Chemical and Textural characterisation of two Phobos regolith simulants
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Could life, or its signatures, survive the journey from Mars to Phobos?

- Studies have suggested that impact ejecta from Mars, which would represent Mars’ surface over its geological history, could have accreted onto Phobos [2].
- Mars ejecta could constitute up to 0.05% of Phobos’ regolith, where ~200 ppm was deposited in the last 10 million years [2-4].
- If life existed on Mars during its ancient past, evidence may have been altered or destroyed by subsequent geological processes [5].
- Impact ejecta, which could have contained ancient martian biosignatures, may have been deposited onto Phobos and could still be preserved today [5,6] - lithopanspermia.

Without direct samples, regolith simulants are vital.

- Currently, all we know about Phobos comes from remote sensing.
- Future sample return missions (i.e. JAXA’s Martian Moons eXploration mission MMX) are in development.

Demand for Phobos simulants:

- Mission tests – landing/take off mechanisms, microgravity sampling techniques and spacecraft exhaust contamination – Planetary Protection.
- Science - in-situ resource utilisation potential assessment of Phobos and NEAs [7] and testing the Mars-Phobos lithopanspermia hypothesis.

An ESA concept study funded the design and production of a Phobos regolith simulant. Feasibility dictated that two simulants were needed to meet all the physical and chemical requirements of potential uses [4].

Spectral data suggest Phobos’ surface is similar in composition to D- or T-type asteroids, carbonaceous chondrites and lunar mare regolith [8,9].

Best available analogue is a combination of Tagish Lake and lunar regolith [4,10,11].

### Compositional simulant (Phobos-1C)

- Inherent density of compositional simulant is comparable to Phobos’ regolith.
- Crushed particles subsequently sieved into three size fractions <425 µm, 1.2-3.3 mm and >5 mm for future experiments.

### Physical simulant (Phobos-1P)

- Using size distribution power law:
  \[ N(D) = k \left( \frac{D}{D_0} \right)^{-s/b} \]
  \[ D_0 \] cut-off index
  \[ b \] constant k [12]
- Physical simulant mimics Phobos’ hypothesized average regolith grain size of ~1 mm [13], with <300 µm depletion [14].
- Crushed aggregate concrete Topcrete chosen for the physical simulant because it is physically comparable to Phobos [8] with a density of 1.67 ± 0.05 g cm⁻³.
- Density 1.67 ± 0.05 g cm⁻³
- Compressive strength 3.5 MPa

### Compositional simulant mineralogy

- Plagioclase: An₃.₄₋₄.₇ Ab₆.₉₋₇.₆ Or₃.₀₋₄.₃
- Pyroxene: Wo₀₋₉₋₀.₆ En ᵅ₋₃.₈ Fe₃.₂₋₅.₈
- Olivine: Fo₇₄₋₈₄₋₄₂.₅ Fe₃.₈₋₅.₈
- Quartz and glassy phases

### Physical simulant mineralogy

- Quartz & Calcite, consistent with concrete.

Future aims:

- Further characterisation: XRD (NHM)
- Run impact experiments using the high-velocity All-Axis Light-Gas Gun to test the survival and modification of biosignatures.
- Assess the accuracy and reliability of current biosignature identification and analysis techniques.
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