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Abstract

CM chondrites (CMs) are the most abundant group of carbonaceous chondrites. CMs experienced varying degrees of secondary aqueous alteration and heating that modified or destroyed their primitive features. We have studied three chondrites, Asuka (A) 12085, A 12169, and A 12236. Their modal compositions, chondrule size distributions, and bulk composition indicate that they are CMs. However, the common occurrence of melilite in CAIs and glass in chondrules, abundant Fe-Ni metal, the absence of tochilinite-cronstedtite intergrowths, and almost no phyllosilicates, all suggest that these chondrites, especially A 12169, experienced only minimal aqueous alteration. The textures and compositions of metal and sulfides, the lack of ferroan rims on AOA olivines, the compositional distribution of ferroan olivine, and the Raman spectra of their matrices, indicate that these chondrites experienced neither significant heating nor dehydration.
These chondrites, especially A 12169, are the most primitive CMs so far reported. The degree of alteration increases from A 12169, through A 12236, to A 12085. We propose the criteria for subtypes of 3.0-2.8 for CMs. A 12169, A 12236, and A 12085 are classified as subtype 3.0, 2.9, and 2.8, respectively. The oxygen isotopic composition of the Asuka CMs is consistent with these samples having experienced only a limited degree of aqueous alteration. The CM and CO groups are probably not derived from a single heterogeneous parent body. These chondrites are also of particular significance in view of the imminent return of sample material from the asteroids Ryugu and Bennu.
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1. Introduction

Carbonaceous (C) chondrites are some of the most primitive materials in the solar system, and are classified into eight major chemical groups, CI, CM, CO, CV, CR, CH, CB, and CK (e.g., Weisberg et al., 2006). The CMs are the most abundant group of C chondrites (after Krot et al., 2014) and appear to be widely distributed within the inner solar system, occurring as brecciated fragments and clasts in a wide range of meteorite types (e.g., Zolensky et al., 1996).

All known CMs have lost their primitive features because of aqueous alteration and/or secondary heating (e.g., Rubin et al., 2007; Nakamura, 2005), with the notable exception of the CMs that are the focus of this work, based on the preliminary results of Kimura et al. (2019). Some weakly altered CM and related chondrites have been recently reported, such as Paris (Hewins et al.,
2014), EET 96029 (Lee et al., 2016), NWA 5958 (Jacquet et al., 2016), LEW 85311 (Lee et al., 2019), and NWA 11024 (Ebert et al., 2019). However, their primitive features before alteration are not completely preserved in them. Recently, Yamaguchi et al. (2016) reported the recovery of three CMs, Asuka (A) 12085, A 12169, and A 12236. Here we report the petrography, mineralogy, bulk chemistry and oxygen isotope composition of these chondrites, to explore their characteristic features, classifications, and precursor materials.

We will conclude that these three Asuka chondrites are CMs and that they are amongst the most primitive members of this group so far reported. These chondrites provide important information about the primitive features of CMs before the secondary processes in their parent body. We propose subtypes 3.0-2.8 for CMs, modified from Rubin (2015).

2. Samples and experimental methods

The joint expedition party between Japan and Belgium (JARE-54 and BELARE 2012-2013) collected 420 meteorites from the Nansen Ice Field, Antarctica (Imae et al., 2015). A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236 are included in this collection and were recovered in a 7km x 2km area of the area B (Imae et al., 2015). The original (recovered) weights of A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236 were 9.114, 2.264, 93.65 g, respectively. For this study, we have examined the polished thin sections, A 12085,41-1, A 12169,31-1, and A 12236,51-1. The area of these sections are 151.9, 42.0 and 98.1 mm², respectively.

In order to compare these chondrites with the other C chondrites, we also analyzed A 12248 (CM2.0), Murchison (CM2.5), ALH-77307 (CO3.03), and Y-81020 (CO3.05).

Back-scattered electron (BSE) images were obtained using the JEOL JSM-7100F field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) at the National Institute of Polar Research
(NIPR). We conducted mineral analyses using the JEOL JXA8200 electron-probe microanalyzer at NIPR, with a focused beam, and 10-30 nA beam currents for silicate phases and 30 nA beam current for opaque minerals. All these analytical methods have been previously described by Yamaguchi et al. (2011). The matrix was measured by using a defocused beam (5 µm in diameter) for ~100-200 randomly selected points that avoided coarse-grained silicate and opaque minerals to calculate an average bulk matrix composition. The elemental X-ray maps of the whole thin sections were obtained using the FESEM. We obtained the modal compositions of the sections from the elemental maps, using ImageJ software.

We identified some phases using a laser micro Raman spectrometer (JASCO NRS-1000) using 532-nm excitation at the NIPR, after the method of Kimura et al. (2017). Raman spectra for D- and G-bands were also collected on randomly-selected matrix areas on the sections after the method of Komatsu et al. (2018). Imae et al. (2019) have recently applied an X-ray diffraction (XRD) method to characterize minerals in meteorite thin sections by using SmartLab, RIGAKU at the NIPR. We used the same method for the samples studied here, although the silicon 100 index wafer with the opening 8 mm in diameter and the 125 µm thickness was used in this study, to reduce the diffraction from epoxy resin surrounding the sample. The accuracy of the diffraction angle is within 0.02°. Isolated peaks for a phase were used, which do not overlap with the other phases. We use only intense peaks for phase identification, and they are normally 1000-10000 counts much higher than the background level. We did not use the other lower peaks because of the ambiguous identification of peaks.

Trace elements for A 12236, the largest among samples studied here, were determined by ICP-SFMS and ICP-AES using the same procedure as Barrat et al. (2012) and Kimura et al. (2014).
The bulk oxygen isotope compositions of A 12085, A 12169 and A 12236 were determined by infrared laser-assisted fluorination at the Open University (Miller et al., 1999; Greenwood et al., 2017). Whole-rock powders of the three CMs were prepared by crushing and homogenizing approximately 100 mg fresh interior chips for each of the samples.

Due to their relatively high phyllosilicate contents, CM chondrites can be challenging samples to analyse by laser fluorination. This is essentially because the normal blank reduction procedure, which involves flushing the chamber with aliquots of BrF₅, may lead to the preferential reduction of the hydrated silicate fraction prior to analysis. To minimise this problem, A 12085, A 12169 and A 12236 were all run in “single shot” mode, with only one standard and one 2 mg sample aliquot loaded in the sample chamber at a time. Further details of the “single shot” procedure are given in Lee et al. (2019).

Analytical precision (2σ) for the Open University system, based on replicate analyses of an internal obsidian standard, is ±0.053‰ for δ¹⁷O; ±0.095‰ for δ¹⁸O; ±0.018‰ for Δ¹⁷O (2σ) (Starkey et al., 2016). Oxygen isotopic analysis for the three CMs are reported in standard δ notation, where δ¹⁸O has been calculated as: 
\[ \delta^{18}O = \left[ \frac{^{18}O}{^{16}O} \right]_{\text{sample}} / \left[ \frac{^{18}O}{^{16}O} \right]_{\text{ref}} - 1 \] \times 1000 (‰) and similarly for δ¹⁷O using the ¹⁷O /¹⁶O ratio, the reference being VSMOW: Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water. For the purposes of comparison with the results of Clayton and Mayeda (1999) Δ¹⁷O, which represents the deviation from the terrestrial fractionation line, has been calculated as:
\[ \Delta^{17}O = \delta^{17}O - 0.52 \times \delta^{18}O. \]

3. Results

3.1 Petrography

3.1.1 Overall features and modal compositions
A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236 show typical C chondritic textures, mainly consisting of chondrules, refractory inclusions, isolated silicates and opaque minerals, and matrix (Fig. 1). None of these chondrites show brecciated textures, but some clasts are encountered in A 12085 and 12169. Figure 1c shows that Ca-Al-rich inclusions (CAIs) are common in A 12169.

Table 1 compares the modal abundances of the Asuka chondrites with weakly altered CMs whose detailed modal data are known. The most abundant component is the matrix, followed by chondrules in the Asuka chondrites (Table 1). The modal abundances of chondrules with isolated silicate minerals are 29 - 39 vol. % and those of matrices are 53 - 65 %. They are within the ranges for CMs (Weisberg et al., 2006). The abundances of refractory inclusions are 3.8 - 4.3 vol.%.

One of the distinct features of these chondrites is the abundant occurrence of Fe-Ni metal, 1.2 - 2.3 vol.% (Table 1). The abundances of metal are much higher than those (<1.2 vol.%) in CM2.7-2.0 (Rubin et al., 2007; Rubin, 2015). Sulfide minerals are also abundant, 0.9-1.4 vol.%, in these chondrites.

These chondrites have experienced only very low levels of shock metamorphism (shock stage 1) or terrestrial weathering (A) (Yamaguchi et al., 2016). A 12169 section has fusion crusts (below ~1.5 mm in width).

3.1.2 Refractory inclusions

Refractory inclusions are easily recognizable in these chondrites. The sizes of the refractory inclusions are smaller than ~300 µm. Many CAIs are surrounded by rims, consisting mainly of high-Ca pyroxene (Fig. 2a). The CAIs commonly consist of spinel, melilite, and high-Ca pyroxene, with a minor amount of perovskite and grossite. Melilite is abundant in the CAIs. Melilite-bearing CAIs are 70, 60, and 20% of all CAIs in A 12169, A 12236, and A 12085, respectively, although
spinel-pyroxene CAIs are predominant in A 12085. Secondary alteration minerals, such as phyllosilicate, nepheline, sodalite, and hedenbergite, are not encountered in these CAIs.

Amoeboid olivine aggregates (AOAs) are also common in these chondrites. The AOAs mostly consist of forsteritic olivine, with minor amounts of spinel, anorthite and high-Ca pyroxene intergrown with olivine grains (Fig. 2b). The AOA olivines do not have visible FeO-rich rims. AOA s in these meteorites do not contain any secondary minerals, as was also the case for the CAIs.

3.1.3 Chondrules and isolated silicate minerals

Sharply delineated chondrules are an abundant component in these chondrites (Fig. 2c). Table 2 summarizes the characteristic features of the chondrules. Their apparent average diameter, ~0.3 mm, is typical of CMs (Weisberg et al., 2006).

Porphyritic chondrules are the most common type, with a few barred chondrules also present (Table 2). The abundances of radial and cryptocrystalline chondrules are below 3%. Type I chondrules, ~90% of all chondrules, are much more abundant than type II. The relatively high abundance of type I, compared to type II chondrules, is a characteristic feature of CM chondrites. Most porphyritic chondrules, especially type Is, consist of olivine and low-Ca pyroxene, with minor amounts of high-Ca pyroxene, feldspar, and a spinel group mineral. Olivine, low-Ca pyroxene, and often high-Ca pyroxene are phenocryst phases in chondrules (Fig. 2d). Low-Ca pyroxene is identified as clinoenstatite, based on optical microscopic observations. Feldspar is an abundant mesostasis phase (Fig. 2c) and does not always show a devitrified texture when intergrown with high-Ca pyroxene. Porphyritic chondrules also contain a glassy mesostasis, especially in some of the chondrules of A 12169 (Fig. 2d). Feldspar and glass are the primary
mesostasis phases, like those in type 3 chondrites. The secondary anhydrous phases, such as nepheline and hedenbergite, found in the other C chondrites (e.g., Kimura and Ikeda, 1995), are not encountered. Type II chondrules contain abundant ferroan olivine, often with forsteritic olivine relicts (Fig. 2e). Chondrules, especially in A 12085 and A 12236, are commonly surrounded by fine-grained rims (Fig. 2e).

Olivine and pyroxene grains in chondrules do not display secondary diffusional zoning nor the phyllosilicate veinlets that are common in the more altered CMs (Lee and Lindgren, 2016). Clinoenstatite grains do not show alteration features along their twin boundaries. Tochilinite-cronstedtite intergrowths (TCI) do not present in the chondrules of these meteorites, in clear contrast to most other CMs.

Phyllosilicates are sometimes encountered within the outer margins of some A 12169 chondrules, whereas they commonly occur throughout the chondrules in A 12085 (Fig. 2f). A 12236 shows features that are intermediate between A 12169 and A 12085. On the other hand, primary mesostasis, unaltered feldspar and glass are commonly present in A 12169 chondrules. These phases are also present in some chondrules from A 12236, but are uncommon in A 12085. We examined 50 chondrules selected from each chondrite, and divided them into chondrules with completely altered (no primary mesostasis), partly altered, and unaltered mesostasis (only primary mesostasis). The abundances of unaltered mesostasis-bearing chondrules decreases from A 12169, through A 12236, to A 12085 (Table 2).

Chondrules commonly contain Fe-Ni metal spherules which are usually kamacite as mentioned later. They occur within and at the outer margins of chondrules. These metals are mostly homogeneous in texture (Fig. 2g). Plessitic intergrowth, noticed in Semarkona (LL3.01) (Kimura et al., 2008), is not present.
In addition to chondrules, isolated silicate grains, less than several tens microns in size, are abundantly encountered in the matrices. They are mostly fragmental in shape and are predominantly olivine and low-Ca pyroxene. They are also unaltered. Their mineralogy, composition and fragmental morphology indicates that most of these grains were derived by disaggregation and disruption of chondrules.

3.1.4 Matrix

Figure 2h shows a matrix area of A 12169. The matrix mostly consists of fine-grained silicate phases of submicron size. Fe-Ni metal and Fe-sulfides, less than 150 µm in size, occur as isolated grains in the matrix. The Fe-sulfides are mostly troilite. Rare pentlandite is always present in association with isolated pyrrhotite grains (Fig. 2i). In addition to these larger-sized opaque minerals, fine-grained opaque minerals, submicron in size, are abundantly mixed with silicate phases in the matrix (Fig. 2h).

No TCI was observed in the matrices of these chondrites. However, aggregates of Fe-sulfide(s) mixed with silicate phases of submicron size (Fig. 2j) are present, especially in A 12085. They are not common in A 12169.

3.2 Mineralogy

3.2.1 Olivine

Table 3 shows selected analyses of silicate and oxide phases. Olivine is common in chondrules, in matrix as isolated grains, and in AOAs. Olivine in type I and II chondrules is Fo\(_{92-100}\) and Fo\(_{30-88}\), respectively, although some type II chondrules contain relict forsterite grains (Fo\(_{<99}\)). Olivines in chondrules contain <0.69 wt.% Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\), <0.68 wt.% MnO, and <0.70 wt.% CaO.
Olivines in AOAs are Fo$_{98-100}$. Chemical zoning has not been observed in these AOAs. The olivines in AOAs contain <0.46 wt.% Cr$_2$O$_3$, <0.61 wt.% MnO, and <0.35 wt.% CaO.

Figure 3a shows the distribution of Fe and Mn in olivines in chondrules, isolated grains, and AOAs, including those in Murchison (CM2.5). All these chondrites show similar distributions. This Fe-Mn distribution trend is consistent with those of ferroan olivines in CM and CO chondrites (Schrader and Davidson, 2017). Olivines in some AOAs and isolated minerals show a high Mn/Fe distribution trend. Such a trend is commonly observed in AOAs (e.g., Komatsu et al., 2015).

The average Cr$_2$O$_3$ content and standard deviation for cores of ferroan olivine grains (>2 wt.% FeO) are 0.34 wt.% and 0.08, 0.35 wt.% and 0.08, and 0.32 wt.% and 0.08 for A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236, respectively. These data plot within the range of those in primitive COs and some CMs (Grossman and Brearley, 2005; Schrader and Davidson, 2017) (Fig. 3b).

3.2.2 Pyroxene

Pyroxene is the second most abundant mineral in these chondrites. It is divided into low-Ca (<0.15 atomic Ca/(Ca+Mg+Fe) ratio) and high-Ca pyroxenes. Low-Ca pyroxenes are abundant in type I chondrules and are magnesian (En$_{85-99}$Fs$_{0.4-9.9}$Wo$_{0.3-13.1}$). On the other hand, low-Ca pyroxenes are minor in type II chondrules and are ferroan (En$_{38-71}$Fs$_{22-61}$Wo$_{0.1-10.1}$). In some chondrules, Al-rich low-Ca pyroxene occurs (<14.6 wt.% Al$_2$O$_3$). Such pyroxene compositions have been reported from some other chondrites, such as Semarkona (LL) (Rubin, 2004) and Y-82094 (ungrouped C chondrite) (Kimura et al., 2014).

High-Ca pyroxenes are present in chondrules as a mesostasis phase and also as phenocrysts in both chondrules and refractory inclusions. In chondrules, the high-Ca pyroxene compositional range is En$_{48-83}$Fs$_{0.5-9.7}$Wo$_{16-46}$ in type Is and En$_{20-54}$Fs$_{11-56}$Wo$_{19-50}$ in type IIs. High-Ca pyroxenes...
in refractory inclusions contain 0.8-38 wt.% $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and <5.1 wt.% $\text{TiO}_2$ (Table 3). A pyroxene that is highly enriched in $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, 38 wt.%, in a CAI is kushiroite (Kimura et al., 2009).

3.2.3 Feldspar and glass

Chondrules contain abundant feldspar or glass in their mesostasis. Feldspars are anorthositic ($\text{An}_89-100$) in type I chondrules, whereas type II chondrules contain albitic feldspar ($\text{Ab}_{54-77}$). Cation total of anorthitic feldspar ranges from 4.93-5.01, suggesting that some feldspar contain excess silica component, which is reported from primitive C chondrites (e.g., Tenner et al., 2018).

Feldspars in refractory inclusions are always nearly pure anorthosite ($\text{An}_{99-100}$).

Chondrule glasses are enriched in feldspathic components (Fig. 4) and contain 10-33 wt.% $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, 1.9-31 wt.% $\text{CaO}$, and 0.2-7.2 wt.% $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$. The compositions resemble those of CO chondrules. The total weight percent ranges from 98.1 to 101.7, suggesting that they are not phyllosilicate. The occurrence of glass has been reported only in some CMs (e.g., Ikeda, 1983; Hewins et al., 2014).

3.2.4 Phyllosilicates in chondrules

Mesostasis in chondrules also contains phyllosilicate, and their abundance depends on the chondrite as mentioned before. The average analytical total of phyllosilicate-dominated areas is 85.5 wt.%, which is much lower than that of matrix as mentioned later. Such total weight percent supports that they are phyllosilicates. Their compositions suggest that they are mixtures of serpentine and saponite (Fig. 4), and similar to those in other CMs, such as Murchison, and COs (this work; Ikeda, 1983).
3.2.5 Other minor minerals

Melilite in refractory inclusions is enriched in the gehlenite component (Geh\textsubscript{77-99}). Spinel in inclusions is nearly pure MgAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, containing only small amounts of FeO (<0.4 wt.%) and Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (<0.7 wt.%). Type I chondrules occasionally contain Mg-Al spinel (50-71 wt.% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and 0.4-5% FeO wt.%), and type II chondrules contain chromite (30-55 wt.% Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and 18-28 wt.% FeO). Type II chondrules contain rare phosphates, most of which are no more than a few microns in diameter. One of these was identified as merrillite from the composition (Table 3). Ca-carbonate is observed in a few chondrules of A 12085. Small Ca-carbonate grains were also observed in a clast in A 12169. Framboidal magnetite occurs in a clast in A 12085.

3.2.6 Fe-Ni metal and sulfides

Table 4 gives representative compositions of Fe-Ni metal and sulfides. Fe-Ni metal is divided into kamacite (<7.5 wt.% Ni) and Ni-rich metal (Kimura et al., 2008). Kamacite and Ni-rich metal contain <0.6 wt.% and 0.2-2.5 wt.% Co, and 0.3-7.4 wt.% and 7.5-46.5 wt.% Ni, respectively. Ni-depleted metal (0.3 wt.% Ni) was found within dusty olivines in a chondrule in A 12085. From the occurrence and composition, this metal seems to be a reduction product (Leroux et al., 2003). Fe-Ni metal contains <1.0 wt.% Si, <2.6 wt.% P, and <1.5 wt.% Cr, which is consistent with other CMs that have experienced low degrees of heating (Kimura et al., 2011). A few grains are rich in P (1.9-2.6 wt.%), but phosphides do not appear to be present. A positive correlation exists between Ni and Co abundances measured in metal grains present within the matrices of these meteorites (Fig. 5). Such a trend was also found in other unheated CMs and the ungrouped chondrite Acfer 094 (Kimura et al., 2008).
Troilite, pyrrhotite, and pentlandite were observed in these three chondrites. Pyrrhotite and pentlandite contain 0.2-2.9 wt.% Ni and <0.3 wt.% Co, and 16-34 wt.% and 0.3-1.4 wt.%, respectively.

3.3 Matrix

Table 3 and Fig. 6 show the average compositions of matrices in the three chondrites. These compositions overlap with those in CMs, COs, and Acfer 094. They plot along the serpentine line, which might indicate that serpentine is the major component of the matrices. However, the average totals of the matrices by EPMA are 90-96 wt.%. These totals are higher than those of phyllosilicates. Such high totals have previously been reported in the CM NWA 11024 that experienced secondary heating (Ebert et al., 2019). In contrast to NWA 11024 there is little evidence for heating of the three Asuka samples. The average apparent S weight percent in the matrices of the Asuka samples is 4-5 wt.%. Such high S contents are consistent with abundant sulfide grains of submicron size in the matrices.

3.4 X-ray diffraction

We measured the XRD patterns for the three Asuka CM chondrites. No phyllosilicates or tochilinite were detected in A 12169 and A 12236 (Fig. 7a), in spite of the fact that rare or minor phyllosilicates are present within their chondrules. Only A 12085 contains a small amount of cronstedtite (2θ=12.3°) and tochilinite (2θ=16.4°). From the high modal abundance of the matrix, we suggest that phyllosilicate and tochilinite are mainly present in the matrix of A 12085, although phyllosilicate does also occur in the chondrules of A 12085. These relationships suggest that the degree of alteration of A 12085 is somewhat higher than that of the other two chondrites. No
detection of phyllosilicate in A 12169 and A 12236 by the XRD indicates that their matrices are mainly comprised of anhydrous minerals or amorphous phases. Since typical TCI is not observed in A 12085, the occurrence of tochilinite is not yet evident. We need to undertake a further TEM study to clarify this.

Olivine and clinoenstatite were identified by their XRD patterns (Figs. 7a and 7b). Figure 7b shows that fayalitic olivine is encountered only in A 12169. The peak position, 31.9°, indicates \( \sim \text{Fe}_{\sim 50} \) olivine after the method by Imae and Nakamuta (2018). A small amount of orthoenstatite is also seen in these chondrites. Kamacite, taenite, and troilite were commonly identified in the three chondrites (Fig. 7c). Pyrrhotite was not detected by the XRD, although minor pyrrhotite is identified by EPMA analysis. The major sulfide in these chondrites is troilite. Howard et al. (2009) identified magnetite in CMs by the XRD technique. However, this phase was not identified in these three chondrites, although rare magnetite was observed by FESEM as mentioned above.

### 3.5 Raman spectroscopy

The degree of heating experienced by the meteorites was evaluated using Raman spectroscopy of matrix grains. For unequilibrated ordinary chondrites (UOC), the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the D-band decreases with increasing heating temperature, and the intensity ratio \( I_D/I_G \) increases (Quirico et al. 2003). This constraint has been successfully applied to type 2 and type 3 carbonaceous chondrites (Bonal et al. 2006; Bonal et al., 2007; Quirico et al. 2014).

The matrix Raman spectra from the three chondrites in this study exhibit first-order carbon D- and G-bands at \( \sim 1350 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), and \( \sim 1600 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), respectively. Average \( I_D/I_G \) ratios are 0.836, 0.848, 0.841, and 0.931, and FWHM-D are 351.6, 355.8, 343.8, and 269.1 cm\(^{-1}\), for A 12085, A 12169, A
12236, and Murchison, respectively. These data are plotted in Fig. 8. All of the Asuka samples show broad FWHM-D and low $I_D/I_G$, and plot within the area of primitive CR chondrites (Komatsu et al., 2018). The matrix Raman characteristics of these three samples are distinguished from other CMs, including Murchison (subtype 2.5), heated CMs, and COs and CVs of higher petrologic types, indicating that they experienced very little heating.

We also measured some additional phases in these chondrites. Some mesostasis phases are plagioclase with distinct peaks at 505 cm$^{-1}$, and 487 cm$^{-1}$, and glass with a broad peak at ~500 cm$^{-1}$. We also identified calcite with a distinct peak at 1089 cm$^{-1}$.

3.6 Bulk compositions

Table 5 shows the bulk chemical composition of A 12236. Figure 9a shows Al/Mn versus $(Zn/Mn)\times100$ ratios of the samples. A 12236 plots within the area of CMs. Figure 9b shows the CI-normalized bulk composition of A 12236, compared with those of Paris (CM2.7), Murchison (CM2.5), Nogoya (CM2.2), NWA 11024 (dehydrated CM), and CM-mean. A 12236 has a quite similar composition to those of other CMs, from refractory to volatile elements, except for a small depletion of Na and Pb. NWA 11024 experienced significant terrestrial weathering and is enriched in some elements, such as Li, K, and Pb. This enrichment is not apparent in A 12236 and other CMs. It is clear that A 12236 has bulk chemical composition typical of CMs.

3.7 Oxygen isotopes

The three Asuka CMs analyzed in this study have the following oxygen isotope compositions: A 12169: $\delta^{17}O$ -4.07‰; $\delta^{18}O$ 1.32‰; $\Delta^{17}O$ -4.75‰; A 12085: $\delta^{17}O$ -4.83‰; $\delta^{18}O$ -0.31‰; $\Delta^{17}O$ -4.67‰; A 12236: $\delta^{17}O$ -4.33‰; $\delta^{18}O$ 0.80‰; $\Delta^{17}O$ -4.75‰. These analyses are shown in Fig.
10 in relation to analyses of CM2, CO3 and anomalous C2 chondrites taken from the literature (full references to data sources are given in the caption to Fig. 10). The three Auska CMs plot away from the field of “normal” CM2 chondrites (Clayton and Mayeda, 1999; Haack et al., 2012; Hewins et al., 2014) and close to the field of CO3 falls (Alexander et al., 2018). The gap between the COs and CMs, where the Asuka CMs plot, is occupied by a range of C2 and anomalous CM chondrites (Greenwood et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2019). A number of these isotopically anomalous CM-like meteorites, such as LEW 85311 (Lee et al., 2019) and NWA 5958 show many mineralogical and petrological features typical of CMs, but like the Asuka CMs described here, have experienced only limited degrees of aqueous alteration. It therefore seems likely that the CM group extends from almost pristine examples that plot close to the CO3 field in Fig. 10, to highly aqueous altered examples that have isotopically heavy oxygen isotope compositions (top right corner of Fig. 10). EET 96029 (Lee et al., 2016) provides additional evidence in support of this relationship, containing areas which are both minimally altered (EET 96029 AK) and other areas which are heavily altered (EET 96029 OU). A linear regression line through the anomalous C2 samples in Fig. 10 ($y = -4.17 + 0.67x$ $R^2 = 0.95$) passes through the “normal” CM2 field. These relationships are consistent with the CM parent body having experienced highly variable levels of aqueous alteration. In addition, the fact that mildly altered samples, such as the Asuka CMs and NWA 5958, plot close to the CO3 field, and in the case of LEW 85311 actually plots within it, supports the original suggestion of Clayton and Mayeda (1999) that the anhydrous CM precursor material was CO-like, at least in terms of its oxygen isotope composition.

4. Discussion

4.1 Classification of chemical group
At first, we discuss the chemical group classification of these Asuka chondrites in comparison with the other C chondrites. They have characteristic features as follows; 1) The modal abundances of chondrule and matrix (Table 1) are similar to those in CMs (Weisberg et al., 2006). The especially high abundance of matrix characterizes CM chondrites. 2) Chondrule size distribution (Table 2) resembles that of CMs (Weisberg et al., 2006). 3) Refractory inclusions are commonly encountered, and their abundances are within the range of CMs (Table 1). 4) Fe-Ni metal is present, although it is more abundant than typical CMs (Table 1). 5) The Fe-Mn distribution in olivines in the Asuka samples is also consistent with that of “normal” CMs (Fig. 3a), although COs have a similar trend (Schrader and Davidson, 2017). 6) Porphyritic and type I chondrules are highly abundant (Table 2), which is also the case for CMs (Jones, 2012). 7) The bulk composition of A 12236 is close to those of other CMs (Fig. 9a and 9b).

These features distinguish these three chondrites from those of other major C chondrite groups such as COs and CVs, and ungrouped C chondrites, such as Acfer 094 (Newton et al., 1995) and Y-82094 (Kimura et al., 2014). A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236 are, therefore, classified as belonging to the CM group. This classification is further supported by the abundances and isotopic compositions of H, C, and N in A 12236 by Nittler et al. (2020).

4.2 Primitive natures and secondary processes

4.2.1 Aqueous alteration

Rubin et al. (2007) and Rubin (2015) suggested that CMs are classified into subtypes 2.7-2.0, based on many petrologic criteria that reflect the alteration degree. Here we discuss the alteration degree of the Asuka CMs studied here on the basis of the subtype criteria.
Chondrule mesostasis: The mesostases in chondrules of subtype 2.7-2.0 are replaced by phyllosilicates (Rubin, 2007). The chondrules in the Asuka CMs also contain phyllosilicates, with the abundances increasing from A 12169 through A 12236 to A 12085. In most chondrules of A 12169, phyllosilicate, if it present, replaces the primary mesostasis only in the peripheries. On the other hand, primary mesostasis phases (feldspar and glass) are abundant, not only in A 12169, but also in A 12236 and A 12085. All these results suggest low degrees of alteration, lower than in subtype 2.7.

Matrix phyllosilicates: CMs of subtypes 2.7-2.0 contain abundant phyllosilicates in their matrices. Phyllosilicates were identified only in the matrix of A 12085 by XRD. In A 12169 and A 12236, phyllosilicate were not detected in the matrices by XRD. Noguchi et al. (2020) observed no phyllosilicates in the matrix of A 12169 in TEM observations. These results indicate a lower degree of alteration for A 12169 and A 12236 than in those of subtypes 2.7-2.0.

Matrix compositions: The matrix compositions, MgO/FeO and S/SiO$_2$ weight ratios, can be used to classify the subtypes and increase and decrease with decreasing subtypes, respectively (Rubin et al., 2007). The MgO/FeO and S/SiO$_2$ ratios are 0.59 and 0.14, 0.51 and 0.12, and 0.56 and 0.16, in A 12169, A 12236, and A 12085, respectively. These values overlap with or are higher than those in subtypes 2.7-2.0 (0.35-0.7 for MgO/FeO and 0.05-0.18 for S/SiO$_2$).

Abundance of metal: The metal abundances in CMs decrease with decreasing subtype. The modal abundances of Fe-Ni metal in the Asuka CMs are 1.2-2.3 vol.%, which are similar to or higher than those in even subtype 2.7 (<2 vol.%). In particular, metal (2.3 vol.%) is much more abundant in A 12169 than any known CM.

Phenocrysts in chondrules: Alteration features in phenocryst are common in subtypes 2.3-2.0. On the other hand, all chondrules in the Asuka CMs have no any altered phenocrysts.
TCI: The abundance and occurrence of TCI and its composition are also criteria for the classification into subtypes. However, the Asuka CMs do not contain typical TCI. Tsuchiyama et al. (2020) reported possible precursors of TCI, Fe-rich hydrous silicate objects, in the matrix of A 12169 by TEM observation. Although fine-grained aggregates of sulfide and anhydrous silicates are observed, especially in A 12085, they are not TCI.

Sulfide: Pyrrhotite and pentlandite in CMs and CRs are proposed to be primary sulfide phases that originated under high-temperature conditions (Schrader et al., 2016; Singerling and Brearley, 2018). A 12169 contains such an assemblage (Fig. 2i), and they may represent high-temperature products. On the other hand, the abundances of pentlandite and pyrrhotite are also the criteria for the subtypes, and pentlandite increases with decreasing subtype (Rubin et al., 2007). The major sulfide is troilite in the Asuka CMs. On the other hand, troilite hardly remains in the other CMs, except in heated CMs (Nakamura, 2005).

Carbonate: Carbonate and its composition characterize the alteration degree. Ca-carbonate is encountered in subtypes 2.7-2.0. However, it is rarely encountered in these chondrites. Chondrules in A 12085 do contain some Ca-carbonate, whereas a tiny grain of Ca-carbonate occurs only in a clast in A 12169.

Thus, most of these criteria for subtypes 2.7-2.0 cannot be applied to the classification of A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236. Instead, many characteristic features of these chondrites indicate lower degrees of aqueous alteration for these chondrites, suggesting higher subtypes than 2.7. We will discuss the subtypes for the Asuka CMs in a later section. The common occurrence of unaltered melilite, especially in A 12169, provides additional support for these chondrites having experienced very limited degrees of aqueous alteration, because melilite is easily altered by secondary processes (Greenwood et al., 1994; Russell et al., 1998; Rubin, 1998). The degree of
alteration increases from A 12169, through A 12236, to A 12085. From the occurrence of phyllosilicate and carbonate, the degree of aqueous alteration is higher for A 12085 than A 12236 and lower than for a CM2.7 such as Paris and others which abundantly contains phyllosilicate and TCI (Hewins et al., 2014; Rubin 2007).

4.2.2 Secondary heating

Many CM or CM-related chondrites experienced heating (dehydration) after the aqueous alteration. Nakamura (2005) and Kimura et al. (2011) proposed the classification criteria for the degree of heating, such as decomposition of phyllosilicates and sulfide texture. A wide variety of silicate compositions indicate that these chondrites did not experience significant prolonged heating. From mineralogy, A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236 belong to stage I of Nakamura (2005), suggesting that they did not experience heating higher than 250 °C. This is supported by the occurrence of glass and clinoenstatite in chondrules, and the lack of ferroan rims on AOA olivines. Feldspar does not show devitrification texture with high-Ca pyroxene. Such an occurrence supports that proposition that little or no heating took place.

Rare plessitic features, a positive correlation between Ni and Co, and the compositional distribution of Si, P, and Cr in Fe-Ni metal are only observed in very primitive chondrites, such as Acfer 094 that did not experience secondary heating (Kimura et al., 2008 and 2011). The Asuka CMs have all these features in their Fe-Ni metal.

In heated CMs, pyrrhotite commonly has pentlandite blebs or lamella. On the other hand, such blebs and lamella in pyrrhotite are rare in unheated CMs (Category A after Kimura et al., 2011). The Asuka CMs show similar features to unheated CMs (Kimura et al., 2011). Therefore, the Asuka CMs are classified as Category A.
The Raman spectral features of the matrices also indicate low degrees of heating. The Asuka CMs plot within the range of unheated CR chondrites (Komatsu et al., 2018), and are distinct from those of heated CMs, and metamorphosed CO, CV, and ordinary chondrites (Fig. 8). The matrices of the Asuka CMs contain abundant S due to the presence of submicron sulfide grains. Abundant and finely disseminated S in matrix is a feature of other primitive (almost unheated) chondrites (e.g., Grossman and Brearley, 2005).

We conclude that the Asuka CMs did not experience any heating. Therefore, we suggest that the absence or rare occurrence of phyllosilicates and TCIs in the Asuka CMs are not the result of thermal decomposition, but rather reflect the very limited aqueous alteration that these chondrites have experienced.

4.2.3 Pristine CMs

Recently some CM or CM-related chondrites have been reported to have mineralogies consistent with having experienced relatively low degrees of aqueous alteration, as mentioned before. However, Paris, EET 96029, and LEW 85311 are classified as CM2.7, and still contain abundant phyllosilicates (Hewins et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2019). CM-related NWA 5958 contains phyllosilicate and TCI. NWA 11024 is classified as type 3, but it experienced secondary dehydration after weak aqueous alteration. All these chondrites seem to show higher degrees of alteration than A 12169 and others. Therefore, these Asuka chondrites studied here, especially A 12169, are the most primitive CM so far reported.

Noguchi et al. (2020) found predominant amorphous materials with enstatite whisker and no phyllosilicate in the matrix of A 12169 in a TEM study. They suggested that the alteration degree of A 12169 is lower than Paris. Nittler et al. (2020) suggested that A 12236 is the most pristine CM.
from the isotopic compositions and abundance of H, C, and N, and abundant presolar grains. All these results are consistent with our conclusions.

4.3 Classification of petrologic type

All CM chondrites were originally classified as petrologic type 2 (Van Schmus and Wood, 1967). Later many different criteria were proposed to classify varying degrees of alteration that were experienced by the CMs, such as the matrix features (McSween, 1979), mineralogical index (Browning et al., 1996), petrological features (Rubin et al., 2007), the analysis of H, C, and N (Alexander et al., 2013), and phyllosilicate fraction (Howard et al., 2015). Among them, the scheme of Rubin et al. (2007) is widely used as it provides a relatively straightforward means of classifying the CMs and accordingly we use it here to assign the Asuka CMs to their appropriate subtypes.

Rubin et al. (2007) and Rubin (2015) proposed subtypes for CMs that were not heated. Since the Asuka CMs studied here are not only unheated, but also unbrecciated, they are suitable samples for the application of the Rubin et al. (2007) and Rubin (2015) schemes. The Asuka CMs should be assigned subtypes that are higher than 2.7 as discussed above. Rubin et al. (2007) hypothesized that CM3.0 samples would have some distinct features that would help to identify them, such as the occurrence of chondrule glass and minor phyllosilicate. Based on the results of our study of the Asuka CMs, we have modified the scheme of Rubin et al. (2007) and propose the following criteria for CM3.0 to 2.8 (Table 6).

CM3.0: The most distinguishing feature of this subtype is the abundant primary glass and feldspar in chondrule mesostasis. Phenocrysts in chondrules do not show any alteration features. Phyllosilicates are rarely encountered in chondrules. A small amount of phyllosilicate is also
observed in other primitive chondrites, such as ALH 77307 (CO3.03). However, no chondrules
with completely altered mesostasis were observed. The matrix has no phyllosilicate, and the
compositions, MgO/FeO and S/SiO$_2$ ratios are >0.5 and >0.1, respectively. TCI and carbonate are
absent in them. Although the A 12169 section contain tiny Ca-carbonate, it is only in a clast. Fe-Ni
metal is abundant, >2 vol.%, in chondrules and matrix. The major sulfide is troilite, although
minor pyrrhotite-pentlandite is present. Harju et al. (2014) proposed the criteria for hypothetical
“CR3”, such as abundant glass in chondrules, no phenocryst alteration, and no phyllosilicate in the
matrix. These criteria are nearly the same as those for CM3.0 presented here.

CM2.9: The primary mesostasis abundantly survives in chondrules. However, about half of
the chondrules have partly to completely altered mesostasis. Phyllosilicates are not detected by the
XRD because of their minor abundance. No occurrence of TCI and carbonate, and the abundance
of troilite is nearly the same as subtype 3.0, although the metal abundance is 1-2 vol. %.

CM 2.8: In chondrules, phyllosilicate is more abundant than primary mesostasis, although
phenocrysts are not yet altered. Only a minor amount of phyllosilicate is present, mainly in the
matrix. Tochilinite is also detected by the XRD, although typical TCI is not observed. The metal
abundance is nearly the same as CM2.9. Troilite is still the major sulfide mineral. Carbonate may
be present.

From these criteria, A 12169, A 12236, and A 12085 are classified as subtype 3.0, 2.9, and 2.8,
respectively. Although the three Asuka CMs have similar features such as petrography and oxygen
isotopic compositions, the alteration degrees (subtypes) are evidently different and they were
recovered from the wide area, as mentioned above. Therefore, it is an open question as whether
these samples are paired and we cannot totally exclude the possibility that they represent different
lithologies from the same breccia.
4.4 Primitive features of CM chondrites

Most CMs so far described experienced some combination of aqueous alteration, heating, and brecciation, and have lost many of their primary features. On the other hand, the Asuka CMs, in particular A 12169 CM3.0, hardly experienced these secondary processes. The terrestrial weathering degrees also low. Therefore, these chondrites provide a unique opportunity to explore the primary features of CM chondrites, as well as potential genetic relationships amongst the CM-CO clan chondrites.

From the characteristic features of the Asuka CMs, we infer that unmodified chondrules and refractory inclusions in CMs have many features that are common to all C chondrite groups, such as abundant porphyritic chondrules with unaltered phenocrysts that are predominantly type I, pure forsteritic olivine in AOAs, melilite-bearing CAIs, and the occurrence of Fe-Ni metal and troilite. Primary matrix materials consisted of anhydrous minerals or amorphous phases. Amorphous materials have also been reported in the matrices of some primitive CMs and COs (e.g., Brearley, 1993; Leroux et al. 2015; Davidson et al. 2019). Fayalitic olivine (~Fa$_{50}$) was only detected in A 12169 by XRD, although Noguchi et al. (2020) also reported similar olivine from the matrix of A 12169 in TEM study. Such ferroan olivine was also discovered in the matrices of other primitive C chondrites (e.g., Brearley, 1993; Scott and Krot, 2006). All these primary features were partly to completely lost from most CMs during aqueous alteration.

The CMs, including the Asuka CMs, have lower abundances of refractory inclusions and opaque minerals but higher abundances of matrix when compared to all other types of C chondrites. These features should be unique original features of CMs from the stage of precursor materials. A 12236 (CM2.9), Paris (CM2.7), Murchison (2.5), and Nogoya (2.2) have quite similar
bulk compositions to each other, in spite of the wide variation in degree of aqueous alteration. This suggests that the bulk chemistry was not changed during aqueous alteration, as suggested by Rubin et al. (2007).

Kallemeyn and Wasson (1982) proposed that the CM and CO chondrites shared the same parent body and constituted a CM-CO clan. However, since CMs were aqueously altered unlike the COs, it has been difficult to compare the precursor materials to both groups. However, we can suggest that the primary materials of the CMs and COs were different from one another, especially chondrule size, the abundances of matrix, inclusions, and opaque minerals, and bulk compositions, as also suggested by Schrader and Davidson (2017) and Chaumard et al. (2018). Although the CMs and COs had anhydrous minerals with similar oxygen isotopic compositions (Kallemeyn and Wasson, 1982), COs contained the smaller chondrules and lower abundances of the matrix and refractory inclusions than primitive CMs. Therefore, we suggest that CMs and COs were derived from different parent bodies. Later, COs experienced very mild aqueous alteration and varying degrees of thermal metamorphism (e.g., Sears et al., 1991). On the other hand, most CMs were weakly to heavily subjected to aqueous alteration. Later some CMs experienced varying degrees of heating in their parent body. The results obtained here indicate that the CM parent body experienced very variable degrees of aqueous alteration.

While the CO and CM chondrites appear to show clear mineralogical and petrological differences, from an oxygen isotope perspective, both groups exhibit clear affinities (Fig. 10). The precursor material to the CMs, appears to have been isotopically nearly identical to that of the CO falls. It appears likely that both groups originated from a similar mix of primary components, with the likely distinction that CMs contained a higher content of volatile constituents (water ice?). This suggests that the parent bodies to both groups may have accreted in a similar region of the nebula.
It has been suggested by Chaumard et al. (2018) that chondrules in COs and CMs may have formed in the same disk location, but that the CO parent body accreted before that of the CM. They suggested that between these two accretion events the snow line may have moved inwards, such that the CO parent body formed without a significant water ice fraction, whereas the CM parent body did. Our study of the Asuka CMs, particularly their oxygen isotope compositions, further highlights the strong relationship between CMs and COs, while also indicating that they are probably not both derived from a single heterogeneous parent body.

5. Conclusions

We studied three Asuka carbonaceous chondrites. They are CMs, based on their modal compositions, chondrule size distributions, and bulk compositions. They experienced minimal to weak secondary processes such as aqueous alteration and heating. The degree of alteration increases from A 12169, through A 12236, to A 12085, and we propose that they are classified as subtypes 3.0 to 2.8, respectively.

We suggest that these chondrites, especially A 12169, are the most primitive CMs so far described. These new CMs provide a unique opportunity to investigate the primary features of CMs, as well as the genetic relationships of CM-CO clan chondrites. While showing strong affinities in terms of their oxygen isotope compositions, CMs and COs were probably derived from different parent bodies.

The CMs experienced complicated parent body processes. However, the classification scheme proposed here is useful, not only for classification purposes, but the exploration of the precursor materials and the history and evolution of the CM parent body.
The asteroids Ryugu and Bennu are related to hydrated chondrites, especially CMs (e.g., Hamilton et al., 2019; Morota et al., 2020), although Ryugu may have experienced heating by the Sun. On the other hand, the Asuka CMs studied here hardly experienced hydration and heating. However, as breccias are common in CM chondrites (Metzler et al., 1992) and the surface materials of the Ryugu and Bennu are highly variable, we expect that returned samples may contain some of the least altered materials, comparable to the Asuka CMs. Therefore, these chondrites are also of particular significance in view of the imminent return of sample material from the asteroids Ryugu and Bennu.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1. Backscattered electron (BSE) images of a) A 12085, 41-1 (width of sample 12.8 mm), showing chondrules among matrix), b) A 12169, 31-1 (6.7 mm), containing fusion crusts in both sides of the section (gray areas), and c) combined elemental (Mg-Ca-Al) map of A 12169 showing CAIs, and d) BSE image of A 12236, 51-1 (12.6 mm).

Fig. 2. BSE images of constituent components. a) A melilite (Mel) -rich CAI with spinel (Sp) and high-Ca pyroxene (Hpx) in A 12169. The width is 210 µm. b) An AOA, consisting of forsteritic olivine (Ol) with interstitial anorthite and high-Ca pyroxene (An+Hpx) and kamacite (Kam) in A 12236. The width is 280 µm. c) A Type I chondrule in A 12169, mainly consisting of phenocrysts of olivine and low-Ca pyroxene (Lpx), among feldspathic mesostasis (light gray). The width is 0.76 mm. d) A Type I chondrule in A 12169 consisting of olivine, low- and high-Ca pyroxene, and glassy mesostasis (Gla). The width is 240 µm. e) A type II chondrule in A 12085, consisting of ferroan olivine with abundant relict forsteritic olivine (dark), surrounded by fine-grained rim. The width is 0.65 mm. f) A peripheral part of type I chondrule in A 12085. Mesostasis is replaced by phyllosilicate (Phy). The width is 170 µm. g) Homogeneous kamacite spherules in a type I chondrule of A 12236. The width is 210 µm. h) A matrix area of A 12169, consisting of very fine-grained silicate phases with abundant sulfide of submicron in size (bright). The width is 20 µm. i) A sulfide grain in A 12169, consisting of pyrrhotite (Po) with small amounts of pentlandite (Pn). The width is 190 µm. j) A type I chondrule and matrix area of A 12085, including fine-grained aggregates of Fe-sulfide with silicate phases (Sul+Sil). The width is 210 µm.
Fig. 3. Olivine compositions. a) Fe vs. Mn plot of olivine from the Asuka chondrites, in comparison with that of Murchison (CM2.5). b) Mean Cr$_2$O$_3$ vs. $\sigma$-Cr$_2$O$_3$ plot in ferroan olivine for Asuka chondrites. The diagram and CO trend are after Grossman and Brearley (2005) and Schrader and Davidson (2017). A dotted circle shows the area of CO3.0 and CM chondrites.

Fig. 4. The mesostasis composition on (Si+Al)-Mg-Fe diagram (atomic ratio) for the Asuka chondrites and other CM and CO chondrites.

Fig. 5. Ni vs. Co (wt.%) plot of Fe-Ni metal grains in the Asuka chondrites. The dotted line shows the CI chondritic Co/Ni ratio after Anders and Grevesse (1989).

Fig. 6. Matrix compositions of the Asuka chondrites in atomic (Si+Al)-Mg-Fe plot, compared with other CMs, COs, and Acfer 094 (this work; Metzler et al., 1992; Zolensky et al., 1993; Marrocchi et al., 2014; Wasson and Rubin, 2010).

Fig. 7. a) X-ray diffraction of 2 theta, 0-30° for the Asuka chondrites, in comparison with A 12248 (CM2.0). b) Diffraction of 2 theta, 29-33.5°. c) Diffraction of 2 theta, 42.8-45.2°. Ant=antigorite, Cro=cronstedtite, Cen=clinoenstatite, Fa=fayalitic olivine, Kam=kamacite, Oen=orthoenstatite, Tae=taenite, Toc=tochilinite, and Tr=troilite.

Fig. 8. Spectral parameters of Raman bands of carbonaceous matter from the matrix of the Asuka chondrites and Murchison. Dotted areas summarize data from the other chondrites. CRs, COs, CVs, and UOCs are after Komatsu et al. (2018). CMs-B is after Buseman et al. (2007), and CRs&CMs-Q is after Quirico et al. (2014).

Fig. 9. a) Al/Mn versus (Zn/Mn)x100 atomic ratios of the Asuka chondrites. Dotted areas for chondrites are after Krot et al. (2014). b) The CI-normalized bulk composition of A 12236 (CM2.9), compared with those of Paris (CM2.7), Murchison (CM2.5), Nogoya (CM2.2).
NWA 11024 (dehydrated CM), and CM-mean. The data of Paris and Nogoya are after Hewins et al. (2014), Murchison after Wolf and Palme (2001) and Hewins et al. (2014), NWA 11024 after Ebert et al. (2019), and CM-mean after Lodders and Fegley (1998). In NWA 11024, the data of Sr, Ba, and U, are not plotted because of terrestrial weathering effect (Stelzner et al., 1999). The condensation temperatures for elements are after Lodders and Fegley (1998).

Fig. 10. Oxygen three isotope diagram showing the relationship between the Asuka CMs, anomalous C2 chondrites, “normal” CM2 chondrites and CO3 chondrites. The regression line shown was calculated using only the analyses of anomalous C2 samples. TFL = Terrestrial Fractionation Line. CCAM = Carbonaceous Chondrite Anhydrous Mineral line (Clayton and Mayeda, 1999). Data sources – “normal” CM2s: Clayton and Mayeda, 1999); Haack et al., 2012; Hewins et al., 2014, CO3 chondrite falls: Alexander et al. (2018); Anomalous C2 chondrites Clayton and Mayeda, 1999; with the exception of: EET 85311 “OU” and EET 85311 “AK” (Lee et al., 2016); LEW 85311 “Lee” (Lee et al., 2019); NWA 5958 (Jacquet et al., 2016).
Table 1. Modal abundance (vol. %) of components in A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236, compared with other CMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Subtype</th>
<th>Chondrule</th>
<th>Refractory inclusion</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Sulfide</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 12085</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 12169</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Hewins et al. (2014); Rubin (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EET 96029</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Lee et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWA 11024</td>
<td>&quot;3.0&quot;</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Ebert et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Weisberg et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Characteristic features of chondrules in A 12085, A 12169, and A 12236, compared with other CMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Average diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Porphyritic chondrules (%)</th>
<th>Type I chondrule(^1) (%)</th>
<th>Alteration (%)(^2)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 12085</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 12169</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hewins et al. (2014); Rubin (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EET 96029</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lee et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWA 11024</td>
<td>0.15-0.3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90-90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ebert et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weisberg et al. (2006); Jones (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Percentage of Type I in all chondrules.  
\(^2\) See alteration degree in the text.
Table 3. Representative compositions of silicate and oxide phases and the average matrix composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Sample Occurrence</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>V₂O₅</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>NiO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Wo</th>
<th>En</th>
<th>Fs</th>
<th>An</th>
<th>Ab</th>
<th>Or</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>A 12169 Chondrule I</td>
<td>46.44 b.d.</td>
<td>33.53 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.30 b.d.</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.77 b.d.</td>
<td>19.61 b.d.</td>
<td>0.05 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>100.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>A 12236 Chondrule II</td>
<td>64.02 0.05</td>
<td>23.08 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>1.02 b.d.</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4.45 b.d.</td>
<td>8.66 0.30 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>101.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>A 12169 Chondrule I</td>
<td>51.60 0.06</td>
<td>24.40 0.24 b.d.</td>
<td>1.25 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>8.42 13.75 b.d.</td>
<td>1.55 0.10 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>101.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melilite</td>
<td>A 12085 CAI</td>
<td>22.38 0.15</td>
<td>35.55 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>40.91 b.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>A 12085 Chondrule I</td>
<td>42.51 0.09</td>
<td>0.16 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.11 b.d.</td>
<td>56.78 0.42 b.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>A 12085 Chondrule II</td>
<td>37.05 b.d.</td>
<td>0.30 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>31.90 b.d.</td>
<td>30.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>A 12085 AOA</td>
<td>42.87 0.09</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.29 b.d.</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>56.48</td>
<td>0.05 b.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>A 12085 Chondrule I</td>
<td>39.55 0.05</td>
<td>1.92 0.35 b.d.</td>
<td>20.92 b.d.</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>14.85 1.60 b.d.</td>
<td>0.34 0.18 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>A 12236 Chondrule I</td>
<td>31.15 b.d.</td>
<td>12.68 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>31.88 b.d.</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>85.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrilite</td>
<td>A 12085 Chondrule II</td>
<td>34.34 0.09</td>
<td>0.30 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.11 b.d.</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>56.48</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>100.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Ca pyroxene A 12085 Chondrule I</td>
<td>52.45 0.51</td>
<td>4.28 1.01 b.d.</td>
<td>2.22 b.d.</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>25.01 13.02 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>98.85</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Ca pyroxene A 12236 Chondrule II</td>
<td>51.03 0.16</td>
<td>0.62 1.03 b.d.</td>
<td>17.14 b.d.</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>11.01 16.89 b.d.</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>98.66</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kushiroite</td>
<td>A 12169 CAI</td>
<td>36.46 0.03</td>
<td>37.95</td>
<td>0.26 b.d.</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.11 b.d.</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>37.53</td>
<td>0.54 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>101.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Ca pyroxene A 12169 Chondrule I</td>
<td>58.80 0.28</td>
<td>0.99 0.40 b.d.</td>
<td>0.52 b.d.</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>37.53 0.54 b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>99.13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Ca pyroxene A 12169 Chondrule II</td>
<td>49.92 b.d.</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.43 b.d.</td>
<td>34.78</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>12.48</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>98.41</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromite</td>
<td>A 12085 Chondrule II</td>
<td>0.25 0.96</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>45.56 0.64</td>
<td>0.37 b.d.</td>
<td>26.42</td>
<td>3.02 b.d.</td>
<td>0.11 b.d.</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinel</td>
<td>A 12169 AOA</td>
<td>0.25 0.09</td>
<td>72.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.25 b.d.</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>100.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>A 12085 Matrix</td>
<td>29.04 0.06</td>
<td>2.65 0.37</td>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>91.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>A 12169 Matrix</td>
<td>31.27 0.27</td>
<td>2.60 0.38</td>
<td>29.63</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>17.48</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>22.01</td>
<td>95.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>A 12236 Matrix</td>
<td>29.35 0.07</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>30.03</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>89.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b.d.: below detection limits (3 sigma), 0.03 for SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, CaO, and SO₃, 0.04 for TiO₂, V₂O₅, Na₂O, K₂O, and P₂O₅, 0.08 for NiO and MnO, and 0.10 for Cr₂O₃ and ZnO.
* Matrix data was averaged composition.
Table 4. Representative compositions of opaque minerals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kamacite</td>
<td>A 12085</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>93.25</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>99.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamacite</td>
<td>A 12085</td>
<td>Chondrule</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>92.81</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>99.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamacite</td>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>Chondrule</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>92.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>98.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamacite</td>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>Chondrule</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>93.31</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>99.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-rich metal</td>
<td>A 12085</td>
<td>Chondrule</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>67.26</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>29.83</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>99.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-rich metal</td>
<td>A 12169</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>55.76</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>40.27</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>98.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-rich metal</td>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>66.09</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>30.86</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>99.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>A 12169</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>32.77</td>
<td>34.41</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>30.62</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>98.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>Chondrule</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>32.73</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>38.63</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>25.78</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>98.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>A 12169</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>36.87</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>60.53</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>98.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>58.76</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>98.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troilite</td>
<td>A 12169</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>35.69</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>61.68</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>97.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troilite</td>
<td>A 12236</td>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>36.32</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>98.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b.d.: below detection limits (3 sigma), 0.03 for Si and P, and 0.05 for S, Co, Ni, Cr, Fe, and Cu.
Table 5. Major and trace element abundances for A 12236.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ICP-AES</th>
<th>ICP-MS</th>
<th>ICP-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>3177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>383</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>0.0987</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>66.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0785</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0809</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0352</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.0398</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>µg/g</td>
<td>0.00957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petrologic subtype</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>2.9</th>
<th>2.8</th>
<th>2.7</th>
<th>2.6</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>2.4</th>
<th>2.3</th>
<th>2.2</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chondrule mesostases</td>
<td>Primary meso</td>
<td>Primary meso</td>
<td>Primary meso</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix phyllosilicates</td>
<td>Rare or no</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix composition: MgO/“FeO”</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.35-0.43</td>
<td>0.35-0.43</td>
<td>0.35-0.43</td>
<td>0.35-0.43</td>
<td>0.50-0.70</td>
<td>0.50-0.70</td>
<td>0.50-0.70</td>
<td>0.50-0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix composition: S/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>&gt;0.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.1</td>
<td>0.10-0.18</td>
<td>0.10-0.18</td>
<td>0.10-0.16</td>
<td>0.10-0.16</td>
<td>0.07-0.08</td>
<td>0.07-0.08</td>
<td>0.05-0.07</td>
<td>0.05-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallic Fe-Ni (vol%)</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>0.03-0.30</td>
<td>0.03-0.30</td>
<td>0.03-0.30</td>
<td>0.03-0.30</td>
<td>≤0.02</td>
<td>≤0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenocrysts in chondrules</td>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>2-15% altered</td>
<td>15-85% altered</td>
<td>85-99% altered</td>
<td>Completely altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large TCI clumps (vol%)</td>
<td>No TCI</td>
<td>No TCI</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>5-20</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>15-40</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI composition: “FeO”/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>4.0-7.0</td>
<td>2.0-3.3</td>
<td>2.0-3.3</td>
<td>1.5-2.0</td>
<td>1.5-2.0</td>
<td>1.0-1.7</td>
<td>1.0-1.7</td>
<td>1.0-1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI composition: S/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.40-0.60</td>
<td>0.18-0.35</td>
<td>0.18-0.35</td>
<td>0.14-0.20</td>
<td>0.14-0.20</td>
<td>0.05-0.09</td>
<td>0.05-0.09</td>
<td>0.05-0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfide</td>
<td>Tro &gt; po + pn</td>
<td>Tro &gt; po + pn</td>
<td>Tro &gt; po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>Mainly Po + pn</td>
<td>Mainly Po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn + int</td>
<td>po + pn + int</td>
<td>Mainly pn + int</td>
<td>Mainly pn + int</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate</td>
<td>No or rare carbonate</td>
<td>No or rare carbonate</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
<td>Ca carbonate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary meso: primary feldspar and glass, tro: troilit, po: pyrrhotite, pn: pentlandite, int: sulfide grains with “intermediate” Ni/(Fe + Ni) ratios
Subtypes 2.7-2.0 are after Rubin (2015).
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