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A B S T R A C T

A combination of new 40Ar/39Ar dating results, major- and trace-element data, plus Sr-Nd-Pb-Hf isotope data, are used to investigate the petrogenesis of Triassic high-Si adakite (HSA), Cretaceous low-Si adakite-like (LSA) lavas, and Cretaceous high-K and shoshonitic trachyandesite lavas, from eastern and south-central Mongolia. All samples are light rare-earth element and large-ion lithophile element enriched but depleted in some high-field strength elements (notably Nb, Ta and Ti). Two alternative models are proposed to explain the petrogenesis of the HSA samples. (1) A southward-subducting Mongol-Okhotsk slab underwent partial melting in the Triassic during the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean, with the resultant melts assimilating mantle and crustal material. Alternatively (2), a basaltic underplate of thickened (>50 km; >1.5 GPa), eclogitic lower crust foundered into the underlying mantle, and underwent partial melting with minor contamination from mantle material and some shallow-level crustal contamination. The LSA samples are interpreted as melts derived from a lithospheric mantle wedge that was previously metasomatised by slab melts. Similarly, the trachyandesite lavas are interpreted as melts deriving from a subduction-enriched subcontinental lithospheric mantle. The spatial distribution of these samples implies that metasomatism likely occurred due to a southward-subducting Mongol-Okhotsk slab associated with the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. When this interpretation is combined with previous evidence for a northward-subducting Mongol-Okhotsk slab it advocates that the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean closed with double-sided subduction.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of International Association for Gondwana Research. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Far East Russia, central Mongolia and NE China form part of the Central Asian Orogenic Belt (CAOB), located between the Siberian Craton to the north, and the Tarim and North China Craton to the south, and extends for >4000 km from the Tian Shan of Central Asia in the west, to the Sea of Okhotsk in the east (e.g., Windley et al., 2007; Rippington et al., 2008, 2013; Zhou et al., 2010; Zhou and Wilde, 2013; Safonova and Santosh., 2014; Xiao et al., 2015; Safonova, 2017). Although the Paleozoic tectonic evolution of NE China was dominated by the amalgamation of microcontinental massifs and the closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (e.g., Sengör et al., 1993; Sengör and Natal’in, 1996), the Mesozoic tectonic evolution of Far East Russia, central Mongolia and NE China was largely influenced by Paleo-Pacific and Mongol-Okhotsk tectonic systems (e.g., Tang et al., 2014; Dash et al., 2015). Thus, the CAOB is a large and complex accretionary orogenic belt, influenced by the closure of multiple oceans.

The Mongol-Okhotsk suture (Fig. 1) comprises of ophiolites (Zonenshain et al., 1990; Tomurtogoo et al., 2005) and sediments containing marine fossils (Halim et al., 1998), attesting to the existence of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean (e.g., Seton et al., 2012). However, how and when the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean closed is still a matter of debate despite being integral to unravelling the geological complexities of the CAOB (e.g., Bussien et al., 2011; Ruppen et al., 2014; Fritzzell et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2019). This ocean formed in the Carboniferous between the continental blocks of Siberia to the north and Amuria (Mongolia)–North China blocks to the south.
The final closure time of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean is estimated to have occurred sometime between the Late Jurassic (~155 Ma) and the beginning of the Early Cretaceous (~120 Ma) and intrusions and marine fossils found in the Mongol-Okhotsk suture young from west to east, suggesting that the ocean closure started in the west and ended in the east (e.g., Zonenshain et al., 1990; Halim et al., 1998; Kravchinsky et al., 2002; Tomurtogoo et al., 2005; Van der Voo et al., 2015). However, there is uncertainty whether this ocean closed with double-sided subduction, or whether there was subduction along the northern, or southern margin only (e.g., Windley et al., 2010; Bussien et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015; Van der Voo et al., 2015; Fritzell et al., 2016). Evidence for northwards subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic plate includes arc-related calc-alkaline granodiorites, granites and quartz diorites (Zorin, 1999) in the Khentey and Dauria zones (Fig. 1), seismic tomographic images of slabs (Van der Voo et al., 1999); detrital zircon analysis studies from the Hangai – Khentey basin (Badarch et al., 2002; Kelty et al., 2008; Bussien et al., 2011) and numerical modelling results (Fritzell et al., 2016). However, zircon analysis studies, on samples from the Ereendavaa terrane and Middle Gobi volcanic belt, south of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture, suggest that there was also subduction on the southern margin and indicate that the final suturing of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean occurred after the Triassic (Bussien et al., 2011). Nevertheless, some models have advocated for northward or southward subduction only (e.g., Meng,

Fig. 1. A sketch map of Mongolia showing the sample areas and the distribution of Mesozoic mafic to intermediate volcanism south of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture (MOS). Sample areas: (B) low-Si adakite and Group 1 samples and (C) high-Si adakite and Group 2 samples. The distribution of volcanism is based on Mongolian government geological maps and field observations. The distribution of Mesozoic sedimentary basins is from Johnson et al. (2001). Two small insert geological maps from Tomurtogoo, 1999 show the surrounding geology next to the low-Si adakite (Map B) and high-Si adakite (Map C) localities (black stars). Insert maps key: 1, basalt and basalt-andesite formations; 2, tufogenic-terrigenous formations; 3–6, granite-granodiorite formations; 7–8, terrigenous sediment; 9, carbonate-terrigenous formation; 10–11, rhyolite; 12, coal-bearing molasse; and 13, poly-metamorphic complex.
The North China Craton and southern Mongolia underwent widespread removal of lithospheric mantle in the Mesozoic (e.g., Gao et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2002; Menzies et al., 2007; Sheldrick et al., 2018). Yet little is understood as to whether the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean influenced Mesozoic magmatic and/or lithospheric removal processes; for example, Bars et al. (2018) concluded that Mesozoic magmatism across southern Mongolia and the North China Craton was due to Paleo-Pacific slab roll-back rather than from any involvement of a southward-subducting Mongol-Okhotsk ocean plate. Other researchers have attributed double-sided subduction to facilitating Mesozoic magmatic processes in southern Mongolia (e.g., Windley et al., 2010; Sheldrick et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2018). In the North China Craton, voluminous granitoid magmatism has been attributed to southward subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk Plate (Liu et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019), while a slab window model, for the genesis of volcanism in the Great Xing’an Range, was suggested by Zhang (2014). Recent research from Deng et al. (2019) also proposes that flat-subslab motion of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic plate might explain magmatism in the Great Xing’an Range and contemporaneous foreland folding and thrusting. Furthermore, such large-scale models invoking southward subduction of the Mongol-Okhotsk oceanic plate could have had implications for asthenospheric flow (e.g., Barry et al., 2017) and may have facilitated convective erosion of the lithosphere (e.g., He, 2014, 2015).

To investigate whether an oceanic slab was subducted southwards beneath southern Mongolia and potentially northern China, we examine two occurrences of adakitic lavas from south of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture and compare them to nearby Mesozoic lavas (Fig. 1). The term “adakite” has caused unnecessary confusion in the literature, because adakites are often interpreted to be partial fractional crystallisation of late stage accessory minerals such as apatite (Moyen, 2009). Traditionally rock classifications are based on mineralogy/geochemistry rather than melting process or source (e.g., Winchester and Floyd, 1977), and we suggest that this is the best approach. Herein, we classify our samples as adakite/adakitic based on major- and trace-element geochemistry alone (Defant and Drummond, 1990; Castillo, 2006): low MgO (<3 wt %), high Al2O3 (>15 wt %), high SiO2 (>56 wt %), high Sr (>300 ppm), low Yb (<1.9 ppm) and high La/Yb (>20).

2. Geological setting

Low-silica adakite (LSA) samples (TCS 7.1 to TCS 7.7) were collected from a large outcrop on the border of the Adaatsag and Ereendavaa terrane (Fig. 1). The Adaatsag terrane, which extends into northeast Russia, is described as an accretionary wedge (Badarch et al., 2002) and represents part of the Mongol-Okhotsk Belt; a part of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. As described by Badarch (2005), the Adaatsag terrane contains serpentinized dunites and harzburgites associated with Carboniferous layered gabbro, sheeted mafic dykes, basalts overlain by red cherts and clastic sediments, suggesting an evolved ocean crust existed within the Carboniferous. The adjacent Ereendavaa terrane extends into Russia and NE China and is a cratonic terrane that includes plutonic granite intrusions as young as Lower Jurassic (Badarch et al., 2002). Samples TCS 7.1 to 7.7 represent different units through a sequence of lavas; where TCS 7.1 was at the top and TCS 7.7 at the base, of the sequence. Trachyandesite and trachyte lavas (TCS 2.1 to 13.1; Group 1) were also collected from the area around the LSA outcrop (~15–160 km from the LSA outcrop) and are included in this study for comparison.

High-silica adakite (HSA) samples were collected from a large outcrop ~45 km west of Choir, close to the Tsagandelger area (Fig. 1). These lavas are located on the Middle Gobi volcanic belt (Badarch et al., 2002). In the Tsagandelger area, numerous other Mesozoic volcanic rocks occur within graben structures. As described by Dash et al. (2015), these rocks, here termed Group 2, are shoshonite and absarokite in composition, and in the Tsagandelger area have been dated at 114 ± 0.7 Ma (40Ar/39Ar method).

3. Petrography

The LSA lavas are hypocrystalline with a glomeroporphyritic texture (Fig. 2A). The main glomerocrysts are formed of plagioclase feldspar and augite. There are occasional sanidine phenocrysts as well as rare sericitisation of plagioclase. The groundmass makes up ~80% of the rock volume and is dominated by plagioclase feldspar (some containing apatite inclusions) and minor opaque minerals.

The HSA samples are fresh, glomeroporphyritic, hypocrystalline and contain more glass than the LSA lavas (Fig. 2B). The glomerocrysts are composed of clinopyroxene (augite and minor pigeonite) and there are separate phenocrysts of enstatite (Fig. 2C) and plagioclase feldspar. Some of the enstatite crystals show evidence of resorption and there are also clusters of quartz crystals with melted/resorbed edges (Fig. 2D). The groundmass makes up ~90% of the rock volume and consists primarily of plagioclase feldspar.

Group 1 trachyandesite outcrops have undergone weathering, are vesicular (commonly infilled with siliceous material) and have oxidised and weathered tops. Samples (TCS 2.1, 3.1 & 4.1) contain carbonate amygdalae. The trachyandesite lavas are hypocrystalline and the groundmass contains abundant plagioclase, altered clinopyroxene and rare iddingsitised olivine (Fig. 2E–F). Plagioclase crystals have undergone partial (<5%) sericitisation. Plagioclase phenocrysts sometimes have sieve textures and oscillatory zoning, whereas some of the feldspar crystals, in the groundmass, contain apatite inclusions. Sample TCS 13.1 contains rosetta plagioclase phenocrysts and nepheline phenocrysts. A single trachyte sample, TCS 5.1, is hypocrystalline, contains crustal xenoliths, megacrysts of alkali feldspar and abundant antecrysts of plagioclase, which exhibit sieve textures and resorption features. This trachyte also contains altered clinopyroxene, alkali feldspar phenocrysts and aegirine phenocrysts which have oxidised and magnetite-rich reaction coronas (Fig. 2G–H).

The Group 2 trachyandesite lavas, near the HSA outcrop (~6–155 km), are described as having porphyritic textures with phenocrysts of hypersthene, augite, amphibole, mica and feldspar (Dash et al., 2015). The groundmass is dominantly glass and plagioclase.

4. Argon dating results

Age spectra and inverse isochron ages (36Ar/40Ar versus 39Ar/40Ar) were calculated for incremental heating experiments (Table 1). The 40Ar/39Ar plateau diagrams are presented in Fig. 3. The analytical methods are found in the online supplementary material.

Despite sample TCS 4.1 having a short plateau (38.6% 36Ar), it consists of many steps (n = 15) which suggests the preservation of an age component representative of the crystallisation age. The large staircase of ages in the early steps (Fig. 3A) likely reflects sample alteration (clay formation in plagioclase). Each step heating...
Fig. 2. Photomicrographs of low-Si adakite (A), high-Si adakite (B–D), trachyandesite (E & F) and trachyte (G & H) samples. Photomicrographs A–F in XPL and G–H in PPL: (A) sanidine, plagioclase and augite phenocrysts; (B) glomerophyritic texture; (C) an enstatite and augite phenocryst showing resorption features; (D) melted quartz crystals; (E) needle-like clinopyroxene as groundmass crystals; (F) microphenocrysts of iddingsitised olivine; (G) altered alkali feldspar xenocryst partially enclosed by quartz crystals; and (H) aegirine phenocrysts with magnetite-rich coronas.

Table 1
Summary of Argon dating results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>%^{39}Ar</th>
<th>Steps in plateau (n)</th>
<th>Plateau age (Ma)±2s</th>
<th>Isochron age (Ma)±2s</th>
<th>Isochron MSWD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCS 4.1</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>120.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>119.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCS 7.1</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>138.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>139.2 ± 6.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCS 7.7</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>138.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>138.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCS 59.2</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>229.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>230.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
experiment was run at high-resolution, meaning that many steps was utilised, in this case, n > 30. A high-resolution approach was used to attempt to ‘see through’ or unmix alteration and trapped components from radiogenic argon containing emplacement age information.

The two LSA samples (TCS 7.1 and 7.7) have plateau ages of 138.4 ± 2.8 and 138.8 ± 0.4 Ma respectively, which is in good agreement with calculated isochron ages of 139.2 ± 6.1 and 138.8 ± 0.4 Ma. The HSA sample (TCS 59.2) has a plateau age of 229.8 ± 0.6 Ma and is in good agreement with the isochron age of 230.3 ± 1.1 Ma. Finally, the Group 1 sample (TCS 4.1) has a plateau age of 120.7 ± 0.4 Ma and an isochron age of 119.0 ± 2.3 Ma.

Herein, we take the plateau age to represent the crystallisation age of our dated samples (Fig. 3).

5. Geochemical results

5.1. Rock classification and major-element variations

The analytical methods and data are found in the online supplementary material. The LSA, HSA and Group 1 samples have loss-on-ignition (LOI) values < 2 wt %, other than sample TCS 7.5, which has a LOI value of 4.75 wt %. Only one sample (4/2) from Group 2 has a LOI value > 3 wt %. Due to the higher LOI value for sample TCS 7.5 this sample is omitted from further study.

Rock classifications are presented in Fig. 4 using a SiO₂ wt. % vs. Nb/Y immobile element plot from Winchester and Floyd (1977). The LSA samples plot in the trachyandesite field, while the HSA samples plot in the dacite field. Group 1 and 2 samples plot predominantly in the basaltic-trachyandesite/trachybasalt to trachyandesite field. Sample TCS 5.1, from Group 1, classifies as a trachyte. The SiO₂ versus Na₂O + K₂O classification system (after Irvine and Baragar, 1971) discriminated the LSA samples as belonging to the alkaline series and the HSA samples in the sub-alkaline series (Fig. 5A). Other than three samples (TCS 5.1, TCS 13.1 and 4/626), the Group 1 and 2 samples plot in the alkaline series. The LSA and Group 1 samples have K-contents consistent with shoshonitic rocks or high-K rocks (Fig. 5A). The discriminant scheme from Hastie et al. (2007) uses elements Th and Co to further classify sub-alkaline volcanic rocks. On this Th-Co classification diagram, the HSA samples plot in the high-K/shoshonite field (Fig. 5B).

On a Y vs. Sr/Y plot (Fig. 6A), the LSA samples form a trend between end-member compositions for typical trachyandesite/trachyte and an adakite array. The HSA samples plot fully within the adakite array. Other than two samples (3/11 & 3/12) from Group 2, the Group 1 and 2 samples plot within the typical trachyandesite/trachyte array.

5.2. Trace-element and REE variations

The LSA and HSA samples have increasing La/Yb ratios with decreasing Yb (Fig. 6B) and the Group 2 samples have decreasing Zr concentrations with increasing SiO₂ (Fig. 7A). The LSA, Group 1 and 2 samples have clear correlations between SiO₂ and P, Sm and Yb (Fig. 7 B–C).

On the primitive mantle-normalised and chondrite normalisation diagrams (Fig. 8) the LSA, HSA, Group 1 and 2 samples are all enriched in the light rare-earth elements (LREE), high-field strength elements (HFSE) and large-ion lithophile elements (LILE) compared to N-MORB (normal mid-ocean ridge basalt; Gale et al., 2013). Furthermore, the study samples are much more depleted...
in the heavy rare-earth elements (HREE) compared to N-MORB, and have negative Nb and Ta anomalies. All the LSA samples have negative Y anomalies (Fig. 8A). In addition to all the samples having positive Pb anomalies they also have negative Sm and Ti anomalies. The LSA samples have positive Ba anomalies and are more enriched in the LREE and MREE compared to the HSA samples (Fig. 6A). The HSA samples are more enriched in Rb, Th and U but have much lower concentrations of Nb and Ta compared to the LSA samples. Group 1 and 2 samples commonly have positive Ba anomalies (Fig. 8B). All the samples are enriched in the fluid-mobile incompatible elements (e.g., Rb, Ba, K) compared to ocean island basalt (OIB; Sun and McDonough, 1989) and average continental arc basalt (CAB; Kelemen et al., 2003). Similarities between CAB and the Mongolian samples are evident from the mirroring of many element anomalies. However, all the Mongolian samples are more enriched in LILE, HFSE and LREE than CAB. The LSA and HSA samples, plus some of the Group 2 samples, are more depleted in HREE than typical CAB.

6. Fractional crystallisation

No olivine phenocrysts were identified in the LSA and HSA samples; this suggests that if there was any olivine fractionation, then it must have occurred in the parental magma. However, olivine fractionation is evident in Group 1 samples because iddingsitised olivine phenocrysts were identified in thin section studies (Fig. 2). Petrological studies identified augite in the LSA, HSA and Group 1 samples, as well as in Group 2 lavas by Dash et al. (2015), suggesting it was a fractionating phase. Decreasing Dy/Dy* vs. Dy/Yb (Fig. 7H) trends in all sample suites further indicate clinopyroxene fractionation. Chondrite-normalised Eu/Eu* numbers \( \frac{\text{Eu}}{\text{Eu}^*} = \left( \frac{\text{Eu}}{\text{Eu}^*} \right)_{\text{CHondrites}} \) range from 0.87 to 0.95 for the LSA samples and 0.83 to 0.95 for the HSA samples; this does not indicate significant plagioclase fractionation (or accumulation). Group 1 and 2 samples have Eu/Eu* numbers ranging from 0.74 to 0.84 and 0.66 to 0.86 respectively, and correlates positively with Sr, suggesting plagioclase fractionation was more significant than in the LSA and HSA (Fig. 7I).

Zircon and apatite fractionation can deplete melts of HREEs. The Group 2 samples and possibly the LSA samples have negative correlations between Zr and SiO\(_2\) likely reflecting zircon fractionation (Fig. 7A). The primitive mantle-normalisation diagrams show samples from all suites have negative Sm anomalies, possibly because of apatite fractionation (Fig. 8A–B). Furthermore, other than the HSA samples, clear trends are seen between P and SiO\(_2\), and Yb and Sm supporting apatite fractionation (Fig. 7B–G). The negative Y anomalies, along with low abundances of the HREEs (e.g., Yb, Lu) on the primitive mantle plots (Fig. 8A–B), for the LSA and HSA samples likely reflect residual garnet.

7. Source characterisation

On a plot of SiO\(_2\) versus Mg-number (Fig. 9) the LSA samples plot within the “slab melts” field (Sen and Dunn, 1994; Rapp et al., 1999, and references therein) and typically have lower Mg-numbers (from 0.33 to 0.39, where Mg-number = \( \frac{\text{molar Mg}/(\text{Mg}^*+\text{Fe}^*)}{} \)) than the HSA samples (0.31–0.49) which are more enriched in Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)T. If the LSA and HSA were derived from the same source, then this lower Mg-number for the LSA would not be consistent with a simple model that invoked assimilation of more mantle peridotite, as we would expect the LSA to have higher Mg-numbers. However, the LSA samples have Mg-numbers comparable to Group 1 lavas, between 0.21 and 0.39. The HSA samples also lie in, or close, to the “slab melting” field for SiO\(_2\) versus Mg-number, next to results for “slab + peridotite melting experiments (Rapp et al., 1999), suggesting only minor mantle assimilation, or extensive fractional crystallisation processes. Most of the HSA samples are comparable to global examples of Cenozoic adakites (Fig. 9) and have similar Mg-numbers to Carboniferous Mongolian adakites from the Shuteen Complex (Batkhishig et al., 2010). Three of the HSA samples have Mg-numbers ranging from 0.47 to 0.49 at >63 wt % SiO\(_2\). However, although the HSA samples have higher Mg-numbers than some adakitic crustal melts (Fig. 9; Lingqu Basin and Huichang Basin), they are comparable to others (Fig. 9; Ningzhen area, east China; and Awulale-Sanchakou (A&S), of the Xinjiang Tianshan region, China) which have been hypothesised to have interacted with mantle material (Xu et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2008).

To further consider source characteristics, we can compare our data to melting experiments that produced adakitic melts (e.g., Rapp et al., 1991, 1999; Sen and Dunn, 1994; Wolf and Wyllie, 1994;
Rapp and Watson, 1995). Using these studies, geochemical fields were generated for adakitic liquids produced from partial melts of basaltic compositions (Fig. 10). Geochemical fields were classified in the work by Xiong et al. (2003) and are summarised in Table 2. Also included on these plots (Fig. 10 A-F) is the adakite field from Xiong et al. (2003, and references therein). This adakite field was generated from 11 Cenozoic global adakite localities: Aleutian Arc, Alaska; Cook Island, Chile; Baja of California, Mexico; Sierra Madre, Mexico; Skagway, Alaska; Mindanao, Philippines; Southwest Japan; Austral Andes and Quimsacocha, Ecuador.

The LSA samples have major-element characteristics where some (or all) of the samples plot outside the adakite field (Fig. 10) or any of the melt experiment fields (Fig. 10 A, B, C, E & F). They have less Al2O3, less CaO and more K2O than the melts produced from the low-K, -Na and high-Na, -Mg amphibolite (WW) and thus it seems unlikely that this would be an appropriate protolith. Furthermore, the LSA samples have less Al2O3, Na2O and more CaO than the experimental partial melts of alkali-rich basalt (R1). Other than for Na2O, the LSA samples also plot outside the R2, 4SW field (e.g., for Al2O3, FeO and K2O; Fig. 10 A, C & F). On the plots where the LSA samples plot within the R2, 4SW field (Fig. 10 B & E) it appears to be a trend into this field; such a trend could reflect late-stage fractionation processes.

The HSA samples have significantly less Al2O3 (Fig. 10A) and CaO (Fig. 10E), and are more enriched in Na2O and K2O (Fig. 10 D & F), than the 10 kbar amphibolite partial melts (WW) and thus arguably preclude a similar protolith composition. Furthermore, the HSA samples have less Al2O3 (Fig. 10A), Na2O (Fig. 10D) and more CaO (Fig. 10E) than the alkali-rich basalt partial melts (R1); these experiments were also done at a range of pressures between 12 and 38 kbar suggesting this also may be an unsuitable protolith. Most HSA samples plot in the R2, 4SW field. However, some HSA samples
don’t plot in the R2.4SW field for Al2O3 (Fig. 10A) and only one of the samples plots in this field for MgO (Fig. 10B). Other than for Al2O3 and K2O (Fig. 10 A & F), the HSA samples commonly plot in the adakite field. Although enrichment in K2O has been used to suggest that Mesozoic adakites from China are crustal melts (e.g., Wang et al., 2006), this criterion alone is insufficient. For example, most of the Carboniferous Shuteen Complex adakites are more enriched in K2O than other global adakite examples, and depleted in Al2O3 (Fig. 10 A & F), yet have $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} < 0.704$ which argues against a crustal melt origin. However, the HSA samples are most similar in affinities to high-pressure melting experiments that suggest a N-MORB-source.

If we consider trace-element abundances, melting of eclogite should produce melts with lower Cr and Ni concentrations than melts from mantle peridotite (e.g., Castillo, 2006). Therefore, melts of an eclogite lower crust, which has undergone minor, or no mantle interaction, will also have lower Cr and Ni concentrations than mantle peridotite melts. However, because any slab melt must pass through any overlying mantle, these melts should become enriched in Cr and Ni during mantle assimilation processes.

The LSA samples have Cr and Ni concentrations higher than the crustal melts which have undergone minor or no mantle assimilation (Fig. 11; Lingqiu Basin, North China Craton; Ningzhen area, east China; Huichang Basin, China). The Cr and Ni concentrations...
Fig. 7. Variations in major-element oxides (wt. %) and trace elements (ppm) for the low-Si adakites, high-Si adakites, Group 1 and 2 samples. Where $rac{\text{Dy}}{\text{Yb}} = \frac{\text{Dy}}{\text{Yb}}^* = 13$. The chondrite normalisation values are from Sun and McDonough (1989).
are also higher than in the Group 1 lavas, and a negative correlation with SiO₂ suggests a fractionation control.

The HSA samples also have Cr and Ni concentrations higher than many crustal melts which have undergone minor or no mantle assimilation (Fig. 11) but plot along with Cenozoic adakites or with hypothesised crust + mantle melts (e.g., Ningzhen area). Lower crustal foundering has been hypothesised as one method to explain how a mafic lower crust could interact with mantle material (e.g., Gao et al., 2004). Thus, the HSA samples have Ni and Cr concentrations most likely reflecting slab + mantle melts, or crust + mantle melts.

In global studies, adakitic melts have also been identified by considering Nb/Ta ratios (e.g., Condie, 2005). This is because continental crust has low average Nb/Ta ratios (10.9–13; Rudnick and Fountain, 1995; Rudnick and Gao, 2003), compared to MORB (16.7 ± 1.8; Kamber and Collerson, 2000) or primitive mantle (17.5 ± 2; McDonough and Sun, 1995). However, rutile partitions Nb and Ta, but fractionates them from one another (Foley et al., 2000; Liang et al., 2009; Xiong et al., 2011; Gan et al., 2019). Therefore, melts in equilibrium with residual rutile should be characterised by high Nb/Ta ratios (e.g., Gao et al., 2004; Xiong et al., 2005). A mantle that has been metasomatised by small degree partial melts of an eclogite may also have high Nb/Ta ratios (e.g., Foley et al., 2002). In summary, initial melts of rutile-bearing eclogite should have relatively high Nb/Ta ratios. However, progressive melting of this eclogite would exhaust rutile, therefore resulting in melts with low Nb/Ta ratios inherited from earlier melt depletion (e.g., Liu et al., 2008). Based partly on low Nb/Ta ratios, Condie (2005) determined that adakitic tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorites may be produced from partial melting of hydrous mafic rocks in the lower
crust (with Nb/Ta ratios averaging ~5) and are thus unlike slab melts. As discussed by Condie (2005), most Nb data from XRF must be rejected and only high precision ICP-MS Nb and Ta should be used; hence the data is plotted on the same diagram as Condie (2005) using ICP-MS data for these elements (Fig. 12).

The LSA and HSA samples have an average Nb/Ta ratio of 24.6 (±0.77) and 18.38 (±0.85) respectively. Therefore, the LSA samples have lower Nb/Ta ratios which are close to average MORB and primitive mantle, consistent with the experimental melt comparisons (Fig. 10). Thus, the HSA samples have Nb/Ta ratios consistent with rutile-eclogite melting, albeit, they plot out of the adakite field defined in Condie (2005). The Group 1 samples (excluding the single trachyte sample E TCS 5.1) have an average Nb/Ta ratio of 22.78 (±0.79), whereas the Group 2 samples have an average Nb/Ta ratio of 18.4 (±0.84). Therefore, the Group 1 and 2 samples have Nb/Ta ratios higher than average continental crust and likely reflect melting from a source which underwent metasomatism processes. The lower Nb/Ta ratios in the Group 2 samples might reflect melting from a less metasomatised source, and/or increased crustal involvement.

8. Isotope variations and crustal input

Initial $^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}\text{Sr}}(t)$-$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$-$\text{Pb}(t)$-$\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values are calculated for the HSA (230 Ma), LSA (138 Ma) and Group 1 (121 Ma) samples (Figs. 13 and 14). The low MgO and fractionation of late-stage minerals indicates that none of these melts are primary and thus likely had opportunity for crustal contamination. The crustal cumulates in the HSA samples also indicate crustal assimilation processes.

All the LSA, HSA and Group 1 samples have $^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}\text{Sr}}(t)$-$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values that plot in the mantle array (Fig. 13A). The similar $^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}\text{Sr}}(t)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values for the LSA and Group 1 samples might reflect comparable source compositions. The HSA have positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values (0.48–1.17) unlike most of the crustal adakitic rocks from China. Furthermore, the HSA have $^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}\text{Sr}}(t)$ values (0.7051–0.7053) close to some Cenozoic adakites, with values lower than most crustal derived adakitic rocks from China (Fig. 13A); however, the HSA also have $^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}\text{Sr}}(t)$-$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values like the Awulale adakitic rocks which are interpreted as a product of basaltic underplating of amphibole-eclogite facies (Zhao et al., 2008). To test whether assimilation-fractional crystallisation (AFC) could change a typical adakite signature ($^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}\text{Sr}} = ~0.704$ and high $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values) to values close to the HSA, AFC (DePaolo, 1981) modelling was done. Because Carboniferous adakites from the Shuteen Complex (South Mongolia) are interpreted as slab melts (Batkhishig et al., 2010) a sample (SH-18) from this group is used as a starting composition. The crustal contaminant was a granulite crustal xenolith from southern Mongolia (Barry et al., 2003) and a value (assimilation/crystallisation rate) of $\tau = 0.5$ is used. The AFC modelling indicates that the HSA could be from a parental magma with similar $^{87}\text{Sr} / {^{86}\text{Sr}}(t)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ compositions to the Shuteen Complex (Mongolia) adakites from Batkhishig et al. (2010) and Mengyin adakites (east China) from Wang et al. (2016), Ningzhen area (east China) samples were interpreted as delaminated lower crust which assimilated mantle (Xu et al., 2002). Huichang Basin, SE China (Xiong et al., 2003), and Lingqu Basin, North China (Wang et al., 2006) samples represent melts of an underplated basaltic lower crust. The “A + S” field represent Awulale and Sanchakou (Xinjiang Tianshan region, China) adakitic rocks and are interpreted as a product of basaltic underplating at the base of the lower crust (Zhao et al., 2008). The “slab” melting and “slab + peridotite (mantle) melting experiments (ME) and the 1–4 GPa “slab melts” field is based on data from Rapp et al. (1999), and references therein). The Cenozoic adakite field was generated from data within Aguilión-Robles et al. (2001) and Stern and Kilian (1996); Vizcaino Peninsula, Mexico; Lautaro; Viedma, Aguilera, Reclus; Mt Burney and Cook Island.

---

Fig. 9. SiO$_2$ wt. % vs. Mg-number (where Mg-number = (molar Mg/(Mg + Fe$^3$))) plot. The “high-Mg andesite field” (HMA) represent oceanic eclogitic melts that interacted with the mantle wedge (Xu et al., 2000; Bryant et al., 2011). Shuteen Complex (Mongolia) adakites from Batkhishig et al. (2010) and Mengyin adakites (east China) from Wang et al. (2016), Ningzhen area (east China) samples were interpreted as delaminated lower crust which assimilated mantle (Xu et al., 2002). Huichang Basin, SE China (Xiong et al., 2003), and Lingqu Basin, North China (Wang et al., 2006) samples represent melts of an underplated basaltic lower crust. The “A + S” field represent Awulale and Sanchakou (Xinjiang Tianshan region, China) adakitic rocks and are interpreted as a product of basaltic underplating at the base of the lower crust (Zhao et al., 2008). The “slab” melting and “slab + peridotite (mantle) melting experiments (ME) and the 1–4 GPa “slab melts” field is based on data from Rapp et al. (1999), and references therein). The Cenozoic adakite field was generated from data within Aguilión-Robles et al. (2001) and Stern and Kilian (1996); Vizcaino Peninsula, Mexico; Lautaro; Viedma, Aguilera, Reclus; Mt Burney and Cook Island.
Complex adakites but with additional crustal input (Fig. 13A).

The \( \varepsilon \text{Hf}(t) \) values for the LSA, HSA and Group 1 samples are similar (2.94 to 5.59). Despite these values being lower than P-MORB (Fig. 13B) they are like values obtained from zircons in the Mengyin adakites (Wang et al., 2016).

The Pb isotopes (Fig. 14) for the LSA, HSA and Group 1 samples have similarly high values. These samples plot above the NHRL, P-MORB field and the Awulale adakitic samples but are more comparable to the global Cenozoic and Chinese Mengyin adakites. Group 1 samples have similar Pb isotopic abundances to the LSA.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group name</th>
<th>No. of sample experiments</th>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>Starting material</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Alkali-rich basalt with high total alkalis (Na2O + K2O) and low CaO, FeO, MgO and TiO2.</td>
<td>Rapp et al. (1991), Rapp and Watson (1995); Rapp and Watson (1993).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2-4SW</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Compositions close to N-MORB. However, some of the starting compositions have slightly higher Na2O and K2O than average N-MORB (Hofmann, 1988).</td>
<td>Sen and Dunn (1994) and Winther (1996).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10 kbar</td>
<td>low-K and low-Na, high-Mg and high-Ca amphibolite</td>
<td>Wolf and Wyllie (1994).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and HSA despite not having adakite-like major- and trace-element abundances.

9. Discussion

During the Mesozoic, eastern Asia was affected by Paleo-Pacific plate subduction, the closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (evident today from the Solonker suture) and the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean (e.g., Chen et al., 2009; Windley et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2015; Torsvik and Cocks, 2016). However, subduction of the Paleo-Pacific plate occurred >2000 km away from Mongolian Mesozoic lava fields (e.g., Van Hinsbergen et al., 2015; Torsvik and Cocks, 2016; Sheldrick et al., 2018) while the closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (e.g., Sengör and Natal’ in, 1996; Windley et al., 2010) is estimated to be around 296–234 ± 6 Ma (Chen et al., 2009) and therefore may predate all of our samples.

Although the HSA magmatism (230 Ma) possibly coincided with the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean (Chen et al., 2009) the samples are presently ~500 km away from the Solonker suture. However, the HSA magmatism corresponds with the fastest (250–200 Ma) stage of closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean (Wu et al., 2017) and is presently ~100 km south of the Mongol-Okhotsk suture (Fig. 1). These samples typically have higher $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}(i)$ and lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values than adakites (Fig. 13A) but the adakitic rocks from China have similarly much higher $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}(i)$ and lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(t)$ values. AFC modelling indicates that crustal contamination could modify a typical adakite isotopic signature (i.e., values akin to N-MORB) to values close to the HSA samples (Fig. 13A) and the presence of melted quartz cumulates is consistent with assimilation processes. However, the HSA samples also have isotopic values like adakitic rocks which were derived from basaltic underplating (e.g., Zhao et al., 2008). Therefore, it is difficult to favour a model of slab melting or basaltic underplating, for the HSA genesis, from isotope data alone.

The HSA samples have Mg-numbers and MgO wt. % concentrations consistent with mantle assimilation (Figs. 9 & 10B). Furthermore, the relatively low Na$_2$O and relatively high Ni + Cr concentrations compared to crustal melts also supports mantle assimilation (Figs. 10D & 11). Two models are proposed to explain the petrogenesis of these samples:

1. A southward-subducting Mongol-Okhotsk slab underwent partial melting during the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. These slab melts then assimilated mantle and finally crustal rocks.
2. The closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean thickened the crust. Basaltic underplating of a thickened (>50 km; >1.5 GPa), eclogite lower crust then founded into the underlying mantle, assimilated minor mantle material and finally underwent crustal contamination.
The LSA magmatism coincided with, or occurred sometime after, the final suturing of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean (e.g., Van der Voo et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017). These samples have Y vs. Sr/Y values that trend into the adakite field (Fig. 6A) but plot with major- and trace-element concentrations unlike adakites (Fig. 10) and have geochemical resemblances to the Group 1 samples (e.g., Figs. 10, 12e14). We therefore interpret the LSA to have a source akin to the Group 1 lavas but have an adakite-like HREE depletion from late-stage fractionation of accessory minerals such as zircon and apatite (Fig. 7).

Despite the LSA attaining adakite-like HREE depletion via fractionation processes, the samples are more depleted in the HREEs at a given MgO or SiO2 wt. % than the Group 1 lavas (e.g., Figs. 7 and 10). Furthermore, the LSA samples are more enriched in Na2O than the Group 1 and 2 lavas (Fig. 10D) and have high Nb/Ta ratios (Fig. 12). We interpret these geochemical attributes to reflect a source modified by small-degree partial melts from a Mongol-Okhotsk slab.

The Group 2 lavas were compared to Mesozoic lavas from the Gobi Altai by Sheldrick et al. (2018) and interpreted as melts from a subduction-enriched preconditioned subcontinental lithospheric mantle (SCLM). The Group 1 lavas have similar geochemical characteristics, with a depletion in some HFSE (Nb, Ta and Ti) and have comparable Sr and Nd isotope signatures (Fig. 13). We therefore interpret the Group 1 basaltic-trachyandesite and trachyandesite lavas to be derived from the SCLM and suggest that this mantle underwent metasomatism from processes associated with the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean. The single trachyte sample...
plots separately from Group 1 samples (Fig. 7) and it’s unclear whether this sample reflects extensive magmatic differentiation (with later stage crustal contamination), from a source like the Group 1 samples – or whether this trachyte reflects a different melting/petrogenesis process.

Overall, the proximity of the adakites to the Mongol-Okhotsk suture is best explained by the involvement of a southward-subducting Mongol-Okhotsk slab. When this interpretation is combined with previous evidence for northwards-subduction of a Mongol-Okhotsk slab (Zorin, 1999; Van der Voo et al., 1999; Fritzell et al., 2016) it indicates the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean closed in a bimodal fashion.

10. Conclusions

(1) Two alternative hypotheses are proposed to explain the HSA magmatism: (i) a southward-subducting Mongol-Okhotsk slab underwent partial melting in the Triassic during the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean, with the resultant melts assimilating mantle and crustal material. Alternatively, (ii) basaltic underplating of a thickened (>50 km; >1.5 GPa), eclogite lower crust, foreshadowed into the underlying mantle, and these crustal melts were then assimilated with minor mantle material, and eventually underwent crustal contamination.

(2) The LSA magmatism coincided with, or occurred shortly after, the final suturing of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean, and is proximal to the Mongol-Okhotsk suture. These melts are likely derived from a source modified by melts from a southward-subducted Mongol-Okhotsk slab. However, the LSA developed adakite-like HREE depletion from fractionation of late-stage accessory minerals.

(3) The Group 1 and 2 samples are derived from the SCLM; this mantle likely underwent metasomatism from processes associated with the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean.