

Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Generalized Q-functions

Journal Item

How to cite:

Smith, T.B. (2006). Generalized Q-functions. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 39(44) pp. 13747-13756.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© [\[not recorded\]](#)

Version: [\[not recorded\]](#)

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1088/0305-4470/39/44/009>

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's [data policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

Generalized Q-functions

T.B. Smith

Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK

September 21, 2006

Abstract

The modulus squared of a class of wave functions defined on phase space is used to define a generalized family of Q or Husimi functions. A parameter λ specifies orderings in a mapping from the operator $|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma|$ to the corresponding phase space wave function, where σ is a given fiducial vector. The choice $\lambda = 0$ specifies the Weyl mapping and the Q -function so obtained is the usual one when $|\sigma\rangle$ is the vacuum state. More generally, any choice of λ in the range $(-1, 1)$ corresponds to orderings varying between standard and anti-standard. For all such orderings the generalized Q -functions are non-negative by construction. They are shown to be proportional to the expectation of the system state $\hat{\rho}$ with respect to a generalized displaced squeezed state which depends on λ and position (p, q) in phase space. Thus, when a system has been prepared in the state $\hat{\rho}$, a generalized Q -function is proportional to the probability of finding it in the generalized squeezed state. Any such Q -function can also be written as the smoothing of the Wigner function for the system state $\hat{\rho}$ by convolution with the Wigner function for the generalized squeezed state.

PACS: 03.65.Ca; 03.65.Ta

1 Introduction

The Weyl transform [1, 2] associates operators with functions on phase space. In particular, the Wigner function $\rho(p, q)$ [3] is the Weyl transform of the density matrix divided by $h = 2\pi\hbar$. Although $\rho(p, q)$ does have many features of a classical distribution it can take on negative values, with bounds [5] given by $2/h \geq \rho(p, q) \geq -2/h$. Indeed Hudson [4] showed that the only pure states $\psi(x)$ for which the Wigner function is non-negative are Gaussian in x . This carries over to any number of dimensions [6], and also, for odd dimensions at least, to the formulation of discrete Wigner functions [7].

The Weyl correspondence between operators and functions on phase space—of which the Wigner function is an example—is a special case of the class of the correspondences given by Cohen [8]. In particular, if any Wigner function is convoluted, or smeared, by integration with respect to the Wigner function of the vacuum state, itself a gaussian function on phase space, then the smoothed function, called the Q -function (or Husimi function), is non-negative and corresponds to an ordering in Cohen's class different from that of Wigner and Weyl [9]. More generally, if any Wigner function is convoluted with respect to a Gaussian function which is itself the Wigner function of a pure coherent state, then the result is non-negative [6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

The Wigner function is bilinear with respect to wave functions. For instance if the Weyl transform of the pure state $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is written $(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)_{(p,q)}$, then the corresponding Wigner function [3, 5] is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(p, q) &= \frac{1}{h} (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)_{(p,q)} \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp\left(\frac{i}{h} p x\right) \psi\left(q - \frac{x}{2}\right) \psi^*\left(q + \frac{x}{2}\right),\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

so the smeared Wigner functions are also bilinear with respect to the wave functions.

It is also possible in a sense to smear the states themselves, for instance by projecting them onto a class of generalized displaced coherent states, defined [14] by

$$|p, q; \sigma\rangle \equiv \hat{D}[p, q] |\sigma\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $|\sigma\rangle$ is any reference ‘fiducial’ state, and

$$\hat{D}[p, q] = e^{\frac{i}{h}(p\hat{q} - q\hat{p})} \quad (3)$$

is Weyl’s displacement operator. Then, corresponding to any wave function $|\psi\rangle$ one can define a ‘smoothed’ wave function on phase space by projecting it onto the coherent state:

$$\tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p, q) \equiv \langle\sigma|\hat{D}^\dagger[p, q]|\psi\rangle. \quad (4)$$

These functions and their time dependence when ψ is driven by the Hamiltonian $\hat{p}^2/2m + V(q)$ have been studied for some choices of $|\sigma\rangle$ by Torres-Vega et al, Harriman, and others [15, 16, 17].

In this paper I generalize $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p, q)$ to a phase space wave function $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$ by relating it to a class of orderings labelled by a parameter $\lambda \in (-1, +1)$, where $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(0)}(p, q) = \tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p, q)$, equation (4). A given value of λ specifies an association between functions on phase space and operators, $A(p, q) \xleftrightarrow{(\lambda)} \hat{A}$, where $\lambda = -1$ gives the standard ordering (eg $p^n q^m \longleftrightarrow \hat{p}^n \hat{q}^m$), $\lambda = +1$ gives the anti-standard rule (eg $p^n q^m \longleftrightarrow \hat{q}^n \hat{p}^m$), and $\lambda = 0$ gives the symmetric or Weyl association, of which (1) is an example with $\rho(p, q) \longleftrightarrow \hat{\rho}/h$. The time-dependence of the Fourier transform of $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$, and therefore effectively of $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$ itself, has been studied in [18].

$\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$ relates to the λ -orderings of the operator $|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma|$, which is linear in the states $|\psi\rangle$ (the reference or fiducial state is held fixed), but the density matrix $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is bilinear, so a chosen ordering for $|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma|$ will not be expected to apply to the density matrix, indeed it may not even be of the λ -class. The generalized Q -function for a pure state $|\lambda\rangle$, defined as $|\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)|^2/h$, is normalized with respect to the integral $\int dp dq$ over all of phase space. The main results of this paper are that the generalized Q -function corresponding to any state $\hat{\rho}$ is, first, non-negative, second, proportional to the expectation of ρ with respect to a certain generalized displaced squeezed state which depends upon σ , λ and (p, q) and, third, proportional to the convolution of the Wigner functions for ρ with the Wigner function for that squeezed state.

The field of quantum mechanics in phase space is a large one, perhaps starting with the analysis of Weyl [1, 2] and of Wigner [3]. In the context of this paper Bopp [19] in 1956 considered classical-like implications of that Q -function corresponding to the Weyl ordering ($\lambda = 0$) and with fiducial state chosen (as is usually the case) to be the vacuum state

$|0\rangle \equiv |h_0\rangle$, namely $\langle h_0|\hat{D}[p, q]^\dagger \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{D}[p, q]|h_0\rangle$. That this can be related to the modulus squared of a wave function, here $\tilde{\psi}_{h_0}^{(0)}(p, q)$ was pointed out by Mizrahi [20] who also studied some of its properties. On a different tack, Cahill and Glauber [21, 22] have studied at length a family of orderings (the s -family) $\hat{A} \xleftrightarrow{(s)} A(p, q)$, centered around the annihilation and creation operators \hat{a} and \hat{a}^\dagger , where (in my notation) $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha\hat{q} + i\frac{\hat{p}}{\alpha\hbar})$ —where α is a real parameter—so that $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$. Defining the complex numbers $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha q + i\frac{p}{\alpha\hbar})$, when $s = -1$ their mapping corresponds to the association (antinormal ordering) $\hat{a}^m \hat{a}^{\dagger n} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^m \mathcal{A}^{*n}$, when $s = 1$ the association is $\hat{a}^{\dagger m} \hat{a}^n \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*m} \mathcal{A}^n$ (normal ordering), and when $s = 0$ the ordering is that of Weyl. Thus the λ and s mappings complement each other, and overlap at $\lambda = 0 = s$. Among their many interesting results Cahill and Glauber define what is effectively a phase space wave function corresponding to $|\psi\rangle\langle h_0|$ for their s -ordering, but they do not relate its modulus squared to any s -ordered Q -function. They do, however, express the usual Q -function, $\langle h_0|\hat{D}[p, q]^\dagger \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{D}[p, q]|h_0\rangle$, as a smoothed Wigner function.

In this note I start with the modulus squared of wave functions on phase space, of which equation (4) is a special case, and show that it can correspond to smeared Wigner functions, where the smearing functions themselves are Wigner functions of generalized displaced squeezed states. Section 2 discusses wave functions on phase space and generalizes them to the λ -class of orderings. Section 3 develops expressions for the Q -functions based on these wave functions. Section 4 discusses some properties of these Q -functions.

2 Wave functions on phase space

It is often convenient to work with the Fourier transform of $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p, q)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\sigma(p, q) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp' dq'}{h} \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}(p'q - q'p)\right] \tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p', q') \\ &= \text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma| \hat{\Delta}(p, q)), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta}(p, q) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp' dq'}{h} \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}(p'q - q'p)\right] \hat{D}[p', q'] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}px\right) |q + \frac{x}{2}\rangle\langle q - \frac{x}{2}|. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The wave functions $\psi_\sigma(p, q)$ were defined in reference [18] where many of their properties are discussed. In particular, they are the Weyl transform of the operators $|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma|$. Indeed, the Weyl transform, which I shall write $(\hat{A})_{(p, q)}$ or $A_{(p, q)}$, and its associated operator \hat{A} are related [5] by

$$\hat{A} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp dq}{h} A_{(p, q)} \hat{\Delta}(p, q), \quad (7)$$

which, by virtue of the relation

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{\Delta}(p, q) \hat{\Delta}(p', q')) = h\delta(p - p')\delta(q - q'), \quad (8)$$

can be inverted to give

$$A_{(p, q)} = \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{\Delta}(p, q)). \quad (9)$$

So $\psi_\sigma(p, q)$ is the Weyl transform $(|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma|)_{(p,q)}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p, q)$ is its Fourier transform.

Another property of the Weyl transform which we need [5] is

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp dq}{h} A_{(p,q)} B_{(p,q)}. \quad (10)$$

Note from (6) that $\text{Tr}(\hat{\Delta}(p, q)) = 1$ so, from (9), $(\hat{1})_{(p,q)} = 1$, and (letting $\hat{B} = \hat{1}$ in (10))

$$\text{Tr} \hat{A} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp dq}{h} A_{(p,q)}. \quad (11)$$

The essential characteristic of the Weyl correspondence follows from equations (3) and (9) together with the first of (6). It is

$$(e^{i(\xi\hat{q}+\eta\hat{p})})_{(p,q)} = e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)}. \quad (12)$$

Other orderings defined by Cohen [8] can be specified by the generalization of (12) to the form

$$(e^{i(\xi\hat{q}+\eta\hat{p})})_{(p,q)}^f = \frac{1}{f(\xi, \eta)} e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)} = f^{-1}(-i\partial_q, -i\partial_p) e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)}, \quad (13)$$

where f^{-1} means $1/f$ and the choice $f = 1$ gives the Wigner-Weyl ordering. Note that when $f(0, \eta) = 1 = f(\xi, 0)$ then the Weyl transform of a function of \hat{q} (or \hat{p}) only is the same function of q (or p) only. If we particularize to the class of orderings defined by the function

$$f(\xi, \eta; \lambda) = e^{i\frac{\hbar}{2}\lambda\xi\eta}, \quad (14)$$

where λ is a real parameter lying in the interval $[-1, +1]$, then

$$(e^{i(\xi\hat{q}+\eta\hat{p})})_{(p,q)}^{(\lambda)} = e^{-i\frac{\hbar}{2}\lambda\xi\eta} e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)}. \quad (15)$$

Use of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff theorem leads to the equivalent expressions

$$(e^{i\xi\hat{q}} e^{i\eta\hat{p}})_{(p,q)}^{(\lambda)} = e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2}(\lambda+1)\xi\eta} e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)}$$

and

$$(e^{i\eta\hat{p}} e^{i\xi\hat{q}})_{(p,q)}^{(\lambda)} = e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2}(\lambda-1)\xi\eta} e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)}.$$

The choice $\lambda = -1$ in the first of these gives the ‘standard’ or ‘p’ association (\hat{p} first, then \hat{q}),

$$(e^{i\xi\hat{q}} e^{i\eta\hat{p}})_{(p,q)}^{(-1)} = e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)}$$

and the choice $\lambda = 1$ in the second gives the ‘anti-standard association (\hat{q} first, then \hat{p}),

$$(e^{i\eta\hat{p}} e^{i\xi\hat{q}})_{(p,q)}^{(+1)} = e^{i(\xi q+\eta p)},$$

while the Wigner-Weyl ordering, $\lambda = 0$, puts \hat{p} and \hat{q} on equal footing, equation (12).

The generalization of $\psi_\sigma(p, q)$ to the family of orderings defined by equations (14) and (15) is given [18] by

$$\psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = \text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma| \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(p, q)) = \langle\sigma| \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(p, q) |\psi\rangle, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = e^{i\frac{\hbar}{2}\lambda\partial_p\partial_q} \hat{\Delta}(p, q). \quad (17)$$

Equations (16) and (17) generalize the phase space wave function $\psi_\sigma(p, q)$, the Weyl transform of $|\psi\rangle\langle\sigma|$, to the class of orderings defined by (14).

3 Q-functions

The functions $\psi_\sigma(p, q)$ are normalized—this follows from the second of equations (5) and (10)—and so too are the $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p, q)$ by dint of the Fourier relation, equation (5). Further, by taking matrix elements of the quantities in equations (3) and (7) one finds [23] that

$$\hat{\Delta}(p, q) = 2\hat{D}[2p, 2q] \hat{\Pi} \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{D}[p, q] = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Delta}(p/2, q/2) \hat{\Pi}, \quad (18)$$

where $\hat{\Pi}$ is the parity operator, i.e.

$$\hat{\Pi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |x\rangle \langle -x|. \quad (19)$$

From these equations we can define a generalized displacement operator as

$$\hat{D}^{(\lambda)}[p, q] = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(p/2, q/2) \hat{\Pi} \quad (20)$$

with corresponding generalized ‘coherent state’ $\hat{D}^{(\lambda)}[p, q]|\sigma\rangle$ and phase space wave function (partner and equivalent to $\psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$) given by

$$\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = \langle \sigma | \hat{D}^{(\lambda)\dagger}[p, q] | \psi \rangle. \quad (21)$$

Consider the product

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) &= \int d\tau' \int d\tau'' e^{i\frac{\lambda}{2\hbar} p' q'} e^{-i\frac{\lambda}{2\hbar} p'' q''} \times \\ &\times e^{i\frac{1}{\hbar}(p' q - q' p)} e^{-i\frac{1}{\hbar}(p'' q - q'' p)} \tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p', q') (\tilde{\mu}_\sigma(p'', q''))^*, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where I have used (5) (16) and (17) and $\int d\tau'$ stands for $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp' dq' / h$, etc. By equations (4), (9) and (10) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_\sigma(p', q') (\tilde{\mu}_\sigma(p'', q''))^* &= \int d\tau (|\psi\rangle \langle \mu|)_{(p, q)} \times \\ &\times (\hat{D}(p'', q'')(|\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma| \hat{D}^\dagger(p', q'))_{(p, q)}), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which is an integral over the product of two Weyl transformed operators. In particular, by definition (9) the second term is

$$(\hat{D}(p'', q'')(|\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma| \hat{D}^\dagger(p', q'))_{(p, q)} = \langle \sigma | \hat{D}^\dagger(p', q') \hat{\Delta}(p, q) \hat{D}(p'', q'') | \sigma \rangle. \quad (24)$$

To simplify this quantity one can express $\hat{\Delta}$ here in terms of \hat{D} (equation (6)) and then simplify the resulting triple product of displacement operators by means of these useful algebraic properties [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}^\dagger[p, q] &= \hat{D}[-p, -q], \\ \hat{D}^\dagger[p, q] (\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \hat{D}[p, q] &= (\hat{p} + p, \hat{q} + q), \\ \hat{D}[p_2, q_2] \hat{D}[p_1, q_1] &= e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar}(q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1)} \hat{D}[p_1 + p_2, q_1 + q_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Utilizing the action of the unitary operator \hat{D} on $\hat{\Delta}$ itself can also help. For instance, using the second of (25) with the first of equations (6) one finds

$$\hat{D}^\dagger[p', q'] \hat{\Delta}(p, q) \hat{D}[p', q'] = \hat{\Delta}(p - p', q - q'). \quad (26)$$

The upshot is that by direct calculation equations (22) to (26) can be combined and simplified to give

$$(\mu_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = \left(\frac{4}{1 - \lambda^2} \right) \int d\tau' (|\psi\rangle\langle\mu|)_{(p', q')} (|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|)_{\left(\frac{2p - (1+\lambda)p'}{(1-\lambda)}, \frac{2q - (1-\lambda)q'}{(1+\lambda)}\right)}. \quad (27)$$

It is easy to see from this result that

$$\int d\tau (\mu_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = \langle\mu|\psi\rangle, \quad (28)$$

as it must [18].

From (27) we can find an analogous expression for the pair $(\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}, \tilde{\mu}_\sigma^{(\lambda)})$. By equations (21), (10) and (20) it is

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) &= \text{Tr} \left(|\psi\rangle\langle\mu| \hat{D}^{(\lambda)}[p, q] |\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma| \hat{D}^{(\lambda)\dagger}[p, q] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int d\tau' (|\psi\rangle\langle\mu|)_{(p', q')} \times \\ &\quad \times \langle\sigma| \hat{\Pi} \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)\dagger}(p/2, q/2) \hat{\Delta}(p', q') \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(p/2, q/2) \hat{\Pi} |\sigma\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int d\tau' (|\psi\rangle\langle\mu|)_{(p', q')} \times \\ &\quad \times \langle\sigma| \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)\dagger}(-p/2, -q/2) \hat{\Delta}(-p', -q') \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(-p/2, -q/2) |\sigma\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where I have recognized (using $\hat{\Pi}$ with the first of equations (6)) that

$$\hat{\Pi} \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(p, q) \hat{\Pi} = \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(-p, -q).$$

Similarly (use an analysis based on (16))

$$(\mu_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = \int d\tau' (|\psi\rangle\langle\mu|)_{(p', q')} \times \langle\sigma| \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)}(p, q) \hat{\Delta}(p', q') \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)\dagger}(p, q) |\sigma\rangle. \quad (30)$$

Since $\hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)\dagger}(p, q) = \hat{\Delta}^{(-\lambda)}(p, q)$ it follows from (29) and (30) that multiplying by 1/4 and making the substitutions $(p, q, p', q', \lambda) \rightarrow (-p/2, -q/2, -p', -q', -\lambda)$ in (27) gives

$$(\tilde{\mu}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2} \right) \int d\tau' (|\psi\rangle\langle\mu|)_{(p', q')} (|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|)_{\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)p' - p}{(1+\lambda)}, \frac{(1+\lambda)q' - q}{(1-\lambda)}\right)}. \quad (31)$$

This also obeys an equation like (28).

The second term in the integrand here is the Weyl transform of the pure state $|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|$, namely, from (9),

$$(|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|)_{\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)p' - p}{(1+\lambda)}, \frac{(1+\lambda)q' - q}{(1-\lambda)}\right)} = \langle\sigma| \hat{\Delta} \left(\frac{(1-\lambda)p' - p}{(1+\lambda)}, \frac{(1+\lambda)q' - q}{(1-\lambda)} \right) |\sigma\rangle.$$

This can be simplified using the displacement operator \hat{D} and the unitary dilation, or squeeze, operator ([24] [25])

$$\hat{S}(\xi) = e^{i \frac{\xi}{2\hbar} (\hat{p}\hat{q} + \hat{q}\hat{p})}, \quad (32)$$

which has the properties

$$\hat{S}^\dagger(\xi) = \hat{S}(-\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S}^\dagger(\xi) (\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \hat{S}(\xi) = (e^\xi \hat{p}, e^{-\xi} \hat{q}), \quad (33)$$

so that (using this with (3) and (6))

$$\hat{S}^\dagger(\xi) \hat{\Delta}(p, q) \hat{S}(\xi) = \hat{\Delta}(e^{-\xi} p, e^\xi q). \quad (34)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (|\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma|)_{\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)p'-p}{(1+\lambda)}, \frac{(1+\lambda)q'-q}{(1-\lambda)}\right)} &= \langle p, q, \lambda; \sigma | \Delta(p', q') | p, q, \lambda; \sigma \rangle \\ &= (|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle\langle p, q, \lambda; \sigma|)_{(p', q')}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where

$$|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle = \hat{D}\left[\frac{p}{1-\lambda}, \frac{q}{1+\lambda}\right] \hat{S}\left(\ln \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right) |\sigma\rangle \quad (36)$$

is a displaced squeezed state [14, 24, 25] generalized to an arbitrary fiducial state $|\sigma\rangle$. And so

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\right) \int d\tau' (|\psi\rangle\langle\mu|)_{(p', q')} (|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle\langle p, q, \lambda; \sigma|)_{(p', q')} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\right) \langle p, q, \lambda; \sigma | \psi \rangle \langle \mu | p, q, \lambda; \sigma \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

By a slight rearrangement we can also write

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q))^* \tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) &= \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\right) \int d\tau' (|\psi\rangle\langle\mu|)_{(p', q')} (|\lambda p, -\lambda q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle\langle \lambda p, -\lambda q, \lambda; \sigma|)_{(p'-p, q'-q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Setting $|\mu\rangle = |\psi\rangle$, generalizing from $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ to the density matrix $\hat{\rho} = \sum w_\psi |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, and dividing by h gives the ‘diagonal’ component of this sesquilinear form, the generalized Q -function. Non-negative by construction, from (37) and (38) it is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q; \rho) &\equiv \frac{1}{h} \sum_\psi w_\psi |\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\right) \langle p, q, \lambda; \sigma | \hat{\rho} | p, q, \lambda; \sigma \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2}\right) \int dp' dq' \rho(p', q') \rho_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p; p'-p, q'-q), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$\rho(p, q) = \frac{1}{h} (\hat{\rho})_{(p, q)} = \frac{1}{h} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{\Delta}(p, q)) \quad (40)$$

is the Wigner function for the state $\hat{\rho}$, $|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle$ is given by (36), and $\rho_\sigma^{(\lambda)}$ is the Wigner function corresponding to the p and q dependent squeezed state $|\lambda p, -\lambda q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle$:

$$\rho_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p; p'-p, q'-q) = \frac{1}{h} (|\lambda p, -\lambda q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle\langle \lambda p, -\lambda q, \lambda; \sigma|)_{(p'-p, q'-q)}. \quad (41)$$

The multiplier $1/h$ is chosen by convention so that $\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p', q'; \rho)$, $\rho(p', q')$ and $\rho_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p; p'-p, q'-q)$ are all normalized with respect to the integral $\int dp' dq'$.

4 Discussion

When there is no squeezing of the fiducial state then $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $|\lambda p, -\lambda q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle \rightarrow |\sigma\rangle$, and $|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle \rightarrow |p, q; \sigma\rangle$ (defined in equation (2)). In that case

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(0)}(p, q; \rho) \equiv \tilde{Q}_\sigma(p, q; \rho) &= \frac{1}{h} \langle p, q; \sigma | \hat{\rho} | p, q; \sigma \rangle \\ &= \int dp' dq' \rho(p', q') \rho_\sigma(p' - p, q' - q).\end{aligned}\quad (42)$$

where

$$\rho(p, q) = \frac{1}{h} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \Delta(p, q))$$

and

$$\rho_\sigma(p, q) = \frac{1}{h} \text{Tr}(|\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma| \Delta(p, q))$$

are the Wigner functions corresponding to the states $\hat{\rho}$ and $|\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma|$.

When $|\sigma\rangle$ is the vacuum state, $\tilde{Q}_\sigma(p, q; \sigma)$ is the well-known Husimi or Q -function and the content of equations (42) is well known, [9, 11, 20, 22]. Generalized to an arbitrary fiducial state $|\sigma\rangle$ the first of equations (42) says that the Q -function is the expectation of state $\hat{\rho}$ with respect to the coherent state of equation (2) while the second expresses it as the Wigner function for $\hat{\rho}$ smeared with respect to the Wigner function for $|\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma|$. The two Weyl transformed functions which are convoluted in equation (42) each separately corresponds to the scheme of equation (13) with $f(\xi, \eta) = 1$, and $\tilde{Q}_\sigma(p, q; \sigma)$ itself is also a member of that class of correspondences. This follows the convolution theorem. For instance, we can define the Fourier component, $[\hat{A}]_{(p,q)}$, of the Weyl transform $(\hat{A})_{(p,q)}$ of an operator \hat{A} , as

$$[\hat{A}]_{(p,q)} = \int \frac{dp' dq'}{h} e^{\frac{i}{h}(p'q + q'p)} (\hat{A})_{(p',q')},$$

and using this in equation (42) gives

$$\tilde{Q}_\sigma(p, q; \sigma) = f^{-1}(-i\partial_q, -i\partial_p) \rho(p', q') \quad (43)$$

where

$$f^{-1}(\xi, \eta) = [|\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma|]_{(h\xi, h\eta)}.$$

The customary choice for the fiducial state is the vacuum [11, 9]. In particular, for an harmonic oscillator in the ground state $|\sigma\rangle = |0\rangle$, where

$$\langle x|0\rangle = \frac{\alpha^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 x^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^2 = \frac{m\omega}{\hbar},$$

which gives for the Weyl transform of $|0\rangle \langle 0|$ and its Fourier component

$$(|0\rangle \langle 0|)_{(p,q)} = 2e^{-\alpha^2 q^2} e^{-\frac{p^2}{\alpha^2 \hbar^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad [|\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma|]_{(h\xi, h\eta)} = e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{4\alpha^2}} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 \hbar^2 \eta^2}{4}}.$$

Thus, even when there is no squeezing (i.e. $\lambda = 0$) what was a Weyl association $f = 1$ (equation (12)) for the phase space wave function $|\psi\rangle \langle h_0|$ becomes an association

$$f(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{\xi^2}{4\alpha^2}} e^{\frac{\alpha^2 \hbar^2 \eta^2}{4}} \quad (44)$$

for the Q -function, equation (43). Although the function $f(\xi, \eta)$ of equation (44) does not have the properties $f(0, \eta) = 1 = f(\xi, 0)$ the distribution $\tilde{Q}_\sigma(p, q; \sigma)$ which it generates is non-negative. It is a positive operator-valued measure (POM) [26]. This association is a special case of the s -family of orderings considered by Cahill and Glauber [21, 22], which in the notation of this paper can be written

$$f^{(s)}(\xi, \eta) = e^{s \frac{\xi^2}{4\alpha^2}} e^{s \frac{\alpha^2 \hbar^2 \eta^2}{4}}.$$

For $\lambda \neq 0$ the functions $\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q; \rho)$, equation (39), are also a POMs, but owing to the extra p -dependence of the smoothing function they do not have corresponding functions $f(\xi, \eta)$. The form of equation (39) shows that $\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}$ is proportional to the average of $\hat{\rho}$ with respect to the state $|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle$, equation (36). In other words, $\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}$ is proportional to the probability of finding the system in the state $|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle$ when it has been prepared in the state $\hat{\rho}$. The state $|p, q, \lambda; \sigma\rangle$ is a minimum uncertainty squeezed state when the fiducial state $|\sigma\rangle$ is the vacuum state. To see this using (25), (33) and (36) it is easy to show that, for any choice of $|\sigma\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, q, \lambda; \sigma | \hat{p} | p, q, \lambda; \sigma \rangle &= \frac{p}{1 - \lambda} + \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right) \langle \sigma | \hat{p} | \sigma \rangle, \\ \langle p, q, \lambda; \sigma | \hat{q} | p, q, \lambda; \sigma \rangle &= \frac{q}{1 + \lambda} + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \right) \langle \sigma | \hat{q} | \sigma \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and that for momentum and position the standard deviations for this state are

$$\Sigma_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p) = \left| \frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right| \Sigma_\sigma(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(q) = \left| \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \right| \Sigma_\sigma(q),$$

where $\Sigma_\sigma(p)$ and $\Sigma_\sigma(q)$ are the corresponding standard deviations for state $|\sigma\rangle$. So, whatever the degree of squeezing, $\Sigma_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p)\Sigma_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(q) = \Sigma_\sigma(p)\Sigma_\sigma(q)$, and for the vacuum state this product is the minimum value $\hbar/2$.

We could equally choose to work with $\psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}$ instead of $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}$. For instance

$$Q_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q; \rho) \equiv \frac{1}{h} \sum_\psi w_\psi |\psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)|^2, \quad (45)$$

is directly related to $\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q; \rho)$, for from equations (16), (17), (20) and (21) we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma | \hat{\Pi} \hat{\Delta}^{(\lambda)\dagger}(p/2, q/2) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{\sigma_r}^{(-\lambda)}(p/2, q/2), \quad (46)$$

so that

$$\psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q) = 2\tilde{\psi}_{\sigma_r}^{(-\lambda)}(2p, 2q) \quad (47)$$

where $|\sigma_r\rangle = \hat{\Pi}|\sigma\rangle$ is a reflected fiducial state.

The time-dependence of $\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q; \rho)$ —or of $Q_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q; \rho)$ —enters through the time-dependence of $\hat{\rho}$, for instance via its Weyl transform $h \times \rho(p', q')$ in the third of equations (39). The equation of motion of Wigner functions is well known [5] and can be transferred to $\tilde{Q}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q; \rho)$ itself by partial integration in equation (39). Another way would be to find the time-dependence of $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$ itself which enters through the time-dependence of $|\psi\rangle$. In [18] it was chosen to study the time variation of $\psi_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$ —as it is itself a Weyl transform and, from that standpoint, basic—when driven by a Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{p}^2/2m + V(\hat{q})$. According to equations (46) and (47), this knowledge transfers to $\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^{(\lambda)}(p, q)$.

References

- [1] Weyl H 1927 *Z physik* **46** 1
- [2] Weyl H 1930 *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Dover)
- [3] Wigner E P 1932 *Phys. Rev.* **40** 749
- [4] Hudson R L 1974 *Rep. Math. Phys.* **6** (2) 249
- [5] de Groot S R and Suttorp L G 1972 *Foundations of Electrodynamics* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [6] Soto F and Claverie P 1983 *J.Math. Phys.* **24** 97
- [7] Gross D 2006 *arXiv:quant-ph/0602001*
- [8] Cohen L 1966 *J. Math. Phys.* **7** (5) 781
- [9] Lee Hai-Woong 1995 *Phys. Rep.* **259** 147
- [10] Cartwright N D 1976 *Physica* 83A 210
- [11] Husimi K 1940 *Prog. Phys. Math. Soc. Jap.* **22** 264
- [12] O'connel R. F. and Wigner E. P. 1981 *Phys. Lett.* **85A** 121
- [13] Halliwell J J 1992 *Phys. Rev. D* **46** 1610
- [14] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B 1985 *Coherent States: Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [15] Torres-Vega Go. and Fredrick J H 1993 *J. Chem. Phys.* **98** (4) 3103
- [16] Møller K B, Jørgensen T G and Torres-Vega Go *J. Chem. Phys.* **106** (17) 7228
- [17] Harriman J E 1994 *J. Chem. Phys.* (5) 3651
- [18] Smith T B 2006 *J. Phys. A:Math. Gen.* **39** 1469
- [19] Bopp F 1956 *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **15** (2) 81
- [20] Mizrahi S S 1983 *Physic A* **127** 241
- [21] Cahill K E and Glauber R J 1969 *Phys Rev* **177** 1857
- [22] Cahill K E and Glauber R J 1969 *Phys Rev* **177** 1882
- [23] Royer A 1977 *Phys. Rev. A* **15** 449
- [24] Leonhardt U 1997 *Measuring the Quantum State of Light* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [25] Loudon R and Knight P L 1987 *J. Mod. Optics* **34** (617) 709
- [26] Helstrom C W 1976 *Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory* (New York: Academic Press)