SDSS-III: MASSIVE SPECTROSCOPIC SURVEYS OF THE DISTANT UNIVERSE, THE MILKY WAY, AND EXTRA-SOLAR PLANETARY SYSTEMS
Building on the legacy of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS-I and II), SDSS-III is a program of four spectroscopic surveys on three scientific themes: dark energy and cosmological parameters, the history and structure of the Milky Way, and the population of giant planets around other stars. In keeping with SDSS tradition, SDSS-III will provide regular public releases of all its data, beginning with SDSS Data Release 8 (DR8), which was made public in 2011 January and includes SDSS-I and SDSS-II images and spectra reprocessed with the latest pipelines and calibrations produced for the SDSS-III investigations. This paper presents an overview of the four surveys that comprise SDSS-III. The Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey will measure redshifts of 1.5 million massive galaxies and Lya forest spectra of 150,000 quasars, using the baryon acoustic oscillation feature of large-scale structure to obtain percent-level determinations of the distance scale and Hubble expansion rate at $z < 0.7$ and at $z \approx 2.5$. SEGUE-2, an already completed SDSS-III survey that is the continuation of the SDSS-II Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (SEGUE), measured medium-resolution ($R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda \approx 1800$) optical spectra of 118,000 stars in a variety of target categories, probing chemical evolution, stellar kinematics and substructure, and the mass profile of the dark matter halo from the solar neighborhood to distances of 100 kpc. APOGEE, the Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment, will obtain high-resolution ($R \approx 30,000$), high signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N \geq 100$ per resolution element), H-band ($1.51 \mu m < \lambda < 1.70 \mu m$) spectra of $10^5$ evolved, late-type stars, measuring separate abundances for $\sim 15$ elements per star and creating the first high-precision spectroscopic survey of all Galactic stellar populations (bulge, bar, disks, halo) with a uniform set of stellar tracers and spectral diagnostics. The Multi-object APO Radial Velocity Exoplanet Large-area Survey (MARVELS) will monitor radial velocities of more than 8000 FGK stars with the sensitivity and cadence (10–40 m s$^{-1}$, ~24 visits per star) needed to detect giant planets with periods up to two years, providing an unprecedented data set for understanding the formation and dynamical evolution of giant planet systems. As of 2011 January, SDSS-III has obtained spectra of more than 240,000 galaxies, 29,000 $z \geq 2.2$ quasars, and 140,000 stars, including 74,000 velocity measurements of 2580 stars for MARVELS.
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### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) and the Legacy Survey of SDSS-II performed deep imaging of 8400 deg$^2$ of high Galactic latitude sky in five optical bands, repeat imaging of an equatorial stripe in the southern Galactic cap (SGC, roughly 25 epochs on 300 deg$^2$), and spectroscopy of more than 900,000 galaxies, 100,000 quasars, and 200,000 stars (Abazajian et al. 2009). In addition to completing the original SDSS goals, SDSS-II (which operated from 2005–2008) executed a supernova survey in the southern equatorial stripe (Frieman et al. 2008a), discovering more than 500 spectroscopically confirmed Type Ia supernovae in the redshift range $0.1 < z < 0.4$, and it also performed an imaging and spectroscopic survey of the Galaxy, known as SEGUE (the Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration; Yanny et al. 2009), with 3200 deg$^2$ of additional imaging and spectra of 240,000 stars selected in a variety of target categories. These surveys were accomplished using a dedicated 2.5 m telescope$^{84}$ with a wide field of view (7 deg$^2$, 3° diameter; Gunn et al. 2006), a large mosaic CCD camera (Gunn et al. 1998), a pair of double spectrographs, each fed by 320 optical fibers plugged into custom-drilled aluminum plates, and an extensive network of data reduction and calibration pipelines and data archiving systems. The resulting data sets have supported an enormous range of investigations, making the SDSS one of the most influential astronomical projects of recent decades (Madrid & Macchetto 2006, 2009).

The achievements of SDSS-I and II and the exceptional power of the SDSS facilities for wide-field spectroscopy together inspired SDSS-III, a six-year program begun in 2008 July and consisting of four large spectroscopic surveys on three scientific themes: dark energy and cosmological parameters, the history and structure of the Milky Way, and the population of giant planets around other stars. This paper provides an overview of the four SDSS-III surveys, each of which will be described in greater depth by one or more future publications covering survey strategy, instrumentation, and data reduction software.

The Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) is the primary dark-time survey of SDSS-III. It aims to determine the expansion history of the universe with high precision by using the baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) feature in large-scale structure as a standard ruler for measuring cosmological distances (Eisenstein & Hu 1998; Blake & Glazebrook 2003; Seo & Eisenstein 2003). More specifically, the BOSS redshift survey of 1.5 million massive galaxies aims to measure the distance–redshift relation $d_A(z)$ and the Hubble parameter $H(z)$ with percent-level precision out to $z = 0.7$, using the well-established techniques that led to the first detections of the BAO feature (Cole et al. 2005; Eisenstein et al. 2005). Pioneering a new method of BAO measurement, BOSS will devote 20% of its fibers to obtaining Lya forest absorption spectra of 150,000 distant quasars, achieving the first precision measurements of cosmic expansion at high redshift ($z \approx 2.5$) and serving as a pathfinder for future surveys employing this technique. BOSS is also performing spectroscopic surveys of approximately 75,000 ancillary science targets in a variety of categories. To enable BOSS to cover 10,000 deg$^2$, the SDSS imaging camera was used at the start of SDSS-III to survey an additional 2500 deg$^2$ of high-latitude sky in the SGC; this imaging was completed in 2010 January. Because BOSS was designed to observe targets 1–2 mag fainter than the original SDSS spectroscopic targets, substantial upgrades to the SDSS spectrographs were required.
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$84$ The Sloan Foundation 2.5 m Telescope at Apache Point Observatory (APO), in Sunspot, NM, USA.
The upgraded spectrographs were commissioned in Fall 2009. As of early 2011 January, BOSS had obtained 240,000 galaxy spectra and 29,000 high-redshift ($z \geq 2.2$) quasar spectra.

From 2008 July to 2009 July, SDSS-III undertook a spectroscopic survey of 118,000 stars in a variety of target categories, using the original SDSS spectrographs. This survey, called SEGUE-2, is similar in design to the SEGUE-1 spectroscopic survey of SDSS-II, but it used the results of SEGUE-1 to refine its target selection algorithms.85 While SEGUE-1 included both deep and shallow spectroscopic pointings, SEGUE-2 obtained only deep pointings to better sample the outer halo, which is the primary reason SEGUE-2 observed fewer stars than SEGUE. Together, the SEGUE-1 and SEGUE-2 surveys comprise 358,000 stars observed along a grid of sightlines totaling 2500 deg$^2$, with spectral resolution $R \equiv \lambda/\Delta\lambda \approx 1800$ spanning 3800 Å $< \lambda < 9200$ Å (where $\Delta\lambda$ is the FWHM of the line-spread function). Typical parameter measurement errors are 5–10 km s$^{-1}$ in radial velocity (RV), 100–200 K in $T_{\text{eff}}$, and 0.21 dex in [Fe/H], depending on signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) and stellar type (see Section 3). These data allow unique constraints on the stellar populations and assembly history of the outer Galaxy and on the mass profile of the Galaxy’s dark matter halo. SEGUE-2 observations are now complete.

SDSS-III also includes two bright-time surveys, generally performed when the moon is above the horizon and the lunar phase is more than 70 deg from new moon. The first of these is the Multi-object APO Radial Velocity Exoplanet Large-area Survey (MARVELS), which uses fiber-fed, dispersed fixed-delay interferometer (DFDI) spectrographs (Eriske & Ge 2000; Ge 2002; Ge et al. 2002; van Eyken et al. 2010) to monitor stellar RVs and detect the periodic perturbations caused by orbiting giant planets. MARVELS aims to monitor 8400 F, G, and K stars in the magnitude range $V = 8$–12, observing each star $\sim 24$ times over a 2–4 year interval to a typical photon-limited velocity precision per observation of $8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at $V = 9$, 17 m s$^{-1}$ at $V = 10$, and 27 m s$^{-1}$ at $V = 11$, with the goal of achieving total errors within a factor of 1.3 of the photon noise. These observations will provide a large and well-characterized statistical sample of giant planets in the period regime needed to understand the mechanisms of orbital migration and planet–planet scattering, as well as rare systems that would escape detection in smaller surveys. MARVELS began operations in Fall 2008 with a 60 fiber instrument, which we hope to supplement with a second 60 fiber instrument for the second half of the survey. As of 2011 January, it has obtained more than 74,000 RV measurements of 2580 stars.

The Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE) will undertake an $H$-band (1.51–1.70 $\mu$m) spectroscopic survey of $10^5$ evolved late-type stars spanning the Galactic disk, bulge, and halo, with a typical limiting (Vega-based) magnitude of $H \approx 12.5$ per field. Near-IR spectroscopy can be carried out even in regions of high dust extinction, which will allow APOGEE to survey uniform populations of giant/supergiant tracer stars in all regions of the Galaxy. APOGEE spectra will have resolution $R \approx 30,000$, roughly 15 times that of SEGUE-2, and will achieve an $S/N \geq 100$ per resolution element for most stars. These spectra will enable detailed chemical fingerprinting of each individual program star, typically with 0.1 dex measurement precision for $\sim 15$ chemical elements that trace different nucleosynthetic pathways and thus different populations of progenitor stars. Once APOGEE begins operations, MARVELS and APOGEE will usually observe simultaneously, sharing the focal plane with fibers directed to the two instruments, although this will not be practical in all fields. APOGEE will use a 300 fiber, cryogenic spectrograph that is now (2011 May) being commissioned at APO.

SDSS-III will continue the SDSS tradition of releasing all data to the astronomical community and the public, including calibrated images and spectra and catalogs of objects with measured parameters, accompanied by powerful database tools that allow efficient exploration of the data and scientific analysis (Abazajian et al. 2009). These public data releases will be numbered consecutively with those of SDSS-I and II; the first is Data Release 8 (DR8; Aihara et al. 2011), which occurred in 2011 January, simultaneously with the submission of this paper. To enable homogeneous analyses that span SDSS-I, II, and III, DR8 includes essentially all SDSS-I/II imaging and spectra, processed with the latest data pipelines and calibrations. DR8 also includes all the new imaging data obtained for BOSS and all SEGUE-2 data. DR9, currently scheduled for Summer 2012, will include BOSS spectra obtained through 2011 July, new SEGUE stellar parameter determinations that incorporate ongoing pipeline and calibration improvements, and MARVELS RV measurements obtained through 2010 December. DR10, currently scheduled for 2013 July, will include BOSS and APOGEE spectra obtained through 2012 July. All data releases are cumulative. The final data release, currently scheduled for 2014 December, will include all BOSS and APOGEE spectra and all MARVELS RV measurements.

The four subsequent sections describe the individual surveys in greater detail. We provide a short overview of the technical and scientific organization of SDSS-III in Section 6 and some brief concluding remarks in Section 7.

2. BOSS

According to general relativity (hereafter GR), the gravity of dark matter, baryonic matter, and radiation should slow the expansion of the universe over time. Astronomers attempting to measure this deceleration using high-redshift Type Ia supernovae found instead that cosmic expansion is accelerating (Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999), a startling discovery that had been anticipated by indirect arguments (e.g., Peebles 1984; Efstathiou et al. 1990; Kofman et al. 1993; Krauss & Turner 1995; Ostriker & Steinhardt 1995; Liddle et al. 1996) and has since been buttressed by more extensive supernova surveys and by several independent lines of evidence (see, e.g., Frieman et al. 2008b for a recent review). Cosmic acceleration is widely viewed as one of the most profound phenomenological puzzles in contemporary fundamental physics. The two highest level questions in the field are the following.

1. Is cosmic acceleration caused by a breakdown of GR on cosmological scales, or is it caused by a new energy component with negative pressure (“dark energy”) within GR?

2. If the acceleration is caused by “dark energy,” is its energy density constant in space and time and thus consistent with quantum vacuum energy (Zel’dovich 1968) or does its energy density evolve in time and/or vary in space?

For observational cosmology, the clearest path forward is to measure the history of cosmic expansion and the growth of dark matter clustering over a wide range of redshifts with the
highest achievable precision, searching for deviations from the model based on GR and a cosmological constant. Supernova surveys measure the distance–redshift relation using “standardized candles,” whose luminosities are calibrated by objects in the local Hubble flow. BOSS, on the other hand, employs a “standard ruler,” the BAO feature imprinted on matter clustering by sound waves that propagate through the baryon-photon fluid in the pre-recombination universe (Peebles & Yu 1970; Sunyaev & Zel’dovich 1970; Eisenstein & Hu 1998; Meiksin et al. 1999). The BAO scale can be computed, in absolute units, using straightforward physics and cosmological parameters that are well constrained by cosmic microwave background measurements. BAO are predicted to appear as a bump in the matter correlation function at a comoving scale corresponding to the sound horizon ($r = 153.2 \pm 1.7$ Mpc; Larson et al. 2011) or as a damped series of oscillations in the matter power spectrum (see Eisenstein et al. 2007b for a comparison of the Fourier- and configuration-space pictures). When measured in the three-dimensional clustering of matter tracers at redshift $z$, the transverse BAO scale constrains the angular diameter distance $d_A(z)$ and the line-of-sight scale constrains the Hubble parameter $H(z)$.

The first clear detections of BAO came in 2005 from analyses of the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey (Cole et al. 2005) and of the luminous red galaxy (LRG) sample (Eisenstein et al. 2001) of the SDSS (Eisenstein et al. 2005). The final SDSS-II BAO measurements determine the distance to $z \approx 0.275$ with an uncertainty of 2.7% (Kazin et al. 2010; Percival et al. 2010; improved from the 5% of Eisenstein et al. 2005). Because of the leverage provided by this absolute distance measurement, BAO measurements contribute substantially to the overall cosmological constraints derived from SDSS galaxy clustering (see Reid et al. 2010).

BOSS consists of two spectroscopic surveys, executed simultaneously over an area of 10,000 deg$^2$. The first targets 1.5 million galaxies, selected in color–magnitude space to be high-luminosity systems at large distances. The selection criteria, described further below, produce a roughly constant comoving space density $n \approx 3 \times 10^{-3} h Mpc^{-3}$ to $z = 0.6$, with a slight peak at $z \approx 0.55$, then a declining space density to $z \approx 0.8$. Relative to the SDSS-III/LRG survey, which contained $10^7$ galaxies out to $z = 0.45$, the higher space density and higher limiting redshift of BOSS yield an effective volume (weighted by S/N at the BOA scale) seven times larger. The second BOSS survey targets $1.5 \times 10^5$ quasars, selected from roughly $4 \times 10^9$ targets (see below), in the redshift range $2.2 < z < 4$, where Ly$\alpha$ forest absorption in the SDSS spectral range can be used as a tracer of high-redshift structure. The high density and large number of targets will allow BOSS to provide the first “three-dimensional” measurements of large-scale structure in the Ly$\alpha$ forest, on a sparsely sampled grid of sightlines that collectively probe an enormous comoving volume. The possibility of measuring BAO in the Ly$\alpha$ forest was discussed by White (2003), and Fisher matrix forecasts were presented by McDonald & Eisenstein (2007), whose formalism was used to motivate and design the BOSS quasar survey. While no previous survey has measured enough quasar spectra to reveal the BAO feature in the Ly$\alpha$ forest, analytic estimates and numerical simulations indicate that it should be clearly detectable in the BOSS quasar survey (McDonald & Eisenstein 2007; Slosar et al. 2009; Norman et al. 2009; White et al. 2010). The characteristics of BOSS are summarized in Table 1.

Our forecasts, which are described in Appendix A, indicate that BOA measurements with the BOSS galaxy survey should yield determinations of $d_A(z)$ and $H(z)$ with 1σ precision of 1.0% and 1.8%, respectively, at $z = 0.35$ (bin width 0.2 < $z < 0.5$), and with precision of 1.0% and 1.7%, respectively, at $z = 0.6$ (0.5 < $z < 0.7$). The errors at the two redshifts are essentially uncorrelated, while the errors on $d_A(z)$ and $H(z)$ at a given redshift are anti-correlated (Seo & Eisenstein 2003). BAO are weakly affected by the effects of nonlinear structure formation, galaxy bias, and redshift-space distortions. The primary consequence is a damping of oscillations in the power spectrum on small scales, which can be well approximated by a Gaussian smoothing (Bharadwaj 1996; Crocce & Scoccimarro 2006, 2008; Eisenstein et al. 2007b; Matsubara 2008a, 2008b; Seo et al. 2010; Orban & Weinberg 2011). Our forecasts assume that density field reconstruction (Eisenstein et al. 2007a) can remove 50% of the nonlinear Lagrangian displacement of mass elements from their initial comoving locations (e.g., Padmanabhan et al. 2009; Noh et al. 2009), thereby sharpening the BOA feature and improving recovery of the original signal. Forecasts with no reconstruction would be worse by factors of 1.6–2, while with perfect reconstruction (not achievable in practice) they would improve by factors of 1.3–1.5. The uncertainty in BOSS BAO measurements is dominated by cosmic variance out to $z = 0.6$; at these redshifts, a much higher density of targets (eliminating shot noise) would decrease the errors by about a factor of 1.4, while covering the remaining 3π steradians of the sky would reduce the errors by a factor
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86 The SDSS main galaxy sample (Strauss et al. 2002) contains over 700,000 galaxies, but it has a median redshift of 0.1 and therefore a much smaller effective volume for power spectrum measurements on these scales.

87 SDSS-II obtained spectra of 106,000 quasars, but only 17,600 were at $z \geq 2.2$ (Schneider et al. 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Fall 2009–Summer 2014, dark time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>10,000 deg$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra</td>
<td>1000 fibers per plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3600 \AA &lt; l &lt; 10000 \AA$</td>
<td>$R = l/\Delta l = 1300–3000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(N)^{1/2}$</td>
<td>$\approx 22$ pixel$^{-1}$ at $g_b = 21$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H(z)$</td>
<td>$2.2%$ at $z = 0.35$ and $2.0%$ at $z = 0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H(z)$</td>
<td>$2.6%$ at $z = 2.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_A(z)$</td>
<td>$1.2%$ at $z = 0.35$ and $1.5%$ at $z = 0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_A(z)$</td>
<td>$4.5%$ at $z = 2.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ly$\alpha$ forest</td>
<td>$2.2%$ at $z = 2.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilation factor</td>
<td>$1.8%$ at $z = 2.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of BOSS targets include the 215,000 galaxies observed by SDSS-III/II Measurement goals for galaxies are 1.2 times the projected 1σ error, allowing some margin over idealized forecasts. Measurement goals for the Ly$\alpha$ forest are equal to the 1σ forecast, but this is necessarily more uncertain because of the novelty of the technique. The “dilation factor” is a common factor scaling $d_A(z)$ and $H^{-1}(z)$ at $z = 2.5$.
of two. Nonlinear effects can also generate small shifts in the location of the BAO peak, but current theoretical studies indicate that the statistical errors will dominate systematic uncertainties associated with redshift space distortions, nonlinear evolution, and galaxy bias (see, e.g., Eisenstein et al. 2007b; Smith et al. 2007; Padmanabhan & White 2009; Takahashi et al. 2009, 2011). To allow some margin over our forecasts—e.g., for reduced sky coverage due to poor weather, or for problems in reconstruction, or for other, unanticipated systematics—we have inflated our projected uncertainties by a factor of 1.2 when defining the measurement goals reported in Table 1.

The Lyα forest forecasts, performed with the McDonald & Eisenstein (2007) formalism, indicate errors of 4.5% and 2.6%, respectively, on $d_\Lambda(z)$ and $H(z)$ at an effective redshift $z \approx 2.5$ (with significant contributions from $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 3.5$). The errors are again anti-correlated: the forecast error on an overall “dilation factor” that scales $d_\Lambda(z)$ and $H^{-1}(z)$ in proportion is only 1.8%. These predictions assume 15 quasars per deg$^2$ over 10,000 square degrees and no density field reconstruction. Reconstruction is less important at high redshift and is unlikely to be possible with a Lyα forest survey as sparse as BOSS. Our forecast calculations indicate that the measurement precision is limited partly by the sparse sampling of the density field and partly by the S/N of the spectra, i.e., at fixed sky area, increasing either the exposure time per quasar or the density of the quasar sample would decrease the errors. However, given a fixed survey duration, the loss of sky area would outweigh the gain from longer exposures, and the quasar surface density is limited by our ability to efficiently select quasars near the magnitude limit of SDSS imaging.

Our forecasts could prove somewhat optimistic, as broad absorption-line quasars may be unusable, quasars observed in gray time will have lower signal-to-noise spectra, and we have not included possible systematic uncertainties associated with continuum determination, metal lines, or damped Lyα systems. Conversely, use of additional imaging data sets could improve quasar target selection in some areas of the survey, increasing the surface density and improving the BAO measurement precision. Furthermore, these forecasts are based only on the location of the BAO peak as a function of angle with respect to the line of sight, marginalizing away additional information contained in the amplitude of Lyα flux correlations as a function of angle. Including this information—which requires careful theoretical modeling to control systematics—could lead to significant (factor-of-two level) improvements in the $d_\Lambda(z)$ and $H(z)$ constraints. More generally, the BOSS quasar survey is pioneering a previously untried method of BAO measurement, and performance forecasts are necessarily more uncertain than for the galaxy survey. Stlosar et al. (2011) have used the first year of BOSS quasar observations to make the first measurement of three-dimensional large-scale structure in the Lyα forest. While their measurements do not reach to the BAO scale, they detect flux correlations out to at least 60 $h^{-1}$ Mpc (comoving) and find good agreement with predictions of a standard ΛCDM cosmological model (inflationary cold dark matter with a cosmological constant) out to this scale.

The underlying goal of these $d_\Lambda(z)$ and $H(z)$ measurements is to probe the cause of cosmic acceleration, e.g., to constrain the dark energy equation-of-state parameter $w$ and its derivative $w_a$ with respect to expansion factor. BOSS BAO measurements will also yield tight constraints on other cosmological parameters, most notably the Hubble constant $H_0$ and the curvature parameter $\Omega_k \equiv 1 - \Omega_m - \Omega_{DE} - \Omega_{rad}$. Appendix A includes forecasts of BOSS constraints on these parameters in combination with complementary data (Table A1). We also present forecasts incorporating the broadband galaxy power spectrum measurable with BOSS, which considerably improves dark energy constraints. Controlling systematic effects on the broadband power to extract the full statistical power of the data set will require new work on the modeling of nonlinear galaxy clustering and bias.

Since BOSS observes fainter targets than the original SDSS, it required substantial upgrades to the two dual-channel spectrographs (York et al. 2000). These upgrades were prepared during the first year of SDSS-III and installed during the summer shutdown following completion of SEGUE-2. In the red channel, the two 2048$^2$, 24 $\mu$m pixel, SITe CCDs were replaced with 4128 × 4114, 15 $\mu$m pixel, fully depleted, 250 $\mu$m thick devices from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, with much higher quantum efficiency at the reddest wavelengths, crucial for galaxy redshift measurements at $z > 0.4$. In the blue channel, the two 2048$^2$ SITe CCDs were replaced with 4096$^2$, 15 $\mu$m pixel, e2v devices, with lower read noise and greater sensitivity at the blue wavelengths that are essential for Lyα forest measurements. In both arms, the smaller pixel size and larger format CCDs were selected to match the upgrade of the fiber system from 640 fibers with 3" optical diameter to 1000 fibers (500 per spectrograph) with 2" diameter. The larger number of fibers alone improves survey efficiency by 50%, and because BOSS observes point sources (quasar targets) and distant galaxies in the sky-dominated regime the smaller fibers yield somewhat higher signal-to-noise spectra in typical APO seeing, though they place stiffer demands on guiding accuracy and differential refraction. The original diffraction gratings were replaced with higher throughput, volume-phase holographic (VPH) transmission gratings from Kaiser Optical Systems, and other optical elements were also replaced or recoated to improve throughput. The spectral resolution varies from $\lambda/\Delta \lambda \sim 1300$ at 3600 Å to 3000 at 10000 Å. Figure 1 presents a schematic of one of the BOSS spectrographs. While we will not detail them here, we note that the transition to BOSS also involved major upgrades to the instrument and telescope control software, to the infrastructure for fiber-cartridge handling, and to the guide camera, which was replaced with an entirely new system.

BOSS galaxy targets are selected from the SDSS $ugriz$ imaging (Fukugita et al. 1996; Stoughton et al. 2002), including the new imaging described below, using a series of color–magnitude cuts. These cuts are intended to select a sample of luminous and massive galaxies with an approximately uniform distribution of stellar masses from $z \sim 0.2$ to $z \sim 0.6$. The sample is magnitude limited at $z > 0.6$. As in SDSS-I/II, the selection is the union of two cuts designed to select targets in two different redshift intervals (Eisenstein et al. 2001). Cut I (a.k.a. “LOZ”), aimed at the interval $0.2 < z < 0.4$, is defined by

$$r < 13.6 + c||/0.3, \quad |c_{\perp}| < 0.2, \quad 16 < r < 19.5.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Cut II (a.k.a. “CMASS” for “constant mass”), aimed at redshift $z > 0.4$, is defined by

$$d_{\perp} > 0.55, \quad i < 19.86 + 1.6 \times (d_{\perp} - 0.8), \quad 17.5 < i < 19.9.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The colors $c||$, $c_{\perp}$, and $d_{\perp}$ are defined to track a passively evolving stellar population with redshift,

$$c|| = 0.7 \times (g - r) + 1.2 \times (r - i - 0.18).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
Figure 1. Schematic diagram of a BOSS spectrograph (one of two), with elements as labeled. The “slithead” is in fact a pseudo-slit containing 500 aligned fibers.

Figure 2. Comoving space density of BOSS galaxies from data taken in Spring 2010. The separate contributions of the LOZ cut, CMASS cut, and previously observed SDSS-I/II galaxies are shown, together with the total. The dashed curve shows our “goal” of constant density to $z = 0.6$ and tapering density beyond. There is a deficit near $z = 0.45$ at the transition between the two cuts, where obtaining accurate photometric redshifts for target selection is difficult.

$$c_\perp = (r - i) - (g - r)/4 - 0.18 \quad (4)$$

$$d_\perp = (r - i) - (g - r)/8, \quad (5)$$

based on population synthesis models of LRGs (Maraston et al. 2009). The $r$-band and $i$-band magnitude limits are imposed using $c_{	ext{model}}$ magnitudes (Abazajian et al. 2004) rather than the $r$-band Petrosian magnitudes used in SDSS-I/II (Petrosian 1976; Strauss et al. 2002). (Both surveys used $c_{	ext{model}}$ colors.) The 215,000 galaxies observed by SDSS-I/II that pass these cuts are included in the BOSS sample, but they are not reobserved if they already had reliable redshifts. Figure 2 shows the space density of BOSS galaxies (including the SDSS-I/II objects) as a function of redshift, based on data obtained through 2010 July. White et al. (2011) have measured clustering in a sample of 44,000 CMASS galaxies from the first six months of BOSS data and used it to constrain the halo occupation distribution of massive galaxies at $z = 0.5$. Their measurements confirm the high clustering bias expected for such galaxies and assumed in our BAO precision forecasts.

Because the BOSS BAO experiment uses quasars only as backlights for the intervening Ly$\alpha$ forest, there is no need to select the sample homogeneously across the sky. The quasar survey is allocated an average of 40 targets per deg$^2$, and for Ly$\alpha$ forest science the essential criterion is to maximize the surface density of $z \geq 2.2$ quasars above the practical limit for BOSS spectroscopy ($g \approx 22$). Quasars at $z < 2.2$ have little or no Ly$\alpha$ forest in the wavelength range covered by the BOSS spectrographs. In detail, the “value” of a quasar for BAO studies is a function of its redshift (which determines the observable Ly$\alpha$ forest path length) and its magnitude (which determines the S/N of the spectrum). Our recent studies on the SDSS southern equatorial stripe, where deep co-added imaging and variability allow highly complete identification of optically bright (“Type I”) quasars, indicate that the surface density of $z \geq 2.2$ quasars to the BOSS magnitude limit is approximately 28 deg$^{-2}$ (see Palanque-Delabrouille et al. 2011). However, recovering these quasars from 40 targets per deg$^2$ in single-epoch SDSS imaging is challenging because photometric errors are significant at this depth and because the quasar locus (in $ugriz$) crosses the stellar locus at $z \approx 2.7$ (Fan 1999; Richards et al. 2002). We therefore set the BOSS selection efficiency goal at 15 quasars per deg$^2$. Any gains in selection efficiency above this threshold translate into reduced errors on the BAO distance scale measured from the Ly$\alpha$ forest. Because the density field is sparsely sampled, the distance error is (approximately) inversely proportional to the quasar surface density at fixed survey area.

Quasar science—especially global population studies such as luminosity functions, active black hole mass functions, and clustering—would benefit greatly from a homogeneous sample. We therefore select 20 of the 40 targets per deg$^2$ from single-epoch SDSS imaging using a “core” selection method that remains fixed throughout the survey. This core selection is based on the probability, computed empirically from existing survey data, that a given object is a high-redshift quasar rather than a star, low-redshift quasar, or galaxy (Bovy et al. 2011a; Kirkpatrick et al. 2011). The remaining 20 targets per deg$^2$, known as the “bonus” sample, include previously known high-$z$ quasars (including those from SDSS-I/II, reobserved to obtain higher S/N spectra), FIRST radio sources (Becker et al. 1995) whose SDSS colors are consistent with $z \geq 2.2$, and objects selected by a variety of methods including the KDE method of Richards et al. (2009), the neural network method of Yèche et al. (2010), and lower priority likelihood targets. These targets are selected using additional data where they are available, including additional SDSS epochs (which
improve photometric precision where stripes overlap and, on the southern equatorial stripe, provide variability information) and photometry from GALEX (UV; Martin et al. 2005) and UKIDSS (near-IR; Lawrence et al. 2007). The quasar selection criteria evolved significantly during the first year of BOSS, as BOSS observations themselves provide vastly more training data at these magnitudes than earlier surveys such as 2SLAQ (Croom et al. 2009) and AGES (C. Kochanek et al. 2011, in preparation). The BOSS quasar target selection algorithms, including the criteria used during the first year, are described in detail by Ross et al. (2011) and the individual algorithm papers cited above. With single-epoch SDSS imaging we are presently achieving our goal of 15 quasars per deg², improving to ≈ 18 quasars per deg² where UKIDSS and GALEX data are available (Ross et al. 2011; Bovy et al. 2011b). Figure 3 shows the redshift distribution of BOSS quasars from spectra obtained between 2009 December and 2010 July; for this plot, all quasar classifications and redshifts have been checked by visual inspection. As of 2011 January, BOSS has obtained spectra of 29,000 quasars with $z \geq 2.2$ (according to pipeline redshifts), compared to 17,600 from all of SDSS-I and II.

Figure 4 shows several examples of BOSS galaxy spectra (left) and quasar spectra (right), with brighter objects at the top and targets near the magnitude limit at the bottom. BOSS observations are done in a series of 15 minute exposures, with additional exposures taken until a regression of $(S/N)^2$ against magnitude (based on a fast reduction pipeline) yields $(S/N)^2 \geq 22$ per wavelength pixel (1.4 Å) at $i = 21$ (2′ fiber magnitude) in the red cameras and $(S/N)^2 \geq 10$ per wavelength pixel (1.1 Å) at $g = 22$ in the blue cameras, where magnitudes are corrected for Galactic extinction (Schlegel et al. 1998). In transparent conditions, good seeing, and low Galactic extinction, the total exposure time is 45–60 minutes, but the fixed $(S/N)^2$ criterion ensures homogeneity of redshift completeness across the survey. Our current data reductions, incorporating a spectroscopic reduction pipeline adapted from the one originally developed for SDSS-I/II data by S. Burles and D. Schlegel, meet our science requirement of 95% redshift completeness for galaxy targets. However, we plan to implement the forward modeling techniques described by Bolton & Schlegel (2010) to extract all the information contained in the spectra as accurately as possible. These pipeline improvements will increase our redshift completeness, improve galaxy science, and, most importantly, yield higher S/N and better characterized errors in the Ly$\alpha$ forest, thus maximizing the return of the Ly$\alpha$ forest survey.

SDSS I and II imaged 7646 deg² of high-latitude sky in the northern Galactic cap (NGC) and three stripes totaling 777 deg² of low extinction sky in the SGC. In order to allow BOSS to cover 10,000 deg² with a balance between the fall and spring observing seasons, BOSS used the SDSS camera to image an additional 2500 deg² during the first 18 months of SDSS-III, following the same procedures as SDSS I and II. Figure 5 shows the full footprint for BOSS spectroscopic observations. The total area shown is 10,700 deg², while our science goal for spectroscopy is 10,000 deg²; the exact break between NGC and SGC in the spectroscopic survey will depend on the amount of clear weather when these two regions are observable. Assuming historical weather patterns, we anticipate a 5% margin to complete the 10,000 deg² spectroscopic survey by 2014 July.

While our BAO measurement goals drive the design and the science requirements of BOSS, the survey will enable a wide range of other science. Redshift-space distortion analyses of BOSS galaxy clustering have the potential to yield strong constraints on clustering growth rates (White et al. 2009; Reid & White 2011), while weak lensing by BOSS spectroscopic galaxies measured in SDSS (or deeper) imaging can directly measure the evolution of matter clustering. These methods could substantially increase the impact of BOSS in its “core” science area of testing theories of cosmic acceleration. For large-scale power spectrum measurements, the much larger effective volume of BOSS (compared to SDSS-I/II) will enable much stronger constraints on neutrino masses, inflation parameters, and departures from “vanilla” A cold dark matter (CDM). BOSS galaxy spectra will provide a superb data set for studying the evolution of massive galaxies from $z \approx 0.7$ to the present, and they are expected to reveal ~300 examples of strong gravitational lensing that can be used to constrain the mass profiles of early-type galaxies (e.g., Koopmans et al. 2006, 2009; Bolton et al. 2008a, 2008b). The high-redshift quasar data set will be 10 times larger and approximately 2.5 mag deeper (1.5 mag at $z > 3$) than SDSS-I/II, enabling much stronger constraints on the evolution and clustering of quasars and the black holes that power them. The new BOSS imaging will extend “tomographic” studies of Milky Way structure (e.g., Ivezić et al. 2008) and searches for ultrafaint dwarf galaxy companions (e.g., Belokurov et al. 2006).

Finally, BOSS is devoting about 4% of its fibers to “ancillary” science targets in a variety of categories. These include studies of luminous blue galaxies at high redshifts, brightest cluster galaxies, star-forming radio galaxies, remarkable X-ray sources from Chandra and XMM-Newton, host galaxies of supernovae found in SDSS-II, quasars selected by photometric variability, double-lobed radio quasars, candidate quasars at $z > 5.6$, variability in quasar absorption lines, Fermi $\gamma$-ray sources,
Figure 4. Examples of BOSS galaxy spectra (left) and quasar spectra (right), smoothed with a 3 pixel boxcar. In each panel, the black line is the spectrum and the red is the estimated error per pixel. The galaxy redshifts are 0.3182, 0.5315, and 0.7227 (top to bottom). The calcium H&K absorption features are near 520 Å, 6200 Å, and 6800 Å (top to bottom). Other noticeable features are the MgⅡ absorption line and [OⅡ] and Hα emission lines. The quasar redshifts are 3.81, 2.16, and 2.49 (top to bottom). The Lyα, CⅣ, and CⅢ] emission lines are identifiable features in these quasar spectra. The 2’’ fiber i-band magnitudes of the targets are listed above each panel.

3. SEGUE-2

The first SDSS imaging maps provided striking confirmation of complex structure in the outer Galaxy (Ivezić et al. 2000; Yanny et al. 2000; Newberg et al. 2002), including the well-known tidal tails of the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy (Ibata et al. 1994, 2001; Majewski et al. 2003) and previously unrecognized streams, rings, and clumps (e.g., Odenkirchen et al. 2001; Yanny et al. 2003; Grillmair 2006; Grillmair & Dionatos 2006; Jurić et al. 2008). The ubiquity of this complex structure (e.g., Belokurov et al. 2006; Bell et al. 2008) supports the view that disrupted dwarf satellites are important contributors to the formation of the Galactic halo (Searle & Zinn 1978), a scenario in qualitative and quantitative agreement with hierarchical, CDM-based models of galaxy formation (Helmi & White 1999; Bullock et al. 2001; Bullock & Johnston 2005). These initial discoveries motivated the SEGUE-1 survey of SDSS-II (Yanny et al. 2009), which included 3200 deg² of new ugriz imaging extending toward the Galactic plane and a spectroscopic survey of 240,000 stars in a variety of target categories. The first year of SDSS-III, during which the upgraded spectrograph components for BOSS were being constructed, offered the opportunity to roughly double the scope of SEGUE, using all of the dark time over one year90 instead of 1/3 of the dark time over three years. In comparison to the Radial Velocity Experiment (RAVE; Steinmetz et al. 2006; Zwitter et al. 2008; Fulbright et al. 2010), which has a roughly comparable number of stars, SEGUE-1 and SEGUE-2 go much deeper (to $g \sim 20$ versus $I \sim 13$) and cover a larger wavelength range (3800–9200 Å versus 8410–8795 Å),

90 Except for the time devoted to BOSS imaging. SEGUE-2 also observed during gray time, with lunar phase 70–100 deg from new moon.
RAVE provides higher resolution data concentrated in the solar neighborhood. The SEGUE surveys probe the distant disk and halo, while RAVE provides higher resolution data concentrated in the solar neighborhood.

Figure 5. Planned footprint of the BOSS spectroscopic survey, showing both the NGC (left) and SGC (right) regions. Most of the imaging for SGC target selection was done as part of SDSS-III. Each circle marks the location of a spectroscopic plate. Blue circles represent plates that have been observed as of 2011 January, while red circles represent plates that have been drilled but not yet observed. The small extension of the SGC region below the equator at R.A. > 30° is intended to reach the “W1” field of the CFHT Legacy Survey.

Table 2
Summary of SEGUE-2

| Duration: Fall 2008–Summer 2009, dark+gray time |
| Area: 1317 deg², 118,151 targets |
| Spectra: 640 fibers per plate |
| 3800 Å < λ < 9200 Å |
| R = λ/Δλ = 1800 |
| S/N ≈ 10 per pixel at r_psf = 19.5 |
| Target categories: |
| halo main-sequence turnoff stars (37,222) |
| blue horizontal branch stars (9983) |
| K-giants and M-giants (43,604) |
| high-velocity stars (4133) |
| hypervelocity stars (561) |
| cool extreme subdwarfs (10,587) |
| low metallicity candidates (16,383) |
| Precision: dependent on stellar type and S/N, but typically |
| 150 K in T_eff, 0.23 dex in log g |
| 0.21 dex in [Fe/H], 0.1 dex in [m/Fe] |

As with BOSS, SEGUE-2 exposures are accumulated until the S/N crosses a pre-determined threshold. For SEGUE-2, that threshold corresponds to median S/N ≈ 10 pixel⁻¹ (Δλ ≈ 1 Å) for metal-poor main-sequence turnoff (MSTO) stars at r = 19.5 (point-spread function (PSF), magnitude, reddening corrected). Under good conditions, reaching this S/N threshold required approximately three hours of total exposure time. Figure 6 shows the distribution of SEGUE and SEGUE-2 fields in Galactic coordinates.

A detailed description of SEGUE-2 target selection will be provided elsewhere (C. Rockosi et al. 2011, in preparation). The selection criteria for all the target categories were adjusted based on what was learned from the SEGUE-1 data so as to obtain a higher success rate for categories like the low metallicity candidates and the blue horizontal branch (BHB) stars, or to push to larger mean distances for samples like the halo MSTO stars. In brief, the SEGUE-2 target categories, selection criteria, and numbers of targets successfully observed are the following.

1. Halo MSTO stars: 18 < g < 19.5, +0.1 < g − r < +0.48; 37,222 targets.
2. BHB stars: 15.5 < g < 20.3, −0.5 < g − r < +0.1, +0.8 < u − g < +1.5; 9983 targets.
3. K-giants: selected based on color and low proper motion, with 15.5 < g < 18.5 and r > 15; 42,973 targets.
4. M-giants: selected based on color and low proper motion, with 15.5 < g < 19.25 and i > 14.5; 631 targets.
5. Halo high velocity stars: selected based on color and high proper motion, 17 < g < 19.5; 4133 targets.
6. Hypervelocity stars: selected based on color and high proper motion, 17 < g < 20; 561 targets.
7. Cool extreme- and ultra-subdwarfs: selected based on color and reduced proper motion (RPM), with 15 < r < 20 and g > 15.5; 10,587 targets.
8. Low metallicity candidates: color selected, with 15.5 < g < 18 and r > 15; 16,383 targets.

(Magnitude cuts are in PSF magnitudes.) The first four categories are aimed primarily at understanding the kinematic and chemical structure of the outer Galaxy, detecting substructures in the stellar halo or outer disk, and constraining the mass profile and shape of the Milky Way’s dark matter halo. These four categories have successively higher characteristic luminosities, so they provide successively deeper but sparser probes, with typical distance limits of 11 kpc (MSTO), 50 kpc (BHB), and 100 kpc (K/M-giants). Hypervelocity stars (Hills 1988; Brown et al. 2006) are thought to originate in dynamical interactions with the Galaxy’s central black hole, and a systematic census of these stars can probe both the physics of the ejection mechanism and the stellar population at the Galactic Center.
et al. (2010) present an analysis of this subset of the SEGUE-2 data. The extreme subdwarf category is designed to find the most metal-poor cool stars in the solar neighborhood. Finally, the low metallicity category aims to identify candidates for future high-resolution spectroscopy that can probe nucleosynthesis in the first generations of metal-poor or metal-free stars. Several target categories from SEGUE-1 were not extended to SEGUE-2, and their fibers were redistributed to candidates of other spectral type. The categories which have no targeted fibers in SEGUE-2 and their fibers were redistributed to candidates of other spectral type. The categories which have no targeted fibers in SEGUE-2 include the white dwarf, ultracool white dwarf (Gates et al. 2010) present an analysis of this subset of the SEGUE-2 data. The extreme subdwarf category is designed to find the most metal-poor cool stars in the solar neighborhood. Finally, the low metallicity category aims to identify candidates for future high-resolution spectroscopy that can probe nucleosynthesis in the first generations of metal-poor or metal-free stars. Several target categories from SEGUE-1 were not extended to SEGUE-2, and their fibers were redistributed to candidates of other spectral type. The categories which have no targeted fibers in SEGUE-2 include the white dwarf, ultracool white dwarf (Gates et al. 2010), neural network analysis (Bailer-Jones 2000; Willemsen et al. 2005; Re Fiorentin et al. 2007), autocorrelation analysis (Beers et al. 1999), and a variety of line index calculations based on previous calibrations with respect to known standard stars (Beers et al. 1999; Cenarro et al. 2001a, 2001b; Morrison et al. 2003). We refer the interested reader to Lee et al. (2008a) for more details on the SSPP and to Smolinski et al. (2011) and Aihara et al. (2011) for a description of recent updates. The current best estimates of the precision of the derived parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$, and [Fe/H] are 150 K.

Figure 7. Example spectra of several classes of SEGUE-2 stars, all taken from the same spectroscopic plate. The left-hand panels show the flux-calibrated spectra for a halo blue horizontal branch (BHB) star, a main-sequence turnoff (MSTO) star, and a K giant (KG) star, identified by plate-MJD-fiber. The right-hand panels show the blue portion of each spectrum after continuum normalization by the SSPP. Prominent spectral features in each spectrum are labeled. Dereddened apparent magnitudes, from top to bottom, are $g_0 = 16.27, 17.82, 17.31$, and $r_0 = 16.35, 17.49, 16.67$, respectively. All of these stars are much brighter than the SEGUE magnitude limit.
Table 3
Summary of APOGEE

| Duration: Spring 2011–Summer 2014, bright time |
| Area: ~1575 deg² |
| ~230 fields |
| total exposure times 1–24 hours |
| Spectra: 300 fibers per plate |
| 1.51 μm < λ < 1.70 μm |
| R ≡ λ/Δλ = 27,000–31,000 |
| typical S/N ≥ 100 per resolution element |
| Targets: 10⁷ 2MASS-selected, evolved stars with (J − Kₜₜ) > 0.5 |
| Includes RGB, clump giants, AGB, red supergiants |
| Precision: abundances to 0.1 dex internal precision |
| 0.2 dex external precision |
| ~15 elements per star |
| radial velocities to <0.3 km s⁻¹ per visit |

0.23 dex, and 0.21 dex, respectively, for SEGUE stars with 4500 K ≤Tₑff ≤ 7500 K. As described by Lee et al. (2011b), the SSPP has recently been extended to provide estimates of alpha-element-to-iron ratios, with precision of ~0.1 dex in [α/Fe] for stars with S/N > 20:1, 4500 ≤Tₑff ≤ 7000 K, and [Fe/H] > −2.5 (or as low as −3.0 for cooler stars). Lee et al. (2011c) use these measurements to chemically separate “thin disk” and “thick disk” populations and thereby measure their kinematics with unprecedented precision. Validation and refinements of the SSPP parameter estimates are still underway, based on a uniform re-analysis of more than one hundred high-resolution spectra of SDSS/SEGUE stars obtained with the Hobby–Eberly, Keck, Subaru, and VLT telescopes.

4. APOGEE

No previous spectroscopic survey of the chemistry of Milky Way stars has included all Galactic stellar populations in a systematic, consistent manner. This limitation arises primarily because the inner regions of the Galaxy are largely hidden at optical wavelengths by obscuring interstellar dust. Furthermore, obtaining precise, element-by-element abundances requires high-resolution, high-S/N spectra, well beyond the capabilities of SEGUE or of any large spectroscopic survey to date. APOGEE will address both of these limitations by obtaining H-band (1.51–1.70 μm) spectra for 10⁷ late-type, evolved stars with an FWHM resolving power R = λ/Δλ ≈ 30,000 and a minimum S/N of 100 per resolution element. Thanks to the greatly reduced extinction at infrared wavelengths (A_H/A_V = 1/6), APOGEE will observe efficiently even in highly obscured regions of the Galaxy. It will be the first large-scale, systematic, high-resolution spectroscopic survey of all Galactic stellar populations—those in the bulge, bar, thin disk, thick disk, and halo—conducted with a homogeneous set of spectral diagnostics, data quality, and stellar tracers. The characteristics of APOGEE are summarized in Table 3.

Tests on simulated spectra indicate that APOGEE will deliver RVs with an accuracy of 0.3 km s⁻¹ or better and star-by-star abundances of ~15 key elements, with ~0.1 dex precision (for solar metallicity targets), including the most common metals C, N and O, many of the α-elements, several iron-peak elements, and two odd-Z elements Na and Al. These different species form through different nucleosynthetic pathways in stars of different mass and metallicity, and they therefore provide complementary information about chemical evolution of their parent galaxy (the Milky Way or a dwarf progenitor), about the physics of stellar and supernova nucleosynthesis, and about the mixing and enrichment history of the interstellar medium in the Galaxy. APOGEE will increase the total number of high-resolution, high-S/N stellar spectra obtained under uniform conditions at any wavelength by more than two orders of magnitude.

APOGEE’s main science objectives are the following.

1. To derive tight constraints on models for the history of star formation, chemical evolution (including the processes of chemical mixing and feedback in the interstellar medium and dredge-up in individual stars), and mass assembly of the Galaxy.
2. To constrain the stellar initial mass function (IMF) in each of the main Galactic components.
3. To derive kinematical data at high precision useful for constraining dynamical models of the disk, the bulge, the bar, and the halo and for discriminating substructures in these components, if/where they exist.
4. To infer properties of the earliest stars (usually thought to reside or to have resided in the Galactic bulge), by detecting them directly if they survive to the present day in significant numbers or by measuring their nucleosynthetic products in the most metal-poor stars that do survive.
5. To unravel the overall formation mechanisms and evolution of the Milky Way by coupling the extensive chemical data to the dynamics of the stars.

The APOGEE sample size will be large enough to provide statistically reliable measures of chemistry and kinematics in dozens of separate zones defined by cuts in Galactocentric radius, Galactic longitude, and height above the plane, at the level of precision currently available only for stars in the solar neighborhood. Detailed chemical fingerprints will allow identification of sub-populations that have a common origin but may now be distributed widely around the Galaxy, providing unique insights into the Galaxy’s dynamical history. In more general terms, APOGEE and SEGUE provide the kinds of data needed to use the Milky Way as a detailed test of contemporary galaxy formation theory (see, e.g., Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn 2002).

The fiber plugplate system for APOGEE is similar to that used for BOSS and SEGUE-2, but the APOGEE spectrograph sits in a building adjacent to the Sloan telescope rather than being mounted on the telescope underneath the mirror. For APOGEE, 300 “short” (2.5 m) fibers carry light from the focal plane to a connection below the telescope, where they are coupled to “long” (40 m) fibers that transport the signal to the spectrograph enclosure, penetrate the evacuated dewar, and illuminate a pseudo-slit. The fibers have an outer diameter of 190 μm and an inner (light-transmitting) diameter of 120 μm, corresponding to 2″ on the sky. Figure 8 presents a SolidWorks (TM) model rendering of the APOGEE spectrograph (Wilson et al. 2010). The key elements are the following.

1. A 2.1 m³ cryostat, maintained at approximately 80 K and a vacuum level below 10⁻⁶ Torr.
2. A 50.8 cm × 30.5 cm VPH grating, the largest yet employed in an astronomical instrument, manufactured with a novel mosaic process by Kaiser Optical Systems.
3. A six-element camera manufactured and aligned by New England Optical Systems, with optical elements of silicon and fused silica to a maximum diameter of 40 cm.
Figure 8. SolidWorks (TM) model rendering of the fiber-fed APOGEE spectrograph. Three hundred fibers are arranged in a column on a pseudo-slit. Divergent light from the fiber ends is collimated and redirected back toward the pseudo-slit by a collimating mirror. The collimated light passes through the pseudo-slit and is dispersed by a volume phase holographic grating and refocused by a six-element camera onto a mosaic of three HAWAII-2RG detectors. Fold mirrors are used to package the optical train in an efficient manner.

4. Three 2 K × 2 K HAWAII-2RG infrared detectors (Garnett et al. 2004) mounted on a translational stage that enables precise subpixel dithering in the dispersion dimension.

5. A collimator with electromechanical control for focusing and dithering in the spatial dimension (useful for making high quality, “smeared” flat fields).

6. Twofold optics used to create a compact spectrograph design and, through the use of dichroic coating on Fold Mirror 2, to divert and sequester out-of-band light.

These components were integrated, aligned, and tested at the University of Virginia. The full spectrograph is now (2011 May) being commissioned at APO.

The three detectors span the wavelength range 1.51–1.70 μm with two small gaps. The optical design spectral resolution increases from $R \equiv \lambda / \Delta \lambda \approx 27,000$ at the shortest wavelengths to $R \approx 31,000$ at the longest wavelengths. The pixel scale is 0.35–0.23 Å (blue to red spectral end), so there are typically 1.6 pixels per FWHM at the blue end and 2.3 pixels per FWHM at the red end. APOGEE observations will consist of pairs of exposures dithered by half a pixel, which will then be combined to yield fully sampled spectra at the instrument resolution at all wavelengths. In a typical observation, ~250 fibers will be devoted to science targets and ~50 to calibration stars, telluric standards, and sky. The throughput requirement on the spectrograph is to achieve $S/N = 100$ per resolution element in three hours of exposure time under good conditions for a star with Vega magnitude $H = 12.2$. Our measurements of component throughputs and our early commissioning data both suggest that the total throughput will be somewhat better than this level and will reach the above $S/N$ for $H = 12.5$ stars in three hours. Visits to each star field will be about one hour, so that most program stars will be observed at least three times. Because internal binary velocities can distort measurements of Galactic kinematics (e.g., by inflating velocity dispersions), the cadences of these repeat visits will be designed so that the majority of the most troublesome binaries can be identified via RV variations.

APOGEE and MARVELS share the focal plane during bright time observations, with separate fibers on the same 7 deg$^2$ plugplates feeding the two instruments. This scheme nearly doubles the observing time available to both surveys, at the cost of reducing flexibility. In particular, MARVELS observations require visiting the same field as many as 24 times throughout the three-year APOGEE survey. APOGEE will therefore devote most of its observations to “long” fields, observed for a total of 24 hours or, in cases where earlier MARVELS observations have already accumulated many epochs of data, for smaller total visit times (e.g., 10 hours). The nominal APOGEE exposure time is three hours, but most fields have many more than 250 potential APOGEE targets, so the additional observing time can be used to increase the number of stars in a given field by up to a factor of eight. It can also be used to increase depth by observing fainter stars for total exposure times as long as 24 hours, and perhaps to obtain higher $S/N$ spectra for lower metallicity stars.
Figure 9. Current plan of the APOGEE field distribution in equatorial (top) and Galactic (bottom) coordinates. Fields are represented with circles scaled to represent their actual size (typically 7 deg$^2$). Red circles denote ‘24 hr’ APOGEE/MARVELS fields. Green circles denote ‘10 hr’ APOGEE/MARVELS fields. Orange circles denote fields containing calibration clusters, which will typically be observed for a total of three hours. Two special six-hour fields are shown in gray. APOGEE-only fields (also observed for only three hours) are shown with blue circles. Fields targeting the inner disk and bulge and the core of the Sagittarius dSph galaxy will have a smaller field of view (∼3 or ∼1 deg$^2$) to compensate for being observed at high airmass (see the text) and are shown as either 3 hr (black) or 1 hr (cyan) fields. The heavy dashed line in the upper plot marks the Galactic plane.

that have weaker lines. The detailed mix among these strategies is not yet decided. Roughly 25% of the observing time will be assigned to APOGEE-only, “short” fields that increase the sky coverage of the survey, with three one-hour visits in disk and halo fields and single one-hour visits (concentrating on brighter stars) in bulge fields that are only available for short periods at acceptable airmass from APO.

Figure 9 shows the currently planned distribution of APOGEE fields on the sky, although the plan remains subject to (likely minor) changes. Some fields target globular or open star clusters, both for science investigations and to allow calibration of APOGEE abundances against previous data sets for these clusters. Most fields are designed to provide systematic coverage of the Galaxy, subject to the constraints of observability. For all fields, target selection is based primarily on Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) photometry (Skrutskie et al. 2006), with data of the Galaxy, subject to the constraints of observability. For all clusters. Most fields are designed to provide systematic coverage of APOGEE abundances against previous data sets for these clusters. The detailed mix among these strategies is not yet decided. Roughly 25% of the observing time will be assigned to APOGEE-only, “short” fields that increase the sky coverage of the survey, with three one-hour visits in disk and halo fields and single one-hour visits (concentrating on brighter stars) in bulge fields that are only available for short periods at acceptable airmass from APO.

In each field, targets will be selected in three different bins of $H$-band magnitude to provide a reasonable spread in distance coverage. In the absence of dust extinction, a typical red clump giant has $H = 12.5$ at a distance of $\sim$6 kpc, while a typical star near the tip of the RGB has $H = 12.5$ at a distance of 40 kpc. Observations to $H = 13.5$ (achievable for some targets in long fields) reach a factor 1.6 farther in distance. While extinction in the $H$ band is much lower than in the optical, dust can still significantly reduce the survey depth in the inner disk and bulge in the direction of dense clouds. The dust distribution in the Galactic mid-plane is patchy on the 3$^{\circ}$ scale of the 2.5 m field of view, which increases the incidence of lower reddening windows in the targeted fields. However, even where $A_V = 10$, APOGEE will be able to probe to the far edge of the Galactic disk with RGB tip stars in 10 hours of integration.

Deriving chemical abundances from 10$^5$ high-resolution spectra presents a major analysis challenge. We are developing an optimal spectral extraction and calibration pipeline and a stellar parameters and chemical abundance pipeline, and we have created realistic simulated data to test these pipelines in advance of APOGEE observations. The extraction pipeline performs a number of tasks, including bundling of hundreds of up-the-ramp detector reads for each pixel, using the up-the-ramp detector operation to correct for cosmic rays and pixel saturation, and performing sky subtraction, two-dimensional to one-dimensional spectral extraction, wavelength calibration, combination of dithered exposure pairs into single, fully sampled spectra, telluric absorption correction, flux calibration, and the measurement of stellar RVs. Spectra from multiple visits are individually corrected to rest-frame wavelengths and optimally combined for each star.

Parameter and abundance determination then proceeds in two stages. First, spectral fitting based on $\chi^2$ minimization is used to constrain the stellar temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$), the surface gravity (log $g$), the microturbulence parameter ($\xi$), and the abundances of elements that have an important effect on stellar atmospheric structure—[Fe/H], [C/H], and [O/H]. Other abundances are then determined by one-at-a-time $\chi^2$ minimization with the former parameters held fixed. We plan on using Kurucz (Castelli & Kurucz 2004), MARCS (Gustafsson et al. 2008), and, for the warmest targets, TLUSTY (Lanz & Hubeny 2007) model atmospheric. A variety of literature sources are being used to create and cross-check $H$-band line lists, using theoretical or laboratory $gf$-values when they are available, and otherwise inferring semi-empirical “astrophysical” $gf$-values from fitting synthetic spectra to high-resolution observations of standard stars, such as the Sun and Arcturus.

Figure 10 shows simulated spectra at APOGEE resolution, sampling, and S/N for two giant stars with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000$ K, log $g = 1.0$, and [Fe/H] = −1.0 (solid line) and 0.0 (gray line). The spectral regions displayed (totaling only ∼15% of the total APOGEE spectral range) sample some of the absorption lines that will be used to determine elemental abundances from...
Figure 10. Selected sections of simulated APOGEE spectra for two giant stars with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000$ K, $\log g = 1.0$, and $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.0$ (solid line) and 0.0 (gray line) showing some of the absorption lines that will be used to measure element abundances. The vertical scale is in $F_\lambda$ units (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$), with an arbitrary normalization. The plotted regions cover only $\sim 15\%$ of the full APOGEE spectral range.

APOGEE spectra, including Fe, the key metals C, N, and O (which will be determined from OH, CO, and CN lines), Al, Mn, Co, and several $\alpha$ elements (Mg, Si, Ca, Ti).

5. MARVELS

Over the last 15 years, the study of extra-solar planets has advanced from first discoveries (Wolszczan & Frail 1992; Mayor & Queloz 1995; Marcy & Butler 1996) to large surveys that have revealed an astonishing diversity of planetary systems. The standard, core accretion scenario of giant planet formation (see, e.g., Lissauer 1987) predicts that planets like Jupiter form in nearly circular orbits in the region beyond the “snow line” in the protoplanetary disk where ices are stable, corresponding to orbital periods of several years or more for solar-type stars. The two greatest surprises of extra-solar planetary discoveries to date have been that many giant planets have periods below one year, sometimes as short as one day, and that many of these planets are on highly eccentric rather than circular orbits. The first finding suggests that many giant planets “migrate” inward after their formation, while the second suggests that some dynamical mechanism must excite the planetary eccentricities, probably after the protoplanetary disk has dispersed. Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain planetary migration and the broad eccentricity distribution. These include “smooth” migration via interaction with the protoplanetary gas or planetesimal disk (Lin et al. 1996; Murray et al. 1998), violent migration via dynamical processes such as planet–planet scattering (Rasio & Ford 1996; Weidenschilling & Marzari 1996; Ford & Rasio 2008; Jurić & Tremaine 2008), eccentricity pumping via the Kozai mechanism (Holman et al. 1997; Wu & Murray 2003; Fabrycky & Tremaine 2007), and tidal circularization of the highest eccentricity systems to explain the shortest-period giant planets (Wu & Murray 2003; Fabrycky & Tremaine 2007; Nagasawa et al. 2008). It is unclear which, if any, of these mechanisms dominate, and what fraction of systems escape migration and remain on nearly circular orbits.

A large and well-characterized sample of giant planets with periods less than a few years is essential for solving the riddles of migration and orbital eccentricities. When coupled with detailed ab initio simulations of planet formation, the various proposed migration mechanisms make different predictions for the resulting (post-migration) distributions of planet masses, semimajor axes, and eccentricities. Comparison to the observed distribution of these properties thereby constrains the physical processes involved in planet formation and migration. With the largest homogeneous and statistically complete sample of planets that is currently available (Cumming et al. 2008), it is possible to place constraints on a few specific or extreme migration scenarios (e.g., Schlaufman et al. 2009), but a substantially larger sample is needed to draw strong conclusions (Armitage 2007).

The new generation of planet search experiments are using a variety of technical approaches—high-precision RVs, transits, microlensing, and direct imaging—to push forward along several distinct dimensions of parameter space, including lower
masses and longer periods. Uniquely among these experiments, MARVELS focuses on greatly expanding the target sample for giant planets (roughly speaking, Jupiter mass and larger) in the short-to-intermediate period regime that is most critical for understanding migration and dynamical interaction. It exploits the novel capabilities of fixed-delay dispersed interferometers, which combine interferometers with moderate-resolution spectrographs to enable precision RV measurements with high throughput and a relatively small amount of detector real estate (Erskine & Ge 2000; Ge 2002; Ge et al. 2002). This method enables highly multiplexed, multi-fiber searches on moderate aperture telescopes (Ge 2002; Ge et al. 2003), allowing MARVELS to move much further than previous experiments toward large and relatively unbiased target samples. The characteristics of MARVELS are summarized in Table 4.

The basic principles of RV measurement with a DFDI are reviewed by Ge (2002) and van Eyken et al. (2010). In brief, light from the telescope (either a stellar source or a velocity-stable calibration source) is first fiber-fed to a Michelson interferometer. One of the interferometer mirrors is angled such that the optical path difference (in units of waves) changes as a function of vertical height above the optics bench, in addition to depending on wavelength. Putting this interferometer output through a slit and a spectrograph produces, at the two-dimensional detector, an intensity pattern of constructive and destructive interference that appears as diagonal lines (the interferometer “comb”). For an absorption line source, this destructive interference with the much larger but computable effects from Earth’s rotation (measured by the data pipeline to extract RVs (after removing the iodine absorption cell illuminated by a tungsten continuum lamp are taken before and after each science exposure, and these are used to remove instrumental drifts. In 2010 November, at the end of the first two-year observing cycle, we replaced the original MARVELS plugplate fibers with new fibers that subtend 2′′8 on the sky, which increases the overall throughput.

Figure 11 shows the optical layout of the MARVELS ET1 instrument (Ge et al. 2009). Stellar light from 60 fibers, each subtending 1′′8 on the sky, is fed through an optical relay to a fixed-delay interferometer. The interferometer creates interference fringes in each stellar beam. The two outputs of the interferometer from each input stellar beam are imaged to a slit of an optical spectrograph with resolution \( R = 11,000 \). A total of 120 stellar fringing spectra are formed on a 4k×4k CCD detector. Each stellar fringing spectrum covers roughly 24 × 4096 pixels (24 pixels along the slit direction and 4096 pixels in the dispersion direction). The wavelength coverage per spectrum is \( \lambda \approx 5000–5700 \text{ Å} \). Environmental stabilization keeps temperature drifts below \( \sim 5 \text{ mK} \) during a typical night. The corresponding RV drift is less than 20 m s\(^{-1}\) within a day without any RV drift calibration. Because of this stability, no iodine cell is needed in the stellar beam path during the science exposures. Instead, spectra of a ThAr emission lamp and an iodine absorption cell illuminated by a tungsten continuum lamp are taken before and after each science exposure, and these are used to remove instrumental drifts. In 2010 November, at the end of the first two-year observing cycle, we replaced the original MARVELS plugplate fibers with new fibers that subtend 2′′8 on the sky, which increases the overall throughput.

Figure 12 shows an area selected from a MARVELS science exposure, with an expanded region that shows a portion of the fringing spectrum of an individual object, in this case a \( V = 8.5 \) star. This region can be compared to the bottom panel of Figure 1 from van Eyken et al. (2010), which shows an idealized case of such a fringing spectrum. The horizontal axis is the wavelength direction, and each spectral line produces a sinusoidally modulated fringe pattern in the vertical direction. Small line shifts in the wavelength direction produce fringe shifts roughly four times larger in the vertical direction. A 30 m s\(^{-1}\) RV change shifts the vertical position of the fringes by \( \sim 0.01 \) pixel, and it is this mean vertical shift that must be measured by the data pipeline to extract RVs (after removing the much larger but computable effects from Earth’s rotation and orbital motion).

MARVELS aims to survey \( \sim 8400 \) stars in the apparent magnitude range \( V = 8–12 \), visiting each star approximately 24 times over a two-to-four year interval. MARVELS began operations in Fall 2008 with a 60 fiber instrument known as the W. M. Keck Exoplanet Tracker (ET). We hope to augment the

### Table 4
Summary of MARVELS

| Duration: Fall 2008–Summer 2014, bright time |
| Spectra: dispersed fixed-delay interferometer spectrograph |
| 60 fibers per plate (may increase to 120 from Fall 2011) |
| 5000 Å < \( \lambda < 5700 \text{ Å} \) |
| \( R = \lambda / \Delta \lambda \approx 11,000 \) |
| Targets: 8400 FGK stars, 8 \( \leq V \leq 12.5 \) |
| 10% giants |
| 24 epochs per star, spread over 2–4 years |
| RV Precision: 10.5 m s\(^{-1}\) (\( V \leq 9 \)) |
| 22 m s\(^{-1}\) (\( V = 10 \)) |
| 35 m s\(^{-1}\) (\( V = 11 \)) |
| 45 m s\(^{-1}\) (\( V = 11.5 \)) |

**Notes.** Number of targets assumes 120 fibers from Fall 2011, which will increase the magnitude limit from the current \( V \approx 12 \) to \( V \approx 12.5 \). Quoted precision goals are 1.3× median photon noise from Years 1+2.

Figure 11 shows the optical layout of the MARVELS ET1 instrument. The “slit” is a pseudo-slit containing 60 aligned fibers.
survey with a second, similar instrument (ET2) by Fall 2011, but we have not yet finalized the funding required to do so.

The principal high-level goal of MARVELS is to produce a statistically well-defined sample of \( \sim 100 \) giant planets with periods up to two years, drawn from a large sample of host stars that have well understood selection biases and encompass a wide range of stellar properties. This data set will be suitable for revealing the diversity in giant exoplanet populations and for testing models of the formation, migration, and dynamical evolution of giant planet systems. In addition, the large stellar sample of MARVELS makes it sensitive to populations of rare planets in extremely eccentric orbits, planets orbiting low mass stars, and RV precision to quantify the frequency of lower mass or rotating too rapidly and have too few lines to measure precise RVs using our instrumentation. Because the brightest dwarfs in a magnitude-selected sample are predominantly earlier spectral types, we require that no more than 40% of our dwarfs in a given 7 deg\(^2\) field by adding the brightest dwarfs until we have 22 from the G0 to F7 set, then continue in order of decreasing brightness but selecting only those dwarfs with \( T_{\text{eff}} > 6250 \) K. We exclude dwarfs with \( T_{\text{eff}} > 6250 \) K, which are generally rotating too rapidly and have too few lines to measure precise RVs using our instrumentation. Because the brightest dwarfs in a magnitude-selected sample are predominantly earlier spectral types, we require that no more than 40% of our dwarfs in a field have \( T_{\text{eff}} > 6250 \) K, corresponding to spectral types K2 to G5. We exclude dwarfs with \( T_{\text{eff}} > 6250 \) K, which are generally rotating too rapidly and have too few lines to measure precise RVs using our instrumentation. Because the brightest dwarfs in a magnitude-selected sample are predominantly earlier spectral types, we require that no more than 40% of our dwarfs in a field have \( T_{\text{eff}} > 6250 \) K, corresponding to spectral types G0 to F7. We populate our target list in a given 7 deg\(^2\) field by adding the brightest dwarfs until we have 22 from the G0 to F7 set, then continue in order of decreasing brightness but selecting only those dwarfs with \( T_{\text{eff}} < 5800 \) K. Note that for the fields targeted in Years 1+2, we used somewhat different target selection criteria, and also used spectroscopic observations (with the SDSS spectrographs) for giant-dwarf separation. Unfortunately, the SDSS spectra (reduced with an earlier version of the SSPP) proved less effective in separating giants and dwarfs than we had expected, leaving us with a 30% giant fraction compared to our original goal of 10%. The RPM\(_2\) selection we have now implemented should resolve this problem.

MARVELS observes with 50 minute science exposures (which will increase to 60 minutes once co-observing with APOGEE begins) and \( \sim 10 \) minutes of overhead per exposure. The fields for any given night are selected based on observability, the number of previous epochs, and the time since the most recent epoch. The photon-noise limited RV precision for a MARVELS observation depends most strongly on stellar apparent magnitude, but also on other factors that affect fringe visibility including rotation and metallicity. For observations...
during the first two years, the median RV photon-noise limited precision is approximately 5 m s$^{-1}$ at $V = 8.5$, 8 m s$^{-1}$ at $V = 9.0$, 17 m s$^{-1}$ at $V = 10.0$, 27 m s$^{-1}$ at $V = 11.0$, and 35 m s$^{-1}$ at $V = 11.5$. The median rms RV of target stars observed over a one-month timescale is 2–3 times the photon noise at bright magnitudes and 1–1.5 times the photon noise at $V \geq 10$. The current MARVELS data pipeline has shortcomings that lead to worse performance over timescales of several months. We are working on improvements as of this writing, with the eventual goal of achieving total errors within a factor of 1.3 of the photon noise errors. The precision goals in Table 4 are defined by this target, using the photon-noise numbers quoted above. We are also implementing changes to the fiber system and data pipeline that we expect to lower the photon noise itself by a moderate factor (e.g., changing from iodine to ThAr calibration, which will allow us to use 100% of the spectral range by a moderate factor (e.g., changing from iodine to ThAr calibration, which will allow us to use 100% of the spectral range rather than the current 75%). We therefore regard it as plausible that the final MARVELS RV performance will improve on the goals in Table 4 by \(~30\%\).

The velocity semi-amplitude of a star of mass $M_*$ orbited by a planet of mass $M_p$ with a period $P$ and inclination $i$ is

$$K = 28.4 \, \text{m s}^{-1} (M_p \sin i / M_{\text{Jup}}) (P / 1 \, \text{yr})^{-1/3} (M_*/M_{\odot})^{-2/3}.$$  

(6)

For $N$ observations and an rms RV error of $\sigma$, achieving less than one false detection for \(~10^4\) stars requires a total $S/N$ threshold of approximately $\sqrt{N/2} (K/\sigma) \sim 13$ (Cumming 2004) or $\sigma \lesssim K/4$ assuming 24 observations per star. A simple and somewhat conservative forecast, described in Appendix B, indicates that MARVELS should detect approximately 66 planets with $P < 2$ years and $M_p < 10 M_{\text{Jup}}$ if the total errors can be reduced to 1.3 times the median photon noise achieved in Year 1+2 data. Of these 66 predicted planets, 53 have periods of <1 year. The yield falls to 41 planets if the errors are 2.0 times the median photon noise, and it rises to \(~86\) planets if the errors are equal to the median photon noise. With the above mentioned changes to the fiber system and data processing techniques, we may be able to significantly lower the photon noise floor, which could increase the yields by as much as 30%.

These forecasts assume a second MARVELS instrument operating for the final three years of the survey; without it, anticipated planet yields fall by \(~20\%\) (not 50% because the second instrument would be observing fainter stars than the first one). The predictions are based on a false alarm probability of \(~3 \times 10^{-5}\), for which we would expect \(~3\) false positives. For a more conservative false alarm probability of \(~3 \times 10^{-5}\), the yields decrease by \(~15\%\). We note that we have not attempted to estimate the planet yield from our sample of giant stars, which constitute \(~10\%) of our targets, as considerably less is known about the frequency of planets around these systems. Nevertheless, we can reasonably expect to detect additional planets from this sample. Finally, we have only included companions with masses of $<10 M_{\text{Jup}}$ in our tally. Extrapolating the planet distribution function found by Cumming et al. (2008) up to larger masses, we estimate that we would detect an additional \(~14\) planets with $10 M_{\text{Jup}} < M_p < 15 M_{\text{Jup}}$ and periods of <2 years under the assumption of errors equal to 1.3 times the photon noise. Because the observing strategy, target selection, and noise characteristics for MARVELS are very well specified, statistical models of the planet population (specifying, e.g., the distribution of masses, periods, and eccentricities as a function of host properties) can be tested statistically against the MARVELS RV measurements even without one-by-one identification of planets.

Figure 14 shows the MARVELS RV curve for the short-period brown dwarf candidate discovered by MARVELS around the star TYC-1240-00945-1 (Lee et al. 2011a). RV measurements from the two interferometer beams are shown separately, as well as measurements from observations with the Hobby–Eberly Telescope and the SMARTS 1.5 m echelle. Supplementary photometric and spectroscopic studies show that the host is a slightly evolved, solar-type star, with an estimated mass of $1.35 M_\odot$ and age of \(\approx 3.0\) Gyr. In this case, the low-mass companion (“MARVELS-1b”) is a likely brown dwarf with minimum mass of 28.0 \pm 1.5 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ at an orbital separation of 0.071 \pm 0.002 AU ($P_{\text{orb}} = 5.9$ days), placing this object squarely within the “brown dwarf desert.” Indeed, MARVELS has already found several more such brown dwarf “desert dwellers” in the first two-year data set, which will enable us to quantify the aridity of the desert (N. De Lee et al. 2011, in preparation). The MARVELS team plans similarly detailed follow-up studies and characterization of all MARVELS hosts and control samples of target stars that did not yield planets. This approach will enable full investigation of the dependence of giant exoplanet and brown dwarf populations on host star properties, including chemical abundances, mass, and evolutionary status. In addition, MARVELS will provide robust statistics on spectroscopic binary star populations, and it will yield a novel sample of eclipsing binary star systems discovered spectroscopically.

6. SCIENCE ORGANIZATION

An effective collaboration culture is crucial to the successful execution of a large project like the SDSS. Indeed, developing this culture was itself one of the major challenges and significant achievements of SDSS-I. The organization of SDSS-III is, of course, closely modeled on that of SDSS-I and II. We briefly describe this organization here, as it may be of value to those using the SDSS-III data sets and science analyses and to others planning comparably ambitious projects.

Like its predecessors, SDSS-III is being carried out by a large and diverse international collaboration. A wide variety of institutions have joined the project by means of financial or equivalent in-kind contributions, and they all agree to a written set of “Principles of Operation” that serves as the defining policy document of the project. At Full Member institutions, all faculty, PhD research staff, and students have access rights institutions have joined the project by means of financial or equivalent in-kind contributions, and they all agree to a written set of “Principles of Operation” that serves as the defining policy document of the project. At Full Member institutions, all faculty, PhD research staff, and students have access rights in all SDSS-III data sets and science analyses and to others planning comparably ambitious projects.

Like its predecessors, SDSS-III is being carried out by a large and diverse international collaboration. A wide variety of institutions have joined the project by means of financial or equivalent in-kind contributions, and they all agree to a written set of “Principles of Operation” that serves as the defining policy document of the project. At Full Member institutions, all faculty, PhD research staff, and students have access rights in all SDSS-III data sets and science analyses and to others planning comparably ambitious projects.

Like its predecessors, SDSS-III is being carried out by a large and diverse international collaboration. A wide variety of institutions have joined the project by means of financial or equivalent in-kind contributions, and they all agree to a written set of “Principles of Operation” that serves as the defining policy document of the project. At Full Member institutions, all faculty, PhD research staff, and students have access rights in all SDSS-III data sets and science analyses and to others planning comparably ambitious projects.
Figure 14. Radial velocity curve of the first MARVELS RV companion, a probable brown-dwarf in a 5.9 day orbit around the star TYC 1240-00945-1 (Lee et al. 2011b). Filled and open squares are measurements from the two interferometer outputs of the MARVELS spectrograph. Circles and triangles are measurements from follow-up observations from the Hobby–Eberly Telescope and the SMARTS 1.5 m telescope, respectively. Lower panel shows the difference between observed velocities and those calculated from the best-fit model.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 15 presents the high-level SDSS-III organization chart, including the individuals who currently hold the indicated positions. Each of the four surveys has its own technical and science team; the number of people who have already made large contributions (i.e., many person-months or more) to the design and execution of the individual surveys ranges from $\sim 15$ to $\sim 60$, with still larger numbers joining in data analysis and quality assurance. The Principal Investigator (PI) of each survey oversees all aspects of the survey’s construction and execution, with the assistance of the Survey Scientist, who has the primary responsibility for defining science requirements and ensuring that the survey data ultimately meet those requirements. BOSS, MARVELS, and APOGEE each have an Instrument Scientist who oversees the design, construction, commissioning, and maintenance of the new instrumentation. The APOGEE spectrograph development has its own Project Manager, as did the MARVELS ET1 spectrograph development prior to delivery of the instrument.

Many tasks, including overall project budgeting and management, span all four surveys of SDSS-III. Organizational responsibility for these tasks lies with the central project office, headed by the Director. The Infrastructure and Observatory Operations teams have responsibility for common facilities (telescope, fiber systems, operations software, etc.) and for performing the observations themselves. The Data Coordinator is responsible for integrating data from the four surveys into the science data archive, the basis both for collaboration science and for public data releases. The Project Spokesperson, elected by the collaboration, promotes scientific coordination within the collaboration and external visibility of SDSS-III in the astronomical community and beyond. The Spokesperson chairs the Collaboration Council, comprised of representatives from all voting institutions, which organizes collaboration meetings and develops and implements collaboration policies, most notably on publications and external collaborators. Over the years, collaborations with non-participants on pre-publication data have been a vital mechanism for bringing additional expertise and resources into SDSS science analyses, and reviewing external collaborator proposals is one of the Collaboration Council’s most important tasks.

The guiding principle of the SDSS-III science collaboration is that all participants have the right to pursue any project they wish with SDSS-III data, but they are required to notify the entire collaboration of their plans and to update them as project’s progress. Groups pursuing similar science projects are encouraged to collaborate, but they are not required to do so. There is no binding internal refereeing process, but draft publications using non-public data must be posted to the whole collaboration for a review period of at least three weeks prior to submission to any journal or online archive. Manuscripts often undergo significant revision and improvement during this period. Participants outside of the core analysis team may request co-authorship on a paper if they played a significant role in producing the data or analysis tools that enabled it.

In particular, scientists who have contributed at least one year of effort to SDSS-III infrastructure development or operations can request “Architect” status, which entitles them to request review of external collaborator proposals.93 See http://www.sdss3.org/collaboration/policies.php.
Figure 15. High-level organizational chart for SDSS-III. Named individuals are those filling these positions as of 2011 January 1. As is evident from the author list of this paper, this chart represents the tip of a very large iceberg of SDSS-III contributors.

co-authorship on any science publications for those surveys to which they contributed. All SDSS-III authorship requests are expected to comply with the professional guidelines of the American Physical Society.94

Each of the four SDSS-III surveys has its own survey science team, headed by the SST Chair, whose role is to coordinate and promote effective scientific collaboration within the team. Naturally, the science team overlaps and interacts with the survey’s technical team, but the latter is focused on producing the data, while the former is focused on science analysis; data quality assurance is a shared responsibility. Inside an SST, participants may coordinate their efforts on more focused topics via working groups; for example, the BOSS SST presently has working groups in galaxy clustering, galaxy evolution, Ly\(\alpha\) forest cosmology, physics of the intergalactic medium, and quasars. The working groups communicate and collaborate through archived e-mail lists, wiki pages, regular teleconferences, and in-person meetings. As a result, many SDSS papers benefit from the combined efforts and knowledge of many collaborators on the science analysis, as well as on the production of the data that enables it.

Over the years, all of these strategies—representative governing bodies, centralized management overseeing the many technical teams, well-defined policies and structures that encourage widespread scientific participation, and extensive communication mechanisms—have proven essential to the successful execution of the SDSS and to producing an enormous range of science from its surveys.

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The SDSS has demonstrated the extraordinary scientific reach of a moderate aperture telescope equipped with powerful wide-field instruments and operated in an efficient “survey mode,” including sophisticated data pipelines that produce well-calibrated and readily usable public data sets. There are now several astronomical imaging cameras in operation or under construction that exceed the pixel count of the SDSS imager, which has been officially retired after completing the additional southern imaging for BOSS. However, the Sloan Telescope remains an exceptionally productive facility for wide-field spectroscopic surveys.

The four SDSS-III surveys exploit this capability efficiently to address a wide range of science goals. The BOSS spectroscopic survey requires five years of dark time to cover its 10,000 deg\(^2\) survey area. SEGUE-2 and the BOSS imaging survey used the one year of dark time that was available between the end of SDSS-II and the completion of the BOSS spectrograph upgrades. MARVELS began bright-time operations in the first fall season of SDSS-III. MARVELS and APOGEE will share the focal plane for 75% of the bright-time observing from 2011 to 2014, allowing each survey to amass a considerably larger sample than it could with a 50% share.

The BOSS galaxy redshift survey will achieve BAO distance scale constraints that are close to the limit set by cosmic variance.
out to $z = 0.6$; the only substantial (factor-of-two) improvement possible at these redshifts would be to cover the remaining $3\pi$ steradians of the sky. The BOSS Ly$\alpha$ forest survey will pioneer a new method of measuring three-dimensional structure in the high-redshift universe and provide the first BAO measurements of distance and expansion rate at $z > 2$. Together SEGUE andAPOGEE will provide powerful new insights into the formation history and present-day structure of the Milky Way. The depth and large-sample size of the SEGUE surveys make them especially valuable for characterizing kinematic and chemical structure in the outer Galaxy. The dust-penetrating capacity of APOGEE’s infrared observations will make it the first large spectroscopic survey of all Galactic stellar populations, and its high resolution and high precision allow detailed chemical fingerprinting of an enormous sample, orders of magnitude larger than any high-resolution sample that exists today. The large sample of stars monitored by the MARVELS RV survey gives it sensitivity to rare planetary systems that are signposts for underlying physical processes, and the combination of sample size and systematic observing strategy will make it a uniquely valuable data set for testing theories of giant planet formation, migration, and dynamical interaction.

Current investigations with SDSS-III data span a vast range of scales and redshifts, including studies of large-scale structure with massive galaxies and three-dimensional Ly$\alpha$ forest correlations, searches for kinematic and chemical substructure in the Galactic halo and thick disk, and measurement of the incidence of short-period brown dwarf companions to solar-type stars. SDSS-III will continue the long-standing SDSS tradition of public data releases, beginning with the SDSS Eighth Data Release (DR8), which is now available (Aihara et al. 2011). DR8 includes all of the new imaging carried out for BOSS and all of the spectra taken for SEGUE-2. It also incorporates all SDSS-I and II data, processed with the latest versions of our data reduction and calibration pipelines, so that science analyses can incorporate data from all SDSS surveys in a seamless and internally consistent manner. The final data SDSS-III release is scheduled for the end of 2014. Like their predecessors, we anticipate that BOSS, SEGUE-2, MARVELS, and APOGEE will have deep and wide-ranging impacts on many fields of contemporary astronomy and cosmology.

Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science. The SDSS-III Web site is http://www.sdss3.org/.
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APPENDIX A
FORECASTS FOR BOSS

In Table 5, we forecast the constraints on a number of cosmological parameters. To obtain these numbers we first convert our observational parameters into errors on the line-of-sight $[H(z)]$ and transverse $[d_A(z)]$ distances as a function of redshift using the method of Seo & Eisenstein (2007). This Fisher matrix calculation uses only acoustic oscillation information and no broadband power, so we believe the error estimates to be robust (and conservative, see the discussion below and the final line of Table 5). To approximate the effects of (partial) reconstruction (Eisenstein et al. 2007a) we suppress the nonlinear smearing $(\Sigma_{1,||})$ in the notation of Eisenstein et al. (2007b) by a factor of two for the LRG calculation. We use a similar Fisher matrix calculation (McDonald & Eisenstein 2007) to estimate the distance errors that one would obtain for the Ly$\alpha$ forest survey, with no attempt at reconstruction because of the very sparse sampling of the density field. We find errors on $d_A$ of 1.0% at $z = 0.35$, 1.0% at $z = 0.6$, and 4.5% at $z = 2.5$, with errors on $H(z)$ of 1.8%, 1.7%, and 2.6% at the same redshifts. As noted earlier, current theoretical studies suggest that any shifts in the BAO scale due to nonlinearity or galaxy bias are at or below this level. With further work, we should be able to calculate any corrections to a level of accuracy that keeps systematic errors well below these statistical errors.

The constraints on $d_A$ and $H$ are then used in a Fisher matrix calculation to get constraints on the matter density $\omega_m \equiv \Omega_m h^2$, the baryon density $\omega_b \equiv \Omega_b h^2$, the dark energy density $\Omega_X$, $w_0$, $w_a$, and the curvature $\Omega_K$. The dark energy equation-of-state parameter is assumed to evolve with expansion factor $a(t)$ as $w(a) = w_0 + w_a(a - 1) = w_p + w_a(d_p - a)$, where the “pivot” expansion factor is the one at which errors on $w_p$ and $w_a$ are uncorrelated. In addition to the distance constraints from BAO experiments, we add the Fisher matrices for Planck and Stage II experiments presented in the technical appendix of the Dark Energy Task Force (DETF) report (Albrecht et al. 2006). The variance of each parameter is given in Table 5.

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt.</th>
<th>$h$</th>
<th>$\Omega_X$</th>
<th>$w_0$</th>
<th>$w_p$</th>
<th>$w_a$</th>
<th>FoM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planck+Stage II</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSS LRG BAO</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSS LRG bAO+LyA BAO</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSS LRG broad-band+LyA BAO</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. All constraints assume Planck and the DETF forecasts for “Stage II” experiments. BAO constraints include only the acoustic scale information and are therefore conservative; the final line shows the BOSS forecast that also incorporates broadband galaxy power information.

This Fisher matrix calculation uses only acoustic oscillation information and no broadband power, so we believe the error estimates to be robust (and conservative, see the discussion below and the final line of Table 5). To approximate the effects of (partial) reconstruction (Eisenstein et al. 2007a) we suppress the nonlinear smearing $(\Sigma_{1,||})$ in the notation of Eisenstein et al. (2007b) by a factor of two for the LRG calculation. We use a similar Fisher matrix calculation (McDonald & Eisenstein 2007) to estimate the distance errors that one would obtain for the Ly$\alpha$ forest survey, with no attempt at reconstruction because of the very sparse sampling of the density field. We find errors on $d_A$ of 1.0% at $z = 0.35$, 1.0% at $z = 0.6$, and 4.5% at $z = 2.5$, with errors on $H(z)$ of 1.8%, 1.7%, and 2.6% at the same redshifts. As noted earlier, current theoretical studies suggest that any shifts in the BAO scale due to nonlinearity or galaxy bias are at or below this level. With further work, we should be able to calculate any corrections to a level of accuracy that keeps systematic errors well below these statistical errors.

The constraints on $d_A$ and $H$ are then used in a Fisher matrix calculation to get constraints on the matter density $\omega_m \equiv \Omega_m h^2$, the baryon density $\omega_b \equiv \Omega_b h^2$, the dark energy density $\Omega_X$, $w_0$, $w_a$, and the curvature $\Omega_K$. The dark energy equation-of-state parameter is assumed to evolve with expansion factor $a(t)$ as $w(a) = w_0 + w_a(a - 1) = w_p + w_a(d_p - a)$, where the “pivot” expansion factor is the one at which errors on $w_p$ and $w_a$ are uncorrelated. In addition to the distance constraints from BAO experiments, we add the Fisher matrices for Planck and Stage II experiments presented in the technical appendix of the Dark Energy Task Force (DETF) report (Albrecht et al. 2006). The variance of each parameter is given in Table 5.
of the 95% confidence level region in the $w_d - w_a$ plane (scaled to correspond to the convention adopted by the DETF). The precise value of the pivot expansion factor depends on which experiments are considered, but it is generally $a_p \approx 0.75$, i.e., in this family of models the dark energy experiments best constrain the value of $w$ at $z \approx 0.35$.

APPENDIX B

FORECASTS FOR MARVELS

To estimate the MARVELS survey planet yield, we use a simple, essentially analytic, method. We adopt the following assumptions.

1. We consider nine bins in $V$ magnitude, from $V_i = 8$ to $V_i = 12$ in steps of 0.5 mag, where $i$ is the bin index. For stars monitored in Years 1+2 including the 4 month extension in Year 3, we use the actual distribution of the number of stars per bin $f_{s,i}$ from a representative subset of the target fields. For stars monitored in Years 3–6, we use an average distribution of $V$ magnitudes for dwarf stars contained in the 31 preliminary shared fields chosen by APOGEE. We assume that the current MARVELS spectrograph monitors the brightest 60 stars and the second instrument (assumed to begin operation in Fall 2011) monitors the next brightest 60 stars.

2. The total number of stars $N_a$ monitored is set by the number of observations $N_{obs}$ per field, the total number of epochs $N_e$ available per month, the total number of available months $N_m$ for each observing block, and the fraction of time $f_{\text{lost}}$ lost to non-MARVELS science (APOGEE commissioning, APOGEE-only fields, etc.),

$$N_a = N_{\text{fiber}} \times N_e N_m / N_{\text{obs}} \times (1 - f_{\text{lost}}). \quad (B1)$$

We assume that a total of 46 epochs are available per month, based on the 917 epochs obtained over the 20 months of Years 1+2. This effectively means that for Years 3–6 we assume similar weather and a similar exposure plus overhead time ($\sim 50 + 10$ minutes) for each observation as we adopted in Years 1+2. We take $f_{\text{lost}} = 0.25$ starting in 2011 and $f_{\text{lost}} = 0$ before.

3. We assume a log-normal distribution of RV uncertainties $\sigma$ for each magnitude bin,

$$df_{\sigma,i} / d\sigma \propto e^{-0.5[(\log \sigma - \log \sigma_i)/0.2]^2}, \quad (B2)$$

where the $\sigma_i$ are the median photon noise uncertainties and rms scatter for each $V$ magnitude bin. The value of 0.2 for the log-normal dispersion was chosen to approximately match the distribution of observed scatter. Our final yields do not depend very strongly on this choice, changing by $\sim 27\%$ over the range 0.05–0.4.

4. We adopt a power-law distribution for the planet frequency as a function of period and mass,

$$dN_p / d\ln m_p d\ln P = C(P) (m_p / M_{\text{Jup}})^{-0.31}, \quad (B3)$$

where $C(P) \simeq 0.00186$ for $P < 300$ days and $C(P) = 0.0093$ for $P > 300$ days. We assume that there are no planets with $P < 10$ days and $m_p > 2M_{\text{Jup}}$. This distribution is motivated by the results of Cumming et al. (2008), who fit a continuous power law over the entire period range of $P < 2000$ days, finding $dN_p / d\ln m_p d\ln P \propto m_p^{-0.31} P^{0.26}$. However, because they have a paucity of intermediate period planets ($P = 10–300$ days) relative to this fit, we conservatively chose a uniform distribution in $\ln P$ and step-function truncations. Adopting the continuous power-law distribution would have led to a higher predicted yield.

5. We assume that a planet is detectable if its periodogram power $z$, or equivalently total $S/N Q$, is larger than a given value $z_0$. We use an analytic estimate for $Q$ as a function of $N_{\text{obs}}$, the planet semiamplitude $K$, and the RV uncertainty given by

$$Q = \left( N_{\text{obs}} / 2 \right)^{1/2} K / \sigma. \quad (B4)$$

This is strictly only appropriate for a uniformly sampled, circular orbit, but it is a good approximation for eccentricities less than $\sim 0.6$, and for planet periods less than the time spanned by the observations. The relationship between the periodogram power $z$ and $Q$ for a Keplerian orbit fit is given by (Cumming 2004)

$$z \equiv Q^2 / 2 \left( N_{\text{obs}} - 5 / N_{\text{obs}} \right), \quad (B5)$$

where $N_{\text{obs}} - 5$ is the number of degrees of freedom from a Keplerian fit. We use the following simple analytic estimate for the minimum power $z_0$ for detection (Cumming 2004):

$$z_0 = 3 \nu / 4 \left( M / F \right)^{2/\nu} - 1, \quad (B6)$$

where $\nu \equiv N_{\text{obs}} - 5, M \simeq T \Delta f$ is the number of independent frequencies (periods) searched for planets, $T = 2$ years is the span of each set of observations, $\Delta f = P^{-1} - P_{\text{max}}^{-1}$ is the range of frequencies searched, and $F$ is the false alarm probability required for detection. We set $F = (3 \times 10^4)^{-1}$. Given that MARVELS will be surveying $O(10^4)$ stars, we therefore expect $\sim 3$ false positives, which will require follow-up to eliminate.

With these assumptions, we can estimate our yield as

$$N_{\text{det}} = N_e \sum_{i=1}^9 f_{s,i} \int_{\ln P_{\text{min}}}^{\ln P_{\text{max}}} d\ln P \int_{\ln m_{p,\text{min}}}^{\ln m_{p,\text{max}}} d\ln m_p$$

$$\times \int_0^\infty d\sigma \frac{dN_p}{d\ln m_p d\ln P} \int_0^\infty d\sigma \Theta[z(m_p, P, \sigma) - z_0], \quad (B7)$$

where $\Theta[x]$ is the Heaviside step function. In addition, we exclude from the integrals the region where $m_p > M_{\text{Jup}}$ and $P < 10$ days.
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