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Abstract

Most RNA viruses possess an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (vRdRP), responsible for viral genome replication and transcription. Furthermore, a number of eukaryotic organisms, including plants, fungi, protozoa and some metazoans, produce cellular RdRPs (cRdRPs) involved in RNA silencing mechanisms.

One of the best studied vRdRPs is that of the dsRNA bacteriophage Φ6. Structures of Φ6 RdRP (Φ6pol) in complex with RNA oligonucleotides revealed the basis for template specificity: the extra hydroxyl group leads to additional RNA-protein interactions, further stabilizing the template. Structures of “manganese-free” Φ6pol and a mutated form of the protein with lower affinity for the ion (E491Q mutant) provided some hints to the role of manganese. The structure of a complex of Φ6pol with RNA oligonucleotides and GTP with the catalytic magnesium ions substituted by calcium ions shows a distorted geometry of the initiation competent state, providing a molecular explanation of the calcium inhibitory effect. Finally, the structure of a mutated form of the protein (628QYKW632-SG mutant) prone to back-priming initiation revealed a set of contacts important for de novo initiation.

Considering the high structural homology of Φ6pol with other vRdRPs, particularly from (+)ssRNA Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), insights into the mechanistic and structural details of Φ6pol are thought to be relevant to the general understanding of vRdRPs.

The dimeric structure of QDE-I, an RdRP from the fungus Neurospora crassa involved in RNA silencing, revealed a surprising similarity at the active site level to multisubunit DNA-dependent RNA polymerases (DdRPs). This implies a close evolutionary relationship between these enzymes and a possible connection
between RNA silencing pathways and primordial RNA polymerisation mechanisms. Furthermore, an analysis based on the structures of several template dependent polymerases suggests that they have emerged more than once over the course of evolution.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1. Polymerases

Polymerisation of nucleoside triphosphates (NTPs) is a central mechanism of several important and vital biological processes that include transcription, primer synthesis during deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) replication, addition of polyadenylate tails to messenger ribonucleic acid (RNA), uridylation in RNA editing, viral RNA replication, amongst many others. The polymerisation reaction is catalysed by a wide variety of enzymes that can be classified into two major mechanistic categories: template dependent and template independent nucleotidyl transferases. The second group includes CCA-adding enzymes, poly-alanine polymerases, uridyl transferases and oligoA-synthetases. All template independent RNA transferases share the same fold of the catalytical domain. A detailed description of this group of nucleotidyl transferases is beyond the scope if this thesis, since all studied polymerases function in a template dependent manner.

The group of template dependent polymerases refers to enzymes that use a nucleic acid template to produce a new molecule, and are classified according to template/product preferences:

(i) DNA-dependent DNA polymerases (DdDPs)

(ii) DNA-dependent RNA polymerases (DdRPs)
(iii) RNA-dependent DNA polymerases (RdDPs), also known as reverse transcriptases (RT)

(iv) RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRPs)

Despite the differences in template and product preferences between classes, it was logical to consider the possibility that they might share common mechanisms of catalysis and, consequently, sequence and structural similarities. The task of identifying those common features is difficult due to the diversity of origins of the enzymes, spanning from virus to humans. Early efforts to establish evolutionary relationships between viral RdRPs and RTs by Poch and co-workers allowed identification of at least four conserved sequence motifs A, B, C and D (Poch et al., 1989). Parallel efforts to unify all classes of single subunit polymerases based on sequence comparisons were done by Delarue et al. (1990). Their studies revealed that two of the motifs identified by Poch et al. are present in all polymerase classes: motifs A and C, containing strictly conserved aspartate residues (Fig. 1.1) which were therefore postulated to be critical for polymerisation. Site-directed mutagenesis studies (reviewed by O'Reilly and Kao, 1998) subsequently lent support to this hypothesis. Moreover, structural information from a number of different nucleic acid polymerases has provided further insights to the mechanism of polymerisation and the evolutionary links between different polymerase classes.
## Figure 1.1. Sequence alignment of representative members of the four classes of polymerases

Structure based sequence alignments for poliovirus polymerase (RdRP), HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RdDP or RT), the *Klenow* fragment of DNA polymerase I (DdDP), and bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase (DdRP). In addition to motifs A-D, present in all four classes, motif E that is present only in the RNA-dependent polymerases is also shown. The strictly conserved aspartates in motif A and C are outline (red boxes).

[Modified from (Hansen et al., 1997)]
1.2. Structural classification of polymerases

In recent years, a growing number of polymerase structures have been determined. Despite their sequence and structural differences, all template dependent polymerases can be grouped into one of the three apparently unrelated folds:

(i) Right hand fold
(ii) Polβ fold
(iii) Multisubunit DdRPs fold

1.2.1. Right hand fold

Historically, the right hand motif is so called due to the overall shape of the Klenow fragment (KF) of DNA-dependent DNA polymerase I from *Escherichia coli* (Ollis *et al.*, 1985), the first polymerase structure to be determined. It has three different sub-domains, named "palm", "fingers" and "thumb" (Fig. 1.2.A). As structures of other polymerases emerged, clear structural similarities became evident. The first reverse transcriptase to be structurally determined was that of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) by Kohlstaedt *et al.* (1992) (Fig. 1.2.C). The structure of the RNA polymerase from bacteriophage T7 (T7pol) was the first reported DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Sousa *et al.*, 1993) (Fig. 1.2.B). RNA-dependent RNA polymerase structures were more elusive, with poliovirus RNA polymerase (PV 3Dpol) not determined until 1997 (Hansen *et al.*, 1997) (Fig. 1.2.D). All four polymerases exhibited the right hand motif. The palm sub-domain is the most well conserved structural feature between all classes (Fig. 1.2). It consists largely of a β-sheet that forms the base of the polymerase cleft and two long α-
helices packed against it, which are mostly superimposable in all four polymerase classes. This conserved structural arrangement of the palm sub-domain positions the strictly conserved aspartates (one from sequence motif A and one from sequence motif C; Fig. 1.1 & 1.2) in an equivalent three-dimensional organisation, postulated to be essential for catalysis. Based on these structural observations, a “two metal ion” mechanism, where the aspartates serve the key role of anchoring the ions, was proposed (Steitz, 1993; Joyce and Steitz, 1995; Steitz, 1998). In this mechanism, the incoming NTP is accompanied by two divalent metal ions (normally magnesium). The ions bind to the phosphates of the nucleotide and the carboxylate moieties of two of the conserved aspartates, one from motif A (AspA) and the other from motif C (AspC) (Fig. 1.3). In the reaction catalysed by these enzymes, the polymer is produced by sequentially adding a (d)NTP monomer via its α-phosphate group to the 3' hydroxyl group of the “daughter” chain, establishing a new bond and releasing the β and γ-phosphate groups as a molecule of inorganic pyrophosphate (PPi). In this reaction, the metal ions act both as facilitators and stabilizing elements. One of the metal ions (A in Fig. 1.3) lowers the affinity of the 3' hydroxyl for the hydrogen atom, facilitating the nucleophilic attack on the α-phosphate group. The second metal ion (B in Fig. 1.3) coordinates the β and γ-phosphate groups, hence assisting their release as PPI. Furthermore, both ions contribute to the stabilisation of the transient pentacovalent state of the α-phosphate group. Structural determination of the first complexes of polymerases, namely T7pol (Doublie et al., 1998) and Klenow fragment from T. aquaticus (Li et al., 1998), and NTPs (or their analogues) confirmed that this was the mechanism utilized by DdRPs and DdDPs. It also provided insight to the role of the conserved aspartates: AspA and AspC are involved
in the coordination of the metal ions, ensuring their correct positioning for catalysis to occur. The third conserved aspartate belonging to motif C is thought to be important to stabilize the overall geometry of the active site. More recent structural studies with viral RdRPs have further extended the understanding of catalysis at the molecular level, as discussed below. These observations highlight the significance of the palm sub-domain for catalysis, which is reflected in the high structural similarity between palm sub-domains across polymerase classes.

Conversely, the fingers and thumb sub-domains show very little structural similarity across classes of polymerases. DNA-dependent polymerases (represented by T7pol and KF) and RNA-dependent polymerases (represented by RT and PV 3Dpol) have largely α-helical thumb domains, although with only superficial similarities in structure and little or no equivalence in sequence and connectivity (Fig. 1.1 & 1.2). The fingers sub-domains are strikingly different, with DNA-dependent enzymes having predominantly α-helical "fingers" and RNA-dependent polymerases containing both α-helices and β-sheets (Fig. 1.2). Considering that both sub-domains are important in template and substrate binding (Joyce and Steitz, 1995; O'Reilly and Kao, 1998), differences in structure are likely to reflect variation in template preference.
**Figure 1.2.** Structures of right hand fold polymerases from each class of template dependent polymerases

A. Structure of the polymerase domains of Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I – DdDP (Ollis *et al.*, 1985)

B. T7 RNA polymerase structural model – DdRP (Sousa *et al.*, 1993)

C. Structure of the polymerase domain HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (HIV-1 RT) – RdDP (Kohlstaedt *et al.*, 1992)

D. Structural model of poliovirus 3Dpol - RdRP (Hansen *et al.*, 1997)

All polymerases are positioned with the thumb sub-domain to the right and the fingers sub-domain to the left. The conserved sequence motifs are colour coded: A in red, B in green, C in yellow, D in purple, and E in dark purple.

[Modified from (Hansen *et al.*, 1997)]
Figure 1.3. Schematic representation of "two-metal ion" polymerisation mechanism

Two divalent metal ions, A and B, are ligated to enzymes of the polymerase by strictly conserved aspartates residues Asp_A and Asp_C. The black circles are water molecules bound to metal ion A.

[Modified from (Steitz, 1998)]
1.2.2. Polβ fold

DNA-dependent DNA polymerase β (Polβ) belongs to a different class of polymerases, non-homologous to the right hand fold enzymes both in terms of sequence and structure. This enzyme participates in the mammalian base excision DNA repair mechanism. Its C-terminal nucleotidyl transferase domain (31kDa domain) was described using the right hand motif analogy (Davies et al., 1994; Pelletier et al., 1994). Despite possessing structural sub-domains equivalent to the palm, thumb and fingers present in the right hand fold polymerases, the similarities are only superficial (Fig. 1.4.A & B). In fact, Polβ is not homologous to the other polymerases and its palm sub-domain represents a new sub-domain class (Fig. 1.4.B). Furthermore, the connectivity of the fingers and thumb sub-domains in Polβ is opposite to that observed in the right-hand enzymes. However, structures of Polβ in complex with dideoxy-cytosine triphosphate (ddCTP) and DNA show a similar geometry of the active site, including the presence of carboxylates coordinating metal ions to activate the 3' hydroxyl of the primer strand (Sawaya et al., 1994) (Fig. 1.4.B,C). These observations indicate that a similar two metal ion mechanism is used for catalysis.

The palm sub-domain needs to generate an appropriate surface to accommodate the substrate molecules and to present the catalytic ions in the suitable geometrical arrangement relative to the NTP α-phosphate and primer 3' OH. However, it appears clear that quite distinct structures can meet these requirements. The fact that Polβ and right hand polymerases are non-homologous but share a catalysis mechanism and active site geometry (Fig. 1.4.C), indicate that they must have achieved it by convergent evolution from unrelated ancestors.
A. Structure of rat DNA polymerase β (Davies et al., 1994). The divergent equivalents of right hand sub-domains are coloured green for the palm, blue for the thumb and red for the fingers. Only the catalytic 31kDa domain of Polβ is shown. Catalytic residues D190, D192 and D256 are shown as ball-and-stick representation (coloured by atom type: C – green, O – red, N – blue).

B. Schematic representation of the “palm” subunit of Polβ (left) and Klenow fragment (right) (as example of right hand fold). β-strands (numbered arrows) in the central β-sheet and helices (lettered cylinders) are shown, with the aspartates at the active site highlighted (orange dots). [Modified from (Joyce and Steitz, 1995)].

C. Ball-and-stick representation of the active site of Polβ (left) and right hand (right) fold polymerases in equivalent orientation. Metal ions A and B (as numbered in Fig. 1.3) are represented as green spheres. The catalytic aspartates are highlighted. Interactions between the ions and the protein, template and primer nucleotides are shown as dotted yellow lines.

Figure 1.4. Polβ fold
1.2.3. Multisubunit DdRPs fold

DNA-dependent RNA polymerases (DdRPs), involved in gene transcription, are large complexes (up to 0.6MDa in size) composed of several subunits (5 to 15). Although the transcription machinery of eukaryotes is much more complex than that of prokaryotes or archaea, the general principles of transcription and its regulation are conserved. Bacteria and archaea have only one DNA-dependent RNA polymerase, whereas eukaryotes use three nuclear enzymes, RNA polymerases I–III, to synthesize different classes of RNA. The nuclear DdRPs share five common subunits, with the remainder showing strong similarity among the eukaryotic and archaeal enzymes (Bell and Jackson, 1998; Lee and Young, 2000). Despite the fact that these enzymes have many more subunits than bacterial DdRP, subunits that make up the core of RNA polymerase II (RNAPolII) are homologous to subunits from all cellular DdRPs, suggesting that all these enzymes have the same basic structure and mechanism (Ebright, 2000). Transcription by DdRPs involves a large number of subunits and is regulated by interactions with a wide range of transcription factors and other components. Therefore, the mechanism is highly complex and a detailed description is beyond the scope of this thesis. Instead, focus is given to the organization of the active site cleft and interactions of elements in the core formed by the two large subunits with template and substrate molecules.

The catalytically active core of DdRPs is composed of the two largest subunits of the multimeric complex: β' and β in bacteria, RNA binding protein (Rbp) 1 and Rbp2 in yeast, respectively the largest and second largest subunits. Biochemical and sequence studies identified three invariant aspartates - DxDxD motif – in the largest subunit, crucial for catalysis. However, unlike the right-hand
and Polβ folds, that have a catalytic domain (the palm sub-domain) which shows some similarities, no corresponding features are present in multisubunit DdRPs. Structural studies of RNA polymerase II from *Thermus aquaticus* (Zhang et al., 1999), yeast (Cramer et al., 2001) and thermophilic bacteria *Thermus thermophilus* (Vassylyev et al., 2002) revealed a completely different structural arrangement (Fig. 1.5.A). Interactions of the two largest subunits create a positively charged nucleic acid binding cleft. One side of the cleft is defined by the largest subunit (β'/Rbp1) and is formed by a mobile “clamp” involved in the stabilisation of the DNA-RNA hybrid (reviewed by Cramer, 2002). The other side is defined by two sub-domains of the β/Rbp2 subunit: the “lobe” and “protrusion”, also involved in stabilisation of the active complex (Fig. 1.5.A). The active site is defined by two β-barrels with the typical double-psi topology (Castillo et al., 1999), one from subunit β/Rbp2, the other from β'/Rbp1. The double-psi β-barrel from subunit β'/Rbp1 contributes to the active site with the three strictly conserved aspartates in the DxDxD motif (D481, D483, D485 in yeast; D739, D741, D743 in *T. aquaticus* and D728, D730, D732 *T. thermophilus*) that coordinate a Mg²⁺ ion. A range of positively charged residues from the β-barrel in subunit β/Rbp2 completes the cleft (Cramer et al., 2001; Gnatt et al., 2001; Vassylyev et al., 2002; Artsimovitch et al., 2004; Westover et al., 2004). Beyond the active site, a sub-domain from the β/Rbp2 subunit - “wall” - blocks the cleft.

In the apo-enzyme structures solved to date (Zhang et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001; Vassylyev et al., 2002), one Mg²⁺ ion (metal A) was found to be persistently coordinated by the aspartate residues. However, as for single subunit RdRPs, a second Mg²⁺ - metal B - is found to accompany incoming NTPs.
(Kettenberger et al., 2004; Westover et al., 2004). The mechanism of catalysis has been proposed to follow the “two metal ion” mechanism found in single subunit polymerases. One key difference is that one of the metal ions is intrinsically bound to the multisubunit polymerases, with only metal B accompanying the NTPs, whereas both metal ions coordinate the incoming NTPs in the single subunit polymerases. Furthermore, two possible binding modes have been described for the incoming NTP, one when the correct nucleoside is present and can form Watson-Crick base-pairing interactions, and another when a mismatched NTP is present (Westover et al., 2004). Westover and co-workers (2004) proposed a functional significance of this NTP binding position: incoming NTPs initially occupy the mismatch “E” site and then rotate around the second metal ion to sample the base pairing in the matched “A” site. When the correct NTP is present, base-pairing locks the NTP in position and polymerization occurs, with subsequent translocation of the RNA-DNA duplex (Fig. 1.5.C). Contacts with a long helix – “bridge helix” (Rbp2 residues 810-846) – that spans the cleft just before the active site (Fig. 1.5) have been proposed to be relevant for nucleic acid-protein interactions during translocation of the RNA-DNA duplex in yeast (Cramer et al., 2001; Gnatt et al., 2001; Westover et al., 2004). In the bacterial DdRP, this helix is broken down into two shorter equivalent α-helices (residues 1067-1081 and 1083-1093).
Figure 1.5. Structure of multisubunit DdRP PolIII from yeast and bacteria

A. Structure of β and β' and Rbp1 and Rbp2 subunits subunits from *E. coli* (left) and *T. thermophilus* (right) RNA polymerase II. In both panels, important functional/structural sub-domains and the active site (magenta) location are highlighted. β/Rbp2 subunit sub-domains: protrusion (orange), lobe (yellow), wall (blue). β'/Rbp1 sub-domains: DPBBs (green), clamp (red), bridge (dark green), funnel (light green).

B. Zoom view of the active cleft in yeast DdRP, formed by two double-psi β-barrels (DPBB). DPBB from the Rbp1 is coloured light green, DPBB from Rbp2 is coloured dark green and the bridge helix
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as lime green. The catalytic aspartates D481, D483 and D485 and two conserved lysines from DPBB in Rbp2 that form the active cleft are shown as ball-and-stick representation (coloured by atom: C – green, O – red; N – blue). The tightly bound magnesium ion (metal A) is shown as a green sphere.

C. Cartoon representation of the NTP binding steps. Top panel: pre-insertion stage. The NTP occupies site E and is coordinated by two metal ions. Central panel: pre-addition stage. If the correct NTP is present, the base rotates around metal B, with the nucleotide occupying site A and base-pairing with the DNA template base. Bottom panel: post translocation. The RNA-DNA duplex is displaced by one position, leaving the next template base facing site A. Metal B presumably accompanies the exit of PPi by-product whilst metal A remains bound to the protein. [Modified from (Westover et al., 2004)].

The multisubunit DdRPs differ significantly from single subunit polymerases, with no sequence or structural conservation. However, both enzyme types share mechanistic features, suggesting that the “two metal ion” mechanism provides an optimal platform for the catalysis of nucletidyl transfer reactions. This strengthens the view that the correct geometric arrangement of the carboxylate moiety of the aspartates in the active site, essential for catalysis, can be achieved in quite unrelated structural contexts. This is illustrated by the right hand, Polβ and multisubunit DdRPs folds which probably originated from unrelated ancestors.
1.3. Viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases

Virus encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (vRdRPs) are essential components in the life cycle of RNA viruses, being responsible for RNA synthesis. However, they are only effective *in vivo* when associated with other proteins of both viral and possibly cellular origin in a complex generally termed the "polymerase complex" (PC) (Lai, 1998), despite having the catalytic residues essential for polymerisation. Those interactions vary across virus classes and detailed descriptions are beyond the scope of this thesis. Here, I will focus on the structure and mechanism of the catalytically active polymerase.

In recent years, a number of vRdRPs have been structurally determined, both in their apo form and, in some cases, in complex with template, NTP and/or metal ions (Table 1.1). Interestingly, so far no X-ray models of RdRPs from negative single-stranded RNA [(-)ssRNA] have been reported. However, several positive single-stranded [(+)-ss] and double-stranded (ds) RNA virus polymerases have been determined (Table 1.1.). As mentioned above for the 3Dpol from poliovirus, they exhibit the canonical right hand motif with palm, fingers and thumb sub-domains. Nevertheless, vRdRPs assume a more spherical structure due to an extra structural feature that links the fingers and thumb sub-domains, usually termed the "fingertips". This feature gives RdRPs a "closed hand" appearance, as opposed to the "open hand" conformation of DNA-dependent and RT polymerases (Fig. 1.6). This closed conformation creates two positively charged tunnels in vRdRPs: the template and NTP binding channels [see for example, Butcher *et al.* (2001), Bressanelli *et al.* (2002), Ferrer-Orta *et al.* (2004)].
Table 1.1. Structural models of vRdRPs determined to date by X-ray crystallography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymerase</th>
<th>Virus type</th>
<th>Virus family</th>
<th>PDB code</th>
<th>Resl. Structural information</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV 3Dpol</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Picomaviridae</td>
<td>1RDR</td>
<td>2.4 Disordered residues 1-12:38-66:98-181:270-290</td>
<td>(Hansen et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV 3Dpol (mutant)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Picomaviridae</td>
<td>1RA6</td>
<td>2.0 Mutant L446D/R455D</td>
<td>(Thompson and Peersen, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV 3Dpol (mutant)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Picomaviridae</td>
<td>1RA7</td>
<td>2.0 Mutant L446D/R455D + GTP</td>
<td>(Thompson and Peersen, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV16 pol (serotype 16)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Picomaviridae</td>
<td>1XR7</td>
<td>2.3 Serotype 16</td>
<td>(Love et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV1B pol (serotype 1B)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Picomaviridae</td>
<td>1XR6</td>
<td>2.3 Serotype 1B + K</td>
<td>(Love et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV14 pol (serotype 14)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Picomaviridae</td>
<td>1XR5</td>
<td>2.3 Serotype 14 + Sm*</td>
<td>(Love et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMDV 3Dpol RNA complex</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Picomaviridae</td>
<td>IWNE</td>
<td>3.0 Full length Template-primer RNA</td>
<td>(Ferrer-Ollo et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol</td>
<td>Genotype 1b (BK strain)</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1QUV</td>
<td>2.5 21 residues deleted at C-term.</td>
<td>(Ago et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol - 1b (BK strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1C2P</td>
<td>1.9 21 residues deleted at C-term</td>
<td>(Lesburg et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol 1b (BK strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1CSJ</td>
<td>2.8 55 residues deleted at C-term.</td>
<td>(Bressanelli et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol - 1b (BK strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1GX5</td>
<td>1.7 55 residues deleted at C-term. + GTP, Mn²⁺</td>
<td>(Bressanelli et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol - 1b (J4 strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1GX6</td>
<td>2.5 55 residues deleted at C-term. + UTP (1); Mn²⁺ (1)</td>
<td>(Bressanelli et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol - 1b (J4 strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1NB4</td>
<td>2.0 21 residues deleted at C-term.</td>
<td>(O'Farrell et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol - 1b (J4 strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1NB6</td>
<td>2.6 21 residues deleted at C-term. + UTP</td>
<td>(O'Farrell et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol - 1b (J4 strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1NB7</td>
<td>2.9 21 residues deleted at C-term. 5'-UUUU-3' RNA</td>
<td>(O'Farrell et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol Genotype 2a (BK strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1YUY</td>
<td>1.9 21 residues deleted at C-term. Crystal form I</td>
<td>(Biswal et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCVpol - 2a (BK strain)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1YV2</td>
<td>2.5 21 residues deleted at C-term. Crystal form II</td>
<td>(Biswal et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDVpol (construct 1)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1S48</td>
<td>3.0 Construct 1: 71-679 71-91 residues disordered</td>
<td>(Choi et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDVpol (construct 1)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1S49</td>
<td>3.0 Construct 1: 71-679 71-91 residues disordered + GTP</td>
<td>(Choi et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDVpol (construct 2)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Flaviviridae</td>
<td>1S4F</td>
<td>3.0 Construct 1: 79-678 79-91; 675-678 disordered</td>
<td>(Choi et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHDVpol</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Caliciviridae</td>
<td>1KHV</td>
<td>2.5 1-4; 181-184; 502-516 disor. + Lu*</td>
<td>(Ng et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHDVpol</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Caliciviridae</td>
<td>1KHW</td>
<td>2.7 1-4; 181-184; 502-516 disor. + Mn*</td>
<td>(Ng et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVpol (cryst. form 1)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Caliciviridae</td>
<td>1SHO</td>
<td>2.2 Crystal form 1 (P1) 1-5; 508-510 disordered</td>
<td>(Ng et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymerase</td>
<td>Virus type</td>
<td>Virus family</td>
<td>PDB code</td>
<td>Res. (Å)</td>
<td>Structural information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVpol (cryst. form II)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Caliciviridae</td>
<td>1SH2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Crystal form II (C2221) 1-5; 505-510 disordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVpol (cryst. form III)</td>
<td>(+)ssRNA</td>
<td>Caliciviridae</td>
<td>1SH3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Crystal form III (P212121) 1-5; 505-510 disordered  + Mg2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Cystoviridae</td>
<td>1H18</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Full length (SeMet P3) + Mg2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Cystoviridae</td>
<td>1HHS</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Full length (native P2) + Mn2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol ATP complex</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Cystoviridae</td>
<td>1H11</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Full length + ATP; + Mn2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol DNA complex</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Cystoviridae</td>
<td>1HHT</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Full length 5'-TTTCC-3' DNA + Mn2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol initiation complex</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Cystoviridae</td>
<td>1H10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Full length, initiation 5'-TTTCC-3' DNA +GTP (2); + Mg2+(2) + Mn2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reovirus (type 3)</td>
<td>l3pol</td>
<td>Reoviridae</td>
<td>1MUK</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Full length, apo 1; 957-964; 1266-167 disord.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reovirus (type 3) | l3pol | Reoviridae  | 1MWH     | 2.5      | Full length  + mRNA cap analogue  
+ GPPG; + Mn2+                                            | (Tao et al., 2002) |
| Reovirus (type 3) | l3pol | Reoviridae  | 1N1H     | 2.5      | Full length, initiation 1; 957-964; 1266-167 disord.  
5'-AUUAGC-3' RNA + 3'dUTP; 3'dGTP; GDP; N7-mercGMP; Mn2+(2) | (Tao et al., 2002) |
| Reovirus (type 3) | l3pol | Reoviridae  | 1N38     | 2.5      | Full length, elongation I (1 added bond)  
5'-GC-3'  
3'-AUUGC-5' + 3'dUTP; 3'dCTP; Mn2+(2) | (Tao et al., 2002) |
| Reovirus (type 3) | l3pol | Reoviridae  | 1N35     | 2.8      | Full length, elongation II (4 added bonds)  
1; 957-964; 1266-167 disord.  
5'-GGGG-3'  
3'-AUUGCCCCC-5' + 3'dCTP; Mn2+(2) | (Tao et al., 2002) |

PV – Poliovirus; HRV – Human Rhinovirus; FMDV – Foot-and-mouth Disease Virus; HCV – Hepatitis C virus; BVDV – Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus; RHDV – Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Virus; NV – Norwalk Virus; Φ6 – Bacteriophage Φ6
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Figure 1.6. Structure of vRdRPs

A. (+)ssRNA Picornaviridae RdRPs from Poliovirus (Thompson and Peersen, 2004) (left; PV 3Dpol), Human Rhinovirus (Love et al., 2004) (centre; HRV 3Dpol) and Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus (Ferrer-Orta et al., 2004) (right; FMDV 3Dpol)

B. (+)ssRNA Flaviviridae RdRPs from Hepatitis C Virus (Ago et al., 1999) (left; HCVpol) and Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus (Choi et al., 2004) (right; BVDVpol)

C. (+)ssRNA Caliciviridae RdRPs from Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Virus (Ng et al., 2002) (left; RHDVpol) and Norwalk Virus (Ng et al., 2004) (right; NVpol)

D. dsRNA virus RdRPs from the Cystoviridae (Butcher et al., 2001) (left; Φ6 bacteriophage Φ6pol) and Reoviridae (Tao et al., 2002) families (right; Reovirus λ3pol).

All polymerases are represented from a similar viewpoint, with the fingers domain to the left and the thumb domain to the right (as in Fig. 1.2). Right hand fold sub-domains are highlighted: palm – green, fingers – red, thumb – blue. The characteristic RdRPs fingertips sub-domain is coloured magenta. Where it exists, the C-terminal sub-domain is coloured in yellow. Extra N-terminal sub-domains in BVDVpol (coloured orange) and λ3pol (coloured wheat) are also highlighted. In the reovirus λ3pol, the C-terminal sub-domain is shown in semi-transparent mode, for clarity. Catalytic aspartates are shown as ball-and-stick representation, coloured by atom (C – green, O – red, N – blue). The active site in each RdRP is highlighted by a magenta star.

E. Schematic representation of vRdRPs sub-domains (coloured as above), highlighting the different tunnels in the polymerases. Left panel: view as in Fig. 1.2. Right panel: Slice view of the vRdRPs, showing the position of template tunnel, substrate pore and exit route of the dsRNA product. The active site is highlighted by a star (magenta).
As described previously, the palm sub-domain is the most conserved structural feature amongst all polymerases and it contains the catalytic aspartic acid residues. Although the fingers sub-domain exhibits the most striking differences between DNA and RNA dependent polymerases, its mixed α-helical – β-sheet topology seems to be highly conserved amongst viral RdRPs (Fig. 1.6). In these enzymes, structural differences are more evident at the fingertips and thumb sub-domains. For example, BVDVpol (Choi et al., 2004) has a three-stranded fingertip structure whilst HCVpol (Bressanelli et al., 1999) and caliciviral polymerases (Ng et al., 2002; Ng et al., 2004) have four-stranded structures and Φ6pol (Butcher et al., 2001) has a more elaborate fingertip sub-domain with six strands. Significantly, the differences in the thumb sub-domain might correlate with the mode of initiation, as described in detail in section 1.4.3. Moreover, some vRdRPs, such Φ6pol (Butcher et al., 2001), HCVpol (Bressanelli et al., 1999), BVDVpol (Choi et al., 2004) and NVpol (Ng et al., 2004) have an extra feature at their C-termini that confers on them a more spherical appearance (Fig. 1.6). This C-terminal structural feature seems to also be relevant to the initiation mode adopted by the polymerase, as discussed in detail below.

The clear structural homologies between vRdRPs extend beyond viral classes, with striking similarities between dsRNA and (+)ssRNA virus polymerases such as Φ6pol, HCVpol and BVDVpol (Fig. 1.6). These (+)ssRNA polymerases seem to share more structural features with dsRNA Cystoviridae Φ6pol than with other (+)ssRNA such as picornavirus polymerases. Φ6pol (Butcher et al., 2001), HCVpol (Bressanelli et al., 1999), BVDVpol (Choi et al., 2004) and NVpol (Ng et al., 2004) all have a structural feature at their C-termini that occludes the template
tunnel exit, implying that considerable structural rearrangements are necessary for the dsRNA product to be translocated. Conversely, picornaviral RdRPs structurally determined so far (Table 1.1) exhibit a wider, more open template tunnel (Fig. 1.6). These differences are likely to be related to the preferred initiation mode adopted by each viral class. Picornaviruses use a protein primer-dependent initiation mode and therefore need to accommodate a bulkier template in a wider tunnel. Conversely, dsRNA, flavivirus and calicivirus initiate replication in a primer-independent (de novo) mode and the C-terminal structures are thought to play a role in stabilizing the initiation competent complex (described below).

Surprisingly, reovirus polymerase, the other example of a dsRNA vRdRP structurally determined to date, exhibits a much more elaborate structure (Tao et al., 2002) (Fig. 1.7.A). It has a “cage-like” appearance that seems to be unique amongst vRdRPs and is a result of an elaboration on the canonical right hand fold. λ3pol has two extra domains: an N-terminal that bridges the fingers and thumb sub-domains at one side of the catalytic cleft and a C-terminal “bracelet” sub-domain on the opposite side (Fig. 1.7.A). Four channels are defined by these elaborated features: “front”, defined by the C-terminal bracelet opening; “left”, at the interface of the bracelet and polymerase domains; “rear”, at the interface of the polymerase and N-terminal domains and “bottom”, at the interface of all three domains (Fig. 1.7.B). The front tunnel is the exit route of the dsRNA product, whilst the left pore defines the template tunnel and the rear channel forms the substrate tunnel. The bottom tunnel has been proposed to play a role in mRNA exit, but its precise function is still unknown (Tao et al., 2002). This more elaborate structure of reovirus λ3pol is thought to be related with the fact that the enzyme also catalyses RNA capping and
the need for a structural organization that allows control of both functions at different stages of the viral life cycle (Tao et al., 2002).

**Figure 1.7. Comparison of structures of RdRPs from dsRNA viruses**

A. dsRNA virus RdRPs from the Cystoviridae (Butcher et al., 2001) (left; Φ6 bacteriophage Φ6pol) and Reoviridae (Tao et al., 2002) families (right; Reovirus λ3pol). The C-terminal in λ3pol forms an elaborate “bracelet” structure that encircles the active cleft at the front of the protein, whilst the N-terminal bridges the fingers and thumb domain on the opposite side of the catalytic cleft. This creates a “cage-like” structure that encloses the canonical right hand fold within a largely hollow centre.

B. “Cage-like” conformation of the λ3pol. Left panel: view from the tunnel created by the C-terminal “bracelet”. Domains are coloured as above. The “front” tunnel is shown as a grey circle. Right panel: schematic representation of λ3pol structure, with the positions of the four channels highlighted, viewed as in the left panel. C-terminal bracelet is shown in yellow, the N-terminal at the “back” in wheat colour and the catalytic right hand domain in green.
The overall structural similarity and the conservation of secondary and tertiary structure elements in the palm and thumb domains of polymerases of the families *Picorna-*-, *Flavi-*-, *Cysto-* and *Retroviridae* has led to speculation that they may have evolved from a common ancestor (Butcher *et al.*, 2001; O'Farrell *et al.*, 2003). The general significance of structural conservation in the context of virus evolution has been discussed by Bamford and co-workers (2002; 2003).

The viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase used as a model for structural studies of vRdRPs described in this study was that of bacteriophage Φ6. Therefore, a detailed discussion of the structure, characteristics and properties of the phage polymerase is given, in the context of a brief characterisation of the virus. Whenever appropriate, comparisons with other vRdRPs are discussed in the following sections.
1.4. dsRNA viruses - Cystoviridae

Viruses with double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) genomes infect a wide variety of hosts: bacteria, fungi, plants and animals, including humans. There are six dsRNA viruses families: Cystoviridae, Reoviridae, Birnaviridae, Totiviridae, Partitiviridae and Hypoviridae. Their genomes are either monopartite (Totiviridae, Partitiviridae and Hypoviridae) or segmented – Birnaviridae (2 segments), Cystoviridae (3 segments) and Reoviridae (10-12 segments). As they infect a cell, dsRNA viruses face two major problems: dsRNA is not recognised by the cellular replicative machinery and it induces a strong apoptotic response. Therefore, dsRNA viruses have developed a protective strategy that involves retention of their genome in a closed icosahedral particle. One of the constituents of this particle is the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP).

One of the best studied dsRNA virus has been bacteriophage Φ6 from the Cystoviridae family. It shares many of the key mechanistic characteristics of more complex dsRNA viruses, such as rotavirus and bluetongue virus, causal agents of major human and animal disease, but it can be handled without risk to humans or other vertebrates. Bacteriophages Φ7-Φ13 from the Cystoviridae family have been studied recently, with identified polymerase complexes similarly to that of Φ6pol (Mindich et al., 1999; Hoogstraten et al., 2000; Qiao et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2003). The biochemical properties of these polymerases are briefly discussed, within the context of the Φ6pol description since this was the vRdRP studied in this work and is therefore the major focus of this thesis. A brief characterisation of Φ6 bacteriophage is also given.
1.4.1. Bacteriophage Φ6

Bacteriophage Φ6 is the prototype virus of the family Cystoviridae. Viruses belonging to this family infect bacteria and have a spherical morphology with a lipid envelope surrounding the icosahedral nucleocapsid (Fig. 1.8.).

The bacteriophage Φ6 virion is made up of 3 concentric layers:

(i) Core or polymerase complex (PC) – dsRNA surrounded by the protein components of the PC

(ii) Nucleocapsid (NC) – core + P8 coat (Bamford et al., 1976; Butcher et al., 1997)

(iii) Lipid envelope (Mindich and Bamford, 1988)

Core particles are actively transcribing units, comprising the genome and the polymerase complex. Procapsids are the newly produced empty polymerase complex particles (Butcher et al., 1997; de Haas et al., 1999). The capsid shell is comprised principally of the major capsid P1 protein, with hexamers of the RNA translocase protein P4 sitting at the 5 fold vertices (Fig. 1.8). The positions of P2, the polymerase, and P7 are less certain, although it has been proposed that P2 might be internalised beneath the 5-fold vertices (de Haas et al., 1999; Poranen et al., 2001a).

A similar architecture is observed for members of the Reoviridae, in particular bluetongue virus (BTV) (Grimes et al., 1998; Diprose et al., 2001; Nason et al., 2004) and rotavirus (Prasad et al., 1996). Apart from its structural role, Φ6 P1 has been implicated in the specific recognition of Φ6 RNA packaging signals (Onodera et al., 1998). P4 has an NTP-binding consensus motif characteristic of helicases and NTPases and the protein has been shown to hydrolyse NTP and to unwind dsRNA when part of the polymerase complex. It is, therefore, thought to package ssRNA
genome precursors into the PC at the expense of NTP hydrolysis. Mancini et al. (2004) determined the structure of the related bacteriophage Φ12 P4 protein, together with several complexes of intermediate states of RNA translocation driven by ATP hydrolysis. They propose a mechanism that explains how ATP hydrolysis at external sites is coordinated to the translocation of RNA, located at the centre of the ring of the hexameric P4. This mechanism provides a highly efficient unidirectional motor driven by well-defined and localized conformational changes triggered by ATP hydrolysis (Mancini et al., 2004).

P7 has been shown to modulate the RNA metabolism of Φ6, although its exact role is still uncertain. It has been proposed that it is involved in regulation of the RNA packaging reaction and in dsRNA transcription. Φ6 protein P2 has been shown to have both transcriptase and replicase activities in vitro and was hence identified as the phage RdRP (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a, 2000b).

The genome of Φ6 is internalised within the core particle, organised as three dsRNA segments: small (S), medium (M) and large (L), with each virus particle containing one copy of each segment (Day and Mindich, 1980). Their lengths are 2948bp, 4063bp and 6374bp, respectively (McGraw et al., 1986; Gottlieb et al., 1988; Mindich et al., 1988). All segments contain a conserved regulatory sequence at their 5' and 3' termini. Segment L codes for all the components of the core particle, whereas the other genes are shared between S and M. This clustering of sequences coding for the core proteins allows Φ6 to modulate their synthesis during its life cycle.
Figure 1.8. The Φ6 virion

A. Schematic representation of the virion. PC - Polymerase complex: P1 - shell constituent; P2 - RdRP; P4 - packaging NTPase; P7 - modulator. Nucleocapsid: core + surrounding P8 coat, with P5 (endopeptidase) in the T=13 sites of the P8 layer. Lipid envelope: P6, P9, P10, P13 integral membrane proteins and phospholipids, with P3 cell attachment protein anchored to P6, forming the outer spikes.

B. Cartoon representation of the hexameric structure of the P4 helicase (Mancini et al., 2004). View is from the "external" side of the hexamer, as seen by the RNA being translocated. Monomeric units are coloured yellow, red, cyan, green, blue, magenta (clockwise). [Figure kindly provided by E. Mancini.]

C. Cartoon representation of Φ6pol (Butcher et al., 2001), as seen in Fig. 1.6.D. Canonical palm (green), fingers (red) and thumb (blue) and characteristic fingertips (magenta) and C-terminal sub-domains are highlighted.
Chapter 1
Introduction

A. Φ6 life cycle

The Φ6 life cycle (Fig. 1.9.) has been reviewed in detail elsewhere (Mindich, 1988; Mindich and Bamford, 1988; Poranen, 2000) so only a brief outline is given here. Φ6 attaches to Pseudomonas syringae cell via interactions between the outer spikes protein P3 and the bacterial pilus, causing it to retract. The established contact between the virion and the outer membrane (OM) allows the fusion of the Φ6 envelope with the membrane, mediated by P6. The nucleocapsid (NC) then has to penetrate through the peptidoglycan layer (PG). The endopeptidase activity of protein P5 is responsible for the necessary layer degradation, allowing the NC to reach the plasma membrane (PM). The NC is finally released to the cytoplasm in a process mediated by membrane voltage dependent and independent interactions between P8 protein and the PM [Fig. 1.9. (i-ii)]. Once in the cytoplasm, the external P8 shell and surrounding membrane vesicles are lost and active core particles (CP) are released [Fig. 1.9. (ii)]. These particles then transcribe the three genomic segments S, M and L, extruding the (+) sense copies (s⁺, m⁺ and l⁺) into the cytoplasm [Fig. 1.9. (iii)]. The cellular translating machinery uses them as mRNAs [Fig. 1.9. (iv)] to synthesise Φ6 viral proteins. The same s⁺, m⁺ and l⁺ transcripts can also act as precursors of genomic dsRNA segments. In order for replication to occur, the single-stranded transcripts are packaged into newly synthesised and preformed PCs [Fig. 1.9. (v)] where Φ6pol synthesises the daughter strand [Fig. 1.9. (vi-vii)]. These PCs, now packed with dsRNA, can then direct additional rounds of transcription [Fig. 1.9. (viii)]. Alternatively, they can produce new NC and subsequently mature virions via a complex morphogenesis pathway. At that stage, phage proteins P10, P5, and probably some other uncharacterized factor(s) disrupt
the cell membranes and lyse the cell, releasing new infective particles [Fig. 1.9. (ix-x)].

Analysing the life cycle of Φ6, it becomes clear that the virus must have a mechanism to switch from transcription to replication. *In vitro* studies revealed the differences between replication and transcription that could account for the regulation of the viral RNA metabolism (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000b). However, the molecular basis of both processes is the same: reading of a ssRNA template and synthesis of the complementary strand. In replication, (+)ssRNA is directly read to produce the dsRNA product. However, for transcription to occur, unwinding of dsRNA strand is necessary so that the polymerase can synthesise the (+)ssRNA product.
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Figure 1.9. Φ6 life cycle
(i) P3 interaction with bacterial pilus. P6 mediated fusion of envelope and outer membrane; loss of envelope. P8 binding to PM; entry of NC. (ii) Loss of P8 shell (core particles). (iii) Transcription of dsRNA, extrusion of s\(^{+}\), m\(^{+}\), l\(^{+}\). (iv) l\(^{+}\) translation into P1, P2, P4, P7; s\(^{+}\), m\(^{+}\) translation. (v) Assembly of new PC. (vi) Sequential packaging of (+)ssRNA segments (vii) Formation of replicative active PC. (viii) Replication of s\(^{+}\), m\(^{+}\), l\(^{+}\). (ix) P8 assembly to PC. (x) Lipid envelope, phospholipids and capsid proteins assembly. Release of new viral particle, accompanied by cell lysis.

[Modified from (Makeyev, 2001)]
B. Replication

Replication requires full packaging of only one copy of each of the three genomic segments. This requirement of packaging of only one copy of each RNA segment is also seen in viruses from the Reoviridae family whose genomes have 10 to 12 segments. Furthermore, the packaging of RNA molecules is done sequentially in Φ6: the s+ segment is packaged first, followed by m+ and finally the l+. Based on experimental results from in vitro PC preparations (Frilander and Bamford, 1995; Juuti and Bamford, 1995) as well as in vivo studies (Ewen and Revel, 1990), a mechanism for packaging has been proposed (Qiao et al., 1997). Empty PC initially contains only binding sites for s+ ssRNA segment. Packaging of this segment promotes particle expansion, replacing the s+ recognition sites with m+ binding sites. A similar change takes place to create the l+ recognition sites. After packaging of l+ segment, the particle expands completely, triggering replication, presumably by stimulation of the RdRP. The mechanisms of particle formation and RNA packaging in Reoviridae virus still remain to be elucidated, although empty polymerase complexes can be produced in recombinant expression systems. RNA packaging in Reoviridae occurs within cytoplasmic inclusions (viroplasms), and is facilitated by virus-encoded non-structural proteins (see Patton and Spencer, 2000 and references therein). Non-structural proteins NSP2 in rotavirus, NS2 in BTV and oNS in orthoreovirus form large multimeric complexes co-localized with the PCs in infected cells and bind ssRNA with high affinity. It has been postulated that these complexes could be functional analogues of P4 in Φ6, implicated in the RNA packaging. Therefore, a similar mechanism to that proposed to occur in Φ6 could be relevant in
reoviruses, although it is still poorly understood how the segmented dsRNA viruses can specifically select for one copy of each segment to be packaged sequentially in order to reconstitute a functional genome (reviewed in Patton and Spencer, 2000).

Φ6 PCs support end-to-end polymerization, where ssRNA and dsRNA templates are copied without the loss of genetic information. Importantly, isolated Φ6pol retains this property initiating RNA synthesis at the very 3'-terminal nucleotide of the template (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a). Replicase activity of Φ6pol is stimulated by Mn\(^{2+}\) concentrations up to 2mM, above which it is inhibited. A similar effect is observed in related enzymes of Φ8, Φ12 and Φ13 (Yang et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2003a; Yang et al., 2003b). Furthermore, a stimulatory effect of manganese has been reported for other polymerases: Qβ replicase (Blumenthal and Carmichael, 1979; Blumenthal, 1980), HCVpol (Alaoui-Lsmaili et al., 2000; Zhong et al., 2000), brome mosaic virus polymerase (BMVpol) (Sun et al., 1996), and polioviral 3Dpol (Arnold et al., 1999). In addition, Φ6pol requires a relatively high NTP concentration for optimal polymerisation, as observed for other vRdRPs that use de novo initiation, namely Qβ replicase (Blumenthal, 1980), BMVpol (Kao and Sun, 1996), BVDVpol (Kao et al., 1999), and cellular and viral DdRPs (Losick and Chamberlin, 1976). Significantly, these stimulatory effects are also observed in the replication within Φ6 PC (Emori et al., 1983; Bamford et al., 1995; van Dijk et al., 1995). Importantly, Φ6pol replication activity is inhibited by Ca\(^{2+}\) at concentrations higher than 0.5mM (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000b).

All genomic segments have a similar efficiency rate of initiation of replication, which implies that it does not depend on any signal sequence at the (+)ssRNA 3 end. Indeed, isolated vRdRPs from Φ6 and other cystoviruses accept
many heterologous ssRNA templates \emph{in vitro}. The yield of dsRNA product depends on the template 3'-terminal sequence and template secondary structure. Studies with different chimeric ssRNAs (Makeyev and Bamford, 2001; Yang \etal, 2001) revealed that, despite the differences in the template preferences between Φ6, Φ8 and Φ13 polymerases, a general preference for 3'-terminal cytosines is observed. These results strongly suggest that replication efficiency is controlled at the initiation step, and that vRdRPs prefer pyrimidine-rich 3'-terminal initiation sites (C-3' > U-3'). Indeed, similar template preferences are observed in Qβ replicase (Blumenthal and Carmichael, 1979), HCVpol (Sun \etal, 2000; Zhong \etal, 2000) and BVDVpol (Kao \etal, 1999). Studies of isolated Φ6pol initiation using RNA templates with insertions at the 3' end that can assume secondary structures such as hairpin-tetraloops (Laurila \etal, 2002) and highly structured ssRNAs (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a) revealed a considerable lowered polymerisation activity. Therefore, Φ6pol seems to require a single-stranded 3' terminus to efficiently initiate reaction. Interestingly, Φ6pol can also accept ssDNA as a template \emph{in vitro} (Makeyev and Bamford, 2001).
C. Transcription

Transcription in Φ6 is semi-conservative: the old (+) strand is displaced as the new is synthesised using the (-)ssRNA as a template. Significantly, this activity is less efficient in vitro than replication, at least by one order of magnitude, apparently due to inefficient initiation from a dsRNA template (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000b). Access to the 3′-terminus of the template strand in a single-stranded form in order to initiate transcription requires "unzipping" of the dsRNA terminus, which is apparently inefficient in the Φ6pol–dsRNA in vitro systems and must be somehow stimulated within the polymerase complex. Indeed, when assembled into the PC particle, Φ6pol can catalyze multiple rounds of transcription (Poranen et al., 2001b).

Past the initiation step, purified Φ6pol is capable of normal elongation, albeit at a lower rate (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000b). Therefore, Φ6pol does not seem to require the assistance of other proteins to unwind RNA duplex during elongation. This might be a common feature amongst RdRPs, since poliovirus and alfalfa mosaic virus polymerases can also displace non-template strand during elongation (Cho et al., 1993; de Graaff et al., 1995).

Importantly, genomic Φ6 S and M segments are transcribed more efficiently than the L segment, especially at later stages of infection when the virus needs to assemble complete particles instead of producing more NCs. These different efficiency rates for initiation of transcription are probably related to the (-)ssRNA 3′ terminal sequence: 5′-…CC- 3′ for the S and M segments and 5′-…AC-3′ for the L segment, likely to be related to the preference for 3′ terminal cytidines described above. The facts that the L segment encodes for all the proteins of the polymerase
complex and has different (-)ssRNA 3' end sequence are thought to be related to mechanisms of control during the viral life cycle.

Transcription is stimulated by Mg\(^{2+}\) and inhibited by concentrations of Ca\(^{2+}\) as low as 0.2mM. This effect has also been observed in *in vitro* transcription of reovirus (Sargent and Borsa, 1984) and DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II (Okai, 1982).

The subtle differences between replication and transcription are closely related to the virus life cycle. The polymerase recognizes the 3'-end of the (+) sense RNA segment after RNA encapsidation and synthesizes the daughter (-) strand during genome replication, to produce the dsRNA genome. It then switches mode, preferentially recognizing the 3'-end of the (-) sense RNA strand, to synthesise a daughter (+) strand. The parental (+) strand is displaced from the particle during this process of semi-conservative transcription. This is likely to be a general model for the role of RdRPs of dsRNA bacteriophages that transcribe RNA in a semi-conservative way.
1.4.2. Φ6pol structure

The structure of Φ6pol was determined by Butcher and co-workers (2001) in its apo form, in complex with a DNA template, with a bound ATP and in an initiation competent state. As mentioned previously, the polymerase of bacteriophage Φ6 is a compact spherical molecule, due to two elaborations of the basic right hand architecture (Fig. 1.10.A). The first is an N-terminal extension constituted by six strands that strap together the tips of the fingers and thumb (fingertips). The C-terminal 64 residues form the second elaboration. Two positively charged tunnels allow the access of NTP substrates and RNA template to the active site. In the following descriptions, the template oligonucleotides are numbered sequentially from 3' to 5', with the 3' end of the template (which is used to initiate de novo RNA synthesis) named as T1. Nucleotides of the daughter strand are denoted D1, D2, etc. from the 5' to the 3', such that D1 base pairs with T1, D2 with T2, and so on.

The template tunnel is wide enough to accommodate ssRNA but not dsRNA, and the distance from the surface to the active site can be spanned by a ssRNA oligonucleotide of 5nt. In fact, Butcher et al. (2001) determined the structure of Φ6pol with a 5nt DNA oligonucleotide template that completely fills the tunnel (Fig. 1.10.B). The DNA template sequence 5'-TTTCC-3' was chosen so it mimics the 3' end sequence of (-)ssRNA of the genomic S and M segments (5'...CC-3'), the preferred templates for transcription (McGraw et al., 1986; Gottlieb et al., 1988; Yang et al., 2001). The oligonucleotide binds inside a tunnel, lined with the side-chains of predominantly basic amino acids, that leads to the active site, in a position and conformation similar to that seen for template bound to HIV-1 RT (Huang et al., 1998). Surprisingly, mapping the double-stranded DNA/DNA hybrid seen for the RT
ternary complex onto the Φ6pol structure places it exactly through the body of the C-terminal sub-domain. A similar physical barrier imposed by the C-terminus is present in HCVpol (Bressanelli et al., 2002). Unexpectedly, the DNA template binds the polymerase in a position that places T1 well past the expected catalytic residues, with the base threaded into a specific binding pocket (denoted S) within the C-terminal sub-domain (Fig. 1.10.B). The described specificity of Φ6pol towards a cytidine at the 3' end is explained by interactions in the S pocket (a hydrogen bond between an O6 of E634 and N4 of cytidine would discriminate against the O4 of uracil, and the observed pocket is too small to accommodate either adenine or guanidine). T2 also inserts beyond the catalytic residues, and is base stacked with T3, which seems to be aligned to engage the incoming substrate NTP (the catalytically relevant binding site for incoming NTPs is hereafter denoted as site C). Discrimination at T1 and T2 by structural elements present in the template tunnel explains how the virus regulates the level of transcription of each genomic strand and promotes the production of (+)ssRNA rather than (-)ssRNA from the dsRNA template.

The edge of the template channel is shaped like a plough, adjacent to a positively charged path over the polymerase surface (Fig. 1.10.C). The molecular surface around the entrance to the template tunnel is highly charged, rich in basic residues. Therefore, it seems suitable to establish non-specific interactions with the phosphate backbone of RNA. Butcher et al. (2001) proposed that the plough-like protuberance from this molecular surface might separate the strands of RNA, feeding one strand directly into the similarly basic template channel and the other out of the capsid, perhaps through a positively charged surface channel (Fig. 1.10.C). Selective
attachment of the minus strand in the template tunnel ensures that the correct (+) strand leaves the polymerase complex.

The NTP (substrate) tunnel, formed by the fingertips, is another common structural motif observed for RdRPs. This region is positively charged in a number of RdRPs and interacts with the incoming negatively charged NTPs. The structure of Φ6pol in complex with an ATP molecule (Butcher et al., 2001) reveals a binding site in the substrate pore (hereafter denoted as site I) that overlaps with, but is displaced from by ~5 Å, the inferred NTP-binding site in the active site (site C). The interactions of triphosphate moieties of the incoming NTP with basic residues K223, R225, R268 and R270 might facilitate the interrogation of the correct NTP (Fig. 1.10.D).

These complexes, together with a crystal structure of an initiation competent state allowed Butcher and co-workers (2001) to propose the series of events that lead to RNA synthesis in Φ6pol and related vRdRPs, as described in detail in the following section.
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Figure 1.10. Φ6pol structure

A. Cartoon representation of Φ6pol structure. Right hand sub-domains are coloured: palm (green), fingers (red) and thumb (blue). The fingertips sub-domain characteristic of v Rd RPs is coloured magenta. The C-terminal sub-domain is coloured yellow.

B. A section through the template channel with the bound 5nt DNA oligonucleotide drawn in yellow. The surface of the polymerase and the embedded polypeptide chain are coloured green. The electron density map covering the template is highlighted on the right, with the template drawn in green as ball—and-sticks representations. The 3' and 5' ends are marked.

C. Surface charge representation of Φ6pol viewed from above, showing the entrance to the template tunnel. Putative positions for the strands before initiation are shown.

D. Difference electron density map for the NTP bound to site I. Conserved residues R225, R268 and R270 line the tunnel and interact with the phosphate backbone from the ATP molecule. Catalytic aspartate D453 and Y630 are drawn. All the residues drawn and the ATP molecule are shown in ball-and-stick representation (C – white, N – blue, O – red, P – green).

[Modified from (Butcher et al., 2001)]
1.4.3. Initiation mechanism of Φ6pol

The first insights into the molecular details of the initiation mechanism in vRdRPs were provided by structural studies of Φ6pol (Butcher et al., 2001). Determination of structural models of the enzyme in complex with a 5nt DNA template and ATP substrate, together with a DNA-GTP-Mg\textsuperscript{2+} complex allowed Butcher and co-workers (2001) to obtain several snapshots of the events leading to the formation of an initiation competent complex and propose an initiation mechanism for Φ6pol (Fig. 1.11).

The template enters the tunnel with the 3' cytidine binding in a pocket (site S) well past the catalytic site (site C). This pocket lies within the C-terminal sub-domain and specifically recognizes the preferred cytidine T1. At the substrate tunnel, different NTPs occupy interrogation site I, presumably in rapid exchange. Interactions with a set of arginine residues at the fingertips are proposed to sequentially prime for the correct NTP and direct it to the active site (Fig. 1.11; steps II-IV). Once the correct nucleoside (GTP) is directed to the active site, it interacts with the initiation platform (P), constituted by the 629QYW632 loop in the C-terminal sub-domain. Watson-Crick base-pairing interactions with the T2 cytidine of the template and stacking interactions with Y630 stabilize the GTP molecule (denoted D2) (Fig. 1.11; step V). Due to interactions with D2 at the initiation platform and electrostatic attraction to R268 and R270, the template ratchets back, causing T1 to be displaced from the specificity pocket (Fig. 1.11; step VI). A second GTP, D1, enters the P site to lock the initiation complex into its active form: D1 forms Watson-Crick base pair interactions with T1, D2 base-pairs with T2, further stabilized by base-stacking interactions with Y630 (Fig. 1.11; step VII). Two
magnesium ions are coordinated by the phosphate backbones of D1 and D2 and the catalytic aspartates (as described for the general "two metal ion mechanism"; see Fig. 1.3. for details). Once catalysis occurs, pyrophosphate is released and the polymerised product ratchets down, displacing the C-terminal sub-domain of the protein (Fig. 1.11; step VIII). This may be facilitated by attraction of the phosphates of the GTP in site P to the Mn\(^{2+}\) ion present in \(\Phi_{6}\)pol in a somewhat distant position (~6Å from the active site). The next NTP slips into the catalytic site C, from site I, which sets the ratchet for the chain elongation to start.
Figure 1.11. Φ6pol initiation model

I-IV - The template enters the tunnel, fitting snugly in site S (specificity pocket S). Site I (interrogation) is occupied by NTPs, presumably in rapid exchange. V - GTP binds to site P (initiation stabilizing platform). VI - The template ratchets backwards, moving T1 away from pocket S. VII - A second GTP binds into site P, locking the initiation complex into its final form. VIII - Catalysis occurs, with release of PPi. VII-VIII - The next NTP slips into site C from site I, setting the ratchet, and chain elongation is underway. Displacement of the C-terminal sub-domain of the protein is then necessary for elongation to occur. Red boxes indicate stages captured by X-ray crystallography.

[From (Butcher et al., 2001)]
Chapter 1
Introduction

The presence of structural elaborations that might be equivalent to the C-terminal "initiation stabilizing platform" loop in Φ6pol has been reported in other vRdRPs that initiate RNA polymerisation in a primer-independent mode. In some cases, in vitro primer-dependent or back-primed initiation has been reported (Behrens et al., 1996; Zhong et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2000; Lampio et al., 2000). However, this mechanism would be deleterious in vivo and it could therefore be an artefact induced by in vitro conditions.

Flaviviridae viruses HCV and BVDV have structural features that project into the active cleft, reducing the volume of the template tunnel at its internal end. In HCVpol, this consists of a β-hairpin protruding from the thumb toward the active site at the base of the palm domain (Bressanelli et al., 1999; Lesburg et al., 1999) (see Fig. 1.6). It allows only the single-stranded 3' terminus of an RNA template to bind productively to the active site and may function as a gate preventing the 3' terminus of the template RNA from slipping through the active site, thus ensuring terminal initiation of replication (Hong et al., 2001). Recently, a regulatory motif in the C-terminal non-catalytic region of the HCV RdRP has been identified upstream of the membrane anchor domain. It comprises a unique conserved hydrophobic pocket, which protrudes into the RNA-binding cavity. Together with the β-hairpin it forms a rigid bulky loop at the active site that likely serves as an initiation platform similar to that of Φ6pol (Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2003). It could also play a role in preventing primer-dependent and back-primed initiation and recognition of the correct secondary structure at the 3'-terminal end of the HCV genome (Leveque et al., 2003).

The thumb domain of BVDV exhibits a different, more elaborate topology. It has a structural element – the "β-thumb" region – constituted by two β-strands and
connecting loops that occlude the active site cavity in a similar way to the β-hairpin in HCV (Choi et al., 2004) (see Fig. 1.6). It interacts with the fingers and palm sub-domains through a long C-terminal loop that fits snugly between the thumb and palm sub-domains. Thus, the β-thumb might have a similar function as the β-hairpin feature in HCV, allowing only ssRNA to access the active site during initiation. The crystal structures of the HCV and BVDV RdRPs indicate that there is not enough space underneath the β-hairpin or β-thumb to allow the passage of a dsRNA product. Therefore, these features should be flexible enough to give way to the nascent dsRNA (Hong et al., 2001). It was hypothesized that a change in conformation of the thumb domain takes place upon template binding to allow efficient de novo initiation of RNA synthesis (Bressanelli et al., 2002; Choi et al., 2004).

Viruses of the family Reoviridae also initiate RNA synthesis in a primer-independent mode. The reovirus RdRP also has a special priming loop proposed to have an effect in stabilizing the initiation competent complex (Tao et al., 2002). This loop is a unique insertion within a strand present in the palm sub-domain that supports the stacking of the priming NTP, D1. In the apo structure and in the initiation complex, the loop protrudes into the active site. Conversely, in the fully active polymerase complex, the loop retracts towards the palm to fit into the minor groove of the product duplex (Tao et al., 2002).

The observation of “initiation stabilizing platforms” in these polymerases indicates that the model proposed by Butcher et al. (2001) might be adopted by RdRP from other viral families, providing a possible evolutionary link between viral classes (Bamford et al., 2002; Bamford, 2003).
Calicivirus and picornavirus initiate polymerization in a primer-dependent manner, using a protein-linked primer and template. The most dramatic difference between the Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Virus (RHDV) and poliovirus RdRPs and that of other polymerases occurs at the thumb sub-domain: primer-dependent polymerases have a smaller, less elaborate thumb and a wider template tunnel. The absence of "initiation stabilizing platform" loops in the poliovirus and RHDV enzymes is consistent with their ability to utilize dsRNA as templates in vitro (Arnold et al., 1999; Hong et al., 2001; Lopez Vazquez et al., 2001) and the fact that they need to accommodate a bulkier template since they utilise a protein-primed initiation for genome replication (Paul et al., 1998).

Supporting the view that the protruding loops observed in HCVpol, BVDVpol, λ3pol and Φ6pol are related to primer-independent initiation, it has been reported that initiation can revert to a back-priming mode when the size of the initiation platform is reduced. Thus, a Φ6pol mutant where the 630YKW632 loop was changed to smaller, less bulky residues GSG (Laurila et al., 2002) was found to preferentially initiate by a back-priming mechanism. Hong and co-workers (2001) reported a similar result for HCVpol when the β-hairpin 443LDCQIYGACYSI454 was mutated to LGGI. This indicates that the structural features protruding into the active site are important for stabilizing the initiation complex thus insuring correct de novo initiation and preventing back-priming from occurring. Furthermore, it provides a structural explanation for its absence in vRdRPs that utilise primer-dependent mechanisms.
1.5. Cellular RNA-dependent RNA polymerases

RNA-dependent RNA polymerisation activity is usually associated with viral RNA replication and transcription. However, this activity has also been reported in uninfected cells. Two distinct activity types were identified: firstly, cellular DNA-dependent RNA polymerases can utilise RNA in particular circumstances and, secondly, RNA polymerisation can be catalysed by cellular encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (cRdRP). Plant viroid replication and RNA genome replication of hepatitis A virus (Lai, 2005) by DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II (RNApolII) are examples of situations were cellular DdRPs are recruited to use RNA as a template. The first cellular encoded RdRP identified was that of the tomato plant (Schiebel et al., 1993a, b). Since then, cRdRPs have been identified in several organisms, including plants, fungi, protozoa and some metazoans (Cogoni and Macino, 1999a; Sijen et al., 2001; Makeyev and Bamford, 2002; Schramke et al., 2005). In those studies, the key essential role of cRdRPs in RNA silencing was also identified.
1.6. RNA silencing mechanisms

RNA silencing, also known as RNA interference (RNAi), refers to a group of RNA-induced gene silencing mechanisms conserved in most eukaryotic organisms and playing essential roles in cellular immunity against viruses and transposons, aspects of development, modulation of chromatin structure, and some other processes such as genome rearrangement in ciliates (Baulcombe, 2004; Lippman and Martienssen, 2004; Mello and Conte, 2004). RNAi can generally function on two different levels, inducing either transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) via establishing repressed chromatin state or posttranscriptional gene silencing (PTGS) by degradation of target RNAs. A key step in known silencing pathways is the processing of dsRNAs into short RNA duplexes of characteristic size and structure. These short dsRNAs guide RNA silencing by specific and distinct mechanisms. Many components of the RNA silencing machinery still need to be identified and characterized, but a reasonably complete understanding of the process is emerging, as schematically represented in Fig. 1.12.

All studied RNA silencing pathways rely on the use of dsRNA triggers that are processed by a dsRNA-specific RNase-III-type endonuclease termed Dicer (Bernstein et al., 2001b; Hutvagner et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2004). Long dsRNA and microRNAs (miRNAs) precursors are processed down to small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that appear as 21-25nt long fragments with 2nt 3’ protruding termini (Bernstein et al., 2001a; Zhang et al., 2004). One of the two siRNA strands is recruited by an effector complex comprising an Argonaute (Ago) subunit and used as a guide for sequence-specific degradation of target mRNAs (in PTGS) or directed
silencing of cognate chromatin domains (in TGS) (Hammond et al., 2001; Song et al., 2004a; Verdel et al., 2004). In PTGS, the effector complex comprising the Argonaute protein together with the siRNA and other uncharacterised components is named RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), whilst the similar complex directing heterochromatin silencing in TGS is termed RNA-induced initiation of transcriptional silencing (RITS). The single-stranded siRNA in RISC guides sequence-specific degradation of complementary or near-complementary target mRNAs (Martinez et al., 2002; Martinez and Tuschl, 2004). RISC cleaves the target mRNA in the middle of the complementary region, ten nucleotides upstream of the nucleotide paired with the 5' end of the guide siRNA (Elbashir et al., 2001b).

Dicer and Argonaute proteins contain a common RNA-binding Piwi/Argonaute/Zwille (PAZ) domain which is suggestive of evolutionary relatedness of the two enzymes. Recently, the crystal structure of an archae-bacterial Ago protein revealed striking similarity of the PIWI domain with members of the RNase H family (Song et al., 2004b). As RNase H cleaves the RNA strand of RNA/DNA duplexes, it was proposed that Ago proteins act by cleaving target RNA in target RNA/siRNA hybrids. Recent biochemical and structural studies, however, converged on the view that PAZ is an RNA binding domain (RBD) that specifically recognizes the terminus of the base-paired helix of siRNA duplexes, including the characteristic 2-nucleotide 3' overhangs (Lingel et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2005). This siRNA-duplex specific interaction with PAZ ensures the safe transitioning of small RNAs into RISC by minimizing the possibility of unrelated RNA-processing or RNA-turnover products entering the RNA silencing pathway. The preferred recognition of the termini of dsRNA precursors by PAZ-domain-containing Dicer
(Zhang et al., 2002) suggests that the processing reaction is guided by the PAZ domain docking at the terminus of long dsRNAs.

Figure 1.12. Model for RNA silencing mechanism

dsRNA can act as the initial trigger, for example when foreign dsRNA is introduced experimentally. In other cases, dsRNA acts as an intermediate, for example when 'aberrant' RNAs are copied by cellular RdRPs. Transcription can produce dsRNA by read-through from adjacent transcripts, as may occur for repetitive gene families or high-copy arrays (blue dashed arrows). Alternatively, transcription may be triggered experimentally or developmentally, for example in the expression of short hairpin (shRNA) genes and endogenous hairpin (miRNA) genes. The small RNA products of the Dicer-mediated dsRNA processing reaction guide distinct protein complexes to their targets. These silencing complexes include the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which is implicated in mRNA destruction and translational repression, and the RNA-induced transcriptional silencing complex (RITS), which is implicated in chromatin silencing. Sequence mismatches between a miRNA and its target mRNA lead to translational repression (black solid arrow), whereas near perfect complementarity results in mRNA destruction (black dashed arrow). Feedback cycles permit an amplification and long-term maintenance of silencing. CH3, modified DNA or chromatin; 7mG, 7-methylguanine; AAAA, poly-adenosine tail; TGA, translation termination codon.

[From Mello and Conte, 2004]
Another protein family critical for RNA silencing in plants, fungi, protozoa, and some animals species like nematodes but apparently not in insects and vertebrates is that of cell-encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (cRdRP) (Cogoni and Macino, 1999a; Sijen et al., 2001; Makeyev and Bamford, 2002; Schramke et al., 2005).

The Dicer/Argonaute pathway can be fully functional without cRdRP if sufficient dsRNA trigger is delivered inside the cell exogenously. This happens for example during RNA virus replication via dsRNA intermediates or when inverted repeat sequences are transcribed and “snapped back” to form long RNA hairpins.

In transgene silencing in plants and fungi, dsRNA triggers are proposed to be generated from aberrant ssRNAs by de novo initiation and polymerization catalysed by a cell-encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Schiebel et al., 1998; Cogoni and Macino, 1999a; Sijen et al., 2001; Makeyev and Bamford, 2002). The cRdRP is also thought to play a role in the amplification of dsRNA triggers, thus making the RNAi response more robust. However, the exact mechanism of this process still remains unknown. In addition to their role in cytoplasmic PTGS, cRdRPs have also been implicated in RNA-dependent DNA methylation. In Arabidopsis, SDE1/SGS2/RDR6 proteins are required for this process to occur (Mourrain et al., 2000). Furthermore, recent studies in fission yeast S. pombe show that Rdp1, the only cRdRP gene present, is essential for TGS at centromeric repeats and the silent mating-type region, together with two other proteins (Motamedi et al., 2004).

Several species seem to encode more than one cRdRP: three in N. crassa and Dictyostelium, four in C. elegans and as many as six genes in Arabidopsis.
Importantly, in *C. elegans*, one of the cRdRPs, - EGO-1 - catalyzes RNAi in the germ line, whist RRF-1 is reserved for somatic RNAi (Sijen *et al.*, 2001). Conversely, two different cRdRPs of *Arabidopsis* - RDR1 and SDE1/SGS2/RDR6 – are thought to play non-redundant roles during virus-induced gene silencing caused by different viral species (Mourrain *et al.*, 2000; Xie *et al.*, 2004). These observation support the hypothesis that different cRdRPs might support silencing in different tissues or/and be used in different silencing mechanisms.
1.6.1. Quelling in Neurospora Crassa

Quelling, as the RNA silencing mechanism has been named in *N. crassa*, is one of the best studied models for RNA silencing. It was originally described as reversible inactivation of gene expression by transformation with repeated homologous sequences. Quelling occurs during the vegetative phase of growth and, as for co-suppression in plants, it affects both transgenes and endogenous genes (Romano, 1992).

Three genes have been identified in the initial genetic screen for quelling defective phenotype: *qde-1*, *qde-2* and *qde-3* (Pickford *et al.*, 2002). The *qde-1* mutant was defective in an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) (Cogoni and Macino, 1999a). The protein product encoded by *qde-2* was shown to correspond to the Ago protein in *Neurospora* (Catalanotto *et al.*, 2000). The *qde-3* gene encodes a putative RecQ-type DNA helicase (Cogoni and Macino, 1999b). Recently, QDE-3 RecQ helicase and its homologue, RecQ-2, have been shown to play a role in recombination repair (Pickford *et al.*, 2003; Kato *et al.*, 2004), suggesting that QDE-3 RecQ helicases may have a dual role in *N. crassa*. Two Dicer-like proteins - DCL-1 and DCL-2 – that play overlapping roles in the generation of siRNAs have been reported (Catalanotto *et al.*, 2004). Although *Neurospora* supports chromatin-based silencing via locus-specific methylation of lysine 9 on histone H3 and DNA methylation, this level of regulation does not seem to interact with quelling (Chicas *et al.*, 2005).
1.6.2. QDE-1 cellular RNA-dependent RNA polymerase

Makeyev and Bamford (2002) have recently isolated recombinant QDE-1, providing direct evidence of RNA-dependent RNA polymerisation activity involvement in quelling. The polymerase activity of QDE-1 resides in the sub-domain since deletion of the N-terminal residues 1-376 has no detectable effect on the activity of the protein (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002). The catalytic active truncated version of the protein – QDE-1 ΔN (residues 377-1402) – accepts a number of ssRNA templates in vitro, producing two distinct types of RNA products:

(i) full-length copies
(ii) short RNA oligonucleotides complementary to the template, spread throughout the template

For the synthesis of full length products, the polymerase can initiate RNA polymerisation in a de novo or back-priming manner, depending on the secondary structure of the 3' end of the template. These long dsRNAs can then be degraded by a Dicer-like ribonuclease into 21–25nt long siRNAs (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002). Surprisingly, QDE-1 can also initiate de novo RNA synthesis internally, producing short copies of input ssRNA of variable length – 9 to 21nt. Furthermore, in vitro, this reaction is considerably more efficient than the synthesis of the full-length dsRNA (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002). If QDE-1 uses this reaction mode in vivo, at least a subset of the QDE-1 reaction products, close to 19–21bp, would provide an ideal target for a RISC-like nuclease complex, thus inducing a localized mRNA cleavage (Elbashir et al., 2001a; Elbashir et al., 2001b; Sharp, 2001). However, relevance of these products and this reaction mode in vivo has yet to be proven. Surprisingly, dsRNA substrates are not recognized by recombinant QDE-1 in vitro. Moreover,
primer extension *in vitro* is inefficient as compared with other RdRPs from viral origin. These results may indicate that the primer extension is not a major function of QDE-1 *in vivo*. Taken together, these observations indicate that QDE-1 may be needed for the synthesis of long dsRNA triggers and/or production of small guide RNAs.

Recent studies have provided further insight into the function of QDE-1 in *N. crassa*. Interestingly, QDE-1 seems to no longer be required upon the direct expression of dsRNA (Catalanotto *et al.*, 2004). This suggests that the main role of cRdRP in transgene-induced gene silencing in *Neurospora* is the conversion of transgenic RNA into dsRNA. Furthermore, over-expression of QDE-1 resulted in an increase in the production of siRNAs. In fact, high levels of QDE-1 allow the maintenance of silencing even when the number of transgenic copies are reduced by increasing the production of dsRNA and in turn siRNA molecules. Therefore, it was proposed that, in *Neurospora*, silencing activation and maintenance appear to rely on both the cellular amount of QDE-1 and the amount of transgenic copies producing RNA molecules that act as a substrate for the RdRP, implicating QDE-1 as a rate-limiting factor in PTGS (Forrest *et al.*, 2004).
Chapter 2

Φ6 RdRP – Experimental Procedures

The structure of apo Φ6 polymerase (Φ6pol) was reported by Butcher et al. (2001), who also determined the structures of several complexes with DNA oligonucleotides and NTPs, allowing a mechanism for the initiation of polymerisation to be proposed (section 1.4.2). However, several questions remained unanswered:

(i) what is the molecular basis of RNA specificity for Φ6pol?

(ii) what structural rearrangements occur once polymerisation occurs?

(iii) what is the molecular basis effects of different divalent cations?

(iv) what structural features are crucial to form the initiation complex?

(v) what is the importance of the initiation platform loop?

Strategies to try to fully understand the Φ6pol mechanism involved both structural and biochemical approaches, in a collaborative project with Prof. Dennis Bamford’s group at the University of Helsinki. A number of atomic structures of Φ6pol complexed with RNA oligonucleotide template, several NTP substrate conditions and in the presence of different cations were determined. In addition, structures of mutant versions of the protein, where key residues were altered in order to understand their relevance, were also determined.
Structural studies of Φ6pol described in this thesis are grouped into different subcategories (Table 2.1), according to which of the above questions the experiment was designed to address:

(i) To understand the preference of Φ6 polymerase for RNA as a template, co-crystals of the protein with RNA oligos with 5, 6, and 7 nucleotides were obtained (5nt Φ6pol-RNA, 6nt Φ6pol-RNA and 7nt Φ6pol-RNA, respectively).

(ii) A Φ6pol-RNA co-crystal soaked with GTP and Mg\(^{2+}\) provided detailed structural information on the rearrangements observed when one round of polymerization occurs (Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\)).

(iii) To determine the molecular basis of calcium inhibition of Φ6 polymerase reaction, a Φ6pol-RNA co-crystal was soaked with Ca\(^{2+}\) and GTP (Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Ca\(^{2+}\)). To address the influence of manganese in the reaction, “manganese-free” Φ6pol models were obtained – in the presence of EDTA and with Mg\(^{2+}\) substituting Mn\(^{2+}\) (Φ6pol-EDTA and Φ6pol-Mg\(^{2+}\), respectively).

(iv) To further understand the role of manganese, a mutant of Φ6pol was made, altering E491, which coordinates the Mn\(^{2+}\) ion, to glutamine. The apo structure of this mutant (E491Q) was determined, together with a set of complexes with templates and ligands: E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\), E491Q-DNA-GTP, E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\)-Mn\(^{2+}\), E491Q-RNA-Mn\(^{2+}\) and E491Q-RNA-Mn\(^{2+}\)-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\). The results shed light on the different steps leading to initiation.
(v) A mutant where the initiation platform loop 629QYWK632 is replaced with non-bulky residues SG was also produced and crystallised. The structure of this mutant (SG) gives insight into the importance of this loop.

In this chapter, the experimental procedures are described for each structure determination. A detailed analysis of the results obtained and how they contribute to a better understanding of Φ6 polymerase mechanism is described in Chapter 3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Φ6pol</th>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>NTP</th>
<th>PDB ac. code</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7nt Φ6pol-RNA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5'-UUUUUCC-3'</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ (cryst.)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1LVI</td>
<td>RNA specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6nt Φ6pol-RNA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5'-UUUUUCC-3'</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ (cryst.)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1UVI</td>
<td>RNA specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5nt Φ6pol-RNA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5'-UUUUCC-3'</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ (cryst.)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1UVM/1UVL</td>
<td>RNA specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5'-UUUUCC-3'</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ (cryst.) Mg²⁺ (soak) GTP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1UVK</td>
<td>Reaction mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Ca²⁺</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5'-UUUUCC-3'</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ (cryst.) Ca²⁺ (soak) GTP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1UVN</td>
<td>Ca²⁺ effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-EDTA</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Mg²⁺ (soak)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q</td>
<td>E491Q mutant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-RNA-Mn²⁺-GTP-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>E491Q mutant</td>
<td>5'-UUUUCC-3'</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ (cryst.) Mg²⁺ (soak) GTP</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>Reaction mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>E491Q mutant</td>
<td>5'-TTTTCC-3'</td>
<td>Mg²⁺ (soak) GTP</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>Reaction mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-DNA-GTP</td>
<td>E491Q mutant</td>
<td>5'-TTTTCC-3'</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GTP</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ effect Reaction mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg²⁺-Mn²⁺</td>
<td>E491Q mutant</td>
<td>5'-TTTTCC-3'</td>
<td>Mg²⁺ (soak) Mn²⁺ (soak) GTP</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>Reaction mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-RNA-Mn²⁺</td>
<td>E491Q mutant</td>
<td>5'-UUUUCC-3'</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ (cryst.)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Mn²⁺ effect Reaction mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>SG mutant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1WAC</td>
<td>Initiation platform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1. Protein expression and purification

Φ6 polymerase expression and purification were usually carried out by Minni R. L. Koivunen, as part of the collaboration with Prof. Dennis Bamford at the University of Helsinki, whilst structural studies were performed in Oxford as my contribution to this project. To strengthen my understanding of the procedures involved in the expression and purification of Φ6pol, I was directly involved in producing one batch of the SG mutant pure protein when visiting the University of Helsinki. Procedures for wild type (WT) Φ6 polymerase protein expression and purification were described by Makeyev and Bamford (2000a). Slightly modified protocols were carried out for the SG mutant and are described in detail here. For completeness, a short description of the plasmids used for the expression of the WT, E491Q and SG mutant Φ6 polymerase is also included.

For expression of the wild type Φ6 polymerase, plasmid pEMG2 was used (Makeyev, 2001). This plasmid was used to construct the SG mutant plasmid by PCR amplification of the small fragment of Φ6 polymerase gene using oligonucleotides 5'-CCAGTTCACGCCCTGATTGACCTGCTGT-3' and 5'-GCCATGCATCAGCTCGGATATTCCGCCAGACATCGCCCTCGGTACCGAGAGTTTGT-3' as upstream and downstream primers, respectively. The PCR product was digested with NruI-NsiI and ligated with similarly cut pEMG2. The insert in the resultant plasmid pRT2 [629QYKW632 - SG mutant] was verified by sequencing. For expression of E491Q Φ6 polymerase mutant, a point mutation was introduced into plasmid pEM33 encoding for WT Φ6pol with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag tethered to the protein with an AALE linker (Makeyev and
Bamford, 2000a). The QuickChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and 5'-CACCAAGGAAGCCGCCACGTGCTGTTAGGATCTCTCATGTAAGG-3' and 5'-CCTACATGAAGATCTCTACCACGGTCGGCGCCTCCTTGGT-3' oligonucleotides as upstream and downstream primers, respectively, were used to introduce the mutation, creating plasmid pNL18. To construct a plasmid for the expression of E491Q polymerase without histidine tag (His-tag), pNL18 was cut with NdeI and NsiI and ligated with similarly cut pEMG2 (expression vector for WT Φ6pol gene). The resultant plasmid, pSVe4, was partially sequenced to verify the mutation.

*Escherichia coli* DH5α (Gibco-BRL) cells were used for plating and production of plasmids. Competent cells were transformed by adding of 100μl of plasmid DNA to 200μl of competent cells DH5α and incubated on ice for 30-40min, followed by a heat shock treatment for 30s at 42°C. The transformed cells were incubated for 30min at 37°C with shaking, after addition of 1ml of LB medium. 100μl of the cell culture was then plated on to LB medium plates containing ampicillin and left to grow overnight at 37°C. Pure plasmid DNA was obtained using the QIAprep Miniprep (Qiagen), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, overnight pelleted bacterial cells are resuspended in a set of buffers (alkaline lysis) before centrifugation for 10min at 14,000g. The supernatant is then applied into a QIAprep column and centrifuged for 30-60s at 14,000g. The flow-through is discarded and the column washed with a buffer containing 70% ethanol (PE buffer, Qiagen) before eluting the DNA with 10mM TrisHCl (pH8.5) by centrifugation for 1min (14,000g). To verify that the cells had been transformed with the correct plasmid, restriction enzyme reactions were carried out and analysed in 0.8% agarose.
gels. As an example, a description of procedures for restriction enzyme analysis of an His-tag containing plasmid derived from pEM33 is given. pNL9 plasmid has a point mutation in the \(\Phi 6\)pol gene (K541L) that eliminates a NruI digestion sequence, preserving the NdeI digestion site (Fig. 2.1.A). Therefore, when the plasmid is digested with both enzymes, linear plasmids 7372bp long should result, whilst false positives would include two fragments (1612bp and 5760bp). 2\(\mu\)l DNA plasmid were incubated for 1h at 37°C with 2\(\mu\)l NruI (10 units/\(\mu\)l), 2\(\mu\)l NdeI (10 units/\(\mu\)l) and 2\(\mu\)l NruI (10 units/\(\mu\)l)+ 2\(\mu\)l NdeI (10 units/\(\mu\)l) in 200mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 70mM MgCl₂, 100mM KCl, 20mM 2-mercaptoethanol buffer and loaded to the agarose gel, together with undigested pNL9, DNA size markers and \(\lambda\) DNA cut with PstI as digestion marker. Undigested DNA and plasmid incubated with NruI resulted in two bands of \(~1600\) and 5700bp, whilst double digestion and NdeI result in a single band with \(~7400\)bp (Fig. 2.1.B). This indicates that the plasmid was uncut by NruI but digested by NdeI, as expected.
Figure 2.1. Example of an expression plasmid production of Φ6pol with a His-tag and a restriction enzyme analysis of a similar plasmid

**A.** A schematic representation of Φ6pol expression plasmid (pEM33) presenting the location of a Φ6pol gene and the recognition sites for the restriction enzymes used here (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a)

**B.** Agarose gel analysis of the digestion of plasmid pNL9 (based on pEM33) either by NdeI, NruI or a mixture of these restriction enzymes.
Purified pNL9 plasmid was then used to produce a starter culture of expression *E. coli* strain BL21/DE3. Transformation was carried out as described for DH5α cells. Three overnight colonies were incubated in 20ml of LB medium containing 100 mg/ml ampicillin and grown at 37°C with shaking (250rpm) until the OD₅₄₀ reached 0.5. This was then diluted thirty-fold into 500ml of the same medium. The diluted culture was further grown, 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 1.0 (~2h), with shaking at 250rpm. The culture was chilled on ice for 10min and induced with 100mM isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Each step in protein production was analysed by running each protein sample on a 12.5% SDS-PAGE gel. Prior to IPTG induction a 200μl sample was collected, centrifuged at 13,000rpm and resuspended in 20μl of 1xSB (sodium boric acid electrophoresis buffer). IPTG-induced cells were then transferred to 20°C where they were shaken for 18h at 240rpm. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 7,000rpm for 10min at 4°C and resuspended in 6.3ml of 100mM NaCl, 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1mM EDTA. All purification steps were carried out at 4°C. The suspension was passed three times at ~105MPa through a pre-cooled French pressure cell. Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride was added to a final concentration of 1mM after the first passage. The lysate was centrifuged at 120,000 g for 2.5h. The supernatant fraction was loaded onto a dye affinity column (Cibacron Blue 3GA; Sigma). Proteins bound to the column were eluted with 500mM NaCl, 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1mM EDTA (Fig. 2.2.).
Figure 2.2. Analysis of Φ6pol purification products after blue agarose affinity column
SDS-PAGE gel analysis of samples after the blue agarose gel (Sigma) column. Purified Φ6 genome and Φ6pol were used as molecular size markers. Samples at each step of purification were analysed: before and after French press cell disruption, after centrifugation at 10,000rpm for 1h (load), flow through after loading (flow through) and washing (wash) steps, and eluted fractions 1 to 8. Migration position of Φ6pol is marked on the left (black arrow).
Pooled fractions containing \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \) were diluted 5-fold with ice-cold distilled water and applied onto a heparin agarose column (Amersham Sigma). Proteins were eluted with a linear 0.1-1M NaCl gradient buffered with 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 1mM EDTA (Fig. 2.3). Fractions containing \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \) were pooled and diluted 10-fold with 20mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), filtered and injected onto a Resource Q column (Amersham Pharmacia). Elution of the bound proteins was performed with a 0-0.5M NaCl gradient buffered with 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 0.1mM EDTA (Fig. 2.4). Pooled fractions containing \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \) were directly loaded onto a gel filtration column (Superdex 75 16/60) (Fig. 2.5). The concentration of the purified \( \Phi 6 \) protein was determined by the absorbance at 280nm \( (\varepsilon_{280}=1.395 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}) \).
Figure 2.3. Analysis of Φ6pol purification products after heparin column

A. The elution pattern (A₂₈₀) from the heparin column, with a peak corresponding to the Φ6pol containing fractions. Elution gradient is indicated by diagonal line.

B. SDS-PAGE gel analysis of samples eluted from the heparin column (Hitrap, Amersham Biosciences). Purified Φ6pol was used as molecular size marker. Loaded sample from the blue agarose column (load), flow through of the loading (flow through) and washing (wash) steps and eluted fractions 26-36 were analysed. The molecular size of Φ6pol is marked by a black arrow on the left.
Figure 2.4. Analysis of Φ6pol purification products after Q column

A. Q column elution pattern (A_{280}), with a peak corresponding to fractions containing Φ6pol. Elution gradient is indicated by diagonal line.

B. SDS-PAGE (containing 12% acrylamide) of samples after the Q column. Purified Φ6pol was used as molecular size marker. Loaded sample from the heparin column (load), flow through of the loading (flow through) and washing (wash) steps and eluted fractions 19-23 were analysed. The molecular size of Φ6pol is marked by a black arrow on the left.
Figure 2.5. Analysis of Φ6pol purification products after gel filtration

A. A280 curve from gel filtration column (Superdex75 16/60), showing a sharp peak corresponding to the Φ6pol pooled containing fractions.

B. SDS-PAGE (containing 12% acrylamide) of samples after the gel filtration. Purified Φ6pol was used as molecular size marker. Loaded sample from the Q column (load), flow through of the loading (flow through) and washing (wash) steps and fractions 11-36 were analysed. The molecular size of Φ6pol is marked by a black arrow. Top right bottom panel: load, flow through, wash and fractions 11 to 22; bottom right panel: fractions 23-36 (plus purified Φ6pol as a marker)
2.2. Protein crystallization and soaking experiments

The optimal crystallization conditions of Φ6 polymerase had been determined previously by Butcher et al. (2001) and similar conditions were used for all experiments with wild type protein. Briefly, the protein was concentrated to 6-8 mg/ml by centrifugation in a VivaSpin 4 ml concentrator (VivaScience). A Bio-Rad Micro Bio-Spin 6 Chromatography Column was used to exchange the protein buffer to 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl prior to crystallisation by sitting-drop vapour diffusion (McPherson, 1982). Typically, 24-well plates (Linbro) were set-up with 100 mM Hepes (pH 7.3), 10-13% (v/v) PEG 20K, 2% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 2 mM MnCl₂ as precipitant solutions and 1 μl of protein droplets were mixed with 1 μl of precipitant solution in micro-bridges (Crystal Microsystems). Crystals grew overnight to an average size of 400 x 300 x 300 μm (Fig. 2.6.A). All crystals were kept at room temperature until data collection.

2.2.1. Φ6pol-RNA co-crystallisation

Co-crystallisation experiments were performed on Φ6pol by incubation with RNA molecules consisting of 5, 6 or 7 nucleotides (5’-UUUCC-3’, 5’-UUUCC-3’ and 5’-UUUUCC-3’, respectively). The sequence of these molecules mimics the conserved 3’ end (5’-...CC-3’) of the negative sense strand of the S and M segments of the Φ6 genome, the preferred templates for transcription by Φ6pol (McGraw et al., 1986; Gottlieb et al., 1988; Yang et al., 2001). Oligonucleotides with the chosen sequence were supplied by Eurogentec (EGT Group) and stored at a controlled
temperature of -20°C until co-crystallization experiments were set up. Typically, 6μl of a Φ6pol solution at a concentration of 6-8mg/ml (80-107μM) was incubated with 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.6 μl (320, 475, 625 and 910 μM, respectively) of a solution of oligonucleotides at a concentration of 10mM, for one hour on ice. An excess of RNA (from 1:4 up to 1:11 RNA/protein) was used to ensure binding of the oligos. Sitting drop crystallization trays (Linbro) in the described conditions were then prepared.

2.2.2. Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex

To study further the reaction mechanism of the polymerase, co-crystals of WT protein and a 5nt RNA oligonucleotide (5'-UUUUC-3') were used for soaking experiments with GTP and Mg$^{2+}$. A concentration of 125mM MgCl$_2$ was added to the crystallization drop, followed shortly after by 100mM GTP (lithium salt). The total soaking time was around 2min (at which point cracking of the crystal became apparent).

2.2.3. Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Ca$^{2+}$ complex

To study the effect of calcium on the polymerase mechanism, co-crystals of WT protein and a 6nt RNA oligonucleotide were used for soaking experiments with GTP and Ca$^{2+}$. CaCl$_2$ at up to 125mM and, shortly after, 100mM GTP (lithium salt) were added to the crystallization drop and left to diffuse through the crystal for up to 2min or until the crystal started to show cracks on its surface when observed under the microscope.
2.2.4. ϕ6pol-EDTA and ϕ6pol-Mg$^{2+}$

In order to obtain crystals of "manganese-free" polymerase, the protein was incubated with 50mM of the chelating agent EDTA for one hour, prior to crystallization in the same conditions as the native crystallization experiments where 2mM MnCl$_2$ are added to the mother liquor. With the aim of obtaining crystals of ϕ6pol with unambiguously placed magnesium ions, crystals grown in the presence of 50mM EDTA were soaked with MgCl$_2$ at a concentration of 100mM in order to completely wash out the chelating agent and allow the Mg$^{2+}$ to penetrate the crystals for around 2-3min, until cracking of the crystal became apparent when observed under a microscope.

2.2.5. E491Q mutant crystallization

To determine the optimal crystallization conditions for the E491Q mutant protein, a screen of 480 conditions was carried out using the sitting drop vapour diffusion method with a 100:100nl drop size (1:1 protein/precipitant ratio) by a Cartesian Robot available at the Oxford Protein Production Facility (Brown et al., 2003; Walter et al., 2003). The protein was typically concentrated to 6-8mg/ml in 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 100mM NaCl in a procedure similar to that described for wild type protein. Optimised conditions were found using the automated procedure established by Walter et al. (2005). Once optimal conditions had been established, crystallisation volumes were scaled up to 2μl - 3μl drops (1:1, 1:2 or 2:1 protein/precipitant ratio) in 24-well plates, resulting in crystals of 200x110x80μm in
15-20% PEG 4K, 8.5% isopropanol, 15% glycerol and 100mM Hepes (pH 7.5) (Fig. 2.6.B).

2.2.6. E491Q co-crystallizations with RNA and DNA oligos

Φ6pol E491Q mutant was co-crystallized with a 6nt RNA oligonucleotide (5'-UUUUCC-3') and equivalent DNA oligonucleotide (5'-TTTTCC-3'), chosen with the same rational as the oligos used for wild type co-crystallisation and supplied by Eurogentec (EGT Group). As for wild type experiments, 6μl of a protein solution at a concentration of 6-8mg/ml was incubated with 2 to 8 μM of the oligonucleotides for one hour on ice, before setting up sitting drop crystallization trays in the conditions pre-established for the mutant protein crystals. For both oligonucleotides, crystallisation were carried out in the presence and absence of 2mM MnCl₂. For the RNA co-crystallization experiments, 2mM MnCl₂ was necessary, whilst in successful DNA co-crystallization experiments, Mn²⁺ ions were absent from the protein buffer and the crystallization solution.

2.2.7. E491Q RNA/DNA co-crystals soaking experiments

E491Q-oligonucleotide co-crystals were used for soaking experiments with GTP and Mg²⁺ and/or Mn²⁺. A concentration of 5mM MgCl₂ and, shortly after, 25mM GTP (lithium salt) was added to the crystallization drop containing E491Q-RNA co-crystals grown in the presence of 2mM MnCl₂, and left to diffuse through
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the crystal. In a similar experiment, 25mM GTP was added to the crystallization droplet containing E491Q-DNA co-crystals. In a separate experiment, 5mM MgCl₂ was added to the crystallization droplet containing E491Q-DNA co-crystals, shortly followed by the addition of 25mM GTP. Finally, since these E491Q-DNA co-crystals were grown in the absence of Mn²⁺, 5mM MnCl₂ was added to the crystallization drop, at a concentration of 5mM, prior to the addition of 5mM MgCl₂ followed by 25mM GTP. All soaks were carried out for between 30 and 120s, until cracking of the crystal became apparent.

2.2.8. SG mutant

For structural studies, the SG mutant protein was buffered using a solution of 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100mM NaCl and concentrated to 4mg/ml, using the same procedure as described for WT. Initially, a screen of 480 conditions was carried out using the sitting drop vapour diffusion method with a 200nl drop size (100nl protein plus 100nl precipitant ratio) (Brown et al., 2003; Walter et al., 2003). Optimal crystal growth conditions - 100mM sodium citrate pH 5.6, 19% (v/v) isopropanol, 19% (v/v) PEG 4000, 5% (v/v) glycerol - were scaled up to 24-well plate set-up, with 2μl droplet size (1:1 protein/precipitant solution). These conditions gave very small crystals within a few hours, that grew to a size of 300x200x100μm after four months (Fig. 2.6.C). Co-crystallisation using 6nt RNA and DNA oligos described were unsuccessful. Furthermore, all attempts to soak SG mutant crystals in solutions containing GTP, non-hydrolysable analogues of GTP or ATP, with similar procedures used for WT experiments, were also unsuccessful.
Figure 2.6. Φ6pol crystals

A. Φ6pol wild type crystals, grown over night in 100mM Hepes (pH 7.3), 10-13% (v/v) PEG 20K, 2% (v/v) ethylene glycol and 2mM MnCl₂.

B. E491Q Φ6pol crystal grown to full size within 35h in 100mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 17% PEG 4K, 8.5% isopropanol and 15% glycerol.

C. SG Φ6pol crystals grown after four months in 100mM sodium citrate pH 5.6, 19% (v/v) PEG 4000, 19% (v/v) isopropanol, and 5% (v/v) glycerol
2.3. Data collection and processing

A summary of data collection and processing statistics for data sets used to determine each Φ6pol structure described in this thesis is given in Table 2.2. Unless otherwise stated, all X-ray diffraction data sets were collected from crystals cooled in a stream of gaseous nitrogen at a temperature of 100K. Prior to data collection, crystals were briefly washed with a precipitant solution containing 25% (v/v) glycerol as cryoprotectant. All data sets were integrated and scaled using the HKL2000 suite of software (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). All crystals of the different complexes of the wild type protein were isomorphous with those previously described by Butcher et al. (2000, 2001), belonging to space group $P2_1$ with unit cell dimensions: $a=105\AA$, $b=93\AA$, $c=140\AA$, $\alpha=\gamma=90^\circ$, $\beta=101^\circ$.

2.3.1. Φ6pol-RNA complexes

Diffraction data for the WT polymerase co-crystallised with 6nt and 7nt RNA oligonucleotides were collected on station PX 14.2 at the Synchrotron Radiation Source (SRS), Daresbury, UK, using an ADSC Q4R CCD detector, to resolutions of 2.2 and 1.9 Å, respectively. X-ray diffraction data from the 5nt Φ6pol-RNA co-crystal were collected to a resolution of 2.0Å, at station ID29 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France, using an ADSC Q210 CCD detector. For all three data sets, the wavelength of the X-ray radiation was 0.98Å, with 1º oscillation between frames over a continuous rotation range. Exposure times of 10 seconds per frame were used for the 5nt Φ6pol-RNA co-
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crystal. Exposure times of 15 and 30s were used for the 7nt and 6nt Φ6pol-RNA co-
crystals, respectively.

2.3.2. Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex

Data from WT Φ6pol-RNA co-crystals soaked with GTP and MgCl$_2$ to a
resolution of 2.5Å were collected in-house, using a MAResearch 345 image plate
detector, with a wavelength of 1.54Å, as oscillations of 1° and with exposure times
between 10 and 15 minutes.

2.3.3. Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Ca$^{2+}$ complex

X-ray diffraction data from Φ6pol-RNA-Ca$^{2+}$-GTP crystals were collected
on station BM14 at the ESRF, using a MAResearch 225 CCD detectors, with the
wavelength of the X-ray radiation set to the $K$ edge of manganese, 6.547 KeV (1.89
Å). Each of the 360 images collected covered an oscillation of 1°, exposure times
were 10 seconds and the resolution at the edge of the detector was 3.0Å.

2.3.4. Φ6pol-EDTA and Φ6pol-Mg$^{2+}$ complex

Data from Φ6pol crystals grown in the presence of EDTA were collected on
station BM14, at the ESRF, using a MAResearch 165 CCD detector, to a resolution
of 2.5Å. The wavelength of the X-ray beam was tuned to the $K$ edge of manganese,
6.547 KeV (1.89 Å). Diffraction data for the Φ6pol-Mg$^{2+}$ complex were collected to 2.5 Å resolution on station ID14EH2 at the ESRF, using an ADSC Q4 CCD detector. For both data sets, each image covered an oscillation of 1° and exposure times were typically 5-10 seconds.

2.3.5. E491Q mutant

X-ray data from E491Q Φ6pol mutant apo crystals and all co-crystallisation and soaking experiments were collected on station PX 9.6 at the SRS, using an ADSC Q4R CCD detector. Each image covered an oscillation of 1° and exposure times were typically 45-60 seconds per frame.

For Φ6pol E491Q mutant crystals, diffracting to 3.2 Å resolution, 180 frames were collected. These crystals were approximately isomorphous to the WT crystals previously described (Butcher et al., 2000; Butcher et al., 2001) belonging to space group $P2_1$, with unit cell dimensions $a=106\AA$, $b=92\AA$, $c=142\AA$, $\beta=102^\circ$.

2.3.6. E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex

E491Q co-crystals with RNA and Mn$^{2+}$, soaked with Mg$^{2+}$ and GTP, diffracted very poorly to 3.8 Å and were approximately isomorphous to crystals of the SG initiation platform mutant described below and belonging to space group $P2_1$ with unit cell dimensions $a=78\AA$, $b=107\AA$, $c=158\AA$, $\beta=99^\circ$. 
2.3.7. E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg^{2+}, E491Q-DNA-GTP, E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg^{2+}-Mn^{2+} and E491Q-RNA-Mn^{2+} complexes

The E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg^{2+}, E491Q-DNA-GTP, E491-DNA-Mg^{2+}-Mn^{2+} and E491Q-RNA-Mn^{2+} datasets, collected on station PX 9.6 at the SRS, using an ADSC Q4R CCD detector as oscillations of 1° and with exposure times typically 45-60 seconds per frame, belonged to space group $P3_2$, with similar unit cell dimensions: $a=b=109\text{Å}$, $c=159\text{Å}$. These crystals are approximately isomorphous to the $P3_2$ SeMet crystal structure determined by Butcher et al. (2001). For this space group there are four possible ways to index the data. To facilitate later comparisons, all datasets were reindexed with SCALEPACK (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997) to be consistent with the SeMet WT model (Butcher et al., 2001). The best data set for this space group was collected from a crystal of the E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg^{2+} complex to a resolution of 2.4Å. The E491Q-DNA-GTP data set was collected to a resolution of 2.6Å, whilst the E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg^{2+}-Mn^{2+} complex diffracted to 2.8Å resolution. Mutant co-crystals with RNA in the presence of Mn^{2+} diffracted to 3.2Å.

2.3.8. SG mutant

Φ6pol SG mutant X-ray diffraction data to 3.0Å were collected at ID29, at the ERSF, using an ADSC Q210 detector, with 1° oscillation images over a continuous rotation range. Data processing revealed that the SG mutant crystals are not isomorphous with WT crystals described, belonging to space group $P2_1$ with unit cell dimensions of $a=76.6\text{Å}$, $b=105.9\text{Å}$, $c=157.7\text{Å}$, $\beta=98.8^\circ$. 
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### Table 2.2. Data collection and processing statistics for $6\text{pol}$ data sets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Wavelength (Å)</th>
<th>N. Sym.</th>
<th>Sp. Gr.</th>
<th>Unit cell dimensions (Å); $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)</th>
<th>Resolution Range (Å)</th>
<th>Observ. Unique Compl. (%)</th>
<th>$I/\langle I \rangle$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$7\text{nt} \text{pol-RNA}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>359 P2$_1$</td>
<td>105.1, 93.5, 104.8, 101.2</td>
<td>30.0-1.9 (2.0-1.9)</td>
<td>1733793</td>
<td>99.7 (99.8)</td>
<td>13.5 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6\text{nt} \text{pol-RNA}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>239 P2$_1$</td>
<td>105.1, 93.7, 140.7, 101.2</td>
<td>20.0-2.2 (2.3-2.2)</td>
<td>1522219</td>
<td>97.8 (93.7)</td>
<td>13.3 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5\text{nt} \text{pol-RNA}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>297 P2$_1$</td>
<td>105.9, 91.9, 140.8, 101.4</td>
<td>50.0-2.0 (2.1-2.0)</td>
<td>2110477</td>
<td>99.2 (93.5)</td>
<td>20.9 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{GTP-Mg}^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>172 P2$_1$</td>
<td>106.3, 93.8, 140.8, 101.4</td>
<td>20.0-2.5 (2.6-2.5)</td>
<td>4701397</td>
<td>97.7 (96.0)</td>
<td>25.3 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{GTP-Ca}^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>360 P2$_1$</td>
<td>105.5, 92.1, 140.8, 101.0</td>
<td>50.0-3.0 (3.1-3.0)</td>
<td>880290</td>
<td>96.9 (78.4)</td>
<td>11.9 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{EDTA}$</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>280 P2$_1$</td>
<td>105.2, 94.0, 141.0, 101.2</td>
<td>50.0-2.5 (2.6-2.5)</td>
<td>1276051</td>
<td>94.7 (72.8)</td>
<td>7.0 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Mg}^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>280 P2$_1$</td>
<td>105.7, 91.0, 140.9, 101.2</td>
<td>50.0-2.5 (2.6-2.5)</td>
<td>3424268</td>
<td>99.2 (100)</td>
<td>17.7 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{E491Q}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>180 P2$_1$</td>
<td>106.5, 91.6, 142.7, 101.6</td>
<td>20.0-3.2 (3.3-3.2)</td>
<td>458511</td>
<td>90.5 (80.8)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{E491Q-RNA-Mn}^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>180 P2$_1$</td>
<td>78.1, 107.0, 158.4, 98.9</td>
<td>20.0-3.8 (3.9-3.8)</td>
<td>359558</td>
<td>99.5 (99.4)</td>
<td>6.6 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{E491Q-DNA-GTP}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>180 P3$_2$</td>
<td>108.1, 108.1, 158.4, 120.0</td>
<td>30.0-2.4 (2.5-2.4)</td>
<td>1187116</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mn}^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>180 P3$_2$</td>
<td>109.5, 109.5, 159.0, 120.0</td>
<td>30.0-2.6 (2.7-2.6)</td>
<td>951362</td>
<td>100.0 (99.5)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg}^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>180 P3$_2$</td>
<td>109.0, 109.0, 158.8, 120.0</td>
<td>20.0-2.8 (2.9-2.8)</td>
<td>610471</td>
<td>99.8 (99.9)</td>
<td>6.2 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{E491Q-RNA-Mn}^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>180 P3$_2$</td>
<td>110.0, 110.0, 159.1, 120.0</td>
<td>18.0-3.2 (3.3-3.2)</td>
<td>668591</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)</td>
<td>6.7 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SG}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>185 P2$_1$</td>
<td>76.6, 105.9, 157.7, 98.8</td>
<td>30.0-3.0 (3.1-3.0)</td>
<td>620947</td>
<td>99.2 (98.6)</td>
<td>7.4 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parenthesis are for the outermost resolution shell

* $R_{merge} = \sum_h \frac{\sum_\ell (I_\ell - \langle I_\ell \rangle)}{\sum_h \sum_\ell (I_\ell)}$, where $h$ are unique reflections indices, $I_\ell$ are intensities of symmetry-related reflections and $\langle I_\ell \rangle$ is the mean intensity
2.4. Structure determination and refinement

Φ6pol and derivatives, including different complexes and mutated variant forms, crystallise in three space groups:

A - \( P2_1 \) with unit cell dimensions \( a=105\,\text{Å}, \, b=93\,\text{Å}, \, c=140\,\text{Å}, \, \beta=101^\circ \)

B - \( P2_1 \) with unit cell dimensions \( a=77\,\text{Å}, \, b=107\,\text{Å}, \, c=158\,\text{Å}, \, \beta=99^\circ \)

C - \( P3_2 \) with unit cell dimensions \( a=b=109\,\text{Å}, \, c=159\,\text{Å} \).

Crystals of WT Φ6pol and apo E491Q mutant described in this chapter belong to A crystal form and were isomorphous with crystals of structures determined previously by Butcher \textit{et al.} (2001). Rigid body refinement of monomers in the asymmetric unit was sufficient to obtain initial phases for these structures.

The structures of crystals belonging to crystal forms B and C, different from the \( P2_1 \) A crystal form were solved by molecular replacement, as described bellow.

Final refinement statistics for all structural models described in this chapter are summarised in Table 2.3. Unless otherwise stated, manual model building and maps inspection were carried out using O (Jones \textit{et al.}, 1991).

2.4.1. 6nt and 7nt Φ6pol-RNA complexes

For the Φ6pol-RNA complexes, initial models were obtained by rigid body refinement of the apo WT model with the package CNS (Brunger \textit{et al.}, 1998).
Visual inspection of the resulting SIGMAA $|\text{Fo}| - |\text{Fc}|$ maps allowed identification of the presence of RNA oligonucleotides in the template tunnel. Since there are three molecules in the asymmetric unit related by non-crystallographic symmetry, the electron density maps were three-fold averaged using the program GAP (DIS, JMG, JMD, unpublished) in order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. RNA oligonucleotides models were manually fitted in the electron density maps in O for all three complexes. The structures were then refined using CNS (Brunger et al., 1998) with positional and individual B-factor refinement and bulk solvent correction, imposing strict three-fold non-crystallographic symmetry constraints. For the 6nt and 7nt RNA oligos, the data and calculated electron density maps were of high quality, so final refinement to a resolution of 2.2Å and 1.9Å (respectively) was carried out using non-crystallographic symmetry restraints for the different protein sub-domains [palm, fingers, thumb and C-terminal, as defined by Butcher et al. (2001)] in each molecule, resulting in models with $R_{\text{factor}}$ of 23.3% and 24.3%, respectively ($R_{\text{free}}=25.9\%$ and 27.1%) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length of 0.015 and 0.012 Å; rmsd bond angle of 1.6 and 1.4°, respectively). The protein root-mean square deviation between equivalent atoms of the three protein molecules in the asymmetric unit was 0.12 Å² and 0.14 Å², respectively for the 6nt and 7nt models. Differences in the strength of the electron density of SIGMAA 2$|\text{Fo}| - |\text{Fc}|$ maps in the template tunnel between the three molecules in the asymmetric unit for these complexes suggested that the RNA oligos in each molecule had different occupancies. To check this possibility, different occupancies were assigned to the 6nt and 7nt RNA models in each molecule (0.2 for molecule “A”, 0.4 for molecule “B” and 0.7 for molecule “C”) and refined in a final cycle of positional and individual B-
factor refinement in CNS (Brunger et al., 1998). The resulting B-factors of the RNA templates were reasonably similar indicating that the apparent differences in occupancies were real. This can be explained by the modulation of the RNA entry by the varied contacts with surrounding molecules, restrained by crystallographic contacts. The entrance of the RNA tunnel of molecule “C” is less obscured by neighbouring molecules than the template tunnels of molecules “A” and “B” (Fig. 2.7), allowing easier access of RNA oligonucleotides. Therefore, the average occupancy of the RNA molecule in the template tunnel in molecule “C” is higher than for molecule “A” or “B”. Due to local disorder of the more external nucleotides in the 6nt and 7nt oligonucleotide structures, only 4 nucleotides were fitted into the difference-Fourier maps and refined.
Figure 2.7. Φ6pol-RNA P2₁, crystallographic packing
Cartoon representation of the crystallographic packing of the Φ6pol co-crystallised with a 6nt RNA oligonucleotide (ball and stick representation, red). The three molecules present in the asymmetric unit are represented in different colours: molecule “A” – yellow; molecule “B” – blue; molecule “C” – green. Crystallographically related molecules are shown in transparent mode, with an equivalent colour code. Manganese ions are represented by cyan spheres. The entrance through the template tunnel for each molecule in the asymmetric unit is highlighted by a red arrow. Note the more accessible entrance in molecule C due to less tight crystallographic contacts with surrounding molecules.

[Figure drawn and rendered with PyMOL (DeLano, 2004)]
2.4.2. 5nt Φ6pol-RNA complex

For the 5nt RNA oligonucleotide co-crystals, the electron density maps in the template region were not very clear and more than one possible binding mode seemed to be present. Initially, electron density maps calculated with CNS (Brunger et al., 1998) after positional and individual B-factor refinement of the model, imposing strict-NCS three-fold constrains on the three molecules present in the asymmetric unit, were interpreted in O and two different possible conformations of the 5nt RNA oligo were built. In order to establish which might be the preferred template binding position, refinement of the occupancies and B-factors for the two possibilities was carried out, using CNS (Brunger et al., 1998). However, the results were inconclusive and visual inspection of the resulting electron density maps to try to establish which conformation had the highest occupancy still proved unsuccessful. These observations lead us to conclude that there is a certain degree of flexibility in the binding of the 5nt RNA oligo along the template tunnel and refinement was done separately for the two distinct but overlapping positions for the oligonucleotides. For the buried conformation, refinement was done to a resolution of 2.0Å, imposing strict three-fold NCS constrains in CNS (Brunger et al., 1998), resulting in a model with $R_{\text{factor}}$ of 27.9% ($R_{\text{free}}=29.7\%$) and average stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.023Å and rmsd bond angle = 2.2°). Conversely, for the intermediate template conformation, refinement was carried out imposing NCS restraints as defined for the longer RNA oligos complexes, resulting in a final model with $R_{\text{factor}}=24.1\%$ and $R_{\text{free}}=27.6\%$ (resolution of 2.0Å) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.015Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.7°). Maps show a similar density distribution of
density in all three copies present in the asymmetric unit. However, initial averaging of the three molecules to improve the signal to noise ratio might have masked differences in the binding position in each molecule. To confirm this, original unaveraged maps were visually inspected and a similar electron density disorder was observed. This indicates that flexibility is an intrinsic property of the binding of 5nt RNA oligonucleotide and not an artefact produced when refining the model.

2.4.3. \textit{\textit{\textit{\textit{\emph{\mu\textit{\textit{\textit{\mu}}}6pol-RNA-GTP-Mg}^{2+} complex}}}}

For the WT \textit{\textit{\textit{\textit{\mu}}}6pol-RNA} co-crystals soaked with GTP and MgCl\textsubscript{2}, an initial model was obtained after rigid body calculations in CNS (Brunger \textit{et al.}, 1998). Visual inspection of the resulting SIGMAA \(|F_o|-|F_c|\) maps revealed density in the active site region and substrate tunnel but none in the template tunnel. The presence of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} in its high affinity binding site was confirmed by analysis of the peak height. Similarly, the presence of two magnesium ions was detected. Close examination of the density at the active site allowed modelling of a reaction product to be identified: guanylyl(3'\textendash5')-guanosine-5'-triphosphate (PPP-G-P-G). This molecule was manually built into the electron density together with an inorganic phosphate (PPi) molecule. As for other WT structural models, internal three-fold averaging of electron density maps with GAP improved the signal-to-noise ratio. Consecutive iterative cycles of manual model building and refinement in CNS (Brunger \textit{et al.}, 1998) to a resolution of 2.5\AA, imposing strict non-crystallographic constrains, resulted in a model with \(R_{\text{factor}}=24.5\%\) and \(R_{\text{free}}=26.6\%\) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.016\AA) and rmsd bond angle = 1.9\(^\circ\).
2.4.4. Φ6pol-RNA-Ca$^{2+}$-GTP complex

The data collected at the manganese K edge for the Φ6pol-RNA-Ca$^{2+}$-GTP crystals provided a good contrast in anomalous scattering signal between Mn$^{2+}$ ($f'' = 4.0$ e$^-$) and Ca$^{2+}$ ($f'' = 1.0$ e$^-$). The three-fold averaged anomalous difference maps showed three peaks above 4σ in each polymerase molecule. The highest peak was 6.5σ, whereas the next two peaks each had a height of some 4.5σ, reflecting the greater $f''$ value for Mn$^{2+}$ and demonstrating that we have a single Mn$^{2+}$ and two Ca$^{2+}$ ions bound per molecule. Initial rigid body refinement with CNS (Brunger et al., 1998) and resulting SIGMAA $2|Fo|-|Fc|$ maps showed electron density for the oligonucleotides in the template binding tunnel and two GTP molecules in the substrate binding region. An RNA oligonucleotide molecule and two GTP molecules were then built in O (Jones et al., 1991). The three ions identified by observation of anomalous difference Fourier maps also explain all the strong peaks in standard difference Fourier maps, confirming that no Mg$^{2+}$ ions are present in the structure. All electron density maps were then improved by internal three-fold averaging using GAP. Disorder at the external side of the template tunnel is observed in the Φ6pol-RNA-Ca$^{2+}$-GTP complex, where a 6nt oligonucleotide was used for co-crystallization. Therefore, as for the Φ6pol-6ntRNA complex, only four nucleotides from the 3' end were built and included in refinement. Final positional and individual B-factor refinement of the Φ6pol-RNA-Ca$^{2+}$-GTP against the data to a resolution of 3.0Å, using strict three-fold NCS constraints, resulted in a model with $R_{factor}=23.3\%$ ($R_{free}=25.9\%$) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.015Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.9°).
2.4.5. \( \Phi 6 \text{pol-EDTA} \)

Data collected at the manganese \( K \) edge for the \( \Phi 6 \text{pol-EDTA} \) crystals optimised detection of the anomalous signal from \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) via anomalous difference Fourier maps. These maps revealed no significant anomalous signal in the usual \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) binding site or elsewhere in the protein, confirming that the experiment had been successful. As with other WT models described, all electron density maps were improved by internal three-fold NCS averaging using GAP. Final refinement of the \( \Phi 6 \text{pol-EDTA} \) model against its data to 2.5\( \text{Å} \) resolution, using strict three-fold NCS constraints, resulted in a model with \( R_{\text{factor}} = 23.8\% \) (\( R_{\text{free}} = 26.7\% \)) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.015\( \text{Å} \) and rmsd bond angle = 1.7\( ^\circ \)).

2.4.6. \( \Phi 6 \text{pol-Mg}^{2+} \) complex

Although data for the \( \Phi 6 \text{pol-Mg}^{2+} \) complex was not collected at the manganese \( K \) edge, interpretation of the differences in peak height of SIGMAA \( |\text{Fo}| - |\text{Fc}| \) maps calculated after rigid body refinement allowed identification of the ion. A single significant peak was observed in the electron density map and was interpreted as a \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) ion based on the reduced peak height (6\( \sigma \)) compared to that seen for \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) in the apo-structure (8\( \sigma \)). The final model after positional and B-factor individual refinement in CNS (Brunger et al., 1998) to a resolution of 2.5\( \text{Å} \), under strict three-fold NCS constraints, has an \( R_{\text{factor}} \) of 26.4\% (\( R_{\text{free}} \) of 29.6\%) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.018\( \text{Å} \) and rmsd bond angle = 2.1\( ^\circ \)).
2.4.7. E491Q Φ6pol mutant

The apo structure of the E491Q Φ6pol mutant was determined by rigid body refinement of the three molecules present in the asymmetric unit with REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997), using the WT apo structure as a starting model. Visual inspection of the initial model and manual model rebuilding were carried out in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). Final refinement in REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) carried out to a resolution of 3.2Å, imposing non-crystallographic symmetry restraints as defined for the Φ6pol-RNA models, resulted in a model with Rfactor=21.4% (Rfree=26.8%) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.009Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.2°).

2.4.8. E491Q-RNA-Mn\(^{2+}\)-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\) complex

For the E491Q-RNA-Mn\(^{2+}\)-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\) model, rigid body refinement of the three molecules of Φ6pol in the asymmetric unit was carried out with CNS (Brunger et al., 1998) using the SG mutant structural model described below (pag. 112), resulting in a model with Rfactor of 29.3% (Rfree=29.9%) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.009Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.2°). Due to the poor resolution (3.8Å) of this dataset, no further refinement or rebuilding was carried out. Visualization of electron density maps carried out in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) showed some very weak density in the template tunnel and some clear density peaks in the substrate tunnel. Due to the poor quality of the data, no attempts were made to build RNA or GTP molecules into the observed density.
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Φ6 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase – Experimental Procedures

2.4.9. E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex

Initial work on the E491Q structures which crystallised in space group $P3_2_1$ with unit cell dimensions similar to the SeMet WT structure previously determined (Butcher et al., 2001) was done using the best data set collected – E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$. Since the SeMet crystals described by Butcher et al. (2001) possessed two molecules in the asymmetric unit (a.u.), initial rigid body refinement calculations were done with these two molecules present, using the SeMet WT structure as a search model. However, these refinements proved unsuccessful. Upon inspection of the resulting electron density maps, it became clear why the refinement was not producing improved models: strikingly, despite similar unit cell dimensions, a third molecule is present in the asymmetric unit. Initial analysis of the cell content did not clearly indicate the correct number of molecules present in the asymmetric unit (a.u.) since Matthews coefficients were $3.6\text{Å}^3/\text{Da}$ for two molecules in the a.u. with 65% solvent content and $2.4\text{Å}^3/\text{Da}$ for three molecules and a solvent content of 48%. Close examination of the crystallographic contacts of the SeMet $P3_2_1$ crystal form revealed a loose packing of the molecules, with large gaps that could accommodate a third molecule (Fig. 2.8.A). Therefore, molecular replacement calculations were carried out to determine the orientation of all three molecules present in the asymmetric unit of the E491 complexes. EPMR (Kissinger et al., 1999) was used, using the WT as a starting model and gave clear results for all three molecules, as indicated by the $R_{\text{factor}}$ (52.7%, 46.0%, 47.7%, respectively for molecule A, B and C) and correlation coefficients (27%, 44%, 39%, respectively) for each molecule. The crystallographic contacts in the E491Q crystals are much tighter than those in the previous $P3_2_1$ packing (Fig.2.8.B). Only small rearrangements at the protein surface
seem to be needed for the extra crystallographic contacts to be established and a third molecule accommodated, without major shifts in the orientation and packing of the other two molecules (Fig. 2.8.C). Rigid body refinement was then carried out using REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) and visual inspection of the resulting SIGMAA |Fo|-|Fc| maps revealed electron density in the template and substrate tunnels in all three molecules. However, clear differences in the strength of the density were detectable so no internal averaging was carried out and 4nt DNA oligonucleotide (the two nucleotides at the 5' end were disordered and could not be traced) and a GTP molecule were modelled for each Φ6pol monomer. No divalent cations were detectable in the electron density maps. Due to the weak electron density levels for the DNA and GTP molecules these were assigned an occupancy of 0.5. Manual model building followed by refinement in REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) to a resolution of 2.4Å after addition of 381 solvent molecules with ARP/wARP (Lamzin and Wilson, 1993; Perrakis et al., 2001) resulted in a final model with $R_{\text{factor}}=18.4\%$ and $R_{\text{free}}=25.4\%$ and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.004Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.0°).
Figure 2.8. Φ6pol $P_3^2$ crystallographic packing

A. Cartoon representation of the $P_3^2$ crystallographic packing of SeMet Φ6pol, with two molecules (A – cyan; B – blue) in the asymmetric unit. Crystallographically related molecules are represented in the same way, in semi-transparent mode. A loose packing, with high solvent content is clearly observable.

B. Cartoon representation of the $P_3^2$ crystallographic packing of E491Q Φ6pol complexes, with three molecules (A – yellow; B – orange; C – red) in the asymmetric unit. Crystallographically related molecules are represented in the same way, in semi-transparent mode.

C. Cartoon representation of the $P_3^2$ crystallographic packing of SeMet Φ6pol superimposed on the $P_3^2$ packing of E491Q complexes in the asymmetric unit. Molecules are represented as above. Differences in the packing are clear, with “molecule C” in the mutated protein occupying the large gaps observed in the SeMet crystallographic packing. Superimposition of the molecules “A” and “B” of each crystal (highlighted by grey circles) shows that the orientation and positioning is equivalent, with only slight rearrangements at the protein surface.

[Figure drawn and rendered with PyMOL (DeLano, 2004)]
2.4.10. E491Q-DNA-GTP complex

Rigid body refinement of the E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\) refined model against the E491Q-DNA-GTP data set was carried out to obtain an initial model of this complex. Visual inspection of the electron density maps revealed density in the template and substrate tunnels. As with other mutant complexes, differences in strength of the density were also observed. In this case, no evidence of the presence of metal ions was found. Strikingly, some regions of molecule C, namely residues 37-100, 503-551 and 567-617 from the thumb domain, 204-268 from the fingers and finger tips domains and 618-646 from the C-terminal sub-domain, clearly didn't fit the electron density maps calculated after rigid body refinement, indicating that they were either disordered or in a different conformation in this molecule (Fig. 2.9). Therefore, manual model building of these regions was carried out in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004), followed by refinement in REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) imposing NCS restraints only for molecules A and B. At this stage, a 4nt long DNA oligonucleotide and one GTP molecule were manually fitted into the observed density in each molecule. Final refinement with REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) to a resolution of 2.6 Å, keeping tight NCS restraints for molecules A and B, resulted in a model with \( R_{\text{factor}} = 24.2\% \) and \( R_{\text{free}} = 30.2\% \) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.009 Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.3°). As with other mutant complex models, the occupancy of the DNA and GTP molecules was kept at 0.5 to reflect the weak density levels, particularly in molecule A.
**Figure 2.9. Φ6pol E491Q-DNA-GTP (molecule C)**

Molecule "C" (ribbon representation, red) in the asymmetric unit of the E491Q-DNA-GTP crystals. SIGMAA |Fo|-|Fc| maps calculated after rigid body refinement with REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) at a contour level of 1.5σ are represented by blue chicken-wire.

[Figure drawn from COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004)]
2.4.11. E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$-Mn$^{2+}$ complex

The E491-DNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$-Mn$^{2+}$ complex initial model ($R_{\text{factor}}=32.1\%$, $R_{\text{free}}=32.4\%$) was obtained by rigid body refinement with REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997). For molecules A and B, density observed in the template and substrate tunnels was similar to that seen in the other E491Q-DNA complexes and a 4nt DNA oligo and a GTP molecule were manually modelled. As in other E491Q-DNA complexes, no clear indication of the presence of the divalent cations was detected. Similarly, the occupancy of the ligands was kept at 0.5, due to the weak density levels. Surprisingly, electron density observed in the template and substrate tunnels in molecule C clearly showed a different state had been captured since two molecules of GTP could be identified and DNA seemed to be in a different conformation, away from the S pocket. In fact, when the WT-DNA initiation complex previously determined (Butcher et al., 2001) was superimposed onto molecule C using SHP (Stuart et al., 1979), clear similarities in the DNA and GTP molecules positions were observed. Therefore, those models for DNA and GTP were initially used to model the ligands in molecule C. Furthermore, analysis of the intensity of peaks in $|F_{o}|-|F_{c}|$ maps, seemed to indicate that one magnesium ion was present in this molecule, in the substrate binding tunnel. Since the electron density for DNA and GTP was strong in molecule C, occupancies were kept at 1.0 throughout refinement. As for other E491Q complexes, positional and B-factor refinement was carried out in REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) to 2.8Å resolution, in this case imposing tight restraints for molecules A and B, resulting in a final model with good stereochemistry and $R_{\text{factor}}$.
of 22.3% ($R_{free}=29.3\%$) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.008Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.3°).

2.4.12. E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$ complex

For the E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$ data set, rigid body using the $P3_2$ mutant model was carried out using REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997), resulting in a model with $R_{factor}=32.6\%$ ($R_{free}=33.5\%$). Visual inspection of the $|F_o|-|F_c|$ maps revealed very poor density in the template region. Strikingly, it was clear that manganese was present in two of the molecules, but not in the third (Fig. 2.10). Therefore, manganese ions were modelled into those two molecules. Due to the low resolution of this data set (3.2Å), no attempts to build the RNA oligos into density were carried out. Final positional and B-factor refinement, imposing tight NCS restraints for each sub-domain in each molecule, resulted in a model an $R_{factor}$ of 21.8% ($R_{free}=29.5\%$) and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.007Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.0°).
**Figure 2.10. E491Q-RNA-Mn\(^{2+}\) structure**

A. "Mn\(^{2+}\) binding site" in molecule "A" (C – yellow, O – red, N – blue). Coordinating residues and neighbouring catalytic D324 are highlighted. SIGMAA |Fo|-|Fc| maps calculated after rigid body refinement with RFMAC5, contoured at 1.5σ are represented by blue chicken-wire.

B. View in a similar orientation of the "manganese binding site" in molecule "B". Representation as in A.

C. "Manganese binding site" in molecule "C" in the E491Q-RNA co-crystals grown in the presence of Mn\(^{2+}\), viewed similarly as in A and B.

[Figure drawn from COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004)]
2.4.13. SG mutant

To determine the correct positioning and orientation of each molecule in the asymmetric unit of the SG mutant crystals, molecular replacement with AMORE (Navaza, 1994) using the WT protein as a search model was necessary. Rigid body refinement of the three molecules in the asymmetric unit to a resolution of 3.0Å resulted in a model with $R_{\text{factor}}=34.4\%$ and $R_{\text{free}}=34.3\%$. As for WT complexes, GAP was then used to improve the electron density maps by internal three-fold averaging. Absence of electron density in the $2|\text{Fo}|-|\text{Fc}|$ map in the mutated loop region as well as strong negative electron density features ($-10\sigma$) in the difference Fourier map for residues 629QYKW632, show that the structure of the mutated loop has been disrupted (Fig. 2.11). The mutated residues were modelled using CALPHA (Esnouf, 1997). Finally, the electron density map does not show strong density at the manganese binding site, indicating the absence of the ion. Due to the poor density in the region of the mutation and the overall low resolution of the data, no further manual building was carried out. Final positional and B-factor individual refinement with CNS (Brunger et al., 1998) to a resolution of 3.0Å, imposing three-fold non-crystallographic constraints resulted in a model with $R_{\text{factor}}=24.1\%$ and $R_{\text{free}}=28.0\%$ and good stereochemistry (rmsd bond length = 0.014Å and rmsd bond angle = 1.8°).
Figure 2.11. SG mutant loop disordered conformation

A. SIGMAA [Fo]-[Fc] electron density maps, contoured at -1.5 (red chicken-wire) and 1.5σ (green chicken-wire) levels, clearly showing the altered path of the loop.

B. The 3-fold averaged difference electron density map reveals negative electron density for residues in loop 629QYW632, drawn in as ball and stick representation in orange.

C. Cartoon representation showing the change in path of the polypeptide main chain on substitution of QYKW (orange) by SG (lime green). The main chain is drawn from residues 625 to 633 (WT numbering), the approximate positions of residues S and G are marked and the view and electron density contour level are identical to that drawn in B.
Table 2.3. Refinement statistics for Φ6pol structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7nt Φ6pol-RNA</td>
<td>20.0-1.9</td>
<td>199005/10430</td>
<td>24.3/27.1</td>
<td>15795/648/234*</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>34/34/78</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6nt Φ6pol-RNA</td>
<td>20.0-2.2</td>
<td>135268/7208</td>
<td>23.3/25.9</td>
<td>15795/439/234</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>34/32/65</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5nt Φ6pol-RNA</td>
<td>20.0-2.0</td>
<td>167968/8887</td>
<td></td>
<td>A:24.1; A:27.6; A:27.6/ B:29.7</td>
<td>52659/56/294/67</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>42/42/73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>20.0-2.5</td>
<td>92226/4874</td>
<td>24.5/26.6</td>
<td>52659/66/57</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>55/51/95</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Ca²⁺</td>
<td>20.0-3.0</td>
<td>31875/1661</td>
<td>23.5/25.8</td>
<td>52658/80/144</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>39/39/11</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-EDTA</td>
<td>20.0-2.5</td>
<td>84233/4071</td>
<td>23.8/26.7</td>
<td>5265/102/-</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>62/57/25</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ6pol-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>20.0-2.5</td>
<td>82175/4392</td>
<td>24.6/26.8</td>
<td>5265/101/-</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q</td>
<td>20.0-3.2</td>
<td>38111/2038</td>
<td>21.4/26.8</td>
<td>15795/-1/-</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>48/-</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-RNA-Mn²⁺-GTP-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>20.0-3.8</td>
<td>24083/1224</td>
<td>29.3/29.9</td>
<td>15795/-1/-</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>49/-</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg²⁺</td>
<td>20.0-2.4</td>
<td>76778/4055</td>
<td>22.8/25.2</td>
<td>15795/381/1151</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31/29/54</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-DNA-GTP</td>
<td>20.0-2.6</td>
<td>61930/3270</td>
<td>24.2/30.2</td>
<td>15795/-1/-</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>42/49</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg²⁺-Mn²⁺</td>
<td>20.0-2.8</td>
<td>49996/2641</td>
<td>22.3/29.3</td>
<td>15795/-1/-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>33/46</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E491Q-RNA-Mn²⁺</td>
<td>20.0-3.2</td>
<td>33578/1772</td>
<td>21.8/29.5</td>
<td>15795/-1/-</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>43/75</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>20.0-3.0</td>
<td>46972/2510</td>
<td>24.1/28.0</td>
<td>5231/-1/-</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>37/-</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* values for A (intermediate) and B (buried) positions, when appropriate; b values in parenthesis refer to the highest resolution shell; ° Rwork = Σ(|Fhkl| - |Fcalc|)/Σ|Fhkl|, where h are unique reflections indices, I is a are intensities of symmetry-related reflections and <I> is the mean intensity; ° Rmax and ° Rmin are defined by Rmax=Σ|Fo|/Σ|Fc|, where h,k,l are the indices of the reflections (used in refinement for Rmax, 5%, not used in refinement, for Rmin); ° Rwork and ° Rmax are the structure factors, deduced from measured intensities and calculated from the model, respectively; ° S'-UUCC-3' (3), Mn²⁺ (3); ° 5'-UUCC-3' (3), Mn²⁺ (3); ° 5'-UUCC-3' (3), Mn²⁺ (3); ° 5'-UUCC-3' (3), Mn²⁺ (3); ° 5'-UUCC-3' (3), Mn²⁺ (3); ° 5'-UUCC-3' (3), Mn²⁺ (3); ° G-P-G-PPP (1), PPI (1), Mn²⁺ (1); ° 5'-UUCC-3' (1), GTP (2), Ca²⁺ (2), Mn²⁺ (1)
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Φ6 RdRP – Analysis of results

The structural studies on Φ6 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, described in this thesis, were carried out in an attempt to answer questions about the details of the mechanism of RNA polymerisation. In this chapter, a description of each structure determined is given, together with an analysis of its contribution to the overall understanding of Φ6 polymerase mechanism. As in Chapter 2, the descriptions and analyses of the different structures are grouped into subchapters according to the question that they address:

(i) RNA specificity – RNA complexes
(ii) Structural rearrangements upon catalysis – “Dead-end” complex
(iii) Divalent ion effect: Ca^{2+} effect – Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Ca^{2+} complex; Mn^{2+} role – “manganese-free” models; E491Q structures
(iv) Molecular details of reaction mechanism and role of Mn^{2+} – E491Q complexes
(v) Initiation platform relevance – SG mutant

To allow comparisons of the structures described with others previously solved, superposition of the structural models was carried out with SHP (Stuart et al., 1979). Unless otherwise stated, figures in this chapter were drawn using BOBSCRIPT (Esnouf, 1999) and rendered with RASTER3D (Merritt, 1997).
3.1. **Φ6pol RNA Specificity**

Although Φ6pol can utilize both DNA and RNA templates, RNA templates are preferred (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a). Analysis of the electron density maps for each of the three different lengths of RNA (5nt, 6nt and 7nt) revealed the oligonucleotide bound in the template tunnel (Fig. 3.1). Throughout this chapter, the 3' nucleotide is denoted T1 and oligonucleotides are numbered sequentially from 3' to 5', according to the nomenclature established by Butcher *et al.* (2001).

### 3.1.1. 6nt and 7nt Φ6pol-RNA complexes

For these longer RNA oligonucleotides, the binding mode is similar to that described by Butcher *et al.* (2001) for a 5nt DNA oligonucleotide. Nevertheless, the presence of the extra hydroxyl group of RNA (at the O2' of the sugar ring) causes changes in the sugar conformation of the nucleotides and slight rearrangements in the neighbouring polymerase residues. This OH group is able to form extra hydrogen bonds, further stabilizing the bound RNA oligonucleotides within the tunnel, when compared to DNA oligonucleotides. The structures of the 6 and 7 nucleotide RNA oligos are very similar, with only slight variations in the orientation of the bases, particularly in the 3' end (Fig. 3.1). It is therefore possible to define an overall mode of RNA template binding and compare it to the DNA binding mode (Butcher *et al.*, 2001).
Figure 3.1. Binding of RNA oligonucleotides

A slice through the surface representation of Φ6pol. 6nt (red) and 7nt (green) RNA oligonucleotides are represented in the template tunnel. The 3' cytidine is deeply buried in site S.  

[From (Salgado et al., 2004)]
As in the Φ6pol-DNA complex (Butcher et al., 2001), the RNA fits snugly in the template tunnel. The 3' cytidine is specifically recognized by a pocket, defined as site S and that lies within the C-terminal sub-domain, well past the catalytic site (site C) (Fig. 3.1). The details of the interactions with the 6nt RNA oligonucleotide are shown in Fig. 3.2.A (left). The T1 cytidine faces the 629QYKW632 “initiation platform” loop region (Laurila et al., 2002), and hydrogen bonds with the main chain carbonyl group of Q629. The base also hydrogen bonds to the side chain of neighbouring residue K451 and establishes hydrophobic interactions with the aromatic ring of Y295. The ribose ring is stabilized by hydrogen bonds between the O2' group and the side chain of residue T633 and main chain of E634. The most important difference between RNA and DNA binding is found at this position (Fig. 3.2.B), with a rotation of the nucleotide by roughly 180° about the phosphate group, so that it is facing a different environment, buried in the S pocket. This is accompanied by a change in the sugar conformation, probably due to the need to accommodate the extra OH group (O2'), which forms hydrogen bonds with residues T633 and E634. When DNA is bound in the tunnel, the sugar is accommodated within the loop and the base interacts with residues T633 and E634 (Fig. 3.2.A, right).

The T2 cytidine establishes hydrophobic interactions with residues R291 and A272 and base stacks with the uracil in position T3, which forms hydrogen bonds with G275, M273, R204 and K543 (Fig. 3.2.A, left). The last visible uracil nucleotide, T4, has fewer interactions with the polymerase and is less well ordered. In comparison, equivalent hydrogen bonds are not present in the DNA template complex (Fig. 3.2.A, right). The slight variations found in the interactions of the
remaining nucleotides between RNA and DNA are probably due to the chemical differences between DNA and RNA nucleotides.

It is clear that, in complex with Φ6pol, the ribose sugar rings of RNA nucleotides assume a different conformation compared to that seen in the Φ6pol-DNA complex, which induces subtle rearrangements of the surrounding residues. These subtle changes lead to additional favourable interactions between the O2' hydroxyl group and surrounding residues that stabilize the template within the tunnel (Fig. 3.2.A), presumably explaining why ssDNA (from bacteriophage M13 linearized with restriction endonuclease HinfI) is only a poor template for this enzyme (Makeyev, unpublished data).
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Figure 3.2. RNA template (6nt) vs. DNA template binding to \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \)

A. LIGPLOT (Wallace et al., 1995) representations of the template/protein interactions for the RNA (red) oligonucleotide (6nt) (left) and DNA (blue) oligonucleotide (5nt) (right).

B. Stereo view of RNA (red) and DNA (blue) (from Butcher et al., 2001) binding to the template tunnel. The presence of the OH group in the sugar causes rearrangements of the nucleotides with the most significant difference in position T1.

[From (Salgado et al., 2004)]
3.1.2.  5nt Φ6pol-RNA complex

For the complex of Φ6pol and the shorter 5nt oligonucleotide RNA no single binding mode predominates. Analysis of the electron density maps for the 5nt Φ6pol-RNA co-crystals reveals 3 well ordered core nucleotides, with poor electron density for those at the 3' and 5' ends. The electron density can be interpreted either as a structure identical to that of the 6 and 7 RNA oligonucleotides, or with the RNA template displaced one nucleotide away from the S site (Fig. 3.3, central panel), although neither interpretation fully explains the electron density features. When the 3' end of the oligonucleotide is buried at the S site, nucleotides in position T1 to T3 establish interactions that are similar to those observed for the longer oligonucleotides. T4 interacts with basic residues towards the external surface of the template tunnel, whilst the 5' T5 nucleotide is poorly ordered, although it does seem to be involved in some weak interactions.

In the second binding mode, the oligonucleotide is displaced away from the S site, ratcheting the whole template back by one nucleotide. Thus, comparing interactions in the two conformations, we observe similarities between nucleotides displaced by one position. In the second binding mode, the 3' T1 cytidine forms an hydrogen bond with S149 and base stacks with cytidine T2. T2 forms fewer favourable interactions than the equivalent uracil T3 in the other conformation (Fig. 3.3, central panel). Nucleotides T3 and T4 are in similar orientations to T4 and T5 in the first binding mode, with T4 being stabilized mainly by interaction with the previous nucleotide.

The observed variations demonstrate how the template is accommodated along the channel whilst it migrates along it, with only very slight changes in conformation
of both RNA oligonucleotides and protein. Less stabilizing interactions between the template and the protein in the intermediate position allow it to be easily displaced, either towards the S site or away from it, leading to the observed flexibility in the binding of the 5nt RNA oligonucleotide. The need for a longer oligonucleotide to produce a more stable complex demonstrates that interactions with amino acids distant from the active site stabilize the template binding (Fig. 3.2.A). In line with this, a number of those amino acid residues are conserved across the Cystoviridae polymerases, namely S149, R204, A272 and R291. Furthermore, S149 and R204 do not belong to the palm domain, where sequence conservation across the family are generally found, suggesting they are likely to play significant functional roles in template binding.
Figure 3.3. 5nt RNA oligonucleotides binding vs. longer RNA templates

A. A slice through the surface representation of Φ6pol (as in Fig. 3.1)

B. Flexible binding of a 5nt RNA oligonucleotide. The predominant conformations are shown in yellow and deep orange. The polypeptide chain for the 629QYW632 loop is shown in pale orange. Despite the striking differences at the 3’ and 5’ ends, the internal nucleotides are largely superimposable. An [Fo]-[Fc] map contoured at 1.5σ around the RNA oligonucleotide is drawn in blue (RNA was omitted from the phasing model).

C. 6nt RNA (red) and 7nt RNA (green) oligonucleotides binding in the template tunnel with 629QYW632 loop as in central panel. [Fo]-[Fc] map contoured at 1.5σ around the RNA oligonucleotide (for which the RNA was omitted from the phasing model) is shown in blue chicken wire.

[From (Salgado et al., 2004)]
3.1.3. RNA specificity - summary

The structures of these three complexes of $\Phi 6$pol with RNA reveal an overall mode of binding similar to that previously described for DNA oligonucleotides (Butcher et al., 2001) with clear changes in the sugar conformation of the nucleotides and slight rearrangements in the neighbouring polymerase residues in order to accommodate the extra OH group on the ribose ring. These additional interactions help explain the observed preference of the polymerase for RNA (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a). Furthermore, complexes of $\Phi 6$pol with RNA molecules of different lengths reveal multiple modes of binding and a plasticity of interaction, indicating that nucleotides exterior to the template tunnel contribute significantly to stable template binding. Therefore, we suggest that transport of RNA through the tunnel during the initiation of polymerization and elongation would be facilitated via interactions with residues at the tunnel surface, distant from the active site and the S pocket. These observations agree with the previously proposed mechanism for opening the dsRNA template (Butcher et al., 2001) where the highly charged surface around the entrance of the template tunnel (plough) would separate the strands, feeding one directly into the tunnel and the other out of the viral capsid.
3.2. *In crystallo* polymerisation

Φ6pol-RNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex

In the attempt to confirm the nature of molecular interactions in an initiation competent complex when the preferred template of Φ6pol is present, Φ6pol-RNA co-crystals were soaked in GTP and Mg$^{2+}$, under similar experimental conditions to those used to visualise the DNA initiation complex (Butcher *et al.*, 2001). Surprisingly, in this case, reaction occurs within the crystal at a rate too high to allow the initiation complex to be captured crystallographically. Instead, a round of the polymerization reaction occurs, with production of guanylyl(3'-5')-guanosine-5'-triphosphate (PPP-G-P-G). This polymerisation proceeds via nucleophilic attack by the O3* of D1 on the oxygen atom of the α-phosphate group in D2 causing the formation of a new covalent bond between D1 and D2 and subsequent release of pyrophosphate (PPIP) as a by-product. The polymerised product is located close to, but displaced from, the catalytic site and has presumably rearranged after the reaction. The di-nucleotide has a closed, collapsed, conformation with the equivalent faces of bases D1 and D2 stacked together (Fig. 3.4.A). The triphosphate group of the 5'-guanosine is stabilized by coordination to a Mg$^{2+}$ ion (denoted #1, see Fig. 3.4.A & B) and the base of the guanosine D2, locking the product into the closed conformation. Mg$^{2+}$#1 is itself locked in position by coordination with the hydroxyl group of Y630 (Fig. 3.4.B). This conformation is also stabilized by interactions between the sugars of the two nucleosides and hydrogen bonds between the phosphate backbone of D1 and the base as well as the stacking of the side chain of Y630 against the guanosine base D1. The reaction by-product PPIP is coordinated by
Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, presumably normally transiently, prior to release through the substrate/sub-products pore (see Fig. 3.4.B for detailed interactions). The electron density in the template tunnel is poor, probably due to the loss of the RNA template from the tunnel since there is a lack of further substrate (ATP) molecules to continue the reaction. It seems that two base-pairs are insufficient to stabilize the polymerised dsRNA product, allowing the di-nucleotide to fall away from the RNA template, to form a dead-end state. Elongation is also presumably prevented by the C-terminal sub-domain, which is locked in place by crystal contacts, blocking the exit pore.
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Figure 3.4. “Dead-end” vs. initiation complex

A. Stereo figure of the superposition of the dead-end complex (ball and stick representation, coloured by atom type: red – O, blue – N, magenta – P, grey – C, cyan – Mn²⁺, green – Mg²⁺) with the DNA initiation complex (equivalent representation but drawn as transparent) described by Butcher et al. (2001). Mg²⁺ coordinates the polymerised product, the by-product PPi and Y630 (shown in yellow).

B. LIGPLOT (Wallace et al., 1995) representation of the interactions between the reaction product PPP-G-P-G, the by-product PPi, the divalent cations Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Φ6pol.

[From (Salgado et al., 2004)]
Elongation complexes for the reovirus polymerase have recently been reported (Tao et al., 2002). This polymerase contains a pore wide enough to accommodate a dsRNA product. However, a protruding loop in the palm sub-domain proposed to prime the incoming NTPs is also thought to be involved in ensuring primer-independent initiation. In the elongation complexes, this loop pulls back by some 3Å away towards the palm, fitting snugly into the minor groove of the product duplex. If the loops fails to be displaced, elongation is blocked and abortive transcripts are produced (Tao et al., 2002). A similar function seems to be played in Φ6pol by the C-terminal sub-domain. The fact that this sub-domain blocks the exit pore signifies that elongation cannot proceed without significant rearrangement of this domain (Fig. 3.5), which is locked in place by crystal contacts. The presence of part of the C-terminal sub-domain in the HCV structure reported by O'Farrell et al., (2003) close to the position of the C-terminal sub-domain in Φ6pol further supports the proposal that a similar mechanism of initiation may be used for de novo RNA synthesis in HCV as in Φ6. Moreover, other vRdRPs that initiate polymerisation in a primer-independent manner also exhibit structural features that occupy a position similar to the Φ6pol C-terminal sub-domain (Choi et al., 2004; Ng et al., 2004), indicating that this mechanism might be generally adopted by vRdRPs with de novo initiation mechanisms.

Furthermore, these studies have demonstrated Φ6pol in crystallo polymerase activity, validating the models for the mechanism of polymerisation derived from other studies of Φ6pol, previously carried out by Butcher et al. (2001) and those described in this thesis.
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Figure 3.5. Comparison of structures of Φ6pol “dead-end” complex with reovirus λ3pol elongation complex

Superposition of the elongation complex of reovirus λ3pol (semi-transparent ball-and-stick representation, coloured cyan) onto the coordinates of the “dead-end” complex. PPPGPG, PPi (magenta) and Y630 (yellow) are shown as ball-and-stick representation. Manganese and magnesium ions are represented as in Fig. 3.4.A. Φ6pol is coloured according to sub-domains: palm - green, fingers – red, thumb – blue and C-terminal – yellow. The figure clearly shows that the C-terminal in Φ6pol blocks the exit route of the dsRNA. Therefore, for elongation to occur, this sub-domain has to be displaced which, in this crystallographic system, would disrupt crystallographic contacts. Conversely, the reoviral RdRP has an opened dsRNA exit route and elongation complexes could be captured in the crystals (Tao et al., 2002).

[Prepared with PyMol (DeLano, 2004)]
3.3. Calcium effect on $\Phi 6$pol

$\Phi 6$pol-RNA-GTP-Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-complex

Divalent cations are known to influence the activity of polymerases; namely, magnesium and manganese stimulate both the polymerase complex and $\Phi 6$pol RNA polymerization (Bamford et al., 1995; van Dijk et al., 1995; Butcher et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2001), whilst calcium inhibits the transcription activities of dsRNA viruses RdRPs such as bluetongue and $\Phi 6$ (Bamford et al., 1995; van Dijk et al., 1995). The direct effect of calcium was investigated by our collaborators in Helsinki and is described in Salgado et al. (2004). Briefly, different concentrations of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} were added to $\Phi 6$pol reaction mixtures containing 5mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} or 2mM MnCl\textsubscript{2}. In both conditions, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} clearly inhibits RNA polymerisation, although half-inhibition is achieved at ~0.050mM in the presence of magnesium but only at 0.3mM in the presence of manganese, indicating that Mn\textsuperscript{2+} might have some protective effect.

Analysis of the maps obtained from crystals of the $\Phi 6$pol-RNA-Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-GTP complex, obtained as described in Chapter 2, shows two GTP molecules base paired to the T1 and T2 cytidines of the template, coordinated by two Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions. The molecular details of calcium inhibition are better understood by comparing the structure of the complex with that of the initiation complex obtained by Butcher et al. (2001). Briefly, in that complex, the 3' cytidines T1 and T2 are positioned within the catalytic site, forming Watson-Crick base pairs with 2 incoming GTP molecules [denoted D1 and D2, according to the notation of Butcher et al., (2001)]. D1 is further stabilized by base stacking with the ring from Y630. Two Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ions coordinate the phosphate backbones of the incoming GTPs. In the $\Phi 6$pol-RNA-Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-
GTP complex, the two catalytic Mg$^{2+}$ ions are replaced by Ca$^{2+}$. One of the calcium ions, that would be equivalent to the catalytically crucial Mg$^{2+}$ (denoted #1, see Fig. 3.6), is displaced by some 4.6Å away and no longer co-ordinates the triphosphates of D1 and D2. Instead, it coordinates the hydroxyl group of Y630 and the triphosphate of D1 (Fig. 3.6.B). Ca$^{2+}$ ions have a more flexible coordination, with an average number of ligands of seven compared to the rather rigid octagonal coordination of Mg$^{2+}$ with six ligands (Glusker, 1999; Saris et al., 2000). As a result, calcium might establish the observed interactions to complete the favoured heptagonal coordination.

Rotation of the side chain of Y630, which usually provides a stabilizing platform for the incoming GTP, D1, via aromatic interactions (Laurila et al., 2002), renders the base pairing of D1 to T1 of the RNA template less stable, and displaces D1 from D2, preventing the nucleophilic attack by O$3^*$ of D1 to one of the oxygen atoms in the α-phosphate group. The second Ca$^{2+}$ (denoted #2) is situated between the triphosphate of D2 and the protein, in the same position as one of the Mg$^{2+}$ ions in the initiation complex. D2 is further stabilized by base pairing with T2 and interactions with the side chains of neighbouring residues (Fig. 3.6.B). Overall, calcium ions cause a reorganisation of the complex, inhibiting catalysis by subtle changes in the geometry of the initiation complex.
Figure 3.6. Ca\(^{2+}\) inhibition of the initiation competent state

A. Stereo figure of the superposition of the active initiation structure (\(\Phi 6\)pol-DNA-Mg\(^{2+}\)-GTP) (Mg\(^{2+}\) coloured green, other atoms light blue) onto the \(\Phi 6\)pol-RNA-Ca\(^{2+}\)-GTP structure (red). Base pairing interactions are shown as dotted lines. Ca\(^{2+}\) ions are shown in pink and Mn\(^{2+}\) ions in cyan. The anomalous difference Fourier map is shown as green chicken wire. Y630 is shown in yellow (ball-and-stick representation).

B. LIGPLOT representation of the interactions between RNA, GTP, Ca\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and \(\Phi 6\)pol.

C. LIGPLOT representation of the interactions between DNA, GTP, Mg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and \(\Phi 6\)pol.

[From (Salgado et al., 2004)]
Comparison of the Φ6pol initiation complexes with the so-called initiation complex for reovirus λ3pol (Tao et al., 2002) shows strong similarities (rms deviation in Cα positions of 2.9Å, with 280 equivalences). Both template and incoming NTPs are superimposable, however in both the reovirus model and the Φ6pol-RNA-Ca$^{2+}$-GTP complexes, the triphosphate backbone of D1 is shifted away from the triphosphate moiety of D2 (Fig. 3.7). This reflects the fact that both structures are inhibited: in Φ6 by the structural effects of calcium coordination; in reovirus by the incoming 3'-deoxy rNTPs. In contrast, the Φ6pol initiation complex with DNA is active, although the rate of reaction was low enough to allow the capture of the initiation complex, since the polymerase is less effective in polymerising DNA (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a). The rearrangement in the 3'-deoxy rNTPs reovirus complex is substantial: in the genuine Φ6 initiation complex the distance between the hydroxyl group of D1 and the O-P in D2 is 3.2Å whereas it would be about 4.5Å in the reovirus complex were an OH group present (similar to the difference observed for the Ca$^{2+}$ inhibited Φ6 complex).

The observed changes in the Φ6pol-RNA-Ca$^{2+}$-GTP compared to the initiation competent complex explain for the first time the basis for the widely observed phenomenon of Ca$^{2+}$ inhibition of RNA dependent RNA polymerases.
Figure 3.7. Comparison of Φ6pol initiation and calcium-inhibited complexes with λ3pol initiation complex structures

A. Superposition of the initiation complex of reovirus λ3pol (semi-transparent, cyan ball-and-stick representation; Mg – dark green spheres) onto the coordinates of the “calcium-inhibited” complex (red). 6nt RNA oligonucleotide, GTP molecules, manganese and magnesium ions are represented as in Fig. 3.6.A. Φ6pol Q629-W632 platform loop (yellow) is shown as cartoon representation. Y630 is drawn as ball-and-stick representation.

B. Superposition of the initiation complex of reovirus λ3pol (shown as in A) onto the coordinates of the DNA initiation complex described by Butcher et al. (2001). 6nt DNA oligonucleotide, GTP molecules, manganese and magnesium ions are represented as in Fig. 3.6.A. Φ6pol Q629-W632 platform loop (marine blue) is shown as cartoon representation. Y630 is drawn as ball-and-stick representation.

[Prepared with PyMol (DeLano, 2004)]
3.4. Manganese effect – “Manganese-free”

Φ6pol

The addition of manganese ions is known to stimulate Φ6 RNA polymerisation in Φ6pol (Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a; Laurila et al., 2005a), in related polymerases from Φ8, Φ12 and Φ13 (Yang et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2003) and other RdRPs from BVDV (Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2002b), classical swine fever virus (CSFV) (Yi et al., 2003), GB virus (Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2003b), and HCV (Ferrari et al., 1999; Alaoui-Lsmaili et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2000; Lampio et al., 2000). Previous studies identified a high affinity manganese binding site in Φ6pol (Butcher et al., 2001) in the palm domain, coordinated by D454, E491 and A495. Mn$^{2+}$ ions have also been detected in crystal structures of rabbit hemorrhagic disease virus (Ng et al., 2002), reovirus λ3 (Tao et al., 2002) and HCV RdRPs (Bressanelli et al., 2002; O'Farrell et al., 2003). Due to its location in Φ6pol, some distance from the active site (~6Å), it was hypothesised that the ion could have a structural role, in stabilizing the overall structure of Φ6 polymerase. To investigate this hypothesis, the structures of Φ6pol without bound manganese ions were determined.

The data collected at the manganese K edge for the Φ6pol-EDTA crystals allowed for unambiguous identification of a water molecule in the “manganese binding site” through analysis of anomalous difference Fourier maps (see Chapter 2 for details). In the crystal structure, replacement of the Mn$^{2+}$ seems to have no apparent structural consequences either in surrounding residues (Fig. 3.8.A & C) or the overall structure of the protein.
Previous studies (Butcher et al., 2001) reported a structure of Φ6pol with the structural Mn\(^{2+}\) replaced by a Mg\(^{2+}\) ion. To confirm this result, crystals of Φ6pol were crystallised in the presence of EDTA and subsequently soaked in a solution containing an excess of Mg\(^{2+}\). Electron density maps analysis allowed unambiguous identification of bound Mg\(^{2+}\) in the "manganese binding site". Overall, this Φ6pol-Mg\(^{2+}\) complex structure is superimposable on the model previously described with Mn\(^{2+}\) with no significant structural changes in either the cation binding region (Fig. 3.8.B & C) or the overall structure of the polymerase.

Therefore, the molecular basis for the stimulation of Φ6pol activity by Mn\(^{2+}\) ions (Butcher et al., 2000; Laurila et al., 2002) remains unclear although it is possible that Mn\(^{2+}\) can act as the catalytic ion replacing Mg\(^{2+}\) as reported for other polymerases (Arnold et al., 1999; Baulcombe, 2002; Tao et al., 2002; O'Farrell et al., 2003). Other possibilities include effects in the early stages of initiation or at the switch from initiation to elongation, not detectable by these experiments.
Figure 3.8. Binding at the “manganese site”

The interacting residues D454, E491 and D324 (the latter interaction is via a water molecule which is not shown), are shown in ball and stick representation (blue – N atoms, red – O atoms, grey – C atoms). The green chicken wire shows a SIGMAA 2[Fo]-[Fc] map contoured at 1.5σ levels.

A. Water molecule (red) occupying the binding site in the Φ6pol-EDTA structure.

B. Mg$^{2+}$ (green) occupying the site in the Φ6pol-Mg$^{2+}$ structure.

C. Mn$^{2+}$ (cyan) binding as previously described (Butcher et al., 2001).
3.5. \( \Phi 6 \text{pol E491Q mutant} \) – Insights into polymerisation and the role of manganese

The structural analysis of \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \) lacking bound manganese did not explain its stimulatory effect on \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \) activity and further experiments were therefore designed to try to elucidate this influence. A version of \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \) with E491, one of the key residues coordinating manganese, mutated to glutamine was constructed by our collaborators in University of Helsinki, as described in Chapter 2. The polymerisation activity of the mutant was studied \text{in vitro} (Koivunen \textit{et al.}, in preparation). As for WT \( \Phi 6 \text{pol} \), the polymerase exhibits a preference for a 3' end cytidine. The effects of manganese and magnesium ions on the mutant activity revealed that, as for WT, the optimal reaction conditions include 5mM Mg\(^{2+}\) and 2mM Mn\(^{2+}\). Furthermore, in the absence of magnesium ions, the optimal manganese concentration is 5mM for both enzymes. Despite having the same optimal requirements as the WT, the E491Q mutant requires higher concentrations of manganese for stimulation to occur. Indeed, as little as 0.5mM Mn\(^{2+}\) has a dramatic effect in WT, increasing its activity by nearly 30%. Conversely, E491Q only exhibits the same level of activity once up to 2mM Mn\(^{2+}\) has been added to the reaction mixture. Furthermore, in the absence of Mn\(^{2+}\), reduced levels of RNA synthesis were detected for the mutant. The rate of elongation of the WT and mutant proteins was also determined. Surprisingly, E491Q exhibits an elongation rate \(~7.5\) times lower than the WT protein. Furthermore, whilst addition of manganese increased the elongation rate of WT protein, no effect of the ion was detected in E491Q elongation rate. In parallel to the biochemical characterisation carried out at the University of
Helsinki by our collaborators, diffraction experiments were performed on crystals, prepared in Oxford, of E491Q protein alone and in complex with templates and/or substrates.

### 3.5.1. E491Q Φ6pol mutant

The apo protein crystal is isomorphous to previously solved WT models (Butcher et al., 2001). Overall, the model can be superimposed on the WT structure (rms deviation in Ca positions of 0.4Å), with no significant differences detectable. However, unlike WT, where manganese is present even when absent from the crystallization buffer and/or precipitant solution (unpublished data), no Mn²⁺ was identified in the E491Q crystal. As a consequence, Q491 assumes a slightly different conformation, establishing direct interactions with A495 and D454, whereas these are mediated by the Mn²⁺ ion in the WT protein (Fig. 3.9). Whilst these changes seem to have no effect in the overall structure of the protein, the structure of the mutant protein seems to exhibit greater flexibility, as discussed below.
Figure 3.9. Φ6pol E491Q structure

Superposition of Φ6pol E491Q (C – yellow; O – red; N – blue) and WT (C – purple; O – red; N – blue) structural models at the “manganese binding site”. SIGMAA 2|Fo|-|Fc| electron density map after rigid body refinement in REFMAC5, contoured at 1.5σ, are shown as blue chicken-wire.

[Figure drawn from COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004)]
To further investigate the effect of the mutation in connection to template and
substrate binding, complexes of the mutated protein E491Q Φ6pol with different
templates and substrates were determined. To simplify the discussion, each complex
is described individually and overall conclusions are discussed at the end of this
subchapter.

3.5.2. E491Q-RNA-Mn\textsuperscript{2+}-GTP-Mg\textsuperscript{2+} complex

E491Q co-crystals with an RNA oligonucleotide, grown in the presence of
manganese and soaked in a solution containing 25mM GTP and 5mM Mg\textsuperscript{2+}
diffracted to poor resolution (3.8Å, see table 2.2 and section 2.4.8), rendering
refinement of the model of the template and substrates unfeasible. However, the
electron density map calculated using phases from rigid body refined coordinates,
revealed several interesting aspects of this complex. Surprisingly, despite the fact
that diffracting E491Q-RNA co-crystals only grew in the presence of manganese ion,
no Mn\textsuperscript{2+} was detectable in the electron density maps. Clear differences in electron
density maps in the template and substrate tunnels are observed in the three
molecules present in the asymmetric unit. Two of the molecules (denoted “A” and
“B”, as defined for WT P2\textsubscript{1} molecules in the a.u., Fig. 2.7) show similar electron
density features with no identifiable manganese ion bound. This results in weak
electron density for Q491 side-chain, presumably due to weaker interactions to
surrounding residues in the absence of the ion. In the substrate tunnel, a clear
electron density peak is observable, probably corresponding to the phosphate
backbone of a GTP molecule bound in a position similar to ATP in a complex in the
“NTP interrogation” state previously described by Butcher et al. (2001) (Fig.
3.10. A). Density for RNA is very weak in both molecules, particularly deep into the tunnel, indicating that the template is not present at full occupancies and may not completely penetrate the tunnel (Fig. 3.10.A). In molecule C, weak electron density is detectable further into the tunnel (Fig. 3.10.B). However, the template does not seem to bind into the S pocket, assuming an intermediate conformation within the tunnel, in a situation similar to that observed for the 5nt RNA oligo bound to WT Φ6pol. In the NTP substrate channel, electron density features in the C molecule are equivalent to those observed in molecules A and B (Fig. 3.10.B). As with WT complexed with 6nt and 7nt RNA oligonucleotides, differences in electron density in the three molecules are likely to be related to the accessibility of the template tunnel due to crystallographic contacts (see Fig. 2.7).
Figure 3.10. E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ structure

A. Electron density features (1.5σ contour level) in the substrate channel and template tunnel in molecules A and B.

B. Electron density features (1.5σ contour level) in the substrate channel and template tunnel in molecule C.

WT Φ6pol ATP-bound complex previously described by Butcher et al. (2001) was superimposed onto the E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ coordinates using SHP (Stuart et al., 1979) and the ATP molecule is drawn here in ball-and-stick representation. The 6nt Φ6pol-RNA complex described in section 3.1 was also superimposed onto the coordinates of the mutant complex and that template model is drawn in green ball-and-stick representation.

[Prepared with PyMol (DeLano, 2004)]
3.5.3. E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$ complex

Surprisingly, the E491Q co-crystallised with the same RNA oligo in the presence of Mn$^{2+}$ exhibits similar differences in the electron density features observed in the three molecules of the E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex, despite the fact that it belongs to space group $P3_2$. This can be explained since the packing and crystallographic contacts in the $P3_2$ crystal forms with three molecules and $P2_1$ crystal form have similar features (see Fig. 2.7 and 2.8 in Chapter 2). In fact, as in $P2_1$, the crystallographic contacts for molecule “C” (as defined in Chapter 2 for $P3_2$ structures, Fig. 2.8) render the template tunnel entrance more accessible than for molecules “A” and “B”. In this complex, electron density features in the template tunnel are similar to those observed in the E491Q-RNA-Mn$^{2+}$-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$. More striking is the fact that clear evidence for the presence of a manganese ion is found in molecules A and B but not C in this complex (see Chapter 2, Fig. 2.10). Furthermore, electron density for Q491 is very weak in this molecule, indicating a high degree of flexibility of the side chain, presumably inducing the displacement of the 66-77 loop in the thumb domain away from the 489-493 loop by 1.5Å (Fig. 3.11.B). This rearrangement might lead to further slight changes in the overall structure of the protein, namely in the thumb and finger domains (residues 37-100, 503-551 and 567-617 - thumb, 204-268 - fingers and fingertips) (Fig. 3.11.A). Furthermore, the 210-223 loop is displaced by ~4Å towards the protein core, assuming a more “closed” conformation than in the WT protein structure (Fig. 3.11.C). These differences in the protein structure might indicate greater intrinsic flexibility in the mutant, more manifest in the structure of molecule C due to less stringent crystallographic contacts (as seen in Fig. 2.8, Chapter 2).
Figure 3.11. E491Q-RNA-Mn\textsuperscript{2+} structure

A. Cartoon representation of the overall superposition of molecule C in the E491Q-RNA-Mn\textsuperscript{2+} (red) structural model with the WT model (marine blue). Manganese ion from the WT model is shown as cyan sphere.

B. Ca trace of E491Q-RNA-Mn\textsuperscript{2+} (red) and WT (marine blue) models, zoomed in on the “manganese binding site” and loop 66-78. D454, A495 and E/Q491 that coordinate the manganese ion (WT model, cyan sphere) are shown in ball-an-stick representation, coloured according to atom type (O – light red; N – blue; C – red in mutant, marine blue in WT). Q491 has a slightly different conformation that has a cascade effect, causing the displacement of loop 66-78 away from the protein core by 1.5 Å.

C. Ca trace of E491Q-RNA-Mn\textsuperscript{2+}(red) and WT (marine blue) models, zoomed in on loop 210-223. In the mutant, the loop is displaced towards the core of the protein by ~4Å.

[Prepared with PyMol (DeLano, 2004)]
3.5.4. **E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\) complex**

For all of the different structures determined of the E491Q mutant co-crystallised with DNA, no manganese ion was found to be bound to the protein. To attempt to detect bound divalent ions, one of these crystals was soaked with GTP and Mg\(^{2+}\). However, even in this case, no peaks identifiable with divalent cations were found in the electron density maps. In this structure, the E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\) complex, as for the RNA co-crystals, differences are found between the three molecules in the asymmetric unit. The height of electron density peaks in the template tunnel reveal that DNA bound to molecule “A” has a very low occupancy, whilst very well defined density in molecule C indicates a high occupancy of the DNA template. Molecule B seems to have an intermediate DNA occupancy, judging by the relative electron density peak intensity. However, the way the template binds to the protein seems to be equivalent in all molecules, with the DNA fitted into the S pocket with the sugar facing the 629QYKW632 loop (Fig. 3.12), as in previously described models (Butcher *et al.*, 2001).

Whilst molecule A and B are readily superimposable to the WT model, molecule C exhibits clear differences. In molecules A and B, only small differences are found in the conformation of Q491 that establishes direct interactions with A495 and D454, as in the apo mutant model. As with the E491Q-RNA-Mn\(^{2+}\) model, molecule C assumes a somewhat more closed conformation, due to the displacement of the loop 210-223 by \(\sim 4\) Å towards the protein core.

The more significant differences observed between the three molecules in the asymmetric unit are found in the GTP binding position. In all molecules, the phosphate backbone is found in a similar position as that of ATP in the “NTP
interrogating” complex (Butcher et al., 2001), establishing hydrogen bonds with key residues R225, R268 and R270 (Fig. 3.12). However, the orientation of the sugar and base in each molecule is different. In molecule A, the sugar of the incoming GTP establishes hydrogen bonds with R225 and D209, whilst the base interacts with thumb residues N517 and N523. In contrast, in molecules B and C, the sugar and base face residues S452 and D453 and establish interactions with residues R270, D329 and T398. Moreover, the base is close to, but not interacting with, the bases of DNA nucleotides T2 and T3 (Fig. 3.12.B). This position seems to be an intermediate state, where the incoming GTP base is already positioned ready to establish Watson-Crick base-pairing interactions with the DNA template. The fact that, in this position, R270 establishes interactions with the base of the incoming GTP corroborates the idea that this residue plays a central role in the identification of the correct NTP by the polymerase, as proposed by Butcher et al. (2001).
Figure 3.12. Structure of the E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex

A. Cartoon representation of the conformation of the complex in molecule A. 6nt DNA (ball-and-stick representation, coloured by atom type: O – red; N – blue; C – green) binds in the template tunnel, with the 3’ cytidine T1 fitted snugly in the S pocket. GTP is shown as ball-and-stick, (coloured by atom type with C – lime green). It establishes hydrogen-bonds (as green dotted lines) with R225 and R270 (ball-and-stick, coloured by atom type with C – yellow). “Priming” R268, catalytic D453 and “initiation platform” Y630 are represented in a similar way.

B. Cartoon representation of 6nt DNA (shown as in A) and GTP (shown as in A) binding mode in molecule C (red) (equivalent to that observed in molecule B). Residues R225, R268, R270, D453 and Y630 are represented as above, with C atoms coloured dark red. The hydrogen bonds between GTP and the protein (red) are represented as green dotted lines.
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3.5.5.  **E491Q-DNA-GTP complex**

When a 6 nucleotide DNA oligomer – E491Q mutant co-crystal was soaked in GTP but with no added magnesium (E491Q-DNA-GTP complex), DNA binds into the template tunnel in a similar conformation in all three molecules in the asymmetric unit, as in the WT protein (Butcher *et al.*, 2001), with differences in occupancy similar to those described above for the E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\) complex. Furthermore, the orientation of the incoming GTP molecules in molecules A and B is equivalent to that identified in that complex. Nevertheless, Y630 has rearranged compared to its position in the E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\) complex to a position that would allow base stacking interactions to be established once GTP has moved further inside the tunnel (Fig. 3.13.A).

Strikingly, the conformation of molecule C, particularly in some regions of the thumb, fingers and C-terminal sub-domains (residues 37-100, 503-551 & 567-617 – thumb; 204-268 - fingers & fingertips; 618-646 - C-terminal), is considerably altered (see Chapter 2, Fig. 2.9). In this molecule, the incoming GTP assumes a “post-interrogation” conformation, with the base establishing interactions with the bases of nucleotides T2 and T3 in the template tunnel (Fig. 3.13.B). Moreover, analysis of the electron density maps reveals weak density that could be interpreted as a low occupancy second GTP molecule bound into the tunnel, ready to establish base stacking interactions with Y630 and trigger rearrangements of the template to form a pre-initiation competent state. Changes in the overall structure of the protein might be related to the fact that interactions between the template and GTP have triggered some of the conformational rearrangements that will allow displacement of the template away from the S pocket to form the initiation competent complex.
Figure 3.13. E491Q-DNA-GTP structure

A. Cartoon representation of the conformation of the complex in molecule B (equivalent to conformation of molecule A). 6nt DNA (ball-and-stick representation, coloured by atom type: O – red; N – blue; C – green) binds in the template tunnel, with the 3’ cytidine T1 fitted snugly in the S pocket. GTP, represented by ball-and-sticks (coloured by atom type with C – lime green) is further inside the tunnel. It establishes hydrogen-bonds (as green dotted lines) with R225, R268, R270 and D453 (ball-and-stick, coloured by atom type with C – orange). The base of the GTP faces T2 and T3 and is close to Y630 (shown in ball-and-sticks, with C atoms in orange).

B. “Post-interrogation” complex. Cartoon representation of 6nt DNA (shown as in A) and GTP (shown as in A) binding mode in molecule C (red). Residues R225, R268, R270, D453 and Y630 are represented as above, with C atoms coloured dark red. The hydrogen bonds between GTP and the protein (red) are represented as green dotted lines. GTP hydrogen-bonds to D453 and interacts with T2 and T3 nucleotides from the DNA template.

[Prepared with PyMol (DeLano, 2004)]
3.5.6. E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg\(^{2+}\)-Mn\(^{2+}\) complex

Crystals of E491Q co-crystallised with a 6nt DNA oligo soaked with 25mM GTP, 5mM Mg\(^{2+}\) and 5mM Mn\(^{2+}\) revealed different complexes captured in the three non-crystallographically related molecules. In molecule A, there is very weak density in the template tunnel and the GTP molecule faces the thumb region around N523 and base-stacks with Y630 (Fig. 3.14.A). In molecule B, the incoming GTP establishes hydrogen bonds with the key “interrogating” residues (R225, R268 and R270) and D453, one of the catalytic aspartates. The base seems to assume a conformation similar to that observed in the WT initiation complex (Butcher et al., 2001) (Fig. 3.14.B). Furthermore, there is weak density indicating that a second molecule might be present, albeit at very low occupancy. If so, the two GTP molecules would then be in a conformation equivalent to that observed in molecule C. In this case, a “pre-initiation” state has been crystallographically captured (Fig. 3.15.A). Like the WT initiation competent stage, DNA has ratcheted back away from the S pocket, establishing Watson-Crick base-pair interactions with two incoming GTP molecules and base-stacking with Y630. However, the phosphate backbone of D1 [as defined by Butcher et al. (2001)] is displaced towards the protein, some 6Å away from the phosphate backbone of D2. In this conformation, reaction cannot occur, in a situation similar to that observed in the calcium inhibited complex described above and in the reovirus initiation complex (Tao et al., 2002). Moreover, only one divalent cation with low occupancy seems to be found between the two phosphate backbones, indicating that the coordination by the cation that facilitates the nucleophilic attack by O3* of D1 to the O-P bond is not yet established (Fig. 3.15).
Figure 3.14. E491Q-DNA-GTP-Mg$^{2+}$-Mn$^{2+}$ structure

A. Cartoon representation of the conformation of the complex in molecule A. 6nt DNA (ball-and-stick representation, coloured by atom type: O – red; N – blue; C – green) binds in the template tunnel, with the 3' cytidine T1 fitted snugly in the S pocket. GTP, represented by ball-and-sticks (coloured by atom type with C – lime green) is further inside the tunnel. It establishes hydrogen-bonds (as green dotted lines) with R225, R270 and Y630 (ball-and-stick, coloured by atom type with C – yellow). The base of the GTP molecule faces Y630 that is rotated towards the bases of T2 and T3.

B. Cartoon representation of 6nt DNA (shown as in A) and GTP (shown as in A) binding mode in molecule B (red). Residues R225, R268, R270, D453 and Y630 are represented as above, with C atoms coloured orange. The hydrogen bonds between GTP and the protein (red) are represented as green dotted lines. The base of GTP faces T2 and T3 and is displaced away from Y630. The phosphate backbone and sugar rearrange towards the catalytic D453. View as in Fig. 3.6.A. [Prepared with PyMol (DeLano, 2004)]
Figure 3.15. "Pre-initiation" complex.

A. Cartoon representation of a pre-initiation stage complex. 6nt DNA (ball-and-sticks representation, coloured by atom type: O – red; N – blue; C – green) has racheted away from site S and base-pairs GTP molecules D1 and D2 (C atoms are coloured lime green). Residues R225, R268, R270, D453 and Y630 are represented as ball-and-sticks, with C atoms coloured dark red. The hydrogen bonds established by D1 and D2 and the protein (dark red) are represented as green dotted lines. D1 hydrogen-bonds to D453 and Y630 and establishes Watson-Crick base-pair interactions with T1. D2 base-pairs with T2 and hydrogen-bonds with R225 and R270. A magnesium ion (dark green sphere) coordinates the phosphate backbone of D2.

B. Superposition of the active initiation structure (Butcher et al., 2001) (semi-transparent; Mg$^{2+}$ coloured green, other atoms marine blue) onto the pre-initiation structure (as in A). Base pairing interactions are shown as dotted lines. Mn$^{2+}$ ion is shown in cyan.

[Prepared with PyMol (DeLano, 2004)]
3.5.7. Conclusions

Analysis of the different complexes of E491Q Φ6pol demonstrates that this mutant polymerase possesses a certain degree of flexibility, evidenced by the fact that it adopts different crystal forms more readily than WT and that a range of template and substrate binding modes can be captured. It is logical to propose that the absence of a manganese ion observed in most molecules, due to the poorer coordination from Q491, induces changes in an intricate network of interactions between different domains, resulting in a molecule that is less rigid than the WT polymerase. This lack of rigidity allows a certain flexibility in the formation of crystallographic contacts in the asymmetric unit, (particularly in the P3_2 crystal form) that may play less stringent constraints on template and substrate binding.

Butcher et al. (2001) determined structures of different complexes that allowed a mechanism for initiation to be proposed (see Chapter 1, section 1.4.2). The structures of different complexes of E491Q described here provide further structural insight to the several stages leading to the formation of an initiation competent complex of the polymerase. The fact that these intermediate steps were captured with the mutant protein might be due to the more flexible E491Q structure, which allows stabilization of intermediates that in the WT polymerase are quickly directed towards the initiation state. If so, the role of manganese might be to lock the Φ6pol in a more rigid structure that ensures efficient initiation, hence stimulating polymerisation. It must be noted, however, that shorter soaks (less than 2min) were used to obtain E491Q complexes than in the WT experiments (~5min). It is therefore possible that a
combination of flexible structure and short soaks could be responsible for the
determination of the intermediate steps.

The stimulatory effect of manganese might also be related to the early stages of
the mechanism, namely the rearrangements involved from interrogation to initiation
competent states. In the absence of the ion, we were able to obtain post-interrogation
and pre-initiation complexes of GTP and template, together with other intermediate
stages. One possibility is that manganese accompanies the incoming GTP,
facilitating the conformational changes of the base that are necessary to occur for an
initiation competent state to be assembled, as has been hypothesised for other
polymerases (Arnold et al., 1999; Baulcombe, 2002; Tao et al., 2002; O'Farrell et al.,
2003). This would provide a possible general mechanism for the stimulatory effect of
manganese in polymerisation. Further studies of Φ6pol and other vRdRPs are
necessary to provide a definite mechanism for the manganese stimulation of RNA
polymerisation.
3.6. Φ6pol Initiation platform

SG mutant

The mechanism of primer-independent (de novo) RNA template polymerization, initiated at the 3' end, has been studied biochemically and structurally for several vRdRPs (Kao et al., 2001; Choi et al., 2004; van Dijk et al., 2004). HCVpol and BVDVpol are capable of de novo initiation of RNA synthesis (Hoogstraten et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2000; Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2002a; Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2002b), although, in vitro, they preferentially utilize a back-priming initiation mode (Behrens et al., 1996; Zhong et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2000; Lampio et al., 2000). This type of initiation is deleterious in vivo, since the newly produced daughter strand remains covalently bound to the template strand (Kao et al., 2001).

The stabilisation of the initiation complex (Butcher et al., 2001) by base stacking interaction of the incoming GTP, D1, with Y630, part of the stabilizing platform loop. This primer-mimicking loop, as well as high concentrations of initiatory nucleotides, has been proposed to prevent back-primed initiation in Φ6pol (Laurila et al., 2002). Similar factors have been found to allow HCV polymerase to initiate in vitro in a primer-independent mode (Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2003a). In HCV polymerase, a β-hairpin protruding from the thumb domain and a C-terminal hydrophobic pocket form the equivalent proposed stabilizing platform (Hong et al., 2001; Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2003a). A Φ6pol protein form where the “initiation platform” loop 629QYKW632 had been mutated to GSG, was found to be prone to back-primed initiation (Laurila et al., 2002).
Due to low yields of the GSG mutant, a functionally similar mutant was produced for crystallization trials by our collaborators at the University of Helsinki. In this case, the four bulky amino acids 629QYKW632, were changed to small residues, SG, resulting in a crystallisable mutant (as described in Chapter 2).

Overall, the structure of the SG mutant form of the polymerase is similar to the WT structures previously described (Butcher et al., 2001). Furthermore, the catalytic site defined by aspartic acid residues 324, 453 and 454 does not appear to be perturbed, in accordance with the biochemical data (Laurila et al., 2005b), showing that the mode of the initiation, but not catalysis, is affected by the mutation.

Poorly defined density for the residues upstream and downstream of the mutated loop, together with the absence of electron density in the short SG loop region, indicate that disruption of the loop (Fig. 3.16.A) has consequences for the overall structure of the C-terminal sub-domain. Indeed, the ratio of average crystallographic B-factors (a measure of the relative disorder of the protein) between the C-terminal sub-domain and the rest of the protein is 2.3 for SG compared to 1.5 for WT. Furthermore, a calculation of volumes of internal cavities (VOLUMES, Esnouf, unpublished computer program) indicates an expanded cavity in the mutant enzyme, due to a loss of stabilizing interactions. In the WT polymerase, residues 616-619 from the C-terminal sub-domain pack against residues 305-308 from the palm domain, whereas in the mutant these regions shift apart by 1.4Å, creating a slight gap, and destabilizing the whole C-terminal sub-domain. The effect extends to side-chains of contact residues which are rearranged and generally less well defined in the SG mutant (Fig. 3.16.B). It is likely that a major reason for the destabilization
is the loss of the bulky side-chain of W632, which in the WT makes bridging contacts with the 305-308 loop region of the palm domain (Fig. 3.16.B).

Therefore, deletion of the initiation platform has effects on the overall stability of the C-terminal sub-domain. Hence, the initiation platform loop makes important interactions not only with RNA template but also establishes important contacts with the surrounding protein residues. These contacts may help modulate the switch from initiation to elongation.

These results provide evidence to support the view that great care is required when translating the results of studies of back-priming initiation \textit{in vitro} to explain the \textit{in vivo} mechanism of polymerization in other RNA-dependent RNA polymerases. Indeed, observation of this back-priming initiation mode is an artefact in \Phi6pol, arising from subtle changes in the polymerase structure by \textit{in vitro} conditions. Furthermore, \textit{in vitro} studies were carried out with a truncated version of HCV polymerase where part of C-terminal sub-domain had been deleted (Behrens \textit{et al.}, 1996; Zhong \textit{et al.}, 1998; Kim \textit{et al.}, 2000; Lampio \textit{et al.}, 2000). This truncation could produce similar disruption of the initiation platform as seen for the SG mutation, explaining its preference for back-primed initiation \textit{in vitro}.

The SG protein structure strengthens the view of the polymerase structure as a switchable enzyme. The primer-independent initiation form is stabilized by a discreet set of contacts, controlling the switch from the initiation to elongation phase. Relatively modest changes in those contacts can have long range effects and result in a premature conformational switching that produces a structure with a preference for back-priming initiation mode.
Figure 3.16. SG mutant structure

A. Section through the Φ6 polymerase showing the relative position of the C-terminal sub-domain (orange) and the mutated loop 629-632 (lime-green), with respect to the RNA template tunnel and substrate pore. The active site is marked as a red star.

B. A view of key changes at the interface between the C-terminal sub-domain and a loop in the palm domain. Residues in the C-terminal sub-domain of the mutant polymerase (green) are less ordered and the complementarity of hydrophobic surface interactions is less than that observed in the WT polymerase (orange). This destabilization results in a relative movement of 1.4Å between the main chain of residues 305 to 308 in the palm sub-domain and the C-terminal sub-domain.

[From (Laurila et al., 2005b)]
Chapter 4

QDE-1 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase

In many species, RNA silencing – a mechanism developed as a defence against pathogens and regulation of gene expression – requires the presence of a cell-encoded RNA dependent RNA polymerase (cRdRP). From sequence comparison analysis, it was apparent that these proteins do not share all features present in viral RdRPs (Iyer et al., 2003). Since no cellular RdRP structure had yet been reported, determining such structure would provide important insights into the particularities of these enzymes, their evolutionary relationship with other polymerases and their role in the RNA silencing mechanism. QDE-1, a protein from the fungus *Neurospora crassa* had been reported to have RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity by our collaborators in the University of Helsinki (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002). Furthermore, its catalytic activity was shown to reside in the C-terminal domain (377-1402 aa) – QDE-1 ΔN. The polymerase catalyzes two reactions *in vitro*: production of full-length copies or short 9-21nt copies scattered throughout the entire length of input ssRNA templates. QDE-1 can also extend complementary primers in a template-dependent manner, but this activity was very inefficient under *in vitro* conditions. In this chapter, the determination and analysis of QDE-1 ΔN structure is described.
4.1. Protein expression and purification

QDE-1 ΔN expression and purification were carried out by Minni Koivonen, as part of the collaboration with Prof. Dennis Bamford’s group at the University of Helsinki. A summary of this work is included for completeness and details of all procedures are described in Laurila et al. (2005). The structural studies were then carried out in Oxford as my contribution to this collaborative project.

To produce QDE-1 ΔN (residues 377-1402), an initial plasmid pEM55 (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002) was constructed from a QDE-1 fragment obtained by PCR-amplification of a wild-type QDE-1 gene from genomic DNA of Neurospora crassa DSM 1257 (FGSC 987) and cut with HindIII-BstEII and ligated with the large fragment of the similarly cut pEM41. The PCR fragment was then inserted into the vector pYES2/CT (Invitrogen) at the HindIII-EcoRI sites. In an attempt to optimize yields and protein quality, a modified QDE-1 EcoRI-PmeI fragment of pEM55 (plasmid pEM69), expressing a recombinant protein that contains a shorter linker (EFGS), tethered to a C-terminal hexahistidine tag, was constructed and introduced into S. cerevisiae strain INVSc1 (Invitrogen). Native protein expression was carried out as described by Makeyev and Bamford (2002), according to the manufacturers recommendations.

Since no molecular replacement model was available and all attempts to use heavy atoms to solve the structure were unsuccessful, production of selenomethionated protein was crucial. To express the labelled protein, some modifications to the procedures recommended by the manufacturer (Invitrogen) were necessary, as described by Laurila et al. (2005). Due to the toxic effect of SeMet, no
significant increase in turbidity was observed during expression in SeMet containing media, as opposed to the situation observed in the presence of Met, where a rapid increase in turbidity was detected (Fig. 4.1.A).

Protein purification of both native and SeMet proteins was carried at 4°C, as described previously (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002). Storage overnight at 4°C after a Ni-NTA column (Qiagen) caused some precipitation, so additional centrifugation for 10 min at 4,300g and filtration was necessary. As part of the optimization process to obtain crystallisable protein, elution of pooled, protein-containing fractions in a Mono Q column (Amersham Biosciences; RT) was introduced as a final step in the purification of both native and SeMet protein (Laurila et al., 2005). Eluted fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (15% acrylamide) and the protein concentration was determined either by comparing the QDE-1 ΔN band intensities with bands of known amounts of the same protein in SDS-PAGE (15% acrylamide) or by A_{280} measurements (ε_{280}=1.512 M^{-1} cm^{-1}) in 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100mM NaCl (Fig. 4.1.B). The yield of expressed SeMet QDE-1 ΔN (~0.4 mg of purified protein/L of yeast culture) was comparable to that of the native protein (~2.8 mg of purified protein/L of culture), taking into account that much of the SeMet protein was precipitated after overnight storage.

Replicase activity of labelled and native QDE-1 ΔN protein was assayed as described by Laurila et al. (2005). In brief, 10μl reaction mixtures containing 50mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.8), 20mM NH_{4}OAc, 6% (w/v) PEG4000, 5mM MgCl_{2}, 0.1mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1mM each of ATP and GTP, 0.2mM each of CTP and UTP, 0.1–0.2mCi/ml of [^{32}P]UTP (~3000 Ci/mmol) and 0.8 unit/μl Rnasin (ribonuclease inhibitor) and a final concentration of RNA substrate (positive strand...
of Φ6 virus small segment - s+) of 90μg/ml were used. Reactions were initiated by the addition of a polymerase preparation to a final concentration of 4–40μg/ml followed by incubation at 30°C for 1h. The reaction products were then analyzed by native agarose gel-electrophoresis (Fig. 4.1.C). Synthetic ssRNA substrate was prepared by in vitro run-off transcription from pLM659 (Gottlieb et al., 1992) cut with XbaI, as described in Frilander and Turunen (2004).

To estimate the levels of incorporation of selenomethionine in QDE-1 ΔN, a mass spectrometry analysis of both the derivatised and native concentrated proteins was carried out at the Oxford Protein Production Facility by LC-ESI-MS (HPLC: Dionex, Sunnyvale, California, USA, and electrospray: ionization mass-spectroscopy Q-Tof micro, Waters, Milford, Massachusetts, USA) as described by Laurila et al. (2005). Due to the considerable molecular weight of QDE-1 ΔN, overnight tryptic digestion was necessary to produce smaller, analyzable fragments. QDE-1 ΔN contains 27 methionine residues spread along 1026 residues, and six methionine-containing digestion products were found scattered throughout the protein. From the average peak heights, the selenomethionine content was estimated to be ~98%. Prior to data collection from a SeMet labelled crystal at beam-line BM14 (ESRF, Grenoble) an X-ray fluorescence analysis was performed around the Se K absorption edge. This showed a strong white line (f" = 6.2 e") at the absorption peak (Fig. 4.1.D), conforming that high SeMet incorporation had been achieved.
Figure 4.1. QDE-1 ΔN SeMet production

A. Growth curves of *S. cerevisiae* INVSc1/pEM69 in SeMet and in Met containing media after induction and addition of SeMet/Met. Cells harvesting time point indicated by arrow.

B. SDS-PAGE of the QDE-1 ΔN purification steps. 1 - Ni-NTA, 2 - Heparin agarose, 3 - gel filtration, 4 - Mono Q columns. Molecular masses (kDa) markers are shown on the left. Total yield of SeMet QDE-1 ΔN after each purification step is indicated at the bottom.

C. Native agarose gel-electrophoresis analysis of purified SeMet and native QDE-1 ΔN activities. dsDNA markers (kbp) sizes are marked on the left. Lanes: 1 - no QDE-1 ΔN; 2 - QDE-1 ΔN; 3 - SeMet QDE-1 ΔN. ss and dsRNA are shown on the right.

D. Se K edge fluorescence scan of selenomethionine QDE-1 ΔN crystal performed at BM14. The plot shown is the result of CHOOCH (Evans and Pettifer, 2001) analysis of the raw data.

[From (Laurila et al., 2005)]
4.2. Protein crystallization

For crystallization studies, purified protein batches of QDE-1 ΔN (residues 377-1401) were typically concentrated to 2-2.5mg/ml by centrifugation in a VivaSpin 4ml concentrator (VivaScience). A Bio-Rad Micro Bio-Spin 6 Chromatography Column was used to exchange the protein buffer to 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100mM NaCl. An initial limited screen of crystallization conditions for the native protein was carried out using the sitting drop vapour diffusion method (McPherson, 1982), which yielded small, poor quality crystals. Taking advantage of a Cartesian robot available at the Oxford Protein Production Facility (OPPF), a wider, more exhaustive screen of 480 conditions (sparse matrix and grid screens formulation, commercially available from Hampton Research and Jena BioScience) was carried out using the sitting drop vapour diffusion method with a 200nl drop size (100nl protein plus 100nl precipitant ratio) (Brown et al., 2003; Walter et al., 2003). However, this screen did not yield crystals of better quality than those obtained previously in the limited screen. Therefore, fine screens around the original conditions were carried out, using an extended, more exhaustive version of the optimization set up developed at the OPPF (Walter et al., 2005) (Fig. 4.2.A). In the optimized conditions (100mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 100-200mM NaCl, 6-14% PEG 6000, 5mM MgCl₂) crystals grew to 100x40x40 µm within 1-3 days (Fig. 4.2.B). Initial diffraction tests revealed that these crystals were highly anisotropic and diffracted poorly, so further optimization included an additive screen. The addition of 10mM spermine to the protein solution gave the best results, with crystal size of 195x85x75 µm (Fig. 4.2.C) when the set-up was scaled to 2µl - 3µl drops (1:1, 1:2 or
2:1 protein/precipitant ratio) in 24-well plates. An initial wide screen was also performed for the selenomethionated QDE-1 ΔN with a few conditions allowing crystal growth. Attempts to optimize them with a fine screen grid (Fig. 4.2.A) were then carried out, but no major improvements were observed. Simultaneously, fine screens around the native protein crystallization conditions were also performed and the optimal crystal growth conditions were found to be the same, with 1mM DTT also added to the solution prior to crystallization to avoid selenium oxidation. Crystal size and morphology were similar than those observed for the best native crystals (Fig. 4.2.D). All crystallisation trials were carried out at room temperature (293K). For data collection at 100K temperatures, the crystals were briefly washed in a crystallization solution to which 25% glycerol was added.
4.2.1. Co-crystallization and soaking experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-1 pH</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>+1 pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Schematic optimization grid. The three bottom rows in a 96-well Greiner plate are used, with different protein:precipitant solution ratios (1:1, 2:1, 1:2). Original hit conditions are used at 3 different pHs (-1 pH unit, original pH and +1 pH unit) with a set of dilutions for each pH tested (100%, 90%, 75%, 60%).

B. QDE-1 ΔN Crystal form 1
C. QDE-1 ΔN Crystal form 2
D. QDE-1 ΔN SeMet optimized crystals

Figure 4.2. QDE-1 ΔN crystallization
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4.2.1. Co-crystallization and soaking experiments

Once optimal crystallisation conditions had been established, attempts to co-crystallise QDE-1 ΔN with different RNA oligonucleotides, both single and double stranded were carried out (Table 4.1). Oligonucleotides with the chosen sequence were supplied by Curevac and stored at a temperature of -20°C until co-crystallization experiments were set up. Typically, 6μl of a QDE-1 ΔN solution at a concentration of 2-3mg/ml was incubated with 2 to 8 μM of the oligonucleotides for one hour on ice. Sitting drop crystallization trays (Linbro) in the optimal conditions were then prepared. These experiments yielded either no crystals or very small crystals that, when tested revealed no RNA bound or very low occupancies of the oligonucleotides (as described in section 4.3). In separate experiments, the protein crystals were soaked in solutions containing 10mM RNA oligonucleotides for ~10-15min or until cracking appeared at the surface of the crystals.

The small co-crystals were also used for soaking experiments with a non-hydrolysable GTP analogue [guanosine-5'-(β,γ-methyl)triphosphate] and MgCl₂. The crystals were soaked in a droplet of the crystallisation mother liquor to which MgCl₂ and the GTP analogue had been added to a final concentration of 10 and 50 mM, respectively. In separate experiments, 10mM RNA oligonucleotide was added to that solution prior to soaking native protein crystals. Total soaking times were around 8-10min or until cracking became apparent in the surface of the crystals.
Table 4.1. RNA oligos used in co-crystallization and/or soaking experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligo Type</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Crystals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ssRNA 1</td>
<td>5'-UUUUUUUUUUCCC-3'</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssRNA 2</td>
<td>5'-UCUCUCUCUCCC-3'</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 12nt</td>
<td>5'-CAAGACGUGUCC-3'</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3'-GUUCUGCACAGGCC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 16nt</td>
<td>5'-GCCGGUUCUCGCACAGG-3'</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3'-CGGCCAAGACGUGUCC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 16nt</td>
<td>5'-GCCGGUUCUCGCACAGG-3'</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3'-CGGCCAAGACGUGUCCUCCCUCCCUCCC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 16nt</td>
<td>5'-GCCGGUUCUCGCACAGG-3'</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3'-CGGCCAAGACGUGUCCCCUUCCCCUCCC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 8nt iodinated</td>
<td>5'-ACG (I)U (I)C (I)U CC-3'</td>
<td>only soaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3'-UGC A G A GG-5'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 8nt iodinated</td>
<td>5'-ACG (I)U (I)C (I)U CC-3'</td>
<td>only soaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3'-UGC A G A GGCUCCC-5'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3. Data collection and processing

From the various crystallization studies carried out, two different crystal forms have been characterised. A data set to 3.5Å resolution was collected from initial crystals obtained after fine optimization screen. 256 images were collected at 100K on station BM14 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble at a wavelength 0.97Å, with a 1° oscillation between frames over a continuous rotation range and exposure time of 30s. Images were recorded on a MAR 225 CCD detector (MarResearch) set at 240mm from the crystal. These crystals belonged to space group C2, with unit cell dimensions a=114.6, b=124.0, c=101.9 Å, β=108.9°. Data were processed and scaled using the HKL2000 suite of programs (Otwinowski, 1997). A summary of data collection statistics is given in Table 4.3. Cell content analysis using the CCP4 program suite (Collaborative Computational Project, 1994) revealed a Matthews coefficient (V_m) (Matthews, 1968) of 3.5Å³/Da, consistent with one QDE-1 ΔN monomer in the asymmetric unit and a solvent content of 64%.

A second crystal form was obtained after improving the crystal quality by addition of 10mM of spermine. X-ray data collection of 312 images to 2.3Å resolution at 100K was carried out on station BM14, ESRF, Grenoble using a Marmosaic 225 CCD detector, at a wavelength of 1.00Å (Fig 4.3.A). Oscillation ranges, detector to crystal distance and exposure times were the same as used to collect the C2 data set. Improved quality crystals belonged to space group P2₁ with unit cell dimension a=101.0, b=122.5, c=114.7 Å, β=108.9°. Data were scaled and processed with HKL2000 (Otwinowski, 1997) and details are presented in Table 4.1. These unit cell dimensions are consistent with the presence of two monomeric
subunits of QDE-1 ΔN in the asymmetric unit, with a solvent content of 55% ($V_m=2.8 \, \text{Å}^3/\text{Da}$). Native Patterson maps calculated using the CCP4 program suite (Collaborative Computational Project, 1994) show a peak with 1/4 of the height of the origin peak at $u=0.07$, $v=0.50$, $w=0.54$ (Fig. 4.3.B). This indicates that the two molecules present in the asymmetric unit are related by a translation. This observation is also consistent with the presence of a local molecular two-fold axis slightly misaligned from the crystallographic 2₁ screw axis, passing through the point $x=0.035$, $y=0.000$, $z=0.270$.

Since the protein is expressed in yeast and, at this point in the project, SeMet incorporation was known to be a difficult procedure (Bushnell et al., 2001; Larsson et al., 2002; 2003), yielding very low incorporation levels, attempts to solve the structure of QDE-1 ΔN using Multiple Isomorphous Replacement (MIR) were carried out. Co-crystallization and soaking experiments with most of the usual and some more unusual heavy atom compounds (several mercury, gold, platinum, osmium, lead, and tantalum complexes, iodine, bromide, manganese) were carried out with no success (Table 4.2). A data set to approximately 4Å was collected from a tantalum containing crystal but low resolution combined with the pseudo-symmetry meant the derived phases were poor. For all other compounds, either there was no heavy atom in the crystal or the diffusion of the heavy atom destroyed the crystal lattice. It was, therefore, necessary to produce selenomethionated protein.
# Table 4.2. Heavy-atom derivatives experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heavy-Atom (HA) derivative</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>Soak time</th>
<th>Diffraction/Resolution (Å)</th>
<th>Data collected</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMP (Hg)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>o/n</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP (Hg)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>~6.7</td>
<td>180 images</td>
<td>no HA present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP (Hg)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>~8</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamm (Hg)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>o/n</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamm (Hg)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thimerosal (Hg)</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
<td>o/n</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thimerosal (Hg)</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum cluster</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>~6.2</td>
<td>50 images</td>
<td>HA present, resl. too weak; incomplete data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum cluster</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>o/n</td>
<td>~6.0</td>
<td>166 images</td>
<td>no solution due to pseudo-symmetry and low resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum cluster</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>~3.8</td>
<td>299 images</td>
<td>no HA present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromide</td>
<td>1 M</td>
<td>30-40s</td>
<td>~3.2</td>
<td>299 images</td>
<td>no HA present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmium cluster</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmium cluster</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>o/n</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>o/n</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMP - Ethyl Mercury Phosphate [(C$_2$H$_5$HgO)HPO]; TAMM - Tetrakis(acetoxymercuri)methane [C(HgOCOC$_2$)$_4$]; Thimerosal - Ethylmercurichlarsalicylic acid, sodium salt; Tantalum cluster - tantalum bromide[TaBr$_4$]; Bromide - sodium bromide [NaBr]; Osmium cluster - Potassium hexachloroosmate (IV) [K$_2$OsCl$_6$]; Lead - Lead (II) acetate trihydrate [Pb(CH$_3$COO)$_4$]; Gold - Gold cyanide [AuCN]; Platinum - Platinum chloride [PtCl$_6$].

o/n - overnight
By careful experimental design it was possible, as described above, to obtain material with a very high incorporation level of SeMet which was successfully crystallised and a single SeMet QDE-1 ΔN crystal (Fig. 4.3.C), belonging to space group $P2_1$ was analysed on station BM14, ESRF, Grenoble. A three wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{peak}} = 0.9789$, $\lambda_{\text{inflection}} = 0.9791$, $\lambda_{\text{remote}} = 0.9078$) MAD data set to a resolution better than 3.2Å was collected. 812 images were collected for the peak data and 360 for each of the other wavelengths, with an oscillation of $\varphi=1^\circ$ and exposure time of 60 seconds per image (Fig. 4.4.D). Images were recorded on a Marmosaic 225 CCD detector, set at 350mm for collection of the peak and the inflection data and 380mm for the remote data set. Each data set was processed and scaled independently using the HKL2000 suite of programs (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). A summary of the data collection and processing statistics is given in Table 4.3. The anomalous signal-to-noise ratio, as calculated by XPREP (Bruker, 2001), is 2.4, 1.3 and 1.2 in the low resolution shell (30.00 - 8.00 Å) and 1.3, 1.1 and 1.1 to 3.2 Å for the peak data, high-energy remote and inflection data, respectively (Table 4.4).

X-ray data were collected from different QDE-1 ΔN co-crystallised with RNA oligonucleotides and native crystals soaked with RNA oligos and/or GTP analogue and Mg$^{2+}$ (details in Table 4.5). Some of these crystals diffracted to very low resolution and exhibited high anisotropy and mosaicity, not allowing for indexing and scaling of the data collected. Although in some of the datasets there are features in the $|Fo|-|Fc|$ electron density maps at the RNA binding regions and NTP tunnel, these peaks have very low intensity, indicating a low occupancy of the template and substrate. Combined with the low resolution of the data sets, no modelling of the RNA oligonucleotides or GTP analogue was therefore possible.
**Figure 4.3. QDE-1 ΔN data collection**

A. Representative 1° oscillation image data collected from a native QDE-1 ΔN P2₁ crystal on a Marmosaic 225 CCD detector on BM14, ESRF, Grenoble. Resolution at the edge ~2.3 Å. Bottom right corner: zoom of the diffraction image.

B. Native Patterson map for crystal form 2 calculated to 2.5 Å. The first contour level is at 1 σ and successive contour levels are at 3,5,7,9,...-σ. The peak corresponding to the non-crystallographic translation has a height of 41 σ (~1/4 of the origin peak).

C. SeMet QDE-1 ΔN P2₁ crystal mounted in a cryo loop, prepared for data collection on BM14, ESRF, Grenoble.

D. Representative 1° oscillation image data collected from a SeMet QDE-1 ΔN P2₁ crystal on a Marmosaic 225 CCD detector on BM14, ESRF, Grenoble. Resolution at the edge ~2.8 Å. Bottom right corner: zoom of the diffraction image.

[From (Laurila et al., 2005)]
Table 4.3. Data collection statistics for native and selenomethionine QDE-1 ΔN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>QDE-1 ΔN</th>
<th>QDE-1 ΔN</th>
<th>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN</th>
<th>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN</th>
<th>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>crystal form 1</td>
<td>crystal form 2</td>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>Inflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray source</td>
<td>ESRF BM14</td>
<td>ESRF BM14</td>
<td>ESRF BM14</td>
<td>ESRF BM14</td>
<td>ESRF BM14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.9750</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.9789</td>
<td>0.9078</td>
<td>0.9791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Group</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>P21</td>
<td>P21</td>
<td>P21</td>
<td>P21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Cell (a,b,c [Å]; β[°])</td>
<td>114.6, 124.0, 101.0, 122.6, 114.7; 108.9</td>
<td>101.2, 122.5, 114.4; 108.9</td>
<td>101.2, 122.5, 114.4; 108.9</td>
<td>101.2, 122.5, 114.4; 108.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>20.00 - 3.45</td>
<td>20.00 - 2.30</td>
<td>25.00 - 3.20</td>
<td>25.00 - 3.20</td>
<td>25.00 - 3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.60 - 3.45)</td>
<td>(2.38 - 2.30)</td>
<td>(3.31 - 3.20)</td>
<td>(3.31 - 3.20)</td>
<td>(3.31 - 3.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. of images</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>212925</td>
<td>1752528</td>
<td>1311716</td>
<td>670920</td>
<td>605559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>22247</td>
<td>114252</td>
<td>43488</td>
<td>44080</td>
<td>43716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)*</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)</td>
<td>97.9 (100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σ(I)*</td>
<td>8.7 (2.4)</td>
<td>18.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>25.3 (4.0)</td>
<td>13.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>14.7 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molec. in A.U.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent Content (%)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values in parenthesis are for the outermost resolution range
[From (Laurila et al., 2005)]

Table 4.4. Anomalous signal-to-noise ratio for each dataset collected from a selenomethionine QDE-1 ΔN crystal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resolution range (Å)</th>
<th>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN Peak</th>
<th>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN Remote</th>
<th>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN Inflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0 - 8.0 - 6.0 - 5.0 - 4.8 - 4.6 - 4.4 - 4.2 - 4.0 - 3.8 - 3.6 - 3.4 - 3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN Peak</td>
<td>2.4 1.8 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN Remote</td>
<td>1.3 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeMet QDE-1 ΔN Inflection</td>
<td>1.2 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.5. Data sets collected from QDE-1 ΔN co-crystallisation and soaking experiments with RNA oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNA oligo</th>
<th>GTP anal.</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Beam line</th>
<th>Resl. (Å)</th>
<th>Space grp.</th>
<th>Features in e.d. maps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ssRNA 1 (co-crystal)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ID 14.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not processable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssRNA 1 (soak)</td>
<td>50mM</td>
<td>10mM</td>
<td>ID 14.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Very weak density in RNA tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssRNA 1 (soak)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10mM</td>
<td>ID 14.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 12nt (2nt overhang)</td>
<td>50mM</td>
<td>10mM</td>
<td>ID 14.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 12nt (2nt o/h) (soak)</td>
<td>50mM</td>
<td>10mM</td>
<td>In-house</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
<td>Very weak density in RNA tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 16nt (10nt overhang)</td>
<td>50mM</td>
<td>10mM</td>
<td>ID 14.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Very weak density in RNA tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 16nt (10nt overhang) (soak)</td>
<td>50mM</td>
<td>10mM</td>
<td>BM14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not processable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 16nt (6nt overhang)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BM14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not processable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA 8nt iodinated (5nt overhang)</td>
<td>50mM</td>
<td>10mM</td>
<td>BM14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not processable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4. Structure determination

The three MAD data sets were scaled and merged together using SCALEPACK from the HKL2000 suite of programs (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997) and used by the dual-space direct-methods procedure Shake’n’Bake (SnB) (Weeks and Miller, 1999) to solve the selenium substructure. Despite the fact that SnB clearly found a selenium substructure solution as indicated by the $R_{\text{min}}$ values for the best trial (see histogram of distribution of trials vs. $R_{\text{min}}$, Fig. 4.4.A), there was not a clear differentiation between correct Se positions and those due to noise, as evaluated from the peak height of each site found. Therefore, identification of the correct Se sites involved a more elaborate strategy. QDE-1 ΔN has 27 methionines, so 54 Se sites were expected in the asymmetric unit. Initially, the top 54 peaks identified by SnB were used in SOLVE (Terwilliger and Berendzen, 1999; Terwilliger, 2000) to calculate experimental electron density maps which showed clear features consistent with protein structure, confirming a correct substructure had been found (Fig. 4.4.B). Since a non-crystallographic two-fold axis relating the two molecules in the asymmetric unit had been identified (Fig. 4.3.B), a subset of 27 sites was identified as symmetry related by visual inspection of the refined 54 Se sites and the electron density maps calculated by SOLVE (Terwilliger and Berendzen, 1999). The non-crystallographic operation was then applied to the selected 27 sites in order to generate all 54 expected Se sites. To verify that all these coordinates corresponded to correct Se positions, related by the two-fold axis, anomalous difference Fourier maps were calculated using the peak and the native 2.3Å data. Visual inspection of the maps allowed the identification of a set of 46 Se atoms. Heavy atom refinement of
these positions was done in SHARP (de la Fortelle and Bricogne, 1997) and experimental phases calculated. Density modification procedures implemented in RESOLVE (Terwilliger, 2000) were then used to refine those experimental phases and build an initial Cα model. RESOLVE (Terwilliger, 2000) built 1128 residues out of the 2052 in the asymmetric unit, mostly as alanines (740). Despite only half the residues being built, the quality of the electron density maps was considerably improved and protein structural features well defined. Furthermore, at least in the well ordered regions, the model built by RESOLVE (Terwilliger, 2000) correctly followed those features (Fig. 4.4.C) and was a good starting point for manual model building. Analysis of the electron density maps and Se positions, together with the poly-alanine model built by RESOLVE (Terwilliger, 2000) revealed two distinct subsets of atoms in each molecule in the asymmetric unit, related by two slightly shifted two-fold axes. This indicates that different domains from each monomer are related by slightly different non-crystallographic symmetry operations which meant no averaging was possible and model building had to be carried for the complete dimer.
Figure 4.4. Structure determination of QDE-1 ΔN

A. Histogram of SnB trials vs $R_{\text{min}}$, showing a set of correct solutions at $R_{\text{min}}=0.482$.

B. Electron density maps calculated by SOLVE (Terwilliger and Berendzen, 1999; Terwilliger, 2000) after initial phase calculation (blue chicken wire), contoured at 1.5$\sigma$. Clear protein features can be identified, as well as the overall shape of the molecule. Snapshot from Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004).

C. Initial RESOLVE (Terwilliger, 2000) model (yellow lines) and electron density map, contoured at 1.5$\sigma$ (blue chicken wire). An $\alpha$-helix and several $\beta$-strands have been correctly traced in the model built by RESOLVE (Terwilliger, 2000). Snapshot from Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004).
4.5. Model building and refinement

RESOLVE produced an initial Ca model which was used as a starting point for tracing the polypeptide chain in O (Jones et al., 1991) and Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). The Se positions coupled with inspection of amino acid sequence and SIGMAA 2|Fo|-|Fc| Fourier maps helped to determine the correct connectivity and sequence of the different fractions of the polypeptide chain as they were built. The Ca model was converted to a polyalanine chain using the program CALPHA (Esnouf, 1997) (Fig. 4.5.A,B). After visual inspection and correction of any observed errors, side-chains were then assigned to that model also using CALPHA. Several iterative cycles of manual model building coupled with sequence assignment and automated model building/water molecules placement with ARP/wARP (Lamzin and Wilson, 1993; Perrakis et al., 2001) incorporating refinement with REFMAC5 (Collaborative Computational Project, 1994; Murshudov et al., 1997), allowed gradual construction of a model accounting for most of the observed electron density. Initially, positional and isotropic individual B-factor refinement with CNS (Brunger et al., 1998) was used between automated and manual model building cycles to refine the model (Fig. 4.5.C), using all the native data to 2.3Å resolution and imposing restraints to the identified domains in each monomer related by the two misaligned two-fold axis. As the model become more complete, differences between the domains in each monomer in the asymmetric unit became more apparent and ESCET (Schneider, 2004) was used to define precise limits for each domain (Fig. 4.5.E). The final refinement stages were carried out with REFMAC5, introducing TLS refinement (Winn et al., 2001) and using non-crystallographic restraints for the
different domains. The final model with 933 residues in monomer A and 930 residues in monomer B (out of 1026 amino acids in the truncated QDE-I protein monomer) with 922 water molecules, 2 magnesium ions and a glycerol molecule was refined to an $R_{\text{factor}}$ of 21.7% and $R_{\text{free}}$ of 26.4% with good stereochemistry (rms deviation bond = 0.013Å, rms deviation angle = 1.5Å, 2.3% of residues in disallowed regions of the Ramachandran plot) (Table 4.6; Fig. 4.5.D). Several regions were found to be disordered: 10 residues at the N-terminus, 30 residues at the C-terminus, 45 residues in monomer A and 48 in monomer B belonging to 4 loops (monomer A: residues 590-603, 628-640, 1241-1251, 1271-1281; monomer B: 591-606, 627-640, 1241-1251, 1271-1281) could not be traced in the electron density maps.

The $C2$ crystal form structure was solved by molecular replacement using the $P2_1$ final model with AMORE (Navaza, 1994) with one subunit in the asymmetric unit. Due to the poor resolution and data quality, only rigid body, followed by B-factor refinement with CNS was carried out, resulting in a model with $R_{\text{factor}}$ of 36.8% and $R_{\text{free}}$ of 38.4%. As expected, in this crystal form, the non-crystallographic two-fold axis aligns with the crystallographic $2_1$ screw axis due to rearrangements of the domains in each monomer.
Figure 4.5. Model building steps

A. Initial polyalanine model (C – yellow, O – red, N – blue) and RESOLVE electron density map (blue chicken wire), contoured at 1.5σ.

B. Zoomed view of the initial polyalanine model in the region of Fig. 4.5.B indicated by a yellow box.

C. QDE-1 ΔN model refined with CNS, after several rounds of manual and automated model building. A section containing several well-ordered residues is shown (atoms represented as in A). CNS SIGMAA 2|Fo|-|Fc| map contoured at 1.5σ is represented as in A.

D. Final QDE-1 ΔN model refined. A section containing several well-ordered residues is shown (atoms represented as in A). SIGMAA 2|Fo|-|Fc| map contoured at 1.5σ is represented as in A.
Table 4.6: Reflection statistics of QDE-1 A and B crystal forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monomer A</th>
<th>monomer B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conformationally invariant part - 553/894 atoms = 61.9%</td>
<td>Conformationally invariant part - 553/894 atoms = 61.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible part - 341/894 atoms = 38.1%</td>
<td>Flexible part - 341/894 atoms = 38.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. ESCET result matrix. Vertical axis correspond to monomer A model, horizontal axis to monomer B. Grey areas represent residues whose positions in the two monomers varies between -2 and 2σ, red areas positive variations above 2σ and blue regions negative variants of more than -2σ.
### Table 4.6. Refinement statistics of QDE-1 ΔN P2₁ and C2 crystal forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>QDE-1 ΔN P2₁</th>
<th>QDE-1 ΔN C2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>20.0-2.30</td>
<td>20.0-3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections (working/test)</td>
<td>108414 / 5748</td>
<td>14947 / 773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Cell (a,b,c [Å]; β[°])</td>
<td>114.6, 124.0, 101.9; 108.9</td>
<td>101.0, 122.6, 114.7; 108.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;free&lt;/sub&gt;/ R&lt;sub&gt;ref&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>21.7 / 26.4</td>
<td>35.3 / 36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of atoms: Protein/water/ligands</td>
<td>15018 / 922 / 7</td>
<td>7520 / 0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rms Δ bond length (Å)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rms Δ bond angle (°)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean B-factor (Å&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;) protein/water/ligands</td>
<td>52.0 / 50.4 / 54.3</td>
<td>119.3 / 150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rms Δ backbone B-factor (Å&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * R<sub>free</sub> and * R<sub>ref</sub> are defined by $R = \Sigma_{hkl} |F_{obs}| - |F_{calc}| / \Sigma_{hkl} |F_{calc}|$, where $h,k,l$ are the indices of the reflections (used in refinement for $R_{free}$: 5%, not used in refinement, for $R_{ref}$). $F_{obs}$ and $F_{calc}$ are the structure factors, deduced from measured intensities and calculated from the model, respectively.
4.6. Structural description and analysis

The QDE-1 ΔN refined model reveals a molecular dimer in the asymmetric unit, with two slightly misaligned non-crystallographic two-fold axes running through the dimer interface, one relating the top third of each monomeric subunit, whilst the second axis relates the lower two thirds. Throughout the following discussions, the standard orientation for the dimeric molecule places these axes in the plane of the page with subunit A represented to the left and subunit B to the right of the axes, as shown in the first panel of Fig. 4.6.A. The residues of each monomer form 41 α-helices and 25 β-strands, creating a four domain protein with a fold previously undescribed for RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (Fig. 4.6.A). The N-terminal residues (390-646) form a mixed α/β “slab” domain leading into a domain (residues 647-807; 914-1162) that includes the proposed three catalytic aspartic acid residues (D1007, D1009, D1011) (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002) and is hence referred to as “catalytic”. The “neck” domain, that lies close to the molecular two-fold axis, comprises three long α-helices (residues 808-836; 887-913; 1162-1195) and connects the catalytic domain to the ‘head’ (residues 837-888; 1196-1372), which is mainly α-helical (Fig. 4.6.A).

The most important tertiary structure feature of the catalytic domain are two six-stranded β barrel motifs (residues 680-782 and 916-1018) (Fig. 4.7.A) that display the typical double-psi topology, with the parallel β strands forming two psi structures (Fig. 4.7.B), as described for different protein superfamilies (Castillo et al., 1999). The three aspartates reside in a loop in double-psi β barrel 2 (DPBB2, residues 916-1018) facing the interface of the two β barrels (DPBB). A peak in the
SIGMAA |Fo|-|Fc| difference Fourier maps at sigma levels of 4.5 has been assigned as a Mg$^{2+}$ ion, coordinated by these aspartates. DPBB1 contributes to the active site cleft with a set of positively charged residues, namely Q736, K743 and K767, establishing a network of hydrogen bonds with water molecules, linking the two DPBB sub-domains. The catalytic domain also contains a separate ‘flap’ sub-domain (residues 1025-1161), constituted mainly by $\alpha$-helices, peripheral to the active site cleft.

Most of the inter-monomer contacts responsible for dimerization are established between the heads (3458 Å$^2$ out of the total contact area of 4461 Å$^2$). To exclude the possibility that dimerization is a crystallographic artefact, QDE-1 ΔN protein in solution was analysed by gel filtration and sedimentation assays (see Appendix II.4). The determined molecular mass in both essays was ~230kDa, indicating that it behaves as a dimer in solution (predicted molecular mass for monomeric QDE-1 ΔN is ~120kDa).
Figure 4.6. The structure of QDE-1 ΔN

A. Cartoon representation of the secondary structure elements in QDE-1 ΔN P21 homodimer - subunit A coloured according to domains: slab – dark blue (residues 390-646), catalytic - dark purple (residues 647-807 and 914-1161), neck – pink (residues 808-836; 817-913; 1162-1195), head - red (residues 837-888 and 1196-1372); subunit B coloured grey. The non-crystallographic two-fold is represented as a grey line. Two magnesium ions are shown as green spheres. Disordered regions corresponding to 10 residues at the N-terminus, 30 residues at the C-terminal, 45 residues in monomer A and 48 in monomer B belonging to 4 loops (A: residues 590-603, 628-640, 1241-1251, 1271-1281; B: 591-606, 627-640, 1241-1251, 1271-1281) could not be traced in the electron density maps. Top left panel: front view, with two-fold axis aligned on the plane of the page. Top right panel: top view (rotated 90° clockwise in x from front view.) Bottom left panel: bottom view (rotated 90° counterclockwise in x from front view)
B. Diagram representation of the topology of QDE-1 ΔN subunit A, coloured as in 4.6.A. Shadowed areas outline each domain boundaries and are coloured in a similar scheme. The catalytic sub-domains DPBB1, DPBB2 and flap are outlined by shadowed boxes. The non-crystallographic 2-fold is represented as in 4.6.A. Disordered loops are represented by dashes lines. Secondary structural elements are numbered white and residues that delimit each sub-domain in black.
Figure 4.7. QDE-1 ΔN active site cleft

A. Cartoon representation of QDE-1 ΔN homodimer subunit A active site cleft. The two double-psi β-barrels that form the active cleft, DPBB1 (residues 680-782) and DPBB2 (residues 916-1018) are labelled. Catalytic aspartates in DPBB2 and positively charged residues from DPBB1 are shown in ball-and-stick representation (C – dark purple, O – red, N – blue); Mg$^{2+}$ is shown as a green sphere.

B. Zoomed view of active site loop and surrounding residues, showing details of a chain of residues that are likely to be important for polymerisation. Catalytic aspartates and positively charged residues surrounding the active site and Mg$^{2+}$ ions represented as in A.
Analysis of the molecular surface of the dimer allowed identification of three distinct sets of cavities or tunnels: (i) between the top, slab and active cleft region of the catalytic domains (Fig. 4.8.A); (ii) a negatively charged small cavity defined by the two DPBBs at the bottom of each subunit (Fig. 4.8.A) and tunnel (iii) defined by the neck and head domains linking the active site clefts of the two subunits. Due to its highly positive charge, dimensions and position, we proposed that tunnel (i) is the RNA product groove. Since tunnel (ii) establishes a contact from the solvent to the active loop we propose that it is the NTP entry tunnel. The relevance and possible function of tunnel (iii) are yet to be identified.

In the structures determined, three different conformations of the monomeric unit are observed. In the $P_{21}$ dimer, the different conformations of subunit A and subunit B alter the volume and shape of the proposed RNA groove. In A, the head and slab domains close down on the active cleft, giving rise to a closed groove. The outward rotation of 11.2° of the head domain in subunit B (head B) relative to its position in A (head A) and a small outward rotation of the slab (2.0°) render the cavity more opened (Fig. 4.9.A). In the two-fold symmetric dimer observed in the $C_2$ crystal form, both subunits assume an intermediate closed conformation, closer to that of subunit A in the $P_{21}$ crystal form. In this case, rotation of the head domain is less sharp – only 3.8° from the position of head A, compared to 8.3° relative to head B. The slab domain, however, seems to undergo more significant changes in orientation in this crystal form: it is displaced in an upward, slightly outward direction by 3.6° relative to the position of slab A and 2.3° relative to slab B (Fig. 4.9.B).
Figure 4.8. Surface charge representation of QDE-1 ΔN

Surface charge representation of QDE-1 ΔN subunit A (subunit B in grey). Blue arrow: tunnel (i), proposed RNA product groove; red arrow: tunnel (ii), proposed NTP tunnel; purple arrow: tunnel (iii) across active sites in dimer. The magnesium ions are shown as green spheres. Top panel: homodimer view as in Fig. 4.6.A (left top panel). Bottom panel: slice through top panel representation showing the three sets of cavities and tunnels.
Figure 4.9. Superposition of observed monomeric conformations of QDE-1 AN structure

Cartoon representation of superimposed subunit A and B in P2₁ crystal form and each monomeric subunit in C2 crystal form. Subunits are coloured according to domain definition: subunit A as in Fig. 4.6.A.; subunit B: slab – cyan, catalytic - magenta, neck – wheat, head - yellow; C2 subunit (semi-transparent): slab – marine, catalytic – violet, neck – light salmon, head – orange. The direction of the movement of the slab and head domains is indicated by grey arrows and the non-crystallographic two-fold along the dimer interface is represented as in Fig. 4.6.A. Magnesium is represented as a green sphere.
4.7. Bioinformatics analysis

In order to better understand the structure and function of QDE-1, a comparative analysis of its sequence and structure is of value. Therefore, searches for sequence homologues in other organisms and structural equivalents in known protein structures was carried out.

4.7.1. Sequence analysis

As mentioned in chapter 1, RNA-dependent RNA polymerases have been recognised in different organisms (Cogoni and Macino, 1999; Sijen et al., 2001; Makeyev and Bamford, 2002; Schramke et al., 2005). To identify regions in QDE-1 that are invariant in other cellular RdRPs, amino acid sequences of 30 cRdRPs from: yeast (Schizosaccharomyces pombe), fungi from the groups of Ascomycota (Neurospora crassa, Gibberella zeae) and Basidiomycota (Cryptococcus neoformans), slime molds (Dictyostelium discoideum), dicot plants (Arabidopsis thaliana, Solanum tuberosum, Nicotiana tabacum), monocot plants (Oryza sativa), protozoa (Entamoeba histolytica) and nematodes (Caenorhabditis elegans) were aligned using standard settings of ClustalW algorithm (Thompson et al., 1994). This alignment allowed us to identify seven sequence motifs (Fig. 4.10.A), clustered around the invariant proposed catalytic aspartates. All these sequence motifs map onto the DPBBs in QDE-1, apart from motif 7, which corresponds to α-helices 29 and 30 at the interface of DPBB2 (Fig. 4.10.B). Sequence motifs 1 to 3 belong to the structural motif DPBB1 whilst motifs 4 to 6 form most of DPBB2. These results indicate that, despite their overall low sequence homology, all cell-encoded RdRPs might share a structurally equivalent active site cleft.
Figure 4.10. Conserved sequence motifs in cellular RdRPs

A. Multiple sequence alignment of a representative subset of cell-encoded RdRPs. Amino acid sequences of 30 cRdRPs were aligned using standard settings of ClustalW algorithm: Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Spo; Neurospora crassa, Ncr; Gibberella zeae, Gze; Cryptococcus neoformans, Cne; Dictyostelium discoideum, Ddi; Arabidopsis thaliana, Ath; Solanum Tuberosum, Stu; Nicotiana tabacum, Ntu; Oryza sativa, Osa; Entamoeba histolytica, Ehi; Caenorhabditis elegans, Cel. Local alignment was improved by manual editing. N. crassa QDE-1 protein sequence (accession number EAA29811) is shown on the top. Two additional N. crassa cRdRP genes - SAD-I (accession number AAK31733) and RdRP-3 (accession number EAA34169) are included. Invariant residues are shaded in black; residues with ≥80% conservation are shaded in grey. Conserved sequence motifs comprising invariant residues are outlined: motif 1 - red; motif 2 - orange; motif 3 - dark yellow; motif 4 - dark purple; motif 5 - violet; motif 6 - light pink; motif 7 - blue. QDE-1 secondary structure elements are shown on top, coloured according to domain definition (slab - blue; catalytic - deep purple; neck - pink; head - red). The identified double psi-β barrels DPBB1 and DPBB2 are outlined by deep purple boxes. The flap sub-domain and the potential “bridge helices” are also represented by boxes, coloured light purple and grey, respectively.
B. Cartoon representation of the secondary structure elements in QDE-1 ΔN P21 homodimer with the sequence conserved motifs across other cellular RdRPs highlighted: motif 1 – red; motif 2 – orange; motif 3 – dark yellow; motif 4 – purple; motif 5 – dark pink; motif 6 – bright pink; motif 7 – blue. The non-crystallographic two-fold is represented as a grey line. Two magnesium ions are shown as green spheres. Top panel: front view, with two-fold axis aligned on the plane of the page. Bottom left panel: bottom view (rotated 90° counter-clockwise in x from front view). Bottom right panel: QDE-1 ΔN homodimer subunit A active site cleft. In this panel, the invariant residues are shown as ball-and-stick representation (C – according to motif colour; N – blue; O – red).
4.7.2. Structural analysis

Unlike viral RdRPs, QDE-1 ΔN does not exhibit the canonical polymerase right-hand signature with palm-fingers-thumb domains (Ollis et al., 1985). Furthermore, initial searches of the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Berman et al., 2000) using Dali (Holm and Sander, 1998) and SSM (Krissinel and Henrick, 2004) revealed no obvious structural homologues, even when using the separate domains and structural motifs. A different approach to identify possible structural equivalences was therefore necessary. The program ASSAM (Artymiuk et al., 1994) can recognise spatial arrangements of side chains in active sites by comparing them to a database of protein structures. A search for similarities with the proposed three catalytic aspartates and coordinating magnesium ion, within a 6Å sphere, retrieved several metal binding sites. Amongst the best matches were the various available structures for the two large subunits of RNA polymerase II from yeast (Rbp1 and Rbp2) (Cramer et al., 2001) and bacteria (β' and β) (Vassylyev et al., 2002). These DNA-dependent RNA polymerases also have their catalytic aspartates similarly spaced on alternating residues (DxDxD sequence motif). ASSAM also provided a rotation matrix and translation vector to superimpose both the yeast and bacterial DdRP subunits onto to our QDE-1 ΔN model. Unless otherwise stated, the coordinates of QDE-1 ΔN subunit A were used in these structural analysis.
A. Comparison with DNA-dependent RNA polymerases

Optimization of the superposition of these polymerases with QDE-1 ΔN using SHP (Stuart et al., 1979) revealed that the double-psi β-barrel domains in QDE-1 ΔN are structurally related with the DPBBs in the bacterial RNApolII β' and β subunits and yeast equivalents Rbp1 and Rbp2, respectively (Fig. 4.11.A). Surprisingly, whilst the DdRPs have a double-psi β barrel domain in each subunit, with one contributing to the active site with the catalytic aspartates and the other with several positively charged residues (Cramer et al., 2001; Gnatt et al., 2001; Vassylyev et al., 2002; Artsimovitch et al., 2004; Westover et al., 2004), QDE-1 ΔN has both domains on a single polypeptide chain. Optimized superposition based only on the DPBB domains reveals that 81 residues of DPBB2 in QDE-1 ΔN and the bacterial β' subunit DPBB are structurally equivalent, within an rms deviation in Cα positions of 2.2 Å. Yeast Rbp1 DPBB and QDE-1 ΔN DPBB2 have 85 residues with Cα positions within an rms deviation of 2.1 Å. The other β-barrel domain is not as strongly conserved, although 74 (bacterial) and 67 (yeast) residues are superimposable to DPBB1 of QDE-1 ΔN (rms deviation in Cα positions 3.0 & 3.1 Å, respectively). Furthermore, QDE-1 ΔN catalytic aspartates lie within 1.4 & 1.3 Å of the bacterial and yeast catalytic residues, respectively. A magnesium ion similarly coordinated by the three catalytic aspartates has also been identified in the DdRPs. A long helix (bridge helix) proposed to be relevant for nucleic acid – protein interactions during translocation of the RNA-DNA duplex in yeast Rbp2 (residues 810-846) (Cramer et al., 2001; Gnatt et al., 2001; Westover et al., 2004) is structurally equivalent to α-helices 27 and 28 in QDE-1 ΔN. The fact that the bacterial equivalent to the yeast
bridge helix also consists of two smaller helices (residues 1067-1081 and 1083-1093) supports the hypothesis that they serve a common functional role. However, a structure-based sequence alignment (Fig. 4.12) reveals that the sequence homology is very low, even within the closely structurally related DPBBs. Extended searches using other domains and structural motifs of QDE-1 ΔN, even when the smaller subunits of the RNA polymerase II complex were included, retrieved no further sequence, structural or functional motifs, showing how divergent the overall of QDE-1 ΔN and DdRPs are (Fig.4.11.B).

In recent years, structures of yeast RNApolIII elongation and initiation complexes have been solved (Armache et al., 2003; Cramer, 2004; Kettenberger et al., 2004) so a superposition of an RNApolIII - RNA-DNA duplex oligonucleotide complex with QDE-1 ΔN was also carried out. The position of DPBBs in both models was used, with rms deviations of the Cα positions similar to those observed for the superposition of the yeast apo enzyme. The RNA-DNA duplex maps onto tunnel (i) confirming that it corresponds to the RNA product groove (Fig. 4.13.A). Since two possible conformations for the RNA tunnel are observed in the P2₁ dimer, we also superimposed the RNA-DNA duplex onto the coordinates of subunit B. Some of the clashes observed between the head domain in subunit A and the RNA-DNA duplex are not present in subunit B opened conformation (Fig. 4.13.B). These changes are indicative of the conformational rearrangements that would be necessary upon RNA binding and during catalysis.

Overall, the DdRPs are much more elaborate than QDE-1 ΔN and, despite the fact that no significant structural homology, beyond the vicinity of the active site, is detectable, there may be some functional relationship at the level of protein domains.
The flexibility of the head domain could allow it to close down on the RNA duplex product, in a mechanism similar to the closing down on the RNA-DNA duplex of the clamp region in RNApolII (Cramer et al., 2001). By analogy to the function of the protrusion-lobe domains of RNApolII (Cramer et al., 2001), we can also propose that accommodation of the duplex and its stabilization is aided by the rearrangement of the slab domain.

The presence of a number of structurally equivalent residues within the sequence conserved motifs in cRdRPs reinforces the view that the mechanism of both types of enzyme is similar. Some of the strictly conserved residues in cRdRPs have structurally equivalents in DdRPs, namely R962 and P964 which occupy positions equivalent to R446 and P448 in yeast Rbp1, known to interact with the daughter strand in the RNA-DNA duplex. The superposition of the RNA-DNA duplex into the QDE-1 ΔN model indicates that this interaction would also be important in stabilizing the RNA duplex. Furthermore, the conserved positively charged residues on DPBB1 (namely Q736, K743 and K767) have structural equivalencies with residues in Rbp2 DPBB (K979, K987 and R1020, respectively) that are important for interaction with incoming NTPs and in stabilization of the active cleft (Cramer et al., 2001; Gnatt et al., 2001; Westover et al., 2004). Recent studies of the unique replication strategy of hepatitis A virus revealed, surprisingly, that a human DdRP, polymerase II (PolII), equivalent to yeast RNApolII, is likely to be involved in RNA replication (Lai, 2005), strengthen the hypothesis that these enzymes share a common reaction mechanism.
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Figure 4.11. Comparison of QDE-1 ΔN and multisubunit DdRPs

A. Stereo representation of the superposition of QDE-1 ΔN and yeast DdRP DPBBs. Structurally equivalent residues in QDE-1 ΔN are coloured dark purple, whilst non-equivalent residues are in light purple. Equivalent residues in yeast RNA-binding protein 1 (Rbp1) double-psi β-barrel are represented in light green and those in Rbp2 DPBB in dark green (non-equivalent residues are shown in grey). QDE-1 ΔN and yeast (D481, D483, D485) catalytic aspartates are shown in ball-and-stick representation, with carbon atoms coloured in yellow and green, respectively (C – green, O – red, N – blue).

B. Molecular surface representation of whole QDE-1 ΔN homodimer (top) and RNAPolII Rbp1 and Rbp2 complex (bottom), viewed as in A. QDE-1 ΔN subunits are coloured as in Fig. 4.9; RNAPolII clamp (salmon), protrusion (yellow), lobe (orange), wall (dark blue) and catalytic domains are coloured according to Cramer et al., 2001, with the remaining RNAPolII domains coloured grey. The DPBBs of both polymerases and magnesium ions are represented as in A.
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**QDE-1 RACE YEAST**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QDE-1</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBP1</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>1505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
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**RBP2**

```
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**DPBBl**

```
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</table>
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Figure 4.12. Structure based sequence alignment of DPBBs from QDE-1 ΔN and multisubunit DdRP

Alignment of QDE-1 ΔN (top sequence), bacterial (middle sequence, orange) and yeast (bottom sequence, green) polymerases is based on structurally equivalent residues, as determined by SHP. Residues structurally equivalent in all polymerases are shaded purple, green (light for Rbp1, dark for Rbp2) if equivalent in yeast DdRP and QDE1 ΔN and orange if only equivalent in QDE1 ΔN and bacterial DdRP. Invariant residues are shaded in red. Conserved sequence motifs identified in cRdRPs are represented as in Fig. 4.10, marked on over the QDE-1 sequence. QDE-1 secondary structure elements are shown on top, coloured according to domain definition (slab – blue; catalytic – deep purple; neck – pink; head – red). The identified double psi-β barrels DPBB1 and DPBB2 are outlined by dark purple boxes. The flap sub-domain and the potential “bridge helix” are also represented by boxes, coloured light purple and grey, respectively.
Figure 4.13. RNA-DNA duplex fitted onto open and closed conformations

A. Surface representation of the homodimer from monomer A (coloured by domain definition, as in Fig. 4.6.B) with superimposed RNA-DNA duplex from the yeast RNApolIII elongation complex.

B. Surface representation of the homodimer from monomer B (orientation equivalent to A, rotated by 180°), coloured according to domain definition, as in Fig. 4.6.B, with superimposed RNA-DNA duplex.

RNA duplex is shown as ball-and-stick representation (C – green, O – red, N – blue, P – purple) in both panels.
4.8. QDE-1 mechanism - hypothesis

Current understanding of silencing mechanisms suggest at least three possible functional roles for cell-encoded RdRPs (Sijen et al., 2001; Makeyev and Bamford, 2002; Baulcombe, 2004; Motamedi et al., 2004):

(i) conversion of aberrant RNA into dsRNA triggers in PTGS
(ii) primer-dependent amplification of RNAi triggers
(iii) role in chromatin silencing, still not fully understood.

Production of the dsRNA triggers would probably require initiation at the 3' end of an aberrant mRNA and processive RNA synthesis to generate a full-length copy that persists base-paired with the template strand. Conversely, reaction (ii) would require an internal recruitment of a cRdRP to its RNA targets. Despite not being fully comprehended, the RdRPs role in chromatin silencing is likely to involve recruitment of the polymerase to the nascent transcript, which can also only be achieved through internal initiation. The two possible modes of initiation have been observed in previous in vitro studies (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002).

The structural analysis of QDE-1 ΔN gives some hints to a possible polymerisation mechanism. Internal initiation without the need of a free 3' end could potentially be achieved within a relatively open conformation as seen in subunit B. In this case, a particular "opened" subunit could be loaded to a nascent RNA transcript for reaction to occur. Initiation at the 3' end of an RNA template as thought to be involved in production of dsRNA triggers from aberrant RNA (aRNA) would possibly be favoured by the closed conformation observed in subunit A. Dimerization would, therefore, allow a control of the flexibility and stability of the
heads, providing a way to regulate the initiation mode of the reaction. This proposed mechanism implies that only one QDE-1 subunit is catalytically active at any particular time, therefore priming the other site for binding by holding it in an open conformation. Indeed, the molecular architecture might provide steric constraints that favour the molecule working as a "two-stroke motor", with facilitated active site switching. The intermediate conformations observed in the C2 crystal form may represent a transition state or an inactive, dormant form of the polymerase dimer, which might be activated by rearrangements of the head and slab domains upon template binding and/or interaction with other proteins.

In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the cellular RNA-dependent RNA polymerase Rdpl is part of a complex – RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex RDRC – together with an helicase Hrr1 and a protein related to the poly(A) polymerases. This complex has been shown to be essential for heterochromatin silencing (Motamedi et al., 2004). The RDRC complex co-localizes in the RNA-induced transcriptional silencing (RITS) complex at noncoding centromeric RNAs and the complexes associate together in a manner dependent of Dcr1, the *S. pombe* DICER protein, and with Clr4, a histone methyltransferase. A possible explanation for these observations is that RITS acts as a "priming complex" for the dsRNA synthesis activity of RDRC (Motamedi et al., 2004). Other studies have shown that, in *S. pombe*, the RdRP activity is essential for heterochromatin silencing and recruitment of RITS components to the centromeric RNAs (Sugiyama et al., 2005).

In *N. crassa*, however, DNA methylation has been shown to be independent of the quelling components (Freitag et al., 2004). Nevertheless, interactions of QDE-1 with components of the RNA silencing pathway, namely the DICER and Argonaute
proteins might be relevant for the mechanism of QDE-1. Namely, a “priming” function of the silencing complexes could provide the 3' end that one of the subunits of the QDE-1 dimer binds to in an opened conformation, and uses as a template to produce dsRNA products. The interactions of QDE-1 with other members of the silencing pathway might be mediated by the N-terminal domain of the protein. Since this domain is not necessary for activity \textit{in vitro}, it is possible that its interactions with other RNA silencing proteins allow extra levels of regulation of the polymerase activity, and/or are involved in recruitment of the polymerase to particular cell compartments or signal molecules. In any case, the precise mechanism of regulation of the activity of QDE-1 and the pathways it is involved, together with full molecular and biochemical details of RNA silencing mechanisms are still only beginning to be understood and further experiments have to be carried out to test these hypotheses.
Chapter 5

Conclusions and future directions

5.1. Φ6pol and other viral RdRPs

The structural studies of Φ6pol described in this thesis provide a comprehensive view of the enzyme, giving a better understanding of template entry and specificity, divalent ion effects and the events leading to initiation of polymerization. Moreover, structural studies of complex Φ6pol-RNA-Mg$^{2+}$-GTP have demonstrated in crystallo Φ6pol polymerase activity, hence validating the conclusions drawn from these studies. A more complete and detailed mechanism for initiation can, therefore, be proposed. In the description of this model, the template and daughter nucleotides are numbered as defined by Butcher et al. (2001) and described in Chapter 1.

Butcher et al. (2001) proposed that the plough-like feature at the entrance of the template tunnel would facilitate RNA strand separation, feeding one strand directly into the positively charged template tunnel (see Chapter 1, Fig. 1.10.C). During transcription, selective attachment of the minus strand in the template tunnel then ensures that the correct positive RNA strand leaves the polymerase complex into the cytoplasm where it acts as mRNA. As the RNA template binds to the empty enzyme (Fig. 5.1.A), it is stabilized with interactions of positively charged residues lining the tunnel. Slight conformational rearrangements of these residues accompany the movement of the RNA template within the tunnel (Fig. 5.1.B) until its 3' cytidine
base fits snugly in the specificity pocket site S (Fig. 5.1.C). This pocket lies well beyond the catalytic site C, within the C-terminal sub-domain and specifically recognizes the preferred cytidine T1. The presence of an extra hydroxyl group in the ribose sugar rings of RNA nucleotides allows extra hydrogen bonds to be established between the template and the protein, stabilizing the bound RNA oligonucleotides within the tunnel, when compared to DNA. These interactions account, at a molecular level, for the known preference of 6pol for RNA templates. Viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases all exhibit a similarly formed template tunnel, more restricted than in other template dependent single subunit polymerases. Therefore, the observed interactions between 6pol and template that stabilize it within the tunnel are likely to be a general feature of vRdRPs. Picornaviruses polymerases, nevertheless, use a primer-dependent initiation mode and the need to accommodate a bulkier template might lead to a slightly different set of interactions being involved in template stabilisation.

As RNA migrates down the template tunnel, different NTPs bind within the substrate channel, presumably in rapid exchange. A set of arginine residues – R225, R268 and R270 – defines an “interrogation site I” and are proposed to prime for the correct NTP (Fig. 5.1.D). This is defined as the interrogation state, where interactions with the arginines in site I locks the triphosphate backbone and allows the NTP base to interrogate the template base positioned at the catalytic site C. As the NTP is directed towards site C, a range of interactions with the “interrogating arginines”, together with one of the conserved catalytical aspartates – D453 – and Y630 of the “initiation stabilizing platform P” loop allows reorientation of the nucleoside within the tunnel (Fig. 5.1.E-I). Finally, the nucleoside is tethered within site C, stabilized
by interactions of the priming arginines with the phosphate backbone and the O2' group in the ribose sugar with the catalytic D453. These interactions lock the GTP in a position that allows interactions with the bases of nucleotides in positions T2 and T3 (Fig. 5.1.J). This is a post-interrogation state, where the incoming NTP is positioned ready to establish base-pairing interactions with the template but the RNA template is still tethered in site S. The fact that all vRdRPs possess a positively lined fingertips sub-domain indicates that they might have a similar role in priming and directing the incoming NTPs and stabilizing the post-interrogation state in other viral polymerases.

The incoming GTP molecule (denoted henceforth as D2) in the post-interrogation state then rearranges to establish Watson-Crick base-pair interactions with T2, stabilized by base-stacking interactions between the base of D2 and the ring of Y630 (Fig. 5.1.K). When correct base-pairing interactions are established, a conformational change is then presumably triggered in the molecule that ultimately results in a displacement of the template away from the S pocket (Fig. 5.1.L). This hypothesis is strengthened by the fact that some regions of the thumb, fingers and C-terminal sub-domains (residues 37-100, 503-551 & 567-617 - thumb; 204-268 - fingers and fingertips; 618-646 - C-terminal) show a considerable degree of disorder in the post-interrogation state (see Chapter 2, Fig. 2.9). Once the second GTP (termed D1 as it will base-pair with T1) is directed towards the P site by interactions with the priming residues, a pre-initiation complex is formed: GTP molecule D1 base-pairs with RNA nucleotide T1 whilst D2 base-pairs with T2 (Fig. 5.1.M). These interactions are further stabilized by base-stacking interactions with Y630 from the "initiation platform" loop. A magnesium ion is found coordinating the phosphate
backbone of D2, whilst the D1 backbone is displaced away by hydrogen bonds with Y630 and D453 (Fig. 5.1M). Presumably, a second metal ion is transiently present, accompanying the second GTP molecule, although we could only detect weak density for this second metal ion. Alternatively, the second magnesium could subsequently bind to the complex between the phosphate moieties of both GTP molecules. The coordination of the phosphate backbone of D1 by this second ion places it within the correct distance and orientation for catalysis to occur, as described for the general “two metal ion” mechanism (see Chapter 1, Fig. 1.3). This conformational arrangement of the enzyme, template and substrate molecules corresponds to the initiation competent state (Fig. 5.1.N).

Once catalysis occurs and the bond between the β and α-phosphates of D2 is broken, pyrophosphate is formed and is transiently stabilized by the arginine patch at site I. The RNA template-daughter duplex is no longer stabilized by interactions with the arginine residues and, presumably, can only be further stabilized if it ratchets down by one nucleotide towards the C-terminal sub-domain. As described previously (Butcher et al., 2001), this sub-domain creates a physical barrier and has to be displaced in order to allow translocation of the dsRNA product during elongation (Fig. 5.1.O). Similarly, structural features equivalent to the C-terminal sub-domain in polymerases from Hepatitis C (HCV) and Bovine Viral Diarrhoea (BVDV) viruses are also proposed to be flexible enough to give way to the nascent dsRNA (Hong et al., 2001).

The conformational changes involved in the switch from initiation to elongation in these vrRdRPs are poorly understood. The switch to elongation can not be captured in the present Φ6pol crystallographic system by soaking experiments
since the C-terminal sub-domain is involved in crystallographic contacts which would be disrupted upon domain movement, hence distorting the crystal packing. Furthermore, structural studies of a Φ6pol mutant that preferentially initiates in a back-priming mode have provided evidence that those protruding structural features present in HCVpol, BVDVpol and Φ6pol are also relevant to primer-independent initiation. In Φ6pol, the primer-independent initiation form of the polymerase is stabilized by a discreet set of contacts of the C-terminal with other sub-domains, that also seem to control the switch from the initiation to elongation phase. Relatively modest changes in those contacts can have long range effects and result in a premature conformational switching that produces a structure with a preference for back-priming initiation mode. A similar mechanism is, therefore, likely to be relevant in HCVpol and BVDVpol. Moreover, these studies provide a structural explanation for the absence of such features in vRdRPs that utilise primer-dependent mechanisms.
Figure 5.1. Detailed mechanism of Φ6pol initiation

A. Φ6pol active cleft with interrogation (I) and catalytic (C) sites, S pocket and platform (P) identified. B. Intermediate conformation of the RNA template. C. RNA template tethered in the S pocket. D. ATP bound at the I site (Butcher et al., 2001). E-I. GTP migration through the substrate tunnel, "primed" and oriented by the arginine patch of site I, platform residue Y630 and catalytic D453. J. "post-interrogation" state. K. Proposed state with GTP (D2) base-pairing with T2. L. RNA template is displaced from the S pocket, ready for the binding of second GTP molecule. M. "pre-initiation" state. N. Initiation competent state (Butcher et al., 2001). O. Switch to elongation with dsRNA product coupled to the displacement of the C-terminal sub-domain.
Steps in the initiation mechanism structurally characterised, viewed from the NTP entry, are shown as cartoon representations, coloured according to sub-domain definition: palm – green, fingers – red, thumb – blue, fingertips – magenta, C-terminal – yellow. RNA template (green), ATP (orange), GTP (red), priming arginines R225, R269, R270, catalytic D453 and platform Y630 are represented by ball-and-sticks. If no structural is available for one of the proposed steps, the cartoon representation from Butcher et al. (2001) is used.
Chapter 5
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The structural basis for the widely known stimulatory effect of manganese ions in polymerisation (Ferrari et al., 1999; Alaoui-Lsmaili et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2000; Lampio et al., 2000; Makeyev and Bamford, 2000a; Yang et al., 2001; Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2002b; Ranjith-Kumar et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003; Laurila et al., 2005) however, still remains unclear. The fact that “manganese-free” Φ6pol structures showed no structural changes compared to the wild type protein seems to exclude its effect on overall structural stability. However, the structural studies of a Φ6pol mutant with a changed coordination affinity for manganese and its complexes with oligonucleotides and/or NTPs revealed an intrinsically more flexible structure indicating that the coordination of the ion can affect the protein structural stability. If so, the role of manganese might be to lock the Φ6pol in a more rigid structure that ensures efficient initiation, hence stimulating polymerisation. These effects might not have been noticed in the “manganese-free” structural studies since no co-crystallization or soaking experiments with template oligonucleotides and/or NTPs were carried out. Alternatively, the stimulatory effect of manganese could possibly be related to the early stages of the mechanism, namely the rearrangements involved from interrogation to initiation competent states. The hypothesis that manganese stimulation lowers the GTP dissociation constant from the de novo initiation complex, therefore providing additional stabilization, would provide a possible general mechanism for the observed effect of the ion in polymerisation. However, direct evidence of this effect has proved elusive both in Φ6pol and other RdRPs. It is also unclear whether manganese is involved in the initiation competent state, in the formation of the first bond or in both stages of the reaction. Furthermore, in the case of Φ6pol, HCVpol and BVDVpol that have C-terminal protruding sub-domains that
block the exit of the dsRNA product, it is also possible that the ion could be involved in the switch from initiation to elongation. Therefore, it would be interesting to measure binding constants for GTP in the initiation complex with RNA template for E491Q mutants and the WT Φ6pol to check the involvement of the different manganese binding sites. Determining the efficiency of polymerization using an RNA template that contains only two cytidines at the 3' end (5'-...CC-3') would be an indirect way to measure the productive GTP binding and the first round of nucleotidyl transfer. An alternative would be to measure the binding constant directly using a radioactively labelled non-hydrolysable GTP analogue. Clearly, further biochemical and structural studies of Φ6pol and other vRdRPs are necessary to provide a definite mechanism for the manganese stimulation of RNA polymerisation and these are only illustrations of possible experiments.
5.2. QDE-1 and cellular RdRPs

The structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase QDE-1 from *Neurospora crassa* is the first cellular RdRP solved to date, providing important insights into the architecture of these enzymes, its evolutionary relationship with other polymerases and its role in the RNA silencing mechanism. Surprisingly, the protein was found to be a dimer both in the crystals and in solution, in the conditions that allowed its polymerisation activity to be studied (Makeyev and Bamford, 2002). The observation that the subunits in the dimer have an "opened" and "closed" conformation gives some indication of the possible mechanism of polymerisation. We propose that QDE-1 might function as a "two-stroke motor", with only one QDE-1 subunit catalytically active at any particular time. Template binding in one subunit would cause it to close down on the template/product, therefore priming the other site for binding by holding it in an open conformation. The dimeric molecular architecture would hence provide the steric constraints that allow the switching between catalytic active sites.

Sequence analysis of other cellular RdRPs proposed to be involved in RNA silencing mechanisms revealed seven conserved motifs that map onto to the active cleft in QDE-1 (see Chapter 4, section 4.7.1). This indicates that, despite their weak sequence homology, all cellular RdRPs would share a similar active cleft architecture formed by two double-psi β-barrels (DPBB). It is reasonable to suppose that they would also have flexible domains that can clamp down on the template/product during polymerisation, a mechanism described for multisubunit DdRPs whose active clefts are also formed by DPBBs. This would imply the possibility that dimerization...
can occur in other, if not all, cellular RdRPs and that the "two-stroke motor" could be a general mechanism for the activity of cRdRPs.

A possible way to test these hypotheses in QDE-1 is to determine the structure of complexes with NTPs and/or RNA/DNA oligonucleotides. Several attempts to obtain structures of such complexes where carried out, unfortunately with little success. Although in some cases we were able to detect electron density features in the proposed RNA tunnel, these were too weak for an RNA model to be fitted. One way to improve the signal-to-noise ratio is to use RNA oligos with nucleotides labelled with heavy atoms, for example iodine or bromide. If data were collected at a wavelength that maximised the anomalous signal of the heavy atoms, not only could the binding of RNA be more clearly identified by stronger electron density features, but also its orientation within the tunnel could be determined if the RNA oligos were designed so to that the pattern of heavy atoms clearly defined the 3' end. Soaking experiments with iodinated RNA oligonucleotides were attempted but, as had happened with previous soaking experiments, the quality of the diffraction was so poor the data could not be processed. This, however, does not imply that this experiment could not be carried out successfully if more extensive studies are carried out to determine the optimal conditions of soaking, which clearly seem to vary with crystal size and age. Cross-linking the RNA template oligonucleotides with the protein would be an alternative way to determine the structure of a complex, providing the resulting protein was crystallisable. Furthermore, as more knowledge about the specific requirements for QDE-1 is gained by our collaborators at the University of Helsinki, more informed oligonucleotides can be designed in order to obtain a complex with more tightly bound RNA. Another strategy to provide a
clearer view of the mechanism of QDE-1 would be to design mutated versions of the protein where residues involved in dimer contacts are altered in a way predicted to disrupt dimerization. Biochemical and structural studies of these mutated proteins would provide a powerful way to address the "two-stroke" motor hypothesis and the biological relevance of dimerization. An alternative way to test the "two-stroke" mechanism hypothesis would be to produce a form of the protein where one of the catalytic aspartates is mutated. A mixture of mutant and WT QDE-1 protein (1:1 ratio) would presumably be an heterogeneous population with one third of dimers composed of two WT monomers, one third of mutant:mutant dimers and one third of mutant:WT dimers. If the two active sites in the dimer are independent, the presence of one catalytic active site would still allow the protein to polymerise RNA. Catalytic activity of the mixture would therefore be approximately half of those observed for an homogeneous WT:WT dimers population. Conversely, if, as we propose, the protein functions as a "two-stroke" motor, only the WT:WT dimers would exhibit significant catalytic activity and the observed catalysis levels would then be a quarter of that observed for a homogeneous population of WT dimers. Finally, structural studies of the full-length protein would expand the understanding of this cellular RdRP and possibly provide clues to the interactions that QDE-1 is involved in the RNA silencing pathways. Early attempts to crystallise the full-length protein have been carried out, with very small crystals found in some conditions of different crystallisation screens. These crystals were tested and did not diffract, probably due to their very small size, but they are good starting points for further structural studies.

Structural and biochemical studies of other cellular RdRPs are necessary to further elucidate the role of these enzymes in the RNA silencing mechanisms.
Moreover, recent studies have indicated that RdRPs and other silencing components might be involved in different pathways in different organisms – as for example the need for RdRP in *S. pombe* (Motamedi et al., 2004; Sugiyama et al., 2005) chromatin silencing but apparently not in *N. crassa* (Freitag et al., 2004). Further studies of the RNA silencing pathways in different organisms and the different interactions of the proteins and their complexes involved are necessary to test some of these hypotheses and allow a detailed understanding of this complex mechanism.
5.3. Evolutionary implications

Classification of cellular organisms and their evolutionary relationship has its roots in a Linnean morphology-based approach. The advent of genomic efforts and the wealth of genetic information generated, has moved the focus towards gene-based evolutionary relationships between organisms. This approach has proved to be effective in studies of systems that are reasonably closely related, particularly when applied to virus classification. However, when considering long evolutionary time spans, whilst structure and function can be preserved, sequence changes to a point where no recognisable similarity might be identified. Recently, Bamford, Burnett and Stuart (2002) proposed an alternative way to identify viral lineages based on the atomic structures of viral proteins that belong to the so-called virus “self”. The evolution of protein structure is slowed by functional constraints. Hence, comparison of atomic structures of particular proteins involved in vital cellular and/or viral functions provides a clearer view of the phylogeny of these proteins and can elucidate the intricate evolutionary relationships of cellular organisms as well as virus lineage. Indeed, the accumulating structural data suggest that any given protein fold can be achieved by very different amino acid sequences, as long as secondary structure elements and critical intramolecular contacts are preserved. From considerations of the template dependent polymerases, it is clear that similar tertiary structures are often formed by very dissimilar amino acid sequences. One possibility is that this is a result of convergent evolution, when evolutionarily unrelated proteins independently "invent" analogous, functionally competent folds. The three different folds described for template dependent nucleotidyl transferases – right-hand, Polβ and multisubunit DdRPs – have been considered examples of this hypothesis (Steitz,
1998). Alternatively, more substantial structural similarity without sequence homology signifies the likely divergence from a common ancestor [as an example, see (Benson et al., 1999)]. Since vRdRP and cRdRP have dissimilar overall folds, despite the conservation of carboxylate moieties at the active site, at least two distinct pathways have arrived at a functional RdRP enzyme. The demonstration of multiple solutions to the functional requirements of RNA-dependent RNA synthesis makes it less likely that structural similarity arises from convergent evolution.

The unexpected evolutionary link between cellular RNA-dependent RNA polymerases and multisubunit DNA-dependent DNA polymerases revealed by the structure of QDE-1 prompted us to analyse the evolution of all different template dependent nucleotidyl transferases solved to date based on their structures, with a particular emphasis on double-psi β-barrel (DPBB) containing enzymes and viral RdRPs. A modified version of the program SHP (Stuart et al., 1979) was used to superimpose the coordinates of each vRdRP solved to date, QDE-1 DPBB1 and DPBB2 and the yeast and bacterial equivalents, Polβ, HIV-1 reverse transcriptase, T7pol and the Klenow fragment from DNA polymerase I. Each polymerase was systematically superimposed with all other enzymes and the respective evolutionary distances were calculated based on the sum of all probabilities of equivalent residues as calculated by SHP (Stuart et al., 1979) and the average number of protein residues (see legend to Fig. 5.2). An evolutionary tree was then built that reflects the different relationships of all the polymerases compared in this analysis using the PHYLIP package (Felsenstein, 1989) (Fig. 5.2).
Figure 5.2. Structure based phylogenetic tree of template dependent polymerases

Cellular (light blue shade) and viral (pale orange shade) polymerases phylogenetic relationships based on structural comparisons. Viral polymerases are shaded also according to virus type: (+)ssRNA virus - light orange; dsRNA virus - orange; retrovirus - pale red. RdRPs are labelled red, DdRPs lilac, DdDPs dark pink and RdDPs orange. Branches are coloured according to structural fold: green - right hand (dark - cellular; light - viral), Polβ - blue; DPBB-containing fold - dark magenta. RNA silencing cellular RdRPs subset is shaded blue. We do not imply that all polymerases originated from a common ancestor. To indicate this, the root of the tree is shaded grey. The tree is calculated by performing a gap-penalty-weighted superposition using a modified version of SHP (Stuart et al., 1979). This procedure maximises the total sum of probabilities of equivalence between pairs of residues for the proteins being analysed. The summed probability is then converted into an estimate of evolutionary distance using the empirical expression $D = \log\left(\frac{P-2}{\langle N\rangle-2}\right)$, where $D$ is the evolutionary distance, $P$ is the sum of probabilities and $\langle N\rangle$ the mean number of residues in the two molecules (Stuart et al., unpublished). A full matrix of evolutionary distances was then calculated, using as input the coordinates of all analysed proteins or particular sub-domains when appropriate.
This matrix was then analysed using the PHYLIP package (Felsenstein, 1989) using the default parameters to yield the tree representation shown.

QDE1 DPBB1 – QDE-1 DPBB containing positively charged residues; QDE1 DPBB2 – QDE-1 DPBB containing catalytic aspartates; Yeast DPBB1 – Yeast RNApolIII DPBB with positively charged residues (from Rbp2); Yeast DPBB2 – Yeast RNApolIII DPBB containing catalytic aspartates (from Rbp1); Bact. DPBB1 – Bacterial β subunit DPBB with positively charged residues; Bact. DPBB2 – Bacterial β' subunit DPBB containing catalytic aspartates; Polβ – Rat DNA polymerase β; T7pol – bacteriophage T7 DdRP; KF – Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I; HIV1-RT – Human Immunodeficiency Virus type 1 (HIV-1) reverse transcriptase (RT); λ3 reo – reovirus RdRP; Φ6 - Φ6 bacteriophage RdRP; HCV – Hepatitis C Virus RdRP; BVDV – Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus RdRP; PV – poliovirus RdRP; RHDV – Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Virus RdRP; NV – Norwalk Virus RdRP; HRV – Human Rhinovirus RdRP; FMDV – Foot-and-mouth Disease Virus RdRP;
As expected, it is clear from our analysis that the right hand, Polβ and multísu ñit DdRP folds are essentially unrelated. Surprisingly, cellular polymerases T7pol and the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I seem to be more distant relatives than previously proposed, although clearly evolved from the same ancestor. Furthermore, the close evolutionary link between dsRNA cystoviral ð6pol and RdRPs from (+)ssRNA flavivirus HCV and BVDV strengthens the hypothesis that these viral families have an evolutionary relationship. The fact that RdRPs from calici- and picornaviruses seem to be more closely related with each other than with other vRdRPs is somewhat surprising, as their polymerases were previously thought to be closely related to Cystoviridae and Flaviviridae RdRPs. More detailed structures of the poliovirus and recent determination of other structures of Picorna- and Caliciviridae polymerases is probably the reason why these relationships emerge only now.

A number of models have been proposed for the evolution of dsRNA and (+)ssRNA viruses, based on sequence and structural analysis of their proteins, particularly RdRPs (Bruenn, 1991; Koonin, 1992; Makeyev and Grimes, 2004). Sequence alignment of the C-terminal 200 residues of RdRPs from several 43 (+)ssRNA viruses and seven dsRNA viruses allowed identification of different clusters of related virus families. This led to the proposed hypothesis that ssRNA viruses, apart from picornavirus, might have originated from the dsRNA group. Furthermore, it was suggested that the separation between viruses infecting prokaryotes and those infecting eukaryotes arose prior to the emergence of dsRNA viruses (Bruenn, 1991). An alternative view (Koonin, 1992), also based on sequence comparisons, suggested occasional emergence of dsRNA families from different
(+ssRNA. This controversy suggests that viral classification and lineage identification based solely on sequence comparisons, particularly for distantly related family virus, does not provide enough unambiguous information to derive robust phylogenetic relationships. More recently, an alternative approach has been proposed based on structural comparisons (Makeyev and Grimes, 2004). In this model, both (+)ssRNA and dsRNA viruses are related to an "RNA protovirus" that is envisioned as a vesicle containing RdRP and a dsRNA genome. Most dsRNA viruses would have emerged from the "protovirus", preserving the dsRNA configuration of the genome and substituting the lipid vesicle by the proteinaceous core that serves as a compartment for RNA synthesis. Conversely, most (+)ssRNA viruses inherited the membrane-associated RNA synthesis, which may proceed via dsRNA intermediates (Makeyev and Grimes, 2004).

Our structure-based analysis indicates that all viral polymerases whose structures are known have emerged from a common ancestor (Fig. 5.2). Furthermore, dsRNA Cystoviridae and (+)ssRNA Flaviviridae are closely related, as indicated by the structural similarity of their RdRPs (Fig. 5.2). The RdRPs from (+)ssRNA Picorna- and Caliciviridae are closely related and have emerged from a somewhat separate path in relation to (+)ssRNA Flaviviridae. Strikingly, the dsRNA reovirus and Φ6 polymerases are not particularly related, indicating that, based on the polymerase structure, Φ6 is no more closer to reovirus than it is to the Flaviviridae viruses. These results seem to be in line with the "RNA protovirus" model, from which both dsRNA and (+)ssRNA viruses would have evolved. Divergent pathways would then have originated the different viral families, with the dsRNA Cystoviridae
and (+)ssRNA Flaviviridae being closer to that ancestral viral form, whilst (+)ssRNA Picorna- and Caliciviridae viral families would have emerged later.

As structures of vRdRPs from other viral families become available, together with attempts to obtain complexes of these enzymes at several stages in reaction, the mechanism of polymerisation and the specific regulation and control mechanisms different family virus adopted are expected to be further elucidated. In particular, great interest surrounds the determination of the structure of a viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from a (-)ssRNA virus, such as influenza virus, that have so far remained elusive. It will most certainly shed new light into the evolution of vRdRPs and viral families, allowing a broader understanding of polymerisation and viral phylogeny.

Multisubunit cellular DdRPs and QDE-1 are apparently unrelated to the other folds and they must have arisen separately far back in evolutionary history. Previous sequence analysis studies (Iyer et al., 2003) which suggested an evolutionary link between DdRPs and cellular RdRPs, recognised the DPBB containing the DxDxD conserved motif in cellular RdRPs. QDE-1 structural studies, identifying a complete active site cleft formed by two β-barrels equivalent to those described in DdRPs on a single polypeptide chain (DPBB1 and DPBB2), indicate a much stronger link, as shown in our structure based evolutionary analysis (Fig. 5.2). Moreover, the two DPBBS in QDE-1 are structurally related and would have originated from a common ancestral, catalytically active DPBB (Fig. 5.2). It is likely that gene duplication would have generated the two DPBBS, with one (DPBB2) retaining the catalytic aspartates and the other (DPBB1) acquiring several positively charged residues and more elaborate inserts. Furthermore, the metal ion coordination
pattern by three neighbouring residues is also conserved between the multisubunit DdRPs and QDE-1. In these enzymes, all three catalytic aspartates are present in the same motif - DxDxD - and coordinate the magnesium ion both in the apo form of the enzyme as well as during the reaction (Cramer et al., 2001; Gnatt et al., 2001; Armache et al., 2003; Artsimovitch et al., 2004; Westover et al., 2004; Armache et al., 2005). The other RNA polymerase folds are characterised by having their two catalytic aspartates within different conserved motifs - A and C - and a third non-catalytic aspartate in motif C that stabilizes the initiation complex (Delarue et al., 1990; Steitz, 1998). This suggests that the DxDxD motif and subsequent mode of metal coordination are a signature of the multisubunit DdRP fold, possibly related to the need for tight coordination to guarantee correct stabilization of the template and complexes formed during polymerization. These observations strongly suggest that cellular RdRPs and multisubunit DdRPs share a common ancestor and that QDE-1 and related cRdRPs are closer to that ancestor than RNApolIII-like polymerases. An evolutionary path for RNA polymerases evolution can therefore be proposed (Fig. 5.3).
Figure 5.3. Model for the evolution of cellular RdRPs and multisubunit DdRPs.
In the original all RNA world, RNA would have to replicate itself, until the advent of an all RNA ribosome and subsequent translation of primordial proteins. It is likely that one of the earliest proteins was a primeval RNA-dependent RNA polymerase composed of one catalytic copy of an ancestral form of the DPBB on a single chain. Gene duplication would then allow a more elaborate and possibly more effective ancestral RNA polymerase to evolve from this protein by differentiation of the two DPBBs, namely by substitution of the catalytic aspartates by the basic residues important for template stabilization in one of the domains. This would provide an enzyme capable of efficiently replicating RNA – a significant step in the complexity development of the RNA world. QDE-1 like enzymes would have evolved directly from this polymerase. The ability of this enzyme to also replicate DNA and transcribe RNA from DNA, albeit poorly initially, would have been the key to allow the switch to the DNA world. The advent of DNA would presumably result in more complex replicating machines such as multisubunit DNA-dependent RNA polymerases. More precise regulation and proof-reading mechanisms were necessary and different functions were separated into different subunits, with each DPBB being incorporated into different chains and other motifs being acquired. The need for further specificity as the complexity of polymerisation paths increased resulted in the addition of extra motifs and other regulating subunits to the machinery, giving rise to the elaborate multisubunit complexes seen nowadays.

Separately, the "RNA protovirus" would have evolved a different fold to catalyse RNA-dependent RNA polymerisation. It can be speculated that the cellular "right hand" fold polymerases observed nowadays could have been a result of viruses infecting early primitive biological systems that already possessed a
polymerase containing the DPBB architecture and recruited the protovirus polymerase to other functions in the cell.

The evolutionary link between DNA-dependent RNA polymerases and QDE-1, an RdRP involved in RNA silencing mechanisms with homologues in several organisms, from plants to C. elegans, also suggests that there are evolutionary links between the primordial RNA mechanism described above and current RNA silencing pathways. Once DNA replaced RNA as the molecule of genetic information storage, it is likely that RNA polymerisation mechanisms became less relevant to the core replication of the cell. However, some of its components were recruited for different pathways. Thus, a plausible hypothesis is that at least part of the RNA silencing machinery in some organisms is reminiscent of a complex set of reactions involving RNA polymerization and translation, together with several control mechanisms that assured the correct transmission of RNA genomic information in an all RNA world. As further studies of the RNA silencing mechanisms become available and understanding of its intricate pathways and the proteins involved increases, it should become clearer how RNAi might have evolved and what primordial pathways have been recruited for new functions in a DNA world.
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Abbreviations

(-)ssRNA – Negative single-stranded RNA
(+ ) ssRNA – Positive single-stranded RNA
(d)NTP – Deoxy-nucleoside triphosphate
[32P] – Phosphorous isotope 32
°C – Celsius
A – Adenosine
Å – Ångstrom
a.u. – Asymmetric unit
A280 – Absorbance at 280nm
aa – Amino acid
Ago – Argonaute protein
aRNA – Aberrant RNA
BMV – Brmo mosaic virus
bp – Base pair
BTV – Blue-tongue Virus
BVDV – Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus
BVDVpol – Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus polymerase
C – Cytosine
C site – Catalytic site in q>6pol
CCP4 – Collaborative Computing Project 4
Ci/mmol – Cintillations per millimol
cRdRP – Cell-encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerase
C-terminal – Carboxyl terminal
CTP – Cytosine triphosphate
DCL-1 – Dicer-like protein 1 from Neurospora crassa
DCL-2 – Dicer-like protein 2 from Neurospora crassa
Dcr1 – Dicer protein from Saccharomyces pombe
ddTTP – Dideoxy-cytosine triphosphate
DdDP – DNA-dependent DNA polymerase
DdRP – DNA-dependent RNA polymerase
Dn – Daughter strand nucleotide n, where n corresponds to the position of the template nucleotide that is base pairs with.
DNA – Deoxyribonucleic acid
DPBB – Double-psi β-barrel
dsRNA – Double-stranded RNA
DTT – Dithiothreitol
E491Q – Φ6pol protein with E491 residue mutated to Q
EDTA – Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
ESRF – European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France
f – Real component of the anomalous scattering factor
f* – Complex component of the anomalous scattering factor
FMDV – Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus
FMDVpol – Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus polymerase
g – Unit of gravitational force
G – Guanosine
Abbreviations

GTP – Guanidyl triphosphate
HCV – Hepatitis C Virus
HCVpol – Hepatitis C Virus polymerase
His-tag – Histidine tag
HIV-1 – Human Immunodeficiency virus type 1
HRV – Human Rhinovirus
HRVpol – Human Rhinovirus polymerase
I site – NTP interrogation site in Φ6pol
IPTG – Iso-propyl β-D-thio galactopyranoside
K – Kelvin
kDa – kiloDalton
KeV – Kilo electron Volts
KF – Klenow Fragment of DNA polymerase I
L – Large segment of genomic dsRNA of bacteriophage Φ6
l* – Positive sense strand of large segment of genomic dsRNA of bacteriophage Φ6
LB – Luria-Bertani medium
M – Medium segment of genomic dsRNA of bacteriophage Φ6
m* – Positive sense strand of medium segment of genomic dsRNA of bacteriophage Φ6
MAD – Multiple-wavelength Anomalous Dispersion
MDa – megaDalton
mg – milligrams
MIR – Molecular Isomorphous Replacement
miRNA – microRNAs
ml – millilitre
mM – millimolar
MPa – milipascal
mRNA – Messenger RNA
NC – Nucleocapsid
NCS – Non-crystallographic symmetry
nl – Nanoliter
nm – Nanometers
NruI, NsiI, Ndel, PstI, HindIII, BstII, Pmel – Restriction enzymes
NS2 – Non-structural protein from BTV
NSP2 – Non-structural protein from rotavirus
nt – Nucleotide
N-terminal – Amino terminal
NTP – Nucleoside triphosphate
NV – Norwalk Virus
NVpol – Norwalk Virus polymerase
ODx – Optical density at x nm
OM – Outer membrane
OPPF – Oxford Protein Production Facility
P site – “Initiation stabilising platform” in Φ6pol
P1 – Major capsid protein from bacteriophage Φ6
P2 – Bacteriophage Φ6 polymerase
P4 – ATP-dependent helicase from bacteriophage Φ6
PAZ – PIWI/Argonaute/Zwile domain
PC – Polymerase Complex
PCR – Polymerase Chain Reaction
PEG – Polyethylene glycol
pEM55, pEM41, pYES2/CT, pEM69, INVSc1, pLM659 – Plasmids used in the production of QDE-1 ΔN
pEMG2, pRT2, pEM33, pNL18, pSVe4, pNL9 – Plasmids used in the production of Φ6pol
PG – Peptidoglycan
PM – Plasmatic membrane
Polβ – DNA polymerase β
PPI – Inorganic pyrophosphate
PPP-G-P-G – guanylyl(3'-5')-guanosine-5'-triphosphate
PTGS – Post-transcriptional gene silencing
PV – Poliovirus
PVpol – Poliovirus polymerase
qde-1 – Quelling defective gene 1 from Neurospora crassa
QDE-1 – Quelling defective protein 1 – RDRP from Neurospora crassa
QDE-1 ΔN – QDE-1 truncated protein (1-376 aa deleted)
qde-2 – Quelling defective gene 2 from Neurospora crassa
qde-3 – Quelling defective gene 3 from Neurospora crassa
QPβ – Coliphage QPβ
RBD – RNA binding domain
RdDP – RNA-dependent DNA polymerase
RDRC – RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex
RdRP – RNA-dependent RNA polymerase
RecQ – ATP-dependent DNA helicase
RHDV – Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Virus
RHDVpol – Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Virus polymerase
RISC – RNA-induced silencing complex
RITS – RNA-induced transcriptional silencing
rmsd – Root mean square deviation
RNA – Ribonucleic acid
RNAi – RNA interference
RNAPolII – RNA polymerase II
RNase – Ribonuclease
rNTP – Ribonucleoside triphosphate
rpm – Rotations per minute
RT – Reverse Transcriptase
S – Small segment of genomic dsRNA of bacteriophage Φ6
S pocket – Specificity site pocket in Φ6pol
s+ – Positive sense strand of small segment of genomic dsRNA of bacteriophage Φ6
SAD – Single-wavelength Anomalous Dispersion
SB – Sodium boric acid electrophoresis buffer
SDS-PAGE – Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
SeMet – Selenomethionine
SG – Φ6pol protein with 629QYWK632 residues mutated to SG
siRNA – small interfering RNAs
SRS – Synchrotron Radiation Source, Daresbury, UK
ssRNA – Single-stranded RNA
T – Thymidine
T7pol – Bacteriophage T7 polymerase
TGS – Transcriptional gene silencing
Tn – Template strand nucleotide n, where n corresponds to the position of the nucleotide, starting from the 3' end
U – Uracil
UTP – Uracil triphosphate
vRdRP – viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase
WT – Wild type
ε_{280} – Extinction coefficient at 280nm
λ3pol – Reovirus polymerase
μl – microlitre
σNS – Non-structural protein from orthoreovirus
Φ6 – Bacteriophage Φ6
Φ6pol – Bacteriophage Φ6 polymerase
Φ8 – Bacteriophage Φ8
Φ12 - Bacteriophage Φ12
Φ13 – Bacteriophage Φ13