybridization with non-radioactive probes

As the hybridization signals obtained with radioactive probes were rather low in intensity, it was not possible to evaluate the expression pattern at a single cell resolution. Thus, digoxigenin-labelled CBP riboprobes were used for in situ hybridization on wild-type C57BL/6 adult mouse brain sections. As shown in Fig. 3.2, CBP is expressed in most of the neurons in cerebral cortex, hippocampal CA1, CA3 pyramidal layers (pyl) and dentate gyrus (DG), but remarkably expression is not ubiquitous, e.g. many interneurons in the hippocampal formation do not express CBP.

Cells lacking CBP transcripts were not only found in hippocampal areas, but also in the neocortex. Different intensities of CBP expression levels were found in the different layers of the cortex (Fig. 3.2A); layers I, II, III, V and VI showed strong expression
levels of CBP, but layer IV, comprising mostly granular cells, showed only sparse CBP expression. Many cells were also found negative for CBP in white matter (WM), hippocampal polymorphic (pl) and molecular layers (ml) (Fig. 3.2C), and all three layers of the dentate gyrus (Fig. 3.2D).

Fig. 3.2: Expression pattern of CBP using DIG riboprobe (brown staining) in cortex (visual cortex) and hippocampus. All sections were counterstained with toluidine blue. A. CBP is strongly expressed in most layers of cortex, but layer IV and white matter (WM) show sparse CBP expression intensities. B: CBP appears to be highly expressed in most hippocampal areas. C, D: Higher magnification of areas indicated in B, showing CBP expression in three layers of hippocampal CA1 areas (C) and dentate gyrus (D), respectively. pl: polymorphic layer, pyl: pyramidal layer, ml: molecular layer.
CBP expression was further examined in other regions of the limbic system. Both entorhinal cortex and amygdala showed rather strong expression levels (Fig. 3.3), where only very few CBP-negative cells were detected.

**Fig. 3.3:** Expression pattern of CBP using DIG riboprobe (brown staining) in entorhinal cortex and amygdala. Sections were counterstained with toluidine blue. A. Both entorhinal cortex and amygdala areas showed strong expression level of CBP. B, C. Higher magnification of areas indicated in A, showing CBP-labelling in entorhinal cortex (B) and amygdala (C), respectively.
3.1.5 Immunostaining

The expression of CBP at the protein level was examined by immunostaining with a monoclonal antibody to the C-terminus of CBP (Santa Crus, C-1) on paraffin sections of adult mouse brain. All sections were counterstained with toluidine blue. Intense CBP labelling was found in most of the neocortex and in most principal neurons of hippocampal CA1/CA3 areas and granular cells of dentate gyrus. Few of the cells, however, were CBP-negative in the visual cortex (Fig. 3.4A, B), and hippocampal CA1 and DG areas (Fig. 3.5A, B).

![Image of immunostaining](image)

**Fig. 3.4:** Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody (brown staining) in visual cortex and hippocampal CA1 areas. Sections were counterstained with toluidine blue. A. CBP appears to be highly expressed in most of the neocortex and hippocampal CA1 areas. B. Higher magnification of areas indicated in A, showing CBP expression in neocortex. Note that CBP is not ubiquitously expressed in cortex: the highest frequency of negative cells is found in layer IV (B). I to VI: cortex layers, WM: white matter, pl: polymorphic layer, ml: molecular layer.
Fig. 3.5: Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody (brown staining) in hippocampal CA1/CA3 and DG areas. Sections were counterstained with toluidine blue. A. CBP appears to be highly expressed in most of the hippocampus. B. Higher magnification of areas indicated in A, showing CBP expression in dentate gyrus. Note that CBP is not ubiquitously expressed in dentate gyrus (B).

Immunostaining was further performed in other regions of the limbic system, such as entorhinal cortex (Fig. 3.6) and amygdala (Fig. 3.7), where CBP was found to be present in many cells.

Fig. 3.6: Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody (brown staining) in entorhinal cortex. Sections were counterstained with toluidine blue. A. CBP appears to be highly expressed in entorhinal cortex. B. Higher magnification of areas indicated in A, showing that CBP expression is not ubiquitous. Few of CBP-negative cells were found in entorhinal cortex.
Fig. 3.7: Immunostaining with anti-CBP antibody (brown staining) in amygdala. Sections were counterstained with toluidine blue. A. CBP appears to be highly expressed in amygdala. B. Higher magnification of areas indicated in A, showing that CBP expression is not ubiquitous: few CBP-negative cells indicated by arrows were found in amygdala.

In conclusion, both CBP and p300 are present in most of neuronal population in mouse forebrain, i.e. neocortex, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and amygdala, and central medial thalamic areas. However, CBP expression is not ubiquitous as predicted, even in the forebrain region. Many CBP-negative cells were found in the dentate gyrus, cortical layer IV and few cells in hippocampal CA1 and CA3 areas. CBP expression pattern in protein level detected by Immunostaining was highly correlated with it in mRNA level.

3.2 Mutational analysis of CBP in vitro

Six CBP mutants were proposed for mutational analysis in vitro (Fig. 3.8). Three of them were generated in order to know whether it is possible to interfere with cAMP signalling by removing only part or all of the CBD, but to keep intact other functions of CBP, such as steroid hormone receptor-mediated transcriptional regulation. The deletion mutation CBPΔCBD2-5 lacks amino acids 524 to 704 (almost the whole CBD); CBP658Ala is a point mutation at residue 658, which fully abolishes the CREB-binding
activity of CBP \textit{in vitro} (Radhakrishnan \textit{et al.}, 1997); lastly, CBP1100aa retains only the N-terminal 1100 amino acid residues of CBP, including the whole CBD. Another three mutants are so-called RTS mutants: RTS1 and RTS2 are CBP mutants that retain only the N-terminal 136 and 357 amino acid residues, respectively. Both correspond to the CBP mutations found in RTS patients (Petrij \textit{et al.}, 1995). RTS3 is a longer truncated CBP mutant that retains the N-terminal 523 amino acid residues, including the N-terminal transactivation domain of CBP, but lacking most of the CREB-binding domain.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{cbp_mutants.png}
\caption{Schematic representation of six CBP mutants. The CREB-binding domain is marked in yellow. Amino acid residue 658 in CBD exon 5 is indicated with asterisk. The grey and white areas are different binding domain to many other proteins (for further details, see Fig. 1.5).}
\end{figure}
Wild-type CBP or these mutants, together with either CRE-luciferase or GRE-luciferase reporter constructs were co-transfected into F9 cells, and the luciferase activities induced by PKA or dexamethasone, respectively, were measured. The mutants that lack an intact CBD should also lack PKA-induced transcriptional enhancement through CRE-luciferase, but retain dexamethasone-induced enhancement through GRE-luciferase. By these experiments, it should be able to define which part of CBP is essential for its transcriptional activation through the cAMP pathway, and provide an evidence how to generate a CBP knock-out mouse which lacks its cAMP-mediated activation potential, but retains other functions mediated by other transcription factors, this mutant mouse could let us get insight into its functions through cAMP-CREB pathway.

3.2.1 Generation of CBP mutants

CBPΔCBD2-5: a deletion mutation, in which the CREB-binding domain (CBD) encoded by CBD exons 2 to 5 was deleted by PCR, without affecting the rest of the CBP coding region. Amino acid residues 524-704 are encoded by these exons, and comprise almost the entire CBD of CBP. The whole cDNA clone of mouse CBP (pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA) (Fig. 3.9) was used as template to amplify the fragment containing sequence nt 1556-1570 + nt 2111-3374 (for cDNA sequence and coordinates, see Appendix I) with Pfu polymerase and primers Z15-Z16 (see Table 2.6). Z15 is a 37-nucleotides forward primer, containing 17 nucleotides (nt 1556-1570 + GTA) from the 3’ end of CBD exon 1 including one endogenous AvrII site, and another 20 nucleotides (nt 2111-2130) located at the very 5’ end of CBD exon 6. There is another AvrII site located on the reverse primer Z16 (nt 3345-3374). Thus, the Z15-Z16 PCR fragment is flanked by two AvrII sites. After purification of the PCR product
with QIAquick PCR purification kit, it was digested by AvrII at both ends. The DNA fragment Z15-Z16/AvrII was subcloned into pBSIIKS plasmid, which had first been digested by AvrII and then dephosphorylated with shrimp alkaline phosphatase (SAP). The final clone was confirmed by restriction digestion and sequencing. The whole insert from the correct clone was the subcloned into the expression vector pRc/RSV.

CBPαAla658: a point mutation, tyrosine 658 was changed into alanine by overlapping PCR with two pairs of primers, Z19-Z20 and Z21-Z18. The fragment with the point mutation was obtained by PCR with primers Z19 and Z18, and both Z19-Z20 and Z21-Z18 PCR products were used as further templates. Firstly, the whole cDNA clone of mouse CBP (pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA, see Fig. 3.9) was used as template to amplify two fragments, nt 1637-1984 and nt 1960-3307, with primers Z19-Z20 and Z21-Z18, respectively. There are 24 nucleotides of overlap between the two PCR fragments. Concomitant with the point mutation Tyr658Ala generated by primers Z20 and Z21, a new unique Nrul restriction site was introduced. The original unique sites SapI and Xbal are located in primers Z19 and Z18, respectively. Both fragments Z19-Z20 and Z21-Z18 were then used as templates to amplify the fragment nt 1556-3374 including the unique sites the SapI and Xbal at both ends with primers Z19-Z18. The PCR product Z19-Z18 was purified by QIAquick PCR Purification Kit and digested by SapI and Xbal. The DNA fragment Z19-Z18/SapI-Xbal was subcloned into pBSIIKS vector, which was firstly digested by Xbal and then partially digested by SapI, because there is one additional SapI site in the vector backbone. The sequence of the final clone was confirmed by restriction digestion and sequencing. The whole insert from the correct clone was the subcloned into the expression vector pRc/RSV.
Fig. 3.9: Restriction map of pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA, including whole cDNA of mouse CBP with the HA (hemagglutinin) tag at its 3' end. The CBD, amino acid residue 658 and useful restriction sites are indicated.

**CBP1100aa:** CBP1100aa was generated by deletion of the whole C-terminus of CBP downstream from the position of a unique restriction site Xbal at nt 3300. In order to keep the HA tag at the 3' end of the mouse cDNA clone in frame (referring to Fig. 3.9), mung bean nuclease and T4 polymerase were used for removal and fill-in of the 5' overhang after BamHI and Xbal digestions, respectively. There are two Xbal sites in the original plasmid pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA, one is located at nt 3300 (amino acid residue 1100), another in the 3' polylinker region; two BamHI sites are present, one is in the 5' polylinker region and another between the CBP cDNA and the HA (hemagglutinin) tag; one HindIII site is also located in the 5' polylinker region. To generate CBP1100aa, which encodes only the N-terminal 1100 amino acid residues and the HA tag, pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA was digested with BamHI, and the 5.2 kb vector backbone was isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis. The fragment was treated with mung bean
nuclease to remove the 5' overhang, and then digested with HindIII. The vector backbone with one HindIII sticky end and one modified BamHI blunt end was purified. For the insert, pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA was digested with XbaI, and the fragment containing the vector and the 5' end of CBP was purified by agarose gel electrophoresis, and then treated with T4 polymerase to fill in the 5' overhang. After purification, the fragment was digested with HindIII. The 3.0 kb 5' CBP fragment with one HindIII sticky end and one modified XbaI blunt-end was isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis and purified by QIAGEN Gel Purification Kit. After ligation of this fragment to the vector fragment with a HindIII sticky end and a BamHI modified blunt end and recovery of colonies in E. coli, the sequence of mutant CBP1100aa was confirmed by restriction digestion and sequencing.

**RTS mutants:** three RTS mutants were generated by PCR using the original cDNA clone of CBP as template. Forward primer Z29 was common to all three mutants, which is located approximately at the start codon of the cDNA (see Fig. 3.9), and includes a HindIII restriction site located in polylinker. Reverse primers Z30, Z31 and Z32 (referring to Table 2.6 for the sequence and location) were used for amplification of CBP RTS1, RTS2 and RTS3 fragments, respectively (see Fig. 3.8 for positions of truncations), together with primer Z29. A unique NotI site and a stop codon were included in the 5' end of these three primers, respectively. After PCR with Pfu polymerase, the three PCR products were digested with HindIII and NotI, and then subcloned into the expression vector pRc/RSV. The correct clones were confirmed by restriction digestion and sequencing.

Several errors were found in the GenBank cDNA sequence of CBP (accession number S66385) by sequencing of the cDNA plasmid clone pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA during the mutagenesis experiment. Thymidine (T) at nucleotide 2008, 2477, 2871 and 2932 of
the mouse cDNA clone were detected instead of adenosine (A) in the published mouse
cDNA sequence. Interestingly, all these discrepancies in mouse cDNA were
replacement of the corresponding human cDNA sequence of CBP gene.

3.2.2 Transfection assays

PKA activity is increased upon differentiation of F9 embryonal carcinoma (EC) cells,
but cannot be activated by cAMP in undifferentiated F9 cells, although PKA is
expressed at the protein level both by undifferentiated and differentiated F9 cells
(Kingsley-Kallesen et al., 1999). Furthermore, the Ser\textsuperscript{133}-phosphorylated form of CREB
is present in the nucleus of differentiated F9 cells, but is not detected in the nucleus of
undifferentiated F9 cells. Thus, the differentiated F9 cells were used to test the functions
of CBP \textit{in vitro}.

CBP wild-type and two CBP mutants (CBP\text{Ala}658 and CBP\text{ACBD2-5}, respectively)
were separately cotransfected into differentiated F9 cells together with pHDCREB,
pCEV (catalytic unit of PKA) and reporter construct CRE (CREB responsive element)-
luciferase. Two days later, luciferase activity was measured. Both mutants significantly
interfered with the cAMP-regulated transcription (Fig. 3.10A). Relative to levels of
reporter gene expression obtained using 1 \(\mu\)g CBP, 73\% CRE-luciferase activity was
observed without any CBP added, 45\% activity when the CBP\text{Ala}658 mutant was used
instead of wild-type CBP, and 50\% activity when the CBP\text{ACBD2-5} mutant was used
instead of wild-type CBP. This suggests that both mutants have a dominant-negative
effect on cAMP signalling. This effect of CBP\text{Ala}658 was dose-dependent (Fig.
3.10B). Increasing the ratio of CBP\text{Ala}658 to wild-type CBP led to a concomitant
decrease in CRE-luciferase activity, 70\% of control activity was observed for the ratio
0.5/1.0, and 54\% for 1.0/1.0 (\(\mu\)g/\(\mu\)g).
Fig. 3.10: CRE-luciferase activity induced by CBP wild-type and CBD mutants. A. Cotransfection of differentiated F9 cells with CBP wild-type (CBP) and mutants (CBPAla658 and CBPΔCBD2-5, respectively) together with pHD-CREB, pCEV (catalytic unit of PKA) and reporter construct CRE (CREB responsive element)-luciferase. B. Dose-dependent effect of CBPAla658 on cAMP pathway. Note that all data shown represent the means of three independent experiments, and that both CBPΔCBD2-5 and CBPAla658 have a dominant-negative effect on the cAMP signalling, and the effect of CBPAla658 was shown to be dose-dependent.
In order to investigate whether the mutations that affect the CREB-binding domain, and still retain other functions of CBP, for example, steroid hormone receptor-mediated transcriptional regulation, CBP wild-type and two CBP mutants (CBPAla658 and CBPΔCBD2-5, respectively) were separately cotransfected into F9 cells together with the reporter construct GRE (glucocorticoid receptor responsive element)-luciferase. As expected, dexamethasone (Dex)-induced enhancement through GRE-luciferase was retained for both mutants CBPAla658 and CBPΔCBD2-5 (Fig. 3.11). In comparison to transfection of wild-type CBP (normalized as 100%), 151% GRE-luciferase activity was observed with CBPΔCBD2-5, and 142% with CBPAla658. The fact that none of the mutants interfere with dexamethasone induction is consistent with that wild-type CBP being used as a transcriptional cofactor both by GR (glucocorticoid receptor) and by phospho-CREB, but the mutants being used as transcriptional cofactors only with GR. This could also be a reason for the fact that not much difference of GRE-luciferase activity was observed when comparing transfections with and without wild-type CBP (93% activity without CBP) (Fig. 3.11).

The RTS mutants were also evaluated for their functions in the cAMP signalling pathway. All three RTS mutants strongly inhibited expression of CRE-luciferase, in contrast to the wild-type CBP (Fig. 3.12). 81% luciferase activity was observed for the basal level (without CBP), only 36% activity for RTS1, 18% activity for RTS2 and 28% activity for RTS3. This indicated that RTS mutants might have dominant-negative effects on CBP function in the context of cAMP signalling. Other pathways have not yet been tested.
Fig. 3.11: GRE-luciferase activity induced by wild-type and mutant CBP, with (+Dex) and without dexamethasone (-Dex). In this experiment, the same amounts of wild-type and of each CBP mutant were applied in different transfections. Glucocorticoid-mediated transcriptional enhancement was retained in both CBPAla658 and CBPACBD2-5 mutants. All data shown represent the means of three independent experiments.

Fig. 3.12: CRE-luciferase activity induced by wild-type CBP and the RTS mutants. Cotransfection of differentiated F9 cells with CBP wild-type (CBP) and mutants (RTS1, RTS2 and RTS3, respectively) together with pHD-CREB, pCEV and reporter construct CRE - luciferase. Three RTS mutants displayed dominant-negative effect on CBP function in the context of cAMP signalling pathway. All data shown represent the means of three independent experiments.
Chapter 3 Results

3.3 Genomic organization of *CBP*

3.3.1 Isolation of genomic *CBP* DNA

To carry out gene targeting of the *CBP* gene, it was necessary to obtain mouse genomic clones of this gene. Genomic DNA fragments spanning the CREB-binding domain of *CBP* were isolated from λ phage genomic DNA libraries derived from embryonic stem cell lines E14 and D3. The libraries had been generated by insertion of *Sau3AI* partially digested fragments into λ DASHII phage vector digested with *BamHI*, and were provided by Dr. Klaus Kaestner, Philadelphia, USA.

3.3.1.1 Preparation of probes for genomic DNA screening

Based on the *CBP* cDNA sequence available from GenBank (Accession number S66385), a 573-bp fragment from the transactivation domain (TAD) region (Swope *et al.*, 1996) and a 632-bp fragment from the CREB-binding domain (CBD) region (Chrivia *et al.*, 1993) of *CBP* were amplified by RT-PCR on RNA from adult mouse brain using two pairs of primers, Z1-Z2 and Z3-Z4 (see Table 2.6), respectively. The PCR products were subcloned into EcoRV-digested pBSII KS vector, and the subclones were designated as pBS-TAD.CBP and pBS-CBD.CBP. Both clones were sequenced and confirmed to be correct by comparison to the published CBP cDNA sequence. The hybridization probes were obtained by double digestion of the correct plasmids with *HindIII* and *EcoRI*, purified and used for hybridization after *32P*-labelling.

3.3.1.2 Cloning, restriction mapping and sequencing of *CBP*
After screening of the phage libraries, two independent phage clones containing part of genomic *CBP* were isolated. Following restriction mapping and Southern blot analysis, several genomic *CBP* restriction fragments were purified and subcloned into pBSII KS(-) plasmid vector. These smaller clones facilitated further analysis, including sequencing and construction of targeting vectors. All plasmid clones of genomic *CBP* were examined and confirmed by restriction enzyme digestion and sequencing. Sequencing was performed by MWG-Biotech (Ebersberg, Germany).

### 3.3.2 Genomic structure of *CBP*

#### 3.3.2.1 Phage clones of genomic *CBP*

Several phages were identified which hybridized to the TAD and CBD probes and to oligonucleotide Z101, which is 30-nucleotides in length and is located at the 5' end of exon 5 of the CBD domain (referring to Table 2.6). Together, they comprised about 28 kb of genomic *CBP* sequence around the CREB-binding domain (CBD) (Fig. 3.13). Based on the digestion patterns and Southern blot analyses, two phage clones from the E14 library (E14-1 and E14-2) were positive for the CBD and the TAD region, while another three phage clones from the D3 library (D3-1, D3-2 and D3-3) were positive for the CBD region and the oligonucleotide Z101. This indicated that the TAD probe sequence is located furthest upstream in the region covered by the E14 phage clones in a region where the sequence is not yet known, that the CBD probe sequence is located on both phage clones, and that the D3 phage clones have more downstream sequences of *CBP*. 
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Fig. 3.13: Schematic representation of part of the mouse $CBP$ locus around the CBD region. The two phage clones isolated comprise about 28 kb of genomic $CBP$ sequence. The BsaHI site used for ligation of the two phage inserts is marked in red. The restriction sites marked in blue were used for subcloning of parts of $CBP$ into plasmid vectors, and sites marked in green were used for the ends of homology arms in the targeting constructs: $NheI$ for the left arm of the targeting construct $CBP^{+523}$, $DraIII$ for the left arm of the targeting construct $CBP^{Tyr658Ala}$, and $NdeI$ for the right arms of both constructs. 5' and 3' hybridization probes for the characterization of targeted ES clones are indicated with black bars. Important plasmid clones are indicated by black lines.
3.3.2.2 Plasmid clones of genomic CBP

I attempted to subclone the two inserts of genomic CBP into the pBSII KS plasmid vector, but only the insert from phage clone E14-1 was successfully subcloned. Firstly, the whole insert was isolated from an agarose gel after digestion with Not I, then subcloned into Not I-digested plasmid pBSII KS, which had been dephosphorylated with shirmp alkaline phosphatase (SAP). The correct plasmid clone was confirmed by restriction digestions and sequencing, and named pBS.E14.CBP.

Several subclonings were carried out for further evaluation of CBP genomic structure and subsequent construction of targeting vectors. The plasmid clones pBS-CBD.12kb, pBS-CBD.4kb and pBS-CBD.10kb were derived from the E14-1 phage clone (see Fig. 3.13). The plasmid clone of the whole E14-1 phage insert (pBS.E14.CBP) was digested by NotI and SalI, then 12 kb NotI-SalI and 4 kb SalI-NotI fragments were isolated and subcloned into NotI and SalI double digested pBSII KS vector. The 10 kb Acc65I-NotI fragment was also isolated from the pBS.E14.CBP clone and subcloned into the pBSII KS vector. The correct plasmid clones were confirmed by restriction digestions and sequencing.

A BLAST search using the isolated CBP genomic sequence (from the sequencing data in this thesis) as a query revealed about 2.3 kb of repetitive sequences (alignment score ≥ 200) at 1 kb downstream of CBD exon 5 in clone D3-1. This may have been the reason for the failure to subclone the whole phage insert into plasmid vector. I attempted unsuccessfully to subclone this fragment into four bacterial strains (DH5α, XL-1 Blue, JM109 and SURE). The plasmids pBS-6kb, pBS-Nhe-Spe and pBS-5kb were therefore designed by subcloning 6 kb and 5 kb EcoRI fragments of the D3-1 clone, respectively, into pBSII KS (Fig. 3.13). Plasmid clones pBS-6kb and pBS-5kb were obtained, but...
pBS-Nhe-Spe was very difficult to obtain in its full length: only subclones carrying truncated versions of this fragment were frequently obtained, possibly due to the repetitive sequence.

### 3.3.2.3 Structure of the CBP gene

About 23 kb of the 28 kb isolated genomic CBP were sequenced using convenient plasmid subclones. The sequence is depicted in Appendix II. There is only about 280 bp of sequence overlap between the two isolated phage clones E14-1 and D3-1, and the BsaHII restriction site was the only possible site (Fig. 3.13) to combine the two phage inserts.

By comparing this genomic sequence with the cDNA sequence, the genomic structure of CBP was characterized and the exon/intron junctions of CBP in the CBD region were determined. Eleven exons, covering 2 kb of cDNA sequence, were found in the 28 kb isolated from the CBP locus. Of these, six exons were identified to be located in the CBD region (Fig. 3.13).

As the unique BsaHII site was detected in both phage clones, E14-1 and D3-1, it was used to combine these two phage inserts. Several convenient restriction sites were identified for the construction of the targeting vectors (see Fig. 3.13).

Based on the sequencing data of exon/intron junctions in the CBD region, there are two possible ways to delete the CBD without disrupting the open reading frame of the downstream sequence of CBP. One is the deletion of CBD exon 3 and the other is a larger deletion of CBD exons 2 to 5. Deletion of exon 2 leads to a frameshift mutation, which introduces an in-frame stop codon just two amino acid residues downstream of
CBP residue 523, thus preventing expression of the region containing tyrosine 658, which is critical for CREB-binding activity and is encoded by CBD exon 5 (Fig. 3.14).

![Exon/intron structure of CREB-binding domain of CBP. There are six exons in this region. Deletion of CBD exon 3 or exon 2 to 5 will not disrupt the open read frame of the rest of CBP. Deletion of exon 2 leads to a frame shift mutation, and the new stop codon marked in pink will be introduced. The amino acid tyrosine 658 critical for binding of phosphorylated-CREB in vitro is encoded by exon 5.](image)

**3.3.3 Targeting constructs for generation of conditional CBP knock-out mice**

In order to test the hypothesis mentioned in Chapter 1 that CBP might play an important role on the formation of LTM, it was proposed to inactivate essential exon(s) of the CREB-binding domain of CBP in a tissue-specific manner by using the Cre/loxP system. The knowledge of the genomic structure and restriction map of the CBD region of CBP allowed the design of appropriate targeting constructs to introduce relevant mutations into the mouse germline.
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The first mutation is a deletion of CBD exon 2, which results in a frameshift of the rest of CBP and the introduction of a stop codon located at the third codon downstream of residue 523 (Fig. 3.15A). Thus, a truncated N-terminal CBP fragment of 523 amino acid residues together with two C-terminal amino acid residues unrelated to CBP is encoded by this lesion (named CBP\textsuperscript{stop523}). This mutation mimics the human genetic disease RTS (Petrij \textit{et al.}, 1995). In the construct, CBD exon 2 was flanked by two loxP sites in a direct repeat orientation. The positive selection marker, phosphoglycerate kinase-neomycin phosphotransferase (PGK-Neo) was included between the two loxP sites and flanked by two FRT sites.

The second construct is a substitution of tyrosine to alanine at amino acid residue 658 in CBD exon 5 (CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala}), which should disrupt transcriptional enhancement through the cAMP pathway by abolishing binding of phospho-CREB to CBP (Radhakrishnan \textit{et al.}, 1997). The point mutation construct (CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala}) was designed by using two mutant loxP sites (lox66 and lox71) in opposite orientations: the lox66 and lox71 sites flanked a sequence containing both the wild-type exon 5 and an inverted copy of exon 5 with the Tyr658Ala substitution (Fig. 3.15B). The PGK-Neo cassette was introduced between two mutant loxP sites as positive selection marker. The thymidine kinase (tk) cassette was placed at the end of the right homology arm as negative selection marker, because of the repetitive sequences downstream of CBD exon 6. And to avoid including these repetitive sequences in the targeting construct, only 1 kb of sequence is available for the right homology arm. The DNA sequence flanked by the mutant loxP sites was expected to be almost fully inverted and the mutated exon 5 was then put into a position to be transcribed and correctly spliced when the floxed mouse line was crossed with Cre recombinase-expressing mice.
Fig. 3.15: Schematic representation of the two targeting constructs and their expected protein products after Cre-mediated recombination. PGK-Neo cassette flanked by two FRT sites are marked in pale blue; tk cassette is marked in yellow; CBD is marked in orange and aa 658 is indicated by red asterisk; Other domains of CBP are marked in grey and white (for detail, see Fig. 1.5). A. Deletion mutant CBP^{stop523}. B. Point mutant CBP^{Tyr658Ala}

### 3.4 Probes for screening for correct homologous recombinants

As described below in sections 3.5.1 and 3.6.1, both constructs share the same probes for diagnosis of correct homologous recombination.

#### 3.4.1 Preparation of probes

5’ probe. The clone pBS-CBD.12kb was digested with Acc65I and SacI, then the 1.4 kb fragment (Fig. 3.13) was isolated using agarose gel electrophoresis and purified using
a QIAGEN gel extraction kit. Both sticky ends of the fragment were made blunt using T4 polymerase. The 1.4 kb fragment was then subcloned into SmaI-digested pBSII KS to give pBS-5' probe. The 5' probe was obtained by double digestion of pBS-5' probe with BamHI and PstI followed by purification of the 1.4 kb fragment.

**3' probe.** The clone pBS-CBD.6kb was digested with Scal and EcoRI, and the 820 bp fragment (Fig. 3.13) was isolated and purified. The EcoRI end of the fragment was made blunt using T4 polymerase. The 820 bp fragment was then subcloned into SmaI-digested pBSII KS to give pBS-3' probe. The 3' probe was obtained by double digestion of pBS-3' probe with BamHI and PstI followed by purification of the 820 bp fragment.

**Neo probe.** The clone pBS-PGK.Neo (obtained during the cloning steps of the targeting constructs) was digested with XbaI. A 300 bp fragment from the neo gene (see Fig. 3.26 and 3.43) was then purified and subcloned into XbaI-digested pBSII KS to give the plasmid pBS-Neo probe. The Neo probe was obtained by double digestion of pBS-Neo probe with NotI and PstI followed by purification of the 300 bp fragment.

### 3.4.2 Qualitative analysis of probes

Reverse Southern blotting was used to test whether the probes used contained repetitive sequence. Phage clones E14-1 and D3-1 were digested with different restriction enzymes, including the digestions used for generation of the 5' and 3' probes. Blots of these digests were hybridized with ³²P-labelled genomic DNA from the 129/Ola mouse strain. Control DNAs (clones p12/3 SN6000 and p12/3 NS9000, from the orphan nuclear receptor Ear2 gene, obtained from Dr. Beat Lutz) were included in the analysis, as it was known that these clones contain some repetitive sequences.
Fig. 3.16: Qualitative analysis of the diagnostic probes using a reverse Southern blot. A. Agarose gel electrophoresis of different digestions of CBP phage clones. B. Reverse Southern blot pattern obtained with $^{32}$P-labelled genomic 129/Ola DNA. Lane 1 and 18 are marked in red and are the two control DNAs, SN6000/XbaI and NS9000/EcoRI, respectively; lanes 2-17 are different digestions of the two CBP phage clones. Among them, lanes 8 and 17 marked in blue are Acc65I-Nhel digestion of phage E14-1 and EcoRI-ScaI digestion of phage D3-1, which contain the 5' probe and 3' probe, respectively. Note: lane 8 showed a positive band of 1.8 kb, indicating repetitive sequences. No positive band of 0.8 kb was found in lane 17, therefore, the 3' probe is good for Southern blot screening. Refer to Fig. 3.13 for a restriction map that shows the sites cut by the relevant restriction enzymes.
A reverse Southern blot with $^{32}\text{P}$-labelled mouse strain 129/Ola genomic DNA showed that the two control DNA samples showed hybridization signals as expected, that the 3’ probe is good for Southern blot screening, while the 1.8 kb Acc65I-NheI fragment contains repetitive sequences (Fig. 3.16). The 5’ probe was obtained by Acc65I and SacI double digestion of pBS-CBD.12kb, excluding a 0.4 kb SacI-NheI fragment (referring to Fig. 3.13). This 1.4 kb fragment was confirmed to be devoid of repetitive sequences by a reverse Southern blot and a BLAST search (data not shown).

3.5 Generation of CBP$^{\text{stop}523}$ knock-out mouse

3.5.1 Construction of the targeting vector CBP$^{\text{stop}523}$

To generate a mouse model for the human genetic disease RTS, targeting vector CBP$^{\text{stop}523}$ (Fig. 3.17 to 25) was constructed, in which CBD exon 2 was flanked by two loxP sites, leading to deletion of whole CBD exon 2 and a frameshift, and introduction of a new stop codon. A truncated protein with only N-terminal 523 amino acids of CBP is encoded by this mutation. To achieve this, two loxP sites in the same orientation were introduced 5’ and 3’ of exon 2 in the targeting vector. A PGK-Neo cassette was also introduced as a positive selection marker. ES cells containing this antibiotic resistance gene have the ability to survive in the presence of the neomycin derivative geneticin (G418). The integrity of the final targeting vector was confirmed by sequencing of all critical regions, i.e. loxP sites, FRT sites, exons and cloning junctions.
Chapter 3 Results

Fig. 3.17 Subcloning of PGK-Neo cassette flanked by two FRT sites into pBlueScript vector pBS II K5l(-)

Fig. 3.18 Combination of PGK-Neo cassette with loxP

Fig. 3.19 Subcloning of loxP-PGK-Neo into pGEM-7zf(+) to introduce a diagnostic Acc65 I site

Fig. 3.20 Subcloning of loxP into pGEM-7zf(+) to introduce a diagnostic EcoR I site
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Fig. 3.21 A Insertion of loxP-PGK-Neo into CBD intron 1

Fig. 3.21 B Insertion of exon 1-loxP-PGK-Neo into CBD intron 1

Fig. 3.22 A Insertion of loxP into CBD intron 2

Fig. 3.22 B Insertion of exon 3 and 4
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Fig. 3.23 Extension of the left homology arm

Fig. 3.24 Combination of CBP fragments from two phage clones

There is one BsaHI site in both CBP genomic fragments from two phage clones, and additional one BsaHI site exists in the vector bone. Thus, a three fragment ligation was made to achieve it.

Genotyping of construction of CBP

Linearization: SacII digestion

5'-diagnostic enzyme: Acc65 I

WT: 11.6 kb
Mut: 7.0 kb

3'-diagnostic enzyme: EcoRI

WT: 8.8 kb
Mut: 6.8 kb

Diagnostic enzyme for distinguishing between homologous recombination and random integration:

SalI-SacI: 10.4 kb

- loxP site
- FRT site
- PGK-Neo cassette
- repetitive sequence downstream of CBD region of CBP gene

Fig. 3.25 Final construct of CBP

Extension of the right homology arm

Fig. 3.17 to 25: Flow chart of construction of the CBP targeting construct. CBD exon 2 is flanked by two loxP sites in the same orientation. The PGK-Neo cassette, flanked by two FRT sites in the same orientation, is included between the two loxP sites as a positive selection marker.
3.5.2 Screening for the homologous recombinants of the targeted CBP<sup>stop523</sup> allele

100 μg of the targeting construct CBP<sup>stop523</sup> (Fig. 3.25) was fully linearized by Sac II digestion and confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. 20 μg of the linearized clone was electroporated into mouse E14 ES cells. After nine days of G418 selection (200 μg/ml), resistant colonies were visible. 300 single colonies were picked into 96-well feeder plates, and then expanded on 96-well feeder plates for freezing and on 96-well gelatinized plates for Southern blot analysis. The screening strategy is shown in Fig. 3.26.

![Targeting Vector](image)

**Fig. 3.26:** Schematic representation of homologous recombination between the targeting construct CBP<sup>stop523</sup> and CBP gene locus. Diagnostic patterns of restriction fragments are also indicated: the 5' probe with Acc65I digestion was used for screening for correct homologous recombination of the left arm, the 3' probe with EcoRI digestion for correct homologous recombination of the right arm, and the Neo probe for exclusion of random integration events. Note: Fragment sizes are not drawn to scale.
A. 3' probe screening

WT 8.8 kb
MUT 6.8 kb

B. 5' probe screening

WT 11.6 kb
MUT 7.0 kb

C. Neo probe screening

10.4 kb

Fig. 3.27: Screening for correct recombinants of the targeting construct CBP<sup>stop523</sup> using Southern blot analysis. A. Screening for the correct right arm with the 3' probe. Digestion with EcoRI gives an 8.8 kb band for the wild-type allele and a 6.8 kb band for the mutant allele. B. Screening for the correct left arm with the 5' probe. Digestion with Acc65I gives an 11.6 kb band for the wild-type allele and a 7.0 kb band for the mutant allele. C. Screening of 9 clones with the mutated 5' and 3' fragments for non-random homologous recombination with the Neo probe. Digestion with Sall and Scal shows one single band of 10.4 kb, and no additional bands were visible. Note: A, B and C are not duplicate blots, but contain DNA from different subsets of G418-resistant cell lines.
Chapter 3 Results

As the PGK-Neo selection cassette was inserted into CBD intron 1, and close to the left homology arm, it is more likely that G418-resistant ES cell clones, whether or not they arose by homologous recombination, will include the left homology arm. Thus, the 3' probe for diagnosis was first used for the primary Southern blot screening with EcoRI-digested genomic DNA. The strategy and results of screening for the homologous recombinants of CBP\textsuperscript{stop523} are shown in Fig. 3.27.

Southern blot analysis showed that 9 of 300 (recombination efficiency: 3%) picked clones gave the expected pattern of one 8.8 kb wild-type band and one 6.8 kb targeted band. A further Southern blot analysis of Acc65I digestions using the 5' probe revealed that all these 9 positive clones showed one wild-type 11.6 kb and one targeted 7.0 kb band (see Fig. 3.26 for predicted restriction map). These 9 positive clones were further subjected to Southern blot analysis to examine possible random integrations, but all showed one single band of the expected size of 10.4 kb after SalI and ScaI double digestions and hybridization with Neo probe. Therefore, these 9 ES cell clones were good candidates to be injected into blastocysts for the germline.

3.5.3 Mating for germline transmission

E14 cells were originally derived from the 129/Ola mouse strain. Therefore, injection of these cells into C57BL/6 blastocysts will yield chimeras which can manifest coat color chimerism. The alleles of coat color genes that are present in 129/Ola are as follows: Agouti, $A'$ which is paler than A and encodes agouti color; Albino, $C^e_b$ (Chinchilla) which displays a reduction of tyrosinase activity and a reduction of deposition of yellow and, to a lesser extent, black pigment. The alleles carried by C57BL/6 are: Agouti, $a$ which displays plain black pigment; Albino, $A^c$. Thus, chimeras contain a
creamy/brownish color on black. Mating of the chimeras with C57BL/6 mice results in E14-derived agouti colored pups and C57BL/6-derived black pups. As all the ES cell lines are male, germline transmission is usually through male chimeras.

Four E14 cell clones (SA6, S3A3, M2C4 and M2D6) positive for the targeted CBP$\text{stop}^{523}$ allele were selected for injection into C57BL/6 blastocysts. The percentage of E14-derived coat was evaluated based on coat colour of the chimeras. The result of blastocyst injection of the targeted CBP$\text{stop}^{523}$ cell lines is summarized in Table 3.2.

### Table 3.2 Summary of blastocyst injections of the targeted CBP$\text{stop}^{523}$ ES cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell clone</th>
<th>Number of injected embryos</th>
<th>Number of born pups</th>
<th>Number of chimeras (% of E14-derived coat)</th>
<th>Germline transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA6</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3 (10, 20, 20%)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3A3</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (50%)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2C4</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 (10, 40, 50, 80, 100%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2D6</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (50, 60%)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eleven mice (6 males and 5 females) with 10-100% E14-derived coats were born, and all were mated at the age of 8 weeks with wild-type C57BL/6 mice to check for germline transmission. Only one male with 40% of its coat derived from E14, from cell line M2C4, gave agouti pups. About 1 cm of tail was cut from 3 week-old offspring of chimeras for genotyping. Germline transmission of the targeted allele was examined by PCR of the tail DNA with the primers Z37 and Z38 (referring to Table 2.6). Six of 9 agouti pups were confirmed to contain the mutated CBP allele (Fig. 3.28A, B). Forward primer Z37 is located in CBD exon 2 and reverse primer Z38 at the end of CBD intron 2.
A 230 bp fragment was amplified from the wild-type allele. Because of the insertion of loxP, a 300 bp fragment was amplified in the mutated CBP allele. Both bands were easily distinguishable on a 2.0% agarose gel (Fig. 3.28C). A single lower 230 bp band indicates mice homozygous for wild-type CBP, two bands (230 and 300 bp) indicate a heterozygous CBP<sup>stop^523</sup> genotype, and a single 300 bp upper band indicates homozygosity for the CBP<sup>stop^523</sup> allele.

**Fig. 3.28:** Examination of the germline transmission of the targeted CBP<sup>stop^523</sup> allele by PCR. A. Targeted CBP<sup>stop^523</sup> allele. B. Wild-type CBP allele. C. Testing for germline transmission by PCR using primers Z37 and Z38, six of 9 agouti samples (1 to 9) show a 230 bp band for the wild-type allele and a 300 bp band for targeted allele. M: DNA marker, wt: wild-type mouse genomic DNA, P: the final plasmid clone of the targeting construct as positive control, N: H<sub>2</sub>O as negative control.
3.5.4 Generation of a mouse model for human RTS

Crossing with Cre deleter mice. Three heterozygous males with the targeted CBP\textsuperscript{stop523} allele were crossed with a Cre deleter mouse line (Schwenk \textit{et al.}, 1995), in which Cre recombinase is expressed under the control of the human cytomegalovirus (CMV) minimal promoter sequence ($P_{\text{bi-2}}$) (Baron \textit{et al.}, 1995). The Cre deleter mice highly express Cre recombinase in almost all types of tissues, including germ cells. The Cre-mediated excision was checked by PCR of tail DNA using primers Z40 and Z38. A control PCR was also included using primers Z37 and Z38 (Table 2.6; Fig. 3.29A,B). The forward primer Z40 is located in CBD intron 1 and the reverse primer Z38 in intron 2. These primers amplified a 750 bp fragment from wild-type mouse genomic $CBP$, and a 260 bp fragment from the targeted allele in which CBD exon 2 and PGK-Neo cassette were excised by Cre recombinase. In principle, a 2.6 kb band from the targeted allele which contains CBD exon 2 and PGK-Neo cassette should also be amplified if Cre-mediated excision did not occur. However, this fragment was too big to be amplified simultaneously with the other fragments (Fig. 3.29C).

The genotyping of the progeny of this cross was performed by PCR using primers Z40 and Z38. Only 1 of 24 pups from 3 litters was positive for the Cre deletion. The DNA from this mouse (#62) was used as template for another PCR using primers Z37 and Z38 to confirm the excision (Fig. 3.29D). Because of the mosaic situation of deleted and non-deleted CBD exon 2, F1 offspring still contain the 300 bp band of the targeted allele. The positive F1 offspring (#62) was further mated with C57BL/6 mice to obtain non-mosaic mice heterozygous for the Cre-mediated deletion.
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A. Cre-mediated recombination of targeted CBPstop523 allele

![Diagram of Cre-mediated recombination](image)

B. Wild-type CBP

![Diagram of wild-type CBP](image)

C. Testing for Cre-mediated deletion by PCR with primers Z40 and Z38. The 260 bp band results from deletion of CBD exon 2 (mouse #62) and the 750 bp band from wild-type genomic CBP (the others).

D. The control PCR with primers Z37 and Z38 shows the 300 bp band for the targeted allele, and the 230 bp band from wild-type genomic CBP.

Fig. 3.29: Examination of Cre-mediated excision in the targeted CBPstop523 allele by PCR. A. Targeted CBPstop523 allele in which CBD exon 2 and PGK-Neo cassette are excised by Cre recombinase. B. Wild-type CBP allele. C. Testing for Cre-mediated deletion by PCR with primers Z40 and Z38. The 260 bp band results from deletion of CBD exon 2 (mouse #62) and the 750 bp band from wild-type genomic CBP (the others). D. The control PCR with primers Z37 and Z38 shows the 300 bp band for the targeted allele, and the 230 bp band from wild-type genomic CBP. M: DNA marker. N and N': H2O as negative controls for the two pairs of primers used. wt: tail DNA from wild-type mouse. Tg: tail DNA from the targeted CBPstop523 mouse. Numbers 57 to 63: tail DNA from the F1 offspring from the mating of the targeted mice with Cre deleter mice.
Two of six F2 offspring examined were shown to be heterozygous for the CBD exon 2 deletion, using PCR with primers Z40 and Z38. Control PCR with primers Z37 and Z38 indicated that mice #291 and #292 are non-mosaic heterozygotes (Fig. 3.30), as both mice show only the 230 bp band of the wild-type allele. This allele was named CBD2. Meanwhile, the presence of Cre recombinase was also examined by PCR using primers B42 and B43 which gave about 600 bp fragment for Cre recombinase (data not shown).

Fig. 3.30: Genotyping of F2 mice obtained after Cre-mediated deletion. Primers Z40-Z38 were used to check for Cre-mediated deletion, Z37-Z38 were used to check both for the presence of the 3' loxP site and the wild-type CBP allele (Fig. 3.29). M: DNA marker, N and N': negative controls for the two pairs of primers used, Numbers 62, 63: Tail DNA from F1 offspring of Cre-mediated excision. Numbers 291, 292: Tail DNA from F2 mice that were progeny of F1 mouse #62. Note that the F1 mouse #62, positive for Cre-mediated deletion, is mosaic, as control PCR using primers Z37-Z38 still shows the 300 bp band from the targeted allele. While the F2 offspring (named CBD2) are non-mosaic heterozygous mice, as the control PCR only shows the 230 bp band from the wild-type allele.
3.5.5 Generation of mice lacking the Neo cassette

In addition to generating mice carrying the CBD2 allele, which should express CBP\textsuperscript{stop523} in all tissues, I wished to generate mice in which tissue-specific Cre expression could be used to express this product only in specific tissues. However, the Neo selection marker gene should be removed from the targeted allele before mating with different Cre-expressing mouse lines to generate conditional CBP knock-out mice, since the Neo cassette inserted into an intron of the CBP gene might influence its expression. Several mice heterozygous for the targeted CBP\textsuperscript{stop523} allele were crossed with “Flp-deleter” mice in which Flp recombinase is ubiquitously expressed under the control of the human β-actin gene (\textit{hACTB}) (Dymecki, 1996). Because of the rather low efficiency of Flp-mediated recombination, F1 mice are likely to be mosaic. Therefore, these mice were mated with C57BL/6 mice to allow screening for non-mosaic heterozygous F2 mice, named CBD2.floxed mice. Removal of the Neo cassette was determined by PCR of tail DNA with primers Z22 and Z39 (Table 2.6; Fig. 3.31). Firstly, mice that still retained the Neo gene were identified as being negative in this PCR reaction. Crossings between these mice were performed to generate mice homozygous for the construct that still retained the Neo gene (CBD2.floxed.neo), which can be used to analyse possible mutagenic effects of the Neo cassette (Artelt \textit{et al.}, 1991; Fiering \textit{et al.}, 1993; Rijli \textit{et al.}, 1994) on CBP expression. Secondly, sixteen of 115 F1 generations were detected as positive for Neo deletion by PCR, showing a fragment 220 bp for the deletion using the same primers (Fig. 3.31).
A. Flp-mediated recombination in targeted CBP\textsuperscript{stop523}

\[ \text{Z22} \rightarrow \text{FRT} \rightarrow \text{FRT} \擦拭 \]

\[ \text{PGK-Neo} \擦拭 \]

\[ \text{Z39} \擦拭 >2 \text{ kb} \擦拭 \]

\[ \text{Flp recombinase} \擦拭 \]

\[ \text{Z22} \rightarrow \text{loxp} \rightarrow \text{loxp} \擦拭 \]

\[ \text{Z39} \擦拭 220 \text{ bp} \擦拭 \]

Fig. 3.31: Examination of Flp-mediated excision in the targeted CBP\textsuperscript{stop523} allele by PCR with primers Z22 and Z39. A. Targeted CBP\textsuperscript{stop523} allele in which PGK-Neo cassette is excised by Flp recombinase. B. Testing of Flp-mediated deletion by PCR with primers Z22 and Z39. This shows a 220 bp band for mouse numbers 81, 83 and 86. Numbers 81 to 88: Tail DNA of F1 offspring from the mating of targeted mice to Flp-deleter mice. M: DNA marker, N: H\textsubscript{2}O as negative control.

The presence of Flp recombinase was also measured by PCR using primers B34 and B35 (Table 2.6) which give a 750 bp fragment (data not shown). After Flp-mediated recombination, it is advisable to get rid of Flp from the floxed mice, as homozygosity for Flp leads to mouse embryonic lethality (Baur et al., 2000; Dymecki 1996). Therefore, the
F2 offspring positive for Flp-mediated deletion were further mated with wild-type C57BL/6 mice.

3.5.6 Towards the generation of a tissue-specific mouse mutant

In order to generate the tissue-specific CBP knock-out mice, I planned to use transgenic mice in which Cre expression is driven by the CaMKIIα promoter. Expression of Cre starts postnatally in forebrain neurons, mostly in the hippocampus, the brain region essential for learning and memory, in the first 3-4 weeks when many neural circuits have already been formed (Burgin et al., 1990). Therefore, recombination and CBP-deficiency will occur in forebrain neurons postnatally. This will avoid developmental defects caused during neurogenesis, as observed in conventional knock-outs of CBP (Tanaka et al., 1997; Oike et al., 1999a; Kung et al., 2000). We will therefore be able to analyse the mutants in learning and memory paradigms. A transgenic mouse line has been obtained from Prof. Schütz (DKFZ, Heidelberg) which carries a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) covering the CaMKIIα gene locus and which expresses Cre recombinase under the control of all regulatory elements of the CaMKIIα gene. I am currently in the process of accumulating CBD2.floxed/CBD2.floxed mice (see section 3.5.5) for crossing to the CaMKIIα-Cre mouse from Prof. Schütz.

3.5.7 Preliminary phenotypic analysis of CBP<sup>stop523</sup> mice

Developmental defects of heterozygous CBP<sup>stop523</sup> mice. After Cre-mediated excision, only one mouse had earlier been shown to be mosaic for CBP<sup>stop523</sup> heterozygosity (Fig. 3.29). Presumably because of its mosaic status, this F1 mouse did not display any apparent phenotype. When further mating of this F1 mouse with wild-type C57BL/6 was
performed, only two of the 15 F2 progeny were confirmed to be heterozygous for CBD exon 2 (CBD2)-deletion by PCR (Fig. 3.30). Clearly, the heterozygous mutant mice were under-represented among weaned pups according to the Mendelian inheritance rule, and this situation had actually been observed in the mosaic status. Either a fraction of the heterozygous embryos probably died in utero or less CBD2 deletion was existing in mosaic F1 than non-CBD2 deletion. The heterozygous F2 CBP<sup>stop</sup>523 mice (CBD2<sup>+/−</sup>) were profoundly smaller than the wild-type littermates (Fig. 3.32). One of them died at the age of 4 weeks.

Investigation of embryos suggested that a fraction of heterozygous embryos died in utero. In total, 8 of 19 (42%) of analyzed embryos were shown to be CBD2<sup>+/−</sup> by PCR (50% expected). Four of 10 embryos were CBD2<sup>+/−</sup> in one mouse, and 2 of them died and started to be absorbed at E10.5; Four of 9 embryos at E8.5 in another mouse were

![Fig. 3.32 Comparison of heterozygous CBP<sup>stop</sup>523 mutant mouse (CBD2<sup>+/−</sup>) with its wild-type littermate at the age of 5 weeks.](image)
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CBD2\textsuperscript{+/-}, no dead embryos were found. This indicates that the lethality in heterozygous CBD2 mutants occurs after E8.5.

3.6 Generation of CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} knock-out mouse

3.6.1 Construction of the targeting vector CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala}

The targeting vector CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} (Fig. 3.33 to 3.42) was constructed by introduction of a tyrosine to alanine substitution at residue 658 in CBD exon 5. The two loxP mutants lox66 and lox71 (see Fig. 1.8) flanked the sequence containing the wild-type exon 5, the inverted exon 5 with the Tyr658Ala point mutation, and the PGK-Neo cassette as a positive selection marker. According to BLAST searches, there is about 2.3 kb repetitive sequence in CBD intron 6 (referring to Fig. 3.37), therefore, only 1 kb of sequence is available for the right homology arm of construct CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala}. In order to increase the efficiency of the homologous recombination in surviving clones and to decrease random, non-homologous integration, the thymidine kinase (tk) gene was also included at the end of the shorter right homology arm as a negative selection marker. ES cells that do not contain any random integration do not contain the tk cassette and therefore have the ability to survive in the presence of 2 \textmu M Gancyclovir.
Generation of two loxP mutants

pBS-lox66 and pBS-lox71:

Primer Z24-Z25 were used to amplify the lox66 site with Hind III and EcoR I recognition sites at both ends, then subcloned into pBS II KS(-)/Hind III-EcoR I.

Primer Z26-Z27 were used to amplify the lox71 site with Hind III and EcoR I recognition sites at both ends, then subcloned into pBS II KS(-)/Hind III-EcoR I.

Symbols used for the construction:
- FRT site
- lox71
- PGK-Neo
- lox66

1. Two PCR fragments, Z22-Z20 and Z21-Z23, were amplified as template.

2. Overlap PCR was performed with primer Z22-Z23 to amplify 1.0 kb genomic CBP including point mutation exon 5

* There is complemental overlapping between Z20 and Z21, both together introduced a new Nru I site following the point_mutagenesis at aa658.

Fig. 3.34 Mutagenesis of a point mutation of CBP at aa658 in CBD exon 5 (CBDa-exon5).

Fig. 3.35 Combining of PGK-Neo-lox71 with mutant CBDa-exon5.
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Fig. 3.36 Subcloning of lox66 into pGEM-7zf(-) to introduce a new Acc65 I site used for diagnostic Southern blots

Fig. 3.37 Removal of the repetitive sequence from downstream CBD region by self-ligation of Nde I-Cla I digested clone, pBS-CBD.6kb

Fig. 3.38 A Insertion of lox66 into CBD intron 4 (step 1) Acc65 I site is retained

Fig. 3.38 B Insertion of lox66 into CBD intron 4 (step 2) Acc65 I site is retained

Fig. 3.36 Subcloning of lox66 into pGEM-7zf(-) to introduce a new Acc65 I site used for diagnostic Southern blots

Fig. 3.37 Removal of the repetitive sequence from downstream CBD region by self-ligation of Nde I-Cla I digested clone, pBS-CBD.6kb
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Fig. 3.39 Combining of CBP fragments from the two different phage clones

There is a BsaH I site in both CBP genomic fragments from two phage clones, and additionally one BsaH I site exists in the vector. A three fragment ligation was made to combine the two CBP fragments in the vector.

Fig. 3.40 A Insertion of 5-2 into CBD intron 5 (step 1)

Fig. 3.40 B Insertion of 5-2 with the right homology arm (5-6a) into CBD intron 5 (step 2)

Fig. 3.41 Introducing tk cassette at the end of the right homology arm
Fig. 3.33 to 3.42: Flow chart of construction of CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala}. CBD exon 5 and inverted CBD exon 5 with the point mutation were flanked by two mutated loxP sites lox66 and lox71 in opposite orientations. The PGK-Neo cassette flanked by two FRT sites in same direction was included between the two loxP sites as a positive selection marker. The tk cassette was included at the end of the right homology arm as a negative selection marker.

### 3.6.2 Screening for correct homologous recombinants of the targeted CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} allele

100 µg of the targeting construct CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} (Fig. 3.42) was linearized by DraIII digestion and checked by agarose gel electrophoresis. 20 µg of linearized plasmid was electroporated into mouse ES cells and grown in selection medium (G418, 200 µg/ml for entire period; and gancyclovir, 2 µM for the first two days). After nine days, resistant ES
colonies were visible, and 500 colonies were picked into 96-well feeder plates, then expanded on 96-well feeder plates for freezing and on gelatinized 96-well plates for Southern blot analysis. The screening strategy is shown in Fig. 3.43.

Fig. 3.43: Schematic representation of homologous recombination between the targeting construct CBP<sup>Tyr658Ala</sup> and <i>CBP</i> gene locus. Diagnostic probes and restriction fragments are also indicated: the 5' probe for correct homologous recombination of the left arm, the 3' probe for correct homologous recombination of the right arm, and the Neo probe for confirmation of homologous recombination and exclusion of additional random integration events. PCR using primers Z35 and Z36 (indicated with arrows) are performed instead of the 3' probe screening, a 1.1 kb band is expected for the right homologous arm.
Fig. 3.44: Screening for correct homologous recombinants of the targeting construct CBP<sup>Tyr658Ala</sup>.

A. Southern blot of Acc651 digested DNA from ES cell lines hybridized with the 5’ probe was expected to show one 11.6 kb band for the wild-type and one 9.3 kb band for the targeted allele (Fig. 3.43). B. PCR using primers Z35-Z36. All 10 clones positive in the Southern screening using the 5’ probe showed a positive band of 1.1 kb. H<sub>2</sub>O as a negative control was included. C. Southern blot analysis of 10 clones with the mutated 5’ and 3’ fragments using the neo probe showed that these 10 clones gave one single band of 4.8 kb, thus excluding random integration.
Hybridization of the 5' probe to Acc65I digestions of ES cell DNA was used for the primary screen for homologous integration. Southern blot analysis (Fig. 3.44) showed that 17 of 500 (recombination efficiency: 3.4%) picked clones gave the expected pattern of one 11.6 kb wild-type and one 9.3 kb targeted band (see Fig. 3.43). Southern blot analysis of EcoRI digestions with the 3' probe failed, because the genomic DNA samples could not be fully digested with EcoRI. Verification was therefore carried out by PCR using forward primers Z35, located in the Neo gene, and reverse primer Z36, located just downstream of the right arm. Ten of 17 clones positive in the 5' screening had shown similarly intense hybridization signals for both targeted and wild-type bands using the 5' probe, and these 10 clones were therefore committed to further analysis by PCR. All 10 clones showed a positive band of 1.1 kb (Fig. 3.44), showing that correct homologous recombination occurred in the right arm. Furthermore, these 10 clones all showed one single band of the expected size of 4.8 kb (see Fig. 3.43 and 3.44) after Acc65I digestions and hybridization using the neo probe, indicating that no random integrations were detected in the 10 ES clones.

3.6.3 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion in CBP^{Tyr68Ala} allele

In order to test whether point mutation can be induced in the mouse genome by using Cre-mediated inversion and mutant loxP sites, I firstly tried to test it in bacteria and ES cells.

3.6.3.1 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion in bacteria

A Cre expressing plasmid, 705-Cre (carrying a chloramphenicol resistance gene), was transfected into DH5α bacteria. To examine the lox66/lox71-mediated inversion, the
targeting construct CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} (Z74, containing the ampicillin resistance gene) was transformed into competent DH5\textalpha{} (705-Cre) bacteria. Plasmid 705-Cre contains a temperature sensitive origin of replication that allows replication at 30°C but not at 37°C, where the plasmid will integrate into the genome. Five transformed colonies were inoculated into 2 ml of LB medium containing ampicillin (100 \(\mu\)g/ml) and chloramphenicol (25 \(\mu\)g/ml), and then incubated with shaking at 30°C overnight. Cre-mediated inversion was examined by restriction enzyme digestion of the DNA with Acc65I and NruI. All picked clones showed bands of 8.0, 4.2, 1.7 and 1.0 kb, and the control clone (clone Z74) showed bands of 5.9, 4.2, 3.8 and 1.0 kb. From the restriction map of the targeting construct (Fig. 3.45), it appeared that the two loxP mutant sites were able to mediate complete inversion. In contrast, the inverted sequence containing one wild-type loxP site and one double mutant loxP site was poorly recognized by Cre recombinase, because the digestion pattern of the initially transformed plasmid was not detected in the inverted Cre-expressing bacteria (Fig. 3.45), implying that the reverse reaction did not occur at a detectable frequency.
Fig. 3.45: Cre-mediated inversion in bacteria. The final clone of construct CBP<sup>Tyr68Ala</sup> was transformed into E. coli DH5α that expressed Cre recombinase. Digestion patterns of DNA before and after transformation showed that the two loxP mutant sites were able to mediate complete inversion. In contrast, the inverted sequence containing one wild-type loxP and one double mutant loxP sites was poorly recognized by Cre recombinase, because the digestion pattern of the initially transformed plasmid was not detected in the Cre-expressing bacteria, implying that the reverse reaction did not occur at a detectable frequency.
3.6.3.2 Examination of Cre-mediated inversion of CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} in ES cells

As a next step to verifying that tissue-specific generation of a CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} allele would be possible, induction of the Tyr658Ala substitution using Cre-mediated inversion was evaluated in one of 10 correct homologous recombinants (F3B12) by a transient transfection of Cre recombinase (plasmid pCre-pac) (Fig. 3.46).

**Targeted CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} allele**

![Diagram showing targeted and inverted alleles](image)

Fig. 3.46: Cre-mediated inversion in ES cells. The targeted CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} ES cell clone F3B12 was transfected with plasmid pCre-pac. Southern blot analysis of \textit{HindIII} digested DNA using the Neo probe showed a 6.6 kb band for the original targeted allele and a 4.6 kb band for the inversion pattern of the targeted locus.
Southern blotting of HindIII digested DNA from transfected cells using the Neo probe was carried out as shown in Fig. 3.46. The original targeted cell clone F3B12 gave one 6.6 kb band. After transient transfection with Cre recombinase, one 6.6 kb band from the original targeted allele and one 4.6 kb band from the inversion were detected, thus, indicating that inversion can work in ES cells. The presence of a 6.6 kb band suggests that either the picked clone was contaminated with the original clone F3B12 or that inversion was incomplete.

3.6.4 Examination of splicing pattern of the inverted allele of the targeted CBPTyr658Ala

3.6.4.1 Excision of PGK-Neo cassette

To allow the analysis of the splicing pattern of the modified CBP locus, one of the ES cell clones containing the inversion (FC2) (see Fig. 3.46) was transiently transfected with the Flp-encoding plasmid pCAGGS-FLPe to achieve the removal of the PGK-Neo cassette.

Southern blotting using the Z33-Z34 PCR product (CBDΔexon5, see Fig. 3.35) as a probe was carried out as shown in Fig. 3.47. If the PGK-Neo cassette is fully excised, one 2.6 kb band and one 6.1 kb wild-type band are expected. Because of the low efficiency of recombination catalysed by Flp, lack of excision and insufficient excision of PGK-Neo were also observed.
Fig. 3.47: Flp-mediated excision of the PGK-Neo cassette in the inverted targeted CBP<sup>Tyr658Ala</sup> allele. A. The ES cell line FC2 was transfected with pCAGGS-FLPe. B. CBP wild-type allele. C. Southern blot analysis using the Z33-Z34 PCR product as a probe showed a 4.6 kb band for the original inverted allele, a 2.6 kb band for the excision pattern of PGK-Neo and a 6.1 kb band for the wild-type allele. Lanes 1,2,5,6: ES cell lines in which PGK-Neo was not excised; lane 3: wild-type ES cells; lane 4: PGK-Neo was excised in some cells; lane 7: PGK-Neo was efficiently excised (named clone FC4).

3.6.4.2 Examination of splicing pattern of the inversion allele
RNA was then isolated from the “inversion/neo” ES cell clone (FC4 in Fig. 3.47). RT-PCR was performed with primers Z41 and Z42. The expected 460 bp fragment was obtained. Unfortunately, after digestion of the 460 bp band with \(Nru\) I, no further cutting was observed, indicating the lack of \(Nru\) I and, thus, the lack of mutated exon 5. Another two runs of PCR with two pairs of primers (Z41-Z43 and Z42-Z44, for the positions of all primers, see Table 2.6) were therefore carried out, showing that the splicing patterns at both 5’ and 3’ to the mutated exon 5 were wrong (Fig. 3.48).

Fig. 3.48: Examination of splicing pattern of the inverted targeted CBP\(^{Tyr658Ala}\) allele. A. Positions of primers Z41 to Z44 in cDNA sequence are labelled. The substitution of Ala658 is marked in red, both 3' ends of Z44 and Z43 complementary to this residue are also marked in red. Numbers 3 to 6 represent the CBD exons 3 to 6. B. RT-PCR using primers Z41-Z42 gave the expected 460 bp band. RT-PCR using two other pairs of primers (Z41-Z43 and Z42-Z44) revealed lack of correct splicing in FC4 cell clone. M: DNA marker X; N: \(H_2O\) as negative PCR.
controls; P: Mutant CBPAla658 as positive PCR controls; FC4: an ES cell clone which is "inversion'neo'" (referring to Fig. 3.47).

3.6.5 Mating for the germline transmission of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala}

In order to obtain mice from the ES cell clones containing the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} allele, three correct recombinant ES cell clones F2B3, F2H6 and F3B12 (obtained in Section 3.6.2) were selected for injection into C57BL/6 mouse derived blastocysts. The result of blastocyst injection of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} ES cell lines (in which WT CBP should be expressed) was summarized in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Summary of blastocyst injection of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} ES cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Number of injected embryos</th>
<th>Number of pups born</th>
<th>(% of E14-derived coat)</th>
<th>Germline transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F2B3</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2 (60, 80%)</td>
<td>Mating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2H6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (30, 85%)</td>
<td>Mating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3B12</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4 (30, 50, 50, 70%)</td>
<td>Mating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eight chimeras, which contained 30-85% of ES-derived coat were born. One, from ES cell line F3B12, died at the age of 6 weeks, and the others were mated with wild-type C57BL/6 mice for germline transmission. So far, no germline transmission of the targeted CBP^{Tyr658Ala} has been observed. This suggests that the targeted allele leads to dominant embryonic lethality. If the splicing in this line is incorrect, as it is in the ES lines containing the targeted construct (Fig. 3.48), then either truncated or other unknown CBP variants could be formed, and have dominant negative effects on viability of the mouse, as observed in the CBD2^{+/} mouse line.
In order to obtain germline transmission, the matings are still continuing, but mouse strain CD1 is used for crossing to the chimeras instead of C57BL/6. If this is achieved, we could detect whether Cre-mediated inversion also works *in vivo* and furthermore, whether any CBP variants are actually formed by incorrect splicing.

### 3.7 Conclusions on generation of CBP mutant mice

Two constructs were generated to establish conditional CBP mutant mice, CBP\textsuperscript{Stop523} and CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} (referring to Sections 3.5.1 and 3.6.1). Both constructs were electroporated into ES cells, the correct homologous recombinants were obtained and then injected into blastocysts derived from C57BL/6 mice, which were then transferred into pseudopregnant mice. Several chimeras for both constructs were obtained. Construct CBP\textsuperscript{Stop523} was successfully transmitted in the germline, but CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} failed, possibly due to incorrect splicing resulting from the hairpin structure formed by the inverted sequences. Three mouse lines were generated from the construct CBP\textsuperscript{Stop523}. Firstly, CBD2\textsuperscript{+/−} has a general deletion of CBD exon 2 in all tissues. Preliminary results suggest that this mouse line shows severe developmental deficits. Secondly, CBD2.floxed has the CBD exon 2 flanked by two loxP sites, and should behave like a wild-type mouse, but can be mated to different Cre-expressing mice to generate conditional mutant mice. Thirdly, CBD2.floxed.neo is a mouse line in which the Neo gene is still present and can be used to test the possible interference of the PGK-Neo insertion on the cis-neighboring CBP gene, resulting in lower or null expression of CBP, i.e. hypomorph.
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One of the hallmarks of memory consolidation in both vertebrates and invertebrates is the requirement for new protein synthesis. Long-term but not short-term memory requires new mRNA expression and new protein synthesis and is reflected in an altered expression level of specific proteins regulated by the cAMP second messenger pathway.

Transcription factor CREB is proposed to be a key factor to mediate memory consolidation, as both mice homozygous for a hypomorpholic allele of the CREB gene and Drosophila overexpressing a dominant-negative form of CREB showed a reduced ability to form long-term memory (Bourtchuladze et al., 1994; Yin et al., 1994 and 1995). The switch from short- to long-term facilitation induced by behavioural sensitization in Aplysia involves CREB-like proteins, as the formation of long-term facilitation in Aplysia can be disrupted by drugs that interfere with transcription and translation, and by inhibiting cAMP-dependent gene expression (Dash et al., 1996). However, CREB itself is not sufficient for transcriptional induction of targeted genes, as phospho-CREB does not appear to associate directly with factors of the basal transcription machinery as examined by an affinity selection assay. CREB needs the transcriptional cofactor CBP to interact with the basal transcription machinery to further regulate gene transcription.

CBP is a large nuclear phosphoprotein that was originally characterized as a cofactor for CREB, and itself is directly regulated by nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin kinase IV. Therefore, CBP is considered as an important site of regulation for Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced gene expression (Chawla et al., 1998). However, CBP does not bind directly to DNA. Furthermore, CBP serves also as a cofactor for many other transcription factors,
transcriptional cofactors and kinases (for review see Janknecht and Hunter, 1996; Goodman and Smolik, 2000). To the present, very little knowledge has been gained on the function of CBP in memory consolidation. However, it has been found that CBP is mutated in the human Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome (RTS) (Petrij et al., 1995), a genetic disease characterized by multiple developmental defects and severe mental retardation. We therefore hypothesized that CBP might play a crucial role in the formation of long-term memory.

4.1 Expression pattern of CBP in adult mouse brain

Although the physiological role of CBP in transcriptional mechanisms has been the focus of many studies, very little is known about the expression and function of CBP in adult mouse brain. Therefore, the expression patterns of CBP and its related protein p300 were examined in adult mouse brain by in situ hybridisation. This showed that both CBP and p300 are present in most neuronal populations in mouse forebrain (Fig. 3.1), including the so-called limbic system, which is considered as central for many important brain functions, e.g. learning and memory, emotion, cognition and anxiety (Suzuki, 1996; Miller et al., 1998). “Enhanced” in situ hybridization with DIG-labelled riboprobes revealed that CBP is not expressed ubiquitously in many brain areas, including the forebrain region. For example, CBP-negative cells were found in the dentate gyrus, cortical layer IV and even hippocampal CA1 and CA3 areas. Furthermore, it showed that there are differences in density of CBP expression between the two sides of the midaxis. This peculiar observation is in accordance with Strömberg’s report of CBP distribution in rat brain (Strömberg et al., 1999).

Immunostaining showed that CBP protein was present in forebrain regions, e.g. intense signals were observed in hippocampal CA1, CA3, dentate gyrus and different layers of
cortex. However, CBP protein was not ubiquitously present, consistent with the expression patterns of CBP at mRNA level.

These results indicate that CBP might be involved in the regulation of gene activity in the adult brain.

4.2 Genomic organization of CBP

So far, detailed information on the CBP gene is only available from the human genome. The human CBP gene, which encodes a 7.3 kb transcript, appears to cover 159 kb genomic sequence (Chrivia et al., 1993). The gene is localized on chromosome 16p13.3, and is transcribed from centromere to telomere. At least 14 exons were identified by PCR amplification of human genomic DNA (Giles et al., 1997b). The genomic structure in CBD region is quite similar to mouse CBP characterized in this thesis. One splice donor site is located at nucleotide 798 shown to be near the site of one translocation breakpoint, and a deletion breakpoint in one RTS patient was found at this very same CBP splice donor site. Recently, more downstream exons of human CBP were well characterized by Petrij et al. (2000), showing that the CBP open reading frame is encoded by 31 exons in total that span 146 kb of genomic DNA from start (ATG) to stop (TAG).

Mouse CBP gene is located on chromosome 17 at the position of 11.0 cM from the centromere. A full-length cDNA clone has been sequenced and comprises 7,326 nucleotides. CBP is a large nuclear protein of 265 kDa and 2,441 amino acid residues. In order to generate CBP mutant mice, two independent phage clones were isolated, which encompass about 28 kb of genomic CBP sequence, including the CREB-binding domain (CBD). By comparing genomic and cDNA sequences (see Appendix II), 11 exons were characterized, covering about 2 kb of cDNA sequence. The CBD is encoded
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by 6 exons, named CBD exons 1 to 6. There is no coding sequence detected in about 7.5 kb genomic sequence just upstream of the CBD exon 1. Comparing our results with the human genome sequence, and assuming that both mouse and human CBP genome share high similarity. This suggests that there may be two exons locating at least 7.5 kb upstream of CBD exon 1 (see Appendix II), which encode 1.3 kb of cDNA sequence. Furthermore, the downstream exons of mouse CBP encode another 4.0 kb of cDNA sequence could not be isolated from the phage libraries in this thesis.

The whole phage insert screened from one phage library was unable to be subcloned into plasmid vectors. It was first thought that the fragment was too big to be cloned. Actually that was not the case, as even the short fragment clone pBS-CBD.Nhe-Spe (Fig. 3.13) was difficult to be cloned. BLAST searches revealed 2.3 kb of highly repetitive sequences about 1 kb downstream of the CBD exon 5, which may be a reason for the failure to subclone the whole phage clone into the plasmid vector. This piece of sequence seems to cause problems in all bacterial strains used, always resulting in unstable clones or clones with deletions. The instability is probably due to repeats present in this piece of sequence, as it might allow ectopic recombination with similar sequences elsewhere in the genome, thus causing chromosomal rearrangements, indicating that this region might be involved in the breakpoints observed in RTS. However, all breakpoints reported so far are located in other regions of CBP gene (Petrij et al., 1995; Borrow et al., 1996; Sobulo et al., 1997). Moreover, because of the possibility of recombination with ectopic sites, this highly repetitive sequence had to be avoided in the homology arm of targeting constructs. Therefore, only 1.0 kb could be used for the right homology arm in the construct CBP$^{\text{Tyr658Ala}}$. The tk cassette used in this construct as negative selection marker was aimed to increase the yield of clones with correct homologous recombination.
Remarkably, several discrepancies, A→T transversions, were found between the published cDNA sequence of CBP and the sequencing data that I obtained from clone pRc/RSV-mCBP.HA (see Appendix I). Thymidine (T) at nucleotide 2008, 2477, 2871 and 2932 of the mouse cDNA clone were detected instead of adenosine (A) in the published mouse cDNA sequence. Interestingly, all these discrepancies in mouse cDNA were replacement of the corresponding human cDNA sequence of CBP gene.

4.3 Functional analysis of CBP in vitro

As PKA activity is reported to be increased upon differentiation of F9 cells, and the CREB phosphorylated at residue Ser133 is present in the nucleus only of differentiated F9 cells, but not in the nucleus of F9 embryonal carcinoma (EC) cells (Kingsley-Kallesen et al., 1999), RA-induced, differentiated F9 cells were used for transfection experiments to investigate the properties of various CBP mutants. My results suggest that both CBD mutants (CBPAla658 and CBP.CBDAexon2-5) examined showed inhibitory effects on cAMP-mediated gene transcription. The repressive effects of CBPAla658 as compared to the wild-type CBP seem to be dose-dependent. As F9 cells are rather sensitive to small variations in cell culture conditions, transfection reagents and plasmid purity, the in vitro analysis of CBP mutants will have to be modified and extended to other cell lines, e.g. HEK293. Nevertheless, our results clearly indicate that both CBD mutants interfered with cAMP signalling pathway and that the inhibitory effects of CBPAla658 are dose-dependent.

I also measured the effects of the CBP mutants on dexamethasone-stimulated GRE-luciferase activity in transfection assays. Both CBPAla658 and CBP.CBDAexon2-5 showed profound activation of nuclear receptor signal transduction, revealing that both
mutants still retain other functions of CBP that are not related to the CBD, or at least the functions that require the N-terminal receptor interacting domain (RID).

All three RTS mutants showed strong inhibitory effects on the cAMP pathway. As major symptoms of RTS, such as developmental and mental retardation, may be related to CREB-mediated transcription, and RTS3 is similar to one of the knock-out mice (CBP<sup>stop523</sup>), it is evident that the conditional mouse model for RTS might be a very useful tool to understand the complex mechanisms of the syndrome.

4.4 Cre-mediated inversion by using mutated Cre/loxP system

So far, inversion induced in a tissue-specific manner using a mutated Cre/loxP system (Albert <i>et al.</i>, 1995) has not been reported. For the construct CBP<sup>Tyr658Ala</sup>, the inversion of the point mutated CBD exon 5 was tested in bacteria and ES cells. Both experiments showed that the targeted allele was inverted once. Cre recombinase efficiently recognized the lox66 and lox71 sites (forward reaction), and after inversion, the double mutant loxP and wild-type loxP sites were poorly recognized by Cre recombinase (reverse reaction). Cre-mediated recombination has been shown to be 4-fold to 7-fold more efficient between lox66 and lox71 than between double mutated loxP and wild-type loxP in tobacco protoplasts (Albert <i>et al.</i>, 1995). In our result, restriction digestion did not detect any of the original construct when Cre recombinase-mediated inversion was tested in bacteria (Fig. 3.45), and positive bands for the inversion were observed in the ES cells by Southern blot (Fig. 3.46). These observations suggest that the difference in recombination efficiency between forward and reverse reactions is much higher in bacteria and ES cells than in plants. However, it was not possible to evaluate the efficiency of Cre-mediated inversion quantitatively with the methods applied.
Nevertheless, our results suggest that the point mutation in CBD can be induced in mice using the mutated Cre/loxP system.

4.5 Generation of CBP knock-out mice

The functions of CBP and its closely related protein p300 have recently been investigated by gene targeting in mouse (Tanaka et al., 1997; Yao et al., 1998; Oike et al., 1999; Kung et al., 2000). Mice lacking both alleles of CBP die in utero between E8.5 to E10.5, mice double-heterozygous for CBP and p300 have a comparable phenotype to that of CBP null mutants, and mice heterozygous for CBP display skeletal defects in the limbs similar to those observed in the human Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome (RTS) which is caused by mutations in the human CBP gene (Petrij et al., 1995).

CBP-deficient mice will serve as a very useful tool to elucidate the physiological functions of CBP and to understand the complex mechanisms underlying RTS. The link between CBP and RTS symptoms was suggested by the interaction of CBP with multiple transcription factors. The molecular mechanisms of developmental and mental retardation of RTS still remain unclear. However, recruitment of CBP is sufficient for CREB-mediated gene activation (Cardinaux et al., 2000; Shaywitz et al., 2000), and CREB has been implicated in many forms of long-term synaptic plasticity and shown to be critical for memory consolidation. Thus, the mental retardation of RTS caused by mutations in CBP might be due to the impairment of CREB-mediated gene expression in central nervous system. It is evident that the conditional CBP knock-out mice will be a powerful tool to pinpoint the mechanisms underlying the multiple congenital malformations and mental retardation syndrome.

Cre-expressing mice are the other class of mouse lines used in the Cre/loxP system. Cre recombinase is expressed under the control of different regulatory sequences that can
drive Cre recombinase expression in specific tissues or cells and/or at specific developmental stage.

CBP is widely expressed in mouse forebrain areas, including the limbic system which is considered to be related with many central functions of brain, such as learning and memory. CaMKIIα-Cre mice can now be used to generate a CBP deficiency restricted mostly to the hippocampus, cortex and thalamus. This can be a good model to analyze the function of CBP on learning and memory.

### 4.5.1 CBP stop523 knock-out mice

CBP stop523 is a deletion mutant derived from a construct in which the CBD exon 2 is flanked by two loxP sites. The exon 2 can be excised in the presence of Cre recombinase, and a new stop codon is then introduced at position 523, leading to a truncated N-terminal CBP. Similar deletion mutations of CBP have been reported in RTS. Another mouse model for RTS containing a truncated CBP has recently been generated by gene trapping (Oike et al., 1999).

Three mouse lines were generated from the first targeting construct CBP stop523. One is the floxed mouse line containing the PGK-Neo cassette. Because of the possibility of hypomorphic effects (Artelt et al., 1991; Fiering et al., 1993; Rijli et al., 1994; Meyers et al., 1998), the interference of the Neo gene on CBP gene expression is being tested. Secondly, the CBD2−/− mouse line was obtained by mating of the germline mice containing the targeted allele with a Cre deleter mouse line (Schwenk et al., 1995) to excise the CBD exon 2. Because of the efficiency of Cre-mediated recombination, there is a mosaic situation of excision and non-excision of the CBD exon 2 in F1 mice, and the allele carrying the excision was transmitted to 4 of 24 F2 mice. Clearly, the heterozygous mutant mice were under-represented among weaned pups according to the
Mendelian inheritance rule. The result indicates that the general deletion of the CBD exon 2 surely causes severe developmental defects. It is also evident that the conditional Cre-mediated excision of the CBD exon 2 using tissue-specific expression of Cre recombinase should work in mouse as well. Furthermore, CBD2^+/− mice might serve as a model for RTS, and an useful tool for functional analysis of CBP in vitro, for example, overexpression of wild-type CBP into the cell lines from the mutant mice to see whether this can rescue some phenotypic changes. Thirdly, as floxed mouse line in Cre/loxP system should behave like the wild-type before crossing with Cre-expressing mouse lines, and as the Noc gene located in an intron of CBP may cause a hypomorphic allele of CBP, the PGK-Neo cassette had to be removed. The floxed mouse line was obtained by mating of the germline mice (CBD2.floxed.neo) with a Flp deleter mouse line (Dymecki, 1996). Finally, this mouse line can be mated with different Cre-expressing mouse lines to generate tissue-specific CBP deletions.

The floxed mouse line, which carries the CBP^{Stop^{523}} allele and lacks the PGK-Neo cassette, is now in the process of being crossed to CaMKIIα Cre-mice, in which Cre expression is driven by the CaMKIIα promotor. Expression of Cre starts postnatally in most of the forebrain neurons, including the hippocampus, the brain region essential for learning and memory, in the first 3-4 weeks when many neural circuits have already been formed (Burgin et al., 1990). Therefore, recombination, and hence CBP deficiency, will occur in the forebrain neurons postnatally. This should avoid developmental defects caused during neurogenesis as observed in conventional knockouts (Tanaka et al., 1997; Oike et al., 1999a; Kung et al., 2000). Certainly, this conditional knock-out mouse will be a useful tool to understand the functions of CBP on learning and memory.
4.5.2 CBP<sup>Tyr658Ala</sup> knock-out mice

To elucidate the functions of CBP on CREB-mediated gene transcription, another CBP mutant mouse was proposed, in which a tyrosine to alanine substitution at amino acid residue 658 would be introduced by Cre-mediated inversion using the mutated Cre/loxP system (Albert et al., 1995). Our results showed that longer inverted repeats were more difficult to clone into <i>E. coli</i>; a 300 bp repeat could be cloned, but a 780 bp repeat could not. After construction of the targeting vector and electroporation into the E14 cells, the correct homologous recombinants were verified by Southern blot and PCR, and injected into blastocysts isolated from C57BL/6 mice. Eight chimeras with ES-derived cell percentages ranging from 30-85% were obtained and mated with wild-type C57BL/6 mice for germline transmission at the age of about 8 weeks. Up to now, all pups (n = 57) derived from four of the chimeras showed a black colour characteristic of the C57BL/6 host. This suggested that the construct is not being transmitted, possibly because of strong interference of the modified allele on CBP gene expression. Two possibilities can be put forward: Due to the insertion of the Neo gene in a CBP intron, a hypomorph CBP allele might have been generated. Secondly, might hairpin structures formed by the exon 5 and inverted exon 5 with the point mutation, might lead to aberrant splicing and thus to a variant protein, or to lack of protein expression. The later explanation might be favored, as RT-PCR experiments showed that the splicing pattern of the inverted allele was incorrect.

4.6 Preliminary phenotypic analysis of CBD 2<sup>+/−</sup> mouse line

Mouse line CBD 2<sup>+/−</sup> is a ubiquitous deletion mutant of CBD exon 2, obtained by crossing the targeted CBP<sup>stop523</sup> mouse line with a Cre deleter mouse line (Schwenk et al., 1995). Two of 17 F1 offspring were confirmed as positive for Cre-mediated
deletion. Neither showed any apparent phenotypes, and both had normal fertility. They were further mated with wild-type C57BL/6 to get heterozygous mice (CBD2^{+/−}). Among 24 F2 offspring, there were only 4 mice confirmed as heterozygous for the targeted allele (CBD 2^{+/−}). Such a reduced number of pups suggested that CBD 2^{+/−} may lead to embryonic lethality to some extent. This was confirmed by isolation of the embryos at E8.5 and E10.5. Furthermore, one of the heterozygous F2 mice died at the age of two weeks postnatally, and another mouse did survive, but displayed a significantly smaller size in comparison to its wild-type littermates (Fig. 3.32). As this mouse appeared less and less healthy, it was committed at the age of two months to allow dissection of internal organs for further phenotypic analysis. Another two, up to now, do not show any health problem except for the obviously smaller size, but they were infertile, as they could not give birth to pups after mating for more than two months. So far, more F2 CBD2^{+/−} mice have been obtained when the F1 was mated to the CD1 mouse strain than to the C57BL/6 strain. Interestingly, most F2 mice are female. The reason for this is not clear to the present. In conclusion, much stronger phenotypes were observed, compared to the phenotype of CBP mutant mice generated by others (Tanaka et al., 1997; Oike et al., 1999; Kung et al., 2000). This is possibly due to the presence of the N-terminal amino acid residues 1-522, which acts as in a dominant-negative manner. Further phenotypic analyses are required to characterize the mutant mice.
Future perspectives

1) As the splicing pattern of the CBP\textsuperscript{Tyr658Ala} construct was found to be incorrect likely owing to a hairpin structure formed by the inverted mutated CBD exon 5 and wild-type CBD exon 5, it is proposed that elimination of the hairpin structure by introduction of silent point mutations into the inverted mutated CBD exon 5 sequence without interfering with the final protein product. This will be done by overlap PCR and confirmed by subsequent sequencing. This new construct will be introduced in to ES cells, to generate finally the mutant mice with the point mutation.

2) Further phenotypic analyses of CBD2\textsuperscript{+/−} mouse line at behavioral level, e.g. Morris water maze, fear conditioning experiments etc., and at cellular level, histological, immunohistological and \textit{in situ} hybridization techniques to characterize expression differences and downstream targeted genes; primary neuronal cultures to analyze intracellular signal transduction pathways, will be performed.

3) Phenotypic analyses of forebrain-deficient CBP\textsuperscript{stop523} mutant mice are also been performed at the behavioral and cellular level to underline the functions of CBP on learning and memory.

4) Phenotypic analyses of CBD2.floxed.neo mouse line are also considered to underline the possible hypomorphic effects caused by insertion of \textit{Neo} gene into the intron.

5) Electrophysiological characteristics will be measured in slices from hippocampus and amygdala. The \textit{in vivo} LTP/LTD recording from the dentate gyrus of freely moving mice is being established.
Appendix I  cDNA sequence of mouse CBP

1 ATGGCGGAGA ACTTGCTGGA CGGACCGCCC AACCCCCAAC GAGCCAAACT
51 CAGCTCGCCC GGCTTCTCCG CCAAATGACAA CACAGATTTT GGATCATTGT
101 TGTACTTGGAA AATAGACCTT CTTGATGAGC TGATCCCCCAA TGGAGAATTA
151 AGCCCTTAA ACAGTGGGAA CTTGTTCCA GATGCTGCGT CCAAAACATA
201 ACAACTGTCA GAGCTTCTTA GAGGAGGCAG GGGCTCTAGC ATCAACCCAG
251 GGCAAGAGCC CCTCTGAAACC AAGAGAAGCC ATCAAACCCAA ACCTGCCCC
301 CAGGCTCAGG GCCAGGCAAA CAGTACAAAC ATGCCCCAACAT TTGCTGCAA
351 GGGCAAGGC CCTCTGAAACC AAGAGAAGCC ATCAAACCCAA ACCTGCCCC
401 AACAAGGCA CAGCACCCTCT GGGCCCACTC CCCCTGCCTC CCAAGCACTG
451 AATCCACAGG CCAAGGACAG AAGAGCTGCTG CTTGCCCAAC GGGAGGCCCA
501 ATCACAGACT GGACCTGAGCG TGTCAACTTC AACCAGACCC
551 ACCCAGGCCT CACTCTGAACC AAGGAGGCAG GGGCCCACTC CCCCTGCCTC CCAAGCACTG
601 AATCCACAGG CCAAGGACAG AAGAGCTGCTG CTTGCCCAAC GGGAGGCCCA
651 AGGAAGGGGA GCTGGAATGC CCTACCCCTG TCCAGCCCATG CAGGGGGCCA
701 CAAGGAGCTG GCTGGGACAG ACCCTTCAACG AGCTTATGGG ACCCTTGCCCA
751 GGCCATGCTG GACTAAATAC AGCACAGGCA GGGCAGATGA CCAAGATGGG
801 ATGACTGAGT ACCACAGACTT TATTGGGAA ACCCTTTATT CAAAATGGGA
851 GCCAGCAGAT GGAGGCACCG CAGGTGAAAG CCCAGTTTAG CAGCAAGAGC
901 AGCATGGCT AATGTTTTAC TGGCTTCTTC ACAGATATCA AGAATACCTC
951 AGTCACCCAC GTGCCAAATA TGCCCAAGTT GCAAAACATCA GTGGGAATTG
1001 TACCCACACA ACGAGTTGCA CAGGCCCTCA CAGCCAGACC TGGAAAAAGC
1051 AAAGTACTGAC AGCACAGACTT CGTGTTCCTCA CTAGCGAGCCC ACAAAATGCA
1101 GAGACGGGAG CAGCAATGAG GAGAGTTTCT NGCTTTCTCTT CCTCAACACT
1151 GTGCAACCAAT CAAARGACCT TTGAACTCAG TGAACACATTG CAGGCTTCC
1201 AAAGCCCTGCC AGGTGCCCAA TTGAGCTTAC TGACGGAAAAA TCAATCTCTCA
1251 TTGAGAGAAC TGCACAGGAG ATGACTGCTC TGGCTGCCCT CTTTGAAAA
** CBD exon 1 (CBD 1)
1301 ATGCAGGTGA CAAGCGGAA CAAAGAACAT CTTGAGGATC TCCAGCTAGT
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****EcoRI
1351 GGAATTC AAGAACATGG TTCTGTTGGT GCAGGGC AAG ATGCCC
1401 TTCCCTAAAGT AACCCAAATC CCATAGACCC CAGTTCGATG CAGCGGGCCT
1451 ATGCTGCTCT AGGACTCCCC TACATGAACC AGGCTCAGG GCAGCTGCAG
1501 CCTCAGGTTC CTGGGCAAGCA ACCAGCAGAC CCTCCAGCCC ACCAGCAGAT
Avr IIICBD
1551 GAGGACTCTC AATGCCTTCTG GAAAACACCC CATGAGTGTCC ACAAGAGGAG
Sap
1601 GAATAACAAAC AGATCAACAG CCACCAA AACT TGATTCGAGA AT CGCTCCTT
I
1651 CCAACTCCCT TGGGGGCTAC CAATCCACTG ATGAATGATG GTTCAAACTC
1701 TGGTACACTT GAAGACTCTC AATGCCCTAG GAAACAACCC CATGAGTGTC CCAGCAGGAG
1751 GCACGCTGC ATCGAAGGCC TGGCATGAC AAGCCTCAGG GCACCTACGG
CB D 4
1801 AGTCATCTAG TCCATAAACT CGTTCACCC ACGCTTCCCAA ATCCGACCC
1851 TGCGAGCTCTG AAGAGTCGCC GCAATGAGGAA CCGTGGTGG CATGCTAAGA
CBD 5
1901 AAGTGGAGGG AGACATGTAT GAGTCTGCTA ATAGCAGG GA TGAATACTAT
1951 CATTTATTAAG CAGAAGAAAT CTATAAAATA CAAAAGAAC TAAAGAAAAA
***aa658
2001 GCGGAGGACA CGTTTACATA AGCAAGGACAG GCAGTGA ACACAGCTT
2051 TACCAGCTTC TGGAAGCTC AAGGGGCTAC CCATCCACGG CACATCTGTA
CBD 6
2101 AGACGCTCCCA ATGGGCCCCCT GCCCTTGCAC GTGAATGATG TCGAGCTTC
CBD 7
2151 TCAAGGGATG TAAATTTACTA ACCCAATGTC CCTGGGAAAC GTCCAGTTGC
2201 CACAGGCACC CATGGGACCT CGTGCAGCCT CCCCTATGAA CCACTCTGAC
2251 CAGATGAACA GCATGGCCTC AGTTCCGGGT ATGGCCATTT CTCCTTCAG
CBD 8
2301 GATGCTCCTG CCTCAGGAAA TAATGGGCAC TCAATGCAAC AACATTTATG
2351 CCCAGGCACC TACTCGAAGC CATGTTCTCG CAGAAACAC GTTTCCATCA
2401 TCGAGGTGGG CAATGATGG ATGGGAGGCT CAGTGGGAC AACCAGACGC
CBD 9
2451 CCGGCAGGT GTTTTACAGG GTCAAGGACCC TGGAGCTGCT CTCCATACCC
2501 CTCTGAACAT GCTGGCAACC CAGGCAAGCC AGCTGCGTTG CCCACCAGTG
2551 ACACTGACG ACATTGCACCC GACTCCACC CTGCCTTCCAC CAGCTGCTGG
2601 CATGACTCCT CTTCAACATC CAAGGCAACC AGGAATGAC CTCCTCAAGC
2651 CAGCAGCTCC CACTGACCC TCCTACTCTG TGCTCATCTG GCAGACTCCT
2701 ACCCAACTC TGGGCTCAGT GCCAGAGGCT GCCAAACC ACAGTACCC
2751 TACAGTCAGG GCAGACGACAG AGGCTAGGT GACTCACCAG CGCTGACCC
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2801 CAGTGCAGCC ACCATCTGTG CTCGCTCCTC AGTCATCACA GCAGCAACCA
2851 AGGCCTGTGC ATACTCAGCC ACCTGGGACCA CCGCTTCTCT AGGCAGCAGC
2901 CAGGCTTCTC CTACTCCCTC CACTGTGACC AGTGCTGAAA
2951 CCAGTTCCCA GCAGCCAGGA CCAGCTGTCG CCATGCTGGA AATGAAAGCA
3001 GAGGTGACAG CAGATGATGC TGAGCCTGAA CCACTGGAAT CCAAGGGAAG CCAAGGGAAG
3051 ACCTCAGGTC TGGATGATGG AAGAGGATTG ACAAGGTTCT TCCCAAGTAA
3101 AAGAAGAGAC AGATACGACA GACAGCAAGT CAGAGCAAT GGAAGTAAAG
3151 GAAAGGAAAA AGAAGACTAA AGTGAAGAGC AAGAGAAGC
3201 TTGCAACGAC AGACACTCAC AATCAAACATC TCTTCCCCAG CCAGCGAAAA
3251 AAATCTTTAA ACCCAGGAGA CTACCCAGGC TACCTGAGCA AACTCTAGAA
3301 GCACCACTAC GACAGACGGA AGAGCTCTTG CTTTTGCTG ACCTGAGTAA
3351 TGACCTACCC CTAGGAGGAC GATGCCGATG CACTTATGCC AACTCTAGAA
3401 TGGACCTTTTC TGGTGGCAGA CAGAAGCTGA TGTGGTCTAC AGAGGAGAAG
3451 GAAAGGAAAA AGAAGACTAA AGTGAAGAGC AAGAGAAGC
3501 ATATAATTCT CAAAGCTGGG GGTGATGATG TGATGATGATG AGTGAAGAGC
3551 AGFCTTTGGA TACACAAGAT TGGAGCCTTC TCGATGTGGA TGGATTTGC
3601 TGCCAGGAGA AGTATGAGTT CCTCCCCACAG ACTTTGTTGT GTTACCGAAA
3651 GCACCACTAC GACAGACGGA AGAGCTCTTG CTTTTGCTG ACCTGAGTAA
3701 GGTATCATTT CTGTTGGGAC TGGTTTACAG AGATCCAGGG CGAGAATGTG
3751 ACCCTGGGATG AGAGACCTAC CCAACCTCAC ACGAAAATTT CCAAGGATCA
3801 ATTTGAAAG AAGAAAAATG ATACCTTCTA TCCTGACAAT TTTGTGACTC
3851 GCCGAGAGTC TGGCGGAAAG ATGACATCAG TTTGTGTTCT ATCAGATGAC
3901 ATCATTTGTC CTTTGAGGTT TGTGATGAC AACTGTTTGA AGAAAACTGG
3951 CAGCACTGGG AAAGAAAAAG ATACCTCAG TCATGAGGTT CAGACCACAC
4001 GATTGAAAA CCACTGGAAG GAGAAGGATG AATAGTTTTT GCAGCCGCCAG
4051 ATCCAGGATG AGAGCTGGGAC TGGTTTTGCT AGATGTTGGG CCAGCTCAGA
4101 CAGACTGTG GAGGTCAACG CGGGAATGAA GTGAAGGTTT GTGATGTTCTG
4151 GAGAGATTG CCAACCTTTC CCAATAGGTA CCAACGACT CTTTTGTTTT
4201 GAGGAGATCG ATGGATGCTG TGGTGTCTTT TTTGGGATGCA ATGATCAGA
4251 TACGGCTCTGT AGTGCCCCCC ACCAAATACA AGCGTGCTGTA TACATATCCTT
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4301 ATCTGGACAG TATCATTTTC TTCCGGCCCC GCTGCCTCCG GACAGCTGTT
4351 TACCATGAGA TTGTCATGAG TATATCGAG TATGTGAAGA AATTGGTGTA
4401 TGTGACAGCA CATATTTGGG CCTGTCCCCC AAGTGAAGAG AGGCTCAAGA
4451 TCTTTCATTG CCACCCCCCT GACCAGAAAA TCCCCAAAACC AAAACGACTA
4501 CAGGGATTGGT ACAAGAAGAT GCTGGACAAG GCTTTCGAG AGAGGATCAT
4551 TAACGACTAT AAGGACATCT TCAAAACAGC GAAGAAGAG AGGCTCAAGA
4601 GTGCCAAGGAA GTGCCCTCTAT TTTGAAGGAG ATCTTGGCCT TAATGTGTTG
4651 GAAAGAAGCA TTAAGGACT AGAAAGAAGA GAAGAAGAAA GAAAGAGAAA
4701 AGAGAGTACT GCAGCCAGTG AGACTCCTGA GGCCAGCTAG GTGACAGCA
4751 AAAATGCAGA GAAAAGAAGA AACAAGAAGA CCAACAAAAA CAAAAGAGAC
4801 ATTAGCCCGG CCAACAGAAA GAAGCCAGAC ATGCCCAAATG TTTCCAAGGA
4851 CTCGTCGAGA AAGCTGATAG CCACCATGGA GAAGCACAAG GAGGTATTCT
4901 TGGTGAATCT TCTGACTGCT GGGCGCTTTA TCAGCAGTCG GCCCCCATC
4951 GTGGAACCTCT ATCTCCTGCT TAGCTGTGAC CTGATGGAATG CGCGAGATGC
5001 TCTCTCACC CTGCGCCAGAG ACAAGACACT GGAATTCGCT TCCCTACGCC
5051 CTCGACTAGA CTCTGACTGCT TGCATGCTGG TGGAGGCTGA CACACAAGGC
5101 CAGGACCGCT TTGGTATATAC CTGCAATGAG TGCAACACAACC ATGTGAAAC
5151 AGCCTGCGAC GCAGCTGCTG GCAGGAACTA TGACCTTTTG ATCAATGCT
5201 ACAACACAAA GAGCCACCAC CATAAGATGG TGAAGCTGGG GCTAGGGCTA
5251 GATGTAGGAG GCAGCAGTCA GGGTGAGCCA CAGTCAAGA GCCCCAGGA
5301 ATCCCCGGCT CTGAGCATTCC AGCCTGCGCT CGCTTTCCCT GTGCACTGCC
5351 GCCAGTGTAG CAATGCCAAG TGGCTGACTGC CTGCTGACCA GAGAATGAG
5401 CGAGTGCTGC AGCAGACACC CGGCTGAGAG CGCAAGACTA ATGGGAGATG
5451 CCCAGTGTGC AAGCAGCTCA TTGCTTCTTG CTGCTACCTAC GCAAAACACT
5501 GCAAGAAAA TAAATGCCCC GTGCCCCTCT GCTTCAGCAT CAAACATAAC
5551 TCCTGACTGC GCAGACACC CGGCTGAGAG CGCAAGACTA AGCTCAGCG
5601 CCGCGCATAG GCAACCAGTCA ACAACCGACA TGGCTCTCAG CAGAGTTTG
5651 CTCTCTCTAC CTGACGACCA CCGGGACCTG CTAGCAGCGA GCCAGACGA
5701 CCCCCACGAC CRAGCAGCCCC AGCCAGGCTT CAGCCTTCAC TGTGAAACAT
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5751 GTCACCAGCA GGCTTCCCTA ATGTAGCCCG GACTCAGCCC
5801 TGTCTGCTGG GAAGCCTACC AACCAGGAGC GCAAAATGAC
5851 CAGCCCCCAC CTGACACAGT AGAGACAGCC CAGCAAAATG
5901 CAGGCCATGG GAAGCCAGAG ACCAAAATGAC TCCTCAGGCC
5951 CAGGAGCTGA TGGATGAGGC ACCCCAGGAC GCAAAATGAC
6001 CTGAATGCTGC CAGGCACAGC ACCAAAATGAC TCCTCAGGCC
6051 GCCACCTGGG CAGTGGACAG CCCCTCGGCA TCTGCAAGAC
6101 CCTGCAAGAC ACCGAAGGCT GCATGGCACC CGTCCCAGGC
6151 CAGGCCATGC CAGGCCTGTA ATGTCCATGC AGGCCCAGGAC
6201 GGGCCACGGA GCAGCCAAAG AGGAGCATCT CGCCAAATGAC
6251 AGCAGCAGGT GCTGAAGCTT CTTAAGATGC ACCCAGCAGT
6301 TGCAGACAGC CTGAGCTTTT CAAAACCTGA AGGCAATGGA GCAATGAGCC
6351 GCCCCAGGGC GAACTGGCC ACGTCGGCTG CTGCAAGAC
6401 TGGAGGTCAT CAGGGAGATA AGGTGATGAC TGGAGGTCAT
6451 CACGGGCCTG GTGTCGCCC TACCAGACAG CCAGACAGAG
6501 CAGGGACATA GCTGCTCAAT ACCCAGGCTG TGGGAATGAA
6551 CAAACATGAA TTCACAGCTA TGAGGAATGAC AGGCAATGGA GCAATGAGCC
6601 AAGCAGCAGC ACCGAAGGCT GCATGGCACC CGTCCCAGGC
6651 AGCAGCAGGT GCTGAAGCTT CTTAAGATGC ACCCAGCAGT
6701 TGCAGACAGC CTGAGCTTTT CAAAACCTGA AGGCAATGGA GCAATGAGCC
6751 GCCCCAGGGC GAACTGGCC ACGTCGGCTG CTGCAAGAC
6801 TGGAGGTCAT CAGGGAGATA AGGTGATGAC TGGAGGTCAT
6851 CACGGGCCTG GTGTCGCCC TACCAGACAG CCAGACAGAG
6901 AAGCAGCAGC ACCGAAGGCT GCATGGCACC CGTCCCAGGC
6951 GCACATGCTC TCAGGACAGC CACAGGCCTC ATGCTTTTAC CAGGGAGATA
7001 TGGCCACATC CTTAGTAACC AGGTGCGCAT CCTGACAGCC TGGCAGCTAC
7051 CACGGGCCA AAATCCAAACC TCCCAATTCC AGCCCCGTAC CAGGATACA
7101 ACCCCAGCCT TCACACACCT ATGGTTACCC CAGACTGGA ACCCTACCC
7151 CTGGACCTGC AGTACACAGC GCCAGCTCAG TGGATGACAG ACCCTGGGG
7201 AACCCCTGAAC AGAGTGCACAT GCTCCTCCCC ATGATTCACCC CCAACGAGG
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* Instead of A, corresponding nucleotides (T) in human cDNA are detected in the original mouse cDNA clone pRC/RSV-mCBP.HA.

** Sequences marked in blue and black are 11 exons characterized in the isolated CBP genome (here named CBD exon 1 to 11).

*** Coding sequences for tyrosine at amino acid 658.

**** Underlined sequences are useful restriction sites for cloning, and mutagenesis of CBP for in vitro transfection.
Appendix II Genomic sequence of CBP in the region of the CBD exons

*BanHI

1  GGATCCCTGC TGTTTTTAGG CATCAGAGCA TTAAGAAGAG ATATGAATGC
51  CTCTTCCAG TTTTAAAGAC CTTTGAGGCT ATTTCAAGAG GTTCTTTGCTG
101 GTCTTTAAAT ATGCCCCTCA TTTGCACATC TAGAAATGCG TCTTGGGTTG
151 GCATGCTCTA ATTTGGGCGA ATCCCTTTTT TAGTATCTCT ATCAAGGTGAC
201 ACAGTCTTTC TCAATTCTCC CATCTTTAAT TCTCTCTCAG GTTCTTTGCTG
251 TCTTCTTCTA ATCTTTTCTGA ATATCTTTTC AATCCTTGGG AACATGTTGCT
301 GCTTATTTTC TGACTGGCTG TACATGGGAA ATCACTCTAA TCTAAAAGTA
351 CTATGGAGTC CCATGCTGTC ATGTTGGCCT TTATGAATCT AGCTTTTCTT
401 CCTGAGTACT GCATGCTCTA CTCTTTTAC AATGTTTAC AAAAAACAGA
451 TGTTGACTG GCATGCTCTA TCACTTCTCA GCTTTCTTCC TGGCTGGCTG TACATGGC
501 TTTTTTGAAT ACTAGTTTGT CTGGACATTCT TTTTTGAC TGTATGGGAG
551 GTGTGTTGCT GTGTGTTGCT GTGTGTTGCT ACGTTTACTC ATTTGCCACA
601 GCCAGTTGGTA TAGGCAGGAA ATGTGGTTGC CTTACCTCTA CTTTTTTTTA
651 AGGCTAGGTC TCTTGTGTTT ATGTTGCTCTG TATATATAAT CTTGCTAGCC
701 TGGAGTATTG CTAGAGATTTG TCTACTTTCA CTCGGGATTT GAACTGGGAT
751 TACCATGCAG TGGCATGGC TGGTTTTACA CAGGCTATGG AAATTTTAAAC
801 TCAGTCTTTC ACTCTTGTCT GATAAGTCCT TTAGGGCTCT TTGTAACTCC
851 TAAATATTAT ATATATTAT TTTTTTGGAC ATTTCTTACT AAAAAATTTG
901 GAAATAGTGG TCTTATTAGC CATGAATATA GAGCTAGGAT CTCACTTTTA
951 AGTTTTATT TGGGAGGCTG ACTGAGGGGA TTTTTGAGCT TCGTAGTGNC
1001 AAACCTTTGAT AGAGTTTTCG ATTTCTCCCTT TTGAAGAAATA
1051 ATGTTTTTTG TGGTCAAGG ATGGAAAAAA AAGAAACATG GTTTTGTTTT
1101 CTTATGTTCC TTATACACTG CTAATATAGA AAAPGAGGCT AAAGCATGCT
1151 TTCTCAAGGT GTAAACCAAC ATGGTTCTAT AAGCTGGACN GTTAGTGTG
1201 GGAGGGGGGG GAGAGGGAAAT GGTTTTGTGT GATGTAAGGT CACAGGATAG
1251 GGGGGGCGT GTCATCGGCA AATTGTGATT GGTGGGTGTT TGGTGTGTTT
1301 TCGAGACAGG GAGTTCTGCT ATATCCCTGG CGTTCTGAGA ACTCACTGTA
1351 GATCAGGTTG ACCGCAACT CAGAAATCCA CCTGTCTTCT TCTCTCTGAG
1401 GCCGAGATTA AAGGTGGGCG CTTCCATGGC CGGCTCCAAA AATTTTTAAT
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1451 GGGAAAAAAAA GCTAAAAAAA TTTAATGCTC CTTTTAAAAA TTTTAATTGT
1501 GTATGTTTCTT ATAGTGATTT TGTCCTATAT TAGGAGCTGT GGAAGCTTAGA
1551 GATCATCTGG TGCTCTTGTC TAATACCCCT CTACCTTAAT TTTTTTTATT
1601 GAGATAGTCT CTAATGGGAC CTGGATCTCA TAGTTTATAG TAGATTGATG
1651 AGCAAGTGAT CCCCTGGAAT CTGCCTGTCT TTGCCTTCTC AGCACCAGGG
1701 TGGCAGACAC ATACTGTCAC CAAACAAATG TGCTTTTTTT ATGTGAGTGT
1751 TGGGACCTAG AGCTCAAACTT CTCATGGTGG TGCTACAAGC ACTTTCCTAA
1801 GCCATTCTTC CAGCTTAATG GCTCTTTAAA TTACATTTCA GTTTTGAGTG
1851 TGTCCTTTTG GCTCTACTCT TTTGATGTG TGAGATAGTG TTTATTTTAT
1901 GTGTCATGGG GGAATGTGTT ATGGGTGGCC CTTTTCTCTC TATTTTTATC
1951 GTTATGTTT ATATATCCTAG ACTAAGAAAT ATATATAGAA AAAAGTGGAAT
2001 CCAATCTCTG ATGTTGTGTA GGTGAATTTA TAAAGATTTG AAATATTTTATC
2051 TTTAAAATAA GGTGAGTGGG TCACTTACGT ACTCCAGAAG CTGAGGCCAG
2101 AGGATATTAGA ATCTCTAGCT ATCTTAAGTA TATAATTGCA GACCATTGTC
2151 CCTCCAAAAAC AAAACAAACA AACCCTGCAA ATGTTAATT TGATTTGCTT
2201 TGATATTGTA TCACTGGAAT ATGAGCTCTCA GCTTTACGCT TAATTTTTAA
2251 TTTAGGGAGT GGCTTTTCTTA GAATTCTGGG GGTGCTGCTAG TGTGAGGAGT
2301 TTTAAAATGTG TATAGGCTCT TCCTAAGGAA TCAGGAATTG GGTGAATTTA
2351 TTTAGGTGTA GGCTTTACGGG GTGGAAGTGG AACAATGCTTA GACCTCTCAA
2401 GAGAAAAATGG TGTCCTATGA AACCCCAAGAT CAATAAAATT ACAAGGGTAG
2451 TAAAGTACCG GTACCAGGAG AACACATAGC CTAAGAATAA TGGGTATGGTT
2501 GAATTTAAAAA GAAATGGCCC TCCATAGGCA TTTATATTGG CATGCTCAGT
2551 CATCATGGAG CAACACTGTG AGAAGAGATT AGGTTGGCC TGGAGGAAG
2601 TATGTACCTG GGCGTGGTCC AAAATCCCAAG CCAGGCCCAG TGGGTCTCTCT
2651 CCTGCTACCTGGGGGGAGG ATTTAGAAGT CTAGTCTACA TCTGCATATGG
2701 TGCCTTCATG CTCCTCTGCA TGGAGTTAA GTGAGAAACT TCGTAAAACCT
2751 AACAGGCCTC CATTTTTGTT TTTCTTTTTA TAAAGGTTTG TGGTGCTCTTG
2801 GTGCTCCCAC GGGGGCTAAA ACACAGGGCT TAGAGATAAC TGTGTTGGAG
2851 TACACTCCTG GGAGCTTACCA ACAGATATG TAAACCTCTTA CTTTCTGACA
2901 ATATTCTGTA CGGATATGGA CGGCTCGCT TCTTCTGTTA GTPACATTTTT
2951 CCAATTTCCA AACTCTGTGT TTTCTACTGA CAGGATCTTG GGAAGTTTAC
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3001  TAAAGGCACTA TGATATTGCT TGAAAGAAGA CTCATACCTG TATTTTGAGG
3051  TCTGTTTGGAT CTTCTCCTTT TAACTGTTGAT GATGAGTTAT CCACCTATCA
3101  TAGAAATAGT TGTTCACTTA TCATCAGTCC TGTTCTGGTC AGACAATTTC
3151  TAGTAGATTC TGTGTGACAT GTGCTTGAGT TCCCATATTG GAACCCATGA
3201  GTCTCTGGAT ACTCATAGTT AATATCCAGA CATGCTTTAT AAAAATGGGG
3251  AAATCTGGAC CCTATCTAGG TTTCTTGTAC TAAAACCTGT ATTTTTAACA
3301  AATTTTCAGT ATTTGTTGGG TACATTAAAA TCTGAGCTGT AGTTTTTTGG
3351  GGTGACTACA AGTGCCAGGT TTGTGCTGAG GCTCAGAGGG AGAATAGACG
3401  TATCTCTAGA GGAGAAGCACT GGCTTTCTCTA TACCTGAAAC CCACAGGGAT
3451  TTAGACCTGT GCCCTATGAA CAGCCCCAGG TCCAGCCCAA GACTTACGCA
3501  GAATCAGAGA ACAAAATCTT CTAATGACTA TGATAGCAGT CTCCTAGGTT
3551  GTGCTTCCAG TGATCCATTG ACCCTGGCCC GTAATCCAGG GAGTTGCTCT
3601  TTATCCCCAT TTAGGCAACA TTTTGATAG CTTAAAAAC CTTCTACCTA
3651  TTATATTAT TGTTTTATTATT ATTATTGTAT TTGTATTATTATTATTATTATA
3701  TTAGTTTAAAC ATCAGCCTTCA GATTTTACTT GAATAGAGGA CTCCTTGCCC
3751  CACCTGGTCA AGGCTCTCAG TCCACTTGG GAGCCCTTGT GCTCTCCAGAT
3801  GCCTCTAGCA TACCTTGAGA GATTTGGTTG GGAAATAGGG CCTGAAATGAC
3851  TTACACAGGT AAGAGAGCCA TGAGCTCATT GTCTCTTCTC TGATGAAAGAC
3901  ATTTGTCTCTG CTGCTCTCGTT TGTGTCTTAG CTTCTGAGGA AAGCCACCTT
3951  TGTGAACTTG GGCCTCTTCA ACTTTTCTTG TGGGAGAGTC TATGTGGGAG
4001  TACCCCTCTAC TGCGAGAGAT AGGTACAGAT TTGAATAAAC TTAACACTGT
4051  TTATTTTGTG CTTCTCGTTTAA TGTGTTTAT TGTAATACTT AAGAATGAAT AGAATAACTA
4101  CCTAGCTTGC ATTTGGATTAT GTCTCCACCT ACTCTGACTG AGTTTTGAAAT
4151  TAAAATTTTG TGAGTTTTTTG TCGAATCTTC TTTTTTTTCT TTTTTTTTTT
4201  TTTTTTTTGT GTGTTTTTTT TGTATTTGTT GCTTTTTTTT GTTTTTTTTTA
4251  GACAGGGTTT CCTGTTATAG CCGGCTGCTT CCTGGAACCT ACTTGTAGAG
4301  CCAGGCTTAGC CTCAAACCTCA GAAATCCGCT TGGCTCTGCC TCCCGAGTGC
4351  TGGGATTAAA AGGCATGTGC CACCACGCCA CCAAACTCTTT GAATTTTTCA
4401  ATGGAGCCCA ATTTATTAGA AAATGATTAG AGCCTGATGG TGACTCGGCTA
4451  ATAGAAATGT TACATTTGTT TTGCAACAT CATATTTTCA CAGGGGAAAG
4501  ACTGTCTTGC CCTATCCTAG AGATTTGATAG TTACATCTTA TATAAAGGAC
4551  TTAATGAATG TGGACTTTTG TTTCTAAGGC TATGATTTCT TACCTTAGCT
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4601 ACAACAGTGA GCCAGAAGAG GCACCTGAGCA TACATTAGAA AAGGCAAAACA
4651 TATGTCAGAA ACCATGGGCC TGGCTGTGCAA TCACTATACCT CAGGAGCCAGG
4701 CCATGACTTT GAGCCCCCTNT TGGGCTGTGATG AGTGAGTTAC AGGCAGCCAA
4751 TAGCTTATATGT GAAAATCTCG GTCTCCCCCTA CCCCCACCAA ACAGACCAAA
4801 ATAAAAACCA AAAAAACTCC TCAAAGATGT ATCTCGATTT AGATGGTGATA
4851 AACTAGgCTA GAGTAGTGGGA ACTCTCTAGG GTATAATATGT AGCATAACAC
4901 ATGAAAATTTC AGAGAGGAAA ACAGCAATGTA GAAAGTCTTC ATTAACATGT
4951 CCAGTTTATG AGTGCAGAAA GCTCTTTGTC AGGGATGCAA ATAGGTGAGC
5001 ATGGGcTGCC TTTAAGGCTC TGTTTATTCT TGTTACATCT CAAGGTGCTT
5051 GGGGTGGGCA AGGAGCAGAT GGCTACAGAT CCCCTACACT AACTACATCC
5101 TGTTGCCACTTT CACATAGCCC CATGTAGCAG GTGAAGAGTA AACTCTGCTA
5151 CTGAACCCCTC CCAGCAATAG CATCGGCTTG TGACAAGAGT AGGTGAAGAG
5201 ACAGCTGGAT ACCAGAGGAT CCAGCTCTGC GTGATGCACTT QAATGAGGGA
5251 AACAGGCTTT TCTCTGATCC ATGTCTCAAG TTGTGGTTTG ATATGTGTTG
5301 GATAAATATT AGATTACTCT TGGTTTCCC ACCAGGGCTA AGCTCAGGGA
5351 ATACATCGAC TGTTGTTTAT CCAGTGTTTTC TGGGAGCCTA ATGTAAGAGT
5401 ATAAATGCTT AAAAGATAAA ACAGGAGTGG CCCCCTGGGG AATTGAGGAA
5451 AGATATGGAA ATATGGTGGG TCCTTTTATA TGACTGATGT CAGTCCATCC
5501 TCCCCACTATA AATTCTTTATA ACCCTAGGAT TTAGTGCTTT TCACATCTAA
5551 GCATTTTGTG GAGCTCTTGT TGTTGTTTT TAGTGCTAAA TTCTCTGCTT
5601 GTTTCTCTTT CTGGTCTCGG ATGTAAATAT TGCAAGTTC CTAAATTTC
5651 ACATGTTTTC TGCTAGATGG GTTTTGTCTT AAAATGAAAT TAAATATAAT
5701 AGAGAACATG TTTTTAACAT AAACACAAAG TAGAGTGGCT GTGTCAGCAG
5751 CACCCATCAG AAGGTGTGGT GAGGGCTGGA GAGATGGCTC AGCGGATTTAG
5801 AGCATTGCTT GGTCTTCCAG AGGTCTCGAG TTCAATTCAC AGCAACACCACA
5851 TGTTGCTCAG CACAATCTCT TAATGGGATC TGATGCTCTC TTCTGTGCTT
5901 TCTGAAGACC GCTACAGTGT ACTTGTCATA TATATAAAAA ATAAATAAAA
5951 TCTTTAAAAA AAAGAAGTTA AAAACATGCA TTTCTAATA AGCAACTTTA
6001 AAAAGATTTT ATTTAAAAAA AAAAGATGGT TGTTGATGTA GACTGTTTAC
6051 TGCAATAGTG TGGTCAGGATT TAGGAGTGTT AGCATCTCTG TTGTGCGCAT
6101 TTTTCTAAA TAGATGACAC TTCAGGATGT GCACGTGAAA GAGTAARGCC
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6151 AGTACATCTT CACCTAGAGA GGGAGGGCCG GCTTATTCCT TCTTAGGCTG
6201 AAGGTAGTGA CAGGTAACCT GTCTTTGCTT GGGGTTGACG ACTTGGATGAG
6251 CATTGGTGAG AAGATTGGgG GGGGACGGGG GACGGCTGAG GAAGGGAGAG
6301 AGAGAATGAG AATAAATATC TTGGTAATGA GAGGCTCAGA CTTAATTAAAT
6351 CATACAAGGG AAACCAAGAT AATTATTCTG TTCTTAGGCTG TTACTCTTTTT
6401 TGAAAAATTA CCAAGAGAAG GGGTACAGAG CTTATTTTTTT CAAAGCAAT
6451 CTTGATATTTG TATACCTTAC ATATTTTTAT TTTGAGATGTAT
6501 ATGGAAGTTT GCGATTTTAT TATAATGATG AGTTGTTGGC TGTGTTTAAAG
6551 TGTATCTAAAT AAGGTGCTAAT GAGGCTTGGC CTTTACGCTC CTATATTAGAA
6601 AAGGTAATAA TATGGGATGG CTAATTACCA CAGTTTTATT TTCTTTTTTA
6651 TACATTTAAT TAATGTTAATC TGATAAGCAT TTTGACTCTA TTCATATTCTG
6701 GCATAGATTT TGGCAGAGAAG AATATTACTA ACTAAAAATAA CTTTGTGAC
6751 TGTGTTTGTG ATCAAGAGCA TATAATGCTA ACTTTATAAG CTATTTACAT
6801 AAAGCAGTTG GCTTACTTTT AACTAAACTC TTTGAGATGTAT
6851 TAAAAGACCT CTTTTTTTAA ATTTATGATG TATGTGAGTA
6901 CACTATAGCT GTACAGGTGG GCTAGCTTGA GGTGACAATT
6951 GAATTTAGGA CCTCTGCTCAG GGTGAACCTG ATTCAGACCC TGCTCGCTCC
7001 AGCCCAAAGA TTATGATAAA ATATATTTAC GGTGCTACTG TTTCATAGCA
7051 CCAGAGAGAG CAGCTAGCTCT TTTACTCTGT GGGTTGAGTGA
7101 GGACCTCTTT TAAAAAAAAT AATAAAAAAA TATGTGACG AAAAAACTCT
7151 GCCATACAGA AAACCATCAT AATGGATGGA TTAATGATGT GGGGGATGGAT
7201 GAGTTATTTTG AATGACGCAA AGAAGTCTGG ATGAGTTTAT TATGTGAGTA
7251 CGTTTTTTTT CCTCTATAAT CTTGAGTTTA GACAGGCAGG TGTTGACAGCT
7301 GCTCTGTGTG CTGCTTGCTG CTGGAGGCTA GCTACAGATG GCAGACATCAC
7351 ATCTCTGATA TCAGTGATAC TGAGTGACA CCTAATTAA TTAAGAGCTCA
7401 TTTGGATTTG CTAAGCTCTG TGTGTTTCTG TGTGTTTCTG TGTGTTTCTG
7451 TGTCAGTGCA CTAATATATA TATAGTGTAG CTTAGGGCTA TTCTGGAAPA
7501 GAATCCATG AAGGGTTTTT TTTAAAGAAA GGTCTGTTGG CTATGGCTGCC
7551 ATTACCAAT TTAGTTAGA GAATGGCCAT TTGTTAAATT AGANGATCAG
7601 CTCATAGATC TATATAATTA GAAGGTGCTA AAGAGTGCTC CTTTTCTTAT
7651 TCTCCTCAGCT TCAGAAGTAGA AATACTAAAA GCTAANGTGAG GCAAACAGGCT
7701 GTAGGAAGGC TCCTTCTTCT ACTNGAGGGT AGTTGTCGAC CCATTCTAA

Sal I
| 7751 | TTATGTACTT TGCACTCCCT TGIAACTGAC TTCTTAGTTA |
| 7801 | TCTCTAGATT TTAATAAAT CTAATTTTTA CTAAGTGAC TCTTTTTTAA |
| 7851 | TTTTTTATGT TTTGTGCTT TAGACATAA AGGGTGATT TGCTTTAGAT |
| 7901 | TTTTGTCTCT TAACTACTCG TAGACAAAAAT AGATTCTGTT CTGCTTTATT |
| 7951 | CTGCTTAGAT TTAATGCTTA CTCTTGGAAA AGCTTATTGG ACTCAATTAT |
| 8001 | CGTTTTATTTG TGAGCCTCTC CCTTTTTACTT ACCTTTTTCC TTAACCTCTCT ** CBD exon 1 (CBD 1) EcoR I |
| 8051 | GTCTGTCTGT TGTTCTAGCC ATCCTGGGAT CTCCAGCTAG TGGAATTCAA |
| 8101 | AACACAATTG GTTCTGTTGG TGAGGGCCAA CAGAATGCCA CTTCCTTAAG |
| 8151 | TAACCCAAAT CCCATAGACC CCAGTTTCCAT GCAGGCGGGC TATGCTGCTC |
| 8201 | TAGAACCTCC CTACATGAA CAGGCTCTGA CGCCAGGATA GCCCTAGGTT |
| 8251 | CTTGAGGACC ACCACAGGAA GCTCTGAGCC CACCAAGGGA TGAGGACTT |
| 8301 | CAAATGCTTA GGTAAATGAA GGAACAGCGA AGAAACTAAT CCTCCAGGTT |
| 8351 | ATATTTGAAA GATGTTTTGG TGCTACTGGG CGTTCTGGATT TTCCCAGTTT |
| 8401 | GTTCGCTCTT CAGATGCTTA CTCTGGGATT CTCTACTCCC AACGCTCTCC AGAGAAAACT |
| 8451 | GAGGTGTTAA TGTTGAGGGA AGATGTTGCA TGTTGTAAAC ATTCAAGGTT |
| 8501 | AGSTAGTGTG TCGTCTCCTG TGTGACCCTT TATCTAGACA GTTTATTAAAG |
| 8551 | GACATTGTTG TGCGTCTGAA TGCGAATACC AACTTGAGTG ACGTCTTTTT |
| 8601 | GTTTTTTTTT GTTTTTTTTT GTTTTTTTTT TCGAGACAGG GTTTCTCTGT |
| 8651 | TAGCCTCCTG CTGTCTCTAGA ACTCACTCTG TAGACACGGC TGGCTCTTAA |
| 8701 | CTCAAGAATC CACCAGGCTC TGAGCCCGGA ATGCTGGGGA TTAAAGGTTG |
| 8751 | GCGCCACAC GCCTGGCTTT CAGTGAGCTC TTAAGTGACG CACTTTTGG |
| 8801 | TACGTCTCTG CAGTCTCTTT GTATTTTTGG TCAGCTTGGG GGTGACGGTG |
| 8851 | TAGATTCAGG CTGTTTAGGA TGRAATCAGA GTAGCTGCTG TCACCTGCTT |
| 8901 | ATGAGTTTGT CAGTGCTCTC TCTGCTCATT GCTAGCCAGC CTGGCTCTAA |
| 8951 | TTAATCATTG TTGTCTTTAA TCTTTTTTCT ACTCTTTTTA CATTATTCTC |
| 9001 | CTGTCTTTTT TAACCTCAGA CTCCTCTAGT GTGAACACTG CTATCAATGA |
| 9051 | AGTGTGACT GGTAGCAGTA GCTTTTTTAT ATTAAAAATT GAGGTATTAT |
| 9101 | AAAAGCACCA GTTAGTATTA GGGACATGAG TCAGTGATAG AGCAGTTGTT |
| 9151 | TAGTGTGCAT GAAGGAGGCT CCAAGTTTGA TATACAGCG TGCAGAGGAGA |
| 9201 | GATAAAGAGA GGGGGAGTGT GACCAATTTA AACCACCCCT GCTTTTATT |
| 9251 | CTGGATTAAT ACCTAAATTT TTATCAGTAG TGCTCCCAAAT TCAGTCTACT |
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9301  CCCCTCCTGT AGTGTGGGTA GTGTGTGGAGA ATTATATTAT TCTGAGTTTG
9351  CATTCTTTTA AAACACCTAT TTCTTTTTCC CCTAAACTTTA ACTTTTATAAA
9401  GATTTAATCTC TGACATGTAAGA AAGACGCCCTT AAACACAGGG AATGGCCCAGG
9451  CAGTCAGCTG TAGTGGTTTG AGACTAAAGGA AAGCAAGAAAG CTGAAAGCCAT
9501  CAATGGGCA GTGATCATTT TCTACAGTAC TGTCAAGACA
9551  CTCTGTAGCC TCCAGGGCAT ATTCTCTTTA GGCTGTAGAG TAAGAGTGGA
9601  CAGGTCAGTG TATGTGTTTG ACACTAAGGA AACAGAAAAG CTGAAGGCAT
9651  CAAATGGGCA GTGATCATTT TCTCAGTAGC CATAGAGTAC TGTCAAGACA
9701  CTCTGTAGCC TCCAGGGCAT ATTCTCTTTA GGCTGTAGAG TAAGAGTGGA
9751  TAGGAATTTA TTTTACATT TTTTTGCTTT TTAAGGAACAA ACCCCATGAG
9801  TATCCCCAGCA GAGACATTTA CAAACCATCA ACAAGCCACCA AACTTGGATTT
9851  CAGAATCAGC TCTTCCAACCT TCCCTGGGGA CTACCAAGTA AGACCACAAAGT
9901  TTAGGTAAGCT CCTACCTGAA GCCCTGCTCT CTTAAGGAGG AACAGGACTT
9951  CTTAAGATGG TACGTATCTC TGCACGGCTC CTCTTTAAGG TGATAGATGTG
10001  AAAACAGGCCCATGAAACATTTGGTGTGATATACCATTACTTGCT
10051  TCTCTTACACTTTTAAATCCTATGAGTGAGAAAGTGTGAATGCACTTTAAGCTGAGAT
10101  TTCACTGGAG TAGCTGGCGG AAGTATCCTT ATTTCTTCTT TACGACATTAG
10151  ATGAAATGATG GTTCAAACTC TGGTAACATT GGAAGCCTCA GAACGATACC
10201  TACRCACGCG CCTCCTTCCA GCCACTGCTTG TCGAAAAAGGC TGGAATAGAC
10251  ATGTCAGCTCA GGACCTAGGA ACTCAACTAG TCCACAAACT GTGTAAGAAC
10301  CTTGAATTTAACG AGATCGACAT TTGTGGCTTG AGGAATCTGT TGAACTCTTG
10351  TGGGCTGTA GATGGTTGAT GTTGTTTTTT TAGTCTGACTG TTTAGGCCACA
10401  TTTGATACGC CAAAGCCTGT CCAGCATTTT CAGATTGCTT GAAAGCCCAT
10451  TCCCAGTCTG AAAAGGACCTA AGAAAGCTGA TTTTCTCGGA CTTGATGCCC
10501  ATGTGTAATG GGCATCAGAG AGCATAGAAA TATGACCTGA AGCAAATCTC
10551  TTTGGTTTTG AGCTCAAGGA TGTTCAATGA CTTGAAACTA GATTAGAGGC
10601  TCCCTAAAAA TTTGGGAAAAT TTTGGTCTGG TAAATTACAGA TAGCCTGACA
10651  GTATTTTAT GTACAGAAAG GTAATGTTTT CATACTTATG GTCTTGAGTT
10701  GAAATGAGTT TAATCAACTA TTGAGTTCTTC TTACTTTAGAT GTTTTTTATA
10751  TGCAATGTGG CTAAATAGCA ATTTGGAATC ATACGTGTTTCT TAAAATAGGC
10801  ATAGGACACTA TTTATGGTGG GTATAATAGA CTTGGGGTGTG TAGATGCAGA
10851  GTTATAGATTT TTTCCCATGT CCATGACAGA AATGAACAG TAGAATGTTGG
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10901</td>
<td>GCCCTCTACAG GTAGCTAGCC ATTCCCTGGTT TTATTTTTTT AGTTCCCTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10951</td>
<td>TGCCACCTTG AAAGCAATTT GATAGAATAC ATTGTTCTTT TGGAGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001</td>
<td>GCCATCTCCC CAACTCCAGA CCTGCCAGC TTATTTTTTT AGTTCCCTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11051</td>
<td>GAACCTGTTT GCCCATGCTA AGAAAGTGGAA GGGAGACAG TATGCTTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11101</td>
<td>CTATATAGCA GGTATGTTGC TAAGCAGCTG TTGAAGCTAT AGGTGGGAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11151</td>
<td>TGGTATTTTG ATAGTATTTT ATCTAGAATT AGGAGAATCT GCATGGCTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11201</td>
<td>GAGACTCCTT AACTTGGATA CCTGAGACAG AGACATGTGC ACCAATAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11251</td>
<td>GAGATTCTCT GAGACCTCTCT TTGGAAGCA CAGTGTCAT AGTTTAGGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11301</td>
<td>GAGATGACAA AGATCTATAAA AATCAACAGT TATCTTTTGG ACCATTTTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11351</td>
<td>TCAACAGAGG TGTTTTTTAA GATTTTGTGA TTTTTATTTA TTTTTATTATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11401</td>
<td>TGTAAGTACAG CTGATGCTGT CTCAGACAC CTTCAAAACTA AAGGGTATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11451</td>
<td>CTCTATTAGG ATGTTGGTAA GCAAGACGTT GGTGCAGGG ATTGAACCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11501</td>
<td>GGGACCTTCA GAAGAGCAGT CAGTGCTTTT AACCACTGAA CCACTGCGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11551</td>
<td>AGCTGTGGAT CTGTTTTTAT ATCTGGTGTG GTAGAATACCC AAAAGAAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11601</td>
<td>TGGAGTTGTG CAAAATAAAT AAAAGGCAAG GTTGTGTACC TTCAATTTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11651</td>
<td>TAGTTGCTAG AAAGTCACTA GTCAATATAA GTGAAAACAA AAGGGTATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11701</td>
<td>TGTTATCTAC GGGAGTTTAG GTAGACGAGG GGGGGAGGAA TTTTGCTTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11751</td>
<td>TCAGATGTTG CCAACCTCCT TGTTGATCTA CTTATAAGGT GCTGAGTTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11801</td>
<td>ATAGATCACTG CCTATGTCATG CATGGCAAGG TGGCAGTTCCC AACAGCAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11851</td>
<td>CAGTATGTGAC AGATGTTTGG TTTAGTCTGA ACAACCAACAC TAAATGATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11901</td>
<td>GCTCTCATAT TTTGGTGACT CTAATATTTA ATTAAAATTAC CTTTTTGGAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11951</td>
<td>TGGATGCTAT CATTATTAG CAGAGAAAT CTAATAAAATA CAAAAGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12001</td>
<td>TAGAGAGAAA GGCAGGTCAA GTTTACATA AGGAAGCAGG CCTGGGTAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12051</td>
<td>CAGGCCAGTT TACAGCTTCC TGGGGCTCAG CCCCCTGGA TCCACCAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12101</td>
<td>CCAGCTGTGA AGACCTCCA GTAGAAGACTG CATTTCTCCT TCCAGTCCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12151</td>
<td>TAGCTTTTGT GCTTTGACAG CTTTATCTGT TGGAGTATA TTTTTAAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12201</td>
<td>TCCAGAACCA GGGCTTCAG ATCTGCACT TGGAAAGCTC TGGTAGTATGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12251</td>
<td>CTGGCTTAT GGGAGACAGG TTTATTTTTT GTTTCTTTTT TGGGCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12301</td>
<td>CAGGTTTTTC AGAGCAGGCT TATTTCTCAG TGCACCCTCTG GCTAATCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12351</td>
<td>AGCTCAGCTT GTGACCAGGG CTAGGCTTGAA ATTCAGGATG CCTCTGCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12401</td>
<td>TGCACGCGCC CACCTCCCCC TCACCAGCTC CCAGCAGAGG GAGGATATCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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12451 TAAAAGGGTG TGCTGGCAAG TGTCCTTTTAG ATTCAATGTG TTTGTGTGTG

CBD 6

12501 TGATGATGTGA TTATATTTTT AATTACATTT TCCTCCTTTAT AGATGGGGCCC

12551 CTGCGTTCGG CAGTGAAATCG CATGCAGGTT TCTCAAGGTA TTAAACTATT

12601 TATTGTGAGT CATATTTTTAG TTAAACATTTC AGCAGACAA AACATGCTCT

12651 CCCTCCACCT AGGCCCTATT TCCCTTTTGT TATTATTTCT ATTTCCCTTCT

12701 CGTATGAAAAG TGATATACCCCAAATATAGT GAGCTCTCTT GTATTTAAATT

12751 TGAAATTTAT TGCAGAGTCT TTTTAAAGAG GGTCAGAATG AAGATTTTG

12801 ACTGACATAA AAACCCACCA CCAAAACAAAN GGTGACAAAA CAATGGAGAT

12851 TAGTCAAAAG AAACCATATC TACGAAAGGA TACGGTCTTTT TGAATACTCA

12901 GCTGGGGAGT CTGTCAGCAGCA GTTTGTGCGC AGCACTGGTGAG GGGGACCACG

12951 AGGATACGCT GCTGCTCCAG GCCATCAAGAA TTGAAGATGGA TGAGTGGGTC

13001 TCAACAACAGA GAGGCTATGT GTAGAGCTCC ATTTCTTTTT TTGTTTTG

13051 TTTTTGCTTT TCGAGCACAG GTTCTCTCTG TGTACAGCTTG GCTGTCCTGG

13101 ACTGCTATTTT TGAAGCAAGTT CTGCGCTGCA AACTGAGAAAT CACCCGGCT

13151 TGGCCTCTCCG AGTTGGCTGAA TCAAAGGGTT TGGGCCACCA CACCCGGACT

13201 CAGAGCTCCA TCTCTGCTGGC GAGTGTAGAC AGCTGCTCTAA ACCATAGAG

13251 CACCACATTCA AGGTTCTGTCT GTATTTGCTG CTTAGGCTGCT TGGGGCCATT

13301 TGTCAGATTTT TCAGCTCTTG AGCATCAAGAA ACAGCACTAG TAGGGTTATTG

13351 TTGGCCCCAC TAAGATAAAA TGGAGAGCAT ATCCGATATG TAAAGAAAGG

13401 TGCTTGTATA TTCTTGGACCG AGGAGATGGC ACCATTTGAG GGTGTGGCT

Nde I

13451 GCTTCGAATA GTTGGTCAGG TGTTGTATAG GCCATATGCT CCTACCACCT

13501 GTAGCTGAGA ATCAGCTCTT CCACTACAGC CCTTGTGATA AAGATGTAGA

13551 ACTGCTAGCT CGCGCTGTGGC CATGCGCTTG AGCTGGATAC GGGATCTGCC

13601 CACCTTGATG ATATAGCACA ATACCTCTGA ATCTGTAGAC CAGCCCCCATT

13651 TAAARGYTTT TATTTAAAACCT GCTTGTGCTGT GGTTGTCTGT TCACACACTG

13701 AAAACCCTAA CTAGACAGAG ATGGGCAGCT GGGAGGGGAG TATGTGCTGT

13751 ATAGGCCCTGCA CATGCTTTTT TTTGAAAGAG AAGTGATTTG TGGAACCTTG

13801 ATTTGGGAC ACAGTGAAGTT CTTTTAAATT TGGCTFTATGT GGTCTCTCTA

13851 TGAGGATATG AGAGATCCTTT TTGTCAGGCTA GGTGATTGAA CTGTGGTGT

13901 TGACCCCGAG AGATTTCCAAG GAGAGAAGAA TCTCAAATATG GCCATAAAGA

13951 CTGTGTTTTGT GTTGGTCTTG TGAAGAAGGTA GCTCTCTTTT TGCCGCTGAC

14001 TGAAAAAGTTT GCCTGAGCGT AAGGTGAAGA GACTCAGATG TAAATACATTG
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14051  ACAAGGGAAG TTTCAAAAAA GCCCAGCAGA GACTTTGTTTC TCTGTTTGAAG
14101  TCTTATGAAG AGAAGTTTGA ACAAGCATA CGACCTTTAG AAAGAAAAAT
14151  ATAAAAATATA TGTTTTGAGT ATTTAAGGGG TACCAGGAAG TGAAGATGTG
14201  CAATAATCTTA TGGTCTAGGA GATAACAGAT TAAGAGAGTG GGACCTTGGG
14251  GCAAGATCGT ACCCAGCTAA ATTTGATCCC AGGCACTGGT TTATACACCT
14301  TTAATCCCAAG GAGACAGGCA TGCTGATCTC TGCTGTCAGA GTCCAGGATA
14351  GCCAAGCTTTA GGGAGTGAAG GATTGTTGAAA ACAATAAGCC AGTGATAATT
14401  TAAATAGTACA AGGGGGSCTCA TGGTCTAGTT CCTGCAAGCC GCAGAACCTG
14451  ACAGCTTCAAG CCATGTGTGGT CTGCTCTAGA AGAATGAGCAA GAATACTTG
14501  GACAATTTGA GCTGTTGACG TGGAACAAAG GAATATGAAG TGAATAGAA
14551  GAGACCAGGc ATCAGCTGGG TGAAACCTCTC TGGAAGCACA AAGAAAGCTG
14601  GTCACAGAGA TAGCCAAAGGT TGTTACCTGA TCTCTACAGT GGATTGGGTA
14651  ACCTGTAGAA GTCACCACAGT TGTACCGCTG TGTGAAGTTG TGAAGGTCG
14701  ATGAAACAGGT GAGCCTTTGTT ACTGTGAGAG GCCAAGGAGG GCCATTGGTG
14751  AAGGTGCAGC ATCAGCTGGA TGAAATCCCTC TGGAAGCACA AAGAAAGCTG
14801  GAAAGATTGAG GCTTGTGAGT CCTAGAACAG AGGCTTTAGT AGHCTATTGG
14851  TGAAGCCCTTG TTGTAGGTTA ACACCCGACT GTTTTGGAAG TGTCAAGTAG
14901  ATGGGAGAGAT GACAAAGAGA AGAAAGCAATA GCTGGATGTG TCAATCTAG
14951  TTTAGAGTCG TACAGCGAGC AGAGCTGGAG AAGTGATGCG AGCCCTTGGG
15001  AGAGTCCAAA AGAGTCAAGT GGAATCCAGA ACATGAAAC AAGAAGCTG
15051  AACATTTGAGA TTAAGATGAC CAGAGATAGT GGATACTTAG TGAGAAAAGC
15101  TGCTAAGACG GAGTGGGACG AGGCCAGGAA AAAGCAAGTT TGTGCAAGTA
15151  ACAAGATGAA AAAGGAGGTGA GAGATCCTGA GACCGCTTTG ACATCAGCCA
15201  TGGAGATGTA GAGTTTTGAAA TTTGCCAGTC TGCTTCTCTG TCTTTCTTTG
15251  AGGATTACAG TCTAGTAATT GGTGATTTAC TCAAGAGAAC CTTTAAATT
15301  GAACCTTAAG ACTGTTGAGA ACTGCTGTAG ACTATGAGGA CTTTAAAGT
15351  TGGAAGTACG GCATTTGCTT ATATGACTAT TTTTAGATTTA GCCTCCCACA
15401  TAGAATAACTC TGTGAGAAAC AGCCCTGGG GCCCAGGGAG TGAAGATGTA
15451  TGGTTTATAC AATCCTGGAAC CAGAGATGCA CACCAATTTG AGGTTGGCTT
15501  GTGGAAGATG GTATGACATG GTGTTGTGCT ACTGTGATTTA TGGGATGTTG
15551  CTCACCCTTAG TTCTTTGGAAG TGTACCTCTC CACTAGCAGC TTTTAGATGA
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15601 AGATGTAGAA CTCTCAGCTC CTCCTGCGCC ATGCCTGCCT GGATACTGCC
15651 ATGCTCCCAC CTTGATGATA ATGGACTGAA CCTCTGAATC TGTAAACCAG
15701 CCCCATTTTA ATGTTGTTTT TATAAGACTT GCCTTGGTCA TGGTGTCTGA
15751 TCACAGCAGT AAAACCCTAA CTAAGACAGT GTTGCAGTCT ACAGATCAGT
15801 TAGATAGACAT TGGATAAACT GGCCAAGGAC TTGTTAGGAT GGACTGCATT
15901 TAGAGAGGGA TTAAGTTAAG TTGTTGAGAA GTTGAGCAGC AGCAATGTTG
15951 GGAACATGGG CATGCTGCA TAGGAAGCCA TGCTGACTTG GGGTCTGACA
16001 AGATAACTTG TAAGCTSCAG GTGATAAAGA GAGATGTPTT CTGTTGCACTG
16051 CTTACCAGCC CTTTTTGCTG GAAATATCAG TACTTGTGAA TGTGGATGAC
16101 TTTCCATGCT CTTTTTTTAG TATCTCGACA AAATTTGATG GGAGGAGAGA
16151 CTTTTACATT TGTTATACAC TCACCTAGAAA GTCTTGTTGG GTCTTGTTGG
16201 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
16251 GTTACAGGCT TGGCCTGCA AGCAGCCTCC GCCTGTTTGG GGGTCTGACA
16301 ATGGCAGAAG TTAGATCTAG TCACCTAGCA GAATTTTATT TTGATGACAC
16351 GACAGGTGCT AGAGTTGAGCC CTGAGTCTAG GCAGGCTGCC CTCTGTTGAC
16401 AGATGGTCTA AGCCTGCTTC TGGTTAGTAT TCTGCTCAGA
16451 ATTTTGGTGT TACAGCTCTG GCTCGTTTGT CGGTTCCTCT TACTCCTCAG
16501 GTGCCAGACA TGGCCTCAGT TCCGGGTGTA AGTGCTCCTC CTCTCAGTC
16551 TACACAGGCT TGTGCTGCT CTTTTTGCTG GAAATATCAG TACTTGTGAA
16601 ATTTTTGAAG TTAGATCTAG TCACCTAGCA GAATTTTATT TTGATGACAC
16651 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
16701 GTTACAGGCT AGAGTTGAGCC CTGAGTCTAG GCAGGCTGCC CTCTGTTGAC
16751 CTGCTCAGAC TGGCCTCAGT TCCGGGTGTA AGTGCTCCTC CTCTCAGTC
16801 CTGTTGCTTA TTTCCCTGTT CTTTGCTCTT AAGATTATTT TGATGAGTCG
16851 ATTTTTGAAG TTAGATCTAG TCACCTAGCA GAATTTTATT TTGATGACAC
16901 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
16951 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
17001 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
17051 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
17101 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
17151 ATGGGCAGAA TGTTAACAGC TTGAACTGAG GTTTCATTTA GAGCCCACAG
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17201 GAATGCTGAT TTTTTTTTAA AATAATAATT TCTGTAAGTAA TTGTCTGTCA
17251 GTGAATTTGGAA ACAGGCAGCC AATGGCTTATT AATGGTGTGC TCTGTCACA
17301 TCAGCAACTA ATAAAGGCCG TGTAGTTAAATT CAAAATGAGAA GCCATGTATTT
17351 GATTTGCAATT CTGAGACAGT TTCCAGCAGT GGCTGAATAA TTTGAGAAAG
17401 TGCCCTAGGAA CCAGGGAGTTC CTTGGAGACT AAGTGTCTCTAAA AAAAAAACAG
17451 TGTTACTAGATTTTGTAAGGCTTTTGATCTCC ACCTGTACCTG AATCTCTGAGAC TGA
17501 AGACCTGCTA CAACACTTTTC GTAATCTGTTG CTTATAGATGG GCCATTTCCT
17551 CTCACCGGTAC GCCCTGCTCT CAATAATATGA TGGGCACCTCA TGCACACACAC
17601 ATTATGGCCC AGGACACTTAC TCAGAACCAGG TTTCTGCCAC AGAACCAGTT
17651 TCACATCATCG AGGGGGCACAG TGAAGTGCGGA CAGTGTCGAC ATGGGGGCAAC
17701 CAGCGAGCGCT AGCGAGTGTTC TCAAGCTGAC ATGCCAGGTA AGAGCCTGAGT
17751 CAACCACTGTATTATATGCC TCAAGCTTTCG TGACAGATCTC CGTCTCTAGT
17801 TGATGGGAAACTA GAAATTTAGA CTCTGTCTGT TCTGTTTACT ATCTATATTT TACCTTGGGA
17851 CTATAATTTT TGAATTGGTTG TTAATTTTTTC TGTGTCCTCAG TGGTAACACT
17901 TCACACATAAA ATGAAAATTTA ATGTGTGTTGG TGGGGGTGGG TTTTGTGGGG
17951 TTTTGTGTTC TGTGTAGTTTT CTTGTTGACT AGTATATATT TACCTTGGGA
18001 TTTTGGTTGC TGGTTGTTTGCTT TTTAGAAAT TAAAGATTTAA CTCAGAGATTTT CACAGATGTTG
18051 AGAAATACCTG GAATTTTAAGA AAGGGGTGAA TTTTGTGGGG TTTTGTGGGG
18101 TAGTTTTTGT TATCTTAACT AGTGTTGGGGT GGACAGAGGC TGTCAGAATCT
18151 AGGGGAATAG CACTCTTTCG ATGAGACTGTC TCCAGTTACT TAGTCAAGAC
18201 CAGGTCCCTG CCTCTCTCTCAGTTGTTACTGCTGTTTCTAC TTTCTTTTTTACCTGTTTTCACG
18251 GTCTAGCTGCA TACAAAGTATT TCAAAATTAGG TTAATCTGTT CTCAAAATAT
18301 AAAAATCCTG TCTCTTATAGA CACTGAGCTG ATGGCTGAAGT CGTTGTGGAA
18351 TATATAGAATT TACTCGGGGT ATGATTAATT TTTCTTTATTTTTCT TTTCTTTTATTCTT
18401 TTAGAAGAGA GTAAAGGAAA CCAACTCTAT TCCCTTTCTA GAGTCTCTCC
18451 ATGTGACCGCG GTGCTACACTG TTTATATATG TGCATTAGCC CAGCTGAGCC
18501 AGTAGTTTTCG CAAGGCAAGAT AGTGAATATAG TTCTCCTTTCT AGAGCTAGATC
18551 TCTACTTGTG TGCTAAGTCTG TAGCTCTGTC TCTTACTGGG GTGATGCTCG
18601 TTACACCAAA TGTTGAATCT CTTGCTGTGTT GTGATCCATAT AAAACTGTGG
18651 GTGTACCTCTTGGGAAA ATCTGAGTATG TTTCCTGTTGAGG CAGCCATGTGT GATTCTTTTTT
18701 TTAAGTGTTAG GTGCTCTCCCAG TGCTACTATG TGCTACTGTC TCAACTTTATT
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18751 TAAAAAACAA AACAAAACTC CTTTTCTCTG GGTCTAAGTT AAAAGTAGAT
18801 GTGGCAAARAC ATGAAATTTAG TAAACTAAGT AAAATGCTGC CPAAGCCATG
18851 ACTATTGTAAA CCTGATATTG AAGTCTTATA AGTCGAACTA TGAGAAAGGAT
18901 TTTAGTCTAA ATTTTTATTAC ATGGTTGTGGT GCATGCATTATA AATGTTTATTG
18951 CTGAAAGTTTT ACAAGTCCAA TATCTCTTGT AAACCTACGAG AACTAGTGAAT

Spe I

19001 GAAACTAGTA TAATTTTTTT TTTCTAAAAA TCCCCATAGG TTTCAATTTG
19051 GAAAATTAAGTTTTT TATATATATAT TCTTACTAATC TCTTTTGGG TCAAGTACTCT
19101 GGAGCTGCTC TCCCTAACCC TCTGACACTG CGGACACACA AGGCGCAGCA
19151 GCTGCAATGG CCACCAATGA CACAGTCACC ATTGCACCG ACTCCACCTC
19201 CTGCTTCCAG AGCTGCTGGG ATGCCCCTTC TCCAACTCCC AACGCCACCA
19251 GGAAATGACCC CTCCCTACGC AGCAGTACCC ACTCAAGCAT CTACTCCTGT
19301 GCTGCTTCTGG GCAGACTCTTA CCCAACTCCC TGGCTAGTTG CCCAGGCTCG
19351 CCAACACACA GATGACCTCT ACAGTCAGGG CACGACACAG GAGCTAGGCT
19401 ACTCCTAGGC CTCAAGCCCC AGTGCCAGCAA CCATCTGTGG TACTCTCTCA
19451 GTGACACAG CAGCAAAACC CGCCTGTGCA TACTCAGCCT CCTGCCACAC
19501 CGGAGCGCTT CTGTATTCTT ATCAAGTCTCA ACTGTACAG AGTTCTTTAA
19551 GAATGCGTCT CGGGCACACT AAACGACTCG CAGGCTTATC TTGGCAGCTT
19601 GAAGCGACGC AGAGCTTGGG AGTAGAGCTC TTATCTATAA ATATATATAA
19651 AAAGACTTTT CTGCTGCTCA GGNTTGCAAATA GCAAATGCT CTTGTGCGCA
19701 AAACCGTGTG GTGATGCGGTG GTGTGTGTGT GTGTGTGTGT GTGTGTGTGT
19751 GTGATGCGGTG GTAAATAGTT ATGAAATCTGA TTTGTCCCACA ATATTATTG
19801 CCCTTAAAGGT AGGGGTCTACA GTTTCCCTTG GTCAGAGTTT TTTATAGAAG
19851 TCAGTAATAC TCAGTAATGC AGCAGTCGGA CAGGACTATT GAAGTGCTGTG
19901 TGCTGAGCTT CTTTTACCTG GTTTTTGTTC TAGATTTATA CTGTCGAGGT
19951 TTGACTGCTT TTGTGCTTAG TTTCTGTCCT GCTAGTTAATA CTTGCGAGT
20001 CAAGACTATAAA AGGTCCAGGC TAAATGACCT TCTTTCAGAA GAGTGAACCT
20051 TTTTTTTTTT TTTATAATGA AACAACAGAT CCCCTCCTCT CAGGTTGCA
20101 TTAATTCTGT CCTAGATATT TTAGATATT TTGAGAAATA CAAAATCATT
20151 CAAAGGTTGC AAGATGACAA TTACTAATTTG GCTTCTCCTA TRAGGGCATA
20201 TACTTACTTT CTGGTGTGGG CTGCGAACAT ATTTATGTCTT CCTGACTTCA
20251 AAGGAGCGCT TTGTARACAC TAGGCGACCA CTTTGCACTC GGTGCACTCC
20301 TATGCCCTCTT AAGGACTATT TATTTATATT TTCAAATCTT CTTTATTTAT
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| 20351 | TTATGTACTG CTTAGAGCCCT GTTACATGGT AGTGGAGTGT TTGCTTACTA  |
| 20401 | CACCACCATC ACTACCCCTGT GATAGATGCT TCATATGGGC CATTATTCTA  |
| 20451 | TGACCTCTCT GTAAATACTTT TTTTTAATAG TTTATAATTT GCCTTTCTCC  |
| 20501 | ATAAATAGGA AGTTAGTGGA AGA AAAATAAA TGGTTAAAATA ATCCAGTCTG |
| 20551 | AAAGCAGAGA GAAAATAATT TTATGTCAGA MTTAGAAAA CTCTGTTTGT  |
| 20601 | CCAGCTCTTC ATTTTTGTGA AGAAGTCAGT CATTTGTGTTT CTGACACTCA |
| 20651 | GTGACTGACT GTTAAGGCTTC CTCAGGAGC CTCGTGCTCTT CAGTGFCACA |
| 20701 | CTAGACTATT AGGCTGTAAT GAGAATAATA GAGCAGACTG CGGTCGGAGG |
| 20751 | ACATGTGATGG CTCCTCCTTTA CAGAGG7GAA TGACTGTGAT TTCTTTGCA |
| 20801 | CTTTCTCAGG CACGACCGAG CATTTGAAATA AGATGTTGCA AATCTTTTC |
| 20851 | TGTCACCAGT GTGAAACCA CTGTTCCAGCA GCCAGGACCC GATG7GGCCA |
| 20901 | TGCTTGAATG GAAGACAGAG GTGCAGACAG ATGTAGTCTG GCCAGAACCT |
| 20951 | ACTGAATCCA AGGAGGACC TCGTCTCGG GTAGATGCTC CTCAGCCTCT |
| 21001 | AGTTCTAGAC TATGTTATGGG ACAGTTTACCA TATATCCTCA GAGCCCTACG |
| 21051 | TGTTGTTTGA TATCATTGTA AACGTCTCTA GTTTTCCACA AATCTTTTTG |
| 21101 | TTAATGTTCT CCTTTCAATT TTAGTCTGTC AGAAGGGGTG GTGATATTCA |
| 21151 | TTACTGACTT AGGACAGRAG TGGAAATCAG TAGGGAACCA GCCAAATCCA |
| 21201 | GCCCTGGGAAT GTGTGTGTTT TTTGGGGGG TTTTGTGTTT GTTTTGTGTTT |
| 21251 | GCTTTTTGCT GTTTATGCTGT TGTACTCTGT TTAAAAATC ATGAGAATAT |
| 21301 | AAATAAACCT TTAGAGATGC TGCTTTTTCT CAAAGTGGGA GCAACCTGCT |
| 21351 | CATCATGACC CTTATACCC TTCAGGCTCC CAGTGTCAT AGCTGATGTG |
| 21401 | GTGCCCATAC GTGATGGGCA TGGGCTCTCC AGTTGTTGCA AGTCTCTAGT |
| 21451 | CACACTCTTC ATCTCAGAGG ACAGCTCCTT AGACCTTATT TTAGATTTTTC |
| 21501 | AAGGTACCCCT TATTTTCAAA CTAAGATGAC CAATTATTTA CTGACATGTG |
| 21551 | AAACATACTT TTATATATATA AAAATAATAA TAGTATATTGT TTGGTACTGA |
| 21601 | TGCTGTATAA AGTAACTAGG TAGACATCAG ACAAATTGTG CTTTTTCTCA |
| 21651 | GTGGGGACTT AAAAGAGATA TTTACAGTGT CTGGACTAAG GGGACACTC |
| 21701 | AGCAACCTTG TGTCGTCGAC TGTCGAAGGG GAAGCTGCC TGGGTACCAA C1 |
| 21751 | AGGTCTCATA GACACGCTGT CTCGTCGACT CTGTCTTTCT CAAGATGATG |
| 21801 | GAAGAGGATT TACAGGGTTC TTCCCCAAGAA AAAGAGAGA CAGATACGAC |
| 21851 | AGAGCAGAAG TCAGAGCCCA TGGAAATGAG AGA AAGAGAAA CTTGAAGTAA |
Sequences marked in red are useful restriction sites for cloning, construction of the targeting vectors and diagnosis of correct homologous recombinants.

Sequences marked in green encode part of exons of CBP, here named CBD exon 1 to 11.

Sequences marked in blue are overlapped by two CBP fragments isolated from two phage libraries.
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