

Open Research Online

<u>Citation</u>

Knight, Simon; Wise, Alyssa F.; Chen, Bodong and Cheng, Britte Haugan (2015). It's About Time: 4th International Workshop on Temporal Analyses of Learning Data. In: Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Learning Analytics And Knowledge, ACM, pp. 388– 389.

<u>URL</u>

https://oro.open.ac.uk/41713/

<u>License</u>

None Specified

Policy

This document has been downloaded from Open Research Online, The Open University's repository of research publications. This version is being made available in accordance with Open Research Online policies available from <u>Open Research Online (ORO) Policies</u>

<u>Versions</u>

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding

It's About Time: 4th International Workshop on Temporal Analyses of Learning Data

Simon Knight Knowledge Media Institute Open University, UK sjgknight@gmail.com Alyssa F. Wise Faculty of Education Simon Fraser University alyssa wise@sfu.ca Bodong Chen College of Education & Human Development, University of Minnesota bodong.chen@gmail.com

Britte Haugan Cheng Center for Technology in Learning SRI International britte.cheng@sri.com

ABSTRACT

Interest in analyses that probe the temporal aspects of learning continues to grow. The study of common and consequential sequences of events (such as learners accessing resources, interacting with other learners and engaging in self-regulatory activities) and how these are associated with learning outcomes, as well as the ways in which knowledge and skills grow or evolve over time are both core areas of interest. Learning analytics datasets are replete with fine-grained temporal data: click streams; chat logs; document edit histories (e.g. wikis, etherpads); motion tracking (e.g. eye-tracking, Microsoft Kinect), and so on. However, the emerging area of temporal analysis presents both technical and theoretical challenges in appropriating suitable techniques and interpreting results in the context of learning. The learning analytics community offers a productive focal ground for exploring and furthering efforts to address these challenges. This workshop, the fourth in a series on temporal analysis of learning, provides a focal point for analytics researchers to consider issues around and approaches to temporality in learning analytics.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

K.3.1 [**Computers and Education**]: Computer Uses in Education – *collaborative learning*.

General Terms

Measurement, Design, Human Factors,

Keywords

Learning analytics, temporality, discourse analytics, knowledge building, sequence mining, CSCL

1. WORKSHOP BACKGROUND

The temporal component of learning has typically been underexplored in both applied and research contexts [15, 18, 19]. This is a complex issue; temporality involves consideration of duration, sequence, pace, and salience of target events [21, 27], in Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.

Copyright is held by the owner/author(s). *LAK '15*, Mar 16-20, 2015, Poughkeepsie, NY, USA ACM 978-1-4503-3417-4/15/03. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2723576.2723638 addition to consideration of accretion over time [10, 15, 18]. For example, while many discussions around MOOCs emphasize student retention rates by simply counting students' online actions, the analysis of temporal patterns in the clickstream data tracking student actions has the potential to uncover deeper insights and provide greater predictive power [4, 13].

Measures and methods for characterizing and analyzing the temporal evolution of dynamics of group interactions are needed and emerging [1, 3, 7, 24]. Despite the relative ease of access learning analytics researchers have to process data (through log files for example), relatively little research has made use of this temporal information [24], with most research opting for a "coding and count" strategy [as discussed in 25, 28]. With the rise of online learning and available trace data, the availability of data for analysis is growing [9], but we should be mindful that 'bigger' is not necessarily 'richer'; methodological and conceptual work is needed to develop analytic approaches that leverage the temporal features of these data sets to make increasingly sophisticated knowledge claims and diagnostic assessments about learning [23]. In addition new approaches are needed to integrate analysis of data streams, thereby revealing how phenomena (e.g., mouse clicks, utterances, gazes, gestures, persistent representations such as diagrams) co-occur, interact, and facilitate learning, and furthermore, show how they dynamically affect one another over time. Such analyses can help reveal dynamic relationships and support the development of theory and design principles [2].

We are not only interested in how sequences of click-stream data are related to learning outcomes, but why. Moreover, the separation of data within clickstreams – which clicks are associated, how they are chunked into meaningful sequences, and what objects are available to click – are related to a theorized account of data representation and segmentation. Greater understanding of temporality is key here; the very understanding of an 'episode' or 'event' is tied to temporal notions around the demarcation of meaningful segments. Issues are more complex yet, in addition to temporal analyses which consider the arrangement of events within sequences and the organization of multiple events over time, there are those which explore time as a continuous flow of events, examining their positioning, rates, and duration [20]. Both approaches raise complex questions around operationalization and data collection [26].

Much recent work (for example the use of use of 'lag sequential analysis' [8, 22] in [used in 5], t-patterns [16, 17] in [14], patternanalyses [e.g. used in 12], and Markov models [see recent inclusion in the analytic techniques of, 6]) has focused on analysis of recurring sequences and their association with learning. While t-pattern analysis can be used to explore longer, more temporally separated sequences than LSA and Markov models, all these techniques are best suited to relatively short recurring sequences and analysis of event transitions [24]. Therefore, other approaches will be needed for temporal analysis of accretion and 'flow' or development over time. For example, in analysis of the unstable and evolving nature of topics in dialogue, Introne and Dreschler take as their unit of analysis "a sequence of replies, seek[ing] to understand how clusters of words in these reply sequences change, merge, and split" [11]. Here their interest is in modelling the statistical properties of the co-occurrence of words over time, as opposed to modelling probabilities based on dictionary entries or other corpora. Regardless of focus, fundamental to these examples is the bringing together of both analytic and theoretical accounts. The learning analytics community offers a productive focal ground for exploring and furthering such efforts through its positioning at the nexus of learning and analytic concerns.

2. REFERENCES

- Akhras, F.N. and Self, J.A. 1999. Modeling the process, not the product, of learning. *Computers as cognitive tools*.
 S. Lajoie P... and S. Derry J..., eds. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 3–28.
- [2] Azevedo, R. and Witherspoon, A.M. 2008. Detecting, Tracking, and Modeling Self-Regulatory Processes during Complex Learning with Hypermedia. AAAI Fall Symposium: Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures (2008), 16–26.
- [3] Barab, S.A. et al. 2001. Constructing networks of actionrelevant episodes: An in situ research methodology. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*. 10, 1-2 (2001), 63–112.
- [4] Chen, B. et al. 2015. How do mooc learners' intentions relate to their behaviors and overall outcome? *Proceedings* of the AERA Annual Meeting (Chicago, Illinois, 2015).
- [5] Chen, B. and Resendes, M. 2014. Uncovering what matters: Analyzing transitional relations among contribution types in knowledge-building discourse. *Proceedings of the Fourth ACM International Learning Analytics and Knowledge Conference* (Indianapolis, US, 2014).
- [6] Chiu, M.M. and Fujita, N. 2014. Statistical Discourse Analysis of Online Discussions: Informal Cognition, Social Metacognition and Knowledge Creation. *Proceedings of* the Fourth International Conference on Learning Analytics And Knowledge (New York, NY, USA, 2014), 217–225.
- [7] Collazos, C.A. et al. 2002. Evaluating collaborative learning processes. *Groupware: Design, Implementation,* and Use. Springer. 203–221.
- [8] Faraone, S.V. and Dorfman, D.D. 1987. Lag sequential analysis: Robust statistical methods. *Psychological Bulletin*. 101, 2 (Mar. 1987), 312–323.
- [9] Ferguson, R. 2012. The State of Learning Analytics in 2012: A Review and Future Challenges. Technical Report #kmi-12-01. The Open University, UK.
- [10] Furberg, A. and Ludvigsen, S. 2008. Students' Meaningmaking of Socio- scientific Issues in Computer Mediated Settings: Exploring learning through interaction trajectories. *International Journal of Science Education*. 30, 13 (2008), 1775–1799.
- [11] Introne, J.E. and Drescher, M. 2013. Analyzing the flow of knowledge in computer mediated teams. *Proceedings of* the 2013 conference on Computer supported cooperative work (New York, NY, USA, 2013), 341–356.

- [12] Kinnebrew, J.S. et al. 2014. Analyzing the temporal evolution of students' behaviors in open-ended learning environments. *Metacognition and Learning*. (2014), 1–29.
- [13] Kloft, M. et al. 2014. Predicting MOOC Dropout over Weeks Using Machine Learning Methods. *Modeling Large* Scale Social Interaction in Massively Open Online Courses Workshop at Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (Doha, Qatar, 2014).
- [14] Kuvalja, M. et al. 2014. Patterns of co-occurring nonverbal behaviour and self-directed speech; a comparison of three methodological approaches. *Metacognition and Learning*. (2014), 1–25.
- [15] Littleton, K. 1999. Productivity through interaction. Learning with computers: Analysing productive interaction. K. Littleton and P. Light, eds. 179.
- [16] Magnusson, M.S. 2000. Discovering hidden time patterns in behavior: T-patterns and their detection. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers.* 32, 1 (Mar. 2000), 93–110.
- [17] Magnusson, M.S. 1996. Hidden Real-Time Patterns in Intra- and Inter-Individual Behavior: Description and Detection. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*. 12, 2 (Jan. 1996), 112–123.
- [18] Mercer, N. 2008. The seeds of time: why classroom dialogue needs a temporal analysis. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*. 17, 1 (2008), 33–59.
- [19] Mercer, N. and Littleton, K. 2007. Dialogue and the Development of Children's Thinking: A Sociocultural Approach. Routledge.
- [20] Molenaar, I. and Wise, A.F. in preparation. Concepts of time: A framework for thinking about the temporal aspects of learning. (in preparation).
- [21] Perera, D. et al. 2009. Clustering and sequential pattern mining of online collaborative learning data. *Knowledge* and Data Engineering, IEEE Transactions on. 21, 6 (2009), 759–772.
- [22] Putnam, L.L. 1983. Small Group Work Climates A Lag-Sequential Analysis of Group Interaction. *Small Group Research*. 14, 4 (1983), 465–494.
- [23] Reimann, P. et al. 2014. e-Research and learning theory: What do sequence and process mining methods contribute? *British Journal of Educational Technology*. 45, 3 (2014), 528–540.
- [24] Reimann, P. 2009. Time is precious: Variable- and eventcentred approaches to process analysis in CSCL research. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*. 4, 3 (Sep. 2009), 239–257.
- [25] Suthers, D.D. 2006. Technology affordances for intersubjective meaning making: A research agenda for CSCL. International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning. 1, (Aug. 2006), 315–337.
- [26] Winne, P.H. 2014. Issues in researching self-regulated learning as patterns of events. *Metacognition and Learning*. (2014), 1–9.
- [27] Wise, A.F. et al. 2013. Temporal Considerations in Analyzing and Designing Online Discussions in Education: Examining Duration, Sequence, Pace, and Salience. Assessment and Evaluation of Time Factors in Online Teaching and Learning:. E. Barbera and P. Reimann, eds. IGI Global.
- [28] Wise, A.F. and Chiu, M.M. 2011. Analyzing temporal patterns of knowledge construction in a role-based online discussion. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*. 6, 3 (Sep. 2011), 445–470.