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The “Mera” lahar deposit in the upper Amazon basin: transformation of a late Pleistocene collapse at Huisla volcano, central Ecuador

Abstract:

The Sub-Andean zone east of the Cordillera Real, Ecuador and out to the Amazon basin’s western margin has been the depository of voluminous lahars related to volcanic activity in the Andean highlands. These lahars arrived to the Sub-Andean zone via gravitational transport through narrow river canyons and emplaced volumes surpassing several cubic kilometers over robust inundation zones. This paper discusses the origin, flow route, depositional zone, terrace formation and geomorphic significance of the most important lahar deposit yet mapped in this region, that of the Mera lahar, which likely formed from a late Pleistocene collapse of Huisla volcano, followed by impounding by temporary dams behind drainage-blocking debris avalanche deposits (DAD), then subsequent rupture of the blockage. The actual deposit of the Mera lahar has a thickness between 30 to 70 meters, is mainly comprised of DAD breccia, is matrix-supported (c 70%), of reddish gray color and is well-consolidated. Based on geochemical and petrographic similarities, Huisla volcano’s DAD is the best candidate for the lahar’s source. Huisla volcano is located some 90 km up valley of the bulk of Mera lahar’s mapped deposit. Clasts of Mera lahar rocks and Huisla’s DAD breccias have 57-61 wt% SiO₂, corresponding to andesites of the calc-alkaline series with mean values of 1-1.5 wt% for K₂O. The mapped Mera lahar deposit has an actual volume estimated in 1.2 km³ compared to its original estimated volume of 5.4 km³. Cross-sectional widths of 1.5 to 4.5 km span and extend laterally beyond the actual Pastaza river channel where the lahar’s deposition produced high-standing isolated surfaces that are notable local geomorphic features of the upper Sub-Andean zone. The flow modeling program
LaharFlow, employing the modern landscape as the topographic base, adequately simulates the flow route and inundation zones of the Mera lahar.
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1.1 Introduction:

1.1.1 - Lahars

Lahars are complex mixtures of volcanic debris and water. They can be classified as debris flows (usually >50-60% sediment volume) or hyperconcentrated flows (typically 20-60% sediment volume) (Vallance, 2000; Darnell et al, 2013, Vallance and Iverson, 2015). Primary lahars may occur infrequently at volcanoes in long repose, with lapses of hundreds or more years between eruptions.

Such is the case at Cotopaxi volcano whose huge primary lahars were associated with the destruction and melting of the perennial glacier by incandescent pyroclastic density currents related to large eruptions every 100 to 200 years (Mothes et al., 2004). On the other hand, secondary lahars at Tungurahua volcano, 80 km downriver from Cotopaxi have been a common occurrence since 1999 because of ongoing eruptive activity and subsequent remobilization of fresh volcaniclastics by precipitation on steep flanks (Mothes and Vallance, 2015). Other lahars, of a more secondary origin are those that formed from damming of water bodies by debris avalanche deposits and then subsequent rupture of these temporary dams, as is the well-documented case at Mount St. Helens in 1980 (Lipman and Mullinaux, 1981; Manville, 2015). A case similar to the one described herein is that at Colima volcano, Mexico when a DAD (debris avalanche deposit) blocked a river about 18.5 ka; the temporary dam overflowed and breached and the resultant lahar flowed 130 km to the Pacific Ocean (Capra and Macias, 2002). Owing to the different repose times and styles of volcanic activity, people living around or downstream of volcanoes may not anticipate or plan for dangers represented by lahars even though in the last decade lahars have killed thousands of people worldwide (Loughlin et al., 2015). Because lahars are saturated in fluids and form a dense matrix, rock fragments of varying sizes are transported and contribute to the degree of destructiveness of this phenomenon (Pierson et al., 2014).

1.1.2 - Potential Source Volcanoes for Lahars Emplaced in Ecuador’s Sub-Andean Zone

The Ecuadorian volcanic arc has two main cordilleras (Eastern and Western) and both of them are characterized by frequent explosive eruptions that have generated gravitational flows whose discharge and reach have been unpredictable (Hall et al., 2008). In Ecuador there are over 40 potentially active volcanoes, and many of these have yet to be adequately studied (Hall et al., 2008). At least seven volcanoes in central Ecuador that drain into the Pastaza river basin have experienced Pleistocene to Holocene-age sector collapses or important slides that transformed to lahars and flowed down the montane Pastaza river. Volcanoes located in the middle Pastaza river headwaters
that have produced debris avalanche deposits are: Huisla and Altar (Bustillos, 2008); Chimborazo (Bernard et al., 2008; Samaniego et al., 2012); Carihuirazo (Vásconez R. et al., 2011; Vásconez et al., 2016); Quiñuales Massif (Herrera, 2013) and Tungurahua (Hall et al., 1999; LePennec et al., 2013) (Fig. 2).

The transit of lahars borne on the flanks of steep Eastern Cordillera stratocones has been mostly confined in narrow high-gradient canyons where they remobilized important volumes of volcaniclastics which then were transported eastward to the Sub-Andean Zone and continued to the low-gradient western piedmont of the Amazon basin, far from the parent volcano. Lahars can also flow over low gradients and cover broad areas, such as the long distance lahars from Cotopaxi volcano (Mothes et al., 1998, 2004).

The aim of this contribution is to explore the origin, flow routes and landscape modification associated with the Mera lahar, whose deposits outcrop along the lower Pastaza river channel (Fig. 1). The Mera lahar is an example of a large-volume flow that overtopped and extended laterally beyond the preexisting channel limits of the Rio Pastaza and left high-standing surfaces above present river level. The origin of Mera lahar is believed to be a volcanic sector collapse in the upper Pastaza river catchment and its deposit is the first to be mapped in Ecuador’s Sub-Andean zone.
Figure 1. Hydrology, towns and place name locations in the study area of the Mera lahar. Inset is the trace of the Eastern and Western cordilleras, major cities and the Pacific Ocean offshore Ecuador.
2.1 Methodology

2.1.1 Tracing the Mera Lahar:

In the study area we map the Mera lahar’s beginnings as being emplaced into the Patate and Chambo rivers which join at “Las Juntas” to form the Pastaza River (Fig. 1 & 2). The Pastaza River is the master river in the area and has numerous second order high-gradient inflowing streams between Baños to El Topo town (Fig. 3). Between El Topo and Mera towns the Pastaza canyon veers sharply south as it cuts through granites of the Abitagua batholith, where the canyon width is approximately only 0.5 km wide and Mera lahar may have experienced resistance to flow while traversing the narrow canyon, since the lahar flowed up the side canyon of the Topo river for more than 700 meters in a SW direction.
Flowing up side canyons due to hydraulic damming in the main channel was also a characteristic of the giant Osceola Mudflow, Rainier volcano during transit (Vallance and Scott, 1997).

Below Mera town the Pastaza receives inputs of the Pindo and Alpayacu rivers (Figure 1), both borne on the Abitagua massif (Pratt et al., 2005). The majority of the outcrops of the Mera lahar deposit lie between El Topo and Santa Ana on the left margin of the Pastaza River (Fig. 3), with towns of Mera and Moravia and others all built upon the terraces consisting of the lahar deposit. Along the Pastaza’s right margin only one outcrop is recognized at Cumanda. Following original deposition all along the Pastaza river and reflux into incoming side channels, such as that of the Alpayacu River, subsequent lateral erosion of the deposit has left outstanding terraces upon which the mentioned towns are established on the Pastaza’s left margin.

River-borne deposits overlying the Mera lahar were discharged from the local Alpayacu river descending the Abitagua massif and the deposits are mainly granitic clasts, contrasting strongly with the andesitic components of Mera lahar. Further downriver at Santa Ana younger reworked volcanoclastic layers from the Calcaurcu volcanic complex (Ball, 2015) overly the lahar deposit. We do not observe other gravitational flow products of volcanic origin overlying the present surface of the Mera lahar (Fig. 3), even though two sector collapses of Tungurahua volcano reported by Hall et al. (1999) could possibly have had sufficient flow heights along the lower Pastaza to overbank onto the Mera lahar depositional surface.


2.1.2 - Source of the Mera Lahar based on Geochemical and Petrographic Fingerprinting

In order to determine the source of clastic material of the Mera lahar we examined fresh and unweathered rocks from several volcanoes in the Pastaza discharge basin and compared them with the petrography of representative clasts extracted from Mera lahar deposit. The petrography of lithic clasts within Mera lahar is principally a light to dark gray, porphyritic to aphanitic andesitic rock. The general mineral assemblage is plagioclase, pyroxene (clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene) with scarce hornblende in a gray pinkish microcrystalline matrix.

Whole-rock analysis shows that Mera lahar is principally comprised of andesites ranging from 57 to 61 wt% SiO$_2$ that plot in the medium K calc-alkaline series (containing 1 to 1.5 wt% K$_2$O). Rocks from volcanoes located on the Eastern Cordillera (EC) generally show higher K values than those located on the Western Cordillera (WC) (Schiano et al., 2010). (Figure 4a), a pattern due to the overall greater differentiation of magmas in the EC (Barragán et al., 1998) (Fig. 4a). Rock compositions from the Mera lahar suggest that the source could be in the WC, due to its lower K values. Following this line of
evidence the potential volcano sources of the WC for the Mera lahar debris flow would be Chimborazo and/or Carihuairazo volcanoes (Fig. 2). While from the EC the volcano candidates would be: Huisla, Tungurahua and Altar volcanoes and Quiñuales massif (see Fig. 2). Nonetheless, except for Huisla and Chimborazo rocks, characteristics of samples from other possible source volcanoes steered Espín (2014) to reject them as source candidates because of: lower potassium values for Carihuairazo rocks; overwhelming presence of hornblende in Altar rocks; the young, recent age of Tungurahua DAD events, and the more basaltic composition of Quiñales rocks.

In Figure 4a we observe in samples from Mera Lahar that K$_2$O presents a positive correlation when plotted against silica (SiO$_2$) whereas trace element, Sr, Ni, Cr, V, Co, Y, Yb, Gd, Dy, Eu, and Nb have a negative correlation with increasing silica content. On the contrary, Ba, Rb, Zr, Th, Nd, La y Ge present a positive correlation (Supplementary Data_1). Overall these elements form a non-dispersed field which implies that they are likely of only one source.
Figure 4. Volcanic rock classification diagrams and insertion of the Mera lahar rocks. a) $K_2O$ wt% vs. $SiO_2$ wt% (Peccerillo and Taylor 1976). A clear distinction is made between rocks of the Eastern and Western cordillera (Schiano et al., 2010). b) Trace elements variation diagrams, major elements vs. $SiO_2$ wt%. c) Comparison between the Riobamba and Huisla avalanche deposits as potential source candidates for the Mera lahar deposit.

Our geochemical study exhibits a correlation between rocks of both the Mera lahar and rocks from debris avalanche deposits, of Huisla and Riobamba (Chimborazo volcano), Samaniego et al., 2012,). A correlative relationship is represented in the Harker diagram (Fig. 4b). With respect to major elements, differing trends cannot be distinguished between the possible source volcanoes since the samples fall closely together in plots exhibiting $SiO_2$ vs trace elements (Sr, Zr or Y) (Fig. 4c) and the tendencies are almost indistinguishable. Geochemistry of Tungurahua DAD rocks is not discussed here as these events are younger than the Mera lahar, which has a 14C date greater than 40 ka (see section 4.1.2).
Alternatively, petrographic comparison between clasts from Mera lahar with clast samples from the possible source volcanoes suggests the source option as Huisla volcano DAD rocks. Rocks from both Mera lahar and Huisla avalanche deposit share a similar mineral paragenesis (plagioclase, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene). The similarities are also seen within the phenocrysts and the matrix (Fig. 5a).

Figure 5. a) Thin section made from andesite clast from Huisla-DAD shown with crossed nickles, showing the plagioclase, pyroxene and opaque minerals. b) Thin section from representative clasts from Mera Lahar deposit showing mineral association: plagioclase > clinopyroxene >>orthopyroxene >> opaque minerals. c) Thin section of rock from Riobamba DAD with nickels crossed from Samaniego et al., (2012), differs from the Mera lahar rocks by having fewer plagioclase crystals and the presence of oxidized and altered hornblende. d) View of thin section with crossed nickels (Riobamba DAD) of oxidized hornblende, plagioclase crystals and the matrix.

As stated above, geochemically Chimborazo volcano rocks of the Riobamba DAD (Samaniego et al., 2012) are also akin to rocks in Mera lahar. However, the higher percentage of plagioclase crystals and the presence of oxidized and altered hornblende crystals in Chimborazo rocks (Fig. 5cd) differ significantly from those in all samples of the Mera lahar rocks (Fig. 5ab), as observed by Espin (2014).
To further strengthen our choice of source rock for the Mera lahar, additional spot sampling was undertaken at ten Riobamba DAD outcrops. Altered hornblende crystals were apparent in clasts removed from the avalanche deposit, as opposed to the fresh, but scarce hornblende crystals present in Mera lahar lithic clasts.

3.1 Results

3.1.1 Huisla Volcano, Source of Mera Lahar

Huisla volcano is located 6.5 km south-southwest of Pelileo and 13 km to the south-southeast of Ambato and is part of a low-lying volcanic complex which consists of three main peaks: Huisla (3763 m.), Llimpe (3732 m.) and Padreloma (3650 m) (Fig. 1, 2 & 6).

Figure 6a. Huisla volcano’s edifice and surrounding area, with main towns Pelileo and Huambalo. View is toward the west and in the foreground is the nonbuttressed E and NE portion of the volcano, whose predecessor peak/dome had a sector collapse, the breccias coming to rest in the valley bottom of the Patate River at base of photo. La Florida section is highlighted. The low pass left of Llimpe peak is cut by a regional transpressive SW-NE striking fault. Inset on left concerns...
the area of proposed collapse of Huisla. Inset on right shows Huisla in relation to other nearby volcanoes in the upper Pastaza drainage basin. Huisla’s coordinates are: UTM- 0770930/9847616.
Fig. 6b. View S-SW of Huisla’s central peak, which regrew inside the remaining concentric walls after collapse. Multiple meter thick post-collapse rhyolitic ashfall layers outcrop below the highway on the opposite side of the valley from Totoras town. Pachanlica stream runs at the base of the rhyolitic tephra fall units.

The Huisla volcanic complex is built upon rocks of the Cisaran volcanic formation of Miocene age (Bustillos, 2008) and is mainly composed of calco-alkaline andesitic rocks of medium potassium (K) and basaltic andesites of low potassium. Petrographically they are porphyritic andesites whose mineral association consists of plagioclase >> clinopyroxene > orthopyroxene, scarce hornblende and presence of Fe/Ti oxides that are usually distributed in a microcrystalline matrix with interstitial glass. Few studies have been made of Huisla and due to its subdued topography, the volcano commands little attention.
Our studies on Huisla were conducted with the objective to identify the source of Mera lahar. Following the sector collapse the volcano erupted rhyolitic magmas resulting in the deposition of several white pumiceous high silica (73-75 wt% SiO$_2$) tephra falls and pyroclastic flow layers on the western, northwestern and northern flanks which overly the avalanche breccia layers (Fig. 6 & 7). Evidence for bimodal eruptive activity (varying between andesitic to rhyolitic) is not observed in deposits comprising the pre-collapse Huisla flanks, therefore we hypothesize that the sector collapse decapped the magmatic system and could have facilitated the eruption of the high silica magma. Huisla’s new edifice regrew and now occupies the base of Huisla’s caldera-like structure (Fig 6b). A late Pleistocene age is assigned to the collapse based on younger ages of two overlying fine-grained rhyolitic tephra falls sourced to a vent in the Pisayambo area to the northeast (Mothes and Hall, 2008) and which are dated with the $^{14}$C method as between 20–40 ka (Fig. 7).

Huisla’s presumed low elevation summit would not have supported growth of permanent Pleistocene glaciers of Late Glacier Maximum (LGM) age and no moraines are found on Huisla’s older flanks. Neighboring Igualata volcano (4430 m asl), 15 km to the southwest, however has well-defined Late Glacier Maximum moraines (Hastenrath, 1981) that extend to below 4000 m asl elevation. More likely is that periglacial conditions likely existed on Huisla’s former summit, fostering local bogs and some ground ice. Without significant summit glaciers during the Late Glacier Maximum, little if any in-situ superficial water would have been available to contribute to subsequent lahar formation, unless a small crater lake had been present.
3.1.2 -Huisla Stratigraphy

There are two prominent debris avalanche breccia layers exposed in the La Florida outcrop (Fig. 6a & 7). Layer AV1 is without ballistic bombs, while AV2 displays abundant radially fractured bombs. A lithic fall layer lies between them (Fig. 7). Adjacent to La Florida outcrop a Cangahua layer caps the lithic-rich layers and is overlain by several white pumice lapilli fall layers whose thickness exceeds 1 meter. Cangahua is a regional indurated fine ash accumulation of Pleistocene-age (Clapperton, 1990). In some places the top part of the stratigraphic sequence is also covered by a prominent lithic–scoria fall deposit from Tungurahua volcano (Fig. 7). Dating by the $^{14}$C method of small charcoal pieces in the ashy medium associated with the top scoria layer provided a date of ca.9 ka (Bustillos, 2008; Le Pennec et al., 2013).

On the northwest flank of the volcano (above Totoras town, Fig. 6b), thick pyroclastic flow deposits outcrop between the principal tephra fall layers that are of rhyolitic composition (Fig. 6b). Huisla volcano is cut on its SW flank by the trace of a regional transpressive strike-slip fault, known as the Chingual-Cosanga-Pallatanga-Puná (CCPP) fault (Alvarado et al., 2016). The CCPP fault enters the study area from the southwest creating morphological displacements of young strata on neighboring Igualata volcano. The fault’s trace through Huisla’s SW flank roughly aligns with the southern boundary of the sector collapse scar (Fig. 6ab). The same fault trace crosses the Patate River canyon, trending northeast into the Llanganati-Pisayambo area. Sheared topography and meter range displacements on Younger Dryas glacial stage moraines are observed, as are small, but frequent ground displacements detected by InSAR eastward of Pisayambo lake (Champenois et al., 2017).
Figure 7. Geological sequence at La Florida area (see Fig. 6a for location) where it is possible to see two layers of Huisla debris avalanche deposit (AV1 & AV2), several ash fall layers and lahar deposits. The rhyolitic tephra falls so prominent at the Totoras section (Fig. 6b) are poorly preserved at this outcrop, which is cut by a regional transpressive fault, observed in Fig 6b. The cross-cutting yellow line represents the fault trace.

3.1.3 - The Huisla Debris Avalanche Footprint

The Huisla DAD underlies Pelileo, Patate, and Pingue towns and notably outcrops along the Pelileo-Baños highway (Fig. 6b). It can also be found outcropping on the E side the Patate River in the barrio of Tauwicha, situated some 830 m above the Patate valley bottom. Our field mapping shows that the DAD covered an area of approximately 150 km² with an average thickness of about 50 m and whose volume is estimated in 4 km³ (Espín, 2014; Espín et al., 2015). (Figure 2). Huisla’s older eroded flanks that comprise the south, northwest and western rims once encircled a former peak/dome of Huisla and which, based on projection of the present morphology, had a volume of approximately 4-5 km³ before flank failure (Fig. 6b).

Provoked by Huisla’s flank failure the avalanche breccias from the peak/dome slid down the unbuttressed east and northeast flanks and lodged in the canyon bottom of the Cutuchi–Patate River south to the union with the Chambo River, at Tungurahua volcano’s base (Fig. 1 & 2). The Panchanlica River channel north of Huisla and now occupied by the northern portions of Pelileo, Totoras, and Salasaca towns may also have received Huisla DADs, but evidence is meagre (Fig. 1 & 6b)
4.1 Deposits of Mera Lahar

4.1.1 - Deposit Description

In the main depositional zone along the Pastaza River, east of El Topo town (Fig. 1 & 3), the Mera lahar deposit underlies a 2 m thick layer of tropical brown soil or alternatively, river cobbles in most outcrops. In Moravia, Pindo and Madre Tierra towns a layer of rhyolitic ash fallout with a thickness of 60-70 cm (Figure 8ab) overlies the reworked top of the lahar deposit. The base of this ash layer is dated at ca. 20 ka at a cut near Mera dike (a natural swimming pool, 1 km E of Mera town, see Fig. 3) (Keen, 2015), and its provenance is believed to be the Pisayambo area 40 km northwest, where large siliceous eruptions occurred in the late Pleistocene (Mothes and Hall, 2008). Bulk major and trace element geochemistry shows good correspondence between this marker rhyolitic ash layer and the suggested Pisayambo source (Table 1 and Supplemental data_2). In contrast, at an important cut 0.5 km downstream from Mera dike the lahar is overlain by a 12 m thick accumulation of intercalated organic layers, mainly peat, tephras and also granitic stream cobbles.

Deposition on top of the flat poorly-drained Mera lahar favored long-term swampy conditions and isolation from flooding, as described by Keen (2015).
Figure 8. a) Outcrop of Mera lahar deposit in an abandoned quarry located 15 vertical meters below the fire brigade station at Moravia. Box at top of photo indicates location of photo 8b. b) Lithological section at the same quarry showing a few 20-30 cm diameter clasts in a gray-fawn-colored matrix. A rhyolitic ash layer is located near the top of the reworked top of the lahar deposit. Inset is an abbreviated stratigraphic column in which the Pastaza alluvium underlies the lahar deposit.
4.1.2 – Dating of Mera lahar and Overlying Strata

An earlier study by paleontologists Lui and Coolinvaux (1985) reported a major lahar deposit 2 km west of Mera town where two organic layers on top of the lahar gave radiocarbon ages of ca. 26 ka and 33 ka. Later, Heine (1994) reported radiocarbon ages of 33.7 and 40.6 ka for two overlying peat layers found in a cut between Mera and Pindo Mirador towns. Finally, Bès de Berc et al., (2004) presented two ages of ca. 41 ka and ca. 18 ka for organic layers overlying the lahar along the Mera-Baños highway cut. For this study, and based on Espin, (2014), we report a new radio carbon age of >43.5 ka for a tree trunk pulled out of the Mera lahar deposit (Table 1). Table 1 is a compendium of all known age dates for deposits overlying the Mera lahar. All of the age dates (uncalibrated) ranging between about 17 ka to 40.5 ka relateto organic strata overlying the Mera lahar and therefore provide a minimum age for the stratigraphically lower (underlying) Mera lahar (Table 1 & Fig. 9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site &amp; UTM Coordinates</th>
<th>Unit dated</th>
<th>Date Collecte d</th>
<th>Material dated</th>
<th>Conventional age (BP); Laboratory</th>
<th>Calibrated Age- cal yr BP, 2 sigma</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mera Dike East Organic layer “O” underlies fine-grain rhyolitic ash (Sample MERA2YTEPH1 with pumice lapilli layer on top. (72.93 wt% SiO2 &amp; 4.2 wt% K2O). 500m downstream of Mera Dike. UTM (17M) 822352/983857 1118m</td>
<td>Organic layer “O” at ~100 cm depth &amp; ~11 mts above Mera lahar top.</td>
<td>04 Sept. 2012</td>
<td>Pollen residual in Macro- Fossils.</td>
<td>16,690 ±60 AMS</td>
<td>Beta Analytic ID # 397417</td>
<td>Keen, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mera Dike East Organic layer “N” at 185 cm depth; overlies tephra MERA2YTEPH2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mera Dike East Organic Layer “I” at 665 cm depth, and ontop Mera Lahar, 6 m lower. 500 m downriver of Mera Dike. UTM 822352/983857 1118m</td>
<td>Organic layer “I” at 665 cm depth; provides maximum date for Tephra layer, MERA2YTEPH3 (67.53 wt% SiO2 &amp; 2.64 wt% K2O).</td>
<td>04 Sept. 2012</td>
<td>Pollen residual in macro- fossils.</td>
<td>39,500 ± 270 AMS</td>
<td>Beta Analytic ID # 411030</td>
<td>Keen, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mera 1 site, near Rio Pastaza/Rio Vegetal (peat) layer overlying</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>33,670 ±520 Lab unknown</td>
<td>34,582- 37,311</td>
<td>Heine, 1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 is a compendium of all known age dates for deposits overlying the Mera lahar. All of the age dates (uncalibrated) ranging between about 17 ka to 40.5 ka relate to organic strata overlying the Mera lahar and therefore provide a minimum age for the stratigraphically lower (underlying) Mera lahar (Table 1 & Fig. 9).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alpayacu confluence. In highway cut on N side, after crossing Rio Alpayacu. UTM 822363/983750 1082m</th>
<th>Mera lahar.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mera 1 site, near Rio Pastaza/Rio Alpayacu confluence. In highway cut on N side, after crossing Rio Alpayacu. UTM 822363/983750 1082m</td>
<td>Tree trunks and branches on top of underlying Mera Lahar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40, 580±1220 Lab Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40,472-44,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heine, 1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mera-Baños highway. UTM 819848/983941 1114m</td>
<td>Peat layer, 10 m below top and overlying Mera lahar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July 29, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organic layer/ Peat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40,580±1030 Radiometric Beta Analytic ID # 169315; Sample MERA 240702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40,692-44,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bes de Berc et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moravia fire brigade station quarry, UTM 824919/9835442, 1902masl</td>
<td>Mera Lahar interior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 July 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tree trunk from lahar’s interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;43,500 Radiometric Beta Analytic ID # 366381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Espín, 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Radiocarbon dates for organic layers overlying the Mera lahar and also from the lahar’s interior.
Figure 9: Simplified stratigraphic column for the Mera Dike East section UTM 822352/983857, 1118 m asl and located 0.5 km downstream of Mera dike swimming area. $^{14}$C dates from Keen (2015). Geochemical analysis of the three main tephra layers that overly Mera lahar at Mera dike are presented in Supplemental Data_2. Top tephra, MERA2YTEPH1 is a rhyolitic marker layer of Pisayambo provenance (Mothes and Hall, 2008).

4.1.3 – Mera Lahar Componentry

The Mera lahar deposit is primarily monolithologic and is best described as a matrix-supported breccia (70%) of reddish gray color with angular and sub angular, gray and reddish andesitic clasts (Figure 10a-e). However, exogenous clasts, mainly granitic, outcrop at the base. Overall, sorting is poor—millimetric grain size to clasts of >30 cm), although sand-size grains can be abundant.

The deposit’s matrix is hardened and well-consolidated, but also displays molds and pores within the matrix. The lahar’s top surface tends to be indurated. In the middle of the deposit there is greater alteration (oxidation) in the matrix. At the base there are more angular than rounded clasts (size: decimeter to 1.5 m). Radially fractured bombs are occasionally found within the lahar’s body and the lahar also carried along cobble substrate as it bulked up while flowing downstream.
Fig. 10. Composition of Mera lahar deposit. a) Meter-size clasts in the Moravia area, b) Characteristic andesitic clast of the deposit. c) Reddish gray to fawn colored matrix is well-consolidated at Moravia quarry. d) Matrix in Motolo area. e) Oxidized matrix at the Cumanda cut.

At the deposit’s base at Madre Tierra the clasts are surrounded by a matrix with poor sorting, oxidation zones and granitic clasts that the lahar dragged along. Also the contact between fluvial lens of the Pastaza river fan and the lahar deposit is sheared by reverse thrust faulting (Figure 11a). The faults belong to a family of N-NE striking regional structures that absorb tectonic compression on the E flank of the Cordillera Real (Pratt et al., 2005) (Fig. 3).
Figure 11. Outcrop seen on the road to Madre Tierra. a) Presence of shear structures in the deposit due to local thrust faulting. b) Oxidation zone in the matrix. c) Reddish gray matrix, andesitic clast and granitic clast in the lahar. d) Rounded clasts at the bottom of deposit and the contact with alluvial cobbles of the Pastaza River.
At Cumanda town, on the right margin of the Pastaza River, along the new road to Palora town, the deposit is embedded in a sequence that includes river deposits and abundant weathering and alteration is observed (Fig. 11b & c). Numerous metamorphic rocks (schists) belonging to the Eastern Cordillera basement complex are present, having been entrained along the flow route. At the deposit’s base there are alluvial deposits with rounded Abitagua granitic clasts (Fig. 11d). Finally, a layer of rhyolitic ash, with similar mineralogy and texture to that observed at nearby Moravia, Mera and Pindo cuts (Fig. 8b; Fig. 9 & Table 1- see notes on MERA2YTEPH1 ash), overlies the upper and reworked portion of the Mera lahar deposit at Cumanda.

4.1.4 -Granulometry

We provide granulometric parameters for 16 samples taken from the proximal, central and distal phases of Mera lahar deposit. Overall granulometry of the Mera lahar shows a distribution between fine grain particles of 0.06 to 1.0 mm with accumulations of 55% in the proximal phase samples, while in the central and distal phases grain sizes of 0.06 to 1.0 mm constitute between 28-60% and 45%, respectively of the samples. There is essentially no material finer than 0.06 mm, i.e., an absence of silt and clay-size grains. The matrix comprised of grain sizes smaller or equal to 2.0 mm (coarse sand size granules) overall constitutes 60%, 40-70% and 50%, respectively of the three categories (Fig. 12). Grain sizes in the >2.0 – 100 mm range (gravel size) occupies about 40-50 % of the deposit for the three phases.

Comparing the Mera lahar’s granulometric values with those of Cotopaxi’s Chillos Valley Lahar, a matrix-rich deposit that contains between 10-20% clay and silt-size grains in bulk deposits (Mothes et al., 1998), and of the Electron lahar from Rainier volcano, USA, which has around 30% silt and clay-size components (Scott and Vallance, 1995), we see that Mera lahar deposit overall has a higher percentage of coarser components in the sand and gravel categories.
Figure 12. Granulometry of the Mera lahar matrix using data from 16 samples taken in proximal, central and distal zones.


Consult Fig. 1 & 3 for place name locations.
5.1 Modeling the Mera Lahar

Employing the program LaharFlow (Woodhouse et al., 2016a) we simulated the flow path and inundation zones of the Mera Lahar. Laharflow is a computer code that uses equations from fluid mechanics for simulating lahars (see https://laharflow.bris.ac.uk/?loginfailed). Recently developed by the Earth Sciences and Mathematics departments of The University of Bristol (Woodhouse et al., 2016a), its input parameters include a digital terrain model (30 m actual DEM), flow rheology parameters such as Chézy roughness coefficients (turbulent fluid), Coulomb coefficient (flow granular e.g. Pouliquen, 1999) and Voellmy coefficient (fluid grains). These parameters were calibrated using the Nevado del Ríu lahar’s estimated volumes and flow hydrographs (Supplementary Data_3).

Simulation with LaharFlow takes into account mass and momentum conservation and kinetic energy. This program has now been used to simulate Cotopaxi’s 1877 primary lahars and Tungurahua’s secondary lahars of 2016 (Woodhouse et al., 2016b), and finally, the potential primary lahars that could flow down the east flank of Cayambe volcano, Ecuador (Espín et al., 2017). For modeling the Mera lahar, a total volume of 5.4 km$^3$ was used (original avalanche breccia volume of 4 km$^3$ + c 1/3 water). The transit begins with the breakage of a proposed temporary dam near to Patate, unleashing most of the water necessary to remobilize the avalanche breccia and bring about transformation to a voluminous lahar (Fig. 13).
Figure 13. Results of Mera lahar simulation using the LaharFlow program; total volume used was 5.4 km$^3$ (4 km$^3$ of DAD breccia and 1.4 km$^3$ of water). (Google Earth Base). The turquoise-colored area near Patate represents the zone of ponded water accumulation in the avalanche deposit, while the pink color is the lahar’s modeled inundation footprint. The dark green shapes are approximate limits of remnants of the mapped Mera lahar deposit. Sections AA’, BB’, and CC’ refer to topographic profiles discussed in figure 15.

We hypothesize that the failure of the temporary dam in the DAD breccia could have occurred due to shaking by a local earthquake, pore pressure threshold failure in the dam wall, overtopping, etc, and that the ensuing rupture resulted in a watery avalanche breccia that incorporated available water and was transformed to a potent secondary lahar. Due to the steep gradients (5%) in the Pastaza river canyon between Baños and Rio Negro town, perhaps the Mera lahar was not deposited in this stretch, since no deposits are observed.
The lahar traveled down the Pastaza River and began major deposition where the Pastaza canyon widens at Rio Negro town, then it again experienced choked flow in the Rio Topo area, south of Rio Negro. Here westward verging reverse thrust faults cut through granites in the El Topo area (Bes de Berc, 2005; Pratt et al., 2005; Bernal et al., 2012; Alvarado et al., 2016), effectively lowering gradient in the Pastaza river channel. Terraces 30-50 m high comprise the first mapped Mera lahar deposits found between Rio Negro and El Topo towns (Fig. 13).

Once passing the constriction of the Abitagua batholith, the flow burst out onto the lower gradient Sub-Andean zone and the lahar’s footprint became increasingly wider to the east-southeast of Mera (Fig. 13) and deposited along the paleowalls of the Pastaza River channel as well as invading side valleys and braided channels associated with the lower Pastaza River (Fig. 13). Subsequent and ongoing erosion by the Pastaza River left prominent stranded terraces on either side of the Pastaza (Fig. 14a), i.e. the surfaces where the towns of Mera and Shell are situated. These high stand terraces, with their indurated lahar core now control the drainage patterns of incoming streams, such that secondary streams run parallel to the Pastaza River before finally cutting through the indurated Mera lahar surface to reach local base level of the Pastaza River. One such example is that of the Alpayacu River which makes 3 hard bends before joining the Pastaza River (Fig. 14b).

Southeast of Shell town the Pastaza channel widens to several kilometers and verves eastward. Bernal et al., (2012) provide evidence of changes in the main course of the Pastaza River along this 20 km stretch, particularly for migrations on the river’s left margin when the main Pastaza pirated into the channel of the neighboring Puyo River in 1906 and 1976 near Tarqui town (Fig. 13). Earlier avulsions of the main Pastaza River would have caused erosion and or burial of the Mera lahar and perhaps for this reason we do not find the lahar deposits in the zone of Tarqui and Nueva Vida (Fig. 13). Precisely in this zone our modeling shows the lahar’s route and inundation zone. Bernal et al (2012) emphasize the importance of back tilting of the Pastaza’s channel’s gradient in order for the bulk of the river to leave its normal course. The back tilting, they suggest, is from westward verging thrust faulting, evidence of which we have seen and example at nearby Madre Tierra (Fig 11a). Field confirmation of the presence of Mera lahar deposit in the eastern lobe between Shell and Nueva Vida towns has been unsuccessful (Fig. 13).
Figure 14. a) Aerial photo of the Mera terrace with Mera town in the center on the left margin of the Pastaza River. Approximate outline of Mera lahar limits is shown in broken red line. b) Google image of the curvy route taken by the Alpayacu river (represented by solid red color) as it cuts through the indurated Mera lahar deposit over a distance of 1.3 km from near Mera dike to the Pastaza River channel. Black arrows in both a and b photos represent flow direction of Pastaza River.

5.1.1 - Mera Terrace Heights along the Pastaza Canyon

The lahars high-standing terraces are particularly well identified at Mera, Shell and Cumanda, nearest to the mouth of the Pastaza canyon where they are 30-50 m above the actual river level (Fig. 15).
Further downvalley, both the Madre Tierra and Santa Ana terraces, which well display the Mera lahar rock suite and matrix, are of decreased height, apparently having suffered erosion. In the stretch between Mera and Santa Ana the lahar deposit’s base overlaps preexisting Pastaza river alluvial deposits seen particularly well in the Moravia, Alpayacu and Madre Tierra outcrops.

The cross section AA (Fig. 13 & 15A-A’) between El Topo town and the opposite side of the Pastaza River channel measures 2.2 km wide and lahar depositional thickness is 70 m. Between Cumanda and Pindo Biological Station, section BB has dimensions of 4.5 km wide and lahar thickness is 30 m (Fig. 13 & 15B-B’). At Santa Ana, the deposit’s farthest studied site, the lahar had a width of 4.5 km (Fig. 13 & 15C-C’) and is where a stratigraphic relationship can be seen between Mera lahar and the Cacalurco volcanics on the left margin and the younger DAD of Sangay volcano on the right margin. To the southwest of Santa Ana the younger Sangay volcano DAD (Valverde et al., 2015) presumably buried the Mera lahar deposit, which is well represented on the opposite side of the Pastaza, but we do not see Mera lahar outcropping on the SW bank. The Sangay avalanche occurred approximately 29 ka, (Valverde et al., 2015), thus confirming the older age of the underlying Mera lahar (Fig. 15C-C). These cross sections ratify not only the astounding height but also the broad lateral extent of the lahar compared to the actual Pastaza river channel.
Figure 15. Topographic x-sections showing the interpretation of the current distribution of Mera lahar deposits. On the Y axis are the elevations above sea level in meters, while the X axis represents horizontal distances in meters. All placements of Mera lahar and relationship with other features are based on field mapping. Note variable scales for each cut.
6.1 DISCUSSION

Breccias related to the sector collapse of Huisla, a non-descript, little studied volcano on the central Ecuadorian Andean landscape provided the bulk of the clasts and matrix for the Mera lahar. We base the correlation between Mera lahar and the Huisla DAD on strong petrographic similarity between the plagioclase, clinopyroxene - orthopyroxene crystal assemblage observed in the clasts of both the Mera lahar rocks and the Huisla breccias. While the whole rock geochemical signatures of these two rock families are similar they are also akin to the Chimborazo avalanche breccias. The Chimborazo DAD rocks however have a high concentration of altered hornblende crystals, which are not characteristic to rocks found in the Mera lahar or in Huisla DAD clasts. Therefore, mineral fingerprinting was key to identifying the most likely source volcano as Huisla. Relative clast and crystal freshness and petrographic similarity of lithic clasts in the Huisla DAD deposit and equally so in the Mera lahar provided the convincing inputs. Additionally, no Mera-like lahar deposits are found in the upper part of the Chambo river valley alongside or downstream of Chimborazo avalanche deposit breccias (Bernard et al., 2008), even though there are wide valley stretches and stream inlets favorable for deposition and preservation. Neither are Chimborazo DAD deposits identified in the lower rio Chambo valley or near the intersection of the incoming Patate valley (Fig. 1). However, Huisla DAD outcrops are found all along the upper Patate River drainage (Fig. 1 & 2).

The presence of radially fractured fresh dome rocks in the avalanche breccia and also in the Mera lahar deposits suggests Huisla volcano had an active central peak/dome which subsequently collapsed. The dome rocks are very similar in mineral assemblage and composition both in the Huisla DAD and the Mera lahar deposit. The collapse involved a volume of ca. 4 km$^3$ of the unsupported E-NE flank of Huisla’s edifice which sharply abuts the 300 meter deep Patate River canyon. The triggering of the sector collapse could have been facilitated by shaking from a local shallow earthquake on the active fault that cuts under Huisla’s SE shoulder or to violent volcanic activity. As shown in figure 7, a lithic fall is associated with a respite between the two avalanche deposits. A blast deposit has not been identified.

As evidence of the post avalanche damming and resultant lake conditions, two packages of lacustrine deposits, each some 15 m thick are observed at the union of the Ambato and Cutuchi-Patate River
and also just below Pelileo town, both deposits which we believe may be the result of the Huisla DAD blocking the river course (Fig. 2). Following accumulation of ≥ 1 km$^3$ of water, the dam broke and provoked a great flood/slurry. Other incidences of accumulation of > 1 km$^3$ behind temporary impoundments blocked by DAD material was noted by Capra (2006) who showed that well-sorted fine material in a DAD of mixed facies that constitutes a dams’ interior may experience internal erosion by piping, thus accelerating dam failure. The mixed facies and matrix-predominance of the Huisla DAD where it slid into the Patate River canyon and effectively blocked the channel could have been a factor in accentuating the destruction of the temporary dam by overflowing.

We hypothesize that the mixing of Huisla avalanche debris and the accumulated water effectively transformed into the Mera lahar. In order to remobilize between 3 or more cubic kilometers of solids, at least 1 km$^3$ of water would have been necessary (Vallance and Iverson et al., 2015). Assuming an efficient dam, it would have taken about 600 days to accumulate a reservoir of 1 km$^3$ water volume using present discharge rates for the Patate River of ca. 50 m$^3$/sec. Flow was restricted within the large Pastaza canyon and deposition didn’t begin until arriving to the widened sector at Rio Negro-El Topo towns (Fig. 1 & 3).

The lahar is remarkable for its matrix-rich, although non-cohesive nature that experienced little transformation downstream over the mapped 90 km distance. It’s high concentration of sand-size particles may have retarded transformation to a dilute hyperconcentrated flow, as was also the case reported by Scott et al (1995) with some lahars at Mount St Helens. The almost total absence of clay size grains in the lahar is likely testimony to the lack of active hydothermal alternation of the source volcano, but which is so common at Rainier volcano, and hence lahars borne from the flanks of Rainier where alternation is strongest have high clay-size content and hence were cohesive in nature (Scott et al (1995); Reid et al., 2001).

At Mera lahar’s base, rounded river cobbles are incorporated, but within the central core, few exogenous rocks are seen. Precise dating of the Mera lahar has been unsuccessful, since a tree trunk pulled from its interior gave a date older than 43.5 ka and overlying dated organic units also range in age from earlier to ca. 40 ka, while a marker rhyolitic ash layer that is found near the top of a stratigraphic section at Mera dike is dated at approximately 20 ka, and the overlying Sangay DAD is dated at ca. 29 ka (Table 1: Fig. 9; Fig. 15C-C).
One of the most remarkable aspects of the Mera lahar is the preservation of the high-standing terraces which are situated well above the Pastaza River. Given that more than 40 ka have passed since the lahar’s passage, the overall field mapping and verification of the deposit in this heavily vegetated and erosive zone was complex. We successfully employed the program LaharFlow (Woodhouse et al., 2016a) to simulate the lahar’s transit along the river channels from its starting location in the Eastern Cordillera to its end in the Sub-Andean zone, a distance of > 90 km and with a relief change of about 1500 m. Modeling results of LaharFlow, necessarily used the present topography, but shows strong similarity to deposit locations of the Mera lahar that we mapped in the field (Fig. 3 & 13), offers confirmation for the cross sectional areas of the lahar and also reaffirms the heights of the lahar terraces with a precision of ± 10 m. Output of the modeled lahar ceased to flow at Puyopungo, which is coherent with our final mapped point of the deposit. It is clear that since only scant Mera lahar deposits remain on the right margin of the Pastaza River, erosion on this bank has been preferential compared to the opposite margin where Mera lahar terraces are still found. Erosion has been preferential on this bank perhaps due to: 1) change of position of the Pastaza River channel (Burgos, 2006; Bernal et al., 2012), 2) effects of younger volcanism from the Madre Tierra (Calcaurco) volcanoes (Ball, 2015) or by action of reverse fault systems (Mirador, Bobonaza) that could have covered or remobilized the deposit or changed the river’s course. Furthermore, at its farthest mapped extent the Mera lahar deposit underwent burial by a DAD from Sangay volcano at about 29 ka (Valverde et al., 2015).

7.1 CONCLUSIONS

The Mera deposit is a secondary lahar deposit borne as a result of the transformation of a debris avalanche breccia that mixed with water and debris after rupture of an impounded temporary reservoir then flowed down the Pastaza River. The DAD of Huisla volcano is the best candidate as the source for the mainly monolithologic matrix and clasts comprising the Mera lahar.

The debris avalanche was produced by a sector collapse of the central peak/dome of Huisla volcano which slid into the Patate River valley. Radially fractured bombs found in the avalanche breccias on Huisla’s northeast slopes, also in the Mera lahar deposit, and a fall deposit of fresh lithic clasts lying
between the two avalanche layers, suggests that the volcano was active at the time of collapse. Another possible factor contributing to the collapse is strike-slip movement of the transpressive fault which cuts through the southern limb of Huisla volcano. Rupture of this fault was also cited as responsible for the destructive 1949 M\text{w} 6.9 Pelileo earthquake (Beauval et al., 2013; Alvarado, et al., 2016). Earlier ruptures on the same fault were of similar magnitude or greater, such as the local 04 February 1797 devastating Patate earthquake (Beauval et al., 2013).
The Mera lahar is a matrix-rich but non-cohesive type (Scott and Vallance, 1995), with a matrix which is comprised of grain sizes smaller or equal to 2.0 mm (coarse sand size granules) that overall constitutes 60%, 40-70% and 50%, respectively of the proximal, central and distal categories of the samples. No clay or silt grain sizes were measured. The Mera lahar’s sandy matrix on the whole did not support the transport of huge blocks (multiple meter diameter) far from source and its non-cohesiveness possibly permitted greater mixing with water, and thus also the formation of some minor fluvial stratigraphy, which is observed at the distal site of Santa Ana. Where the Mera deposit is last observed more than 90 km from source, the lahar had not transformed to a hyperconcentrated flow. At this distance clasts of 20-30 cm diameter are still observed suspended in the matrix. In most areas the lahar deposit has formed an important local morphology of isolated high stand terraces that are well preserved on the left margin of the Pastaza River. Output of the computational modeling program, LaharFlow, confirms the results of our mapping of the lahar’s deposit and also the subsequent post-depositional erosion of the lahar.

Nonetheless, we have not confirmed in the field if the lahar actually covered the Tarqui –Nueva Vida swath, since erosion by river avulsions has been significant. The actual mapped area of the lahar represents a present volume of 1.2 km$^3$. The modeled area gives a volume of 5.4 km$^3$ which is coherent with the input of 4 km$^3$ of DAD solids and 1.4 km$^3$ of water.
In areas of such high erosion and cloaking by jungle vegetation, modeling of the deposit is the only way to appreciate the lahar’s full inundation zone. Without a doubt the channel conditions may have been very different in the late Pleistocene. Still at Moravia town, where the lahar has 70 meter thickness, it is possible to see the basal contact with rounded river cobbles. The Mera lahar left an exceptional identifiable deposit which is testimony to collapse of the central part of Huisla volcano, a little studied and only vaguely recognized volcano in the Eastern Cordillera of Ecuador. Although both Tungurahua and Carihuairazo volcanoes have had subsequent major eruptions and avalanches after the Huisla-Mera duo, their associated gravitational volcaniclastic flows have not overtopped the high-standing terraces or deposited upon the terrace surfaces left by the Mera lahar in the upper Amazon Sub-Andean zone, and therefore have been eroded and are not easy to identify. These important geomorphic remnants are testimony to the lasting footprints left by the late Pleistocene Mera lahar and which are still preserved in the Sub-Andean landscape. Given the widespread reach of the Mera lahar we are compelled to increase our knowledge about transformation from avalanche breccias that form temporary dams to lahar flows and to provide relevant information that steers society to be prepared for other potential major lahars, particularly at volcanoes which are sliced by an active fault.
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Highlights of the Mera Lahar study:

-Detailed petrographic fingerprinting shows that Huisla volcano’s DAD breccias provided the bulk clastic material to form the Mera lahar.

-Huisla volcano had a late Pleistocene collapse, possibly from shaking provoked by the transpressive active fault under its SW shoulder. Eruptive activity is also suspect, due to finding radially fractured bombs in both the Huisla DAD deposit and the Mera lahar.

-Temporary dams formed from the DAD blockage in deep canyons and impounded the river system. Once the dams ruptured the ensuing mixture of water and breccia formed an enormous secondary lahar (volume ~ 5 km$^3$) that flowed to the Sub-Andean-western Amazon area, some 90 km from source. Passage was along the channel of the master Pastaza river.

-The lahar deposit is characteristically rich in the Huisla DAD breccias, has a high sand grain content, was a non-cohesive type and did not transform to a hyperconcentrated flow.

-The deposit is still well preserved on the left margin of the Pastaza river, where 30-50 m high terraces now host the towns of Mera and Shell, among others.

-Mapping was complex due to jungle vegetation and erosion caused by avulsions of the Pastaza river.

-The modeling program LaharFlow provided results that show good similarity with the field mapping in certain preserved areas, ie Mera and Shell and also showed where the deposit may have been, but which we now find no evidence, since erosion or burial has been very complete.

-A log was extracted from Mera lahar’s interior and a radio carbon date of > 43.5 ka was obtained. Overlying dated strata (17 ka - 40.5 ka) provide minimum ages for the Mera lahar.

-Due to its long distance lahar, the low profile volcano Huisla with its collapse events provoked a major lahar, whose deposits are clearly recognizable some 40 ka after the event.