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The question of the nature of water’s glass transition has continued to be disputed over many years. Here we use slow heating scans (0.4 K min⁻¹) of compact amorphous solid water deposited at 77 K and an analysis of the accompanying changes in the small-angle neutron scattering signal, to study mesoscale changes in the ice network topology. From the data we infer the onset of rotational diffusion at 115 K, a sudden switchover from nondiffusive motion and enthalpy relaxation of the network at <121 K to diffusive motion across sample grains and sudden pore collapse at >121 K, in excellent agreement with the glass transition onset deduced from heat capacity and dielectric measurements. This indicates that water’s glass transition is linked with long-range transport of water molecules on the time scale of minutes and, thus, clarifies its nature. Furthermore, the slow heating rates combined with the high crystallization resistance of the amorphous sample allow us to identify the glass transition end point at 136 K, which is well separated from the crystallization onset at 144 K—in contrast to all earlier experiments in the field.
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Water’s glass transition has remained controversial for decades [1]. A key question is whether or not the endothermic feature observed in calorimetry experiments upon heating amorphous ices indicates transition from the glassy state to the deeply supercooled liquid. McMillan and Los were the first to detect the subtle increase in water’s heat capacity near 137 K, which they assigned to the glass transition of water [2]. Historically, other researchers were unable to observe the same effect, either because it is so weak, or because it is obscured by enthalpy relaxation effects when unannealed samples are used [3]. In order to disentangle the exotherm caused by enthalpy relaxation from the increase in heat capacity caused by the glass transition, well-annealed amorphous ice samples have to be used. Typically, such samples are kept for 90 min at 129 K and then cooled back to 77 K prior to the calorimetry scan [4–6]. A glass-transition onset temperature Tg onset of 136 ± 2 K was detected at standard heating rates of 30 K min⁻¹ for a range of well-annealed amorphous ices, including (a) LDA (low-density amorphous ice) [6], (b) HGW (hyperquenched glassy water) [4], and (c) c-ASW (compact amorphous solid water) [5]. The glass transition in high-density amorphous ice (HDA), has an onset temperature Tg onset of 116 ± 2 K at ambient pressure, and has hence been called water’s second glass transition [7].

In this work we deal exclusively with the nature of water’s first, “traditional” glass transition. In LDA, c-ASW, and HGW ice “phases,” the increase in heat capacity at the glass transition, Δc_p, gauged from endothermic changes in calorimetry scans, amounts to about 1 J K⁻¹mol⁻¹, corresponding to one of the feeblest glass transitions ever detected. In addition, the whole width of the glass transition cannot be studied, because the exothermic phase change to crystalline ice terminates the glass transition before its end point can be observed [4–6,8,9].

For all these reasons, there has been significant speculation about the nature of the glass transition. The explanations fall into 5 broad areas: (i) a transition from the glassy to the liquid state involving diffusion [4–6], isotope exchange [10], and viscosity decrease [11], (ii) a transition from one glassy to another glassy state (of the ice), involving unlocking of re-orientational (torsional), but not translational diffusion and no change in viscosity [12], (iii) a pretransition, called the shadow glass transition [13,14], (iv) amorphous ice is crystal-like [15–17], or (v) it is an impurity effect [18].

Suggestions (i) and (iii) were tested by studying the heating and cooling rate dependence of water’s glass transition [19]. The results were shown to be incompatible with scenario (iii) [19] and, consequently, suggestion (iii) was retracted [20]. Furthermore, these studies allowed us to show that ultraviscous water represents one of the strongest liquids known in terms of the Angell classification [20], consistent with Ref. [21]. Recently, the fragility index of water near 136 K was demonstrated to have the lowest value known for any liquid [7,22]; i.e., water is “superstrong” near Tg [23].
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The open question that remains to be addressed is whether diffusive, translational motion is involved in water’s glass transition. We have used small angle neutron scattering to study c-ASW, which is slowly heated at \( \sim 0.4 \text{ K min}^{-1} \), and focus our attention on the structural changes associated with the collapse of the network of micropores in the ice as it warms. The advantage of this experimental technique over calorimetry experiments done in the past is that the competing endothermic and exothermic changes in the ice are not superimposed, so it allows unprecedented insight into the molecular processes occurring in the vicinity of \( T_g \).

About 1 g of D\(_2\)O-c-ASW was produced by slow water vapor deposition onto a copper plate held at 77 K. Dosing occurred in the line of sight mode (nonbaffled supersonic flow) as described in our earlier work [24]. The sample was characterized after recovery from the vacuum chamber, showing it was free of contamination with crystalline ice using x-ray diffraction and thermal analysis [24]. Nitrogen adsorption BET isotherms at 77 K show the pores to be micropores, i.e., of diameter \( d \leq 21 \text{ Å} \) and total volume \( \approx 0.17-0.21 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \) [25]. Compared with the volume of pore-free ASW of 1.08 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) the total volume fraction of pores thus amounts to about 1/6 – 1/5. The recovered samples were then stored under liquid N\(_2\), shipped (with cryogenic containment) to the NIMROD instrument at ISIS, and transferred into a null-scattering TiZr alloy cell, all under liquid N\(_2\), as described in Ref. [24]. The sample was then heated at \( \approx 0.4 \text{ K min}^{-1} \) from 77 to 144 K, with four isothermal “pauses”: of 20 min at 93 K, 35 min at 117 K, 55 min at 136 K, and 68 min at 144 K. According to calorimetric studies \( T_{g,\text{onset}} \) is directly dependent on the heating rate, appearing at 124 K for heating rates of 0.17 K min\(^{-1}\) [8], 136 K for heating rates of 30 K min\(^{-1}\) [4-6], and 176 K for heating rates of 6 \times 10^3 K min\(^{-1}\) [9].

Small angle neutron scattering is a nondestructive method particularly suitable for the study of granular or porous structures with dimensions between 10 and 1000 Å [26]. The power of the near- and intermediate range order diffractometer (NIMROD) is that it allows simultaneous observation of the mesoscale and intra-and intermolecular bonding. The broad peaks centered at 1.7 Å\(^{-1}\) in Figs. 1(a)-1(c) are indicative of c-ASW. Below 144 K, no signs of crystallinity were detected at \( Q > 1 \text{ Å}^{-1} \), indicating that regardless of any other changes in the ice structure, the intermolecular bonding remains amorphous throughout. Crystallization was clearly detected a few minutes into the isothermal pause at 144 K [see narrowing at 1.7 Å\(^{-1}\) and appearance of Bragg peaks in Fig. 1(d)]. The slope and the shape of the small angle neutron signal at \( Q < 1 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) can be used to investigate the properties of the ice on the mesoscale. It is evident from Figs. 1(a)-1(c) that the neutron scattering cross section is nonzero. Features common to our earlier work (and described in detail therein) [24] indicate our c-ASW sample is both granular (the “slope”) and porous (the “hump”).

The raw data were analyzed using several approaches: First, we used a model-free approach to extract specific surface area (SSA) from the scattered intensity at small angles (see Ref. [24]). Standard linear plots \( I(\mathbf{Q}) \times Q^d \) (with \( d \) equal to 2.5 or 3.0) versus \( Q \) show a “pseudopeak” at the distances corresponding to periodic pore spacings of \( \approx 70 \text{ Å} \) [24]. These pseudopeaks can be seen clearly up to 120 K, but then progressively disappear between 120 and 135 K. Direct power-law fitting is used to extract the Porod exponent \( \beta \) associated with the mass fractal dimension of the material. Second, we tried to fit the data using models.
Whereas the Maxwell model assuming spherical pores fails, the Guinier-Porod (GP) model fits the data well [24]. The fit parameters are attributed to the pore shape (s parameter), pore-size (radius of gyration $R_G$), and nature of the interface between granules ($d_{GP}$ parameter). The outcomes of these analyses are shown in detail in Fig. 2. The parameters defining the ice structure can be broadly divided into three regimes—the temperature range prior to around 115 K where practically no changes occur, 115–144 K (the focus of the remainder of this Letter), and crystallization at 144 K and beyond.

The shape of the pores is never spherical, but much closer to cylindrical at 77–120 K, ($s \approx 1.5$ [Fig. 2(d)]). Beyond 121 K the pores progressively change shape: first they shrink along one axis and develop to 2D lamellae ($s = 2$) and then they disappear by 136 K ($s$ approaches 3). Even from visual inspection a drastic height loss of the ice sample can be noticed: the ice sample changes from rugged, with protrusions of about 1 cm in length, to smooth, with an overall thickness of a couple of mm. Similar changes were monitored by optical interference measurements [27]. Not only the pore shape, but also $\beta$ [Fig. 2(b)] and $R_G$ [Fig. 2(e)] remain approximately constant at 77–120 K, even during the two “isothermal pause” periods at 93 K and at 117 K. In other words, the network of water molecules is translationally immobile both during heating and isothermal annealing on a time scale of hours at $\leq$120 K.

The SSA does not decrease at 80–120 K, but remains either constant or increases slightly with temperature. Both of the latter scenarios are consistent with the uncertainties related to the $I(Q) \times Q^4$ analysis. One way to account for a SSA increase would be if pore clustering occurs in the ice, leading to larger (internal) pore surface areas in addition to the ice interface to the vacuum. Such a pore clustering was inferred by positron-annihilation spectroscopy [28] and recent kinetic Monte Carlo ice simulations [29]. Our c-ASW ice sample, however, exhibits no pore clustering—the pore shape, the radius ($R_G$), and periodic spacings between pores all remain constant. Likewise, neither $d_{GP}$ or $\beta$ are changing at 80–120 K. Thus, the SSA either remains constant at 80–120 K or increases slightly due to the overall expansion of the bulk icy material. The main difference to other experiments [27,30–32] showing a continual decrease in SSA with temperature is their deposition mode for ASW samples, which is more akin to the “baffled” flow conditions used in our earlier work. Using baffled deposition we also saw the decrease of SSA with temperature [24]. The major difference between “nonbaffled, supersonic” flow conditions used here and baffled flow was explained in Ref. [33].

On the mesoscale our samples drastically change above 121 K, and such changes occur prior to, and independently of, the amorphous to crystalline phase change. In all data, Figs. 2(a)–2(e), it is clear that significant changes are
occurring during heating, particularly in the 121–136 K range: SSA and $R_G$ suddenly start to drop appreciably, and $s$ rises steeply. This indicates a new type of molecular motion governing the evolution of the mesoscale topology. This motion involves the collapse of the cylinderlike pores of $R_G \approx 11$ Å [Fig. 2(e)] and $d \leq 21$ Å [25]. Large-scale transport of water molecules over at least 10 Å (pore radius) is necessary to achieve these kinds of changes. Assuming water self-diffusion and a random walk, the minimal self-diffusion coefficient $D$ required to achieve these mesoscale changes can be estimated: $D > 10^{-18}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ is required using a mean-square displacement $\langle x^2 \rangle \approx 100$ Å$^2$ and $t \approx 2500$ sec as the time it takes to complete the pore collapse between 120 and 136 K. Consistent with this, Ghesquiére et al. calculate $D \approx 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ in this temperature range for a c-ASW sample based on MD trajectories on the $\mu$s time scale, whereas $D$ is too small to be determined at lower temperatures [34]. We, therefore, attribute the observed structural changes to be a result of diffusive, translation motion. That is, from these data we infer the onset of molecular mobility (translational diffusion) and pore collapse occurs in our c-ASW sample at 121 ± 1 K. This is very close to the calorimetric $T_{g,onset}$ of 124 K [8]. At about the same temperature surface diffusion of a range of adsorbates [35] and isotopic mixing is initiated [10].

The Porod exponent $\beta$, and Guinier-Porod exponent $d_{GP}$ indicate a third regime of change within the ice structure. From the start of the heating cycle $\beta$ continually decreases very slightly, reaching a minimum value at around 126 K before reversing direction and increasing again. $\beta$ is not related to the diffusive motion associated with pore collapse, nor changes in the SSA, but represents the nature of the interface between individual granules, as well as their shapes [24]. This parameter continues to change even in the isothermal waiting period at 144 K, reflecting the sintering of ice granules as crystallization occurs. $d_{GP}$ starts increasing at around 115 K, which we interpret to reflect the onset of rotational (torsional) motion of the water molecules. Such motion leads to smoothing of the pore surfaces (reflected by an increase in $d_{GP}$), without pore collapse occurring (no changes in $R_G$ or $s$). Pore collapse is accompanied by smoothing and shape changes in the ice grains (rapid increase in $\beta$), i.e., free molecular movement of H$_2$O. That is, c-ASW is in a liquid state above $T_g$. By 136 K the rapid changes in SSA and $\beta$ cease, and in the subsequent heating stage from 136–144 K change relatively little, indicating the end of the glass transition. The process is complete long before the ASW to $I_\beta$ transition at 144 K, 27 min into the isothermal step, which can be identified in both Figs. 1(d) and 2(b) (discontinuous jump in the $\beta$ parameter from 5.41 to 5.57). That is, there is a separation between the glass transition end point $T_{g,end}$ and the crystallization onset $T_X$. Such a separation was not found in earlier work on LDA-type samples. This is because in previous studies heating rates faster by about 2 orders of magnitude were employed [4–6]. In the case of the calorimetry study by Handa et al. [8] the heating rate is comparable, but they were using an LDA-I sample that started to crystallize at 133 ± 1 K, i.e., which is much less crystallization resistant than the c-ASW sample studied by us [6]. The width of the glass transition is thus 15 K. For comparison, in earlier calorimetry work, a width of about 12 K was found between $T_{g,onset}$ and $T_X$ [4–6]. In other words, $T_{g,end}$ would appear just slightly above the crystallization onset in the calorimetry scans at 10–30 K min$^{-1}$.

Thus, our data enable us to come back to the original purpose of our work—to describe the nature of water’s glass transition, and distinguish between the five different hypotheses presented in the literature to explain the phenomenon. If just rotational (torsional) motion of water molecules at fixed oxygen positions, and/or point defect mobility similar to that known in crystalline ice was occurring near $T_{g,onset}$, as suggested in (ii) [12], then the pore collapse would not occur. Clearly this regime exists in the ice, between 115 and 121 K, leading to pore surface smoothing, but not accompanied by a glass transition (or pore collapse), ruling out hypothesis (ii). The high $Q$ neutron scattering cross section data indicate an amorphous nature of the ice throughout the glass transition temperature range. The possibility of nanocrystalline ice [explanation (iv)] is ruled out by the low translational mobility typically seen in crystalline ice; i.e., pore collapse would not occur upon heating nanocrystalline ice. Previous work shows that ASW with “filled” micropores, covering a wide range of adsorbates and exposures, also experience pore collapse, like “pure” c-ASW [36]. Thus, impurities as put forward in explanation (v) are not driving the pore collapse. Instead it is driven from the reorientation of the hydrogen-bond network of water molecules itself [34]. The explanation of water’s glass transition consistent with all the observations is (i) long-range diffusion brings c-ASW into an ultraviscous state, leading to mass-transport of water molecules in the sample. The increase in heat capacity seen in calorimetry experiments [8] and the time scale of about 100 sec seen in dielectric relaxation spectra near 124 K [7] is, thus, associated with long-range translational diffusion of water molecules.

In conclusion, we have analyzed small-angle neutron scattering data in amorphous solid water. This analysis shows very subtle changes attributable to pore surface smoothing and cooperative, nontranslational motion of water molecules set in at 115 K. Starting at 121 K the changes are much faster and reach completion at about 136 K, i.e., within about 40 min at heating rates of $\approx 0.4$ K min$^{-1}$. This indicates that onset of rotational motion precedes onset of translational motion, and that $T_{g,end}$ is reached at 136 K. This is notable because crystallization is only detected at 144 K. Thus, in contrast to earlier investigations of water’s glass transition...
there is a good separation between $T_{g_{, \text{end}}}$ and $T_X$, which allows us to determine the glass transition width to be 15 K. These changes are associated with water molecules mobile enough to reach mean-square displacements $\langle x^2 \rangle$ exceeding 100 Å$^2$, i.e., long-range, diffusive motion necessary to cause the collapse of the micropores and the 3D → 2D topology change. The time scale extracted from the present work agrees with the time scale from calorimetry [4–6,8,9] and dielectric experiments [7] on well-annealed samples (after the network collapse). That is, the motion of water molecules at $>121$ K in annealed, compact ASW samples takes place on the same time scale as the motion of water molecules causing the transition from porous ASW to compact ASW. Again, this is only possible if one assumes this motion is translational and diffusive in character. Furthermore, the effect is not a surface effect, but rather a bulk effect. The effect is also inconsistent with the idea of a crystal-like nature. The nature of water’s glass transition, therefore, is glass to liquid, but not glass to glass or crystal-like to crystal-like. Our work also provides the relevant time scales to be investigated using methods probing dynamics directly rather than inferring the dynamics based on the mesoscopic structural changes as done here. The time scales of relevance can, for instance, be probed using broadband dielectric relaxation spectroscopy, spin echo $^2$H NMR, or quasielastic neutron scattering, which will be the focus of future work.
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