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**Introduction:** Studies using MESSENGER data suggest that Mercury’s crust is predominantly a product of effusive volcanism [1,2] that occurred in the first billion years following the planet’s formation [3,4]. Despite this planet-wide effusive volcanism, no constructional volcanic edifices, characterized by a topographic rise, have hitherto been robustly identified on Mercury [5,6], whereas constructional volcanoes are common on other planetary bodies in the solar system [e.g. 7].

Here, we describe two candidate constructional volcanic edifices we have found on Mercury and discuss how these edifices may have formed [8].

**Candidate volcanic edifice #1 (CV1):** CV1 is a topographic prominence 6.1 km across and ~530 m high in Heaney crater (Fig. 1). It has flank slopes of ~10° and a summit depression 1.7 km across that is shallower than impact craters of similar size. CV1 is surrounded by smooth plains that cover the floor of Heaney. No kipukas or wrinkle ridges indicative of a former peak-ring, which otherwise might explain CV1, are observed within Heaney. CV1 is coincident with a red color anomaly consistent with others elsewhere on Mercury that are interpreted as pyroclastic deposits [9].

The blue anomaly to the NW is due to fresh ejecta from the young impact crater in (b).

We suggest that CV1 formed towards the end of small-volume, post-impact effusive volcanism that occurred within Heaney. As the effusion rate dropped, flows shortened and stacked to form an edifice. A terminal explosive eruption, facilitated by volatiles derived from subsurface low-reflectance material [10], may have created the red color anomaly.

**Candidate volcanic edifice #2 (CV2):** CV2 resembles CV1. It is 12.1 km across, ~700 m high and has an average flank slope of 7–8° (from Mercury Laser Altimeter (MLA) [11] data). It also has a shallow summit depression but lacks a red color anomaly similar to that of CV1. CV2 may have formed as the eruptions that formed Caloris Planitia waned.
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