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ABSTRACT
We present photometric, spectroscopic and weak lensing analysis of the large-scale structure and dynamics of the most X-ray luminous galaxy cluster known, RX J1347−1145, at z = 0.451. We spectroscopically confirmed 47 new members with Low Dispersion Survey Spectrograph 3 (LDSS3) on the Magellan telescope. Together with previously known members, we measure a new velocity dispersion of $1163 \pm 97$ km s$^{-1}$. The mass inferred from our velocity dispersion is $M_{200} = 1.16^{+0.32}_{-0.27} \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$, with $r_{200} = 1.85$ Mpc, under the assumption of a singular isothermal sphere. We also present a weak lensing analysis using deep Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) data on this cluster, and find a deprojected mass of $1.47^{+0.46}_{-0.43} \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$ within $r_{200}$, in excellent agreement with our dynamical estimate. Thus, our new dynamical mass estimate is consistent with that from weak lensing and X-ray studies in the literature, resolving a previously claimed discrepancy. We photometrically detect and spectroscopically confirm another massive cluster with $\sigma = 780 \pm 100$ km s$^{-1}$ and $M_{200} = 3.4^{+1.4}_{-1.1} \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} \sim 7$ Mpc south-west of RX J1347−1145, which we refer to as RXJ1347−SW. Our spectroscopic survey reveals a possible excess of galaxies in velocity space in the region between RX J1347−1145 and RXJ1347−SW; comparing with simulations, this excess appears consistent with that expected from a large filamentary structure traced by galaxies connecting these two clusters.
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1 INTRODUCTION
How galaxy properties are affected by the environment they reside in remains one of the unsolved puzzles of galaxy formation. The galaxy population in clusters has been observed to have different properties from that in the field (e.g. Balogh et al. 2004; Weinmann et al. 2006; McGee et al. 2008), suggesting that this growth of large-scale structure is accompanied by a transformation in galaxy properties. However, it is still an open question as to exactly what mechanisms are responsible for this difference (e.g. Balogh et al. 1999; Tanaka et al. 2006).

Clues might come from the outskirt regions of clusters because that is where field and groups of galaxies are accreting on to clusters, especially along filamentary structures that are seen in simulations (e.g. Bond, Kofman & Pogosyan 1996; Colberg et al. 1999). Groups in the outskirts are particularly interesting; a recent study by McGee et al. (2009), using a semi-analytic model, shows that a large fraction of galaxies that accrete on to clusters are in groups (but see Berrier et al. 2009), and they might have been pre-processed in the group environment before they fall into clusters (Balogh, Navarro & Morris 2000; Fujita 2004; Li, Yee & Ellingson 2009; Balogh & McGee 2010). However, these groups are likely to be different from isolated groups in the field, due to their earlier formation time (Maulbetsch et al. 2007) and the tidal fields from the large-scale structure around clusters (Gnedin 2003). Therefore, closer examination of groups and filamentary structures in the outskirts of clusters, and a comparison with isolated groups in the field, may provide important clues to how the cluster environment affects the properties of galaxies.

We have started an extensive spectroscopic campaign on two contrasting clusters at intermediate redshift, focusing on groups in...
the outskirt regions out to \( \sim 8 \) Mpc. The ultimate goal is to put strong constraints on the effect of the environments by comparing properties of groups in the infall regions with those of isolated groups, and with galaxy formation models. In this work, as the first paper of a series, we present results from a pilot study on the dynamics and large-scale structure of one of the clusters, RX J1347−1145 (\( z = 0.451 \)).

RX J1347−1145 is the most X-ray luminous cluster in the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS; Schindler et al. 1995), and has been the subject of intense study, through spectroscopic (Cohen & Kneib 2002), X-ray (Schindler et al. 1997; Allen, Schmidt & Fabian 2002; Ettori et al. 2004; Gitti & Schindler 2004; Gitti, Piffaretti & Schindler 2007; Allen et al. 2008; Bradač et al. 2008; Miranda et al. 2008; Ota et al. 2008), lensing (Fischer & Tyson 1997; Sahu et al. 1998; Bradač et al. 2005, 2008; Kling et al. 2005; Broadhurst et al. 2008; Halkola et al. 2008; Miranda et al. 2008), and Sunyaev–Zel’dovich effect (Komatsu et al. 2001; Pointecouteau et al. 2001; Kitayama et al. 2004; Mason et al. 2009) analyses. The centre of the cluster was initially thought to be dynamically relaxed. Although there are two very bright galaxies near the centre (usually referred to as the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) and the second BCG), they are only 18 arcsec (\( \sim 0.1 \) Mpc) apart in the plane of sky, and \( < 100 \) km s\(^{-1}\) in velocity. However, a discrepancy between the relatively low mass measured dynamically by Cohen & Kneib (2002), compared with X-ray and weak lensing measurements, led to the suggestion that the cluster is actually undergoing a major merger. This hypothesis has received support recently from the discovery of shocked gas in the centre of the cluster (Komatsu et al. 2001; Allen et al. 2002; Gitti & Schindler 2004; Bradač et al. 2008; Miranda et al. 2008; Ota et al. 2008).

Most of the previous work is on the central region of the cluster; in this paper, we focus on the dynamics and the large-scale structure of this cluster, using imaging data obtained with Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) and spectroscopic data obtained with the Magellan telescope. We describe the data in Section 2. In Section 3, we present the photometric and spectroscopic analysis on the large-scale structure, and the detection of another massive cluster, RXJ1347−SW, \( \sim 7 \) Mpc from the cluster centre. We also present mass estimates of the two clusters through dynamical methods and weak lensing analysis. In Section 4, we compare our mass estimates of the main cluster with those in the literature, and discuss the possible filamentary connection between the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW using simulations. Our findings are summarized in Section 5.

Throughout this paper, we assume a cosmology with \( \Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \) and \( H_0 = 70 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\). All magnitudes are in the AB system.

## 2 DATA

### 2.1 Imaging

Deep \( g′, r′, i′, z′ \)-band images of the cluster over a 1 deg\(^2\) field were obtained using Megaprime on the CFHT in semester 05A (proposals 05AC10 and 05AC12), with total exposure times of 4200 s in \( g′ \), 7200 s in \( r′ \), 1600 s in \( i′ \), and 3150 s in \( z′ \). The \( z′ \)-band data will not be mentioned further because they are not relevant for the work presented here. In each filter, images from single exposures are co-added using SWARP v2.16.0 (Bertin et al. 2002) and photometry is performed using SExtractor v2.4.4 (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), with the camera run zero-point. The latter quantity is provided by Elixir, and is extracted from the Landoldt field observed under photometric conditions every night. Since our science data were not taken under photometric conditions, a zero-point offset calculated from a short exposure taken under photometric conditions is applied. The typical seeing is \( \sim 0.8, \sim 0.7 \) and \( \sim 1 \) arcsec in \( g′, r′ \) and \( i′ \) band, respectively. Colours are measured using magnitudes within a fixed aperture of diameter 4.7 arcsec, which is much larger than the seeing difference in different filters. The magnitude measured within the Kron radius (\texttt{MAG_AUTO}) is considered as the total magnitude for each object. Although crowding and blending of objects affects the photometry for about 10 per cent of the galaxies in our sample, we have checked that none of the results presented here is sensitive to this.

Star-galaxy separation is based on the stellar locus defined by the half-light radius as a function of total magnitude, in combination with the \texttt{SExtractor} parameter, \texttt{CLASS_STAR}. Objects with \texttt{CLASS_STAR} \( \leq 0.98 \) in the \( g′ \) band, and not on the stellar locus, are considered galaxies. The completeness limit for galaxies is \( \sim 0.8 \) mag brighter than the 5\( \sigma \) limit for point sources (Yee 1991); therefore, the completeness limit for galaxies in our catalogue in the three bands that are relevant in this work is estimated to be \( g′ \sim 25 \) mag, \( r′ \sim 25 \) mag and \( i′ \sim 23.2 \) mag.

### 2.2 Spectroscopy

#### 2.2.1 Observations

In this section, we first describe our spectroscopic observation at the four pointings (shown in Fig. 1) that are chosen based on the large-scale structure traced by red-sequence galaxies, which will be described in Section 3.1.

To make the survey more efficient, we use the combination of \( (g′ − r′) \) and \( (r′ − i′) \) colour information to eliminate obvious higher redshift galaxies (see fig. 6 in Lu et al. 2009 for more details). Moreover, we select galaxies with \( i′ \leq 22 \) mag. This ensures that for objects of interest here \( [(g′ − i′) < 3] \) our sample is complete in each band, and the colour measurement is reliable. We make sure our targets are not colour biased; as can be seen in Appendix A, the completeness in each pointing for the red and blue populations separately is similar, at \( \sim 50 \) per cent.

Spectra were obtained using the Low Dispersion Survey Spectrograph 3 (LDSS3) on the 6.5-m Clay Telescope over the nights from 2008 April 28th to May 1st. The pointing positions are indicated in Fig. 1, each with a field-of-view (FOV) of 8.3 arcmin in diameter (corresponding to \( r = 1.4 \) Mpc at the redshift of the cluster). The VR filter and Medium Red grism were used, which covers the wavelength range 5000–7200 Å. At the redshift of the cluster, the important spectral features CaH, CaK, \( G \) band, H\( \delta \) and [O\( i \)] fall into this wavelength range. Each pointing was observed with two masks, with an average of \( \sim 60 \) objects and \( 3 \times 20 \) min exposure, per mask, with slit width 1.2 arcsec, providing a spectral resolution of \( \sim 14 \) Å.
3 RESULTS

3.1 Photometrically identified large-scale structure

In this section, we describe the large-scale structure traced by the red-sequence galaxies. Again, only galaxies with $i' \leq 22$ mag are used, for reasons discussed in Section 2.2.1. At the redshift of this cluster ($z = 0.45$), the $(g' - i')$ colour brackets the 4000 Å break; therefore we use this colour to identify red-sequence galaxies around this cluster, using a method based on the Red-sequence Cluster Survey technique (e.g. Gladders & Yee 2000; Lu et al. 2009). We define the red-sequence at the redshift of the cluster using known cluster members from Cohen & Kneib (2002) that have $(g' - i')$ colour measurement in our catalogue. Given the small slope and the scatter of the colour, we choose to use a red-sequence that has zero slope with a half width of 0.15 mag. Assuming the probability distribution of the colour of each galaxy is Gaussian, with $\sigma$ being the error on the colour measured from SEXTRACTOR, we can calculate the probability of each galaxy belonging to the colour slice (for details see Gladders & Yee 2000). The fact that the colour error from SEXTRACTOR is likely to be underestimated is not important here because the width of the colour slice used is much wider than the colour uncertainties. We consider galaxies with at least 10 per cent probability as red-sequence galaxies, and they are plotted as small circles in Fig. 1. Our results here are insensitive to the details of how the red-sequence galaxies are selected.
Using the background number counts measured from the CFHT Legacy Survey (CFHTLS) Wide field 1, we can calculate the overdensity of red-sequence galaxies. We define the significance of the overdensity as the background-subtracted number of red-sequence galaxies divided by the rms of the background distribution. The contours of the significance map, smoothed over a region of radius \( \sim 0.5 \) Mpc with a top hat function, are plotted in Fig. 1, starting from \( 2\sigma \), with an interval of \( 1\sigma \).

The most significant peak (\( \sim 25\sigma \)) is the main cluster (the pointing 'Centre'). The number of red-sequence galaxies (background subtracted) within 0.5 Mpc from the cluster centre, brighter than \( m^* + 2 \sigma = 22 \) mag, denoted \( N_{\text{red},m^*+2} \), is \( \sim 46 \pm 7 \), where the uncertainty is estimated using Poisson statistics. Converting \( N_{\text{red},m^*+2} \) to \( N_{200} \) according to fig. 8 in Lu et al. (2009), and using the scaling relation between \( N_{200} \) and \( M_{200} \) (Johnston et al. 2007; Hansen et al. 2009), we estimate the mass of the main cluster from its richness to be \( M_{200} \sim 1.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{15} \) M\(_{\odot}\); although we note the conversion from \( N_{\text{red},m^*+2} \) to \( N_{200} \) for such a high-richness system is extrapolated from lower richness systems.

There is another prominent peak with a significance of \( \sim 10\sigma \), about 7 Mpc (projected) away from the main cluster (pointing 'SW'). The \( N_{\text{red},m^*+2} \) for this system is \( \sim 22 \pm 5 \), which corresponds to \( M_{200} = (3.3^{+1.2}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{14} \) M\(_{\odot}\). This suggests that this system is a cluster in its own right, and we will refer it as RXJ1347−SW.

The significance contours also reveal several clumps of overdensities in the infall region around the main cluster, and an elongated large-scale structure running from north-east (NE) to south-west (SW), along a line connecting the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW. Four positions along this line are targeted for our spectroscopic follow-up with the Magellan telescope as described in Section 2.2.1. (Note the significant overdensity east of the pointing 'Mid' has been targeted with our on-going Gemini program.)

### 3.2 Dynamics

In this section, we present the dynamical analysis of the above described photometrically identified structure, based on the redshifts obtained.

#### 3.2.1 Redshift distribution and velocity dispersion

In Fig. 2, the full redshift distribution of all galaxies is shown as the dotted open histogram. There are two main peaks in the full distribution: one corresponds to the centre of the main cluster, and the other corresponds to RXJ1347−SW. We analyse these two clusters in detail first in this section, and examine the dynamics of the large-scale structure in the next section.

The redshift distribution of galaxies within \( \sim 1.4 \) Mpc from the main cluster centre is shown as the shaded histogram. The distribution is smooth in general; there is an indication of two separate peaks, but the distribution is still consistent with being drawn from the single Gaussian overlapped on the shaded histogram in Fig. 2. In particular, a \( \chi^2 \) test shows that a subsample drawn from this single Gaussian distribution would have a \( \chi^2 \) value as large as we observed about 80 per cent of the time. The mean redshift we measure is \( 0.4513 \pm 0.0006 \), consistent with the previous measurement by Cohen & Kneib (2002). The dispersion we measure, using the bi-weight method of Beers, Flynn & Gebhardt (1990), with 3\( \sigma \) clipping applied, is \( 0.0056 \pm 0.0005 \), which corresponds to a rest-frame velocity dispersion of \( 1163 \pm 97 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The errors are estimated using Jackknife resampling (Wall & Jenkins 2003). From now on, we refer to galaxies that are within 3 times the dispersion as members of the main cluster. The velocity dispersion we measure is higher than that of Cohen & Kneib (2002) (we discuss this more in Section 4.1.1).

Despite the consistency with a single Gaussian there is an indication of two separate velocity peaks in the distribution. A \( \chi^2 \) test shows that, at the \( \sim 30 \) per cent level, the distribution is consistent with a combination of two Gaussian functions: one with \( z_1 = 0.4495 \) and rest-frame velocity dispersion \( \sigma_1 = 620 \) km s\(^{-1}\), and the other with \( z_2 = 0.4552 \) and \( \sigma_2 = 535 \) km s\(^{-1}\). In that case, the centre of the cluster would be composed of two separate components with much smaller masses, and a relative velocity of \( \sim 1000 \) km s\(^{-1}\); considerably larger than the \( <100 \) km s\(^{-1}\) difference between the two BCGs (\( z_1 = 0.4515 \) and \( z_2 = 0.4511 \)). Although statistically this model is not favoured over the single-population model, we cannot rule out the possibility that there are two distinct dynamical components. We revisit this further in Section 4.1.2 when we consider both the spatial and velocity distribution of galaxies.

The redshift distribution of RXJ1347−SW (pointing 'SW') is shown as the open solid histogram in Fig. 2. It is not significantly different from a Gaussian distribution, with a mean redshift of 0.4708 \( \pm 0.0006 \), and dispersion of 0.0038 \( \pm 0.0005 \) or 780 \( \pm 100 \) km s\(^{-1}\).

#### 3.2.2 Phase space distribution

We examine the dynamics of the large-scale structure by converting the redshift of each galaxy to a rest-frame velocity relative to the central redshift of the main cluster. In Fig. 3, we show the velocity offset as a function of position projected along the line connecting the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW. Galaxies in the inner region of the cluster mainly occupy regions spanning from \( \sim 2000 \) to \( 2000 \) km s\(^{-1}\), symmetrically, while RXJ1347−SW is at \( \sim 4000 \) km s\(^{-1}\) from the main cluster. Note that in velocity space, the region occupied by members of massive clusters resembles a trumpet shape, as expected in a spherical infall model (e.g. van Haarlem & van de Weygaert 1993). The dashed curves in Fig. 3 indicate the
and has an elongated large-scale structure; therefore it is interesting. 1145 is the most X-ray luminous cluster known, 2009). RX J1347− is such as the X-ray temperature (e.g. Wake et al. 2005; Urquhart et al. dependence of this effect on the environment, and cluster properties redshift clusters. Since then there have been many studies on the Butcher–Oemler effect), indicating on-going star formation in high-)redshift clusters, and it is interesting to note that they form two trails running in the same direction as the elongation of the large-scale structure. The study by Butcher & Oemler (1984) revealed a higher fraction of blue galaxies in high redshift clusters compared to local ones (the Butcher–Oemler criterion, i.e. galaxies 0.2 mag bluer than the colour–magnitude relation (CMR) in rest-frame (B − V)). We transform rest-frame ∆(B − V) = 0.2 into our observed ∆(g′ − i′) using the colour difference between E and Sab galaxies from Fukugita, Shimasaku & Ichikawa (1995), as indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 4. The number of blue cluster members is calculated by dividing the number of spectroscopically confirmed blue galaxies by the completeness of the spectroscopy for the blue population. The number of red cluster members is calculated in the same way, and the total number of members is then the sum of that of the blue and red galaxies. The blue fraction of RX J1347−1145 within the central 1.4 Mpc (∼0.8 R200), down to i′ = 21 (corresponding to M_V ∼ −20) is 20.1±1.3 per cent. The uncertainty is estimated using the weighted binomial statistics Gehrels (1986). Note although RX J1347−1145 is the most X-ray luminous cluster in the RASS, its X-ray luminosity dependence found by Wake et al. (2005). The blue fraction of the cluster RXJ1347−SW (36 ± 12 per cent) is, within the uncertainty, consistent with that of the main cluster.

3.3 Colour distribution
As described in Section 3.1, we trace the large-scale structure around this cluster using red-sequence galaxies. We consider galaxies in the redshift range z_cl − 3σ_{cl} < z < z_{sw} + 3σ_{sw} as associated with the structure, where z_{cl} and σ_{cl} are the mean and dispersion of the redshift distribution of the main cluster, and z_{sw} and σ_{sw} are the same for RXJ1347−SW. In Fig. 1, solid squares and crosses represent red and blue galaxies that are spectroscopically confirmed to be associated with the structure, respectively.

The colour–magnitude diagram of galaxies in the four pointings along the structure is shown in Fig. 4. The open circles are all galaxies in the field of view, the crosses are galaxies with secure redshift obtained, and the filled circles are members associated with the structure. Solid lines are fitted red-sequences, and dashed lines separate blue galaxies from the red-sequence (see text for detail).

The colour–magnitude diagram of galaxies in the four pointings along the structure is shown in Fig. 4. The open circles are all galaxies in the field of view, the crosses are galaxies with secure redshift obtained, and the filled circles are members associated with the structure.

We calculate the blue fraction of the main cluster using the Butcher & Oemler (1984) criterion, i.e. galaxies 0.2 mag bluer than the colour–magnitude relation (CMR) in rest-frame (B − V). We transform rest-frame ∆(B − V) = 0.2 into our observed ∆(g′ − i′) using the colour difference between E and Sab galaxies from Fukugita, Shimasaku & Ichikawa (1995), as indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 4. The number of blue cluster members is calculated by dividing the number of spectroscopically confirmed blue galaxies by the completeness of the spectroscopy for the blue population. The number of red cluster members is calculated in the same way, and the total number of members is then the sum of that of the blue and red galaxies. The blue fraction of RX J1347−1145 within the central 1.4 Mpc (∼0.8 R200), down to i′ = 21 (corresponding to M_V ∼ −20) is 20.1±1.3 per cent. The uncertainty is estimated using the weighted binomial statistics Gehrels (1986). Note although RX J1347−1145 is the most X-ray luminous cluster in the RASS, its blue fraction in the central region is similar to that of other less luminous clusters (Ellingson et al. 2001), consistent with the lack of X-ray luminosity dependence found by Wake et al. (2005). The blue fraction of the cluster RXJ1347−SW (36 ± 12 per cent) is, within the uncertainty, consistent with that of the main cluster.

From the contours in Fig. 1, the region between the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW shows an overdensity of red-sequence galaxies. Our spectroscopy also reveals a large population of blue galaxies, and it is interesting to note that they form two trails running in the same direction as the elongation of the large-scale structure. The large blue population associated with an overdensity of red galaxies is particularly interesting. It suggests that targeting regions with overdensities of red-sequence galaxies for spectroscopic follow-up is a strategy that allows the efficient selection of cluster members,
while still tracing interesting structures with active star formation. A more thorough analysis of the stellar populations in the large-scale structure will be done when the rest of the data are obtained.

3.4 Mass estimate

In this section, we estimate the mass of the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW using different methods. We defer a comparison with the literature, and the discussion of the dynamical implication, to Section 4.1.

3.4.1 Dynamical estimates

For a given density profile and velocity anisotropy, the velocity dispersion can be used to infer the virial mass. Under the assumption of a singular isothermal density profile and a velocity anisotropy that satisfies $\sigma_\text{vir} = v_c/\sqrt{2}$ (Lokas & Mamon 2001), the virial mass can be expressed as $M_\text{vir} = 2\sigma_\text{vir}^2/r_c/G$. Applying this to the measured velocity dispersion (Section 3.2.1) yields a $M_{200} = \sqrt{2}\sigma_\text{vir}^2/[5H(z)G] = 1.16^{+0.32}_{-0.27} \times 10^{15} M_\odot$, with $r_{200} = \sqrt{2}\sigma/[10H(z)] = 1.85$ Mpc. This mass estimate agrees well with that inferred from the richness of the cluster, as described in Section 3.1.

Under the same assumptions, the mass of RXJ1347−SW is estimated to be $M_{200} = 3.4^{+1.4}_{-1.1} \times 10^{14} M_\odot$, with $r_{200} = 1.22$ Mpc. This $M_{200}$ is also in good agreement with that inferred from the richness, and confirms that the cluster RXJ1347−SW we detected photometrically using the red-sequence galaxies is indeed a massive cluster. There has not been any report on the existence of this cluster in the literature.

Another method to estimate the mass through dynamical analysis is the caustic technique, under the assumption that clusters form through hierarchical accretion (Diaferio & Geller 1997; Diaferio 1999). The advantage of this technique is that it does not depend on the assumption of dynamical equilibrium, and it can probe the mass out to large radii where the virial theorem does not apply any more.

As described in Section 3.2.2 (Fig. 3), cluster members occupy a region in velocity space with a trumpet shape delineated by caustics that separate them from the Hubble flow. Assuming spherical symmetry, the mass of the cluster can be estimated as

$$M(<r) = \frac{1}{2G} \int_0^r A^2(r) \, dr,$$

where $A$ is the amplitude of the caustic. The prescription for implementing the caustic method is described in detail in Diaferio (1999). Here, we only summarize the key steps. Since the location of the caustics is where clusters are separated from the Hubble flow, it is indicated by a significant drop of the density of cluster members in velocity space. Therefore, one first calculates the density as a function of velocity at different radii, using an adaptive kernel method (Silverman 1986). In order to use the spherical smoothing window, the window size in velocity and distance, $h_v$ and $h_r$, has to be rescaled (see Diaferio 1999, for details). For our data, we choose $q = h_v/h_r = 6$, and a spherical smoothing window size of $\sim 700$ km s$^{-1}$. In the central region of clusters, the escape velocity (essentially the location of the caustics) is twice the velocity dispersion, which is valid under the assumption that the central region of the cluster is virialized. Therefore, in practice, the threshold density where the significant drop occurs (and thus determines the amplitude of the caustics) is chosen so that the above condition is satisfied.

The deprojected mass profile of the main cluster estimated using this technique is shown as solid dots in both panels of Fig. 5. The errors are estimated using Jackknife resampling (Wall & Jenkins 2003). At $r_{200} = 1.85$ Mpc, the enclosed mass is $\sim 1 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$. This is in excellent agreement with that inferred from the velocity dispersion; however, this is largely true by construction, since the density threshold is chosen such that the two techniques agree within the virialized region of the cluster. The caustic-based measurements have the most value at larger radii, where the assumption of virial equilibrium is unlikely to hold. Note beyond $r \sim 2$ Mpc, we only have sparse sampling in two pointings, and the velocity distribution of galaxies in these pointings is not likely representative of that of

1 Within the range of reasonable choices of parameters, the change of the resulting mass profile is within the resulting error bars.
the whole population at that radius, due to the presence of elongated large-scale structure. We fit the mass profile to a NFW (Navarro et al. 1997) and isothermal profile, shown as the solid and dotted curves respectively in the left-hand panel of Fig. 5. Because of the large uncertainties on the mass profile due to limited data, the two profiles cannot be distinguished.

3.4.2 Weak lensing analysis

We use the deep CFHT $r'$ imaging data to study the cluster mass distribution using weak gravitational lensing. Unlike dynamical methods, the weak lensing signal provides a direct measurement of the projected mass, irrespective of the dynamical state of the cluster. Furthermore, the observed signal can be used to ‘map’ the distribution of dark matter (e.g. Kaiser & Squires 1993).

Our cluster weak lensing analysis follows in detail the steps described in Hoekstra (2007). In order to relate the lensing signal to a physical mass requires knowledge of the redshift distribution of the sources. The wavelength coverage and limited depth of the observations prevent us from deriving accurate photometric redshifts for the individual sources. Instead, we use the results from Ilbert et al. (2006) who determined photometric redshifts for galaxies in the CFHTLS deep fields.

To determine the lensing signal, we measure the shapes of galaxies with $22 < r' < 25$. We use such a relatively faint cut because of the high redshift of the cluster. The galaxy shapes are corrected using the method presented in Kaiser, Squires & Broadhurst (1995), with modifications described in Hoekstra et al. (1998). The weak lensing signal is sensitive to all structure along the line of sight, and, as shown in Hoekstra (2001, 2003), this distant (uncorrelated) large-scale structure introduces additional noise to the mass estimate. This ‘cosmic shear’ noise is included in the errors listed below. We note that the noise due to the intrinsic ellipticities of the sources dominates because of the relatively high cluster redshift.

The weak lensing reconstruction of the projected surface density with a smoothing scale of 123.3 arcsec is presented in Fig. 6 out to $\sim$10 Mpc. To indicate more clearly which structures are significant, we show the signal-to-noise ratio, rather than the signal itself, as the grey-scale (see the left-hand panel in Fig. 9 for a more detailed view). The main cluster and RXJ1347−SW are clearly detected, at $\sim 8\sigma$ and $\sim 4\sigma$ levels, respectively. No other significant structures are evident in this map. The contours of the overdensity of the red-sequence galaxies, the same as in Fig. 1, are overplotted on top of the mass map. For the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW, the mass concentrations coincide with the overdensities of red-sequence galaxies.

The smoothing of the mass map limits its use to determine the cluster mass. Instead, we compute the mean tangential distortions as a function of distance from the cluster centre. The resulting measurements for the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW are shown in Fig. 7. We estimate the cluster masses from these shear measurements using two different methods. The first, and most commonly used, is to assume a density profile and determine the best-fitting parameters to the observed tangential distortion. For comparison with the dynamical results, we first fit a singular isothermal sphere (SIS) model (SIS) to the observations between 500 kpc and 2 Mpc, which yields a velocity dispersion of $\sigma = 1282 \pm 124$ km s$^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with the results based on the redshifts of the cluster galaxies. Thanks to the wide-field lensing data, we can also apply the same method to RXJ1347−SW (centred at $13^h 46^m 27^s.2, -11^\circ 54' 20".2$),
for which we obtain a velocity dispersion of $\sigma = 927 \pm 165 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, also in good agreement with the value inferred from the redshifts of the cluster members.

Although the SIS allows for an easy comparison to the observed velocity dispersion, a more physically motivated function is the NFW (Navarro et al. 1997) profile, which has been inferred from numerical simulations. We assume the mass–concentration relation from Duffy et al. (2008) and fit the NFW model to the data within 500 kpc and 2 Mpc. With these assumptions, we find a best-fitting value for the virial mass of $M_{\text{vir}} = 1.89^{+0.59}_{-0.55} \times 10^{15} \text{ M}_\odot$. The best-fitting NFW model within different apertures are indicated by stars in the left-hand panel of Fig. 5. For RXJ1347–SW we obtain $M_{\text{vir}} = 6.4^{+3.9}_{-3.3} \times 10^{14} \text{ M}_\odot$.

To compare to the results from the cluster galaxy dynamics, we also compute the corresponding masses within $r_{200}^{\text{dyn}} = 1.85 \text{ Mpc}$. For this choice of radius, we obtain $M_{200} = 1.47^{+0.43}_{-0.45} \times 10^{15} \text{ M}_\odot$ for the main cluster. This result is in excellent agreement with the value inferred from dynamics. For RXJ1347–SW, we obtain $M_{200} = 4.8^{+3.2}_{-2.9} \times 10^{14} \text{ M}_\odot$ for $r_{200}^{\text{dyn}} = 1.22 \text{ Mpc}$.

Since weak lensing signals are sensitive to the total mass projected along the line of sight; it is interesting to consider a non-parametric estimate for the projected mass within an aperture (e.g. Fahlman et al. 1994; Clowe et al. 1998; see Hoekstra 2007 for our implementation). The resulting projected masses as a function of radius are presented in Fig. 8 as solid hexagons. Fig. 8 also shows other estimates from the literature, which will be discussed in detail in Section 4.1.1.

As discussed in Hoekstra (2007) and Mahdavi et al. (2008), one can assume spherical symmetry to deproject the resulting masses. We assume an NFW profile to extrapolate along the line of sight, and these two estimates are themselves in agreement with the X-ray data have also been used to infer the mass.

In a recent study by Gitti et al. (2007), they estimate the mass by finding a NFW mass profile (Navarro et al. 1997) that reproduces the observed X-ray temperature profile. The resulting integrated mass at different radii are plotted as open triangles in the right-hand panel of Fig. 5. This is consistent with our estimates from both the dynamics and the weak lensing analysis (Section 3.4).

Similarly, the study by Allen et al. (2002) estimated the virial mass by fitting a NFW profile to their Chandra observations, and their $M_{200}$ is plotted as the solid hexagon in the right-hand panel of Fig. 5. Other mass estimates using X-ray data by Schindler et al. (1997) and Ettori et al. (2004) are plotted in the right-hand panel of Fig. 5 as well. All these estimates at different radii are consistent within their error bars.

As discussed in Section 3.4.2, the projected mass is a more direct measurement than the deprojected mass from the weak lensing analysis, since it is the total projected mass along the line of sight that gives rise to the weak lensing signals; therefore, it is more direct to compare the measured projected mass from different studies.

In Fig. 8, the projected mass enclosed within a certain radius estimated from strong, weak and combined lensing analyses in the literature are plotted, along with our weak lensing estimate (see legends). Our weak lensing mass estimate (solid hexagons) is consistent with that of Fischer & Tyson (1997) (the solid triangle), and these two estimates are themselves in agreement with the X-ray analysis of Gitti et al. (2007) (the solid square).

The weak lensing mass estimated by Kling et al. (2005) is slightly higher ($\sim 2\sigma$). This discrepancy is likely due to differences in the assumed source redshift distribution; Kling et al. (2005) base their estimate on $r < 24.5 \text{ spectroscopy over the small area of the Hubble Deep Field}$, while we use the photometric redshift distribution from the CFHTLS Deep survey. Given our good agreement with both X-ray and dynamical mass estimates, it seems likely that their analysis underestimates the mean source redshift, and thus overestimates the cluster mass.

In comparison, the mass estimates from strong lensing studies (Halkola et al. 2008; Miranda et al. 2008) and the combined strong and weak lensing analysis by Bradac et al. (2008) are systematically higher.4 In general, strong lensing provides an accurate estimate of

4 We did not include the work by Sahu et al. (1998) because the redshift of the arc they assumed is not correct.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Dynamical state of the main cluster

The mass estimate and the dynamical state of this cluster have been the focus of many previous studies. In this section, we first compare the mass estimated using different methods in this work and in the literature, and then discuss the dynamical state of the cluster.

4.1.1 Comparison of mass estimates

The mass of RX J1347–1145 has been estimated using different techniques. Other than through the dynamics and weak lensing signals as described in Section 3.4, X-ray data have also been used to infer the mass.

Similarly, the study by Allen et al. (2002) estimated the virial mass by fitting a NFW profile to their Chandra observations, and their $M_{200}$ is plotted as the solid hexagon in the right-hand panel of Fig. 5. Other mass estimates using X-ray data by Schindler et al. (1997) and Ettori et al. (2004) are plotted in the right-hand panel of Fig. 5 as well. All these estimates at different radii are consistent within their error bars.

As discussed in Section 3.4.2, the projected mass is a more direct measurement than the deprojected mass from the weak lensing analysis, since it is the total projected mass along the line of sight that gives rise to the weak lensing signals; therefore, it is more direct to compare the measured projected mass from different studies.

In Fig. 8, the projected mass enclosed within a certain radius estimated from strong, weak and combined lensing analyses in the literature are plotted, along with our weak lensing estimate (see legends). Our weak lensing mass estimate (solid hexagons) is consistent with that of Fischer & Tyson (1997) (the solid triangle), and these two estimates are themselves in agreement with the X-ray analysis of Gitti et al. (2007) (the solid square).

The weak lensing mass estimated by Kling et al. (2005) is slightly higher ($\sim 2\sigma$). This discrepancy is likely due to differences in the assumed source redshift distribution; Kling et al. (2005) base their estimate on $r < 24.5 \text{ spectroscopy over the small area of the Hubble Deep Field}$, while we use the photometric redshift distribution from the CFHTLS Deep survey. Given our good agreement with both X-ray and dynamical mass estimates, it seems likely that their analysis underestimates the mean source redshift, and thus overestimates the cluster mass.

In comparison, the mass estimates from strong lensing studies (Halkola et al. 2008; Miranda et al. 2008) and the combined strong and weak lensing analysis by Bradac et al. (2008) are systematically higher.4 In general, strong lensing provides an accurate estimate of
the projected mass only within the central few hundred kpc, whereas the weak lensing mass is better constrained at larger radii; therefore caution should be taken when combining strong and weak lensing analysis. See also Halkola et al. (2008) for a summary and more discussion of the comparison among these works.

The good agreement shown in Figs 5 and 8 suggest that the mass estimated from dynamical, X-ray, and weak lensing studies is generally consistent, while strong lensing gives a slightly higher value.

So far, there has been only one other published redshift survey on this cluster, by Cohen & Kneib (2002). They derived from 47 cluster members in their sample a velocity dispersion of 910 ± 130 km s\(^{-1}\), using the same bi-weight method by Beers et al. (1990) as used in this work. This is lower than the value we derived, and thus leads to a lower mass that is in conflict with that from other methods. However, we believe that the value quoted in their paper is in error. When we reanalyse the 47 redshifts as given in their table 1, we obtain a velocity dispersion of 1098 ± 157 km s\(^{-1}\). Therefore, there is actually no discrepancy between the dynamical mass estimate and that from X-ray and lensing analysis.

### 4.1.2 Merger in the centre?

One important reason the merger scenario was proposed for this cluster is to explain the large discrepancy between the mass estimated from the velocity dispersion by Cohen & Kneib (2002) and the X-ray and lensing mass estimates discussed in the above section. However, as shown above, our new measurement resolves this discrepancy, and thus removes the original motivation for believing the system is undergoing a major merger.

None the less, there is other evidence suggesting that this cluster might have recently undergone a merger. Although the X-ray morphology is generally relaxed in the centre of the cluster, the existence of a region with shocked gas (∼10–20 arcsec (∼0.09 Mpc) SE of the cluster centre has been detected as a high-pressure region in X-ray observations (Allen et al. 2002; Gitti & Schindler 2004; Bradač et al. 2008; Miranda et al. 2008; Ota et al. 2008) and a strong decrement in the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich observations (Komatsu et al. 2001; Mason et al. 2009). Mason et al. (2009) also found discontinuous features in the Chandra map. Further, Miranda et al. (2008) found that their strong lensing data can be much better described by a two component model with comparable mass than the single mass component model. The fact that there are two bright galaxies in the centre of this cluster 18 arcsec (∼0.1 Mpc) apart in the plane of sky is also taken as a possible indication of a merger event (but note their line-of-sight relative velocity is only ∼100 km s\(^{-1}\)). Kitayama et al. (2004) suggested that one possible scenario is a collision of equal mass clumps with a collision speed of 4600 km s\(^{-1}\). However, this collision cannot be mostly along the line of sight because as shown in Section 3.2.1 (and also in the work by Cohen & Kneib 2002), the redshift distribution is better described as a single Gaussian distribution. As discussed in Section 3.2.1, our velocity data are also consistent (at the 30 per cent significance level), with a model distribution having two Gaussian components. However, even in that case, the radial velocity offset between the two peaks is only about 1000 km s\(^{-1}\), much smaller than 4600 km s\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, if the centre of the cluster is indeed composed of two merging components, each with a much smaller velocity dispersion than what we find for the system as a whole (see Section 3.2.1), the dynamically estimated mass profile would be much lower than that shown in Fig. 5 (the hexagon and the filled points), and that would be inconsistent with the weak lensing and X-ray mass estimates.

To investigate this further, we use the ∆-test proposed by Dressler & Shectman (1988) to examine closely the 3D substructures in the centre of the main cluster. This test measures the deviation of the local velocity and velocity dispersion from the global mean, and is quantified as

\[
\delta = \frac{N_{\text{nb}} + 1}{\sigma^2} \left[ (\bar{v}_{\text{local}} - \bar{v})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{local}} - \sigma)^2 \right],
\]

where \(N_{\text{nb}}\) is the number of neighbour galaxies, \(\bar{v}_{\text{local}}\) and \(\sigma_{\text{local}}\) are local mean velocity and dispersion measured from each galaxy and its \(N_{\text{nb}}\) nearest neighbours, \(\bar{v}\) and \(\sigma\) are global mean velocity and velocity dispersion, respectively.

In the left-hand panel of Fig. 9, we show the spatial distribution of the confirmed cluster members (solid squares) with their local deviation from the global mean indicated by the size (proportional to \(\delta\)) of the circle around them. The solid circles indicate negative local deviations (\(\bar{v} < 0.4513\)), and dashed open circles positive local deviations (\(\bar{v} > 0.4513\)). To examine closely the centre of the cluster, in this panel we only plot the region within a radius of ∼0.5 Mpc from the cluster centre. The two triangles indicate the two BCGs. The region ∼20 arcsec SE of cluster centre, indicated by the large green filled circle, is where the shocked gas is detected. Around that area, we do not detect significant deviation from the global mean. However, there seems to be a hint of a segregation between the region NE and SW of the cluster centre, in the sense that in the NE region most galaxies have negative local mean velocity relative to the mean redshift of the cluster, while galaxies in the SW region mostly have positive local mean relative velocity. This segregation is along the same direction connecting the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW.

Note the above estimated local mean might be biased by a few galaxies with large deviations due to our sparse data sampling. Therefore, in the right-hand panel of Fig. 9, we examine directly the spatial distribution of the redshift of individual galaxies, with a field of view of ∼2 × 2 Mpc\(^2\). The open circles indicate possible potential members (not targeted), and the crosses represent non-members. Cluster members with \(\bar{v} < 0.4513\) are indicated by the blue solid squares, and members with \(\bar{v} > 0.4513\) are indicated by the red solid squares. There is a hint that more galaxies with negative relative velocity reside in the region NE to the cluster centre. To test whether the distribution of the two samples is significantly different, we apply a 2D Kolmogorov–Smirnov test following the procedure proposed by Peacock (1983). The test suggests that there is a ∼60 per cent probability that galaxies with negative and positive relative velocity have different spatial distributions.

The evidence discussed above suggests that this might be a merger more in the plane of the sky than along the line of sight. However, unlike the famous Bullet cluster (e.g. Markevitch et al. 2002; Clowe et al. 2006), also a plane-of-sky merger, the features revealed by X-ray observations of RX J1347−1145 suggest a more complicated picture (e.g. Mason et al. 2009). Thus, further simulations and observations are needed to determine the exact merger scenario.

### 4.2 A possible filamentary connection

As seen in Fig. 3, cluster RXJ1347−SW is ∼7 Mpc (projected in the plane of sky) away from the main cluster, and has a rest-frame velocity offset of ∼4000 km s\(^{-1}\) relative to the main cluster. This could be a result of two scenarios. One is that RXJ1347−SW is at nearly the same distance as the main cluster, and is falling
into the cluster at a speed of \( \sim 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The other is that it is \( \sim 25 \text{ Mpc} \) in the background moving with Hubble expansion. We resort to simulations to try distinguishing these two scenarios. Since what we are looking for is how likely it is for two clusters like RX J1347−1145 and RXJ1347−SW to have a relative peculiar velocity as large as observed, we use the Hubble volume simulations (Evrard et al. 2002) because of its large box size (3000\(^3\)\(h^{-3}\)\,Mpc\(^3\)).

From the cluster catalogue in the full-sky light cone with \(\Lambda\)CDM cosmology (\(\Omega = 0.3, \Lambda = 0.7, \sigma_8 = 0.9\)), we search, in a redshift range close to the redshift of RXJ1347, for a pair of clusters that have similar masses (\(M_1 \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{15} M_\odot\), \(M_2 \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{14} M_\odot\)), projected distance (\(\sim 10\) Mpc), and rest-frame velocity offset as RX J1347−1145 and RXJ1347−SW. We do not find any system where they are at the same distance, but with an observed velocity offset of \(\sim 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). This does not mean definitely that no system could have such a large relative peculiar velocity because this is based on only one simulation with a large but still limited volume, under the assumption of one particular set of cosmological parameters. Among these parameters, \(\sigma_8\) is the most uncertain one, and in fact a lower value than used in the simulation is preferred by the latest Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) measurement (Dunkley et al. 2009). However, in that case, the peculiar velocity between clusters would be even lower due to the lower attracting force from the lower mass density. Even though we cannot reach a definitive conclusion, this does suggest that the probability that RXJ1347−SW is falling into the main cluster with such a high velocity is low; instead, it is more likely to be in the background.

However, just because RXJ1347−SW is far in the background does not necessarily mean that there is no connection between it and the main cluster. The fact that we observed an excess of galaxies between the two in velocity space (Fig. 3) suggests that there might be a physical connection (see Tanaka et al. 2009 for a report of the spectroscopic detection of a large-scale galaxy filament associated with a massive cluster at \(z = 0.5\); also Ebeling, Barrett & Donovan 2004). We compare our observation with simulations to see if the observed excess of galaxies could represent a real large-scale filamentary structure connecting the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW, rather than foreground and background galaxies projected in velocity space. To examine this on the scale of individual galaxies, we make use of the galaxy catalogue from a semi-analytic model by Font et al. (2008)\(^5\) based on the high resolution Millennium simulation (Springel et al. 2005). Because of the small volume of the simulation, there are only four clusters that have mass greater than \(1 \times 10^{15} M_\odot\). We search around these four clusters for a second cluster with lower mass and at a similar distance projected in the plane of sky and along the line-of-sight from the more massive cluster as in the case of our observed RX J1347−1145 and RXJ1347−SW. For the four pairs of systems we found, we calculate the line-of-sight velocity offset of each galaxy relative to the more massive one, and plot it as a function of distance, projected along the direction towards the less massive one. For direct comparison with our observed data, we only plot galaxies in a strip with a width similar to the radius of the FOV as our spectroscopic observation, down to the same magnitude limit as our data. In Fig. 10, we show one extreme case, where the two clusters (\(M_1 \sim 3 \times 10^{15} M_\odot\), \(M_2 \sim 2 \times 10^{15} M_\odot\)) are connected by a physical, large-scale filament traced by galaxies, with two other clusters in between. This structure is clearly visible in velocity space. The triangles indicate galaxies that are spatially within a cylinder with a radius of 3 Mpc (comparable to the virial radius of the more massive cluster) connecting the two clusters. This is an extreme case where the galaxy filament is very impressive due to the presence of two other massive systems in

\(^5\) Note for our purpose here the exact semi-analytic model used is unimportant.
between the two clusters. However, even in other cases in the simulation where the excess of galaxies between two clusters is smaller (≈5–10) than this one, the excess is still due to galaxies that physically reside in the region between two clusters, instead of being purely due to a projection effect. Although this is not definitive, it does support the possibility that the excess of galaxies we observe in velocity space between the two clusters reflects a real physical structure.

5 SUMMARY

In this work, we presented photometric and spectroscopic analysis on the dynamics and large-scale structure around the most X-ray luminous cluster RX J1347−1145, and found the following results.

(i) We identified an elongated large-scale structure around the main cluster, photometrically using red-sequence galaxies, and discovered a rich cluster (RXJ1347−SW) ≈7 Mpc (projected) away from the main cluster.

(ii) The velocity dispersion of the main cluster is 1163 ± 97 km s\(^{-1}\), corresponding to \(M_{200} = 1.16^{+0.32}_{-0.27} \times 10^{15} \, \text{M}_{\odot}\), with \(r_{200} = 1.85 \, \text{Mpc}\).

(iii) Our dynamical mass estimates based on both the velocity dispersion and velocity caustics are consistent with our weak lensing analysis, and the X-ray studies in the literature. We also find excellent agreement between these mass estimates and that estimated photometrically from the abundance of red-sequence galaxies (the richness).

(iv) Our new measurement resolves a previous claimed discrepancy between the dynamical mass estimate and other methods Cohen & Kneib (2002).

(v) Our spectroscopic analysis confirmed that the photometrically identified structure RXJ1347−SW is indeed a massive cluster, with a velocity dispersion of 780 ± 100 km s\(^{-1}\).

(vi) Our weak lensing analysis shows good correspondence between the mass concentration and the overdensity of red-sequence galaxies in the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW.

(vii) Comparing with simulations, the observed excess of galaxies between the main cluster and RXJ1347−SW relative to other regions around the main cluster in velocity space suggests a possible filamentary structure connecting these two clusters. This structure has a large population of blue galaxies associated with the overdensity of red-sequence galaxies, suggesting that targeting overdensities of red-sequence galaxies for spectroscopic follow-up allows the efficient selection of cluster members, while still tracing interesting structures with active star formation.

This work is a first result from our large on-going spectroscopic campaign. In future work, we will use the technique presented in this work to search and examine the properties of other structures, especially groups in the infall region, with the on-going data collection.
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SUCCESS RATE AND EFFICIENCY

In Figs A1 and A2, we show the sampling completeness (number of galaxies targeted/number of all targets), and overall completeness, i.e. the success rate (number of galaxies with secure redshift obtained/number targeted) multiplied by the sampling completeness, for the red and blue population in the four pointings, respectively. Our overall completeness is about 50 per cent.

For red galaxies, in pointings ‘Centre’ and ‘SW’, about 90 per cent of galaxies with secure redshifts obtained turned out to be members; and in the rest of the two less dense pointings, the efficiency is ∼60 and ∼70 per cent. For blue galaxies, the typical efficiency is ∼50 per cent.

APPENDIX A: REDSHIFT COMPLETENESS,
about 30 per cent. (The relatively low efficiency of obtaining blue members is not surprising.)

**APPENDIX B: REDSHIFT UNCERTAINTY**

We possess duplicate observations for some of the objects that appear on both masks at each pointing. To determine the uncertainty on the redshift, we compare the difference in the redshifts of the same object from two observations in Fig. B1. The histogram is the distribution of the difference in redshift (top axis) or rest-frame velocity (bottom axis) from repeated observations. The vertical solid line is the mean of the distribution, and vertical dashed lines indicate 1σ. It shows that the uncertainty on our redshift is \( \sim 113 \) km s\(^{-1}\) in the rest frame.

We also compare the redshifts determined from our observation with that from Cohen & Kneib (2002) for the matched objects. The distribution of the difference is shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. B2, with top axis in unit of redshift and bottom axis rest-frame velocity. In the right-hand panel of Fig. B2, the distribution of the difference in terms of the rest-frame velocity is normalized by the quadratically added uncertainties on our redshift (113 km s\(^{-1}\)) and that of Cohen & Kneib (2002) (which they assumed to be 100 km s\(^{-1}\)). This distribution is not significantly different from a standard normal distribution shown as the curve, although it is a small number statistics. This suggests that there is no systematic offset between the redshifts measured by Cohen & Kneib (2002) and us; therefore, we add the extra 34 redshift from Cohen & Kneib (2002) to our sample when applicable.

**APPENDIX C: SAMPLE SPECTRA**

Fig. C1 shows example spectra of two typical absorption-line galaxies with \( i' = 21.4 \) and 21.8 mag, close to the magnitude limit of our sample. Multiple absorption features are visible, including prominent CaK, CaH, H\(\delta\), H\(\beta\) lines. See figure caption for description of different lines.
Figure C1. Example spectra (the black line) of two typical galaxies with $i' = 21.4$ and 21.8 mag, close to the magnitude limit of our sample. The cyan line shows the template spectrum. The red line shows the sky spectrum, arbitrarily shifted and renormalized, to indicate where bright sky lines give rise to residuals. The positions of different spectral features are indicated with vertical lines, and in both spectra there are multiple absorption features identified, ensuring a secure redshift.
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