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We present a comprehensive study of water drops sliding down chemically heterogeneous surfaces formed by a periodic pattern of alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic stripes. Drops are found to undergo a stick-slip motion whose average speed is an order of magnitude smaller than that measured on a homogeneous surface having the same static contact angle. This motion is the result of the periodic deformations of the drop interface when crossing the stripes. Numerical simulations confirm this view and are used to elucidate the principles underlying the experimental observations.

Controlling and predicting the equilibrium and dynamical properties of drops on chemically heterogeneous surfaces is a major scientific challenge, relevant not only for fundamental research [1–4] but also for an ample variety of applications, particularly in droplet-based microfluidics [5–7]. Understanding the liquid dynamics is essential to design new smart coatings that, for example, guide the wetting drops along certain directions [8,9]. When drops are deposited on a surface functionalized with stripes of alternating wettability, they may assume elongated shapes, which are characterized by different contact angles in the directions perpendicular and parallel to the stripes. This morphological anisotropy has been the object of intense scrutiny in a variety of situations [10–14]. Studies about the sliding of drops on striped surfaces also report an anisotropic behavior: drops slide more easily along the alternating stripes than across them [12,15], and periodic variations in the contact angles, possibly accompanied by fluctuations in the drop velocity, take place along this latter direction [15]. Theoretical studies employing a long-wave evolution equation for the drop profile [16–18] provide evidence for a stick-slip motion when the drop crosses stripes of different wettability, although they are restricted to droplets with small contact angles and small wettability contrasts.

Hereafter, we present the first experimental evidence of a stick-slip motion of water drops sliding down heterogeneous surfaces having parallel hydrophilic and hydrophobic stripes with a large wettability contrast of about 70°. The mean speed of the sliding drops is found to be systematically affected by the patterning details, with a slowing down that can easily reach up to a factor 10 with respect to the corresponding homogeneous coating with the same static angle. The experiments are used in a synergistic interaction with numerical simulations to reveal how such a big change in the dynamical evolution is due to a different balancing between capillary, viscous and body forces in the presence of stick-slip.

Chemically heterogeneous surfaces are produced through micro contact printing starting from the realization of masters with rectangular grooves by photolithography. Such masters are replicated in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) to obtain the stamp [19] for the printing of a solution of octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS) in toluene on a glass substrate. The result is a surface presenting alternating hydrophobic (OTS regions) and hydrophilic stripes (uncoated glass regions). Sample characterization is performed through atomic force microscopy and the condensation figure method as shown in Fig. 1(a). Parallel stripes of equal width and different wettability can be clearly evinced having a surface roughness of less than 10 nm measured over an area of 10 μm × 10 μm. The resulting pattern has a periodicity W ~ 200 μm. The printed pattern is also analyzed in terms of contact angle measurements through the Cassie-Baxter equation [1]. Figure 1(b) shows the profile of a drop deposited on the heterogeneous surface. Due to the size of the stripes and the drop volume, the effects of morphological anisotropies [12,14] are not very pronounced, yielding an equilibrium contact angle parallel to the stripes θ* = 72° ± 3°, which fits well with the Cassie prediction θ* = 75° ± 2° calculated from the pattern details.

Water drops of the desired volume V (~ 30 μl) are gently deposited on the already inclined surface through a vertical syringe pump. A setup similar to [20] allows us to acquire images of the sliding drops [see Fig. 1(c)], which are analyzed with a custom made program [21].

Figure 1(d) shows the positions of the front and rear contact points of a drop sliding down the heterogeneous surface perpendicularly to the stripes. This is more clearly seen in the top panel of Fig. 2, which shows the drop dynamics in a time window expanded by a factor ~10 with respect to the time scale of Fig. 1(d). The drop clearly advances with a stick-slip behavior, with jumps of the order of the pattern periodicity W (see also [22]). At the beginning of the time frame considered, the rear contact line is...
pinned while the front line slowly advances until around \( t = 0.25 \) s when it suddenly jumps forward. In correspondence, a drop in \( \theta_A \) is observed (see the middle panel of Fig. 2). Subsequently, the front contact line hardly moves, while the rear line at \( t = 0.4 \) s jumps by a distance equal to \( W \). The process then repeats itself. This peculiar dynamics has never been observed experimentally on chemically patterned surfaces. In previous studies this stick-slip motion has not been seen probably because the sliding occurred across stripes having a small wettability contrast [15]. Stick-slip has been found in the movement of water nano-droplets induced by an electron beam [23]. A stick-slip has also been reported in the spreading of a drop of increasing volume along the direction orthogonal to the stripes while in the other direction the contact line moves at a constant speed [24].

To better understand this motion, we have repeated the measurement with a glass surface coated with a homogeneous OTS layer deposited from the vapor phase, which presents a static contact angle \( \theta_{\text{eq}} = 71^\circ \pm 2^\circ \) that is close to the Cassie angle of the heterogeneous surface. Furthermore, the inclination angle of the OTS surface and the water drop volume are the same as in the case of the heterogeneous surface. Statically, then, the two systems look pretty much the same, but dynamically their behavior is quite different. On the homogeneous substrate, as expected, the motion is uniform as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 2 and \( \theta_A \) practically does not change (see middle panel). Moreover, the drop velocity on the homogeneous surface is about 10 times larger than the average velocity on the heterogeneous surface (see bottom panel).

This physical picture is corroborated with the use of numerical simulations based on the lattice Boltzmann models [25–27]. We shall investigate a two-dimensional situation to retain the essential features of the stick-slip dynamics [18,28,29] and, at the same time, achieve a reasonably large resolution to resolve the hydrodynamic equations inside the drop. At variance with respect to other numerical simulations done in the quasistatic limit [28,29] or referring to single contact line motion [30], we will show explicitly how the different terms in the equations of motion balance in the stick-slip dynamics. The force balance is reproduced through the Navier-Stokes equation for a binary mixture with densities \( \rho_A \) and \( \rho_B (\rho = \rho_A + \rho_B) \), with a body force density, \( \rho_A g \sin \alpha \), applied to the rich phase inside the droplet (\( \rho_A \)) along the \( x \) direction,

\[
\partial_t (\rho u_i) + \partial_j (\rho u_i u_j) = -\partial_j P_{ij} + \partial_j \sigma_{ij} + \rho_A g \sin \alpha \delta_{x,i},
\] (1)
where $\sigma_{ij}$ is the viscous stress tensor $\sigma_{ij} = \eta(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i)$, with $\eta$ the dynamic viscosity, and $u_i$ the velocity of the mixture. The pressure tensor $P_{ij}$ accounts for the surface tension [31] at the interface between the two fluids, as well as the capillary forces at the contact line via a suitable imposition of wetting boundary conditions [29]. A detailed description of the model can be found in other publications [31–33]. The diffuse interface Navier-Stokes Eq. (1) is integrated over the drop volume and made dimensionless with respect to the surface tension force

$$Ma(t) = F_{\text{cap}}(t) + D(t) + F_g,$$

where $a(t)$ is the acceleration of the drop of mass $M$ and $F_g$ is the down-plane component of the gravitational force. The term $F_{\text{cap}}$ (calculated as the integral of $-\partial_j P_{ij}$ in (1)) accounts for the nonuniform pressure and curvature distortion and also the capillary force at the contact line. The function $D(t)$ (the integral of $\partial_j \sigma_{ij}$ in (1)) quantifies the drag force due to viscous shear. We have reproduced the same wettabilities experimentally investigated in Fig. 2 and tuned the gravitational force in Eq. (1) in such a way to get the stick-slip motion of the droplet with an average velocity of an order of magnitude smaller than that of the homogeneous case.

The stick-slip motion is well captured in the numerical simulations as shown in the top graph of Fig. 3, which displays the time evolution of the positions of the front and rear contact points normalized to $W$, and $T$ indicates the time period required for a displacement equal to $W$. From the density snapshots it is possible to determine precisely the positions where the contact line pins and depins with a resolution that is impossible to achieve in an experiment. The rear contact line gets pinned (snapshots a) before entering the hydrophobic area (yellow region at the base of the drop). A sudden jump makes it overcome the local energy barrier and enter the hydrophilic area (red region at the base of the drop). The front contact line, in turn, stops before entering the hydrophobic regions. As the drop pins with an increasing advancing angle, it slowly penetrates through the hydrophobic area (snapshots c), similarly to what is observed in Fig. 2. A sudden jump follows when the front enters the hydrophilic area (snapshots d). We have also extended the simulations to the heterogeneous surface investigated in [15], which presents a lower wettability contrast of $10^5$, and found only periodic oscillations in the velocity without the occurrence of a stick phase in agreement with the experiment.

The bottom graph of Fig. 3 presents the analysis of the balance Eq. (2) for a time frame of a period $T$ of the stick-slip dynamics and compares it to the homogeneous case, for the same inclination angle. The gravitational force $F_g$ is constant in time. When the drop is pinned, $F_g$ is almost balanced by $F_{\text{cap}}$ (snapshots c). Immediately after, the front contact line jumps forward and the drop depins ($F_{\text{cap}} \to 0$): the drop experiences a localized acceleration $a(t)$ with a consistent dip in the drag force. The drop gets pinned again until the rear contact line jumps forward. The homogeneous case is instead steady: the energy provided by $F_g$ is almost entirely transferred into dissipation, apart from the deformation of the interface which causes a term $F_{\text{cap}}$ smaller by a factor of 10 with respect to the heterogeneous case. Overall, we see that the effective dissipation in the heterogeneous case is strongly suppressed as compared with the stationary homogeneous case. This is because the large wettability contrast causes additional energy to be stored in the nonequilibrium configuration of the drop which can pin before the contact lines jump forward.

Our next goal is to quantify the dependence of the drop average speed on the inclination angle. Again, this will be achieved by comparing the homogeneous and heterogeneous cases. We have performed measurements with different coatings of glass slides produced with different molecules and methods, obtaining contact angles ranging...
where the function \( c(\theta) \) is related to the solution of the hydrodynamic flow in the wedge. In principle, the angle \( \theta \) is the dynamical contact angle. Nevertheless, it is plausible to approximate \( \theta = \theta_{\text{eq}} \) either when dynamic contact angles do not deviate severely from the equilibrium contact angle or when the mean of the advancing and receding contact angles is close to \( \theta_{\text{eq}} \) [34]. We therefore get \( c(\theta) = (1 - \cos^2 \theta_{\text{eq}})/(\theta_{\text{eq}} - \sin \theta_{\text{eq}} \cos \theta_{\text{eq}}) \) multiplied by an angular function relating the radius of the bottom contact area to the volume [34]. Data displayed in the inset of Fig. 4 refer to drops of \( V = 30 \mu l \) sliding on both the heterogeneous and the homogeneous surfaces with the same static contact angle. The Bo value is deduced by extrapolating the linear fit of the sliding points to \( \text{Ca} = 0 \). It is evident that the two surfaces display a different dynamic behavior, the heterogeneous one being characterized by a larger pinning. To better compare the sliding on the various surfaces, in the top panel of Fig. 4 we plot all the data in terms of \( (\text{Bo} - \text{Bo}_c) \). In this way, the points lie on straight lines passing through the origin, whose slopes depend on the surface wettability. The slopes as a function of \( \theta_{\text{eq}} \) are displayed in the bottom panel of Fig. 4: the agreement between the angular dependent prefactor of Eq. (3) and the experimental data are quite reasonable for all the investigated surfaces, including the heterogeneous one. This indicates that the effects of the heterogeneous patterning can be redorsed in a renormalized value of the \( \text{Bo}_c \), representing the increase of the static energetic barrier that must be overwhelmed by gravity before the drop starts to move, an observation that bears similarities with the results discussed in [28].

In summary, we have observed both experimentally and numerically that a water drop, sliding across alternating stripes having a large wettability contrast, undergoes a characteristic stick-slip motion. The average speed of this nonlinear motion is an order of magnitude smaller than that measured on a homogeneous surface having the same static contact angle. The slow down is the result of the pinning-depinning transition of the contact line which causes energy dissipation to be localized in time and large part of the driving energy to be stored in the periodic deformations of the contact lines when crossing the stripes. This suggests that the motion of drops can be passively controlled by a suitable tailoring of the chemical pattern. Experiments are under way to see how the shape of the tiles forming the periodic, heterogeneous patterns affects the drop dynamics.
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