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The policy drive to support carers is a longstanding national and international priority. Research about the design and delivery of support for carers is critical to the underpinning evidence base. Through a timely exploration of a third sector perspective, the UK-based study discussed in this article provides insights into approaches to, and the commissioning of, support for older carers and carers of people with dementia. The study highlights the importance of: embedding carers’ perspectives in service developments; the provision of both generic and targeted support which adopts a nuanced and tailored approach; titrating the delivery of information and advice at a pace to match carers’ needs; capturing quantitative and qualitative dimensions in service evaluation; and increased quantity and longevity of funding. Such insights not only complement existing research but are also generalisable to other countries at a similar stage in the development of carer support.
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Introduction

Understanding the needs of carers and providing appropriate support have been longstanding policy goals locally, nationally and internationally (Larkin and Milne, 2014; Pickard et al., 2015; Galiatsatos et al., 2017). This article presents the findings of a study the Open University was commissioned to undertake in 2017-8 by the National Health Service England’s Commitment to Carers programme. Its aim was to capture third sector (namely non-governmental and non-profit organisations) perspectives about exemplar models of support for two intersecting groups of carers – older carers and carers of people with dementia – and how such models can be developed and sustained by commissioning agencies. The study was informed by a recent comprehensive scoping review of carer-related research and knowledge (Henwood et al., 2017; Larkin et al., 2018).

The article begins with a profile of older carers and carers of people with dementia before turning to policy background, the design of the study itself and the key findings. The ensuing discussions contribute to the generation of new knowledge by offering a unique distillation of the views of leading UK third sector organisations on both approaches to,
and dimensions of, support for older carers and carers of people with dementia that ‘work,’ and what would render them deliverable and scalable.

**Older carers and carers of people with dementia**

Older carers merit attention for a number of reasons. At present over two million of the UK’s seven million carers are aged sixty-five-plus and of these, more than 400,000 are aged over eighty. Whilst the overall number of older carers is rising, the greatest increase is in those aged eighty-five years and over (Health and Social Care Information Centre, 2015; Age UK, 2018; Carers Trust, 2019). Caring in later life typically takes place in the context of a long-term dyadic relationship, such as with a spouse or partner. As a consequence of the embedded nature of their caring role, and their co-residency, older carers tend not to self-identify as a carer (Corden and Hirst, 2011). Older carers often undertake intensive caring which includes personal care, quasi-medical care (e.g. administering medication), and help with mobility issues (Rinaldi et al., 2005). Reciprocity and mutuality are common dimensions of older care dyads, especially in respect of spousal care (Pickard et al., 2000; Perkins and Haley, 2013; Hydén and Nilsson, 2015). The fact that older carers are often managing their own health and disability issues alongside caring is also relevant (McGarry and Arthur, 2001; Carers Trust, 2016).

Not all older carers are caring for a spouse or partner. Approximately a quarter have been caring for a disabled adult son or daughter for most of their adult lives; most of the cared for population are adults with learning disabilities (Carers Trust, 2015). In addition to the strain of caring for so long they have concerns about the future if they pre-decease their adult child or when they are no longer able to care (Bowey and McLaughlin, 2007; Perkins and Haley, 2013). Another group of older carers that is increasing in size comprises people in their sixties supporting a very elderly parent. This group of mainly women carers is often referred to as the ‘sandwich generation’ balancing caring alongside paid employment and providing support for adult children and sometimes grandchildren too (Grundy and Henretta, 2006; Do et al., 2014; Carers UK, 2015).

Although dementia is a common feature of later life caring, this is not always the case; it is estimated that more than five per cent of those with dementia are aged below sixty-five years and experience what is referred to as ‘young-onset dementia’. As might be expected, carers of those with ‘young-onset dementia’ tend to be younger. Many of them are spousal/partner carers, but they can also include parents, young adults, siblings or friends (Richardson et al., 2016; Alzheimer’s Society, 2019).

There are positive aspects to being a dementia carer, such as feeling useful or experiencing pride in one’s own abilities to cope. However, whatever their age, carers of people with dementia have to cope with specific physical and emotional demands e.g. dementia-related behavioural and personality changes (Bremer et al., 2015). Given that residential and nursing home care is increasingly reserved for those with very high levels of needs, there are growing numbers of carers providing intensive support to a relative with moderate to severe dementia (Newbronner et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2019). Other challenges faced by carers of people with dementia include isolation caused primarily by friends withdrawing and reduced opportunities to socialise (Charlesworth et al., 2008). The widely noted negative role played by stigma is implicated in these losses (Milne, 2010).

Whilst the challenges for those caring for someone with ‘young-onset dementia’ are similar to those faced by older dementia carers, there are important differences. This is
mainly because younger people with dementia are likely to be in paid employment, have
dependent children, have older parents who may need support and have ongoing financial
commitments (Rosness et al., 2011; Alzheimer’s Society, 2019). There is evidence that
carers of people with young onset dementia experience increased emotional distress and
stress because of concerns about loss of employment, income and future relationships, and
worries about the lives and wellbeing of younger and older family members (Rosness et al.,
2011; Lockeridge and Simpson, 2013; Richardson et al., 2016).

Policy background

In the UK the policy goal to support family carers was first made explicit in the 1999
National Carers Strategy and has remained a foundation stone of subsequent carer-related
policy (Larkin et al., 2018). A landmark piece of legislation was the 2014 Care Act (HM
Government, 2014) which, for the first time, entitled all carers to an assessment of need
and, where carers meet eligibility criteria, to have those needs met by their local authority.
It also introduced the principle of ‘parity of esteem’ between carers and service users. In
2018 the cross-government Carers Action Plan 2018-2020 was published. This reinforced
the policy commitment to supporting carers and included ‘effective identification’ of older
carers and carers of people with dementia as specific aims (Department of Health and
Social Care, 2018).

Two key strategies addressing the interface between the NHS and carers were the
NHS Five Year Forward View (NHS England, 2014a), and the subsequent Next Steps
on finding new ways to identify and support carers, especially the ‘most vulnerable
groups’ such as carers aged over eighty-five years. Specific reference was made to
helping health and social care organisations signpost carers to advice and support to
improve their health-related quality of life and reduce the risk of ill health and/or carer
breakdown.

The Department of Health and Social Care’s annual mandates for NHS England set
out the Government’s objectives for the NHS. The 2014 mandate stated that the NHS must
‘become dramatically better at involving patients and their carers and empowering them
to manage and make decisions about their own care and treatment’ (Department of
Health, 2014: 11). In responding to the 2014 mandate, NHS England developed a
dedicated Commitment to Carers programme (NHS England, 2014b). The study discussed
in this article was commissioned as a part of this programme of work.

Design and Methods

Taking account of knowledge gaps identified in the aforementioned scoping review, the
study aimed to capture perspectives of the third sector in relationship to the range types
and effectiveness of support for older carers and carers of people with dementia. The focus
was not on carers per se but on frontline carer support services. It is noteworthy that many
of the staff in carers services are current or former carers. The study was carried out in three
iterative stages between May 2017 and March 2018. Ethical principles were adhered to
throughout.

The first stage of the study comprised an invitation to national offices of nineteen
leading third sector organisations to undertake an on-line survey. The nine organisations
that completed the survey (47 per cent of those invited) represented a mix of agencies with a specific focus on carers and carers of people with dementia, and those that provide support to people with a particular health condition and their families: Age UK, Carers Federation, Carers First, Carers Trust, Carers UK, Dementia UK, Parkinson’s UK, Royal Mencap Society and The Stroke Association. Two of the organisations invited to complete the survey responded to say that, although they found it of interest, they did not think it was directly relevant to them. The potential total of responding organisations was therefore seventeen, and the response rate of 53 per cent is considerably higher than is often achieved by similar surveys.

Six of the organisations also took part in a follow-up semi-structured interview. This enabled in-depth exploration of emergent issues captured by the survey, particularly around support and innovation. In order to enhance the breadth and depth of the data, stakeholders from additional third sector agencies were interviewed; these were identified from the research team’s networks and by snowballing information from survey participants. Interviewees included people closely involved with local initiatives, as well as carers using those services. A total of thirteen interviews were undertaken (mainly) via telephone to ensure the fieldwork was completed in a timely and cost-effective way. All interviews were digitally recorded (with the consent of participants), transcribed and thematically analysed using QSR-NVivo 11 software.

In February 2018, the research team held a consultative workshop¹ to explore the emerging findings, preliminary conclusions and recommendations for commissioners and service providers with NHS England and other key stakeholders. There were fifteen participants, including: two carers (both existing members of other panels such as Research Advisory Panels); two Local Authority Carers Leads; four Local Authority and NHS Commissioners; two members (including the lead) of the NHS England ‘Experience of Care’ team; five representatives from carers organisations, and condition-specific (e.g. dementia) organisations. Following the workshop the report and its recommendations were revised before submission to NHS England (Henwood et al., 2018).

Supporting older carers and carers of people with dementia: third sector perspectives

The analysis integrated the survey and interview findings. Topics were coded through content and frequency analysis (Lavrakas, 2008; Bowling, 2014; Bengston, 2016). The multiple themes and issues emerged were combined into three overarching themes: the needs of older carers and carers of people with dementia; models of support; and the development and commissioning of carers support. Findings relating to these three themes are discussed below. Verbatim comments from the range of those surveyed and interviewed are used for illustrative purposes. Findings relating to the third theme also include reflections and discussion from the consultation workshop. The nature of ‘exemplar’ models of support and the implications of developing, commissioning and providing these are explored in the discussion.

The needs of older carers and carers of people with dementia

A number of key issues emerged of key relevance to older carers. The need to reduce their isolation and loneliness was widely recognised, as was the fact that this was made
more difficult by older carers often failing to self-identify and/or resist having contact with services:

we kind of need to change the word, a bit more about ‘do you look after somebody?’ or ‘do you help somebody?’; you know, because the word ‘carer’- people say ‘I’m not their carer, I’m their wife!’ it’s what I do, I do it; they’re my family.

and

you don’t come across them until . . . . . . somebody’s been admitted to hospital or there’s been a complete breakdown of the situation . . . . And so they’re very vulnerable, very isolated.

Older carers’ isolation can be amplified by a range of factors, such as no longer being able to drive. This was identified not only as a practical problem e.g. getting to hospital appointments or to the shops, but also because of its impact on their ability to take trips out or visit friends and family that improved both their own, and their relative’s quality of life. For instance, one respondent recalled a carer telling him that being able to use his car meant that he could ‘drive my wife [down to the coast] where we can just sit and look out at the sea . . . at least we feel we’re out.’

In addition to the hidden nature of many older carers’ lives, they are often simultaneously caring and managing their own deteriorating health. As one interviewee remarked ‘it’s two people limping along’. A change in health status for one partner can disrupt the care balance whereby ‘mutual support becomes active caring’.

Participants highlighted the shared and different needs of older carers and carers of people with dementia. Both groups can face challenges when the underlying relationship is not strong:

Some people may be in a very difficult situation where they might not have had a positive relationship, then they find themselves caring ( . . . ) twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.

In terms of differences, carers of people with dementia were widely seen to have ‘unique needs . . . . because it’s a very different caring experience than with an older person whose cognition is intact.’ Caring for someone with a changed personality is especially stressful:

They say ‘I know what to do when I have to give them their dinner, or put a bandage on, or take them to the toilet. That’s practical – it’s hard and it’s tiring but you can just get on with it. But I don’t know how to manage the personality, the changing behaviours, the repeated information, the repetitive questions.’

Long term dementia carers face the additional strain of living with the knowledge that the needs of the person for whom they care will increase over time:

when you’ve got somebody who’s caring for somebody with a degree of dementia, they . . . know that that level of dementia is likely to progress, and I think that is very scary (for them).’
There was concern about the challenges specific to carers of people with young-onset dementia. These were mainly a consequence of the fact that those affected by this condition are:

... still in work; they’ve got a mortgage; they’ve got youngish children or children at university. They had a future ahead of them, a retirement future, that will never be realised ... and I think that loss and that grief reaction from not only the person with dementia but their carer, can be huge.

In addition, the rarity of younger onset dementia often results in delays in diagnosis or misdiagnoses:

Very often when a person goes to the GP, or a family goes to the GP about somebody they are worried about, they will choose a different diagnosis – they will give the wrong diagnosis. They’ll say its depression, relationship problems, it’s stress at work – so they’ll give lots of other labels for what is presenting.

Models of support

Generic and inclusive models of support predominated, as opposed to services specifically for older carers and/or carers of people with dementia:

... all of our services and support are open to everyone ... including older carers and carers of people with dementia.

and

... all our support is open to all.

Amongst the more targeted services were those aimed at groups of carers with shared needs. As carers of people who develop vascular dementia following a stroke are likely to have similar needs to other carers of people with dementia, some of the organisations had developed joint initiatives particularly around information sharing and signposting.

Some of the condition-specific organisations were extending their services to incorporate carers and the person with the condition. This reframing was evident in a change to the language used in agency literature or on websites; for example, support is offered to ‘people affected by’ the condition rather than ‘with’ the condition. One such organisation had appointed a Strategic Carers Lead to take forward its work on supporting families and carers ensuring that they were integral to its ‘expanded’ service offer.

Common dimensions of models of ‘support’ were: the provision of information; peer support services; respite care; and wellbeing support. In terms of the provision of information, organisations recognised the importance of this being both ‘appropriate and timely’. Participants acknowledged the challenges of getting relevant information to carers early enough and in a manner that facilitated good decision making and of ‘matching information’ to the changing needs of both the cared for person and the carer. In situations where the cared-for person’s condition deteriorates and the caring role intensifies over time – as is the case for dementia – it was pointed out that information needs to be titrated and appropriately nuanced. For example, ensuring that the symptoms associated with
later stage dementia are communicated in a sensitive way to carers supporting a relative in the early stages of the condition. This needs profile is very different from that of a carer facing a sudden onset of caring responsibilities, such as following a stroke. Associated with this role is signposting carers to other services and/or sources of help and advice. As one participant said this requires:

understanding and developing our knowledge of what other organisations do and where we can make use of their resources and signpost people to them, rather than trying to recreate everything.

Locally based community services built around peer support and social opportunities were rated highly, particularly in the dementia field:

What I would say works effectively are your social situations . . . for instance, we have a group where it’s people that are living with young onset dementia, but their families as well – usually a spouse or partner – will come along and once a month we’ll go out for a meal ( . . . ) go to different venues; we have a meal, we have a chat and we have a laugh.

This interviewee went on to comment on the importance of not ‘labelling’ groups:

. . . like not calling something a ‘dementia group’ or whatever; that’s unfortunately what tends to happen, or ‘dementia café’. People don’t want to live by labels; I wouldn’t want to live by a label.

Volunteers often play a key role in providing peer support. Many are current or former carers. In addition to being a service in its own right, peer support was sometimes embedded into other services such as telephone befriending. This service matches a caller with a volunteer befriender who shares a similar experience of caring. The befriender then builds up a supportive relationship with the carer over time.

Respite care is a common, and popular, type of support. It can take a number of forms, including day care or a regular break in a care home. Although support for carers of younger people living with dementia is an underdeveloped area, this study identified an innovative model offering day respite for both younger people living with dementia and their carers (e.g. see www.ypwd.info). In this service a range of activities are available including running, cycling, rock climbing and canoeing; people with dementia and their carers could either take part in these together or separately. The drive to develop this model was a recognition that:

Most fifty-year-olds really would not access ‘day care’ where the average age of people was over eighty. Nor would their carers – their spouses, partners, would say well no, that’s not appropriate.

Similarly, focusing on what people can do, rather than what they cannot do and engaging people in what interests them was seen as a pivotal dimension of developing services that challenge existing models. A number of interviewees described ‘traditional day care’ as (often) little more than a ‘holding centre . . . for the people living with dementia’.
A home-based respite model for people living with dementia was also highlighted. This model involved recruiting volunteers to open up their home to three or four people with dementia twice a month for three hours to offer their carers a break. With the support of a care worker, activities and lunch would be provided with a view to encouraging those attending to ‘mix with each other and make new friends and connections within their community.’

Many services focused on protecting carers’ wellbeing and resilience: some offered them training and education, others gave them the opportunity to have some ‘me time’ such as being able to engage in social contact, maintain existing interests or establish new ones (e.g. online forums and choirs). Supporting the care relationship was also widely considered to be vital to protecting the carer’s wellbeing and resilience. This was mainly facilitated by the provision of services which focus on bringing carers and those for whom they care together. As one interviewee said, ‘we’ve found that . . . , when the carer and cared for come together, their relationship kind of rekindles or improves’. These services provide structured opportunities for carers and those they care for to engage in discussions and express their views, whilst simultaneously helping carers to relax and participate in group activities as people rather than as ‘carers’ or ‘cared for.’:

When they’re in the group, you can see some of that at the beginning and then they kind of relax because they know that there is no judgement . . . . . in the memory project we don’t distinguish at all between who’s who. Everybody does the same thing.

Carers were sometimes involved directly in decisions about the (re)design and (re)development of services. Responsiveness to carers’ views is perhaps best evidenced by a move away from standalone ‘carers groups’ to:

support that enables carers to go along with the person they care for, because . . . separate carers groups . . . . create a barrier in terms of accessing support because there might be (shared) issues around the cost of care, around transport.

Several distinctive elements of support for dementia carers also emerged from the findings. Helping carers to understand the nature of dementia as a condition both in the present and the future, including (probable) behavioural and psychological changes, was very important. Carers of those with young onset dementia were used in illustration; many people with young onset dementia ‘get the diagnosis and that’s the end’. Support for carers in this situation needs to focus on advice at an early stage around managing the condition over the short and longer term, maintaining a ‘life beyond diagnosis’ and accessing specialist dementia services in a timely manner.

There were some messages about support more generally too; its role in building carers’ confidence and reducing isolation and the importance of early intervention and prevention. This helps carers to plan for the future in terms of support needs and avoid crises:

. . . trying to find ways that we can avoid the crisis really and make it a more planned approach that people feel more in control of . . . and more informed about the decisions and choices.

Another such message was that it is not always the specific ‘intervention’ that makes a difference to carers, but the style of the service and/or the combination of ‘types of support’
that are most effective in bolstering resilience. It is not simply the ‘what’ of a service that is important but also ‘how’ it is offered and delivered that is valued by carers.

**Carers services – development and commissioning**

Many of the findings within this theme related to **barriers** to the development and effective commissioning of carer services. The impact of austerity on public services was widely cited as a barrier, as was the limited – and often time limited – funding for third sector initiatives, and the considerable transactional costs involved in short-term commissioning by the NHS and local authorities. Respondents described how the absence of reliable longer-term funding led to a sense of operating ‘hand to mouth’ and having to perpetually deal with problems of uncertainty, particularly in relation to staffing:

> There’s a lot of uncertainty – we train and we develop staff, and we have such brilliant passionate staff and then they have to move on because we don’t know from one year to the next what’s happening.

> and

> This is a problem for lots of organisations – the NHS commissions on a yearly basis. So, it is very hard if you’re a small organisation. You want staff to be on permanent contracts, but it isn’t safe that you’ll get the funding next year.

The way that services are typically audited and monitored by commissioners was seen as another barrier to sustainable service development. Such processes tend to focus on short-term quantitative metrics and key performance indicators which typically involve reporting on costs and ‘activity counting’. For example, participants discussed how they were asked to provide figures relating to the number of people using a service and/or the number of residential and hospital admissions that had been ‘avoided’ over the last six months. Not only might such ‘activity counting’ fail to reflect the wider value of a service but adopting a short-term focus overlooks benefits that may accrue over the longer term. The linked prioritisation of costs-savings similarly fails to take account of ‘softer’ qualitative or longer-term outcomes, such as the value of the service to the carer, improvements to the relationship with the cared for person, better health and wellbeing, and/or a return to paid work. As these outcomes would generally **not** result in cost savings to commissioners, they are often overlooked or discounted. The following two quotes illustrate these points well:

> ‘we … want to measure quality. So we will work with the families, we’ll get feedback from the families (…) but the majority of commissioners will only ask how much does it save?’

> and

> ‘we’re kind of measuring the wrong things a lot of the time!’

Monitoring outcomes becomes even more complex when an NHS commissioned service ‘saves’ the local authority resources by reducing demands on social care rather than relieving the NHS budget.
All of these concerns led participants to question what ‘counts’ as evidence of effectiveness for commissioners, and how this drives models that threaten the sustainability of services and undermine the viability of support for older carers and carers of people living with dementia. Too often, there is a ‘lack of fit’ between what is important to carers and what is important to commissioning agencies.

A related issue is that carers services are often under pressure from commissioners to disseminate information about ‘successes’. There was a consensus among participants that the process of dissemination is neither straightforward nor cost free. Organisations report being ‘unconfident about how to share’ information effectively. As one interviewee explained, ‘we don’t necessarily know which doors to knock on’ to ‘spread the word’.

Discussion

Whilst the findings from this study mirror and reinforce themes in existing research about the support needs of older carers and carers of people living with dementia, a number of new insights have also emerged. Most significant is the centrality of ensuring there is congruence between what carers say they need and value and the development, delivery and commissioning of carers’ services. Another is the importance of the approach and manner of service provision i.e. the process of support appears to be at least as important as the service itself.

Although there are common experiences shared by all carers, this study also highlights the distinctive needs of carers of older people and of people living with dementia (Bremer et al., 2015; Carers Trust, 2015). The findings suggest that a more nuanced and tailored approach to support may be required for both groups if it is to be effective. Support for dementia carers, for example, needs to include face-to-face help with understanding the nature of dementia and the implications of its trajectory for the future health and wellbeing of the cared for person and the carer. Support for those caring for a younger person with dementia needs to incorporate information about: the progressive nature of the condition, planning ahead including financial issues, and being offered opportunities to maintain a ‘normal’ quality of life including remaining in paid employment over the longer term. Crucial to this group of carers – and the younger person with dementia – is prompt diagnosis and propitious post diagnostic support. This issue has particular implications for GPs and other primary health care staff involved in NHS specialist referral processes.

It was clear that the way for information is delivered is critical; its delivery should be at a speed and in a medium and quantity that the carer and cared-for person are able to make use of to aid decision-making and plan care. Some people prefer information that is in the written form, others like to discuss it; opportunities to ask questions and engage in discussion is highly valued by carers. The value of well-timed and crafted information to help carers cope with the changed and changing nature of their relative’s condition, support being provided by peer carers and/or volunteer befrienders and social opportunities that reduce the risk of isolation were repeatedly highlighted in the study. The evidence showed that this is particularly relevant for dementia carers of all ages.

The development of support for both the carer and the cared for person together was also viewed as positive and as demonstrating agencies’ responsiveness to carers’ wishes. Examples include ‘Carers Hubs’ and the innovative forms of respite care noted above. These models emphasise meaningful activity and engagement with both carers and their
relatives (Carers UK, 2019; Rokstad et al., 2019). Increasingly services are recognising the importance of supporting the care relationship, for example, dyadic psychological interventions such as Cognitive Stimulation Therapy (Clare et al., 2003, 2014; Moniz-Cook et al., 2011).

In relation to future commissioning, the findings highlight the paramountcy of embedding carers’ views and experiences in service developments. If future forms of support are to be meaningful, acceptable and to ‘fit with’ carers’ lives and needs, commissioning models and practices must capture and take account of what matters to carers and what improves their quality of life. The development of joint support for the care dyad is one such example; supporting the care relationship is another. These kinds of developments also require ‘reimagining’ traditional models of support, such as the home-based respite service (noted above) and offering these alongside the established and trusted types of support such as befriending and carers support groups.

Short term contracts and/or annual tenders were identified as undermining the sustainable development of innovative services and threatening staff recruitment and retention. This was especially the case for smaller organisations that do not have the capacity to fund new developments or offer secure longer-term contracts for staff without a reliable source of funding. Furthermore, the uncertainty created by short-term contracts is very difficult for carers to deal with as they often come to depend on particular service(s) and particular members of staff with whom they have developed a relationship. The closure of carers services, especially at short notice, is damaging to carers’ capacity to continue to provide care, feel supported and protect their own wellbeing (Lewis and West, 2014; Pickard et al., 2018; Carers UK, 2019). Lack of long-term funding threatens the sustainability of a responsive, reliable carers support system.

Commissioning models not only need to move beyond evaluating ‘effectiveness’ of support based on enumerating short-term activity but also need to adopt a more sophisticated approach to evidence. Both quantitative and qualitative evidence – and outcomes that are over the longer term – are needed if the depth, breadth and capacity of third sector support is to be effectively captured. In the UK policy context these messages are particularly relevant as developing outcomes-based commissioning is a key current priority for the NHS and local authorities (NHS England, 2019). In order to ensure that the funding and commissioning of services reflects what matters to carers there is a linked need for commissioners and local authority partners to have a better understanding of what carers want from a service, what they think ‘effectiveness’ means, how third sector agencies can – and do – meet carers’ needs and how these can be translated into outcomes and outcome measures such as carers’ improved well-being (Milne and Larkin, 2015).

Revisiting the mechanisms and measures that are currently used to capture outcomes could help address these issues. Greater use could be made of reliable and validated tools such as the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT) https://www.pssru.ac.uk/ascot/. ASCOT aims to measure users’ – and/or carers’ – care-related quality of life. It is already used to assess the effectiveness of social care interventions and inform agencies about the specific elements of their service that are more, or less, effective (Rand et al., 2015; Callaghan et al., 2017). There is also scope for greater involvement of carers in commissioning decisions, particularly around what they find valuable and how a service impacts on their life and wellbeing, beyond whether it prevents or delays hospital admission or use of long-term care.
Whilst national policy aims to ‘support carers’ to live full lives, and duties are placed on statutory agencies to ensure that ‘carers’ wellbeing is protected’, services are commissioned in a local context by agencies preoccupied by financial concerns. It is clear that commissioning decisions are primarily driven by NHS and local authority funding; as this has been under enormous pressure over the last decade, it is not surprising that it has had a corrosive effect on the quantity, range and sustainability of carers services (Brimblecombe et al., 2018; Pickard et al., 2018). Whilst this study has highlighted some of the key ingredients of effective support for older carers and carers of people living with dementia, for this to be consistently translated into practice, national funding challenges and their negative impact on models of commissioning and support for carers need to be acknowledged and addressed. If policy commitments to carers are to be made meaningful, much greater attention needs to be given to the connectivity between these different elements of the carers support ‘equation’ and to what matters to carers and what is valued by them. Imaginative creative services for carers exist – as has been evidenced in this study – but for these to be facilitated across England, and sustained, the building blocks of effective support need to be in place.

**Concluding comments**

This study contributes new knowledge about supporting older carers and carers of people with dementia and ways in which services, and the associated commissioning processes, can be improved. The distinctive third sector perspective it brings to bear on these critical twin issues complements existing research. In addition to identifying the ‘key ingredients’ of models of support that are valued by both groups of carers, it has identified the pivotal role played by the ‘process of support’ alongside the delivery of the support itself, and the importance of consistency of provision and of relationships between carers and care workers. It is clear that a much greater ‘fit’ between carers’ needs and meeting those needs is likely to be achieved if carers’ perspectives are taken account of in service development, models of commissioning and evaluation of effectiveness.

A primary barrier to the development of sustainable and effective support services for older carers and carers of people with dementia is limited access to funding that extends beyond a year. Not only would extending the duration of funding protect existing services, including the workforce, but it would also help facilitate innovative developments and contribute to achieving improved outcomes for carers – and their relatives – in the short and longer term.

Although this study was focused on England, its findings have relevance beyond the UK context, particularly where there are comparable policy challenges regarding the funding, development and commissioning of effective carer support. Given the growth in the number of carers expected over the next twenty years it is critical that these issues are explored and addressed and the third sector is enriched and promoted to maximise its potential to provide effective and valued support for older carers and carers of people with dementia.

**Note**

1 Ethical approval not required
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