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1. Introduction

The Cybele region at the outer rim of the asteroid belt (3.27–3.7 au) is essentially populated by P- and D-type asteroids and is to a lesser extent by C-type bodies (DeMeo & Carry 2013, 2014). P- and D-type asteroids are thought to have formed in the outer Solar System beyond Neptune’s orbit and may be genetically related to the Jupiter Trojans, comets, and small Kuiper belt objects (KBOs). Indeed, the spectral properties of P- and D-type asteroids resemble that of anhydrous cometary dust.

This statement implies that the P- and D-type asteroids may have formed in the outer Solar System (beyond 10 au), among the progenitors of the curious objects (KBOs). This dynamical scenario is currently supported by the similarity in size distributions between the Jupiter Trojans and small KBOs (Fraser et al. 2014) as well as the similarity in terms of spectral properties between P- and D-type main belt asteroids, the Trojans of Jupiter and comets (Vernazza et al. 2015; Vernazza & Beck 2016).

Overall, the outer Solar System is of tremendous interest, as it is recognized as being the least processed since the dawn of the Solar System and thus the closest to the primordial materials from which the Solar System formed (e.g., McKinnon et al. 2020). This dynamical scenario is currently supported by the analysis of the spectral properties of P- and D-type main belt asteroids, Jupiter Trojans, and comets that reveal a surface composition compatible with that of anhydrous chondritic porous interplanetary dust particles (CP IDPs, see Vernazza et al. 2015). The CP IDPs are currently seen among the available extra-terrestrial materials as being the closest to the starting ones (Bradley 1999).

In particular, based
Table 1. Mass, density, and quadrupole $J_2$ of Sylvia, derived using either Romulus, Remus, or both satellites, from the literature compared with the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>$N_{\text{obs}}$</th>
<th>Mass ($\times10^{15}$ kg)</th>
<th>Density (kg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$J_2$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1200 ± 100</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romulus</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1200 ± 100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>51+17</td>
<td>1.476 ± 0.006</td>
<td>1200 ± 100</td>
<td>0.000 002 ± 0.000 300</td>
<td>Beauvalet &amp; Marchis (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remus</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.476 ± 0.166</td>
<td>1380 ± 150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romulus</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.380 ± 0.223</td>
<td>1290 ± 200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>143+68</td>
<td>1.470 ± 0.008</td>
<td>1350 ± 40</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

on its albedo and visible, near-, and mid-infrared spectrum, it is now well established (see Vernazza et al. 2013) that the aqueously altered Tagish Lake meteorite cannot be representative of the surface composition of D-type asteroids nor that of most P-type asteroids as suggested earlier (Hiroi et al. 2001). As such, CP IDPs are currently the most likely analogs of the refractory materials present at the surface of P- and D-type asteroids. Particularly altered Tagish Lake meteorite cannot be representative of now well established (see Vernazza et al. 2013) that the aqueous alteration of Sylvia, that is to say whether its interior is homogeneous or differentiated.

Several authors have studied Sylvia’s dynamical system (Marchis et al. 2005; Winter et al. 2009; Frouard & Combré 2012; Fang et al. 2012; Beauvalet & Marchis 2014; Berthier et al. 2014; Drummond et al. 2016). While these studies agreed on the mass of Sylvia and its low density (around 1300 kg m$^{-3}$), there is no consensus regarding its gravitational potential (of which only the quadrupole $J_2$ has been studied, Table 1). The latter is, however, a direct consequence of the internal structure of Sylvia. To constrain the bulk density of Sylvia and its internal structure, we observed it as part of our imaging survey of D ≥ 100 km main-belt asteroids (ID 199.C-0074, PI P. Vernazza, see Vernazza et al. 2018, 2020; Viikinkoski et al. 2018; Fétick et al. 2019; Carry et al. 2019; Hanuš et al. 2019, 2020; Marsset et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2020; Ferrais et al. 2020). We imaged Sylvia over two apparitions, separated by a year, throughout its rotation at high angular resolution with the Spectro-Polarimetric High-contrast Exoplanet REsearch (SPHERE) extreme AO InfraRed Dual-band Imager and Spectrograph (IRDIS) and Zurich Imaging Polarimeter (ZIMPOL) cameras (Thalmann et al. 2008; Schmid et al. 2018; Beuzit et al. 2019) mounted on the European Southern Observatory (ESO) Very Large Telescope (VLT). Aside from contributing to our understanding of the formation and evolution of the Solar System’s most primitive bodies, the present study also provides the context for the future in situ exploration of primitive P- and D-type bodies by NASA’s Lucy mission to the Jupiter Trojans.

2. Observations and data reduction

2.1. High-angular-resolution imaging

The data used in the present study to extract the position of the moons comprise all the high angular resolution images of Sylvia taken with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and ground-based telescopes equipped with AO cameras: Gemini North, ESO VLT, W. M. Keck, and SOR (Drummond et al. 2016). The data span 51 different epochs, with multiple images each, over 17 years from February 2001 to November 2018. For the reconstruction of Sylvia’s 3D shape, however, only the images with the highest resolution were used, that is, those acquired with VLT/SPHERE/ZIMPOL (Sect. 3).

The images from the HST were obtained with the Wide Field and Planetary Camera (WFPC2, Holtzman et al. 1995). The images from the VLT were acquired with both the first generation instrument NACO (NAOS-CONICA, Lenzen et al. 2003; Rousset et al. 2003) and SPHERE (Fusco et al. 2006; Beuzit et al. 2019), the second generation extreme-AO instrument designed for exoplanet detection and characterization. The images acquired with SPHERE were taken with both the IRDIS (Dohlen et al. 2008) and ZIMPOL (Schmid et al. 2018) subsystems. Images taken at Gemini North used the Near InfraRed
Image (NIRI) camera (Hodapp et al. 2003), fed by the ALTAIR AO system (Herriot et al. 2000). Finally, observations at Keck were acquired with the guiding camera of NIRSPEC in 2001 (McLean et al. 1998) and Near-InfraRed Camera 2 (NIRC2; van Dam et al. 2004; Wizinowich et al. 2000) later on.

To reduce the AO-imaging data, a standard data processing protocol (sky subtraction, bad-pixel identification and correction, and flat-field correction) was followed using in-house routines developed in Interactive Data Language (IDL) (see Carry et al. 2008). The images were then deconvolved with the Mistral algorithm (Fusco 2000; Mugnier et al. 2004) to restore their optimal angular resolution (see Fétick et al. 2019, for details). Separately, the reduced images were processed to subtract the bright halo surrounding Sylvia to enhance the detectability of the satellites (see Pajuelo et al. 2018; Carry et al. 2019, for details).

2.2. Optical lightcurves

We used the 40 lightcurves from Kaasalainen et al. (2002) to create a convex 3D shape model of Sylvia1, compiled from the Uppsala Asteroid Photometric Catalog (Lagerkvist & Magnussen 2011). We also compiled 11 lightcurves acquired by amateur astronomers within the Courbes de rotation d’astéroïdes et de comètes database (CdR2).

In addition to these data, we acquired 12 lightcurves using the 60 cm André Peyrot telescope mounted at Los Makes observatory on La Réunion Island, operated as a partnership among Los Makes Observatorio and the IMCCE, Paris Observatory, and seven lightcurves with the 60 cm TRAPPIST telescopes located at La Silla Observatory in Chile and the Okaimeden observatory in Morocco (Jehin et al. 2011). Finally, we extracted 51 lightcurves from the data archive of the SuperWASP survey (Pollacco et al. 2006) for the period from 2006 to 2009 (Parley et al. 2005; Grice et al. 2017). In summary, a total of 121 lightcurves observed between 1978 and 2017 (Table A.1) were used in this work and are presented in Fig. A.1.

2.3. Stellar occultations

Nine stellar occultations by Sylvia have been recorded since 1984, mostly by amateur astronomers during the last decade (see Mousis et al. 2014; Dunham et al. 2016; Herald et al. 2020). We converted the timings of the disappearance and reappearance of the occulted stars3 into segments (called chords) on the plane of the sky, using the location of the observers on Earth and the apparent motion of Sylvia following the recipes listed in Berthier (1999). Only five stellar occultations had multiple chords that could be used to constrain the size and apparent shape of Sylvia (Fig. B.1). For the January 2013 and October 2019 occultations, several observers reported secondary events due to the occultation of the stars by either Romulus or Remus (Berthier et al. 2014; Vachier et al. 2019). We thus used the relative positions between Sylvia and its satellites at the time of the occultations to constrain their mutual orbits. We list the observers of the occultations in Table B.1.

2 http://obswww.unige.ch/~behrend/page_cou.html
3 Compiled by Dunham et al. (2017) and publicly available on the Planetary Data System (PDS): http://sbn.psi.edu/pds/resource/occ.html

3. Sylvia’s 3D shape

We fed the All-Data Asteroid Modeling (ADAM) algorithm with all SPHERE/ZIMPOL images, lightcurves, and stellar occultations to determine the spin and 3D shape of Sylvia (Vikinkoski et al. 2015a). Optical lightcurves are often required for ADAM to constrain the regions not imaged and to stabilize the shape optimization. The procedure is similar to that published in our previous studies with SPHERE, and we refer readers to these papers for more details (e.g., Vikinkoski et al. 2015b; Marsset et al. 2017; Vernazza et al. 2018). We used the sidereal rotation period and the spin-axis coordinates of Sylvia from the literature (Kaasalainen et al. 2002; Hanuš et al. 2013, 2017) as input values to ADAM.

We further modeled the shape by using the Multi-resolution PhotoClimometry by Deformation (MPCD) method (Jorda et al. 2016), following the procedure of our previous works (e.g., Ferrais et al. 2020). MPCD gradually deforms the vertices of a previous mesh (here ADAM model) to minimize the difference between the observed images and synthetic images of the model (Jorda et al. 2010). Both models only present marginal differences (Fig 1, Table 2), and in what follows, we report on the MPCD model.

The derived shape is, in essence, similar to that based on lower angular resolution images (Berthier et al. 2014; Hanuš et al. 2017). A striking feature of Sylvia is its remarkable elongated shape (Table 2, Fig. 2). To put its peculiar shape into context, we measured the tri-axial diameters of 103 asteroids larger than 100 km from their shape models4 and compiled their rotation periods from the Planetary Data System (Harris et al. 2017). Sylvia appears to be more elongated and to spin faster than most asteroids larger than 100 km (Fig. 2). In particular, the population of asteroids with satellites stands out from the population of singletons, with a median ratio of equatorial diameters a/b of 1.37 (versus 1.16 for the background) and a median rotation period of 5.5 h (versus 7.9 h for the background). We compared the a/b ratio and rotation period distributions of asteroids with and without satellites using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The p-values for both are 5 × 10−4 and 7 × 10−3, respectively. We thus conclude that the distributions are different above the 99.5% confidence level. While asteroids with a diameter smaller than about 15 km are subject to YORP spin-up and surface rearrangement (Walsh et al. 2008; Vokrouhlický et al. 2015), Sylvia is too large to have been affected. The origin of this difference is thus unclear. It may result from the impact at the origin of the satellite formation (Margot et al. 2015), or alternatively, satellites may be more stable around elongated bodies (see Winter et al. 2009).

Table 2. Spin solution (coordinates in ecliptic and equatorial J2000 reference frames) and shape model parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MPCD</th>
<th>ADAM</th>
<th>Unc.</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sidereal period</td>
<td>$P_s$</td>
<td>5.183</td>
<td>3.9 $\times$ 10^{-5}</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>+64.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ascension</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declination</td>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>+83.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. epoch</td>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>2443750.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter $a$</td>
<td>$D_a$</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter $b$</td>
<td>$D_b$</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter $c$</td>
<td>$D_c$</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axes ratio $a/b$</td>
<td>$a/b$</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axes ratio $b/c$</td>
<td>$b/c$</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axes ratio $a/c$</td>
<td>$a/c$</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The overall shape is reported as the $a > b > c$ diameters of a triaxial ellipsoid fit to the shape model. All uncertainties are reported at 1 $\sigma$.

Fig. 1. Comparison of the SPHERE image (top row) with the MPCD (middle) and ADAM (bottom) models.

Fig. 2. Distribution of the ratio of equatorial diameters ($a/b$) and rotation period of 103 asteroids larger than 100 km in diameter. The difference between asteroids with and without satellites is striking.

Fig. 3. Observation of the occultation by placing observers on the path of the satellites.

The mass of Sylvia is constrained with an uncertainty of less than 1%: $(1.44 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{19} \text{ kg}$, thanks to the long baseline of observations. Combined with our volume-equivalent diameter estimate (271 $\pm$ 5 km, see above), the density of Sylvia is found to be $1378 \pm 45 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, which is reminiscent of that of other large asteroids with a surface composition consistent with that of IDPs (C, P, and D types, see Carry 2012; Vernazza et al. 2015). In Fig. 3, we present all the possible bulk compositions of Sylvia, considering a mixture of rocks and ices, with voids. We used a range of densities from 2200 to 3000 $\text{ kg m}^{-3}$ for the rock phase (from rocks with organics to the density of the silicate phase reported by the Stardust mission, Brownlee et al. 2006). The density of Sylvia implies the presence of both ices and macroporosity in its interior.
Table 3. Orbital elements of the satellites of Sylvia, expressed in EQJ2000, obtained with Genoïd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Romulus</th>
<th>Remus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time span (days)</td>
<td>6050</td>
<td>5656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS (mas)</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orbital elements EQJ2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Romulus</th>
<th>Remus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P$ (day)</td>
<td>3.64126 ±0.00005</td>
<td>1.35699 ±0.00075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$ (km)</td>
<td>1340.6 ±1.2</td>
<td>694.2 ±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>0.000 ±0.000</td>
<td>0.005 ±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$ (°)</td>
<td>7.4 ±1.6</td>
<td>8.7 ±5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega$ (°)</td>
<td>97.1 ±5.8</td>
<td>100.8 ±20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$ (°)</td>
<td>171.0 ±10.5</td>
<td>262.2 ±25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_p$ (JD)</td>
<td>2455597.08689 ±0.10085</td>
<td>2455594.89253 ±0.10444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Physical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Romulus</th>
<th>Remus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_{Sylvia}$ ($\times 10^{19}$ kg)</td>
<td>1.440 ±0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{Romulus}$ ($\times 10^{15}$ kg)</td>
<td>1.4 ±1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{Remus}$ ($\times 10^{14}$ kg)</td>
<td>7.8 ±7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \theta_{Romulus}$</td>
<td>6.2 ±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \theta_{Remus}$</td>
<td>7.1 ±2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Derived parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Romulus</th>
<th>Remus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_p$, $\beta_p$ (°)</td>
<td>73, +65 ±4, 1</td>
<td>70, +64 ±11, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_p$, $\delta_p$ (°)</td>
<td>7, +83 ±6, 2</td>
<td>11, +81 ±21, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda$ (°)</td>
<td>5 ±1</td>
<td>6 ±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{Romulus}$ (km)</td>
<td>15.1 ±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{Remus}$ (km)</td>
<td>10.3 ±2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The table lists: orbital period $P$, semi-major axis $a$, eccentricity $e$, inclination $i$, longitude of the ascending node $\Omega$, argument of pericenter $\omega$, and time of pericenter $t_p$. The number of observations and RMS between predicted and observed positions are also provided. Finally, we report the mass of Sylvia $M_{Sylvia}$, the mass of Romulus $M_{Romulus}$, the mass of Remus $M_{Remus}$, their apparent magnitude difference $\Delta \theta$ with Sylvia, the ecliptic J2000 coordinates of the orbital pole ($\lambda_p$, $\beta_p$), the equatorial J2000 coordinates of the orbital pole ($\alpha_p$, $\delta_p$), and the orbital inclination ($\Lambda$) with respect to the equator of Sylvia. Uncertainties are given at 3-$\sigma$.

Fig. 3. Bulk composition of Sylvia, assuming three end members: rocks, ices (density of 920 kg m$^{-3}$), and voids. The dashed and dotted lines represent the 1 and 2 $\sigma$ boundaries, respectively.

We estimate the masses of Romulus and Remus to be $(1.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{15}$ kg and $(7.8 \pm 7.3) \times 10^{14}$ kg (i.e., effectively upper limits), very close to the masses reported by Fang et al. (2012). Assuming a similar albedo for Sylvia and its two moons, their magnitude differences with Sylvia (Table 3) imply diameters of $15^{+10}_{-6}$ km and $10^{+13}_{-8}$ km for Romulus and Remus (consistent with occultation chords, Berthier et al. 2014), and hence densities of $790 \pm 680$ and $1480 \pm 1400$ kg m$^{-3}$. The density of both satellites is loosely constrained and similar to that of Sylvia.

Finally, we note that the best orbital solutions are obtained for the smallest quadrupole $J_2$ (Fig. 4), tending toward $J_2 = 0$ (i.e., fully Keplerian orbits over the 19 years baseline). Although there are orbits fitting the data within 1 $\sigma$ of the observations, their residuals are systematically larger.

5. Implication for the internal structure

Under the assumption of a homogeneous density in the interior, the shape of Sylvia implies a $J_2$ of $0.082 \pm 0.005$ (computed with SHTOOLS$^5$, see Wieczorek & Meschede 2018). This value contrasts with the null $J_2$ determined dynamically (Sect. 4). This discrepancy reveals an inhomogeneous density distribution inside Sylvia and hints at a more spherical mass concentration than suggested by Sylvia’s oblate and elongated 3D shape. This implies a denser, more spherical core, surrounded by a less dense

5 https://shtools.oca.eu
envelope. Based on similar considerations, similar internal structures have recently been proposed for other large P-type asteroids such as the Cybele (107) Camilla (Pajuelo et al. 2018) and the Jupiter Trojan (624) Hektor (Marchis et al. 2014).

This differentiated structure is at odds with the IDP-like spectral properties, which provide evidence for an absence of both thermal metamorphism and aqueous alteration. This suggests that partial differentiation occurred and was limited by the insufficient amount of heat generated by radionuclides which did not propagate to the surface. Such structures have indeed been suggested for the parent bodies of CV carbonaceous chondrites (Elkins-Tanton et al. 2011), ordinary chondrites (Bryson et al. 2019), and mid-sized KBOs (Desch et al. 2009).

Building upon the work of Neveu & Vernazza (2019), we modeled the thermal and internal structure history of Sylvia. The evolution of internal temperatures and structure was computed numerically using a one-dimensional code (Desch et al. 2009). Sylvia is assumed to be made of rock (idealizing a mixture of refractory materials such as silicates, metals, and organic material), water ice, and voids (the macroporosity). The mass was distributed assuming spherical symmetry over 200 grid zones that were initially evenly spaced in radius. The internal energy in each grid zone was computed from the initial temperature using equations of state for rock and ice. Material is never hot enough in our simulations for rock-metal differentiation, which is neglected. Initial radionuclide abundances are provided in Table 1 of Neveu & Vernazza (2019). Simulations start once Sylvia is fully formed, neglecting the progressive accretion of material over time. Because of this and the near-absence of short-lived radionuclide heating given the assumed formation time, Sylvia’s simulated early evolution is cold. The implementation of instantaneous differentiation in the central regions that warm above 273 K rests on the assumption that sufficiently large rock grains settle via Stokes flow on timescales smaller than one time step.

Sylvia is assumed to accrete homogeneously at 60 K, 6 million years (My) after the formation of Ca-Al-rich inclusions (consistent with a surface without aqueous alteration; Neveu & Vernazza 2019). This is the equilibrium temperature for an albedo 0.05 at a distance of 17–18 au (i.e., the postulated accretion distance of KBOs, Morbidelli & Nesvorný 2020) from the Sun with 70% of the present-day luminosity. The surface temperature is instantaneously raised to 148 K (the present-day equilibrium temperature) at the time of heliocentric migration. We tested different timings, from a late planet migration (hundreds of millions of years) such as the one described by the Nice model (Tsiganis et al. 2005; Morbidelli et al. 2005; Gomes et al. 2005; Levison et al. 2009) to an early dynamical instability occurring a few million years after the dissipation of the gas disk (Nesvorný et al. 2018; Clement et al. 2019), and we find that the timing of implantation into the asteroid belt seldom affects its structure or peak temperature. In the results below, the time of migration was set to 6 My after formation (i.e., 12 My after Ca–Al-rich inclusions).

The thermal structure was determined by balancing conductive heat transfer with primarily radiogenic heating by 26 Al, 40K, 232Th, 235U, and 238U, using a finite-difference method and a 50-yr time step, for 5 billion years (Gy). Thermal conductivities mainly depend on porosities (Neveu & Vernazza 2019), and mid-sized KBOs (Desch et al. 2009). Porosity is allowed to compact at rates determined from material viscosities as described in Neveu & Rhoden (2017). Sylvia’s bulk density constrains the void porosity and rock volume fractions to about 40–55% and 20–35%, respectively, mainly depending on the rock density (Fig. 3).

Convection is generally neglected as it is assumed that the postulated porous, rock-rich internal structure for Sylvia is not prone to fluid or ice advection. In the ice-rich case (Fig. 5, right panel), solid-state convection is allowed to occur but it does not because the critical Rayleigh number is never exceeded. Although this was not simulated, in the percolation case below we expect convection to be possible in the central region rich in liquid water, until this region refreezes. In all simulations, volume changes due to water melting or freezing are neglected.

The viscosity of ice-rock mixtures, used to compute the Rayleigh number and pore compaction, was calculated following Roberts (2015). Above a 30% ice volume fraction, it is equated to the viscosity of ice. Below 30% ice volume fraction, as a first approximation, it was set to the geometric mean of the rock and ice viscosities at a given grain size, stress (equated with hydrostatic pressure), and temperature. Roberts (2015) note that this approximation tends to underestimate mixture viscosities relative to extrapolations of laboratory measurements. The ice and rock flow laws adopted in the model are the composite rheology of Goldsby & Kohlstedt (2001) and the dry diffusion creep flow law for olivine of Korenaga & Karato (2008), respectively.

The key factor governing thermal evolution in these simulations is porosity $\Phi$, which decreases thermal conductivities $k$ of rock-ice mixtures, of order 1 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Desch et al. 2009, and references therein), by up to two orders of magnitude. The adopted thermal conductivity-porosity relationship (Shoshany et al. 2002) was derived from Monte-Carlo modeling of porous cometary ice: $k$ was decreased with increasing $\Phi$ via multiplication by a factor $(1 - \Phi/0.7)^{0.22}$. This relationship is shown in Fig. 6. We adopted $n = 4.1$ (gray curve) for the canonical simulation with 52% porosity, following the discussion in Shoshany et al. (2002). We set $n = 3.5$ (black curve) for the simulation with 60% porosity; choosing $n = 4.1$ would result in more heating and compaction than shown in Fig. 5. There is a wide spread in the Monte Carlo results of Shoshany et al. (2002) in how $\Phi$ affects $k$, with some of their models suggesting a lesser effect of porosity. Conversely, independent measurements of porous silica aggregates (Krause et al. 2011) and extrapolated results from models of highly porous aggregates (Arakawa et al. 2017) both suggest similar or slightly higher decrease factors due to porosity (Fig. 6) than the relationships we have assumed. Thus, the assumed relationships seem to adequately represent the state.
of understanding how porosities of a 50–60% volume decrease thermal conductivities.

In order for Sylvia’s observed anhydrous surface and low $J_2$ despite an oblate shape to be compatible, it is crucial for time-evolution simulations with this model constrain the volume fraction of water to be low, less than a 40% volume relative to rock or a 15% volume overall. For higher volume fractions, ice grains tend to become adjoined and control the mechanical properties of the interior. In that case, the material viscosity is assumed to be equal to that of water ice (Goldsby & Kohlstedt 2001), and any void porosity rapidly decreases as the interior warms due to radiogenic heating and porosity insulation. Instantaneous ice-rock differentiation happens first once the central (warmest) regions warm above 273 K. It then proceeds outward if the interior keeps warming. This yields a gravitationally unstable structure: the topmost undifferentiated layers are denser than underlying layers, which are poorer in rock. Once Sylvia is differentiated out to more than half its radius, differentiation is assumed to proceed by gravitational (Rayleigh-Taylor) instabilities: layers overturn if their viscosity is below a threshold that corresponds to $T \approx 150$ K (Rubin et al. 2014). Since Sylvia’s post-migration surface temperature is warmer, 148 K, differentiation out to the surface is essentially inevitable. This ought to result in evidence of surface water, either as ice or as mineral hydration, as observed on asteroids linked to carbonaceous chondrites (Rivkin & Emery 2010; Campins et al. 2010). This is inconsistent with Sylvia’s anhydrous, IDP-like surface composition. Ice-rock differentiation can be prevented if ice dominates the volume fraction (Fig. 5, right column), since in that case the combination of low rock (i.e., radionuclide) content and low insulating void porosity results in a cold interior in which ice never melts. However, in such a homogeneous interior, the mass distribution should result in a higher $J_2$ than observed given Sylvia’s oblate shape.

It follows that to retain a pristine anhydrous external envelope, Sylvia’s water volume fraction must be low (consistently with observations of the comet 67P nucleus by the Rosetta spacecraft; Pätzold et al. 2019; Choukroun et al. 2020) so that interior solids are less prone to deformation, inhibiting both porosity compaction and instability-driven differentiation. As a canonical case, we assumed an interior comprised of a 52% volume void porosity, 35% rock of density 3075 kg m$^{-3}$, and 13% water (Fig. 5, central column). The void porosity decreases the interior’s thermal conductivity by a factor of $\approx 15$ relative to a compact rock-ice mixture. This favors accumulation of radiogenic heat, melting ice in the central regions after $\sim 0.15$–$0.2$ Gy.

Fig. 5. Long-term evolution of the internal structure of Sylvia. The baseline scenario is presented in the central column, while the left and right columns present extreme cases in which the structure is dictated by rock-compaction and water ice rheology, respectively.

Fig. 6. Effect of porosity $\Phi$ on the thermal conductivity $k$. The orange and teal curves show relationships that were only validated in the regimes where the curves are solid. The orange curve is a thermal conductivity in W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (rather than a decrease factor). Data points of a given color are from the same source as the fit curve of that color.
At such a low water volume fraction and high void porosity, it is sensible for liquid water to percolate downward in pores without significantly disturbing the remaining rock-void porosity structure, since rock grains already tend to be adjoined (see Figs. 3a,b of Neumann et al. 2020, for a pictorial description). This would result in a three-layer structure (Fig. 5, bottom central panel): a central region where the porosity has been filled with percolated water, surrounded by a porous layer free of water, and a primordial outer layer that remains too cold for ice to melt. Our thermal evolution simulations do not explicitly track percolation. The example interior structure of Fig. 5 (bottom central panel) was obtained by manually moving the mass of water that is liquid at 0.2 Gyr to fill porosity at the center, and assuming that in the middle layer, the empty volume left behind by the displaced water is compacted (so that the void porosity remains 52% in this layer). This compaction leads to a volume-averaged diameter decrease from 283.4 km to 271.4 km, which is the observed value. The spherical central mass distribution in this three-layer model implies a $J_2$ of $5 \times 10^{-5}$ only, which is consistent with the observed dynamics of both satellites.

Although this is not a unique solution, assuming lower rock densities (Fig. 3) requires increasing the rock volume fraction at the expense of ice or void porosity so as to keep matching Sylvia’s bulk density. Neither the bulk ice volume fractions nor the bulk void porosity are likely to be much lower than assumed given the need to invoke the migration of melted ice, enabled by the insulating effect of porosity, to explain a more spherical mass distribution (low $J_2$) than suggested by Sylvia’s oblate shape.

Another, less likely explanation for a spherical mass distribution inside Sylvia is the central compaction of the rock. Although we assumed a rather low viscosity for rock-ice mixtures, Sylvia’s relatively low gravity (lithostatic pressures) precludes compaction below 900 K. The required thermal insulation could be achieved with a bulk void porosity as low as 60% (Fig. 5; left column). However, such a hot evolution would result in advection and, likely, outward outgassing of water (Prialnik & Podolak 1999; Young et al. 2003), which are not captured in these simulations and would cool the interior.

We thus deem percolation of water in the deep interior as being the likelier explanation for Sylvia’s low $J_2$ despite its oblate shape. This implies that, unlike the pristine outer layers comparable to the CP IDPs, the innermost region may instead be analogous to hydrated material exemplified by chondritic smooth IDPs or perhaps the Tagish Lake meteorite (Fujiiya et al. 2019). The minimum body diameter for such percolation to take place (holding all other quantities constant) is between 130 and 150 km, implying that even objects as small as Patroclus (diameter $\approx 140$ km, see Hanuš et al. 2017), which is a target of NASA’s Lucy mission, may have experienced a low degree of central liquid water percolation.

6. Conclusions

We used newly acquired high-angular resolution imaging observations of (87) Sylvia with the SPHERE instrument on the ESO VLT, along with archival images, lightcurves, and stellar occultations to reconstruct its 3D shape and to constrain the orbital properties of its two moons. We find that Sylvia possesses a low density of $1378 \pm 45$ kg m$^{-3}$, similar to that of other large C, P, and D asteroids whose surface composition is mostly consistent with that of anhydrous interplanetary dust particles. Sylvia spins quickly and is oblate and elongated, a property shared by most 100+ km multiple asteroids, as opposed to the physical properties of large asteroids without satellites.

The orbits of the two satellites is in apparent contradiction with the oblate shape of Sylvia: The two orbits do not show the nodal precession expected from the shape. We interpret it as evidence for a central spherical mass concentration due to water percolation over millions of years triggered by long-lived radionuclides. This long lasting heating episode allowed for partial differentiation, the outer shell of Sylvia remaining pristine. It follows that even the most primitive small bodies with diameters larger than 150 km did not avoid thermal processing, leaving only their outermost layers intact.
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Appendix A: Lightcurve observations

Fig. A.1. Optical lightcurves of Sylvia (gray dots), compared with the synthetic lightcurves generated with the ADAM and MCPD shape models (blue and orange lines). In each panel, the observing date, number of points, duration of the lightcurve (in hours), and RMS residuals between the observations and the synthetic lightcurves from the shape model are displayed. In many cases, measurement uncertainties are not provided by the observers but can be estimated from the scatter of measurements.
Fig. A.1. continued.
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Appendix B: Stellar occultations

Fig. B.1. Five stellar occultations by Sylvia used in this work, compared with the shape model projected on the plane of the sky for the times of the occultations. The dark gray lines represent the chords and the thick light gray lines illustrate their uncertainties.