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Currently, samples returned from Phobos have a Planetary Protection status of “unrestricted Earth return”. If impact experiments show significant survival of biosignatures, this status may require re-assessment, with implications for future missions, such as MMX! [1]

Could life, or its signatures, survive the journey from Mars to Phobos?

- Studies have suggested that impact ejecta from Mars, which would represent Mars’ surface over its geological history, could have accreted onto Phobos [2].
- Mars ejecta could constitute up to 0.05% of Phobos’ regolith, where ~200 ppm was deposited in the last 10 million years [2-4].
- If life existed on Mars during its ancient past, evidence may have been altered or destroyed by subsequent geological processes [5].
- Impact ejecta, which could have contained ancient martian biosignatures, may have been deposited onto Phobos and could still be preserved today [5,6] - lithopanspermia.

Without direct samples, regolith simulants are vital.

- Currently, all we know about Phobos comes from remote sensing.
- Future sample return missions (i.e. JAXA’s Martian Moons eXploration mission MMX) are in development.

An ESA concept study funded the design and production of a Phobos regolith simulant. Feasibility dictated that two simulants were needed to meet all the physical and chemical requirements of potential uses [4].

Best available analogue is a combination of Tagish Lake and lunar regolith [4,10,11].

An ESA concept study funded the design and production of a Phobos regolith simulant. Feasibility dictated that two simulants were needed to meet all the physical and chemical requirements of potential uses [4].

Crushed particles subsequently sieved into three size fractions <425 µm, 1.2-3.3 mm and >5 mm for future experiments.

Physical simulant mimics Phobos’ hypothesized average regolith grain size of ~1 mm [13], with <300 µm depletion [14].

Crushed aggregate concrete Topcrete chosen for the physical simulant because it is physically comparable to Phobos [8] with a density of 1.67 ± 0.05 g cm⁻³.

Density 1.67 ± 0.05 g cm⁻³

Compressive strength 3.5 MPa

Physical simulant mineralogy

Quartz & Calcite, consistent with concrete.

Spectral data suggest Phobos’ surface is similar in composition to D- or T-type asteroids, carbonaceous chondrites and lunar mare regolith [8,9].
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