

# Open Research Online

---

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

## Maximal buttonings of trees

### Journal Item

How to cite:

Short, Ian (2014). Maximal buttonings of trees. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 34(2) pp. 415–420.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2013 The Author



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/>

Version: Accepted Manuscript

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:  
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.7151/dmgt.1716>  
<http://www.discuss.wmie.uz.zgora.pl/gt/>

---

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's [data policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

---

[oro.open.ac.uk](http://oro.open.ac.uk)

4 MAXIMAL BUTTONINGS OF TREES

5 IAN SHORT<sup>1</sup>

6 *Department of Mathematics and Statistics*  
7 *The Open University*  
8 *Milton Keynes MK7 6AA*  
9 *United Kingdom*

10 **e-mail:** ian.short@open.ac.uk

11 **Abstract**

12 A *buttoning* of a tree that has vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is a closed walk that  
13 starts at  $v_1$  and travels along the shortest path in the tree to  $v_2$ , and then  
14 along the shortest path to  $v_3$ , and so forth, finishing with the shortest path  
15 from  $v_n$  to  $v_1$ . Inspired by a problem about buttoning a shirt inefficiently,  
16 we determine the maximum length of buttonings in trees.

17 **Keywords:** Centroid, graph metric, tree, walk, Wiener distance.

18 **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 05C05, 05C38;  
19 Secondary: 05C85.

20 1. INTRODUCTION

21 At the retirement meeting of Jenny Piggott as director of the mathematics edu-  
22 cation project NRICH, Bernard Murphy proposed the following problem (para-  
23 phrased).

24 **Problem 1.** My shirt has eight buttons in a vertical line with a spacing of one  
25 unit between each adjacent pair. Usually I button them from top to bottom,  
26 so that my hands move a distance of seven units. Suppose I button them in a  
27 different order; what is the maximum number of units my hands may travel?

In this partly expository note we address the more general problem of iden-  
tifying, for each finite tree  $T$  with graph metric  $d$ , the maximum value of the  
sum

$$d(v_1, v_2) + d(v_2, v_3) + \dots + d(v_{n-1}, v_n) + d(v_n, v_1) \quad (1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The author thanks Jozef Širáň for helpful suggestions.

28 among all lists  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  of the vertices of  $T$ . Problem 1 is a particular case of  
 29 this more general problem when  $T$  is the linear graph of order 8. (To be precise,  
 30 we must remove the final term  $d(v_n, v_1)$  from (1) to recover Problem 1, but we  
 31 shall see that this is an insignificant complication.) Our problem is itself a special  
 32 case of the maximum travelling salesman problem. To see this, observe that the  
 33 sum (1) is the length of a Hamilton cycle in the weighted complete graph that  
 34 has vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and has, for each distinct pair  $i$  and  $j$ , an edge of weight  
 35  $d(v_i, v_j)$  between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

All trees throughout the paper are finite. Further,  $T$  will always denote a tree with graph metric  $d$ . We denote by  $V_T$  the vertex set of  $T$ . Let  $[u, v]$  denote the unique shortest path from one vertex  $u$  to another vertex  $v$  in  $T$ . A *buttoning* of  $T$  is a closed walk in  $T$  consisting of  $n$  paths  $[v_1, v_2], [v_2, v_3], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]$ , where  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are the vertices of  $T$ . The *length* of this buttoning is the sum (1). A *centroid* of  $T$  is a vertex  $v$  such that the sum  $\sum_{u \in V_T} d(v, u)$  is minimized. Each tree has either one centroid or two adjacent centroids. Given a centroid  $v$  we define

$$\Phi(T) = 2 \sum_{u \in V_T} d(v, u).$$

36 The theory of centroids is covered briefly in [1, Section 1] and [2, Section 3]. The  
 37 authors of [1] emphasise the importance of centroids in distance calculations, and  
 38 our work supports this assertion. We can now state our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Given a tree  $T$  with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  we have*

$$2n - 2 \leq d(v_1, v_2) + d(v_2, v_3) + \dots + d(v_{n-1}, v_n) + d(v_n, v_1) \leq \Phi(T), \quad (2)$$

39 *and the upper and lower bounds are each attained by the lengths of certain but-*  
 40 *tonings of  $T$ .*

41 The lower inequality in (2) has been proven already, in [4, Theorem 1] (in-  
 42 cluding proof that the lower bound is attainable). There are results of a similar  
 43 nature to Theorem 2 in [3].

44 A *maximal buttoning* of a tree  $T$  is a buttoning of maximum length  $\Phi(T)$ .  
 45 When  $T$  is the linear tree of order 8, the two middlemost vertices of  $T$  are both  
 46 centroids, and one can check that  $\Phi(T) = 32$ . We show in Lemma 5 that you can  
 47 choose  $d(v_n, v_1) = 1$  in a maximal buttoning of such a tree, and so the solution  
 48 to Problem 1 is 31.

49 The quantity  $\Phi(T)$  is closely related to the *Wiener distance*  $W(T)$ , which is  
 50 given by  $W(T) = \sum_{a, b \in V_T} d(a, b)$ . It is known (see, for example, [2]) that, among  
 51 trees of order  $n$ ,  $W(T)$  is minimized when  $T$  is the star with  $n$  vertices and  $W(T)$   
 52 is maximized when  $T$  is the linear graph with  $n$  vertices. The same is true of  
 53  $\Phi(T)$ , and we state this as a theorem (which is easily proven). Let  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote  
 54 the integer part of a real number  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $T$  is a tree of order  $n$  then*

$$2n - 2 \leq \Phi(T) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2} n^2 \rfloor. \quad (3)$$

55 *Furthermore, the lower bound is attained when  $T$  is a star and the upper bound*  
 56 *is attained when  $T$  is a linear graph.*

57

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

58 Theorem 2 concerns the maximum and minimum lengths of buttonings of a tree  
 59  $T$  of order  $n$ . Let us briefly summarize the proof from [4, Theorem 1] of the lower  
 60 bound in (2). Because a buttoning is a closed walk that visits every vertex, each  
 61 edge must be traversed at least twice, and this proves that each buttoning has  
 62 length at least  $2n - 2$ . To see that this lower bound can be attained, between any  
 63 two adjacent vertices in  $T$  introduce a new edge. By ‘opening out’ the resulting  
 64 graph to form a cycle it is straightforward to construct a buttoning of  $T$  of length  
 65  $2n - 2$ . The remainder of this section concerns the upper bound of (2).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $[v_1, v_2], [v_2, v_3], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]$  be a buttoning of a tree  $T$ .  
 Then*

$$d(v_1, v_2) + d(v_2, v_3) + \dots + d(v_{n-1}, v_n) + d(v_n, v_1) \leq \Phi(T),$$

66 *with equality if and only if each centroid of  $T$  is contained in every path  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$*   
 67 *(including  $[v_n, v_1]$ ).*

**Proof.** Let  $v$  be a centroid of  $T$  and let  $v_{n+1} = v_1$ . Then the triangle inequality  
 gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d(v_i, v_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (d(v_i, v) + d(v, v_{i+1})) = \Phi(T).$$

68 Equality is attained in this inequality if and only if  $d(v_i, v_{i+1}) = d(v_i, v) +$   
 69  $d(v, v_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This occurs if and only if  $v$  is contained in each  
 70 path  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$ . ■

71 We must now prove that the upper bound  $\Phi(T)$  in (2) can always be attained.  
 72 We deal separately with trees that contain two centroids and trees that contain  
 73 just one centroid. It is an old result of C. Jordan (see [2, Theorem 1]) that a tree  
 74 with two centroids  $u$  and  $v$  has even order  $2k$ , and there is an edge connecting  
 75  $u$  and  $v$  which, once removed, leaves two disconnected subtrees  $U$  and  $V$  each of  
 76 order  $k$ , where  $u$  is a leaf of  $U$  and  $v$  is a leaf of  $V$ . We use this notation in the  
 77 next lemma.

78 **Lemma 5.** *Suppose that a tree  $T$  has two centroids  $u$  and  $v$  and correspond-*  
 79 *ing subtrees  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  and  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then the buttoning*  
 80  *$[u_1, v_1], [v_1, u_2], [u_2, v_2], \dots, [v_k, u_1]$  of  $T$  is a maximal buttoning, and all maximal*  
 81 *buttonings arise in this fashion.*

82 **Proof.** By Lemma 4, each buttoning  $[u_1, v_1], [v_1, u_2], [u_2, v_2], \dots, [v_k, u_1]$  is a max-  
 83 imal buttoning because the paths  $[u_i, v_i]$  and  $[v_i, u_{i+1}]$  all contain  $u$  and  $v$ . Fur-  
 84 thermore, in any buttoning  $[w_1, w_2], [w_2, w_3], \dots, [w_{2k-1}, w_{2k}], [w_{2k}, w_1]$  not of this  
 85 form there must be two consecutive vertices  $w_i$  and  $w_{i+1}$  that both lie in  $U$ , in  
 86 which case  $[w_i, w_{i+1}]$  does not contain  $v$ , and so, by Lemma 4, the buttoning is  
 87 not maximal. ■

88 All the maximal buttonings of  $T$  are described explicitly in Lemma 5, so we  
 89 have the following corollary.

90 **Corollary 6.** *A tree  $T$  that has two centroids and is of order  $2k$  has  $2(k!)^2$*   
 91 *maximal buttonings.*

92 Next we turn to trees with a single centroid. A preliminary lemma is needed.

93 **Lemma 7.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ , where  $m \geq 2$ , be a collection of disjoint finite sets*  
 94 *such that  $\sum_{i \neq j} |X_i| \geq |X_j|$  for each  $j$ . Then we can list the elements  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$*   
 95 *of  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_m$  in such a way that no two consecutive terms  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$*   
 96 *both lie in the same set  $X_j$ .*

97 **Sketch of proof.** Remove the elements of  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_m$  one by one and  
 98 place them in the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ , each time choosing the element  $v_i$  from  
 99 a set  $X_j$  of largest current size (excluding the set  $X_k$  from which  $v_{i-1}$  was chosen).  
 100 When  $m = 2$ , this strategy clearly gives a suitable list. When  $m > 2$ , the strategy  
 101 preserves the inequality  $\sum_{i \neq j} |X_i| \geq |X_j|$  (until only two elements, in two distinct  
 102 sets  $X_j$ , remain), and hence eventually exhausts the sets  $X_j$ . ■

103 If a tree  $T$  has a single centroid  $v$ , then removing  $v$  from  $T$ , and removing  
 104 all edges connected to  $v$ , leaves a number of disconnected subtrees of  $T$ , say  
 105  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ . Again, it was proven by C. Jordan (see [2, Theorem 1]) that  
 106 no one of these subtrees has order larger than the sum of the orders of all the  
 107 others; in other words  $\sum_{i \neq j} |X_i| \geq |X_j|$  for each  $j$ . We use this notation in the  
 108 next lemma.

109 **Lemma 8.** *Suppose that a tree  $T$  has a single centroid  $v_0$ , and removing  $v_0$  and*  
 110 *its edges from  $T$  leaves disconnected subtrees  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ . Then we can label*  
 111 *the vertices of  $T \setminus \{v_0\}$  as  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  in such a way that no pair  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$*   
 112 *both lie in the same set  $X_j$ , and  $[v_0, v_1], [v_1, v_2], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_0]$  is a maximal*  
 113 *buttoning of  $T$ .*

114 **Proof.** Lemma 7 shows that it is possible to choose the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  in  
 115 the described fashion, and, because each path  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$  passes through  $v_0$ , we see  
 116 from Lemma 4 that the resulting buttoning is maximal. ■

117 In fact, Lemma 4 shows that all maximal buttonings of  $T$  are of the form  
 118 described in Lemma 8, up to cyclic permutations of the paths  $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$  in the but-  
 119 toning  $[v_0, v_1], [v_1, v_2], \dots, [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_0]$ . In contrast to Corollary 6, however,  
 120 there does not appear to be a simple general formula for the number of maximal  
 121 buttonings.

122 We proved in Lemma 4 that the length of a buttoning of a tree  $T$  is less  
 123 than or equal to  $\Phi(T)$ , and Lemmas 5 and 8 show that this bound can always be  
 124 attained. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 125 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

126 The concept of a buttoning extends to all finite connected graphs, and we finish  
 127 with brief remarks about extremal buttoning lengths in this more general context.

128 From (2), a buttoning of a tree of order  $n$  has length at least  $2n - 2$ . For  
 129 more general connected graphs of order  $n$ , however, the lower bound for buttoning  
 130 lengths is  $n$ , rather than  $2n - 2$ . This is because every buttoning has  $n$  constituent  
 131 paths each of length at least 1, which implies that the total length is at least  $n$ .  
 132 Furthermore, the lower bound of length  $n$  is achieved by any buttoning of the  
 133 complete graph of order  $n$ .

134 On the other hand, by (3), a buttoning of a tree of order  $n$  has length at  
 135 most  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} n^2 \rfloor$ , and this is also an upper bound for the length of a buttoning of a  
 136 graph of order  $n$ . This is because the length of a buttoning of a graph is less than  
 137 or equal to the length of the same buttoning on a spanning tree of the graph.  
 138 It follows that among connected graphs of order  $n$ , the linear graph has the  
 139 largest maximal buttoning length. In particular, the maximal buttoning length  
 140 in Problem 1 remains 31 even when we rearrange the eight buttons to form a  
 141 more general connected graph.

### 142 REFERENCES

- 143 [1] C. A. Barefoot, R. C. Entringer and L. A. Székely, *Extremal values for ratios*  
 144 *of distances in trees*, Discrete Appl. Math. **80** (1997) 37–56.
- 145 [2] A. A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer and I. Gutman, *Wiener index of trees: theory*  
 146 *and applications*, Acta Appl. Math **66** (2001) 211–249.
- 147 [3] L. Johns and T. C. Lee, *S-distance in trees*, in: Computing in the 90's  
 148 (Kalamazoo, MI, 1989), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 507, ed(s), N. A.  
 149 Sherwani, E. de Doncker and J. A. Kapenga (Springer, Berlin, 1991) 29–33.

- 150 [4] T. Lengyel, *Some graph problems and the realizability of metrics by graphs*,  
151 Congr. Numer. **78** (1990) 245–254.