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What is the relationship between students attending online library training sessions and their attainment scores?
Why?

- Stakeholder Advocacy
- Supporting Institutional Strategic Aims
- Service Promotion
- Impact Visibility
- Improving Student Success
Our Training Sessions

Generic
- Any Student
- 5 standalone sessions
- Run throughout the year

Targeted at Module
- Specific class of students
- Scheduled into curriculum
- Standalone session

Targeted at Assignment
- Specific class of students
- Scheduled into curriculum
- Informing a specific assignment
How

- Pass with Distinction
- Pass
- Fail
- Attended Live
- Watched Recording
- Did not engage

Assessment Score

Module Score
Assignment Score
A higher proportion of students engaging with Library training sessions gain distinctions.
Students who attend live sessions on average have a higher assessment and exam score.
Targeted at Module

Same pattern as the generic sessions, more students are gaining distinctions

Targeted Training Sessions
Students who started studying in October 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Did not attend (n=4,229)</th>
<th>Viewed recording only (n=517)</th>
<th>Attended live only (n=505)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distinction %</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass %</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail %</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- Distinction %
- Pass %
- Fail %
Targeted at Assignment

Students attending live sessions had a higher assignment score on average.

Difference between average assignment scores.
Students who started studying in October 2017.
Conclusions

• Students engaging with library training sessions are gaining higher results.

• Live training better than viewing recordings.

• Either is better than neither.
The unanswered questions...

• Are these the motivated, more engaged students?
• Are these the students with higher or lower prior education experience?
• What other factors are impacting on student success?
• How good a predictor of student success is attendance at the library sessions?
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