

Connectedness properties of the set where the iterates of an entire function are bounded

BY JOHN OSBORNE

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA
e-mail: j.osborne@open.ac.uk*

(Received)

Abstract

We investigate some connectedness properties of the set of points $K(f)$ where the iterates of an entire function f are bounded. We describe a class of transcendental entire functions for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected if and only if each component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point is aperiodic. Moreover we show that, for such functions, if $K(f)$ is disconnected then it has uncountably many components. We give examples of functions for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected, and we use quasiconformal surgery to construct a function for which $K(f)$ has a component with empty interior that is not a singleton.

1. Introduction

Denote the n th iterate of an entire function f by f^n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we call the sequence $(f^n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the *orbit* of z under f . This paper concerns the set $K(f)$ of points whose orbits are bounded under iteration,

$$K(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (f^n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

This set has been much studied where f is a non-linear polynomial but has received less attention where f is transcendental entire.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the main ideas of one-dimensional complex dynamics, for which we refer to [8, 9, 14, 27]. For convenience, we give a brief summary of relevant background and terminology at the end of this section, including definitions of the Fatou set $F(f)$, the Julia set $J(f)$ and the escaping set $I(f)$.

If f is a non-linear polynomial, then $K(f)$ is a compact set called the *filled Julia set* of f , and we have $J(f) = \partial K(f)$ and $K(f) = \mathbb{C} \setminus I(f)$. If f is a transcendental entire function, then it remains true that $J(f) = \partial K(f)$ (since $K(f)$ is completely invariant and any Fatou component that meets $K(f)$ lies in $K(f)$), but $K(f)$ is not closed or bounded and is not the complement of $I(f)$. Indeed, there are always points in $J(f)$ that are in neither $I(f)$ nor $K(f)$ [4, Lemma 1], and there may also be points in $F(f)$ with the same property [17, Example 1].

Bergweiler [10, Theorem 2] has recently shown that there exist transcendental entire functions for which the Hausdorff dimension of $K(f)$ is arbitrarily close to 0, whilst Bishop [13] has constructed a transcendental entire function for which, in addition, the

Hausdorff dimension of $J(f)$ is equal to 1. These results are perhaps surprising given that Barański, Karpińska and Zdunik [6] have shown that the Hausdorff dimension of $K(f) \cap J(f)$ is strictly greater than 1 when f is in the Eremenko-Lyubich class \mathcal{B} (so that the set of all critical values and finite asymptotic values of f is bounded).

In this light, it is natural to ask questions about the topological nature of $K(f)$ where f is transcendental entire, and in this paper we explore some of its connectedness properties. In particular, we give some results on the number of components of $K(f)$, and we exhibit a class of transcendental entire functions for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected if and only if each component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point is aperiodic.

It is well known that, if f is a non-linear polynomial and $K(f)$ contains all of the finite critical points of f , then both $J(f)$ and $K(f)$ are connected, whilst if at least one finite critical point belongs to $\mathbb{C} \setminus K(f)$ then each of $J(f)$ and $K(f)$ has uncountably many components; see, for example, Milnor [27, Theorem 9.5].

For a general transcendental entire function, Baker and Domínguez have shown that $J(f)$ is either connected or has uncountably many components [3, Theorem B], but no corresponding result is known for $K(f)$. However, a result of Rippon and Stallard [34, Theorem 5.2] easily gives the following.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then $K(f)$ is either connected or has infinitely many components.*

A simple example of a function for which $K(f)$ is connected is the exponential function

$$f(z) = \lambda e^z, \text{ where } 0 < \lambda < 1/e.$$

Recall that, for this function, $F(f)$ consists of the immediate basin of an attracting fixed point, so that $F(f) \subset K(f)$. Since $F(f)$ is connected and $\overline{F(f)} = \mathbb{C}$, it follows that $K(f)$ is also connected.

At the other extreme, we give several examples in this paper of functions for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected, including the function

$$f(z) = z + 1 + e^{-z},$$

first studied by Fatou (see Example 5.4).

We now give a new result on the components of $K(f) \cap J(f)$ for a general transcendental entire function, and a stronger result than Theorem 1.1 on the components of $K(f)$ for a particular class of functions which we now define.

Definition 1.2. We say that a transcendental entire function f is *strongly polynomial-like* if there exist sequences $(V_n), (W_n)$ of bounded, simply connected domains with smooth boundaries such that $V_n \subset V_{n+1}$ and $W_n \subset W_{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = \mathbb{C}$ and each of the triples $(f; V_n, W_n)$ is a polynomial-like mapping in the sense of Douady and Hubbard [16].

We prove the following.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let f be a transcendental entire function.*

- (a) *Either $K(f) \cap J(f)$ is connected, or else every neighbourhood of a point in $J(f)$ meets uncountably many components of $K(f) \cap J(f)$.*
- (b) *If f is strongly polynomial-like then either $K(f)$ is connected, or else every neighbourhood of a point in $J(f)$ meets uncountably many components of $K(f)$.*

Remark. We note that $K(f) \cap J(f)$ can be connected, for example when $f(z) = \sin z$. For in proving the connectedness of $J(f)$ in [15, Theorem 4.1], Domínguez also showed that the union E of the boundaries of all Fatou components is connected. Since, for this function, all Fatou components are bounded and $F(f) \subset K(f)$, it follows that $E \subset K(f) \cap J(f) \subset J(f)$ and hence that $K(f) \cap J(f)$ is connected. A similar argument shows that $K(f)$ is connected.

Another well known result from polynomial dynamics says that, if f is a non-linear polynomial, then $K(f)$ is totally disconnected if all of the critical points of f lie outside $K(f)$; see for example [14, p. 67]. More generally, Kozlovski and van Strien [23] and Qiu and Yin [29] have recently (and independently) proved results that imply the Branner-Hubbard conjecture, which says that, for a non-linear polynomial f , $K(f)$ is totally disconnected if and only if each component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point is aperiodic. Indeed, in this case a component of $K(f)$ is a singleton if and only if its orbit includes no periodic component containing a critical point.

It is natural to ask whether some similar result might hold for certain transcendental entire functions. Using the Branner-Hubbard conjecture, we prove the following theorem which shows that this is the case if f is strongly polynomial-like.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let f be a strongly polynomial-like transcendental entire function and let K be a component of $K(f)$.*

- (a) *The component K is a singleton if and only if the orbit of K includes no periodic component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point. In particular, if K is a wandering component of $K(f)$, then K is a singleton.*
- (b) *The interior of K is either empty or consists of bounded, non-wandering Fatou components. If these Fatou components are not Siegel discs, then they are Jordan domains.*

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let f be a strongly polynomial-like transcendental entire function.*

- (a) *All except at most countably many components of $K(f)$ are singletons.*
- (b) *$K(f)$ is totally disconnected if and only if each component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point is aperiodic.*

The following alternative characterization of strongly polynomial-like functions is useful for checking that functions are strongly polynomial-like, and may be of independent interest. Here and elsewhere in the paper we say that a set $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ *surrounds* a set or a point if that set or point lies in a bounded complementary component of S .

THEOREM 1.6. *A transcendental entire function f is strongly polynomial-like if and only if there exists a sequence of bounded, simply connected domains $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that*

- $\overline{D}_n \subset D_{n+1}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n = \mathbb{C}$, and
- $f(\partial D_n)$ surrounds \overline{D}_n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our final result shows that there are large classes of transcendental entire functions which have the property of being strongly polynomial-like. The terminology used in this theorem is explained in Section 4.

THEOREM 1.7. *A transcendental entire function f is strongly polynomial-like if there*

exists an unbounded sequence (r_n) of positive real numbers such that

$$m(r_n, f) := \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r_n\} > r_n, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, this is the case if one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) f has a multiply connected Fatou component;
- (b) f has growth not exceeding order $\frac{1}{2}$, minimal type;
- (c) f has finite order and Fabry gaps;
- (d) f exhibits the pits effect (as defined by Littlewood and Offord).

Remark. In the following notes, we clarify the relationship between the results in this paper for strongly polynomial-like functions, and earlier results for transcendental entire functions with the property that a certain subset $A_R(f)$ of the escaping set has a geometric form known as a spider's web (we refer to [33] for the terminology used here).

- It follows from Theorem 1.6 and [33, Lemma 7.2] that if $A_R(f)$ is a spider's web then f is strongly polynomial-like. However, the converse is not true - see Example 5.4, and also [35, Theorem 1.2].
- Theorem 1.7 is similar to [33, Theorem 1.9], which gave various classes of functions for which $A_R(f)$ is a spider's web. However, in Theorem 1.7 we do not need the additional regular growth condition that was required for several of the function classes in [33, Theorem 1.9].
- Theorem 1.4 is a generalisation to strongly polynomial-like functions of results previously proved for functions with an $A_R(f)$ spider's web in [28, Theorem 1.5].

The organisation of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of a polynomial-like mapping and prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 on the properties of components of $K(f)$ for strongly polynomial-like functions. Section 3 contains the proofs of our results on the number of components of $K(f)$ (Theorems 1.1 and 1.3). In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 on strongly polynomial-like functions. In Section 5, we give several examples of transcendental entire functions for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected. Finally, in Section 6, we use quasiconformal surgery to construct a transcendental entire function for which $K(f)$ has a component with empty interior which is not a singleton.

Background and terminology

We summarise here some ideas and terminology from one-dimensional complex dynamics that are used throughout this paper. In what follows, f is an entire function.

The *Fatou set* $F(f)$ is the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the family of functions $\{f^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is normal in some neighbourhood of z , and the *Julia set* $J(f)$ is the complement of $F(f)$. The *escaping set* $I(f)$ is the set of points whose orbits tend to infinity,

$$I(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

If we say that the set S is *completely invariant* under a function f , we mean that $z \in S$ if and only if $f(z) \in S$. Each of the sets $J(f)$, $F(f)$, $I(f)$ and $K(f)$ is completely invariant.

A component of the Fatou set $F(f)$ is often referred to as a *Fatou component*. If $U = U_0$ is a Fatou component, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^n(U) \subset U_n$ for some Fatou component U_n . If $U = U_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that U is *periodic*; otherwise, we say that it is *aperiodic*. If U is not eventually periodic, i.e. if $U_m \neq U_n$ for all $n > m \geq 0$, then U is called *wandering*. Wandering Fatou components can occur for transcendental entire functions but not for

polynomials [2, 38]. There are four possible types of periodic Fatou components for a transcendental entire function, namely immediate attracting basins, immediate parabolic basins, Siegel discs and Baker domains. We refer to [9] for the definitions and properties of such components.

If K is a component of $K(f)$, we call the sequence of components K_n such that $f^n(K) \subset K_n$ the *orbit* of K . Periodic, aperiodic and wandering components of $K(f)$ are defined as for components of $F(f)$. Periodic components of $K(f)$ always exist and wandering components may exist, both for polynomials (since at most countably many components of $J(f)$ are eventually periodic; see, for example, [26]) and for transcendental entire functions (see, for example, [28, Theorem 1.2]).

The dynamical behaviour of an entire function f is much affected by its critical values and finite asymptotic values. If $f'(z) = 0$ we say that z is a *critical point* and $f(z)$ is a *critical value* of f . A *finite asymptotic value* of f is a point $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there is a curve $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(\gamma(t)) \rightarrow a$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Finite asymptotic values can occur for transcendental entire functions but not for polynomials.

2. Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5

In this section, we prove our results on the properties of components of $K(f)$ for strongly polynomial-like functions (Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5).

First, we recall Douady and Hubbard's definition of a polynomial-like mapping and its filled Julia set (see Chapter VI of [14], and [16]).

Definition 2.1. Let V and W be bounded, simply connected domains with smooth boundaries such that $\bar{V} \subset W$. Let f be a proper analytic mapping of V onto W with d -fold covering, where $d \geq 2$. Then the triple $(f; V, W)$ is termed a *polynomial-like mapping* of degree d . The *filled Julia set* $K(f; V, W)$ of the polynomial-like mapping $(f; V, W)$ is defined to be the set of all points whose orbits lie entirely in V , i.e.

$$K(f; V, W) = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} f^{-k}(V).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 relies on Douady and Hubbard's Straightening Theorem for polynomial-like mappings, which is as follows.

THEOREM 2.2. [16, Theorem 1] *If $(f; V, W)$ is a polynomial-like mapping of degree $d \geq 2$, then there exists a quasiconformal mapping $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a polynomial g of degree d such that $\phi \circ f = g \circ \phi$ on \bar{V} . Moreover*

$$\phi(K(f; V, W)) = K(g),$$

where $K(g)$ is the filled Julia set of the polynomial g .

We also need the following recent results from polynomial dynamics.

THEOREM 2.3. [23, 29] *For a non-linear polynomial g , a component of $K(g)$ is a singleton if and only if its orbit includes no periodic component of $K(g)$ containing a critical point.*

THEOREM 2.4. [36, 37] *If g is a non-linear polynomial, then any bounded component of $F(g)$ which is not a Siegel disc is a Jordan domain.*

Finally, we make use of the following topological result.

LEMMA 2.5. *A countable union of compact, totally disconnected subsets of \mathbb{C} is totally disconnected.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the following results, which may be found in Hurewicz and Wallman [20, Chapter II]:

- a compact, separable metric space is totally disconnected if and only if it is 0-dimensional;
- a separable metric space which is the countable union of 0-dimensional closed subsets of itself is 0-dimensional;
- every 0-dimensional, separable metric space is totally disconnected.

Here, a non-empty space is *0-dimensional* if each of its points has arbitrarily small neighbourhoods with empty boundaries.

We are now in a position to give the proof of Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Since f is strongly polynomial-like, it follows from Definition 1.2 that there exist sequences $(V_n), (W_n)$ of bounded, simply connected domains with smooth boundaries such that $V_n \subset V_{n+1}$ and $W_n \subset W_{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = \mathbb{C}$ and each of the triples $(f; V_n, W_n)$ is a polynomial-like mapping.

Let $K(f; V_n, W_n)$ denote the filled Julia set of the polynomial-like mapping $(f; V_n, W_n)$. Then clearly we have

$$K(f; V_n, W_n) \subset K(f; V_{n+1}, W_{n+1}), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$K(f) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K(f; V_n, W_n). \quad (2.1)$$

To prove part (a), first let K be a component of $K(f)$ whose orbit includes no periodic component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point. We show that K must be a singleton.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$K_n = K \cap K(f; V_n, W_n).$$

Then $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$, and since any component of $K(f; V_n, W_n)$ must lie in a single component of $K(f)$ it follows that, where $K_n \neq \emptyset$, each component of K_n must be a component of $K(f; V_n, W_n)$. In particular, each component of K_n must be compact.

Moreover, no component of K_n can have an orbit which includes a periodic component of $K(f; V_n, W_n)$ containing a critical point. For any such periodic component of $K(f; V_n, W_n)$ would lie in a periodic component of $K(f)$, and since $K_n \subset K$, the orbit of K would then include a periodic component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point, contrary to our assumption.

Now it follows from Theorem 2.2 that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a quasiconformal mapping $\phi_n : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a polynomial g_n of the same degree as $(f; V_n, W_n)$ such that $\phi_n \circ f = g_n \circ \phi_n$ on \bar{V}_n , and

$$\phi_n(K(f; V_n, W_n)) = K(g_n), \quad (2.2)$$

where $K(g_n)$ is the filled Julia set of the polynomial g_n .

Thus it follows from (2.2) and Theorem 2.3, and the fact that critical points are preserved by the quasiconformal mapping, that every component of K_n is a singleton,

i.e. K_n is totally disconnected, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Lemma 2.5 now gives that K is totally disconnected, and since K is connected it must be a singleton.

For the converse, suppose now that a component K of $K(f)$ is a singleton. Then it follows from (2.1) that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K is a singleton component of $K(f; V_n, W_n)$ for all $n \geq N$. Thus, by (2.2) and Theorem 2.3, for each $n \geq N$ the orbit of K can include no periodic component of $K(f; V_n, W_n)$ containing a critical point. The desired converse now follows from (2.1).

Finally, since by definition the orbit of a wandering component of $K(f)$ contains no periodic component, it follows that every wandering component of $K(f)$ is a singleton. This completes the proof of part (a).

To prove part (b) note first that, for any transcendental entire function f , since $J(f) = \partial K(f)$ it is immediate that for any component K of $K(f)$ we have $\partial K \subset J(f)$ and $\text{int}(K) \subset F(f)$.

Now let f be strongly polynomial-like, and let K be a component of $K(f)$ with non-empty interior. As in the proof of part (a), we write $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ where

$$K_n = K \cap K(f; V_n, W_n),$$

so K_n has non-empty interior for sufficiently large n . Then, since every component of K_n is a component of $K(f; V_n, W_n)$, it follows from (2.2) that the interior of a component of K_n is quasiconformally homeomorphic to the interior of a component of the filled Julia set $K(g_n)$ of the polynomial g_n , which consists of bounded Fatou components that are non-wandering by Sullivan's theorem [38]. Evidently, therefore, if a Fatou component U of f meets K_n , we have $\bar{U} \subset K_n$, and it follows that all Fatou components in $K(f)$ are bounded and non-wandering. Since Siegel discs and Jordan curves are preserved by the quasiconformal mapping, the remainder of part (b) now follows from Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.5 Since f is strongly polynomial-like, it follows from Theorem 1.4(a) that a component K of $K(f)$ is a singleton unless the orbit of K includes a periodic component of $K(f)$ containing a critical point. Part (a) now follows because f can have at most countably many critical points.

If $K(f)$ is totally disconnected then all of its components are singletons, so part (b) follows immediately from Theorem 1.4(a).

Remark. Zheng [40, Theorem 2], [41, Theorem 4] has shown that, if f is a transcendental entire function for which there exists an unbounded sequence (r_n) of positive real numbers such that

$$m(r_n, f) > r_n, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and if U is a component of $F(f)$, then

- (i) if U contains a point z_0 such that $\{f^n(z_0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded, then U is bounded, and
- (ii) if U is wandering, then there exists a subsequence of f^n on U tending to ∞ .

It follows that, for such functions, the interior of $K(f)$ consists of bounded, non-wandering Fatou components. As these functions are strongly polynomial-like by Theorem 1.7, the first part of Theorem 1.4(b) is a generalisation of Zheng's results.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, which concern the number of components of $K(f)$ and $K(f) \cap J(f)$ when f is a transcendental entire function.

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following result due to Rippon and Stallard. Here $E(f)$ is the *exceptional set* of f , i.e. the set of points with a finite backwards orbit under f (which for a transcendental entire function contains at most one point).

THEOREM 3.1. [34, Theorem 5.2] *Let f be a transcendental entire function. Suppose that the set S is completely invariant under f , and that $J(f) = \overline{S \cap J(f)}$. Then exactly one of the following holds:*

- (1) S is connected;
- (2) S has exactly two components, one of which is a singleton $\{\alpha\}$, where α is a fixed point of f and $\alpha \in E(f) \cap F(f)$;
- (3) S has infinitely many components.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Since $K(f)$ is completely invariant and dense in $J(f)$, it is evident that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold with $S = K(f)$. Case (2) cannot occur since if $z \in F(f)$ has bounded orbit, then so does a neighbourhood of z in $F(f)$.

Theorem 1.3 gives a new result on components of $K(f) \cap J(f)$ for a general transcendental entire function, and also shows that we can improve on Theorem 1.1 for strongly polynomial-like functions. Our proof of this result uses the well-known *blowing up property* of $J(f)$:

if f is an entire function, K is a compact set, $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus E(f)$ and G is an open neighbourhood of $z \in J(f)$, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n(G) \supset K$, for all $n \geq N$.

We also need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.2. [30, Lemma 3.1] *Let $C \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then C is disconnected if and only if there is a closed connected set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $C \cap A = \emptyset$ and at least two different connected components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$ intersect C .*

LEMMA 3.3. [32, Lemma 1] *Let $E_n, n \geq 0$, be a sequence of compact sets in \mathbb{C} , and $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a continuous function such that*

$$f(E_n) \supset E_{n+1}, \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

Then there exists ζ such that $f^n(\zeta) \in E_n$, for $n \geq 0$.

If f is also meromorphic and $E_n \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ for $n \geq 0$, then there exists $\zeta \in J(f)$ such that $f^n(\zeta) \in E_n$, for $n \geq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We first prove part (a). If $K(f) \cap J(f)$ is disconnected, then it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a continuum $\Gamma \subset (K(f) \cap J(f))^c$ with two complementary components, G_1 and G_2 say, each of which contains points in $K(f) \cap J(f)$.

Suppose, then, that $z_i \in G_i \cap K(f) \cap J(f)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let H_i be a bounded open neighbourhood of z_i compactly contained in G_i . Since $J(f)$ is perfect we may without loss of generality assume that neither $\overline{H_1}$ nor $\overline{H_2}$ meets $E(f)$.

Now let z be an arbitrary point in $J(f)$, and let V be a bounded open neighbourhood of z . Then, by the blowing up property of $J(f)$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f^k(V) \supset \overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $k \geq K$. Furthermore, there exists $M \geq K$ such that

$$f^m(H_1) \supset \overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2} \quad \text{and} \quad f^m(H_2) \supset \overline{H_1} \cup \overline{H_2}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $m \geq M$.

Now let $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 \dots$ be an infinite sequence of 1s and 2s. We show that each such sequence s can be associated with the orbit of a point in $\bar{V} \cap K(f) \cap J(f)$, as follows.

Put $S_0 = \bar{V}$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $S_n = \bar{H}_i$ if $s_n = i$. It follows from (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 3.3 that there exists a point $\zeta_s \in J(f)$ such that $f^{Mn}(\zeta_s) \in S_n$ for $n \geq 0$. In particular, $\zeta_s \in \bar{V}$. Furthermore, for all $k \geq 0$ we have

$$f^k(\zeta_s) \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{M-1} f^j(\bar{V}) \cup f^j(\bar{H}_1 \cup \bar{H}_2),$$

so ζ_s has bounded orbit and thus lies in $K(f)$.

Now the points in $\bar{V} \cap K(f) \cap J(f)$ whose orbits are associated with two different infinite sequences of 1s and 2s must lie in different components of $K(f) \cap J(f)$. For if two such sequences first differ in the N th term, then the MN th iterate of one point will lie in G_1 and the other in G_2 . Thus, if the two points were in the same component K of $K(f) \cap J(f)$, then $f^{MN}(K)$ would meet $\Gamma \subset (K(f) \cap J(f))^c$, which is a contradiction.

Now there are uncountably many possible infinite sequences $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 \dots$ of 1s and 2s, so we have shown that every neighbourhood of an arbitrary point in $J(f)$ meets uncountably many components of $K(f) \cap J(f)$, as required.

The proof of part (b) is similar, but we now make the additional assumption that f is strongly polynomial-like. Since we are assuming that $K(f)$ is disconnected, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there is a continuum in $K(f)^c$ with two complementary components, each of which contains points in $K(f)$. As in the proof of part (a), we label the continuum Γ and the complementary components G_1 and G_2 .

We show that, in fact, each of G_1 and G_2 must contain points in $K(f) \cap J(f)$. For if not, $G_i \subset F(f)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. However, since f is strongly polynomial-like, it follows from Theorem 1.4(b) that the Fatou component U containing G_i must be bounded and non-wandering, so that $\bar{U} \subset K(f)$. Thus $\bar{U} \subset G_i$, which is a contradiction.

So, as before, we may choose $z_i \in G_i \cap K(f) \cap J(f)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and bounded open neighbourhoods H_i of z_i compactly contained in G_i . The proof now proceeds exactly as for the proof of part (a), but we conclude that points in $\bar{V} \cap K(f)$ whose orbits are associated with two different infinite sequences of 1s and 2s must lie in different components of $K(f)$. It then follows that every neighbourhood of an arbitrary point in $J(f)$ meets uncountably many components of $K(f)$.

Remark. It follows from Theorem 1.3(b) and Corollary 1.5(a) that, if f is strongly polynomial-like and $K(f)$ is disconnected, then $K(f)$ has uncountably many singleton components.

4. Strongly polynomial-like functions

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6, which gives a useful equivalent characterization of a strongly polynomial-like function, and Theorem 1.7, which gives several large classes of transcendental entire functions which are strongly polynomial-like.

Proof of Theorem 1.6 First, suppose that f is strongly polynomial-like and let $(V_n), (W_n)$ be the sequences of bounded, simply connected domains in Definition 1.2. Since $(f; V_n, W_n)$ is a polynomial-like mapping, it follows that $\bar{V}_n \subset W_n$ and $f(\partial V_n) = \partial W_n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, taking a subsequence of $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if necessary, we can assume that $W_n \subset V_{n+1}$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Putting $D_n = V_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then gives a sequence of domains with the properties stated in the theorem.

For the converse, let $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of bounded, simply connected domains with the properties stated in the theorem. Since $f(D_n)$ is bounded, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$f(D_n) \subset D_{n+1}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.1)$$

Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let Γ_n be a smooth Jordan curve that surrounds $\overline{D_{n+1}}$ and lies in the complementary component of $f(\partial D_{n+1})$ containing $\overline{D_{n+1}}$. Observe that it follows from the properties of the sequence $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that f has no finite asymptotic values. Furthermore, we may assume that each Γ_n does not meet any of the critical values of f .

Let W_n denote the bounded complementary component of Γ_n . Then W_n contains D_{n+1} and hence $f(D_n)$ by (4.1). Thus there is a component V_n of $f^{-1}(W_n)$ that contains D_n . Furthermore, $f : V_n \rightarrow W_n$ is a proper mapping, and since f is transcendental we may assume that the degree of this mapping is at least 2.

Now $\overline{V_n} \subset W_n$. For suppose not. Then since $\partial D_{n+1} \subset W_n$ and $D_n \subset V_n \cap D_{n+1}$ we must have $V_n \cap \partial D_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$. However, if $\zeta \in V_n \cap \partial D_{n+1}$ then it follows that $f(\zeta) \in W_n \cap f(\partial D_{n+1})$, which contradicts the fact that W_n and $f(\partial D_{n+1})$ are disjoint.

Moreover, V_n is simply connected. For suppose that V_n is multiply connected, and let γ be a Jordan curve in V_n which is not null homotopic there. Let G be the bounded complementary component of γ , so that G contains a component of ∂V_n . Now since f is a proper mapping we have $f(\partial V_n) = \Gamma_n = \partial W_n$, so $f(G) \cap \Gamma_n \neq \emptyset$, which is impossible because $f(\gamma) \subset W_n$ and $f(G)$ is bounded. Thus V_n is indeed simply connected, and since Γ_n meets no critical values of f , ∂V_n is a smooth Jordan curve.

This establishes that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the triple $(f; V_n, W_n)$ is a polynomial-like mapping. Furthermore, it follows from the construction that the sequences (V_n) and (W_n) have the properties in Definition 1.2. This completes the proof.

We now turn to Theorem 1.7, which gives a sufficient condition for a transcendental entire function to be strongly polynomial-like, and lists a number of classes of functions for which this condition holds. The sufficient condition is proved in the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *A transcendental entire function f is strongly polynomial-like if there exists an unbounded sequence (r_n) of positive real numbers such that*

$$m(r_n, f) > r_n, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that the sequence (r_n) is strictly increasing. Putting $D_n = \{z : |z| < r_n\}$, we then have $\overline{D_n} \subset D_{n+1}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n = \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, since a transcendental entire function always has points of period 2, $f(\partial D_n)$ must surround $\overline{D_n}$ for sufficiently large n . The result now follows from Theorem 1.6.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.7, we discuss in turn each of the four classes of functions listed in the theorem and show that they meet the condition in Lemma 4.1.

First, we consider transcendental entire functions with a multiply connected Fatou component (Theorem 1.7(a)). We state some results on such components which are useful here and in subsequent sections of this paper.

The basic properties of multiply connected Fatou components for a transcendental entire function were proved by Baker.

LEMMA 4.2. [2, Theorem 3.1] *Let f be a transcendental entire function and let U be a multiply connected Fatou component. Then*

- $f^n(U)$ is bounded for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $f^{n+1}(U)$ surrounds $f^n(U)$ for large n , and
- $\text{dist}(0, f^n(U)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later results have shown that the iterates of a multiply connected Fatou component eventually contain very large annuli. The following special case of a result of Zheng [42] is quoted in this form by Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard in [12].

LEMMA 4.3. *Let f be a transcendental entire function with a multiply connected Fatou component U . If $A \subset U$ is a domain containing a closed curve that is not null-homotopic in U then, for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$f^n(U) \supset f^n(A) \supset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha_n < |z| < \beta_n\},$$

where $\beta_n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Maintaining the notation of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, it follows that, for sufficiently large n ,

$$f^{n+1}(U) \text{ surrounds } f^n(U) \text{ which contains } \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha_n < |z| < \beta_n\}.$$

Thus, for these values of n , $m(r, f) > r$ whenever $\alpha_n < r < \beta_n$, so the condition in Lemma 4.1 is satisfied.

Next, we consider transcendental entire functions of growth not exceeding order $\frac{1}{2}$, minimal type (Theorem 1.7(b)). If $M(r, f) := \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$, the order $\rho(f)$, lower order $\lambda(f)$ and type $\tau(f)$ of an entire function f are defined by

$$\rho(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r},$$

$$\lambda(f) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r},$$

and

$$\tau(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^\rho}.$$

If $\tau(f) = 0$, f is said to be of *minimal type*.

The following lemma implies Theorem 1.7(b) immediately.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let f be a transcendental entire function of growth not exceeding order $\frac{1}{2}$, minimal type, and let $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$. Then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, f)}{r^n} = \infty.$$

This well-known result is proved for the case $n = 0$ and $\rho(f) < \frac{1}{2}$ in [39, p. 274]. The proof in the case of order $\frac{1}{2}$, minimal type, is similar, and the case $n > 0$ follows by a standard argument; see, for example, [19, p.193].

Finally, we consider transcendental entire functions of finite order and with Fabry gaps (Theorem 1.7(c)), or which exhibit the pits effect in the sense defined by Littlewood and Offord (Theorem 1.7(d)).

A transcendental entire function f has *Fabry gaps* if

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{n_k},$$

where $n_k/k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Loosely speaking, a function exhibits the *pits effect* if it has very large modulus except in small regions (pits) around its zeros. Littlewood and Offord [24] showed that, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is a transcendental entire function of order $\rho \in (0, \infty)$ and lower order $\lambda > 0$, and if

$$C = \left\{ f : f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n a_n z^n \right\}$$

where the ε_n take the values ± 1 with equal probability, then almost all functions in the set C show the pits effect in a way made precise in [24]. For further discussion of the pits effect, we refer to [33, Section 8].

It is noted in [33, Section 8] that, if f has finite order and Fabry gaps, or if $f \in C$ exhibits the pits effect in the sense defined by Littlewood and Offord, then for some $p > 1$ and all sufficiently large r

$$\text{there exists } r' \in (r, r^p) \text{ with } m(r', f) \geq M(r, f). \quad (4.2)$$

It follows that, for these functions too, the condition in Lemma 4.1 is satisfied. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Remark. It is also noted in [33, Section 8] that (4.2) holds for

- certain functions of infinite order which satisfy a suitable gap series condition, and
- functions other than those studied by Littlewood and Offord which have a suitably strong version of the pits effect.

Evidently, these functions also are strongly polynomial-like.

5. Examples for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected

In this section and the next we illustrate our results with a number of examples. The examples in this section are of transcendental entire functions for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected. In Section 6, we give an example of a transcendental entire function for which $K(f)$ has a component with empty interior which is not a singleton.

Example 5.1. Let f be the transcendental entire function constructed by Baker and Domínguez in [3, Theorem G]. Then $K(f)$ is totally disconnected.

Proof. The function f constructed in [3, Theorem G] takes the form

$$f(z) = k \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{r_n} \right)^2, \quad 0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots, \quad k > 0,$$

where the constants k and $r_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are chosen so that $f(x) > x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and so that the annuli

$$A_n = \left\{ z : 2r_n^2 < |z| < \left(\frac{r_{n+1}}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right\}$$

are disjoint, with $f(A_n) \subset A_{n+1}$ for large n (we refer to [3, proof of Theorem G] for details of the construction).

As noted in [3], f has order zero. Thus f is strongly polynomial-like, by Theorem 1.7(b). Furthermore, the construction ensures that $f(x) > x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so it is easy to see that $\mathbb{R} \subset I(f)$. Since all critical points of f lie on the negative real axis, it follows that none are in $K(f)$ and hence that $K(f)$ is totally disconnected by Corollary 1.5(b).

The function in Example 5.1 has multiply connected Fatou components. This fact gives an alternative method of showing that $K(f)$ is totally disconnected by using results due to Kisaka [21] (see [28, Section 5] for a discussion of these results). Recall that a *buried point* is a point in the Julia set that does not lie on the boundary of a Fatou component, and that a *buried component* of the Julia set is a component consisting entirely of buried points. In [21, Corollary D] Kisaka proved that, if a transcendental entire function has a multiply connected Fatou component and each critical point has an unbounded forward orbit, then every component of the Julia set with bounded orbit must be a buried singleton component. In [21, Example E], he showed that this result applies to the function f in Example 5.1. Since, for this function, no component of $J(f)$ with bounded orbit meets the boundary of a Fatou component, it follows that $K(f) \subset J(f)$ and hence that $K(f)$ is totally disconnected.

In our next example, $K(f)$ is again totally disconnected, but this time f has no multiply connected Fatou components.

Example 5.2. Define f by

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{2^n}\right)^2.$$

Then $K(f)$ is totally disconnected. Moreover, f has no multiply connected Fatou components.

Proof. Since f is a canonical product with zeros at $z = -2^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n\alpha}$ is convergent for all $\alpha > 0$, it follows that f has order zero [39, p. 251]. Thus f is strongly polynomial-like by Theorem 1.7(b). Furthermore, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) \geq \left(1 + \frac{x}{2}\right)^2 > x$$

so that $\mathbb{R} \subset I(f)$. Since all critical points of f lie on the negative real axis, it follows that none of them are in $K(f)$. Thus $K(f)$ is totally disconnected by Corollary 1.5(b).

Now suppose that some component U of $F(f)$ is multiply connected. Then, for large n , we have

$$f^{n+1}(U) \text{ surrounds } f^n(U) \text{ which surrounds } 0$$

by Lemma 4.2, so that $f^n(U)$ contains no zeros of f for large n . However, by Lemma 4.3, $f^n(U)$ contains an annulus $\{z : \alpha_n < |z| < \beta_n\}$ for large n , where $\beta_n/\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the zeros of f are at $z = -2^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, this is a contradiction and it follows that f has no multiply connected Fatou components.

In Examples 5.1 and 5.2 the critical points of f lie outside $K(f)$. This is not essential for $K(f)$ to be totally disconnected, and in our next example all of the critical points are inside $K(f)$.

Example 5.3. Let f be the transcendental entire function constructed by Kisaka and

Shishikura in [22, Theorem B]. Then $K(f)$ is totally disconnected. Moreover, each critical point of f lies in a strictly preperiodic component of $K(f)$.

Proof. In [22, Theorem B], Kisaka and Shishikura used quasiconformal surgery to construct a transcendental entire function f with a doubly connected Fatou component which remains doubly connected throughout its orbit. It follows from Theorem 1.7(a) that f is strongly polynomial-like.

Now the construction of f in [22] ensures that all the critical values of f map to 0, which is a repelling fixed point. Furthermore, each critical value of f lies in the unbounded complementary component of at least one doubly connected Fatou component that surrounds 0. Thus the component K_0 of $K(f)$ containing 0 cannot include a critical point, for if it did $f(K_0)$ would meet a doubly connected Fatou component, which is a contradiction. Hence each critical point lies in a component of $K(f)$ which differs from K_0 and is strictly preperiodic. It follows from Corollary 1.5(b) that $K(f)$ is totally disconnected.

Recall from Section 1 that a transcendental entire function f is strongly polynomial-like whenever the set $A_R(f)$ is a spider's web (we again refer to [33] for an explanation of the terminology used here). In fact, it follows from [33, Theorem 1.9(a)] that, if $R > 0$ is such that $M(r, f) > r$ for $r \geq R$, then $A_R(f)$ is a spider's web for each of the functions in Examples 5.1 and 5.3. Furthermore, it can be shown using [33, Theorem 1.9(b)] that $A_R(f)$ is a spider's web for the function in Example 5.2 (we omit the details).

For our final example in this section, we exhibit a strongly polynomial-like transcendental entire function for which $K(f)$ is totally disconnected, but $A_R(f)$ is not a spider's web.

Example 5.4. Let f be the function

$$f(z) = z + 1 + e^{-z},$$

first investigated by Fatou [18]. Then f is strongly polynomial-like and $K(f)$ is totally disconnected, but $A_R(f)$ is not a spider's web.

Proof. We first show that f is strongly polynomial-like. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$D_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re} z| < 2n\pi, |\operatorname{Im} z| < 2n\pi - \pi/2\}.$$

Then each D_n is a bounded, simply connected domain, and clearly we have $\overline{D}_n \subset D_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n = \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it is not difficult to show that $f(\partial D_n)$ surrounds D_n for all n , so the conditions of Theorem 1.6 are satisfied and f is strongly polynomial-like.

Now Fatou [18, Example 1] showed that $F(f)$ is a completely invariant Baker domain in which $f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $F(f)$ consists of a single unbounded component, and it follows from [33, Theorem 1.5(b)] that $A_R(f)$ is not a spider's web. Since all of the critical points of f lie in $F(f)$ (and hence outside $K(f)$), it follows from Corollary 1.5(b) that $K(f)$ is totally disconnected.

Alternatively, we can show that $K(f)$ is totally disconnected without using Corollary 1.5(b) by the following argument. As stated in [32, Example 3], it can be shown using a result of Barański [5, Theorem C], together with the fact that f is the lift of $g(w) = (1/e)we^{-w}$ under $w = e^{-z}$, that:

- $J(f)$ consists of uncountably many disjoint simple curves, each with one finite endpoint and the other endpoint at ∞ , and

- $I(f) \cap J(f)$ consists of the open curves and some of their finite endpoints.

Thus all points in $F(f)$ and all points on the curves to infinity in $J(f)$, together with some of their finite endpoints, lie in the escaping set $I(f)$. It follows that $K(f)$ is a subset of the finite endpoints of the curves to infinity in $J(f)$. Thus, if the set of finite endpoints of these curves is totally disconnected, then $K(f)$ is totally disconnected.

Now it follows from [7, Theorem 1.5] that $J(f)$ is a Cantor bouquet, in the sense of being ambiently homeomorphic to a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 known as a straight brush (we refer to [1, 7] for a detailed discussion of these ideas). Now Mayer [25, Theorem 3] has shown that, if $h(z) = \lambda e^z$, $0 < \lambda < 1/e$, the set of finite endpoints of $J(h)$ is totally disconnected. Since $J(h)$ is also a Cantor bouquet, it is ambiently homeomorphic to $J(f)$. We conclude that the set of finite endpoints of $J(f)$ is totally disconnected, and this completes the proof.

6. A non-trivial component of $K(f)$ with empty interior

In this section, we construct a transcendental entire function for which $K(f)$ has a component with no interior that is not a singleton.

We obtain a function with the desired property by modifying a quasiconformal surgery construction of Bergweiler [11], which is itself based on an approach used by Kisaka and Shishikura in [22] (for which see also Example 5.3 above).

The construction uses the following two lemmas on quasiregular mappings - for background on such mappings we refer to [31].

LEMMA 6.1. [22, Theorem 3.1], [11, Lemma 1] *Let $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a quasiregular mapping. Suppose that there are disjoint measurable sets $E_j \subset \mathbb{C}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:*

- (a) *for almost every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the g -orbit of z meets E_j at most once for every j ;*
- (b) *g is K_j -quasiregular on E_j ;*
- (c) $K_\infty := \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j < \infty$;
- (d) *g is analytic almost everywhere outside $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$.*

Then there exists a K_∞ -quasiconformal mapping $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \phi \circ g \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an entire function.

In Lemma 6.2, \log denotes the principal branch of the logarithm.

LEMMA 6.2. [22, Lemma 6.2] *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < r_1 < r_2$, and for $j = 1, 2$, let ϕ_j be analytic on a neighbourhood of $\{z : |z| = r_j\}$ and such that $\phi_j|_{|z|=r_j}$ goes round the origin k times. If*

$$\left| \log \left(\frac{\phi_2(r_2 e^{iy})}{r_2^k} \frac{r_1^k}{\phi_1(r_1 e^{iy})} \right) \right| \leq \delta_0 \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\left| z \frac{d}{dz} \left(\log \frac{\phi_j(z)}{z^k} \right) \right| \leq \delta_1, \quad z = r_j e^{iy}, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{6.2}$$

hold for every $y \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and for some positive constants δ_0 and δ_1 satisfying

$$C = 1 - \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\log(r_2/r_1)} + \delta_1 \right) > 0, \tag{6.3}$$

then there exists a K -quasiregular mapping

$$H : \{z : r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$$

with $K \leq 1/C$, such that H has no critical points and $H = \phi_j$ on $\{z : |z| = r_j\}$, $j = 1, 2$.

We now give the details of the construction of a transcendental entire function with the desired property.

Example 6.3. There exists a transcendental entire function f such that $K(f)$ has a component which has empty interior but which is not a singleton.

Proof. We first define a quasiregular mapping g and then obtain the required entire function f using Lemma 6.1.

In Bergweiler's construction [11], sequences (a_n) and (R_n) are chosen in such a way that $z \mapsto a_n z^{n+1}$ maps $\text{ann}(R_n, R_{n+1})$ onto $\text{ann}(R_{n+1}, R_{n+2})$, where

$$\text{ann}(r_1, r_2) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2\}, \quad r_2 > r_1 > 0.$$

The mapping g is then defined by $g(z) = a_n z^{n+1}$ on a large subannulus of $\text{ann}(R_n, R_{n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and by interpolation using [22, Lemma 6.3] (see also [11, Lemma 2]) in the annuli containing the circles $\{z : |z| = R_n\}$ that lie between these subannuli. We modify Bergweiler's construction only on a disc surrounding the origin.

First we define the boundaries of the various annuli we will need. Here we follow Bergweiler precisely but we give the details for convenience. Set $R_0 = 1$. Choose $R_1 > R_0$ and put

$$R_{n+1} := \frac{R_n^{n+1}}{R_{n-1}^n}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. With $\gamma = \log R_1$ we then have

$$\log \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} = n \log \frac{R_n}{R_{n-1}} = \dots = n! \log \frac{R_1}{R_0} = \gamma n!.$$

Now define sequences (P_n) , (Q_n) , (S_n) and (T_n) by

$$\log \frac{T_n}{S_n} = \log \frac{S_n}{R_n} = \log \frac{R_n}{Q_n} = \log \frac{Q_n}{P_n} = \sqrt{\log \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n}} = \sqrt{\gamma n!}. \quad (6.4)$$

Setting $R_1 > e$ gives $\gamma > 1$ and so

$$\frac{T_n}{S_n} = \frac{S_n}{R_n} = \frac{R_n}{Q_n} = \frac{Q_n}{P_n} > e.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{P_{n+1}}{T_n} &= -\log \frac{Q_{n+1}}{P_{n+1}} - \log \frac{R_{n+1}}{Q_{n+1}} + \log \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} - \log \frac{S_n}{R_n} - \log \frac{T_n}{S_n} \\ &= -2\sqrt{\gamma(n+1)!} + \gamma n! - 2\sqrt{\gamma n!} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

provided R_1 and hence γ is sufficiently large. It follows that

$$P_n < Q_n < R_n < S_n < T_n < P_{n+1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, again following Bergweiler, define sequences (a_n) and (b_n) as follows:

$$a_n := \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{R_{n-1}^n},$$

and

$$b_n := -\frac{(n+1)^2}{n+2} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^n a_n.$$

We will show that there is a quasiregular mapping $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:

- (i) $g(z) = z^2 - 2$ for $|z| \leq S_1$;
- (ii) $g(z) = a_n z^{n+1}$ for $T_n \leq |z| \leq P_{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$;
- (iii) $g(z) = b_n(z - R_n)z^n$ for $Q_n \leq |z| \leq S_n$, $n \geq 2$;
- (iv) g is K_n -quasiregular in E_n for $n \geq 1$, where

$$E_n = \text{ann}(S_n, T_n) \cup \text{ann}(P_{n+1}, Q_{n+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n^2};$$

- (v) $g(\text{ann}(S_n, Q_{n+1})) \subset \text{ann}(S_{n+1}, Q_{n+2})$ for $n \geq 1$.

Our mapping g differs from the quasiregular mapping constructed by Bergweiler in [11] only in the disc $\{z : |z| \leq P_2\}$. Bergweiler's mapping was set equal to z^2 throughout this disc (since $a_1 = 1$), whereas our mapping is equal to z^2 only in the closure of $\text{ann}(T_1, P_2)$ and we have introduced the new function $z^2 - 2$ in the smaller disc $\{z : |z| \leq S_1\}$. Thus Bergweiler's proof that his mapping has the stated properties applies without amendment to our mapping g , but we need to carry out an additional interpolation between the functions $z^2 - 2$ and z^2 in order to define g in $\text{ann}(S_1, T_1)$. We also need to check that property (v) still holds for $n = 1$.

To define g in $\text{ann}(S_1, T_1)$ we apply Lemma 6.2 with

$$\phi_1(z) = z^2 - 2, \quad \phi_2(z) = z^2, \quad r_1 = S_1 \text{ and } r_2 = T_1.$$

Evidently $k = 2$ in Lemma 6.2, so (6.1) becomes

$$\left| \log \left(\frac{T_1^2 e^{2iy}}{T_1^2} \frac{S_1^2}{S_1^2 e^{2iy} - 2} \right) \right| = \left| \log \left(1 - \frac{2}{S_1^2} e^{-2iy} \right) \right|.$$

Now as y runs through the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$, the point $z = 1 - \frac{2}{S_1^2} e^{-2iy}$ traces out a small circle with centre 1 (note that $S_1 > eR_1 > e^2$). Thus for such z we have

$$|z| \leq 1 + \frac{2}{S_1^2}$$

and

$$|\arg z| \leq \sin^{-1} \frac{2}{S_1^2} \leq \frac{\pi}{S_1^2},$$

so $\log |z| < \frac{2}{S_1^2}$ and

$$|\log z| < \sqrt{\frac{4}{S_1^4} + \frac{\pi^2}{S_1^4}} < \frac{4}{e^4}.$$

It follows that (6.1) is satisfied with $\delta_0 = \frac{4}{e^4}$.

Moreover for $j = 1$, (6.2) becomes

$$\left| z \frac{d}{dz} \left(\log \frac{z^2 - 2}{z^2} \right) \right| = \frac{4}{|z^2 - 2|}$$

where $z = S_1 e^{iy}$. But

$$\frac{4}{|z^2 - 2|} \leq \frac{4}{S_1^2 - 2} < \frac{4}{e^4 - 2}$$

so that (6.2) is satisfied with $\delta_1 = \frac{4}{e^4 - 2}$. For $j = 2$, (6.2) is satisfied for any $\delta_1 > 0$.

With these values of δ_0 and δ_1 , (6.3) gives

$$C = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{e^4 \log(T_1/S_1)} + \frac{4}{e^4 - 2} \right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that there exists a K -quasiregular mapping

$$H : \{z : S_1 \leq |z| \leq T_1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$$

with $K \leq 2$, such that H has no critical points, $H(z) = z^2 - 2$ on $\{z : |z| = S_1\}$ and $H(z) = z^2$ on $\{z : |z| = T_1\}$. Thus, putting $g(z) = H(z)$ in $\text{ann}(S_1, T_1)$ we see that (iv) holds for all $z \in E_1$, since our definition of g coincides with Bergweiler's on $\text{ann}(P_2, Q_2)$.

Next, we check that (v) still holds for $z \in \text{ann}(S_1, Q_2)$. Since our quasiregular mapping g agrees with Bergweiler's on $\{z : |z| = Q_2\}$, his argument that $|g(z)| \leq Q_3$ for $z \in \text{ann}(S_1, Q_2)$ (which uses the maximum principle) continues to hold. It therefore remains to show that, for such z , we have $|g(z)| \geq S_2$.

Now g has no zeros in $\text{ann}(S_1, Q_2)$ so if $z \in \text{ann}(S_1, Q_2)$ we have

$$|g(z)| \geq \min_{|\zeta|=S_1} |\zeta^2 - 2| \geq S_1^2 - 2,$$

by the minimum principle. Moreover, since $R_1 = e^\gamma$ we have $S_1 = R_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma}} = e^{\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma}}$ by (6.4), and therefore

$$|g(z)| \geq e^{2\gamma + 2\sqrt{\gamma}} - 2$$

for $z \in \text{ann}(S_1, Q_2)$. Now

$$\log \frac{S_2}{R_1} = \log \frac{R_2}{R_1} + \log \frac{S_2}{R_2} = \gamma + \sqrt{2\gamma},$$

so that $S_2 = R_1 e^{\gamma + \sqrt{2\gamma}} = e^{2\gamma + \sqrt{2\gamma}}$. It follows that we can ensure that $|g(z)| > S_2$ for $z \in \text{ann}(S_1, Q_2)$ by choosing γ sufficiently large, and (v) will then still hold.

Our mapping g and the sets E_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore meet the conditions of Lemma 6.1, and we conclude that there exists a K_∞ -quasiconformal mapping $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \phi \circ g \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an entire function. Now it follows from (v) that $g^n(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in \text{ann}(S_1, Q_2)$. However, inside the disc $\{z : |z| \leq S_1\}$ the iterates of g are the iterates of $z^2 - 2$. In particular, the interval $[-2, 2]$ is invariant under iteration by g and contains the critical point 0, whilst for all $z \in \{z : |z| \leq S_1\} \setminus [-2, 2]$ there must be some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|g^N(z)| > S_1$.

It follows that $\phi(\text{ann}(S_1, Q_2))$ lies in a multiply connected component U of $F(f)$, whilst $\phi([-2, 2])$ is an invariant Jordan arc which is a subset of a component K of $K(f)$ containing a critical point. Now suppose that K contains some point $w \notin \phi([-2, 2])$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^N(w)$ lies outside the image under ϕ of the disc $\{z : |z| \leq S_1\}$. However, as $f^N(K)$ is connected, this means that $f^N(K)$ meets U , which is a contradiction since $U \subset I(f)$ by Lemma 4.2. Thus K is a component of $K(f)$ with empty interior. This completes the proof.

Remarks.

1. It follows from [28, Theorem 1.1(c)] that every neighbourhood of K contains a multiply connected Fatou component that surrounds K , and that K is a buried component of $J(f)$. Since f is strongly polynomial-like, there are at most countably many components of $K(f)$ with empty interior that are not singletons by Corollary 1.5(a).
2. Since we have modified Bergweiler's construction only inside the disc $\{z : |z| \leq P_2\}$, the conclusions of [11] still hold, and f has both simply and multiply connected wandering Fatou components.

Acknowledgements I would like to express my thanks to my doctoral supervisors, Phil Rippon and Gwyneth Stallard, for their assistance in the preparation of this paper. I am also grateful to Alastair Fletcher and Dan Nicks for useful ideas which helped with the proof of Theorem 1.4, to Lasse Rempe-Gillen for suggesting the result in Theorem 1.3(a) and to Dave Sixsmith for help with Example 5.4. Finally, I thank the referee for helpful comments which led to a number of improvements.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M. AARTS and L.G. OVERSTEEGEN. The geometry of Julia sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **338** (2) (1993), 897–918.
- [2] I.N. BAKER. Wandering domains in the iteration of entire functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **49** (3) (1984), 563–576.
- [3] I.N. BAKER and P. DOMÍNGUEZ. Some connectedness properties of Julia sets. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **41**(4) (2000), 371–389.
- [4] I.N. BAKER and P. DOMÍNGUEZ. Residual Julia sets. *J. Analysis* **8** (2000), 121–137.
- [5] K. BARAŃSKI. Trees and hairs for some hyperbolic entire maps of finite order. *Math. Z.* **257** (2007), 33–59.
- [6] K. BARAŃSKI, B. KARPIŃSKA and A. ZDUNIK. Hyperbolic dimension of Julia sets of meromorphic maps with logarithmic tracts. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* IMRN 2009, 615–624.
- [7] K. BARAŃSKI, X. JARQUE and L. REMPE. Brushing the hairs of transcendental entire functions. *Topology Appl.* **159** (2012), 2102–2114.
- [8] A.F. BEARDON. *Iteration of rational functions*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 132. (Springer-Verlag, 1991).
- [9] W. BERGWEILER. Iteration of meromorphic functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1993), 151–188.
- [10] W. BERGWEILER. On the set where the iterates of an entire function are bounded. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), 847–853.
- [11] W. BERGWEILER. An entire function with simply and multiply connected wandering domains. *Pure Appl. Math. Quarterly.* **7** (2011), 107–120 [Special issue for F.W. Gehring].
- [12] W. BERGWEILER, P.J. RIPPON and G.M. STALLARD. Multiply connected wandering domains of entire functions. To appear in Proc. London Math. Soc. *arXiv:1109.1794*
- [13] C.J. BISHOP. A transcendental Julia set of dimension 1. Preprint. <http://www.math.sunysb.edu/~bishop/papers/julia=1.pdf>
- [14] L. CARLESON and T.W. GAMELIN. *Complex dynamics*. (Springer-Verlag, 1993).
- [15] P. DOMÍNGUEZ. Connectedness properties of Julia sets of transcendental entire functions. *Complex Variables* **32** (1997), 199–215.
- [16] A. DOUADY and J.H. HUBBARD. On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings. *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup* 4th série t.18 (1985), 287–343.
- [17] A.E. EREMENKO and M.J. LJUBICH. Examples of entire functions with pathological dynamics. *J. London Math. Soc.* **36** (2) (1987), 458–468.
- [18] P. FATOU. Sur l'itération des fonctions transcendentes entières. *Acta Math.* **47** (1926), 337–360.
- [19] A. HINKKANEN. Entire functions with bounded Fatou components, in *Transcendental dynamics and complex analysis*, P.J. Rippon and G.M. Stallard (eds.), Cambridge University Press, 2008, 187–216.
- [20] W. HUREWICZ and H. WALLMAN. *Dimension theory*. (Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1948).

- [21] M. KISAKA. Julia components of transcendental entire functions with multiply connected wandering domains. Preprint.
- [22] M. KISAKA and M. SHISHIKURA. On multiply connected wandering domains of entire functions, in *Transcendental dynamics and complex analysis*, P.J. Rippon and G.M. Stallard (eds.), Cambridge University Press, 2008, 217–250.
- [23] O. KOZLOVSKI and S. VAN STRIEN. Local connectivity and quasi-conformal rigidity of non-renormalizable polynomials. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **99** (2) (2009), 275–296.
- [24] J.E. LITTLEWOOD and A.C. OFFORD. On the distribution of zeros and a -values of a random integral function (II). *Annals of Mathematics, Second Series* **49** (4) (1948), 885–952.
- [25] J.C. MAYER. An explosion point for the set of endpoints of the Julia set of $\lambda \exp(z)$. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.* **10** (1990), 177–183.
- [26] C. McMULLEN. Automorphisms of rational maps, in *Holomorphic functions and moduli I*, D. Drasin et al. (eds.), Springer-Verlag, 1988, 31–60.
- [27] J. MILNOR. *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Third Edition. (Princeton University Press, 2006).
- [28] J.W. OSBORNE. The structure of spider’s web fast escaping sets. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **44** (3) (2012), 503–519.
- [29] W. QIU and Y. YIN. Proof of the Branner-Hubbard conjecture on Cantor Julia sets. *Science in China Series A : Mathematics.* **52** (1) (Jan 2009), 45–65.
- [30] L. REMPE. Connected escaping sets of exponential maps. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **36** (2011), 71–80.
- [31] S. RICKMAN. *Quasiregular mappings*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 26. (Springer, 1993).
- [32] P.J. RIPPON and G.M. STALLARD. Slow escaping points of meromorphic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (8) (2011), 4171–4201.
- [33] P.J. RIPPON and G.M. STALLARD. Fast escaping points of entire functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **105** (4) (2012), 787–820.
- [34] P.J. RIPPON and G.M. STALLARD. Boundaries of escaping Fatou components. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (8) (2011), 2807–2820.
- [35] P.J. RIPPON and G.M. STALLARD. A sharp growth condition for a fast escaping spider’s web. Preprint. *arXiv:1208.3371v1*.
- [36] P. ROESCH and Y. YIN. The boundary of bounded polynomial Fatou components. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I.* **346** (2008), 877–880.
- [37] P. ROESCH and Y. YIN. Bounded critical Fatou components are Jordan domains, for polynomials. Preprint. *arXiv:0909.4598v2*.
- [38] D. SULLIVAN. Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics I: solution of the Fatou-Julia problem on wandering domains. *Annals of Mathematics.* **122** (1985), 401–418.
- [39] E.C. TITCHMARSH. *The theory of functions*, 2nd edition. (Oxford Univ. Press, 1939).
- [40] J.H. ZHENG. Unbounded domains of normality of entire functions of small growth. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **128** (2000), 355–361.
- [41] J.H. ZHENG. Singularities and wandering domains in iteration of meromorphic functions. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics.* **44** (3) (2000), 520–530.
- [42] J.H. ZHENG. On multiply-connected Fatou components in iteration of meromorphic functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **313** (2006), 24–37.