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Abstract

Rock alteration processes on Venus are still poorly understood due to the limited geochemical data on surface rocks and uncertainties in atmospheric compositions. We use phase equilibria calculations to constrain mineral stabilities at Venus surface conditions for different rock and gas compositions resulting in the chemical system SiO\textsubscript{2}-TiO\textsubscript{2}-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-FeO-MgO-CaO-Na\textsubscript{2}O-K\textsubscript{2}O with C-O-H-S gas at varying O\textsubscript{2} and S\textsubscript{2} fugacities. While the low concentrations of H\textsubscript{2}O in the present-day atmosphere result in conditions, under which anhydrous mineral assemblages dominate, higher amounts of water, possibly during an earlier stage in Venus' history, could have resulted in the formation of amphibole and biotite. Even in a sulfur-free atmosphere, carbonates would be stable only in alkali-rich basalts. The presence of SO\textsubscript{2} in the atmosphere, however, causes the formation of anhydrite. The stabilities of iron oxides and sulfides are highly sensitive to gas fugacities (i.e., the composition of the atmosphere), as well as temperature. While the modeled magnetite-hematite transition is located close to conditions relevant for planetary radius, the assemblage of anhydrite + hematite ± pyrite may be stable at higher elevations if a similar range of fO\textsubscript{2} as at the lowlands is assumed. Therefore, our model agrees with pyrite as the proposed cause of the high radar backscatter observed at high elevations in the northern highlands.
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1. Introduction

Our knowledge of Venus’ surface geochemistry and petrology is still limited due to the dense atmosphere and high surface temperature, which impede remote sensing and in situ measurements of rock compositions. The most detailed geochemical constraints are the incomplete major and radioactive (U, Th, K) element data obtained by the Venera and VEGA landers (Surkov et al., 1984, 1986), and isotopic ratios of noble gases in the lower atmosphere (von Zahn et al., 1983; Esposito et al., 1997). Further evidence for the composition of surface rocks comes from radar imaging (e.g., Masursky et al., 1980; Tanaka et al., 1997; Ivanov and Head, 2011) and near-infrared radiance measurements through atmospheric spectral windows near 1 micron, providing information that can be related to surface mineralogy and the compositional variation of surface features (e.g., Hashimoto et al., 2008; Gilmore et al., 2017). Studying rock alteration in contact with the atmosphere is hence limited to experimental investigations of reactions in analog rocks and thermodynamic modeling. In this contribution, we use mineral equilibria calculations to model phase relations of likely venusian whole-rock compositions in contact with the atmosphere at a range of conditions relevant for both the modal planetary radius and higher elevations.

Radar imaging and altimetry measurements of Venus’ surface area reveal a geologically complex surface comprising plains, volcanic/tectonic rises, and elevated areas of tectonically deformed tesserae (Ivanov and Head, 2011; Tanaka et al., 1997). Volcanic plains are the dominant landform, ~60-70% of the planet. Morphological features inferred from Magellan radar imaging (Head et al., 1992; Weitz and Basilevsky, 1993; Campbell et al., 1997; Ivanov and Head, 2011), combined with the partial in situ chemical analyses of Venus surface materials by Venera and VEGA landers (Surkov et al., 1984, 1986), suggest that the volcanic plains and rises are composed of basaltic rocks. Analyzed rock compositions are broadly similar to olivine tholeiites from Earth’s mid-ocean ridges and alkali basalts from Earth’s ocean islands.
In contrast, tesserae terrain covers only ~8% of the surface of Venus and consistently appears as the stratigraphically oldest material (e.g. Ivanov and Head, 1996). In the absence of in situ measurements and direct morphological evidence, the compositions of tessera terrains remain unknown. Some constraint is provided by measurements of near-infrared surface emission of some tesserae, which show values that are distinctly lower than those of the surrounding basaltic plains (Hashimoto et al., 2008; Helbert et al., 2008; Mueller et al., 2008; Basilevsky et al., 2012; Gilmore et al., 2015). This difference has been interpreted to mean that the tesserae have more felsic and less iron-rich compositions, like granitic rock (e.g. Hashimoto et al., 2008; Gilmore et al., 2015). However, one micron emissivity can be affected by other factors, such as grain size, surface roughness, porosity, and the presence of low-emissivity weathering products that form only at higher elevations (e.g. Gilmore et al., 2017). The presence of felsic igneous rocks would either imply partial melting of hydrous materials resulting from recycled surface fluids into the Venus interior, implying that Venus had higher water content and putative oceans early in its history (Donahue et al., 1982; Kasting and Pollack, 1983; Kumar and Taylor, 1985a; Bergh et al., 1991; Krasnopolsky, 2010; Way et al., 2016), or differentiation of basaltic melts (Hashimoto et al., 2008; Shellnutt, 2013, 2018; Filiberto, 2014).

Beyond these hints of unusual bulk composition, tesserae in northern latitudes such as Maxwell Montes and other mountain ranges around Ishtar Terra show an abrupt change in radar backscatter – above ~5 km elevation, they have very high backscatter (high reflectivity) and appear in SAR images as if they were covered with ‘snow’ (Masursky et al., 1980; Pettengill et al., 1988; Garvin et al., 1985; Klose et al., 1992a; Pettengill et al., 1992; Simpson et al., 2009; Treiman et al., 2016). The cause and nature of this ‘snow,’ and the abrupt change in radar backscatter at a ‘snow line’ in the northern highlands, have been debated vigorously. There is
no disagreement that the change is related to elevation, to either the decreasing pressure or
temperature of the atmosphere with increasing elevation (Seiff et al., 1985). One of the first
materials suggested was the semiconductor mineral pyrite (FeS$_2$) as a weathering product of
basalts (Ford and Pettengill, 1983; Klose et al., 1992a; Pettengill et al., 1996, 1992; Wood,
1997), although relatively large proportions of the mineral would be required to match the
observed high radar reflectivity (Gilmore et al., 2017). At lower elevations and higher
temperatures, a weathering product with different properties, like magnetite, is expected to be
more stable and the transition from magnetite to pyrite would lead to a sharp transition in radar
backscatter (a “snow line”). However, other works excluded the presence of pyrite based on
thermochemical constraints (Fegley and Treiman, 1992; Fegley et al., 1995b; Fegley, 1997). A
number of other semiconductor substances have been suggested as alternatives to pyrite
including: metal halides and chalcogenides (Brackett et al., 1995); and “heavy metal frosts”
(Pettengill et al., 1996; Schaefer and Fegley, 2004). Many of the suggested substances are
either not stable at highlands surface conditions or stable at both highlands and lowlands
conditions (Kohler et al., 2013, 2014). Others are exceptionally rare or unknown in terrestrial
basaltic rocks (e.g. Gilmore et al., 2017).

The radar reflectivity of the northern highlands differs significantly from that observed at
Ovda Regio and other near-equatorial highlands, where the backscatter coefficients rise with
elevation to a maximum at 4.5 km and then drop precipitously (Klose et al., 1992a; Arvidson et
al., 1994; Treiman et al., 2016). This pattern can be explained by a substance that transitions
from ferroelectric to dielectric such as chlorapatite (Treiman et al., 2016) or anhydrous calcium
phosphates (Lazoryak et al., 2004). The absence of a semiconductor material at Ovda implies
that either the atmospheric chemistry or the surface materials at Maxwell and Ovda are
substantially different (Treiman et al., 2016).
Venus surface rocks have been exposed to a hot atmosphere for at least several hundred million years. The atmosphere consists mainly of CO$_2$ with minor N$_2$, and traces of noble and chemically active gases (Fegley, 2014; Marcq et al., 2018). The concentrations of SO$_2$, CO, COS, S$_n$ and possibly other trace gases are poorly constrained in the lower 20-30 km of the atmosphere and may vary with altitude and latitude (Zolotov, 2018). At the modal planetary radius, material on the surface is exposed to temperatures of 740 K (~467 °C) and pressures of 95.6 bar (Seiff et al., 1985). At other elevations, conditions vary between 758-658 K and 110-47 bar. Thermodynamic equilibrium between near-surface gases is assumed at planetary radius (Krasnopolsky and Parshev, 1981; Fegley et al., 1997b; Krasnopolsky, 2007) but has not been confirmed by in situ measurements, and latitudinal variations in atmosphere composition may occur (Zolotov, 2018). The lack of chemical equilibration between the major chemically active gases at higher elevations limits the usefulness of calculations of equilibrium mineral stabilities (Zolotov, 2018, 2019).

Data from spacecraft exploration of Venus, in particular from the Venera and VEGA landers (Surkov et al., 1984, 1986), strongly suggest the chemical alteration of Venus surface rocks and fines (Zolotov, 2018, 2019). The oxidation state of the atmosphere mainly controls which iron oxide minerals may be present on the surface of Venus. The ratio of CO/CO$_2$ in the near-surface atmosphere (Zolotov, 2015, 2018) is close to that of the magnetite-hematite reaction (Fegley et al., 1997b; Fegley, 2014; Zolotov, 1996, 2015), with magnetite being stable at lower oxidation state and hematite at higher. Maghemite, a common metastable oxidation product of magnetite, may also be present as a product of surface-atmosphere alteration (Gilmore et al., 2017). Reflectance spectra in visible light wavelengths suggest the presence of hematite at Venera 9 and 10 landing sites while the reflectance at the Venera 13 and 14 sites was more consistent with unaltered basalt (Pieters et al., 1986; Yamanoi et al., 2009). Venus basalts may therefore have weathered to different degrees or different alteration products.
Trapping of atmospheric S in secondary minerals through alteration reactions (e.g., Zolotov, 2018) is suggested by the elevated bulk S content measured at three landing sites (Surkov et al., 1986, 1984). The Venus atmosphere contains S-bearing gas species in S-O-C-H covering a wide range of oxidation states (SO$_2$, COS, S$_n$, H$_2$S) with the highly oxidizing SO$_2$ being the most abundant (e.g., Fegley, 2014; Marcq et al., 2018) and therefore likely the main reactant in sulfatization reactions of Ca-bearing silicates, glasses and carbonates to form anhydrite and Ca-poor silicates as suggested by thermodynamic calculations (e.g., Barsukov et al., 1986a, 1982, 1982; Fegley and Treiman, 1992; Klose et al., 1992a; Zolotov, 2018). However, the S/Ca ratio in the samples analyzed the landing sites is significantly less than unity (e.g., Zolotov and Khodakovsky, 1989; Fegley and Treiman, 1992; Fegley, 2014; Zolotov, 2015), suggesting that the surface materials are not fully altered and that sulfatization reactions on Venus may be relatively slow. Estimating the degree of sulfatization of surface materials is hindered by the possible presence of secondary sulfides (e.g., Zolotov, 2018, 2019 and references therein).

Understanding the chemical alteration of surface rocks on Venus is crucial to better constrain the composition of Venus’ atmosphere and surface, the composition of the highlands, and possible sources of the contrasts in radar reflectivity. Experimental studies have focused on either mineral stabilities and/or basaltic compositions as reacting solids considering CO$_2$ dominated gas mixtures predominantly at 1 bar (Zolotov, 2018 and references therein), but more recent studies have run experiments at pressures consistent with Venus’ conditions (Aveline et al., 2011; Radoman-Shaw et al., 2017; Berger et al., 2019; Teffeteller et al., 2019; Port et al., 2016, 2020). A number of equilibrium chemical thermodynamic studies have considered individual gas-solid reactions and fugacity diagrams involving silicates, carbonates, Fe-oxides and phosphates and gas mixtures including CO$_2$, H$_2$O, CO, SO$_2$, COS, H$_2$, H$_2$S, HCl, and HF at temperatures relevant for Venus (e.g., Adamcik and Draper, 1963; Mueller, 1964; Lewis, 1970; Lewis and Kreimendahl, 1980; Fegley and Treiman, 1992; Nozette and Lewis, 1993).
1982; Zolotov et al., 1997; Zolotov, 2018 and references therein; Filiberto et al., 2020). This approach may not always reflect the complexity of the rocks, since reactants or products may have been consumed in reactions that were not considered (e.g., Klose et al., 1992a). Fewer studies calculated chemical equilibria in multicomponent solid-gas systems constrained by the elemental mass balances of supposed rocks and the atmosphere (e.g., Khodakovsky et al., 1979; Barsukov et al., 1982; Khodakovsky, 1982; Barsukov et al., 1986b; Klose et al., 1992a). This approach allows the prediction of equilibrium mineral assemblages in a chosen gas-rock system. However, solid solutions used in thermodynamic modeling have been improved since the early 90s and it is worth revisiting phase equilibria modeling for Venus.

In this work we use whole-rock compositions of tholeiitic basalt, alkali basalt, and granite, and calculate equilibrium mineral assemblages at conditions estimated at the modal planetary radius and at higher elevations. We model mineral assemblages as a function of fugacities of H₂O-CO₂ fluids and varying both oxygen and sulfur fugacities to identify phases that could have been present if Venus contained more water at an earlier stage of its formation or preserved in the subsurface. Further, we show how variations in the starting composition influence mineral stabilities and predict which phases would be expected at conditions relevant for elevated terrains and identify phases that could be associated with the contrast in radar reflectivity.

2. Methods

2.1. Model Parameters

Phase diagrams were calculated with the Gibbs free energy minimization software Perple_X 6.8.6 (Connolly, 2005) using the internally consistent thermodynamic data set of Holland and Powell (2011). The oxides MnO, P₂O₅, and Cr₂O₃ were excluded from the calculations because
of their relatively low abundances and/or absence of good solid-solution models. All solid-solution models and pure phases selected for our phase equilibria calculations are listed in Table 1. The Venus atmosphere was treated as a fluid as approximation for the gas that is actually in contact with the surface rocks, with its properties defined by the equation of state (Holland and Powell, 1991, 1998). Other gases such as N$_2$ are not expected to significantly influence surface alteration and are therefore not considered in the model. We used two different approaches to model rock alteration: (1) Calculation of phase equilibria with a H$_2$O-CO$_2$ fluid, as a function of X$_{CO_2}$ at an atmospheric pressure of 96.5 bars in the temperature range of 573-973 K to study phase stabilities at conditions relevant to an ancient water-rich environment or present-day subsurface conditions which may contain higher concentrations of H$_2$O. Oxygen fugacity is fixed by either the magnetite-hematite (MH) or the quartz-fayalite-magnetite (QFM) buffers. (2) Computation of phase equilibria as a function of O$_2$ and S$_2$ gas fugacities ($f_{O_2}$ and $f_{S_2}$), assuming an atmosphere dominated by CO$_2$ with 30 ppm of H$_2$O. In our model, fugacities of O$_2$ and S$_2$ are treated as independent variables, resulting in the following additional gas components: SO$_2$, CO, H$_2$S, H$_2$, and CH$_4$. Fluid/gas properties of this hybrid equation of state are computed using the Compensated-Redlich-Kwong (CORK) equation of state for CO$_2$ and H$_2$O (Holland and Powell, 1991, 1998) and a Modified Redlich-Kwong equation of state (de Santis et al., 1974) for all components in O$_2$ and S$_2$ fugacity diagrams at atmospheric pressures of 95.6 and 50 bars, respectively.

2.2. Input Parameters

2.2.1. Starting Rock Compositions

Three starting rock compositions (Table 2) were used for our phase equilibria calculations: (1) a synthetic tholeiitic basalt based on the Venera 14 rock analyses (Treiman, 2007) and terrestrial analogs for elements not analyzed by the lander (Filiberto, 2014), which has been used as
starting composition in experimental studies (Teffeteller et al., 2019); (2) a natural alkali-rich basalt from Sverrefjell volcano, Svalbard (Skjelkvåle et al., 1989), which is chemically similar to the Venera 13 analysis (Treiman, 2007); and (3) an intraplate A-type granite from Svöfjell, southern Norway (Auwera et al., 2003; SV1, their table 6), which was interpreted to be derived by extreme differentiation of basic magmas.

2.2.2. Venus surface conditions

At the elevation of the modal planetary radius (6051.37 km), temperature and pressure are 740 K (~467 °C) and 95.6 bars (Seiff et al., 1985). Conditions at other elevations vary in the range of: 758-658 K and 110-47 bars. In accordance with this data, we use 740 K and 95.6 bars for conditions at the basaltic plains and 660 K (~387 °C) at 50 bars as exemplary for high-elevation tesserae. While today's atmosphere is dominated by CO₂, with lesser SO₂, H₂O and other gases (Fegley, 2014; Marcq et al., 2018), Venus' atmosphere may have contained more H₂O early in its history (Way et al., 2016; Salvador et al., 2017). Hence, OH-bearing minerals may have been preserved as metastable phases or in the subsurface, where rocks are not in contact with the atmosphere. Therefore, we calculate phase stabilities for the three starting compositions as a function of X_{CO₂} (CO₂/[CO₂+H₂O]) within the range of 573-973 K and a saturated H₂O-CO₂ fluid phase (i.e. assuming that a fluid/gas is always present in sufficient quantity to saturate the system in the phase). While pressure conditions are expected to vary if the atmosphere contained more H₂O, relatively small pressure changes (i.e. 50 bars) do not have a significant effect on phase stability. A pressure of 95.6 bars was chosen since it also covers present-day shallow subsurface conditions, where higher concentrations of water in the form of OH-bearing minerals may have been preserved.

The oxygen fugacity of the near-surface atmosphere has been derived from the calculation of chemical equilibria among atmospheric gases and lies within the range of 10^{-21.7} to
10^{20} \text{ bars} at modal planetary radius, which is indistinguishable from the MH phase boundary (Fegley et al., 1997b, 1997a; Zolotov, 1996, 2015; Fegley, 2014). The oxidation state of basalt, however, is more reduced, and ranges from near QFM on Earth to lower values on other planetary bodies (e.g., Herd, 2003; Schmidt et al., 2013). Based on these fugacities, we calculate phase equilibria of solid phases using QFM and MH buffers for phase diagrams in H₂O-CO₂ space. Fugacity diagrams are calculated for fO₂ = 10^{-30} – 10^{-15} \text{ bars} but we focus on phases within the range of 10^{-19} to 10^{-23} \text{ bars}. The oxygen fugacity at higher elevations is not known due to a lack of gas equilibria (Krasnopolsky, 2007; Zolotov, 1996; Fegley et al., 1997a; Zolotov, 2018), which is why we assume a similar range but with larger uncertainties. Calculations including sulfur fugacity (fS₂) are in the range of 10^{-10} to 10^{-2} \text{ bars} which cover the values of ~10^{-5} \text{ bars} given by Zolotov (2018), based on 0.23 ppmv of S₂ in the atmosphere corresponding to gas equilibrium concentrations at modal radius (Zolotov, 1996).

3. Results

3.1. Effect of H₂O-CO₂ fluid composition on phase equilibria

Figure 1 shows the calculated phase equilibria as a function of X_{CO₂} at fluid saturated conditions for the three different starting rock compositions and QFM and MH fO₂ buffers. The molar proportion of hematite component in the ilmenite-hematite solid solution is indicated by the color coding and is an indicator of oxidization state.

Amphibole, predominantly tremolite, is the dominant hydrous phase that forms from the basaltic protolith and is stable up to X_{CO₂} ~0.88 at the QFM oxidation state (Fig. 1a), and to even higher values at MH (Fig. 1b). Biotite is present only in small proportions but is stable at higher temperatures and higher X_{CO₂} than amphibole. Chlorite, epidote and white mica, mostly
muscovite, are present in the lower temperature range of the phase diagrams. Calculations at MH show talc as a stable phase; it is not calculated to be stable at QFM. The stable anhydrous mineral assemblage at QFM and CO₂-rich conditions is orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene + feldspar + olivine + ilmenite. At MH, a similar anhydrous assemblage is calculated, but with quartz instead of olivine. Carbonate minerals (including calcite, magnesite, and dolomite) are stable below 660 K at QFM and even lower at MH. The proportion of hematite in the hematite-ilmenite solid-solution increases with CO₂ and temperature for both fO₂ buffers, but drops to nearly zero in the anhydrous assemblage of clinopyroxene + orthopyroxene + feldspar + ilmenite ± quartz ± olivine.

The altered alkali basalt is calculated to contain abundant biotite at both fugacities. Chlorite, epidote, and muscovite are stable at lower temperatures, while amphibole is absent. The anhydrous assemblage consists of clinopyroxene + plagioclase + nepheline + leucite + ilmenite, with olivine + spinel at QFM and with titanite at MH. Calcite is stable up to almost 773 K at high XCO₂. Analogous to the alteration of tholeiitic basalt, the proportions of hematite in the hematite-ilmenite solid solution increase with higher proportions of CO₂ and temperature for both buffers. However, at QFM, ilmenite is not always a stable phase and is replaced by titanite.

Because of its low proportions of FeO and MgO, the mineralogy of altered granite is dominated by plagioclase, K-feldspar, and quartz. Biotite is the most stable hydrous phase at most conditions. Epidote, chlorite, and white mica are present at lower temperatures. The anhydrous mineral assemblage for QFM consists of clinopyroxene + orthopyroxene + plagioclase + K-feldspar + ilmenite + quartz. At MH, the equilibrium assemblage contains titanite instead of orthopyroxene. Carbonates are stable below temperatures of ~ 640 K.

3.2. Effect of fO₂ and fS₂ on phase equilibria
Phase diagrams for the compositions of the tholeiitic basalt, alkali basalt and granite as functions of $O_2$ and $S_2$ fugacities are calculated and the results for the basalt at conditions at planetary radius and higher elevations are shown in Figure 2 (phase diagrams for the alkali basalt and granite compositions are available as supplementary material). The compositions of the gas in equilibrium with the solid phases for both conditions are also shown in Fig. 2. Hematite and magnetite are modeled as single phases instead of solid solutions, in order to show their individual stability fields. Ilmenite (without hematite) is represented by an ideal solution and spinel as a solid solution between MgAl$_2$O$_4$ and FeAl$_2$O$_4$. In an additional calculation, we explore the effect of using an ilmenite-hematite solid solution.

Figure 2a shows the calculated mineral assemblages for the tholeiite basalt protolith (Table 2) as functions of $fO_2$ and $fS_2$ at the temperature and pressure of the mean planetary radius (740 K, 95.6 bar). Fig. 2c shows the stabilities of the gas species in equilibrium with the solid phases calculated in Fig. 2a. The dominant gas phase at most fugacities is CO$_2$ while only the most oxidizing conditions considered are dominated by SO$_2$. All other gas components are present in small amounts. At oxidizing, SO$_2$-dominated and at reducing conditions, H$_2$O is less abundant than other gases. The black box represents the most likely range of oxygen ($10^{-19}$-$10^{-23}$ bars) and sulfur ($10^{-4.2}$-$10^{-6}$ bars) fugacities, that cover the values given by Zolotov (2018) and spans the modeled MH phase boundary at an oxygen fugacity of $\sim 10^{-20.7}$ bars. In calculations using a solid solution of hematite and ilmenite the MH transition is shifted to $fO_2 = 10^{-23.2}$ bars, which therefore extends the stability of hematite solid solution with respect to magnetite at the mean planetary radius. Pyrite is stable at $fO_2 \sim 10^{-21}$ bars and more reducing conditions at $fS_2$ in the range of $10^{-5.2} - 10^{-4.1}$ bars. Pyrrhotite is not a stable phase near the mean planetary radius and requires more reducing $fO_2 (< 10^{-22.5}$ bars) and $fS_2$ below $10^{-5.2}$ bars.

As a result of an increase of SO$_2$ in the gas with oxidizing conditions in the calculated phase diagram, (Fig. 2c) anhydrite is stable starting at $fO_2 = 10^{-22.5}$ bars at $fS_2$ of $10^{-2}$ bars and...
\( f_O_2 = 10^{-20} \) bars at \( f_S_2 = 10^{-10} \) bars and more oxidizing conditions. Since anhydrite bonds the Ca of the system, clinopyroxene is not stable at these conditions and is replaced by other silicates such as enstatite, quartz; and cordierite. At lower temperature and pressure conditions (Fig. 2b) the transition from magnetite to hematite is shifted towards \( f_O_2 = 10^{-23.3} \) bars. If \( f_O_2 \) and \( f_S_2 \) at higher elevations are comparable to those at planetary radius, hematite is expected to be the stable oxide at most fugacities (black rectangle, Fig 2b). Pyrite is stable at \( f_O_2 \sim 10^{-22} \) bars and more reducing conditions at \( f_S_2 = 10^{-4} - 10^{-5.2} \) bars. Biotite is present within a small range of relatively low \( f_O_2 \) and \( f_S_2 \).

The phase relations of iron oxides and sulfides in the alkali basalt and granite are like those in the tholeiite and depend only on temperature and fugacities (see figure 1 in supplementary material). Due to differences in major oxides in the alkali basalt composition, olivine, spinel, and the feldspathoid nepheline are stable in the modeled phase diagram. Biotite is stable at most conditions except at \( SO_2 \) dominant and reducing conditions. Dolomite is present at high elevations and at low \( f_O_2 \) in our model, where \( SO_2 \) in the gas is low (and hence conditions that are not likely for present-day Venus). In the granitic composition, calcite is a stable phase in our model at low \( SO_2 \). Anhydrite and silicates such as andalusite, cordierite, albite and quartz form at \( SO_2 \)-rich conditions.

3.3. Mineral stabilities with changes in elevation

In Figure 3 we show the modeled abundances of magnetite, hematite, pyrite, and anhydrite in the basaltic composition for three \( f_O_2 \) and \( f_S_2 \) pairs as a function of decreasing temperature (i.e., increasing elevation). While the pressure also decreases with elevation, it has a less significant effect on mineral stabilities and is therefore assumed at a constant value of 90 bars. At \( f_O_2 = 10^{-21} \) and \( f_S_2 = 10^{-5} \), magnetite, hematite and anhydrite are present while pyrite is not stable. The
magnetite-hematite transition occurs at temperature conditions estimated for the mean planetary radius (green box in Fig. 3). If fugacities are assumed to be constant in the atmosphere, hematite and anhydrite would be the expected phases at higher elevations and pyrite would be absent. However, variations in $f_{O_2}$ and $f_{S_2}$ (indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 3), especially somewhat lower oxygen fugacities at $10^{-23}$, would stabilize pyrite at higher elevations.

4. Discussion

4.1. Limitations of our model

The applicability of equilibrium thermodynamic models is mainly limited by uncertainties in thermodynamic properties of minerals and their solid solutions, incomplete data for the Venus atmosphere and rock compositions, and the lack of equilibration between atmospheric gases and/or at gas mineral interfaces.

Mineral stabilities in our model are influenced by uncertainties in the thermodynamic data used for minerals and solid solutions. In the case of the magnetite-hematite phase boundary, Fegley et al. (1997b) describe a large range of tabulated thermodynamic data for hematite and magnetite, which results in the variation of calculated $f_{O_2}$ by over three orders of magnitude at Venus surface temperatures. The internally consistent thermodynamic data set (Holland and Powell, 2011) in our model is based on data for magnetite and hematite given by Robie and Hemingway (1995) and the calculated magnetite-hematite boundary in our model is therefore comparable to the results of Fegley et al. (1997b). While the temperature dependence of the thermal expansion and bulk modulus are modeled in a consistent way and thermodynamic models are in good agreement with existing experimental results, uncertainties
remain due to missing calorimetric and experimental data and the use of fictive end-members used in some solid solutions (e.g., Holland and Powell, 2011).

The clinopyroxene solid solution model used in our computations does not incorporate titanium, which may result in an increased stability field and higher abundances of titanium-bearing phases such as ilmenite and rutile. Furthermore, we do not consider Mn, P, and Cr, which may shift mineral reactions and stability fields. Halogen gases such as HCl and HF, which have been detected spectroscopically in the Venus atmosphere, are also not considered in our model but they are not expected to influence mineral stabilities due to their low partial pressures (Zolotov, 2018). However, HCl can affect Na/K carbonates (Zolotov, 2018), which may not be present at surface conditions and also have not been considered in our model. Furthermore, the gas composition does not include all species measure in the Venus atmosphere and the influence of these gas species (COS, S\textsubscript{n}) are currently not modeled.

The thermodynamic data base currently does not include Na- or K-bearing sulfates and their stabilities could therefore not be explored in our model. Experiments have reported Na-Ca sulfates as alterations products (e.g., Berger et al., 2019) and we would therefore expect their presence in the SO\textsubscript{2} dominant section of the phase diagram, together with anhydrite.

Major element geochemistry from the Venera and Vega landers show large error bars compared with terrestrial analyses and are also incomplete (Surkov et al., 1984, 1986; Kargel et al., 1993; Grimm and Hess, 1997; Treiman, 2007). Variations in the whole-rock stating composition are expected to influence stabilities and proportions of minerals in our equilibrium approach. Large uncertainties are also recorded for atmospheric gas species, in particular tropospheric H\textsubscript{2}O, which shows significant variations and errors at altitudes from 0-15 km (Marcq et al., 2018 and references therein).
The results obtained by phase equilibria calculations assume equilibrium at all conditions considered. This implies that mineral assemblages described in this study are only present after reaching complete equilibrium with the modeled gas composition. While temperatures on Venus are sufficiently high for reactions and Venus rocks have been exposed to the atmosphere over geological time scales, liquid or supercritical water (dense solvents) are absent. In CO$_2$ dominated fluids, the breakdown of silicate structures may be impeded due to the very low dielectric constant despite favorable Gibbs free energy of reactions. However, experimental work has shown that silicate alteration occurs when SO$_2$ is present, but at different conditions than estimated for present-day Venus (Delmelle et al., 2018; King et al., 2018; Palm et al., 2018; Renggli and King, 2018). Oxidation of glasses is limited by the rate of chemical diffusion of cations such as Fe$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, and Na$^+$ towards the free mineral surface rather than the diffusion of gases (e.g., Berger et al., 2019; Zolotov, 2018 and references therein). However, kinetic constraints on solid-gas reactions are poorly constrained and require more experimental evaluations at Venus conditions (Zolotov, 2018).

Furthermore, reaction rates vary and phases such as olivine may be more reactive than others (e.g., clinopyroxene or feldspars) as suggested by the amount of S detected by surface probes indicating ongoing and incomplete alteration of primary Ca-bearing minerals (except for plagioclase of intermediate composition) in basalt (e.g., Barsukov et al., 1986b; Zolotov, 2015) and experimental studies (e.g., Radoman-Shaw et al., 2017; Berger et al., 2019; Filiberto et al., 2020). The surface rocks on Venus consists of a mixture of incompletely reacted minerals from the primary igneous rocks with newly formed alteration products as a result of gas-solid reactions (Klose et al., 1992a). While our phase equilibria models cannot reflect the kinetic restrictions, they still can be a helpful tool to understand, which mineral phases are likely to be expected.
While thermochemical equilibrium is generally assumed for near-surface conditions at planetary radius (Krasnopolsky and Parshev, 1981; Krasnopolsky, 2007; Fegley et al., 1997a), elevated terrains are likely exposed to gases in disequilibrium and gas compositions that differ from those at modal radius (Zolotov, 1996, 2018). Due to the lack of chemical gas equilibrium, $f_{O_2}$ and fugacities of certain undetected/uncertain gases (e.g., H$_2$, S$_2$, H$_2$S) at higher elevations cannot be evaluated by thermodynamic methods making the prediction of minerals by equilibrium calculations less reliable than for conditions at planetary radius.

Modeling equilibrium reactions is more applicable to homogeneous materials such as volcanic/impact glasses instead of rocks with large mineral grains that will alter individually at limited solid-solid diffusion (e.g., Zolotov and Volkov, 1992). Furthermore, the higher reactivity of some minerals with respect to others may lead to different alteration products than shown in our equilibrium approach.

4.2. Comparison to other thermodynamic models

Only a few studies used calculations of chemical equilibria in multicomponent gas-solid type systems to study alteration processes on Venus. Khodakovsky et al. (1979) calculated the equilibrium mineralogy for a range of rock compositions, but did not include sulfur compounds and did not consider solid solutions. Below, we compare their results to our sulfur-free H$_2$O-CO$_2$ fluid calculations. In addition, Barsukov et al. (1982, 1986b), Khodakovskiy et al. (1982), Klose and Zolotov (1992), and Klose et al. (1992b) used Gibbs free energy minimization models with S-bearing gases. While general comparisons are possible, each of these studies used a different approach representing atmospheric conditions, which results in variations in mineral abundances.
Khodakovsky et al. (1979) reported that their equilibrium modeling of a basalt composition (for elevations up to 5 km) yielded glaucophane, epidote, and annite as main hydrous phases with traces of chloritoid. For their sodium alkaline and potassium alkaline lavas, they predict relatively large amounts of glaucophane and phlogopite with lesser amounts of tremolite at lower temperature conditions as well as calcite, dolomite and epidote predominantly in the sodium alkaline lavas (Khodakovsky et al., 1979). In the rhyolite composition, they predict small amounts of glaucophane, chloritoid, and epidote. In our H$_2$O-CO$_2$ fluid model, amphibole is the abundant hydrous phase in basalt, but the amphibole composition is dominated by tremolite component instead of glaucophane; glaucophane is stable only at very high pressures, > 11 kilobars at temperatures > 800 K (Corona and Jenkins, 2007; Evans, 2007). Furthermore, our results do not suggest epidote to be stable in the basalt at increasing CO$_2$. Instead, we predict talc and chlorite as hydrous phases depending on X$_{CO_2}$ and oxygen buffers in the basalt. In the granite, however, epidote is stable X$_{CO_2}$ < 0.8. Biotite is mostly present in the alkali basalt and the granite. We do not report chloritoid in any composition, which is generally not a relevant phase in altered igneous rocks.

Previous energy minimization studies that include sulfur-containing species (Barsukov et al., 1982; Klose et al., 1992a; Treiman and Schwenzer, 2009), report plagioclase, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, K-feldspar, quartz, and anhydrite at various conditions. Our results agree with those of Klose et al. (1992a), with orthopyroxene and anhydrite forming at the expense of anorthite and clinopyroxene (diopside) at higher oxygen fugacities. However, they find andalusite to be the product of this reaction, whereas our model prefers cordierite in the basalt and alkali basalt compositions, and cordierite with andalusite in the granite. Barsukov et al. (1982) report small amounts of cordierite as a calculated product from their granitic protolith. Our results agree with those of Treiman and Schwenzer (2009), finding cordierite rather than andalusite to be the dominant phase when calculating phase equilibria using bulk rock
compositions and solid solutions. This is also supported in results presented by Klose and Zolotov (1992), where andalusite is not a stable phase when solid solution models are considered.

All energy minimization models report the presence of iron-bearing phases such as magnetite, hematite, and pyrite. Barsukov et al. (1982) calculated the presence of either magnetite or pyrite in basalts and granites at the mean planetary radius. Klose et al. (1992a), calculated that magnetite is stable rather than pyrite at mean radius conditions, but concluded that the magnetite/pyrite phase boundary varies with the redox state of the atmosphere, and stabilizes pyrite only at higher elevations. Our model agrees with their findings supporting the stability of either magnetite, hematite, or both at planetary radius, while pyrite should be present at higher elevation where sulfur- and oxygen-fugacities may vary. Zolotov (1994) concluded that hematite solid solutions with ilmenite and geikielite are stable to lower $fO_2$ than pure hematite, which is in agreement with our results in calculations using ilmenite-hematite solid solutions. Pyrrhotite is only stable at reduced conditions according to Klose et al. (1992a), and is not likely a relevant phase at present Venus conditions, which is in agreement with our results.

Klose et al. (1992a) found rutile to be the Ti-bearing mineral in the basalt, which is in accordance with our results. Barsukov et al. (1982) reported either titanite or no Ti phase. We find titanite stable in the alkali basalt and granite compositions at low oxygen fugacities, but it is replaced by rutile with increasing oxidation state. Klose et al. (1992a) found spinel and corundum in their basaltic composition, while Barsukov et al. (1982) reported hercynite. Neither spinel nor corundum are stable in our basaltic compositions, although spinel as solid solution is found in the alkali basalt. Olivine and nepheline are also stable in the phase diagram of the alkali basalt composition.
Neither study that considered sulfur-bearing species found that calcite would be stable at conditions of either the mean radius or higher elevations. Our results agree with these findings. However, we report the stability of dolomite in the alkali basalt, and calcite in the granite at low oxygen- and relatively high sulfur fugacities as a result of low $f\text{SO}_2/f\text{S}_2$ and therefore outside the range of anhydrite stability.

4.3. Comparison to experimental data

A large number of experimental works have studied gas-solid reactions at Venus conditions using either minerals or rocks as reacting solids, a variety of gas mixtures, and varying pressure-temperature conditions (see Zolotov, 2018 for a review). It is beyond the scope of this paper to discuss the differences in experimental conditions and results, we will focus on general observations. Most studies report the formation of Fe-oxides such as magnetite and/or hematite during the alteration of basaltic compositions and particularly olivine in a CO$_2$-rich environment (e.g., Fegley et al., 1995a; Berger et al., 2019; Teffeteller et al., 2019; Filiberto et al., 2020). Our results agree with these findings: both magnetite and hematite are thermodynamically stable on Venus and their presence would depend on oxygen fugacity, which is currently poorly constrained.

In the presence of sulfur-bearing gases, the majority of experimental studies suggest the reaction of Ca-bearing carbonates and other Ca-bearing phases such as pyroxenes to form anhydrite and other Na/Ca-bearing sulfates (Fegley and Prinn, 1989; Aveline et al., 2011; Radoman-Shaw et al., 2017; Berger et al., 2019). While anhydrite seems to be the most common mineral in experimental studies, thenardite and glauberite also form from Na-bearing basaltic glass under oxidizing conditions relevant for Venus (Berger et al., 2019) and Earth (Palm et al., 2018; Renggli and King, 2018). The thermochemical databases that we used do
not include Na-bearing sulfates, but we predict the presence of anhydrite at most Venus conditions, which agrees with experimental results. Sulfatization reactions occur within hours to days on laboratory timescales and therefore would be expected to go rapidly to completion on the surface of Venus. However, the chemical compositions of Venus surface materials do not show that all their Ca was converted to CaSO₄ (e.g., Barsukov et al., 1986b; Fegley and Treiman, 1992; Zolotov and Volkov, 1992), which suggests that anhydrite formation is relatively slow on Venus’ surface (Gilmore et al., 2017). Experimental data implies the migration of Ca and Na in glass to form sulfates on the surface of the solid material and possibly suppressed recrystallization of silicates below surfaces (Zolotov, 2018). Diffusional transport mechanics therefore seem to be rate controlling factors for the formation of sulfates (Renggli and King, 2018) and reactions may slow down once the surface layer has reacted with the atmosphere and the transport of elements is less feasible. Alternatively, the observed S/Ca atomic ratios <1 could be the result of Ca-Na plagioclase being stable with respect to sulfatization (e.g., Zolotov, 2018). Our model assumes equilibrium conditions with the formation of anhydrite going to completion together with cordierite and quartz as additional reaction products, which are not likely to be expected on the surface if reactions are limited by diffusion.

The stability of Fe-sulfides remains a controversial issue in experimental studies, which is mainly due to significant uncertainties in abundances of S₂, COS, H₂S, and CO in the lower atmosphere (Marcq et al., 2018; Johnson and Oliveira, 2019) as well as in the reaction chambers and variety in gases used to assess the stability of Fe-sulfide minerals. Several experimental studies found that pyrite was not stable on Venus’ surface and suggested pyrrhotite might be stable instead (Fegley and Treiman, 1992; Fegley, 1997; Fegley et al., 1997a; Hong and Fegley, 1998, 1997; Fegley et al., 1995b). In contrast, Port et al. (2016) found that pyrite could be stable. Berger et al. (2019) found pyrite in an experimental run that was exposed to accidental cooling, which could indicate pyrite stability at higher elevations although
the concentrations of S-bearing gases were uncertain in their experiments. Sulfide stability is strongly dependent on the composition of the atmosphere (Zolotov, 2019), and our results confirm that even small changes in the fugacities of sulfur and oxygen gas can have strong effects on the stability of pyrite. Without more precise and accurate constraints on composition of Venus’ atmosphere near its surface, we cannot make any definite predictions about the stability of sulfides on Venus.

4.4. Water-bearing phases on Venus

The abundance of H₂O is very low in today’s atmosphere, ~ 30 ppm (Fegley, 2014; Marcq et al., 2018; Zolotov, 2018) but it has been argued that Venus has lost a significant amount of water throughout its history based on the high deuterium-hydrogen ratio measured in the Venusian atmosphere (Donahue et al., 1982; Kumar and Taylor, 1985b; Bergh et al., 1991; Krasnopolsky, 2010). How Venus transitioned from a possibly water-bearing to a water-poor and hot atmosphere is not known and models have suggested a rapid loss (Kasting and Pollack, 1983; Kasting, 1988), a monotonic decline (Grinspoon, 1993), or a sporadic decline (Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007). In particular a sporadic decline model (Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007) could have caused more recent fluctuations in atmospheric H₂O and hence resulted in the formation of OH-bearing phases. Our model shows that water-bearing phases can form in a predominantly CO₂-rich environment with only a small proportion of H₂O present. Experiments with added H₂O do show the formation of amphibole (hornblende), chlorite, and celadonite (Berger et al., 2019), phases that are also common in our thermodynamic models. While the present surface conditions would likely have resulted in the dehydration of these phases, they may have been present during an earlier stage of Venus or still exist in the subsurface that is not in contact with the atmosphere (Zolotov, 2018). However, decomposition experiments have shown that
tremolite could survive over geological time scales under Venus surface conditions (Johnson and Fegley, 2000, 2003a, 2003b).

4.5. Radar anomalies at higher elevations

The nature of the high radar backscatter material at higher elevations is still a matter of debate. Here, we only discuss the relevance of our model for the radar anomalies in the northern latitude since we have not considered possible ferroelectric materials that can explain the radar behavior at Ovda Regio and other equatorial highlands (Treiman et al., 2016). A number of materials have been suggested as cause for the high radar backscatter at Maxwell Montes, including semiconductor minerals such as pyrite (Ford and Pettengill, 1983; Klose et al., 1992a; Zolotov and Volkov, 1992; Pettengill et al., 1992, 1996; Wood, 1997), although a relatively large amount would be required to match the permittivity values (Gilmore et al., 2017). The stability of pyrite on Venus’ highlands, however, was questioned since the atmosphere was considered too oxidizing (Fegley et al., 1997b) and other substances were suggested including perovskite (Fegley et al., 1992), chalcogenide compounds (Brackett et al., 1995), and ‘heavy metal frosts’ (Pettengill et al., 1996; Schaefer and Fegley, 2004). The elements in most of these compounds are rare in the solar system, and particularly so in igneous rocks (e.g., Bi, Te, Nb), and would therefore require significant enrichment processes to be present in abundances that match the radar properties (Treiman et al., 2016; Gilmore et al., 2017). Furthermore, experimental studies have shown that many of these are not stable at highland surface conditions, or are stable at all Venus conditions and can therefore not explain the changes in radar reflectivity with elevation (Kohler et al., 2012, 2013, 2014; Guandique et al., 2014; Port et al., 2020).

Results of our work are consistent with earlier suggestions that pyrite could be stable at higher elevation. Furthermore, at specific oxygen- and sulfur fugacities, pyrite transitions to
hematite at higher elevations (Fig. 4), which could provide an alternative explanation for the sudden drop in radar brightness in certain regions. Therefore, we suggest that pyrite could cause the high radar backscatter material on Maxwell Montes and possibly other high elevation areas.

5. Conclusions

We used calculations of equilibrium mineral assemblages in multi-component gas-solid systems open with respect to CO₂, O₂ and S₂ gases to model rock-atmosphere reactions at Venus surface conditions for whole rock compositions ranging from basaltic to granitic. In sulfur-free model runs with CO₂-H₂O fluids that could possibly reflect an early, water-rich Venus or present-day subsurface, amphibole and biotite are the dominant OH-bearing minerals but are replaced by H-free assemblages at high X_{CO₂}. Calcite may occur at lower temperature in the alkali-rich basalt. At high fSO₂, however, Ca-carbonates are not stable at conditions of planetary radius and instead replaced by anhydrite. The stabilities of Fe-oxides and sulfides are very sensitive to variations in atmospheric composition and fugacities that are currently not well known for the surface of Venus. However, even when considering a larger range of oxygen and sulfur fugacities, our work is consistent with previous findings that conditions at the modal planetary radius are close to the magnetite-hematite transition for all considered rock types, while the assemblage of hematite + anhydrite ± pyrite may be more likely at lower temperatures (and pressures). The presence of pyrite may therefore be responsible for the observed radar brightness of some mountain tops, negating the need for highly uncommon phases such as chalcogenides and ‘heavy metal frosts’.
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Table 1: Solution models and pure phases used in phase equilibria calculations.

Table 2: Whole-rock starting compositions: basalt, alkali basalt, granite. Oxides are normalized to 100 by Perple_X.

Figure 1: Modeled mineral phases as a function of fluid composition ($X_{CO_2}$) and temperatures at 95.6 bars for the three protoliths (whole-rock compositions in Table 2) using the quartz-fayalite-magnetite (QFM; a, c, e) and magnetite-hematite (MH; b, d, f) buffers. Color coding represents the proportion of hematite in the hematite-ilmenite solid solution (abbreviated as Ilm), which varies with protolith and oxygen buffers. Textures represent the following: calcite – diagonal lines; calcite + dolomite – dashed lines; dolomite – solid lines; dolomite + magnesite – diamonds. Mineral abbreviations are listed in Table 1.

Figure 2: Phase equilibria for the basalt composition as a function of oxygen and sulfur fugacities and at temperature-pressure conditions relevant for planetary radius (740K, 96.6 bar; a) and high elevations (660 K, 50 bar; b). The black boxes represent fugacities that are most likely expected at planetary radius and at higher elevation, including larger uncertainties. Hematite – red, magnetite – brown, pyrite – dark yellow, pyrrhotite – bright yellow, ilmenite + magnetite – purple, ilmenite – blue, ilmenite + pyrrhotite – green. The composition of the gas in equilibrium with the solid phase is shown in c) and d). Concentrations of the gas species are
very low opposite the “gas in” (e.g., H$_2$O in) labels of the solid line, while dashed lines represent the maximum amount of gas species. H$_2$ not shown due to low concentration.

**Figure 3:** Modeled abundance of Fe-, and S-bearing minerals as a function of temperature at three different $f_{O_2}$ and $f_{S_2}$ for the basaltic protolith. Temperature conditions at modal planetary radius are indicated by the green box. The critical altitude (dashed black box) represents altitudes at which the emissivity drops steeply and is estimated to lie between ~ 2 km up to 5 km depending on regional differences. The dashed cyan line represents conditions Maxwell Montes, the highest altitude on Venus. Solid lines: mineral modes calculated for conditions of $f_{O_2} = 10^{-21}$ bars and $f_{S_2} = 10^{-5}$ bars relevant for modal planetary radius. If these fugacities were constant with decreasing temperature (and therefore altitude), pyrite would not be stable. Dashed lines: mineral modes at lower oxygen fugacities than determined on Venus’ surface with variations in sulfur fugacities. If fugacities change with elevation, pyrite could be a stable phase at lower temperatures and higher elevation. Pyrite is not expected to be stable at conditions relevant for the modal planetary radius, which is indicated by the faded part of the curve.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral or solid solution, abbreviation used in Perple_X</th>
<th>Formula of main components</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphiboles</strong>&lt;br&gt;Clinoamphibole, cAmph (G)</td>
<td>tremolite: (Ca_2Mg_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)<em>2)  Fe-tremolite: (Ca_2Fe_5Si_8O</em>{22}(OH)<em>2)  grunerite: (Fe_7Si_8O</em>{22}(OH)<em>2)  cummingtonite: (Mg_7Si_8O</em>{22}(OH)_2)  pargasite: (Na(Ca,Mg,Fe)_2(Mg,Fe)<em>3(Mg,Fe)AlSi_6Al_2O</em>{22}(OH)_2)  K-pargasite (K(Ca,Mg,Fe)<em>2(Mg,Fe)AlSi_6Al_2O</em>{22}(OH)_2)  tschermakite: ((Ca,Mg,Fe)_2(Mg,Fe)<em>3Al_2Si_6Al_2O</em>{22}(OH)_2)  Ti-Fe(^{3+}) tschermakite ((Ca,Mg,Fe)_2(Mg,Fe)_3(Ti,Fe^{3+})<em>2Si_6Al_2O</em>{22}(OH)_2)  glaucophane-riebeckite: (Na_2(Mg,Fe)_3(Al,Fe^{3+})<em>2Si_8O</em>{22}(OH)_2)</td>
<td>Amp</td>
<td>Green et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pyroxenes</strong>&lt;br&gt;Clinopyroxene, Augite(G)</td>
<td>diopside: (CaMgSi_2O_6)  hedenbergite: (CaFeSi_2O_6)  jadeite: (NaAlSi_2O_6)  Ca-tschermakss: (CaAl_2SiO_6)  acmite: (NaFe^{2+}Si_2O_6)</td>
<td>Cpx</td>
<td>Green et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopyroxene, Opx(W)</td>
<td>enstatite: (Mg_2SiO_6)  ferrosilite: (Fe_2SiO_6)  Mg-Fe-tschermakss: ((Mg,Fe)Al_2SiO_6)  Fe(^{3+})-tschermakss: ((Mg,Fe)Fe^{3+}AlSi_2O_6)</td>
<td>Opx</td>
<td>White et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feldspars</strong>&lt;br&gt;K-feldspar, Kf</td>
<td>microcline: (KAlSi_3O_8)  albite: (NaAlSi_3O_8)</td>
<td>Kfs</td>
<td>Thompson &amp; Waldbaum, 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase, Pl(h)</td>
<td>high-albite: (NaAlSi_3O_8)  anorthite: (NaAlSi_3O_8)</td>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>Newton et al., 1980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$

feldspar

* anorthite: CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$
* microcline: KAlSi$_3$O$_8$
* high-albite: NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$

Fsp Fuhrman and Lindsley, 1988

Phyllosilicates

Chlorite, Chl(W)

* clinochlore: Mg$_5$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$
* daphnite: Fe$_5$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$
* amesite: Mg$_4$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$
* Al-free-chlorite: Mg$_2$Si$_2$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$

Chl White et al., 2014

Biotite, Bio(TCC)

* annite: KFe$_3$AlSi$_3$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$
* phlogopite: KMg$_3$AlSi$_3$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$
* eastonite: KMg$_2$Al$_3$Si$_2$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$

Bt Tajčmanová et al., 2009

White mica, Mica (W)

* margarite: CaAl$_4$Si$_2$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$
* muscovite: KAl$_3$Si$_3$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$
* paragonite: NaAl$_3$Si$_3$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$
* celadonite: K(Fe,Mg)AlSi$_4$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$

Ms White et al., 2014

Talc, T

* talc: Mg$_3$Si$_4$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$
* Fe-talc: Fe$_3$Si$_4$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$
* talc-tschermak: Mg$_2$Al$_3$Si$_2$O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$

Tlc ideal

Other silicates

Epidote, Ep (HP11)

* Fe-epidote: Ca$_2$Fe$_2$Al$_5$Si$_3$O$_{12}$(OH)
* clinozoisite: Ca$_2$Al$_3$Si$_3$O$_{12}$(OH)

Ep Holland and Powell, 2011

Olivine, Ol(HP)

* forsterite: Mg$_2$SiO$_4$
* fayalite: Fe$_2$SiO$_4$

Ol Holland and Powell, 1996; 1998

titanite (sphene) CaTiSiO$_5$
ttn pure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>qz</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepheline</td>
<td>NaAlSiO₄</td>
<td>nph</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucite</td>
<td>KAlSi₂O₆</td>
<td>lct</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andalusite</td>
<td>Al₂SiO₅</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordierite</td>
<td>Mg₃Al₂Si₅O₁₈</td>
<td>crd</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbonates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite, Do(HP)</td>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>Dol</td>
<td>Holland and Powell, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaFe(CO₃)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesite, M(HP)</td>
<td>Magnesite</td>
<td>Mgs</td>
<td>Holland and Powell, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgCO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeCO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>cal</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinel, Sp(WPC)</td>
<td>Spinel:</td>
<td>Spl</td>
<td>White et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgAl₂O₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hércynite:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeAl₂O₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ulvöspinel:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe₂TiO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetite:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite, Ilm(WPH)</td>
<td>Ilmenite:</td>
<td>Ilm</td>
<td>White et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeTiO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hématite:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>hem</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geikielite:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgTiO₃</td>
<td>mag</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>hem</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>mag</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutile</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sulfides/sulfates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite, Py(HP)</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Troilite</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td>Holland and Powell, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeS₀₈₈S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>CaSO₄</td>
<td>anh</td>
<td>Pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>basalt&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>alkali basalt&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>granite&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt. %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>12.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Venus surface alteration is modeled for various O$_2$ and S$_2$ fugacities.

Iron oxide and sulfide stability is dependent on gas fugacities and temperature.

Magnetite-hematite transition is located close to planetary radius conditions.

Pyrite may cause the radar properties of the higher elevation highlands.
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T = 660 K; P = 50 bars
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(a) Alkali Basalt
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Figure 4 (b) Alkali Basalt
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Figure 4 (c) Granite
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Figure 4 (d) Granite
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