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ABSTRACT
Recent works in the area of academic recommender systems have demonstrated the effectiveness of co-citation and citation closeness in related-document recommendations. However, documents recommended from such systems may drift away from the main concept of the query document. In this work, we investigate whether incorporating the textual information in close proximity to a citation as well as the citation position could reduce such drifting and further increase the performance of the recommender system. To investigate this, we run experiments with several recommendation methods on a newly created and now publicly available dataset containing 53 million unique citation based records. We then conduct a user-based evaluation with domain-knowledgeable participants. Our results show that a new method based on the combination of Citation Proximity Analysis (CPA), topic modelling and word embeddings achieve more than 20% improvement in Normalised Discounted Cumulative Gain (nDCG) compared to CPA.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Discovering relevant research publications from the huge corpora of digital libraries is a challenging problem. A recommender system is a valuable tool that can sift through the corpus and suggest the most relevant articles. Over the years, meta-data information of documents such as title [19], abstract [16], citation counts [2] have been used extensively as features for the scholarly recommendations. However, use of meta-data information only, may not be enough as information, such as title and abstract, are sometimes written in a style to draw attention rather than to comprehensively describe a piece of work [1]. In comparison, full-text has not been as widely used as meta-data. A major reason behind this may be the limited availability of the full-text documents. However, thanks to the open access movement, more full-texts have now become publicly available. Consequently, several recent studies examined full-text features to improve the quality of recommendations. For example, [14] used citation-position, which is the position of the cited document within the document and [11] used citation-context, which is the content around the citation.

Recommendations based purely on citation-based methods may suffer from topic drifting [11]. Topic drifting can be defined as moving away from the main concept. For instance, citations in the Introduction section are likely to introduce the domain and focus of the work such as ‘Machine Learning (ML)’ and ‘Image Classification’ respectively. Whereas citations in the Related work section are to criticise or compare one’s work with others’, which may include different methods to classify images and may include citations for underlying mathematics, as ML relies on Mathematics too. Therefore, if recommendations are based on citations only, this could result from treating all citations as equal and can recommend papers on mathematics when searching for image classification. We propose to combine citation-position and citation-context features to generate research paper recommendations using topic modelling [3] and word embedding [20]. We believe that by knowing the context behind citing a particular reference, we can improve the performance of citation-proximity based recommender systems.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study carried out to combine citation context and position for recommendation. The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

(1) A novel method combining citation position with textual information to map the semantic relationship between cited documents.
(2) A publicly available large scale citation-context based dataset for research.
(3) A qualitative evaluation using domain-knowledgeable participants for a specific domain.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. We review related works in the next section. Then we present the dataset in Section 3. Section 4 describes the proposed model and Section 5 reports the qualitative results obtained from the user-study. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper with future works.

2 RELATED WORKS
We focus on citation-context and pure citation-based related works. [11] used citation-context to find related papers using a Vector Space Model (VSM) model focusing on the exact terms matching on the content. Matching exact terms has the disadvantage that it may discard recommendations which are similar and related. In addition, their dataset is comparatively small containing only 1,273 research papers. Similarly, Bradshaw [4] used citation-context
to index cited documents using a fixed length of 100 words with 50 words on either side of the citation mention. They used exact
terms mentioned in the citation-context as a reference to index and
find similar documents, assuming authors use meaningful terms to
describe cited documents. Doslu et al. [6] used the similar concept as [4], expanding their methods to search for similar terms as well.

Most recently, [14] have shown that it is possible to improve recommendation performance using co-citation information such as
distance. Similarly, [22] analysed Wikipedia links using citation-
based approaches (CPA and Co-citation) along-with a text-based
technique called MoreLikeThis (MLT). They claimed that citation-
based approaches are complementary to text-based methods.

3 CITATION-CONTEXT DATASET (C2D)

Datasets containing full-text research publications are limited in
literature and their size is small, typically in thousands. We present
a new large scale dataset called Citation-Context Dataset (C2D) containing 53 million unique citation-based records. This dataset is created from two million full-text research publications in Portable Document Format (PDF) format, provided by "source yet to be provided"1 and extracted 1,715,459 documents in Text Encoding
Initiatives (TEI) format. We then extracted various information like
Title, PublishedDate, Authors, TextBeforeRefMention, TextWher-
ereRefMention and TextAfterRefMention. Once the features are ex-
tracted, we further clean the data using various Natural Language
Processing (NLP) techniques such as tokenisation and stop-word
removal. We illustrate the above-mentioned process for feature
extraction and dataset creation in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: Pseudocode for C2D creation

Input: Corpus D containing full text documents
Output: Set X containing citation features of all the
documents in D
1 Initialise X = ∅.
2 for each document d ∈ D do
3 for each citation c ∈ d do
4 Extract \( \bar{x} = [f_1, \ldots, f_n] \), where
5 \( f_1 \) = Title, \( f_2 \) = Author name, \( f_3 \) = Citation Context and
6 so on.
7 Add \( \bar{x} \) to X
8 end
9 end

4 CITATION PROXIMITY-CONTEXT BASED

METHOD

The proposed semantically enhanced method delivers relevant rec-

commendations for a query document in a two-stage process: first, we employed Citation Proximity Analysis (CPA) to generate a set
of relevant documents which are cited in close proximities and
ranked on the basis of higher weighted average values of Citation
Proximity Index (CPI). In the second stage, we infer topics from
each recommended list generated in the first stage and compare it
to that of the query document. For this, each topic is projected into
multi-dimensional continuous-valued vectors to generate semanti-
cally similar topics. The pseudo-code of the process is presented in
Algorithm 2 and described in Sections 4.1 to 4.4.

Algorithm 2: Pseudocode for generating recommendations.

Input: D, X and query document \( \bar{q} \)
Output: \( n \) recommendations
1 Run LDA on D to generate model \( L \) and topic set \( T \)
2 Create \( R \) \( \subseteq \) X using CPA
3 for each \( \bar{x} \) ∈ R do
4 Assign topic \( t \) to \( \bar{x} \) using \( L \).
5 Find \( \{s_{w_1}, \ldots, s_{w_m}\} \) semantically similar words of
\( \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\} \) using Glove’s Wikipedia corpus.
6 Find vector representations \( \bar{v} \) of top one semantically
similar word from \( \{s_{w_1}, \ldots, s_{w_m}\} \)
7 Calculate Cosine similarity between \( \bar{q} \) and \( \bar{v} \) using
Equation (1)
8 end
9 Reorder R into descending order of cosine similarity
10 Output top \( n \) items in R

---

1To preserve anonymity, source is not provided
2http://cxtstatic.ist.psu.edu/about/data
3http://cxtstatic.ist.psu.edu/about
4To preserve anonymity, the link to the dataset is not provided but a sample of the
dataset has supplied.
4.1 Citation Proximity Analysis (CPA)
We used CPA [8] to produce an initial set of relevant articles (R). In this method, co-cited documents are strongly or weakly related to each other based on their locations. For example, if two citations appear in the same sentence, this method assumes a stronger relation between them than a pair of citations appearing in different sentences or paragraphs. The strength of relationships between citations at different levels appearing in same sentence, paragraph, chapter, journal, same journal but different versions are 1, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{1}{16}$, respectively. However, we focus only up-to chapter level strength because the number of journals and different versions of journals are minimal in C2D. Once the CPI values of each pair of co-cited documents are computed, the strength of relationships is calculated by computing the weighted average of those values. Based on this strength, the recommendations are ranked.

4.2 Topic inference from citation-context
Topic models are a widely used concept to infer latent topics from a corpus of documents. According to [3], documents are considered as random mixtures over latent topics and each topic is characterised by a distribution over all the words. We followed the generative process provided by [3] to discover latent topics from the documents in the corpus D. The idea of applying topic modelling on citation-context is to cluster the documents which are focused on the same concept but portrayed in different ways by different authors, as finding different mentions for the same idea helps cluster the meaningful analysis of the research domain [24].

Inferring latent topics from short-texts is a tricky task due to the lack of word co-occurrences which can also result in an incoherent analysis of results. Therefore, we took inspiration from [10] to alleviate the short-texts issue. [10] performed an empirical study on topic generations using a Twitter dataset, by aggregating tweets from a user and creating a long text as a document. In our case, we used the corpus D for inferring topics and assigned those topics to relevant citation-contexts. We believe researchers tend to cite document for a specific reason. So, we consider only one topic for each citation-context. Furthermore, each topic is described by a number of words.

4.3 Topic to word-embeddings
The concept of word-embedding in a vector space has widely been used in the Natural Language Processing (NLP) domain. However, to the best of our knowledge, word-embedding in the research publication recommendation domain is in its infancy. The reason can be the restriction on the public availability of full-text content. The success of distributed representation of words with semantic meaning can broaden the coverage of relevant and recommendable documents, whereas the systems which use the feature of exact content matching or pure citation based can have comparably limited recommendable items. Additionally, word-embedding can capture the subtle semantic relationships between terms in the corpus. For example, Capital and France are related to Paris (i.e. France + Capital \(\approx\) Paris) [18]. Taking this idea as an inspiration, the penultimate stage of our model projects words to vector space. Each topic \(T_i\) of \(i\)th citation-context is a set of words \(\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\}\) where \(m\) is the number of words assigned for each topic discussed in Section 4.2. Then, we used a statistical model ‘Glove’ introduced by [20] which focuses on global vectors. Prediction is done using statistical calculation rather than a probabilistic method and is better than the state-of-the-art word-embeddings model; Word2Vec [20]. We used the pre-trained publicly available vector representation of the Wikipedia corpus\(^3\) provided by [20].

\[d(v_q, v_x) = \frac{v_q \cdot v_x}{\|v_q\| \|v_x\|} \quad (1)\]

where \(v_q\) and \(v_x\) are the vector representations of the query and document recommended respectively. Finally, the recommended documents are ranked based on decreasing cosine similarity between \(q\) and \(x\), where \(x \in R\).

5 EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION
We conducted an intrinsic evaluation on Citation-Context Dataset (C2D) by creating a user-based survey where 14 domain-knowledgeable participants took part to evaluate our proposed method and baseline systems listed in Table 1. We chose the domain of Computer Science specific to Machine learning and Data Mining and selected five query documents randomly. We then generated five recommendations for each query document based on each algorithm. The users were asked to rate each recommendation on a Likert scale [15]. The scale has four options to chose from namely, Extremely Relevant, Very Relevant, Somewhat Relevant and Not Relevant.

5.1 Results And Discussion
According to Information Retrieval (IR) method, top-ranked items on the list are the most important and relevant item to the query item, as users are most likely to scan the top few recommendations. So, as an evaluation metric, we used Normalised Discounted Cumulative Gain (nDCG) which is increasingly adopted with machine learning techniques for ranking [17]. This method is well suited to evaluate recommendations of a non-binary judgement of relevance and it rewards recommended items at the top of the list more than the lower rank. Typically users may be interested in the top – \(N\) ranked recommendations so we chose nDCG@\(N\) where \(N\) is the number papers recommended by our proposed method, and the chosen values of \(N = 3, 5\) for evaluation. Due to space limits, we have only illustrated a graph of nDCG@5 in Figure 1. However, Table 2 shows nDCG results at both 3rd and 5th positions. According to nDCG results, our proposed algorithm CPA\(_{ContextEmbed}\) performed better than baseline algorithms. However, results from both proximity-based citation analysis (CPA and CPA\(_{MeanProx}\))

\(^3\)https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/glove/
are surprising; these performed worst, with nDCG@5 values of 0.688 and 0.782 respectively in comparison to other methods. According to [8, 14, 22], the performance of CPA is higher in comparison to co-citation so we investigated our evaluation dataset and we believe that length of documents has a higher impact on the proximity-based approach; however further experiments are required to support this theory. On top of that, the size of our evaluation dataset should be increased and we should conduct an online evaluation using features like Click-Through Rate (CTR), number of downloads & co-downloads along-with user purpose in selecting particular recommendations. We believe the latter may give the subjective view of participants apart from the topical relevance between the query and recommended documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method Name</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co−Citation [23]</td>
<td>$cocit^{ab} =</td>
<td>Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA [8]</td>
<td>$cpa^{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i^{ab})/n$</td>
<td>where $w_i$ is the $i^{th}$ value of CPI (weighted) between the co-cited documents $a$ and $b$; $n$ is the number of cpi value of $a$ and $b$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA$	ext{MeanProx}$ [14]</td>
<td>$cpa^{ab}_{\text{Mean}} =</td>
<td>Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF−IDF [13]</td>
<td>$W_{t,d} = t f_{t,d} \ast \log(N/d_f)$</td>
<td>where $W_{t,d}$ is the weight for a term $t$ in a document $d$, $t f_{t,d}$ is number of occurrences of $t$ in $d$ and $d_f$ is number of documents containing $t$. $N$ is total number of documents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: This table illustrates the list of baseline methods to compare our proposed method $CPA\text{ContextEmbed}$ illustrated in Algorithm 2

We also compared nDCG@3 and nDCG@5 for $CPA\text{ContextEmbed}$ with other baseline methods using $t$−test [7]. We achieved over 95% confidence of significant positive differences with $p$−value $<< 0.01$. Similarly, to check the homogeneity in the ratings of participants, we performed an inter-rater reliability check using Cronbach’s alpha [5] and obtained the value of 0.904, which signifies participants are in agreement.

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, we explored a novel method using citation information to improve the performance of scholarly paper recommendation. In particular, we used citation-context by combining it with pure citation-based features to alleviate topic drift. The use of techniques like topic modelling with word-embedding helps to find semantically similar concepts. Our results show that by incorporating two features, the performance of recommendations increased by 20% in comparison to the original CPA based method. In our study, the evaluators were knowledgeable in the domain so the credential of judgement is qualitatively valuable. Additionally, we believe that public availability of our dataset will fuel other citation-context based methods.

As future work, we intend to evaluate our algorithms by collecting users’ activities such as CTR, download and co-downloads of recommendations. As discussed in Section 5.1, our foremost future work will be investigating the impact of the length of documents. On top of that, we will explore the inclusion of time-series and recency, as most of our participants provided feedback that, although the recommended results are topically relevant, the lists include dated papers. Finally, the current model heavily relies on offline computation and is not suitable for real-time recommendation. Therefore, we would like to focus on optimising the model in order to make it real-time operable.
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