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Research question

Did the *Edinburgh Review* create a “transauthorial discourse” (Klancher 1987) that hid the voices of individual contributors behind a corporate style?
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The *Edinburgh Review*

Most influential British periodical in early 19th C.

Edited by Francis Jeffrey, who could make alterations to any article

All articles published anonymously
Planned Corpus

Edinburgh Review:
• 325,000 ‘words’
• 60 articles

Quarterly Review:
• 175,000 ‘words’
• 20 articles

Chosen from reviews of books of literature, travel writing, history, biography
Corpus preparation

Extend corpus:
• Finding appropriate texts

Developing previous work to:
• Semi-automate post-OCR correction
• Semi-automate TEI markup
• Semi-automate quotation detection
Two interpretations of style*

Style as fingerprint

**Unconscious** elements in the way we write
(e.g. Van Halteren et al. "Existence of a human stylome." (2005))

Reflected by use of **Most Frequent Words**

Style as signature

**Conscious** choice of words, sentences, tone
(e.g. Van Dalen-Oskam *Riddle of Literary Quality* project)

Still **unsure** how to identify with stylometry

* as defined by Sarah Allison at DH2016, Stylistics workshop, 12 July 2016
MFW vs TF:IDF

Both attempt to remove the influence of content over style in the analysis

MFW
Frequent words
Choose what to *include* in the analysis
Unconscious style?

TF:IDF
Significant words
Choose what to *exclude* from the analysis
Conscious style?
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