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Can Social Categorisation Elicit Own-Group Biases in Face Recognition
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Biases in face recognition

**Own Race Bias**
- Meissner & Brigham (2001)
  - meta analysis of 39 studies & ~5000 participants
  - found a substantial overall own race effect
  - ~ 1.5x more likely to correctly identify a face of our own race & misidentify a face of another race

**Own Age Bias**
- Rhodes & Anastasi (2012)
  - meta analysis of hits 43 studies & 4101 participants
  - accuracy was reliably better for same-age compared with other-age faces for children, younger and older adults
  - No single, unified theory of why these effects occur
We have more contact with some groups of faces than others, and are therefore more experienced with those faces...

So... are we own-group face experts?

Face recognition based on perception of facial characteristics which vary on a continuum from discrete/featural → relational/configural

- **Configural processing** underpins perceptual expertise
Expertise & face processing

- The Face Inversion Effect
  - Face recognition more impaired by inversion than other objects (Yin, 1969)
  - Inversion disproportionately affects configural/holistic processing
Expertise & face processing

- Composite Face Effect
  - More difficult to recognise face halves when they are aligned, compared to misaligned
Expertise & face processing

- Composite Face Effect
  - More difficult to recognise face halves when they are aligned, compared to misaligned
Expertise & the own race bias

We have more contact with some groups of faces than others, and are therefore more experienced with those faces...

So... are we own-group face experts?

- The Own-Race Bias
    - Inversion affects own race more than other race faces
    - Own-race faces processed more configurally
    - Composite faces to show faces of different races to our own are perceived less holistically/configurally
Expertise & the own age bias

The Own-Age Bias

- **Perfect & Moon (2005)**
  - opposite pattern was found
  - inversion to affected other-age faces more than own age faces

- **Kuefner et al (2008)**
  - used participants with high and low contact to other-age faces
  - a greater inversion effect for adult faces compared to child faces was found for the low contact group
  - in contrast, the inversion cost was similar for both age faces for the high contact participants

- **deHerring & Rossion (2008)**
  - used the composite face effect with high and low contact adults
  - low contact group showed a larger CFE for adult compared to children’s faces
  - high contact group showed no CFE difference for the two stimuli age groups
Social explanations...

Based on...
- Rodin’s Social Disregard Model (1987)
  - we are “cognitive misers” who only pay attention to what is important to us, as a result we cognitively disregard what/who is not, making them “invisible”

Recent social categorisation theories
- Sporer’s In-Group Out-Group Model (2001)
- Hugenberg, Young, Bernstein, & Sacco (2010)
  - superior recognition of in-group faces results from an initial categorization of a face as belonging to an in-group or out-group
  - if an out-group cue detected, faces are subjected to shallow processes, at category level (featural)
  - if an in-group cue is detected, deeper individuating processing takes place (configural)
Social explanations...

- Sporer’s In-Group Out-Group Model (2001)

- Hugenberg, Young, Bernstein, & Sacco (2010)
  - focuses on how social motivation can affect the classification of the face
Is “mere categorisation” enough?

Beyond physiognomic markers

• Bernstein, Young, & Hugenberg, 2007
  • Faces classified at encoding by either:
    - University Affiliation
    - ‘Personality Type’
  
• Own-Group Bias found for both!
Experiment 1

• Materials
  • 80 digital photos of young adult white males
    • smiling & neutral positions

• Procedure
  • learning phase
    • 40 photos at a rate of 3 seconds per picture
    • half labelled Sussex; half labelled OU
  • filler task
  • test phase
    • 40 seen before in alternate pose
    • 40 new faces
    • participants were asked to make “old”/”new” judgements
    • faces counterbalanced for old/new; smiling/neutral; Sussex/OU
Results
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Findings - summary

Social Categorisation

• If Own Group Biases are driven by categorisation of faces as own- or other-group, one would expect to see:
  • a recognition advantage for faces labelled as own group, compared to other group
  • (i.e. a significant interaction between group membership of participants and faces)

• Results are more compatible with a perceptual expertise account.

...however maybe University membership isn’t socially important enough
Families divided by Brexit: ‘Part of me just wants to avoid my dad completely now’

In June, the EU referendum result created many family rifts, especially between young remainers and older relatives who voted leave. Has time been a healer, or are the arguments still raging?

Brexit breakup: did it cause a rift between you and your partner?

Has your relationship come under strain since Brexit? Did it add pressure when you and your partner were on the brink of divorce?

Share stories
Experiment 2

• Materials  
  • 80 digital photos of young adult white males  
    • smiling & neutral positions

• Procedure  
  • learning phase  
    • 40 photos at a rate of 3 seconds per picture  
    • half labelled ‘Leave’; half labelled ‘Remain’  
  • filler task
  • test phase  
    • 40 seen before in alternate pose  
    • 40 new faces  
    • participants were asked to make “old”/”new” judgements  
    • faces counterbalanced for old/new; smiling/neutral; voting type
Experiment 2

Did you vote in the recent EU Referendum?

- Yes
- No

What did you vote for?

- Leave
- Remain

How do you feel about the outcome of the referendum?

- I'm very unhappy about the outcome
- I'm somewhat unhappy about the outcome
- I am neither happy nor unhappy about the outcome
- I'm somewhat happy about the outcome
- I'm very happy about the outcome

Did you vote in the recent EU Referendum?

- Yes
- No

If you were told that you had to vote now, what would you vote for?

- Leave
- Remain

How do you feel about the outcome of the referendum?

- I'm very unhappy about the outcome
- I'm somewhat unhappy about the outcome
- I am neither happy nor unhappy about the outcome
- I'm somewhat happy about the outcome
- I'm very happy about the outcome
Significant effect of facial category:
\[ F(1,77)=5.65, \ p=.02, \ \eta_p^2=.07 \]

Significant interaction:
\[ F(1,77)=6.20, \ p=.015, \ \eta_p^2=.08 \]
Results

Significant effect of facial category:
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Significant interaction:
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Results

Satisfaction with vote outcome

- I'm very happy about the outcome
- I'm somewhat happy about the outcome
- I'm neither happy nor unhappy about the outcome
- I'm somewhat unhappy about the outcome
- I'm very unhappy about the outcome

Frequency of responses (%)

Leave  Remain

The Open University
Results

• D’Prime difference scores: d’ for remain faces – d’ for leave faces
  • Larger positive d’ diff score = better recognition for remain faces
  • More negative d’ scores = better recognition for leave faces

• Satisfaction scale (1-5):
  • Low scores unhappy with the outcome; high score happy

• Spearman correlation showed a significant negative relationship between these two variables (r_s=-.22, p<.05)
  • those with stronger attitudes towards the referendum outcomes had a larger difference scores
  • the more unhappy participants were with the referendum outcome, the greater their recognition bias towards remain faces
  • the happier participants were with the outcome, the greater their recognition advantage for leave faces
Findings - interpretation

Social Categorisation

• If Own Group Biases are driven by categorisation of faces as own- or other- group, one would expect to see:
  - ✔ a recognition advantage for faces labelled as own-group, over out-group faces
  - ✔ a correlation between in-group recognition advantage and indices of social interest/motivation in that group
  - ✗ a recognition advantage for faces labelled as own-group, over out-group faces

Perceptual Expertise

• If the Own Group Biases are the result of perceptual expertise, we would expect to see:
  - ✔ no recognition advantages for own-group faces
  - ✔ no correlation between in-group recognition advantage and indices of social interest/motivation in that group
  - ✗ no correlation between in-group recognition advantage and indices of social interest/motivation in that group
Final Thoughts

Mixed support…

- Could it be that social categorisation is enough to elicit own group biases, provided we feel strongly enough about the groupings?

- …or Type 1 Error?
  - Similar study with Premier League supporters found no simple interaction between in/out group membership and in/out group faces
  - No relationship with The Sport Spectator Identification Scale (Wann & Branscombe, 1993); a measure of fandom
  - Many others that I’m aware of who have carried out similar studies and found nothing
Thank you!

...any questions?
Football Faces

Do you support a premier league football team? If so, which football team do you support?

- I don’t support a football team
- The team I support isn’t listed here
- AFC Bournemouth
- Arsenal
- Burnley
- Chelsea
- Crystal Palace
- Everton
- Hull City
- Leicester City
- Liverpool
- Manchester City
- Manchester United
- Middlesbrough
- Southampton
- Stoke City
- Sunderland
- Swansea City
- **Tottenham Hotspur**
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