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We report the molecular dynamics of dissociative electron attachment to sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) by measuring the momentum distribution of fragment anions using the velocity slice imaging technique in the electron energy range of 2–10 eV. The S$^-$ channel results from symmetric dissociation which exhibits competition between the stretch mode and bending mode of vibration in the excited parent anion. The asymmetric dissociation of parent anions leads to the production of O$^-$ and SO$^-$ channels where the corresponding neutral fragments are formed in their ground as well as excited electronic states. We also identify that internal excitation of SO$^-$ is responsible for its low yield at higher electron energies. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4994899]

I. INTRODUCTION

Sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) is of atmospheric relevance as it is one of the main pollutants in the troposphere and the main precursor of acid rain. A substantial amount of SO$_2$ is produced from volcanic eruptions, which leads to clustering with positive and negative ions in the troposphere and the creation of sulfate cloud condensation nuclei. This constitutes a significant control on climate via their strong influence on cloud droplet size, indeed the cooling is similar to the effect of warming due to CO$_2$, influencing the overall temperature and the climate of the Earth. Thus it is essential to understand the microscopic level chemical reactions which influence the climate involving SO$_2$ in our atmosphere. Due to the abundance of free electrons in the Earth’s atmosphere, their interaction with SO$_2$ is of fundamental interest in atmospheric chemistry. Furthermore the presence of SO$_2$ in the atmosphere of the planet Jupiter and its satellite Io and as a major component of the atmosphere of the planet Venus apart from being identified in interstellar clouds makes the e-SO$_2$ interaction important in astronomical studies.

SO$_2$ is also an important precursor in several industrial processes. Sulfur ions in pulsed ion lasers are created using SO$_2$. SO$_2$ also appears as a trace impurity in laser gases where the lasing process is initiated by electron beam injection mechanisms. Interaction of low-energy electrons with SO$_2$ is very important for modeling low-energy plasmas. It is used in electrical-discharges to remove pollutants from industrial fumes. SO$_2$ is also important for the understanding of physics and chemistry of combustion.

Due to above relevance, dissociative electron attachment (DEA) to SO$_2$ has been studied extensively. DEA to SO$_2$ results in O$^-$, S$^-$, and SO$^-$ channels. The very first measurement of DEA to SO$_2$ was performed by Rallis and Goodings in 1971. They reported two peaks at 5.0 eV and 7.4 eV in the O$^-$ channel with onset at 4.2 eV and 6.3 eV, respectively. Based on the kinetic energy (KE) analysis of O$^-$ ions, they inferred that both the peaks have the same dissociation limit involving SO in its ground state. Harland et al. reported considerably lower kinetic energy in the O$^-$ channel and attributed this to vibrational excitation of SO. The two peaks observed in the O$^-$ channels were explained due to the formation of SO in X$^3\Sigma^+$ and a$^1\Delta$ states and those in the SO$^-$ channel due to O in the $^3P$ and $^1D$ states, respectively. Abouaf and Fiquet-Fayard measured the ion yield curves of all the anions from SO$_2$ formed with near-zero KE and observed the fine structure in the ion yield curve related to the formation of the SO diatomic fragment in excited vibrational states. In the O$^-$ channel, they obtained two series of structures in the ion yield curve around the first peak which they assigned due to vibrational excitation of SO (X$^3\Sigma^-$) and SO (a$^1\Delta$). In the S$^-$ channel also, they observed two structures which they assigned as being due to the formation of O$_2$ (X$^3\Sigma^-$) up to $v = 4$ and O$_2$ (a$^1\Delta$) up to $v = 3$. Nandi and Krishnakumar measured the kinetic energies of O$^-$ ions by analyzing the peak width in time of flight spectrum and concluded that both the peaks in the ion yield curve are due to dissociation to the same limit although they did not rule out the possibility of the SO (a$^1\Delta$) limit as suggested by Abouaf and Fiquet-Fayard. Nandi and Krishnakumar also concluded that the potential energy surface of the SO$_2$ state at 4.6 eV in the Frank-Condon region has a minimum, whereas for the second peak, it is repulsive.

Due to computational difficulties in calculating the potential energy surfaces of the anion of polyatomic molecules, very little is known about these excited anion states although they play a significant role in atmospheric chemistry as well as plasma environment. Studies of the dynamics of the dissociative electron attachment can provide valuable information about the potential energy surfaces of the underlying excited anion states. Here we report details of the DEA dynamics of the sulfur dioxide molecule.
II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

The experimental setup used for these measurements has been described earlier.\textsuperscript{13–15} In brief, a magnetically collimated and pulsed (200 ns wide) electron beam is made to cross at right angles with an effusive molecular beam produced by a capillary along the axis of the Velocity Slice Imaging (VSI) spectrometer. The spectrometer consists of a single element electrostatic lens and a drift tube. In order to avoid stray magnetic field, the entire spectrometer along with the other elements of the housing assembly is made of titanium. The VSI spectrometer is followed by a 2D position sensitive detector (PSD) that consists of a set of three 75 mm diameter micro-channel plates mounted in a Z-stack configuration, and a phosphor screen. The ions formed in the interaction volume are extracted by a pulsed electric field. This pulsed field is put on 100 ns after the electron beam pulse. The central slice of the Newton sphere of the ions formed is recorded by keeping the detector in the detection mode for only 100 ns by applying a 2 kV pulse to the detector coinciding with the arrival time of the anions. The images formed on the phosphor screen are recorded by a CCD camera. From these momentum images, the kinetic energy and angular distributions are determined. The uncertainty in the electron beam energy used in the experiment is ±0.35 eV which translates as an equivalent uncertainty in the measured kinetic energy release (KER) in the process.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SO\textsubscript{2} belongs to the C\textsubscript{2v} point group in its equilibrium geometry, and its ground state is of A\textsubscript{1} symmetry with a bond angle of 119.3°\textsuperscript{16} and a bond length of 0.143 nm. It has a positive electron affinity of 1.097 eV. All possible DEA channels along with their threshold are presented in Table I.

The thermodynamic thresholds are calculated from the bond dissociation energy (BDE) of SO–O = 5.69 eV\textsuperscript{17} and BDE (O–O) = 5.11 eV\textsuperscript{18} and the electron affinity (EA) of O = 1.46 eV, EA (SO) = 1.15 eV, and EA (S) = 2.07 eV.\textsuperscript{19,20} The zero point energy of SO (a\textsuperscript{1}A\textsubscript{g}) and SO (b\textsuperscript{1}Σ\textsuperscript{+}) with respect to that of SO (X\textsuperscript{3}Σ\textsuperscript{−}) is 0.79 eV and 1.5 eV, respectively,\textsuperscript{21} and the zero point energy of O\textsubscript{2}(a\textsuperscript{1}Δ\textsubscript{g}) and O\textsubscript{2}(b\textsuperscript{1}Σ\textsuperscript{+}) with respect to that of O\textsubscript{2}(X\textsuperscript{3}Σ\textsuperscript{−}) is 0.98 eV and 1.68 eV, respectively. The energy of O\textsuperscript{1}(D) and O\textsuperscript{1}(S) with respect to O\textsuperscript{3}(P) is 1.1 eV and 4.2 eV, respectively.

The mass spectrum of DEA to SO\textsubscript{2} exhibits 3 peaks: mass 16(O\textsuperscript{−}), 32(S\textsuperscript{−} or O\textsuperscript{−}), and 48(SO\textsuperscript{−}). O\textsuperscript{−} is the most dominant ion peaking at 4.6 eV and 7.2 eV. SO\textsuperscript{−} peaks at 4.8 eV and 7.3, whereas S\textsuperscript{−} or O\textsuperscript{2−} peaks at 4.2 eV, 7.4 eV, and 9 eV. The dissociation of SO\textsubscript{2} may proceed via two-body or three-body fragmentation. However for electron energies up to 9 eV, we rule out the three-body dissociation channel based on threshold energy (Table I). Since the threshold energy for O\textsuperscript{2−} formation is 5.5 eV, the 4.2 eV peak in the ion yield mass 32 can safely be attributed to S\textsuperscript{−}. Throughout the second peak in the excitation function (7.4 eV), we observe a low kinetic energy in a mass 32-channel. This implies substantial vibrational excitation of the molecular fragment. As the electron affinity of O\textsubscript{2} is 0.44 eV, O\textsuperscript{2−} with substantial vibrational excitation will not survive against auto-detachment, and hence we attribute this peak to S\textsuperscript{−} ions. Below we discuss the S\textsuperscript{−}, O\textsuperscript{−}, and SO\textsuperscript{−} channels separately.

A. O\textsuperscript{−} channel

As mentioned above, the O\textsuperscript{−} channel is the most dominant DEA channel observed with peaks in the ion yield curve at 4.6 eV and 7.2 eV. We have recorded momentum images from 4.9 eV to 8.9 eV. Since the threshold for O\textsuperscript{−} formation through three-body fragmentation is 9.7 eV, the possible channels for the formation of O\textsuperscript{−} in this energy range correspond to the formation of SO in the ground or lowest two electronic excited states (see Table I). Throughout this energy range, the O\textsuperscript{−} momentum images obtained show an isotropic “blob” which increases in size with electron energy. From the momentum images, we have determined the kinetic energy (KE) of the fragment. In the case of two-body breakup, the kinetic energy release (KER) is determined based on the conservation of linear momentum. It is given by

\[
K E_{i} = \frac{(M_{1} + M_{2}) \times K E_{2}}{M_{2}} = \frac{(M_{1} + M_{2}) \times K E_{2}}{M_{1}}
\]

where KE\textsubscript{i} is the kinetic energy of fragment of mass \textsubscript{M\textsubscript{i}}. KER distributions obtained from the momentum images are plotted in Fig. 1.

O\textsuperscript{−} formation in a two-body breakup dynamics necessarily requires the departure of the terminal O atom. We call this

![FIG. 1. Kinetic energy release (KER) obtained from the momentum images of O\textsuperscript{−} at electron energies (a) 4.9 eV, (b) 5.4 eV, (c) 6.4 eV, and (d) 7.4 eV. The expected KER of O\textsuperscript{−} with SO formed in various vibronic states is also shown.](image-url)
FIG. 2. Schematic diagram of time evolution of the asymmetric dissociation process. Here red spheres are for oxygen atoms and green sphere is for sulfur atoms.

the asymmetric dissociation as this will be a manifestation of the asymmetric stretch mode of vibration of the parent transient anion. With the bend geometry in the neutral ground state, such a breakup will necessarily provide a kick to the molecular fragment along the line of the breaking bond (Fig. 2). This will result in the rotational motion of the molecular fragment. The extent of the rotation of the SO fragment depends on the bond angle of the parent molecule, the momentum of the $\text{O}^-$ fragment, and the mass ratio of the two fragments. Under the simple impact approximation, assuming dissociation takes place at the equilibrium geometry of the molecule and ignoring the role of bending mode of vibration, the rotational kinetic energy of the SO fragment is given by

$$E_{\text{Rot}} = \frac{E_{\text{Excess}}}{f \cos(\beta - 90^\circ) + 1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\beta$ is the bond angle of the dissociating OSO$^-$ ion, $f = \frac{2M_S M_O + M_O^2}{M_O^3}$, where $M_S$ is the mass of S and $M_O$ is the mass of O. $E_{\text{Excess}}$ is the excess energy available in the system which is given by

$$E_{\text{Excess}} = E_e - E_{\text{Threshold}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $E_{\text{Threshold}}$ is the threshold energy for a given channel and $E_e$ is the electron energy. For SO$_2$, this rotational energy would be $\frac{1}{115}$ of $E_{\text{Excess}}$. More details are given in the supplementary material.

Subtracting this rotational energy from the excess energy available to the system at a given electron energy, we obtain the net energy available for the vibrational and electronic degrees of freedom of the system as well as the translational motion of the fragments. In Fig. 1, we show the measured KER in the $\text{O}^-$ channel along with the expected KER for various vibrational excitations of the SO fragment after subtracting its rotational energy. Here the vibrational levels for the SO molecule are determined based on the anharmonic oscillator model. The plot clearly shows that for both the peaks in the ion yield curve, the SO fragment is produced with very little kinetic energy indicating higher vibrational excitation of the SO fragment in the ground electronic state. Indeed the energy may be sufficient for the formation of electronically excited SO fragments ($a^1\Delta$ and $b^1\Sigma^+$), the excess energy showing up as the vibrational excitation. The vibrational excitation of the SO fragment is an indication of the role of symmetric and antisymmetric modes of vibrations of the parent transient anion. The high vibrational excitation of the SO fragment indicates the dominance of the asymmetric stretch mode, whereas lower vibrational excitation may be due to comparable strengths of both symmetric and asymmetric stretch modes.

B. SO$^-$ channel

The SO$^-$ ion yield peaks at 4.8 eV and 7.3 eV, and we have obtained momentum images across these two peaks. In this energy range, SO$^-$ can be formed with an O atom in either a $3^3\Pi$ or $1^1\Delta$ state (Table I). In the 4.5 eV to 5 eV region, the momentum image of an SO$^-$ anion appears as a thermal and isotropic blob as seen in Fig. 3(a). In this energy range, SO$^-$ is formed with O ($3^3\Pi$). Since the image is an isotropic blob, we cannot determine the symmetry of the transient anion state involved in this channel. As the electron energy is increased above 5.5 eV, a new feature emerges, in the form of an outer
ring along with the inner blob, as shown in Fig. 3(b). From the momentum distributions at various electron energies, we have obtained the KER distributions which are plotted in Fig. 3(c). From this figure, we can see that for electron energies beyond 5.5 eV, the contribution from the outer ring appears as an additional hump. The two structures in the KER spectrum indicate the opening up of an extra channel along with the lower energy channel. From the KE analysis, we identify the inner blob to be due to the SO$_2$ + e$^-$ → SO$^-$ + O ($^1D$) channel, whereas the outer ring is due to the SO$_2$ + e$^-$ → SO$^-$ + O ($^1P$) channel. Since the inner structure is an isotropic blob, we are unable to specify any symmetry of the transient anion involved in this process. However, for the outer ring, we can determine the symmetry of the transient anion state contributing to this channel.

We obtain the symmetry of the transient anion state by employing the formalism presented by O’Malley and Taylor$^{22}$ for the diatomic case and modified by Azria et al.$^{23}$ for the polyatomic species. The angular distribution of the fragment ions is given by the expression

$$ I(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{l,m} \epsilon_{lm}^{\ast} X_{lm}^{\ast}(\theta, \phi) |d_i| X_{lm}(\theta, \phi)|^2 d\phi, \quad (4) $$

where $X_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ is the basis function for the irreducible representation of the group of the molecule, $\epsilon_{lm}$ is their amplitude, and $d_i$ is their phase. Here, the angle $(\theta, \phi)$ determines the orientation of the dissociating bond with respect to the incoming electron beam. These functions are in the dissociation frame of the molecule and are expressed as a linear combination of the spherical harmonics with appropriate frame transformations from the lab frame to the molecular frame. The measured and fitted angular distributions at 6.9 eV are shown in Fig. 3(d). As can be seen from the figure, the angular distribution is not symmetric about 90° with respect to the electron beam. As the molecule does not possess the inversion symmetry about the dissociation axis, all odd and even partial waves would contribute to the electron capture process. The coherent contribution of these partial waves may manifest in the forward backward asymmetry in the angular distribution as observed in this case. We have used a linear combination of spherical harmonics corresponding to B$_1$ and B$_2$ irreducible representation of the C$_{2v}$ point group with bond angle 119.3° for the fit. It can be seen that both the states have comparable intensity. Thus, we may conclude that the second peak has contributions from transient anions of both B$_1$ and B$_2$ symmetries.

Since SO$^-$ can only form via asymmetric dissociation, it will be rotationally excited just like in the case of O$^-$ formation. We have plotted in Fig. 3 the KER obtained at a given electron energy along with the expected maximum KER after subtracting the rotational energy of the SO$^-$ fragment under the simple impulse model. As can be seen from Fig. 3, the KER accounts for the substantial part of the excess energy of the system across the first peak of the ion yield curve. For the second peak in the ion yield curve, the observed KER is found to be much lower than the total excess energy available in the system. This implies that the SO$^-$ fragment is formed with vibrational excitation.

C. S$^-$ channel

In our electron energy range of interest, the S$^-$ yield peaks at 4.2 eV and 7.2 eV. Across the first peak, we consistently find the momentum image to be a simple structureless blob. KER distributions at 4.9 eV and 5.9 eV are shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen from these KER distributions, the excess energy in the system appears as the vibrational excitation of the molecular O$_2$ fragments. Based on the energy thresholds (see Table I), the ion signal at 4.9 eV corresponds predominantly to the formation of O$_2$ in $^3\Sigma_g^-$ state. Previous kinetic energy measurements$^{11}$ indicated that at 5.9 eV, S$^-$ may also be formed with O$_2$ in the a$^1\Delta_g$ or b$^1\Sigma_g^+$ state. This would imply that there may be more than one resonance contributing to this peak. In either case, the excess energy partitioning prefers vibrational excitation of molecular fragments.

The momentum images of S$^-$ across the second peak also appear as blobs but with a larger diameter as compared to those at the first peak. The corresponding KER distributions are shown in Fig. 4. Similar to the first peak, the molecular fragment [O$_2$ ($^3\Sigma_g^-$ or a$^1\Delta_g$)] is formed with vibrational excitation across this peak. As the electron energy increases, the excess energy appears as higher vibrational excitation as against the KER.

The S$^-$ formation from SO$_2$ by two-body dissociation requires terminal oxygen atoms to come together. This is made possible by combination of the symmetric stretch and bending modes of vibrations of parent anions as shown in Fig. 5. If during this bending and symmetric stretching of the OSO$^-$ molecule the terminal O atoms come close enough to form a bond, it results in the formation of O$_2$. Consequently the parent anion dissociates into S$^-$ + O$_2$, and this is symmetric dissociation. The vibrational excitation of O$_2$ formed is determined by the extent of bending mode excitation as against the symmetric stretch mode. The fragments thus formed acquire low kinetic energy as a substantial part of the excess energy goes into the vibrational excitation of O$_2$. We observe that the vibrational excitation of O$_2$ increases with electron energy as the corresponding KER does not show an equivalent increase. This indicates that with increasing electron energy, the energy transfer into the bending mode vibration increases. As this is observed across both the peaks in the S$^-$ ion yield curve, we conclude that the competition between the bending and stretching modes of vibration is relevant in the dissociation dynamics.

![FIG. 4. Kinetic energy release (KER) determined from the momentum images of S$^-$ obtained at electron energies of (a) 4.9 eV, (b) 5.9 eV, (c) 6.9 eV, and (d) 7.9 eV. The expected KER of S$^-$ with O$_2$ formed in various vibronic states is also shown.](Image)
of all the anion states accessed by the electron capture leading to \( S^- \) formation. This may imply that the potential energy surfaces of anion states contributing to both peaks in the \( S^- \) ion yield curve follow similar landscapes.

IV. CROSS SECTION AND DYNAMICS

Both \( O^- \) and \( SO^- \) anions are produced via asymmetric dissociation with two peaks in each of these ion yields. These peak positions are at almost identical electron energies (4.6 eV and 7.2 eV for \( O^- \) and 4.8 and 7.3 eV for \( SO^- \)). The \( O^- \) cross sections\(^{24} \) at both the peaks are comparable as seen in Fig. 6(a). However for the case of \( SO^- \), the cross section of the second peak is much lower than the first peak.

The KE distribution of \( SO^- \) ions obtained from the momentum images taken at 7.9 eV electron energy is shown in Fig. 6(b). The contributions from the \( SO^- + O (^3D) \) channel and the \( SO^- + O (^3P) \) channel are clearly separated in the KE spectrum and have been fitted using Gaussian profiles. The ratio of the area under both the curves is the branching ratio of these channels leading to \( SO^- \). The vertical line marked as \( Y \) represents the expected maximum KE of the \( SO^- \) ions with \( O (^3P) \) as the other fragment when all the excess energy is showing up as the translational energy of the fragments. As the \( SO^- \) ground state is only 1.1 eV below the \( SO \) neutral state, energy in excess of this as the internal energy of \( SO^- \) will cause the auto-detachment of the extra electron. The corresponding limit on the KE of \( SO^- \) ions has been shown by the vertical line marked as \( X \) in Fig. 6(b). The uncertainties in the positions of these lines are about ±0.1 eV. The difference between \( X \) and \( Y \) lines in Fig. 6(b) indicates the maximum allowed energy in the \( SO^- \) fragment as internal energy (1.1 eV). This implies that if any \( SO^- \) is produced with KE below the \( X \) line via the \( SO^- + O (^3P) \) channel it would be too short lived to be detected. Based on this, we may conclude that the second peak of \( SO^- \) in the ion yield curve is produced via both channels but a large fraction of the low threshold channel may not be detected due to loss via auto-detachment of the anion fragment. This may explain the relatively low cross section observed in the \( SO^- \) channel at the second peak.

It can also be noted that the overall cross section measured for the \( S^- \) channel is about 2 orders of magnitude lower than the \( O^- \) channel and about an order of magnitude lower than the \( SO^- \) channel. The \( S^- \) formation is a consequence of the symmetric dissociation of the transient anion which also involves significant bending motion, whereas the \( O^- \) and \( SO^- \) fragments are formed due to asymmetric dissociation of the transient anion where the stretch modes of vibrations dominate. As the cross sections are peaking in the same electron energy range, we conclude that the lifetime of the transient anion states leading to DEA signal has a substantially lower lifetime against auto-detachment for the topology of the potential energy surface corresponding to the bending mode of vibration as compared to the stretch modes.

V. CONCLUSION

To conclude, we have determined the dissociation dynamics of the excited anion states that result in various fragment channels in the DEA to \( SO_2 \). The production of \( S^- \) proceeds via symmetric dissociation of the parent anion resulting in vibrational excitation of the \( O_2 \) fragment. This channel shows the signature of competition between the bending and stretching modes of vibrations of the parent anion state. The \( O^- \) and \( SO^- \) channels result from the asymmetric dissociation. Here the corresponding neutral fragments are formed in their electronic ground as well as excited states with considerable vibrational excitation of the diatomic fragment. The kinetic energy distribution of \( SO^- \) shows that the relatively low cross section for \( SO^- \) formation at the 7 eV resonance is due to its large vibrational excitation and subsequent autoionization. The kinetic energies and angular distributions measured for various fragment channels reflect the nature of potential energy surfaces of these transient anion states which are extremely difficult to determine by any \textit{ab initio} calculations.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See \textit{supplementary material} for the derivation of the rotational energy transfer to the diatomic fragment during dissociation.
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