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</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORI</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA</td>
<td>Official development assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPA</td>
<td>Program on International Policy Attitudes (United States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP</td>
<td>Public Opinion Polling group (established by the informal network of DAC HOI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIDA</td>
<td>Swedish International Development Co-operation Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNECE</td>
<td>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>United Nations Population Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>United States Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PREFACE

Ten years ago, the OECD Development Centre and the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) brought together policy makers, academics, parliamentarians and journalists for an Informal Experts’ Consultation on Public Knowledge and Public Attitudes to International Development Co-operation. This was the first occasion that this issue had been addressed at the OECD. Inter alia, this Consultation called for “the establishment of clear goals for development policy, so that the people will know the standards against which performance should be measured, and will understand the stakes involved” (Foy and Helmich, 1996). These have since become a reality in the form of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

Since this time, the Heads of Information in DAC member countries’ development co-operation agencies — many of whom took part in the seminal Consultation — have widened and deepened their collaboration within their own Informal Network. The MDGs are providing them with a unified framework for communication on development issues. The Centre has accompanied the Network’s growth by undertaking analysis and brokering policy dialogue, thus building a unique expertise in public engagement towards development.

A key result of this work has been to point to the lack of regular, consistent and comparable DAC-wide data on public attitudes. The initiative by several members of the informal network of DAC HOI to develop a single, common survey questionnaire on the MDGs, presented in this paper, is therefore welcome. It is timely too: on the condition that it is implemented rapidly and in a large number of countries, it will provide the Heads of State gathering at the September 2005 UN Summit with a uniquely valuable database on public attitudes in industrial countries towards the MDGs.

The common survey initiative will be taken further as part of the Development Centre’s 2005-2006 Programme of Work. This will be achieved with the help of the European Commission’s Eurobarometer polling mechanism and through greater collaboration with the Informal Network of DAC Heads of Information.

Prof. Louka T. Katseli
Director
OECD Development Centre
29 October 2004
RÉSUMÉ

SUMMARY

Monitoring changes in public awareness and attitudes in rich countries towards aid and other international development policy issues is extremely difficult: due to lack of systematic polling or monitoring, there is no reliable, comparable data across DAC member countries. This paper suggests a way to address this problem: a common questionnaire for all DAC countries to use in their national surveys, centred on the Millennium Development Goals. It sums up the process that led to the setting up of this questionnaire, provides a short analysis of the main methodological issues and brings together three versions, respectively for face-to-face, phone or mail processing. These should allow information and communication professionals, as well as policy makers, to improve the comparability of public opinion surveys in OECD DAC member countries on development and the MDGs, especially if — as proposed by the European Commission’s Directorate General for Development — parts of the questionnaire are included in the next Eurobarometer survey on development across EU member countries (possibly in 2005).
I. INTRODUCTION

To what extent are citizens in OECD DAC member countries aware of the challenges of poverty alleviation and development in poorer countries? How much do they know about the Official Development Assistance (ODA) and other development-related policies which their governments wage on their behalf? How supportive are they of those policies? Elected representatives and politicians need to know the answers to those questions, but not only them. Information officers and policy makers in aid and development co-operation administrations also need to have fairly good and regularly updated information on what people think and how far they support the government’s development co-operation efforts. Finally, public opinion research is an essential input into communication and educational programmes aimed at raising awareness of development-related issues: data will help identify knowledge gaps, information and education needs, potential target groups, and so on.

On the whole, however, efforts to research and monitor public attitudes in OECD countries viz. global poverty and the MDGs have been inadequate and scattered. The data on DAC Member countries is still largely limited to measuring public support for the principle of giving aid in general, and public satisfaction with levels of ODA1. Some countries have not conducted any surveys, and, where data exists for several countries for a particular year, questions are phrased differently in each case, making it difficult to draw a reliable comparison. Moreover, public opinion polling is notoriously difficult, with responses influenced by lack or misinterpretation of basic information, as well as by issues that are given prominence in the media at the time of fieldwork. Most surveys and polls that do exist focus on support for ODA, but they tell us little about awareness and support for MDGs and they fail to bring non-ODA-related policy choices such as trade, debt, and migration policies into the picture. In questionnaires related to ODA, expenditure is rarely compared with that in other sectors, and too little information is given for deeper conclusions to be drawn about individual opinions. For all these reasons, survey findings sometimes appear contradictory or ambiguous.

Several members of an informal network of Heads of Information (HOI) in development agencies or ministries of DAC countries have decided to tackle the issue in a collaborative manner. The next section describes how they jointly developed a set of common questions on the

---

1. Overall, the trend revealed by this data has been consistent over the last two decades: citizens in OECD DAC member countries are overwhelmingly supportive of international development co-operation in principle, but awareness of international development issues and policies remains very low. In particular, people tend to overestimate their government’s aid effort considerably, and support for foreign aid is mostly based upon the erroneous assumption that it will be spent on humanitarian crises. See Fransman and Solignac Lecomte (2004), for an update of the main data in McDonnell et al. (2003).
Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), translated them into national languages, they were tested in one national survey (the “POP” and “POP Plus” projects). Section III reviews the main methodological issues they were confronted with. Finally, section IV sums up the follow-up actions envisaged by members of the HOI network at their 2004 meeting.

Box 1

The “POP” Project

The original Public Opinion Polling group (POP, 2002-2003) comprised agencies from Canada, Germany, Sweden and the United States, together with the OECD Development Co-operation Directorate (DCD), UNDP and the OECD Development Centre.

The “POP PLUS” Project

POP PLUS (2003-2004), a successor to the POP initiative, was set up by Canada, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Sweden, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the United States, in collaboration with the UN Economic Commission for Europe, UNDP, UNFPA, the UN Millennium Campaign and the World Bank. It was co-ordinated by the OECD Development Centre, in close collaboration with the DCD.
II. TACKLING THE DATA PROBLEM:
A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE “POP” PROJECT

The Public Opinion Polling Group

In October 2001, participants in an informal meeting of experts in Dublin on “International Development Co-operation in OECD Countries: Public Debate, Public Support and Public Opinion”\(^2\) stressed the scarcity of comparable data in DAC Member countries on public opinion about development co-operation. There was broad support for a proposal to develop a common methodology for surveying public attitudes. It was suggested that the issue of data gathering and monitoring become the topic for the next meeting of the informal network of DAC Heads of Information (HOI) in 2002\(^3\).

There, the desirability and feasibility of conducting a joint DAC survey of public opinion about international development co-operation and the MDGs were explored. A Public Opinion Polling (“POP”) group was established to develop some common questions on the MDGs that DAC Members would be able to use in their national public opinion surveys. It comprised representatives of the governments of Sweden (SIDA), Germany (BMZ), Canada (CIDA) and the United States (USAID), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), as well as the Development Co-operation Directorate (DCD) and the Development Centre of the OECD. This was considered as a first step towards harmonising public opinion data in OECD countries. Alphonse L. MacDonald (the Director of the UNFPA Geneva office) agreed to advise the project in light of his experience and scientific knowledge of surveying public attitudes, especially at the international level. On behalf of the Group, the Development Centre would prepare a study to be discussed at the 2003 HOI meeting (see Terms of Reference in Box 3).

Adopting the MDGs as a Framework

While the intention of the OECD DAC in its 1996 selection of the objectives that led to the adoption of the MDGs was to give a new direction to aid agencies’ thinking and practice, the Goals also provided a good instrument for illustrating what development co-operation is about,

\(^2\) See www.oecd.org/dev/opinion.
\(^3\) Directors of public information in development co-operation agencies of several DAC member countries have been meeting informally since 1988. Concerned with the fact that, in spite of the wide recognition that public support is essential to sustaining and improving international development co-operation, public information and development education have been a neglected sector in aid agencies, they aimed to create an opportunity to regularly share best practices and swap ideas across DAC countries.
making its aims more transparent and more understandable for the general public. Therefore, they eventually emerged as a new communications tool to raise public awareness, and were put by several donor agencies — most notably the UN — at the heart of their communication strategies. They are all the more likely to appeal to the general public that they are measurable, time-bound targets, and that they put the priority on basic needs. They thus seem particularly helpful at a time when information officers face the challenge of communicating about increasingly “intangible” aspects of development co-operation such as programme aid, sector wide approaches and budget support.

Moreover, the MDGs provide a useful tool to analyse public opinion in greater detail and allow for asking more precise questions. The initial POP study thus aimed to use the MDGs to develop a set of common questions which could be included either in national surveys carried out by DAC members, or in an international poll. Through the use of “common” questions (and a common methodology), the POP Group seeks to obtain more harmonised data on public opinion in OECD countries, thereby facilitating comparative analysis of trends in opinion at the international level. If an international poll was undertaken and repeated at regular intervals, a real comparison of trends in public attitudes towards the MDGs would be possible. The survey results would in turn supply communicators with useful data to develop and target awareness-raising campaigns better — both national and international.

**Box 2**

**How much do people know about the MDGs?**

Early results from polls in Canada, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States reveal that there is very little awareness about the existence of the Goals. When people do know about the MDGs, they are sceptical about the possibility of achieving them, especially those concerning the environment and halving extreme poverty. There are also wide country differences; if Swedish and United States respondents give priority to all eight MDGs, Canadians think the Goals are too numerous and too vague. Women and young people, however, tend to be more optimistic than men and the older age groups. It thus seems fair to say that, on average, in DAC countries, the proclaimed global anti-poverty consensus and the MDGs have not trickled down to national public debates.


**Core Elements of the Questions**

The ultimate aim of the POP study was to create examples of common questions. Based on best practice in public opinion measurement⁴, seven key issues were kept in mind while preparing the questionnaire:

---

1. What exactly is this about? Opinion about what?
2. What alternative choices have framed or constrained the response?
3. How well considered is the response?
4. How is this opinion organised, if at all, with other views?
5. How strongly is it held? With what degree of certainty?
6. What are the chances it will result in committed political action?
7. What is the perceived social context within which this opinion has been formed and expressed?

In coming to conclusions about the modalities for using the questions, researchers were confronted with additional questions:

— Resources: time and financial. When should a first survey be conducted? How would it be financed?
— Ownership of the survey: DAC Members in collaboration with International Organisations?
— Participation of civil society: how and which organisations?
— Central or decentralised management: options include UN Millennium Campaign in collaboration with DAC Members; DAC Members individually but also serving the needs of the UN Millennium Campaign; outsourcing, other. A co-ordinating unit will always be needed.
— Frequency of data collection (if time series are required).
— How consistent should the questions be over time? Can there be deviation from the initial study, which provides baseline data, to more specific questioning about the Goals in later years?
— How to differentiate between Goals, targets and indicators within the MDGs? Is there a need to do so?
— How to allow for themes — certain campaigns may focus on specific Goals and want to measure specific awareness over the years.
— How to deal with Goal 8 in the questions? 5

It has thus been suggested that the following selected characteristics for each of the 18 targets be measured:
— Level of priority;
— Likelihood of Goal/target being achieved.

5. Goal 8 is different from the other Goals, it is more complex, and more political in nature, which made it more difficult to decide how best to include it in a questionnaire, or to agree on common questions about it.
In relation to the MDGs as a group, a survey should find out:

- Importance of country X’s and other developed countries’ contribution for the achievement of the MDGs.
- Should Government increase its ODA to help achieve MDGs? If YES, by how much?
- Has the respondent done something in the last year to help achieve the Goals? If YES: What?
- Personal willingness to do something to achieve Goals? If YES: What?
- Assessment of own government’s role on achieving the Goals.
- Knowledge about the roles of relevant development co-operation actors.

Early Results

A review of surveys on the MDGs showed fairly similar situations across Canada, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States and helped prepare the “common” questions. The key points emerging from the review included the following:

- There is very little awareness about the existence of the MDGs. Earlier British surveys also demonstrated this.
- Public opinion is not convinced that the Goals will be achieved.
- People are least convinced about achieving environmental sustainability and halving extreme poverty.
- More than a majority of respondents (in Sweden and the United States) think that all Goals are a priority.
- Public opinion tends to be most optimistic about reaching the target of access to safe drinking water in the United States and access to family planning in the United Kingdom.
- Canadians are sceptical viz. the abundance of many Goals and targets. They think that they are somewhat vague.
- Women and young people are more optimistic about achieving the Goals than men and older age groups.

The report, presented at the June 2003 annual HOI meeting in Ottawa, provided a basic set of questions on the MDGs and made the following recommendations:

- To include the questions in a broader, nationally representative survey on development co-operation;
- To use a standardised methodology for the MDGs part and ideally for the broader part of the survey;
- To centralise the management and implementation of the survey programme.

6. “Access to family planning” is no longer part of the Goals. However, the survey organisers in the United Kingdom have decided to keep it in their annual survey for continuity.
Taking these results into account, nine countries (Canada, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Ireland, The Netherlands, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States) decided to take the POP work further to design improved and comparable questionnaires. They again asked the OECD Development Centre to co-ordinate this project and to assist countries in the design of comparable questionnaires which would take national (in particular linguistic) specificities into account.

POP Plus: Laying the Foundations for Better Public Surveys on Development

Comments by the participants in the Ottawa meeting covered the details of the proposed set of questions, relevance of and preferences for certain questions, how a medium-term project researching and monitoring attitudes to the MDGs might be managed, next steps, who to include and consult with and who would participate in a possible piloting of the questions.

It was suggested by SIDA that the questions could be asked in a global World Value Surveys (WVS) in 2005 and that a partnership with WVS on this might be possible. UNDP participants commented that they were interested in collaborating with the project, especially if attitudes in developing countries would also be surveyed. Additionally, it was proposed that the project group consult with international social research bodies, notably the Eurobarometer of the European Commission and commercial companies such as Environics and MORI.

There was a proposal to make the questions a “public good” (freely available e.g. on the Internet) so that the survey could also be used on a voluntary basis. Consultation with the broader development community including NGOs was stressed as especially important. Strong links should also be maintained with the Millennium Campaign, Global Education Network Europe, the World Bank and the European Commission. DAC members absent from the Ottawa HOI meeting should also be brought into the loop.

Still, there was no consensus about the immediate adoption of questions and commitment to a standard survey. The issue of the relevance of questions and the methodology needed to be explored on a closer basis with agencies and other stakeholders. An initial step agreed upon at the meeting was the development of a pilot phase by a group of interested countries. This group of countries includes: Canada, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Sweden, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the United States. The results of the pilot were presented at the 2004 HOI meeting in Sweden. The Development Centre and project advisor Alphonse L. MacDonald were asked to be responsible for the next stage of the project: POP Plus.
Regarding issues which the set of core questions should address, proposals by participants in the meeting included:

- Validity of the questions — how the outcomes from the survey will support work on the MDGs.
- What developing countries should do themselves to achieve the Goals.
- Importance of Goal 8.
- Asking about consumer and lifestyle issues.
- Keep in mind how to apply questions to developing countries.
- A focus on the Pacific.
- Allow space/flexibility for country specificities.
- Ways to test key messages; use survey to get key messages on how to communicate on the MDGs; test the impact of MDG campaigns. If 5-10 year time framework be sure what we are trying to measure/ will we be testing the same thing?
- Focus on themes knowing they are interdependent.
III. METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES

In pursuing the harmonisation of public opinion data and the realisation of comparative regular (longitudinal) studies relating to knowledge and public support for the MDGs, the team had to tackle several methodological concerns, as discussed below.

Common Issues in Comparative Longitudinal Sample Surveys and their Relevance for Setting up a Questionnaire on the MDGs

The objectives of the survey are to provide communicators with the material to develop publicity campaigns and to measure the public’s knowledge, perception and support for the MDGs. The survey will hence have two main dimensions; extension over time, and extension over space or territory. Extension over time assumes that the instruments developed will be sensitive to changes that occur in public knowledge, perception and support for the MDGs, and will be able to measure the same phenomenon in different locations, hence accommodating possible cultural variations. The proposed survey is a multinational/comparative longitudinal survey. This translates into the following methodological considerations:

1. Identical conceptual framework (or at least conceptual equivalence)
2. Validity
3. Reliability
4. Cross-country comparability
5. Historical comparability

A Shared Framework

In order to obtain useful, i.e. valid and reliable results, it is imperative that the same framework be used \(^i\) for all the countries involved as well as \(^ii\) every time a survey is carried out. In this case the identical framework is given: the main concepts (variables) are provided by the MDGs as published by the United Nations (see Annex I). However, the way in which they are presented in the questionnaire is a matter of choice and, in order to harmonise the data (and its collection), the choice must be consistently followed by all countries and over time.

---

7. See Przeworski and Teune (1970); Galtung (1979); Ember and Ember (2001).
Validity

Validity refers to the characteristic of a measure, basically, that it measures what it purports to measure. This study should measure knowledge, perception and support for the MDGs. The measures for these variables must be clearly defined and the indicators have to be consistently applied. Here the question is: up to what level of detail will the knowledge, perception and support of the respondents be measured? There are 8 Goals, 18 targets, and 48 indicators. The implication of the validity criterion is that for the measurement of knowledge, perception and support there must be clear instructions as to what the objective of assessing knowledge, perception and support is. This is of particular importance for the knowledge questions. If the study simply wants to measure knowledge at the Goal level, clear rules need to be established to record the answer of the respondent when s/he mentions targets or even indicators.

Reliability

Reliability refers to the constancy and precision with which the variables are measured. In other words, the extent to which repeated measurements that use the same instrument and procedures will produce the same results under similar circumstances. This depends on the stability (constancy/invariability) of the answers that the questions elicit, and this is a function of the formulation of the questions, their complexity, level of clarity and on the sample size that is used. The reliability requirement mandates that the methodology used (including the design, instruments, data processing and analysis systems) is the same for all surveys over space and time.

Cross-country Comparability

Cross-country comparability will be guaranteed (and data will be harmonised) if the same methodology is used in all countries. The underlying assumption is that the cultural framework of all participating countries is identical or at least sufficiently similar. This implies that the questionnaire, the methodologies of data collection, editing, processing and analysis have to be identical. The same questions need to be used by all parties in the same order. To ensure questionnaire comparability there should be a reliable translation. It was thus decided to use the translation-retranslation technique (see next section). The definition of the study population and the sample design should be identical. Ideally, the same data collection procedure should be used, face-to-face interviews or telephone interviews; self-standing questionnaire or omnibus approach. In cross-country studies it is important to ensure that the answer categories of self-reported subjective categories are really comparable. This is especially important when one deals with value-related answer categories like “too much” or “too little”. It is essential to ensure that with such categories some “objective” measure is also used, for example, “How much would be too much/too little, etc.”?

8. Classical statistical and methodological studies dealing with this concept, its components and relation to eligibility are: Weiss (1968) and Selltiz et al. (1959).
10. Statistical methods exist that allow the analyst to calibrate the answers and to correct for different cultural values, but this is usually not done in opinion research.
Historical Comparability

Historical comparability will be achieved if the same methodology and questionnaire is used over time, taking into consideration the issues related to cross-country comparability. Nevertheless, in order to accommodate increased knowledge on the MDGs it is necessary to anticipate modifications in the level of detail without affecting the validity of the measurements.

A Single Survey, yet in Different Countries

From the beginning, it was clear that the main challenge in establishing comparable surveys was to combine i) consideration for national specificities with ii) more or less identical questions. “National specificities” refer to language, but also to particular traditions of surveying, and to embedded references which vary from one place to another. The response to this challenge was to design a questionnaire in English which would be adapted on the basis of feedback from the different participating countries, and where a number of questions would have an element of case-sensitivity (e.g. when a question refers to “the government”, each country has to phrase it in the way that is best suited to its language).

For the non-Anglophone countries in the group, it was decided that they would translate the questionnaire into their language, and then have it back translated so it could be screened (and compared with the original as well as other back translations) by the Development Centre team.

The translation-retranslation technique is a procedure whereby a questionnaire is first prepared in a standard language version – English in the present case. Then, individual language versions are prepared by having the standard version translated by professional, preferably native speakers. This version is then retranslated into the standard language by a team of native speakers of the two languages. Differences in the two standard versions are reconciled by a team of native speakers of the two languages and knowledgeable individuals on the relevant subject matter.

Once agreement had been reached on the standard English questionnaire (i.e. face-to-face and a mail version designed for Denmark), the team supervised the translation-back translation process within each of the non-Anglophone participating countries, insisting on the importance of using two different translators to avoid self-correction. This allowed for flaws in the original questionnaires to be identified and corrected.

After reviewing the back translations, the team provided each non-Anglophone country with a detailed review, including comments and editing suggestions to ensure harmonisation.

Data collection modality also turned out to be an issue. It was understood, at the beginning, that all countries but Canada would be using face-to-face interviews, except Denmark where a mail survey would be used. It turned out that the Netherlands would also be using a telephone survey system like Canada. This led to the design of three basic questionnaires (for face-to-face, mail and telephone interviews) which are different but nonetheless comparable (see

11. Slight changes in meaning are common in translations, but they can jeopardise the comparability of surveys which are to be administered to large numbers of respondents.)
Annexes II, III and IV). Face to face and telephone interviews are more comparable with one another than they are with the mail survey. The reason is that, in the latter, the interviewer has no “control” over the interview situation. This can lead to differences in the results especially where knowledge questions are concerned. Also, the mail survey precludes the assessment of the respondent’s knowledge of the level of ODA provided by the country.

Specific Methodological Issues related to the MDGs

**Clarifying Goals and Targets**

The differentiation between *Goals* and *targets* tends to be unclear, partly due to the compromises that were necessary for finding an agreement amongst UN member countries in drafting them. This raises several issues for surveys. Public support for the MDGs has been measured through *targets*, whilst reference was made to the *Goals*. This is partly because some of the targets, as described in the Millennium Declaration, are a re-statement of the Goal. However, in other instances more than one target has been assigned to a given Goal, and the Goal may not explicitly refer to the target. It is at this point that a non-distinction between Goals and targets could make it messy and complex to analyse knowledge of the MDGs. United Nations publications also confound Goals with targets. In an October 2002 fact sheet published by the United Nations Department of Public Information the “Millennium Goals to be achieved by 2015” are described as follows:

— Halve extreme poverty and hunger;
— Achieve universal primary education;
— Empower women and promote equality between women and men;
— Reduce under-five mortality by two-thirds;
— Reduce maternal mortality by three-quarters;
— Reverse the spread of diseases, especially HIV/AIDS and malaria;
— Ensure environmental sustainability;
— Create a global partnership for development, with targets for aid, trade and debt relief.

At present, there are two ways in which the Goals are presented to the public. UN publications refer to the officially agreed text of the Goals, targets and indicators (see Annex I). In publicity material the description of the Goals is paraphrased and in many cases the language of the targets is used. This inconsistency of messaging makes the measurement of knowledge, assessment and support for the Goals problematic. Admittedly, few members of the general public will normally read the official UN publication and hence will be more often exposed to the hybrid way in which the Goals confounded with targets are presented. Such inconsistent messaging will have adverse consequences on the measurement of one’s knowledge of the Goals. Nevertheless, if participating countries agree on a “mix” of Goals and targets in the survey, confusions can be avoided. It was agreed to restrict the number of targets that would be considered to 15 instead of the original 18. The POP Plus team therefore tried to find a balance between clarity and accuracy in reformulating them for the purpose of the questionnaire. This process is summed up in Table 1 below.
Table 1. Text of the Goals as used in UN Documents, the Draft Version and the Final Version of the Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less than $1 a day.</td>
<td>Halve the number of extremely poor people (living on less than [national equivalent of $1] per day).</td>
<td>Halve, by 2015, the proportion of extremely poor people living on less than $1 a day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger.</td>
<td>Halve the number of hungry people.</td>
<td>By 2015, halve the proportion of people who suffer from hunger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling.</td>
<td>Ensure that all boys and girls complete primary education.</td>
<td>Ensure that, by 2015, all boys and girls, everywhere in the world, complete primary education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education, preferably by 2005, and to all levels of education no later than 2015.</td>
<td>Ensure that girls have the same opportunities to go to and benefit from primary and secondary school as boys.</td>
<td>Ensure that, by 2015, girls everywhere in the world have the same opportunities to benefit from primary and secondary school as boys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reduce by two thirds, between 1990 and 2015, the under-five mortality rate.</td>
<td>Reduce by two-thirds the number of children that die before they are five years old.</td>
<td>Reduce, by 2015, the proportion of children who die before they are five years old by two-thirds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reduce by three quarters, between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio.</td>
<td>Reduce by three-quarters the number of women dying while giving birth.</td>
<td>Reduce, by 2015, the proportion of women who die of pregnancy related causes by three-quarters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the spread of HIV/AIDS.</td>
<td>Stop the spread of HIV/AIDS.</td>
<td>Stop the spread of HIV/AIDS by 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases.</td>
<td>Reduce the number of people suffering from malaria and other major diseases.</td>
<td>Reduce, by 2015, the number of people suffering from malaria and other major diseases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of environmental resources.</td>
<td>Reverse the loss of environmental resources.</td>
<td>By 2015 reverse the loss of environmental resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Halve by 2015 the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water.</td>
<td>Halve the number of people who have no access to safe drinking water.</td>
<td>Halve the proportion of people who have no safe drinking water by 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>By 2020 to have achieved a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers.</td>
<td>Improve the lives of at least 100 million people currently living in slums.</td>
<td>By 2020 improve the living conditions of at least 100 million people currently living in slums.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Develop further an open, rule-based, predictable, non-discriminatory trading and financial system.</td>
<td>Develop a fairer international trading system.</td>
<td>By 2015 develop a more equitable international trading system for developing country goods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>For LDCs, tariff and quota-free access for least-developed countries’ exports.</td>
<td>Remove tariffs and quotas on exports from poor countries.</td>
<td>By 2015, remove tariffs and quotas on exports from the poorest countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>For LDCs, enhanced programme of debt relief for HIPCs and cancellation of official bilateral debt.</td>
<td>Cancel government-to-government debt.</td>
<td>By 2015, cancel government-to-government debt for the poorest countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>For LDCs, more generous ODA for countries committed to poverty reduction.</td>
<td>Increase aid to poor countries.</td>
<td>By 2015, increase aid to the poorest countries that are committed to poverty reduction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a) Targets 16, 17 and 18 are not addressed in the questionnaire.
Putting the MDGs in Context

Measuring public knowledge, assessment and support for the MDGs and their targets is best done in the context of a survey that measures public knowledge, assessment and support for development co-operation in general. MDG questions should be asked after the more general questions about technical co-operation and financing of foreign aid have been asked.

While most of the surveys on the MDGs did not review the concept of “Millennium Development Goals”, this should be done, and even form part of the standard questionnaire. The concept is, after all, central to the publicity campaign around efforts to enhance financial support for development activities.

Elsewhere, reference has been restricted to the content of the first seven Goals of the MDGs. Knowledge of the MDGs should be measured after a suitable introduction where respondents are asked whether they have ever heard of the term “Millennium Development Goals”, and if so, whether they know what they entail. To allow for maximum possible coverage, all 18 targets pertaining to the eight Goals should be allowed as valid answers. Knowledge of the MDGs will be inferred from the answers given that they coincide with the targets.

Interview Modalities

The way in which interviews are carried out varies considerably among DAC Member countries. The most commonly used data collection modalities are:

— The face-to-face interview in which a paper questionnaire/computer is used. This procedure is relatively costly and time consuming. However, it is generally considered to yield more valid and reliable results because the interviewer can observe the reaction of the respondent, which may provide an indication of the veracity of his/her answers.

— The (at present computer-assisted) telephone interview is a relatively inexpensive and less time-consuming procedure. However, there is no direct interviewer — respondent interaction, which makes it difficult to assess whether answers are genuine.

— The mail survey, in which the questionnaire is either mailed or hand-delivered to the respondents, and either mailed back to the survey organiser or again hand-collected after a certain period. This procedure is the least expensive option, but poses methodological problems, as the survey organiser has no control over the interview situation. This is especially serious in the case of knowledge questions.

These three procedures imply special requirements regarding the design of the questionnaire and the formulation of questions. Because of the different methodological characteristics of the three procedures, it is advisable and preferable that one of the procedures be used in comparative survey programmes.
IV. NEXT STEPS

The basic sets of questions presented in Annex II to IV should allow Heads of Information and policy makers to improve the comparability of public opinion surveys on development and the MDGs. The results of the polls should not only identify knowledge gaps, but also help to understand better the ways in which citizens relate to the issues. Synergies could then be explored between, on the one hand, efforts of donors to improve aid effectiveness and increase policy coherence, and, on the other hand, public awareness raising initiatives. Ultimately, this should help enhance citizens’ critical understanding of development policies.

During their June 2004 meeting in Stockholm, where those questionnaires were presented and discussed, members of the informal network of DAC heads of information reached the following conclusions:

— Public Opinion Polling questions may be considered a “public good” and will be disseminated over the Internet, so that they can also be used on a voluntary basis by any organisation who may deem it useful (www.oecd.org/dev/opinion).

— The survey should be implemented as broadly and as coherently as possible ahead of the September 2005 UN Summit on MDGs, so as to provide analysts and policy makers with the most reliable and useful picture of the state of public opinion.

— Very importantly, members welcomed the European Commission’s proposal to include parts of the POP questionnaire — especially the questions on MDGs — in a forthcoming EUROBAROMETER survey on development. This would greatly simplify the task of DAC member countries which are also EU members, since the survey would be carried out on their behalf.

— The monitoring of data collection and analysis over time would be co-ordinated on behalf of the informal network by the Development Centre.

— Finally, several members expressed interest in polling public opinion in aid-recipient countries. This could be looked into with all relevant partners in the framework of the MDGs campaign. The “POP” experience so far suggests that DAC could — formally or informally — play a major role in such an endeavour.

12. The financial costs of a comparative public opinion survey programme can be minimised, and the quality greatly enhanced if national surveys are carried out in co-operation with the National Statistical Office of the OECD/DAC member countries. All these countries have well developed statistical systems that carry out nationally representative surveys on a regular basis. Such systems can be used as the basis for the MDG opinion surveys, the add-on module or the basic set of questions. Subcontracting to independent national research institutes or commercial survey companies might be less cost-effective and may result in less comparable data and results, unless a strictly comparable methodology can be adhered to.
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## ANNEX I

### MILLENNIUM DEVELOPMENT GOALS, TARGETS AND INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and targets</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 1: Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Target 1:** Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less than $1 a day. | 1. Proportion of population below $1 parity purchasing power (PPP) per day.  
2. Poverty gap ratio.  
3. Share of poorest quintile in national consumption. |
| **Target 2:** Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger. | 4. Prevalence of underweight children under-five years of age.  
5. Proportion of population below minimum level of dietary energy consumption. |
| **Goal 2: Achieve universal primary education** | |
| **Target 3:** Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling. | 6. Net enrolment ratio in primary education.  
7. Proportion of pupils starting grade 1 who reach grade 5.  
8. Literacy rate of 15-24 year olds. |
| **Goal 3: Promote gender equality and empower women** | |
| **Target 4:** Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education preferably by 2005 and to all levels of education no later than 2015. | 9. Ratio of girls to boys in primary, secondary and tertiary education.  
12. Proportion of seats held by women in national parliament. |
| **Goal 4: Reduce child mortality** | |
| **Target 5:** Reduce by two-thirds, between 1990 and 2015, the under-five mortality rate. | 13. Under-five mortality rate.  
15. Proportion of 1 year old children immunised against measles. |
| **Goal 5: Improve maternal health** | |
| **Target 6:** Reduce by three-quarters, between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio. | 16. Maternal mortality ratio.  
17. Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel. |
| **Goal 6: Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases** | |
| **Target 7:** Have halted by 2015, and begun to reverse, the spread of HIV/AIDS. | 18. HIV prevalence among 15-24 year old pregnant women.  
19. Condom use rate of the contraceptive prevalence rate.  
20. Number of children orphaned by HIV/AIDS. |
| **Target 8:** Have halted by 2015, and begun to reverse, the incidence of malaria and other major diseases. | 21. Prevalence and death rates associated with malaria.  
22. Proportion of population in malaria risk areas using effective malaria prevention and treatment measures.  
24. Proportion of TB cases detected and cured under directly observed treatment short course. |
Goal 7: Ensure environmental sustainability

Target 9:
Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of environmental resources.

25. Proportion of land area covered by forest.
26. Ratio of area protected to maintain biological diversity to surface area.
27. Energy use (kilogram oil equivalent per $1 gross domestic product (PPP)).
28. Carbon dioxide emissions and consumption of ozone-depletion chlorofluorcarbons (CFC).
29. Proportion of population using solid fuels.

Target 10:
Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water.

30. Proportion of population with sustainable access to an improved water source, urban and rural.
31. Proportion of urban population with access to improved sanitation.
32. Proportion of households with access to secure tenure (owned and rented).

Goal 8: Develop a Global Partnership for Development*

Target 12:
Develop further an open, rule-based, predictable, non-discriminatory trading and financial system.

Includes a commitment to good governance, development, and poverty reduction – both nationally and internationally.

Some of the indicators listed below will be monitored separately for the Least Developed Countries (LDCs), Africa, landlocked countries and small island developing states.

Official Development Assistance

33. Net ODA, total and to the least developed countries, as a percentage of OECD/DAC donors’ gross national income.
34. Proportion of total bilateral, sector-allocable ODA of OECD/DAC donors to basic social services.
35. Proportion of bilateral ODA of OECD/DAC donors that is untied.
36. ODA received in landlocked countries as a proportion of gross national income.
37. ODA received in small island developing States as a proportion of gross national income.

Market Access

38. Proportion of total developed country imports (by value and excluding arms) from developing countries and from the least developed countries admitted free of duties.
39. Average tariffs imposed by developed countries on agricultural products and textiles and clothing from developing countries.
40. Agricultural support estimate for OECD countries as a percentage of their gross domestic product.
41. Proportion of ODA provided to help build trade capacity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target 15:</th>
<th>Debt Sustainability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deal comprehensively with the debt problems of developing countries through national and international measures in order to make debt sustainable in the long term.</td>
<td>42. Total number of countries that have reached their HIPC decision point and number that have reached their HIPC completion points (cumulative).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43. Debt relief committed under HIPC initiative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44. Debt services as percentage of exports of goods and services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low income and middle-income countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45. Unemployment rate of 15-24 year olds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target 16:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In co-operation with developing countries, develop and implement strategies for decent and productive work for youth.</td>
<td>46. Proportion of population with access to affordable essential drugs on a sustainable basis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target 17:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In co-operation with pharmaceutical companies, provide access to affordable, essential drugs in developing countries.</td>
<td>47. Telephone lines and cellular subscribers per 100 persons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48. Personal computers per 100 persons and Internet users per 100 population.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target 18:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In co-operation with the private sector, make available the benefits of new technologies, especially information and communications.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANNEX II
BASIC QUESTIONNAIRE

Question 1
Are you Very interested and actively involved, Interested but not actively involved, A little interested, or Not at all interested in global or development issues?
(1) Very interested and actively involved
(2) Interested but not actively involved
(3) A little interested
(4) Not at all interested

Question 2
Have you heard of the Millennium Development Goals?
(1) Yes (Go to Question 3)
(2) No (Go to Question 4)
(3) Don’t know

Question 3
In September 2000, the (Prime Minister, country specific) and leaders from all countries of the world met at the United Nations in New York for the Millennium Summit. At this meeting, they made a commitment to reaching a number of Goals that are now called the Millennium Development Goals.
Can you tell me what these Goals are about?
INTERVIEWER: CODE ALL THAT APPLY - DO NOT PROMPT
IF YOU CODE AS “OTHER” PLEASE RECORD VERBATIM
1. Reduce poverty.
2. Reduce hunger.
3. Make sure that all children complete primary school.
4. Eliminate differences between boys and girls in primary and secondary education.
5. Make sure that women have the same opportunities as men.
6. Reduce child mortality (the number of children dying before they are 5 years old).
7. Reduce the number of women who die of pregnancy-related causes.
8. Eradicate HIV/AIDS or reduce the number of HIV/AIDS infections.
9. Eradicate malaria (and other diseases) or reduce the number of people suffering from malaria (and other diseases).
10. Enable all countries to take care of their natural resources or stop pollution.
11. Provide more development aid.
12. A more equitable international trading system.
15. Development.
16. Other: INTERVIEWER, PLEASE RECORD VERBATIM:
Question 4

As I mentioned before, in 2000, the world leaders representing all countries of the world agreed to carry out a number of programmes to improve the lives of people in developing countries, that is the countries of Africa, Asia, the Middle East, Latin America and the Caribbean, by the year 2015.

I will now tell you what these programmes are. For each of the programmes, do you think that it is Very likely, Fairly likely, Not very likely, or Not at all likely that its Goal will be achieved in 2015?

INTERVIEWER: IN THE TABLE BELOW ENTER THE ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Very likely</th>
<th>Fairly likely</th>
<th>Not very likely</th>
<th>Not at all likely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1. Halve, by 2015, the proportion of extremely poor people living on less than $1 a day.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2. By 2015, halve the proportion of people who suffer from hunger.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3. Ensure that, by 2015, all boys and girls, everywhere in the world, complete primary education.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4. Ensure that, by 2015, girls, everywhere in the world, have the same opportunities to benefit from primary and secondary school as boys.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5. Reduce, by 2015, the proportion of children who die before they are five years old by two-thirds.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6. Reduce, by 2015, the proportion of women who die of pregnancy related causes by three-quarters.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7. Stop the spread of HIV/AIDS by 2015.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8. Reduce, by 2015, the number of people suffering from malaria and other major diseases.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9. By 2015, reverse the loss of environmental resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10. Halve the proportion of people who have no safe drinking water by 2015.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11. By 2020, improve the living conditions of at least 100 million people currently living in slums.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12. By 2015, develop a more equitable international trading system for developing country goods.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.13. By 2015, remove tariffs and quotas on exports from the poorest countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15. By 2015, increase development aid to the poorest countries that are committed to poverty reduction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the list of Goals for 2015, please choose the three Goals that you think are the most likely to be achieved. Start with the most likely, then the next most likely and so on.

INTERVIEWER: CODE THREE ONLY and SHOW THE RESPONDENT SHOW CARD 1.

PLEASE WRITE DOWN THE NUMBER OF THE PROGRAMME IN THE BOXES:

(1) Most likely
(2) Second most likely
(3) Third most likely

Question 5
I would like you to tell me how important certain actions are that the Government can undertake to help developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. Are they Very important, Somewhat important, or Not important in helping countries to achieve the Goals?

INTERVIEWER: IN THE TABLE BELOW ENTER THE ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1. Working to improve the effectiveness of the European Union?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2. Cancelling developing countries’ debts to our country [NAME of country]?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3. Working to improve the effectiveness of the United Nations?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4. Promoting more private investments in developing countries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5. Working for a more equitable world trading system for developing country goods?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6. Reducing the possibility of armed conflict and war?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7. Increasing our development aid to developing countries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8. Provide training and technical expertise to developing countries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9. Making sure that the developing countries get a more equitable price for their agricultural, fishery and textile products?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10. Implementing international environment agreements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the list of these actions, please choose the three that you think are the most important in helping developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. Start with the most important, then the next most important and so on.

INTERVIEWER: CODE THREE ONLY and SHOW THE RESPONDENT SHOWCARD 2.

PLEASE WRITE DOWN THE NUMBER OF THE ACTIONS IN THE BOXES BELOW:

(1) Most important
(2) Second most important
(3) Third most important

Question 6
As far as you are aware, does the Government provide enough political support for developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(3) Don’t know

Question 7
What percentage of the national budget do you think our Government uses for development aid to developing countries?

INTERVIEWER: RECORD THE ANSWER THE RESPONDENT GIVES, EVEN IF IT IS A RANGE OF VALUES.

(1) ------- per cent
(2) Don’t know

Question 8
The current level of development aid given by the government was [local currency per person per year in 2002, equal to X per cent of public spending (up-to-date date will be provided – this data is needed a) so respondents can relate to the scale of the numbers and b) to provide the context for question 7]. Do you think that this amount will be too high, too low or about right to reach the Goals for 2015?

1. Too high
2. Too low
3. About right
4. Don’t know
Question 9

I would like you to tell me how important certain actions are that persons like you can undertake to help developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. Are they Very important, Somewhat important, or Not important in helping countries to achieve the Goals?

INTERVIEWER: IN THE TABLE BELOW ENTER THE ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1. Paying taxes - a proportion of which is spent on development aid by the Government.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2. Donating to charities or other appeals on behalf of developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3. Supporting socially responsible business and investment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5. Supporting charities, religious organisations or campaign groups that help developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6. Working in a developing country to reduce poverty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7. Travelling to a developing country as a tourist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8. Putting pressure on politicians to increase assistance to developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9. Putting pressure on politicians to improve the access of developing countries to our markets, by eliminating agricultural subsidies and abolishing tariffs and quotas for their agricultural products and textiles.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.10. Putting pressure on politicians to cancel the debts that developing countries owe to [NAME of country].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the list of these actions, please choose the three that you think are most important in helping countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. Start with the most important, then the next most important and so on.

INTERVIEWER: CODE THREE ONLY and SHOW THE RESPONDENT SHOWCARD 3.

PLEASE WRITE DOWN THE NUMBER OF THE ACTIONS IN THE BOXES BELOW:

(1) Most important
(2) Second most important
(3) Third most important
Question 10

Have you done any of these things in the last year?

MULTICODE OK

1. Given money to a charity or other non-profit organisation.
2. Given money to an urgent telephone appeal.
3. Specified a charity or other non-profit organisation in your will (even if you first specified it more than 2-3 years ago).
4. Chosen to invest ethically or support only ethical businesses.
5. Bought Fair Trade goods.
6. Written (to a charity or other non-profit organisation) asking for information.
7. Volunteered to raise funds or help a charity or aid organisation.
8. Been a member of a charity or campaigning group, even if you joined more than 2-3 years ago.
9. Worked in a developing country to reduce poverty.
10. Travelled to a developing country as a tourist.
11. Written a letter to a Member of Parliament or councillor.
12. Written a letter for publication to a newspaper.
13. Helped with a campaign.
14. Taken part in a demonstration or sit-in.
15. Displayed a poster in your window, car or place of work.
16. None of these.
ANNEX III
VERSION FOR MAIL SURVEY

INSTRUCTION TO RESPONDENTS: General country specific instruction as to which agency carries out the survey, how respondent was selected, and objective of the survey.

Instructions to return the completed questionnaire.

INSTRUCTIONS HOW TO FILL IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE. PLEASE READ EACH QUESTION CAREFULLY AND ENCIRCLE THE ANSWER THAT BEST REFLECTS HOW YOU THINK.

Question 1
Are you Very interested and actively involved, Interested but not actively involved, A little interested, or Not at all interested in global or development issues?
(1) Very interested and actively involved
(2) Interested but not actively involved
(3) A little interested
(4) Not at all interested

Question 2
Have you heard of the Millennium Development Goals?
(1) Yes (Go to Question 3)
(2) No (Go to Question 4)
(3) Don’t know

Question 3
In September 2000, the (Prime Minister, country specific) and leaders from all countries of the world met at the United Nations in New York for the Millennium Summit. At this meeting, they made a commitment to reaching a number of Goals that are now called the Millennium Development Goals.
Do you know what these Goals are about?

IN THE BOX BELOW PLEASE WRITE DOWN WHAT YOU THINK THESE GOALS ARE ABOUT:
Question 4

As mentioned above, in 2000, the world leaders representing all countries of the world agreed to carry out a number of programmes to improve the lives of people in developing countries, that is the countries of Africa, Asia, the Middle East, Latin America and the Caribbean, by the year 2015.

In the table the Goals of some of these programmes are mentioned. For each Goal please mark with a CROSS (X) in the space provided whether you think that these Goals are: Very likely, Fairly likely, Not very likely, or Not at all likely to be achieved by 2015?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Very likely</th>
<th>Fairly likely</th>
<th>Not very likely</th>
<th>Not at all likely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1. Halve, by 2015, the proportion of extremely poor people living on less than $1 a day.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2. By 2015, halve the proportion of people who suffer from hunger.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3. Ensure that, by 2015, all boys and girls everywhere in the world complete primary education.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4. Ensure that, by 2015, girls everywhere in the world have the same opportunities to benefit from primary and secondary school as boys.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5. Reduce by 2015, the proportion of children who die before they are five years old by two-thirds.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6. Reduce, by 2015, the proportion of women who die of pregnancy related causes by three-quarters.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7. Stop the spread of HIV/AIDS by 2015.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8. Reduce, by 2015, the number of people suffering from malaria and other major diseases.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9. By 2015, reverse the loss of environmental resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10. Halve the proportion of people who have no safe drinking water by 2015.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11. By 2020, improve the living conditions of at least 100 million people currently living in slums.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12. By 2015, develop a more equitable international trading system for developing country goods.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.13. By 2015, remove tariffs and quotas on exports from the poorest countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15. By 2015, increase development aid to the poorest countries that are committed to poverty reduction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the list of Goals for 2015, please choose the three Goals that you think are the most likely to be achieved. Start with the most likely, then the next most likely and so on.

PLEASE WRITE DOWN THE NUMBER OF THE PROGRAMME IN THE BOXES:

(1) Most likely □
(2) Second most likely □
(3) Third most likely □

Question 5
Governments can undertake certain actions to help developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. Below is a list of such actions. For each action please mark with a CROSS (X) in the boxes provided whether you think that these actions are: Very important, Somewhat important, or Not important in helping countries to achieve the Goals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1. Working to improve the effectiveness of the European Union?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2. Cancelling developing countries’ debts to our country [NAME of country]?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3. Working to improve the effectiveness of the United Nations?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4. Promoting more private investments in developing countries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5. Working for a more equitable world trading system for developing country goods?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6. Reducing the possibility of armed conflict and war?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7. Increasing our development aid to developing countries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8. Provide training and technical expertise to developing countries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9. Making sure that the developing countries get a more equitable price for their agricultural, fishery and textile products?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10. Implementing international environment agreements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the list of these actions, please choose the three that you think are the most important in helping countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. Start with the most important, then the next most important and so on.

PLEASE WRITE DOWN THE NUMBER OF THE ACTIONS IN THE BOXES BELOW:

(1) Most important  
(2) Second most important  
(3) Third most important  

**Question 6**
As far as you are aware, does the Government provide enough political support for developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(3) Don’t know  

**Question 7**
What percentage of the national budget do you think our Government uses for development aid to developing countries?

(1) ------- per cent  
(2) Don’t know  

**Question 8**
Do you think that this is too much, too little or about right?

(1) Too high  
(2) Too low  
(3) About right  
(4) Don’t know
Question 9

There are several ways in which people like you can help developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. In the table are some of these activities, please read them carefully and mark with a CROSS (X) in the boxes provided whether you think that these actions are Very important, Somewhat important, or Not important in helping countries to achieve the Goals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1. Paying taxes - a proportion of which is spent on development aid by the Government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2. Donating to charities or other appeals on behalf of developing countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3. Supporting socially responsible business and investment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4. Buying Fair Trade goods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5. Supporting charities, religious organisations or campaign groups that help developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6. Working in a developing country to reduce poverty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7. Travelling to a developing country as a tourist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8. Putting pressure on politicians to increase assistance to developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9. Putting pressure on politicians to improve the access of developing countries to our markets, by eliminating agricultural subsidies, and abolishing tariffs and quotas for their agricultural products and textiles.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.10. Putting pressure on politicians to cancel the debts that developing countries owe to our country.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the list of these actions, please choose the three that you think are most important in helping countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. Start with the most important, then the next most important and so on.

PLEASE WRITE DOWN THE NUMBER OF THE PROGRAMMES IN THE BOXES BELOW:

(1) Most important [ ]

(2) Second most important [ ]

(3) Third most important [ ]
Question 10

In the table there are a number of things that people do. Please read them carefully and mark YOUR ANSWER with a CROSS (X) in the boxes provided.

Have you done any of these things in the last year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Given money to a charity or other non-profit organisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Given money to an urgent telephone appeal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Specified a charity or other non-profit organisation in your will (even if you first specified it more than 2-3 years ago)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chosen to invest ethically or support only ethical businesses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bought Fair Trade goods.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Written (to a charity or other non-profit organisation) asking for information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Volunteered to raise funds or help a charity or aid organisation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Been a member of a charity or campaigning group, even if you joined more than 2-3 years ago.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Worked in a developing country to reduce poverty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Travelled to a developing country as a tourist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Written a letter to a Member of Parliament or councillor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Written a letter for publication to a newspaper.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Helped with a campaign.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Taken part in a demonstration or sit-in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Displayed a poster in your window, car or place of work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you for your co-operation.

INSTRUCTIONS TO MAIL COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO SURVEY ORGANISATION.
ANNEX IV
VERSION FOR TELEPHONE SURVEY

Question 1
Are you Very interested and actively involved, Interested but not actively involved, A little interested, or Not at all interested in global or development issues?

(1) Very interested and actively involved
(2) Interested but not actively involved
(3) A little interested
(4) Not at all interested

Question 2
Have you heard of the Millennium Development Goals?

(1) Yes (Go to Question 2)
(2) No (Go to Question 3)
(3) Don’t know (Go to Question 3)

Question 3
Can you tell me what these Goals are about?

INTERVIEWER: CODE ALL THAT APPLY - DO NOT PROMPT
IF YOU CODE “OTHER”, PLEASE RECORD VERBATIM IN THE SPACE PROVIDED

0. Don’t know.
1. Reduce poverty.
2. Reduce hunger.
3. Make sure that all children complete primary school.
4. Eliminate differences between boys and girls in access to schooling.
5. Make sure that women have the same opportunities as men.
6. Reduce child mortality (the number of children dying before they are 5 years old).
7. Reduce the number of women dying of pregnancy-related causes.
8. Eradicate HIV/AIDS or reduce the number of people infected with HIV/AIDS.
9. Eradicate malaria (and other diseases) or reduce the number of people suffering from malaria (and other diseases).
10. Enable all countries to take care of their natural resources or stop pollution.
11. Provide more development aid.
12. More equitable international trading system.
15. Development.
16. OTHER: INTERVIEWER, PLEASE RECORD VERBATIM:
Question 4

In September 2000, the (Prime Minister, country specific) and leaders from all countries of the world met at the United Nations in New York for the Millennium Summit. At this meeting, they made a commitment to reaching a number of Goals that are now called the Millennium Development Goals.

I will read you some of these programmes, and I would like you to tell me if you think that it is “Very likely, Fairly likely, Not very likely, Not at all likely” that these Goals will be achieved in 2015?”

These programmes intend to:

1) Halve, by 2015, the proportion of extremely poor people living on less than $1 a day.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

2) Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

3) Ensure that, by 2015, all boys and girls, everywhere in the world, complete primary education.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

4) Ensure that, by 2015, girls, everywhere in the world, have the same opportunities to benefit from primary and secondary school as boys.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

5) Reduce, by 2015, the proportion of children that die before they are five years old by two-thirds.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely
6) Reduce, by 2015, the proportion of women who die of pregnancy related causes by three-quarters.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

7) Stop the spread of HIV/AIDS by 2015.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

8) Reduce, by 2015 the number of people suffering from malaria and other major diseases.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

9) By 2015 reverse the loss of environmental resources.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly likely
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

10) Halve the number of people who have no safe drinking water by 2015.
    1. Very likely
    2. Fairly likely
    3. Not very likely
    4. Not at all likely

11) By 2020 improve the lives of at least 100 million people currently living in slums.
    1. Very likely
    2. Fairly likely
    3. Not very likely
    4. Not at all likely

12) By 2015 develop a more equitable international trading system for developing country goods.
    1. Very likely
    2. Fairly likely
    3. Not very likely
    4. Not at all likely
13) By 2015, remove tariffs and quotas on exports from poor countries.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly like
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly like
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

15) Increase aid to poor countries.
   1. Very likely
   2. Fairly like
   3. Not very likely
   4. Not at all likely

Question 5

I will now read you a number of actions governments in rich countries such as the United States, Japan, [name of country] and others in Europe can undertake to help developing countries. I would like to you to tell me how important you think each is for helping developing countries to achieve the Millennium Development Goals for by the year 2015. Are they: Very important, Somewhat important, or Not important?

1. Working to increase the effectiveness of the European Union?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

2. Cancelling the debts developing countries owe [NAME of country]?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

3. Working to improve the effectiveness of the United Nations?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important
4. Promoting more private sector investments in developing countries?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

5. Working for a more equitable world trading system for developing country goods?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

6. Reducing the possibility of armed conflict and war?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

7. Increasing our development aid to developing countries?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

8. Provide training and technical expertise to developing countries?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

9. Making sure that developing countries get a more equitable price for their agricultural, fishery and textile products?
   1. Very important
   2. Somewhat important
   3. Not important

10. Implementing international environment agreements?
    1. Very important
    2. Somewhat important
    3. Not important

**Question 6**

As far as you are aware, does the Government provide enough political support for developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don’t know
Question 7
As you know [NAME of country] is giving aid to developing countries in Africa, Asia and Latin America. What percentage of the national budget do you think our Government uses for development aid to developing countries?
1. ------- per cent
2. Don’t know

Question 8
In 2002 the amount of foreign aid given by [NAME of country] was X per cent of the government’s budget. Do you think this is too high, too low or about right?
1. Too high
2. Too low
3. About right
4. Don’t know

Question 9
There are several ways in which people like you can help developing countries to achieve the 2015 Goals. I will read you some and would like you to tell me whether you think that these actions are Very important, Somewhat important, or Not important in helping countries to achieve the Goals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1. Paying taxes - a proportion of which is spent on development aid by the Government.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2. Donating to charities or other appeals on behalf of developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3. Supporting socially responsible business and investment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5. Supporting charities, religious organisations or campaign groups that help developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6. Working in a developing country to reduce poverty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7. Travelling to a developing country as a tourist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8. Putting pressure on politicians to increase assistance to developing countries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9. Putting pressure on politicians to improve the access of developing countries to our markets, by eliminating agricultural subsidies, and abolishing tariffs and quotas for their agricultural products and textiles.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.10. Putting pressure on politicians to cancel the debts that developing countries owe to our country.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 10
I will now read you a number of things that people do. Have you done any of these things in the last year?

INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ CATEGORIES 1 – 15 AND RECORD THE RESPONDENT’S RESPONSES IN THE BOXES PROVIDED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Given money to a charity or other non-profit organisation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Given money to an urgent telephone appeal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Specified a charity or other non-profit organisation in your will (even if you first specified it more than 2-3 years ago).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chosen to invest ethically or support only ethical businesses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bought Fair Trade goods.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Written (to a charity or other non-profit organisation) asking for information.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Volunteered to raise funds or help a charity or aid organisation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Been a member of a charity or campaigning group, even if you joined more than 2-3 years ago.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Worked in a developing country to reduce poverty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Travelled to a developing country as a tourist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Written a letter to a Member of Parliament or councillor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Written a letter for publication to a newspaper.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Helped with a campaign.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Taken part in a demonstration or sit-in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Displayed a poster in your window, car or place of work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The former series known as “Technical Papers” and “Webdocs” merged in November 2003 into “Development Centre Working Papers”. In the new series, former Webdocs 1-17 follow former Technical Papers 1-212 as Working Papers 213-229.

All these documents may be downloaded from:
http://www.oecd.org/dev/wp or obtained via e-mail (cendev.contact@oecd.org).
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