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ABSTRACT

We investigated the origin of the fan-shaped deposit at the end of Hypanis Valles that has previously been proposed as an ExoMars, Mars 2020, and human mission candidate landing site, and found evidence that the landform is an ancient delta. Previous work suggests that the deposit originated from a time of fluvial activity both distinct from and prior to catastrophic outflow, and crater counting placed the deposit’s age at ≥3.6 Ga. We found over 30 thinsedimentary strata in the proposed delta wall, and from ourslope analysis conclude that the fluvial sequence is consistent with a lowering/retreating shoreline. We measured nearly horizontal bedding dip angles ranging from 0° to 2° over long stretches of cliff and bench exposures seen in HiRISE images and HiRISE stereo DTMs. From THEMIS night IR images we determined that the fan-shaped deposit has a low thermal inertia (150–240 J m⁻² K⁻¹ s⁻¹/²) and the surrounding darker-toned units correspond to thermal inertia values as high as 270–390 J m⁻² K⁻¹ s⁻¹/². We interpret these findings to indicate that the fan-shaped deposit consists mostly of silt-sized and possibly finer grains, and that the extremely low grade and large lateral extent of these beds implies that the depositional environment was calm and relatively long-lived. Our assessment of the geomorphology and composition seems to indicate that the alluvial fan and mudflow hypotheses are less compatible. From our stratigraphic mapping we interpret the order of events which shaped the region. After the Chryse impact, sediment filled the basin, a confined lake or sea formed allowing a large delta to be deposited near its shoreline, the water level receded to the north, darker sedimentary/volcanic units covered the region and capped the light-toned deposit as hydro-volcanic eruptions shaped the interior of Lederberg crater, freeze/thaw cycles and desiccation induced local fracturing, and finally wrinkle ridges associated with rounded cones warped the landscape following trends in degraded crater rims and existing tectonic features. The ancient deltaic deposit we observe today was largely untouched by subsequent catastrophic outflows, and its surface has been only moderately reshaped by over 3 billion years of aeolian erosion.

1. Introduction

The Hypanis fan-shaped deposit in Xanthe Terra (Fig. 1) has been interpreted as a late Noachian aged delta along the dichotomy boundary (Gupta et al., 2015a; Adler et al., 2016; Gupta, 2015b; Hauber et al., 2009; Sefton-Nash et al., 2015; Fawdon et al., 2018a,b) and as a short-lived mudflow or other alluvial feature (Hauber et al., 2009, 2013; Kleinhaus et al., 2010). The proposed delta was a candidate landing site for the future Mars 2020 and ExoMars rover missions for several reasons including: it is positioned at the edge of an open basin; could have been an ideal environment for biosignature formation, concentration, and preservation (see Summons et al., 2013); and has accessible exposures of what could be delta bottomset beds. This work addresses the key questions raised by the community about Hypanis: Is the deposit an ancient delta, as opposed to an alluvial fan or mudflow? Was the ancient topography also an open basin, suggesting a potentially large northern sea? And how does Hypanis fit into the regional geologic timeline and history of Mars? Ultimately, too many uncertainties
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remained to support the deltaic hypothesis to warrant it being chosen as a finalist in 2016 for the ExoMars mission (Sefton-Nash et al., 2015) or for the Mars 2020 Rover sample caching mission (Gupta et al., 2015a). Questions about the nature and longevity of the aqueous activity were paramount to these landing site discussions. We analyzed NASA Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) high-resolution spectral images (Murchie et al., 2007), stereo images (McEwen et al., 2007), and derived digital elevation models, as well as thermal inertia data from the Mars Odyssey Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS) instrument (Christensen et al., 2004) to address the critical unanswered questions raised by the community: Is Hypanis an ancient delta? If so, did it debouch into a large lake or potentially a northern sea?

While some regions such as Meridiani Planum and Mawrth Vallis have a mineralogic stratigraphy which points to changing geochemical processes indicative of a transition from a warmer and wetter era to a drier one (e.g., Carr and Head, 2010; Bibring et al., 2006; Ehlmann and Edwards, 2014; Carter et al., 2015b; Loizeau et al., 2007), the morphology and size of enigmatic depositional features like those in the Hypanis region are potentially more direct indicators of a wetter past. These examples of early Mars. Their estimates were compatible with the hypothesized total amount of water predicted by Clifford and Parker (2001) for the Noachian. The Hypanis deposit has an open basin classification, and if the fan was indeed constructed subaqueously, a large northern lake or sea would have been present to account for its extent. The first orbital images of a potential sedimentary deposit at the terminus of Hypanis Valles were sent from Viking Orbiter 1 in 1978 (visual imaging sub-system camera A, image 897A25 and camera B, image 650A02). In these images a high albedo feature roughly 50 km wide (main lobe) stands out and stretches almost 200 km to the north (Greeley et al., 1977; Crumpler, 1997; Adler et al., 2016; Adler et al., 2017; see supplemental Fig. S1 for first image). Early measurements (Hauber et al., 2005; Kleinhans et al., 2010) of the sediment volume and deposit slopes were not possible until Mars Express High Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC) imaging (Neukum and Jaumann, 2004). These early studies lead to several interpretations ranging from quick mudflow deposits to large alluvial fans to subaqueous settling of fine sediments (i.e., a delta). But the large pixel scale of these images and their associated terrain models made it impossible to characterize the potential delta in much detail. Through evaluation of formation hypotheses is now possible with MRO Context Camera (CTX) (Malin et al., 2007) and High-Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE) (McEwen et al., 2007) images of the fan surface; sub-horizontal layering, braided inverted channels, and distal remnant layered islands can now be recognized and studied (Gupta et al., 2015a,b). The deposits at the terminus of Hypanis Valles lie within a region characterized as transition morphology (Tanaka et al., 2014). The upstream catchment to the south consists of early, middle, and late Noachian age units (eNh,mNh,lNh), and the smooth volcanic/flood plains to the north contain large N-S trending wrinkle ridges, defining an age of Hesperian volcanism (early Hesperian transition unit - eHt) (Tanaka et al., 2014; see supplemental

...
The diverse set of geologic units near the Hypanis deposit make the region an exciting study area. While much of the ancient highlands/lowlands dichotomy boundary along the Chryse basin has been erased or reshaped by catastrophic outflow around 3.2 Ga, the Hypanis Valles and deposit region in Xanthe Terra appears to remain untouched by these events. Thus, here we have an example of the largest potential delta on Mars in a location previously hypothesized to be a shoreline of a northern ocean.

2. Methods and data sets

2.1. Hypotheses

We employed a series of tests to assess the likelihood that the Hypanis main lobe is deltaic in origin. To address this hypothesis, we devised a set (Table 1) of tests that could uniquely classify Hypanis as either an ancient delta, mudflow, or alluvial fan. We performed these tests by analyzing MRO Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer (CRISM) spectra, THEMIS thermal inertia, HiRISE images, MOLA/CTX/HRSC/HiRISE derived elevation models, and CTX albedo in order to assess the presence of unique signatures belonging to these three kinds of landforms.

In our preliminary analysis, we believed that the wide neck/channel and inverted topography of the secondary lobe could be a sign of changing environmental conditions capping the formation of the underlying fan. We formulated tests (Table 2) to address our hypothesis that the northern lobe was formed by a different process than the main

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process/Landform</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Beds have slope change at topset/foreset</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avulsion node migration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basin has evidence of contemporary standing water</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudflow</td>
<td>Larger clasts/boulders incorporated</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Levees</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Likely source</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial fan</td>
<td>Characteristic shape/morphology</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed avulsion node</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Larger clasts in channels</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process/Landform</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rock glacier</td>
<td>Very rocky in HiRISE</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High thermal inertia in THEMIS</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moraine at foot</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shape and morphology similar to literature</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow on ice</td>
<td>Topography draped, layers conform</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dusty glacier</td>
<td>Glacial morphology, shape</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moraines</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No extensive flat bedding</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esker</td>
<td>Cross section rounded top</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other regional evidence of glaciation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudflow</td>
<td>Larger clasts/boulders incorporated</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Levees</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Likely source</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial fan / Delta</td>
<td>Main fan higher in places than northern lobe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lobe. We utilized these same orbital datasets to assess the likelihood that the northern lobe is an expression of a rock glacier, above-ice deposit, dust/dirt-rich glacier, esker, mudflow, or alluvial fan/delta.

The differences between ancient deltas and alluvial fans on Mars have been ambiguously and inconsistently classified in the literature (see Section 5 of Schon et al., 2012 and discussion in Wood (2006)) for detailed summaries of these distinctions). This is mainly due to two limitations: (1) our inability to see the ancient depositional environment and (2) inconsistent definitions or use of geomorphology terms. Here we address these limitations directly.

(1) Mars has likely been devoid of large bodies of surface water for the last 3 Ga. (Carr, 1996; Bell, 2008) During this time, erosional aeolian processes were capable of erasing hundreds of meters of relief (an estimate of 100 nm/yr of erosion during this era is calculated in Kite and Mayer (2017); 300–800 nm/yr vertical rate in Grindrod and Warner (2014), converted from horizontal retreat from landslide-molds, and regional variations likely exist within these estimates). Depositional features from the late Noachian are often affected by not only this severe sandblasting, but also by some combination of cryoturbation, frost and gravity driven mass wasting, chemical alteration, or burial by other sedimentary or volcanic processes.

(2) For the purposes of this paper, a delta is defined as a fan shaped sedimentary deposit formed when fluvial transport reaches a larger body of standing water. Deltaic sediments are deposited subaqueously – by deposition of suspended sediment, building up sedimentary layers. Alluvial fans on Mars often have steeper slopes and consist of larger clasts, cobbles, or boulders, reflecting the higher energy system of mountainous catchments and shorter distance of transport. However, a fan can have any grain size or gradient depending on conditions. A method for classification based on gradient and grain size alone for alluvial fans and deltaic features on Mars has not yet been developed, and would be insufficient (Blissenbach, 1954; Bull, 1977; Harvey et al., 2005; Catuneanu, 2006; Galloway, 1975; Moore and Howard, 2005; Hardgrove et al., 2009, 2010). (There do exist more detailed distinctions that are common for terrestrial geomorphology (e.g. fan-deltas, megafans, etc.), but that level of complexity was beyond the scope of this work.)

Due to the limitations above (and those summarized in Schon et al., 2012 and Wood, 2006) the most definitive tests for classification of Hypanis as a delta are identification of a dip angle change at the topset/foreset interface (i.e. Lewis and Aharonson, 2006), avulsion node migration, and evidence for a basin which likely held standing water. These qualities are further described below.

### 2.1.1. Tests for deltaic origin (Table 1)

Table 1 lists the properties discussed in other bodies of literature (Anderson and Anderson, 2010; Bull, 1964) which were both testable and unique to either mudflows, alluvial fans, or deltas. For example, we did not investigate the fan-like shape as that could be indicative of either alluvial fans or deltas. Such a test could not weigh in favor of subaqueous deposition or sporadic sheetwash deposits on land.

A structural architecture found in deltas but not in alluvial fans is that of a bottomset, forestet, and topset bed sequence (Coe, 2003). As a delta forms, nearly flat-lying bottomset beds of fine sediment form at the floor of the lake or sea as lighter particles stay suspended farther out from the shore (Anderson and Anderson, 2010). Steeper slopes (forestet beds) form in succession near the shore. Forestet beds (often sand to pebble sized particles) are coarser grained than bottomset beds (mud sized particles). Classic deltas are topped with nearly flat-lying beds often of mud, sand, and pebbles (topset beds). The angular unconformity (rather, a difference in angles between clinoforms) between forestet and topset beds was identified in several Martian features, including deposits in Jezero crater (Goudge et al., 2017, 2018), and this positive identification is diagnostic of the deltaic environment. We visually inspected analogs and measured the dip angles of beds from HiRISE images draped over HiRISE DTMs of the Hypanis fan-shaped deposit to search for and characterize this interface.

Another testable property of deltas is the migration of the avulsion node, which in alluvial fans stays fixed at the mouth of the system (Ganti et al., 2014). Deposition in deltaic systems is, in general, less energetic and along a lower gradient than alluvial fans, and thus the sweeping back and forth motion migrates. We examined flow direction marks from CTX and HiRISE images under varying illumination conditions to map potential avulsion node locations.

Finally, to help classify a fluvial feature as a delta, we searched for other nearby evidence of a subaqueous environment which could support such a system. We analyzed topography, morphology, and spectra from the region to assess additional supporting evidence of standing water.

Mudflows could be a supported formation mechanism if we see larger clasts or boulders incorporated into the light-toned deposit (Blackwelder, 1928). These short-lived and highly energetic systems can transport large amounts of rock and sediment, whether sourced from melting (jökulhlaups), hot volcanic ash (lahars), avalanches, etc., and these liquefied flows of debris are usually characterized by a poorer grain size sorting than a subaqueous or lacustrine deposit, and are sourced from higher elevation with downslope gradients capable of continuing flow and confinement within channels/canyons to maintain flow depth and speed. HiRISE images and anaglyphs as well as THEMIS thermal inertia data could potentially show larger clasts/boulders and poor grain-size sorting. Visual inspection of CTX images, MOLA global elevation, and our 3D regional mosaic could support this hypothesis if we can identify a likely source. If gradients are lower, mudflows could still travel over a flat expanse by forming levees to channelize the flow (Sharp, 1942; Buffington, 1952). To test that possibility, we view HiRISE images and measure cross-channel transect profiles in HiRISE DEMs to search for mudflow levees.

Specific tests of an alluvial fan hypothesis include identification of a fixed avulsion node, larger clasts and poorer sorting in newer channels, and a characteristic shape (Anderson and Anderson, 2010; Bull, 1964). Bull (1964) defines alluvial fan in a glossary of terms as a stream deposit whose surface forms a segment of a cone that radiates downslope from the point where the stream channel emerges from a mountainous area. We examined flow direction marks from CTX and HiRISE images under varying illumination conditions to map potential avulsion node locations. We examined HiRISE images and anaglyphs as well as THEMIS thermal inertia to investigate larger clasts/boulders and poor grain-size sorting. We viewed HiRISE and CTX mosaicked images to assess the shape and morphology of the light-toned main lobe.

### 2.1.2. Tests for deltaic origin of distal lobe deposit (Table 2)

During our initial examination, we hypothesized that the distal northern lobe of the Hypanis deposit (fluvial unit B in Fig. 4c) was formed by a different mechanism than the main lobe. We came to this initial conclusion because the lobe seemed simpler in shape and topo-graphically superposed on the main deposit A. The abnormally wide neck of the northern lobe and its inverted relief also made us consider a full range of formation processes. We devised Table 2 in a similar fashion to discriminate between formation mechanisms for the northern lobe with testable observations that are unique to each process. We considered several ice and glaciated related processes, as well as mudflow or fluvial origins.

One landform we considered was a rock glacier. If a flow of rocks and ice created the northern lobe shape as ice melted or sublimated, we would expect to see large rocks in HiRISE images and an associated higher thermal inertia derived from THEMIS images. We also might expect to find a moraine near the foot of a rock glacier, and the morphology could be similar to those described in Platek et al. (2007) and Whalley and Azizi (2003).
We also considered if the northern lobe could be a flow on top of ice, which was later draped onto the main lobe as ice melted or sublimated. If that were the case, we would expect the stratigraphy when viewed in HiRISE images, anaglyphs, and DTMs of the side of the northern lobe or channel to conform to the underlying topography.

We tested if the northern lobe could be a dust or debris covered glacier (Stuurman, 2017). Such a feature might have the characteristic glacial morphology or shape, may have evidence of moraines at its foot, and would likely not consist of extensive horizontal beds.

Since channels C and D (Fig. 4c) appeared inverted, we tested whether the northern lobe and channels C and D could be eskers (see Malin and Edgett, 2003: References and Notes 13 for summary of inverted channels on Mars). A unique test that would support an esker hypothesis is a cross sectional profile of the channel to identify a rounded top. Additional regional evidence supporting glaciation would also bolster this hypothesis.

To test the likelihood that this feature was caused by a mudflow, we utilized the same three tests as for the main lobe. We analyzed HiRISE images and anaglyphs as well as THEMIS thermal inertia data, which could potentially show larger clasts/boulders and poor grain-size sorting; viewed HiRISE images and measured cross-channel transect profiles in HiRISE DEMs to search for mudflow levees; and visually inspected CTX images, MOLA global elevation, and our 3D regional mosaic to try to identify alikely source at higher elevation with downslope gradients capable of continuing flow.

If both the distal northern lobe and the main lobe of Hypanis were part of the same fluvial or alluvial process, then the channel of the distal northern lobe would likely be expressed as a trough within the main lobe, and the distal northern lobe would likely be expressed as a deposit stratigraphically above the main lobe. In this scenario, we might expect to find remnants of the main delta standing higher than the distal northern channel. We measured elevations from our regional DEM mosaic to assess the topography of the main lobe and northern lobe.

### 2.2. Spectral analyses

We have atmospherically and photometrically corrected CRISM Full-Resolution Targeted (FRT) spectral cubes using the methods described by Seelos et al. (2009) and Seelos et al. (2012) for the CAT (CRISM Analysis Tools) software in ENVI (Environment for Visualizing Images). We then projected the images and created the band parameters recommended by Viviano-Becket al. (2014), and viewed each of our spectral parameter maps overlaid on either HiRISE (where overlap exists) or CTX images to look for correlations between geomorphic and spectral features. Toggling the CRISM spectral overlays on and off allowed us to validate and speculate whether each parameter map was displaying noise, correlation to atmosphere, surface dust, illumination angle, or other non-relevant phenomena, or whether the spectral parameters are relevant for this study (i.e., correlated to the composition of the geologic units in the catchment or fan). We created ROIs (Regions of Interest) within the CRISM scene using ENVI where we found relevant compositional data, and for each ROI we spectrally averaged the pixels within the ROI and plotted the average spectrum and its standard deviation.
2.3. Thermal inertia analyses

To obtain thermal inertia values we analyzed the atmospherically and photometrically corrected THEMIS numerical images (Ferguson et al., 2006) in JMARS (Java for Mission-planning And Remote Sensing; Christensen et al., 2009). Adjacent thermal inertia images can be offset by up to ~ 200 Jm$^{-2}$kg$^{-1}$s$^{-1/2}$ due to variations in season and local time of day, but the relative values of geomorphic units within a single image remain roughly constant. We chose THEMIS image I16883014 for our analysis since its footprint spanned the diversity of relevant terrain types. We calculated averaged thermal inertia values of map units from the same pixel columns within that image to avoid bias along the detector.

2.4. Elevation analyses

We created other products with the software SOCET SET (© BAE Systems and Photometrics (ISIS) (i.e. Anderson et al., 2004) and then calibrated and formatted with the USGS Integrated Software for Imaging, Mosaicking, and Analysis (ISImaSA) and stereopairswerepassedbackthroughtheAmesStereoPipeline(BroxtonandEdwards, 2008; Moratto et al., 2010; Beyer, 2015; Shean et al., 2016). All products were controlled to MOLA shot elevation data. The LayerTools Add-on for ArcMap was used for strike and dip measurements and was provided by Kneissl (seeKneissl et al., 2010).

For profile measurements longer than about 5 km, it was often necessary to sample adjacent terrain models and manually align elevation offsets at the seamslines. We also created a 17m/pix regional DTM necessary to sample adjacent terrain models and manually align elevation offsets at the seamslines. We also created a 17m/pix regional DTM from the same pixel columns within that image to avoid bias along the detector.

2.4. Elevation analyses

We constructed 11 HiRISE and 10 CTX digital terrain models (DTMs) from the stereopairs listed in Table 3. Some products were calibrated and formatted with the USGS Integrated Software for Images and Spectrometers (ISIS) (i.e. Anderson et al., 2004) and then stereopairs were passed through the Ames Stereo Pipeline (Broxton and Edwards, 2008; Moratto et al., 2010; Beyer, 2015; Shean et al., 2016).

We created other products with the software SOCET SET (© BAE Systems). All products were controlled to MOLA shot elevation data. The LayerTools Add-on for ArcMap was used for strike and dip measurements and was provided by Kneissl (see Kneissl et al., 2010).

For profile measurements longer than about 5 km, it was often necessary to sample adjacent terrain models and manually align elevation offsets at the seamslines. We also created a 17m/pix regional DTM from the same pixel columns within that image to avoid bias along the detector.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Resolution (m/pix)</th>
<th>ID (nadir-most)</th>
<th>ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HiRISE stereopair</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_034249_1920</td>
<td>ESP_034394_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_021577_1920</td>
<td>ESP_022434_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_036583_1920</td>
<td>ESP_036517_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_036116_1920</td>
<td>ESP_036227_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_016474_1915</td>
<td>ESP_017186_1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>ESP_042003_1920</td>
<td>ESP_041937_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_039735_1920</td>
<td>ESP_040658_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_037295_1920</td>
<td>ESP_037005_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ESP_047865_1925</td>
<td>ESP_047865_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ESP_034816_1925</td>
<td>ESP_036293_1925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX stereopair</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>P07_003631_1920</td>
<td>B09_013296_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>B17_016498_1913</td>
<td>G06_020443_1916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>J03_046104_1918</td>
<td>F05_037783_1918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>P08_004266_1912</td>
<td>B06_011951_1916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>G09_021788_1918</td>
<td>G11_022434_1918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>B17_016474_1915</td>
<td>B19_017186_1916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>D19_034816_1921</td>
<td>F01_036293_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>P13_006376_1918</td>
<td>F01_036293_1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>D07_029845_1921</td>
<td>D06_029990_1921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>G21_026601_1918</td>
<td>P04_002774_1922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRSC DA4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>H2134</td>
<td>H2145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>H2145</td>
<td>H2145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>H0894</td>
<td>H0894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOLA Elevation</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>128 ppd Elevation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5. Albedo analyses

We identified CTX images that looked atmospherically clear, and calibrated and corrected the images in ISIS according to the methods in Bell et al., (2013) and Anderson and Bell (2010). We divided by the cosine of the incidence angle to obtain estimated Lambert albedo images, map projected them, and uploaded them to JMARS.

2.6. Visual analysis

We examined a total of 65 HiRISE observations acquired between years 2007–2017. These images spanned roughly from 11.0° to 13.5°N, 313.5°–316.5°E, and helped inform our characterization of the morphology and stratigraphy of the region. Images had a resolution of either 25 or 50 cm/pix. We examined both the color image and larger red band image for each observation listed in Table 4.

3. Results

3.1. General observations

3.1.1. Visual

Upon initial examination of the fan-shaped deposit in CTX and HiRISE images, we divided the light-toned deposit into 5 units. Fig. 4c shows the locations of these units: main lobe (A), a smaller lobe to the north (B), two braided and inverted features (C and D), and small patches further down-slope (E). CTX images (Fig. 5) show paleoflow direction (see Fawdon et al., 2018b for paleoflow timeline interpretation). Lobes A and B are fan shaped, and consist of a build up of multiple flow directions, therefore we marked three potential avulsion node locations (Fig. 5, white arrows). Inverted channels C and D are braided and maintain a NE and ENE heading respectively. Following the paleoflow lineations on their surface, both channel systems appear to source from the same avulsion location which is hidden by a younger superimposed impact crater near the location where channel D crosscuts channel C.

The location of distal light-toned low thermal inertia deposits is shown as E in Fig. 4c, and is more easily seen in Figs. 4b, f, and 6. All
five of the fluvial units appear to have similar geology and morphology (we classify the entire fan deposit as belonging to just one facies unit). The units are approximately flat-topped, with subdued topography on their surfaces, and roughly half of the surface is covered by light-toned aeolian bedforms. The fan surface unit is dominated by a smooth/soft morphology; lower gradient areas as well as some cliff slopes appear to gently blur the landscape. Many small craters ranging from 0.5 to 10 m in diameter have retained their simple bowl shape (Fig. 7). The smooth light morphology of the deposits surface is contrasted with that of several darker deposits. Fig. 8 shows darker material as ejecta from a crater which punched through the light-toned deposit. This example shows that a darker unit underlies the Hypanis deposit. In other locations, patches of darker deposits overlie the Hypanis deposit (Fig. 9).

3.1.2. Topography

We found that the main lobe and northern lobes were up to 60–100 m thick in some parts. We also found that the light-toned layers were deposited in an open ended (at least at present) bowl shaped depression along the Chryse basin rim, and that rounded buttes stand higher than the layered deposit. In some regions, wrinkle ridges can offset topography by ∼80 m. We also note that the eastern region of the main lobe is much lower than the western or northern regions, and there exists a 50 m relief escarpment trending N-S in the center of the main lobe (Figs. 10 and 11).

The five light-toned Hypanis fluvial units discussed above are surrounded by darker floor units. We found it helpful to view HiRISE anaglyphs (Figs. 12–19) to investigate the relationship between the Hypanis layers and dark material. In several cases, we found the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geomorphic Unit</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Albedo</th>
<th>Thermal Inertia (J m⁻² K⁻¹ s⁻¹/²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Hypanis Fan Low TI Unit</td>
<td>−2638 to −2561</td>
<td>0.20–0.21</td>
<td>150–170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Hypanis Fan Unit</td>
<td>−2638 to −2561</td>
<td>0.20–0.21</td>
<td>150–240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Noachian Highlands</td>
<td>−2290 to −2258</td>
<td>0.18–0.20</td>
<td>190–270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Lederberg Low TI</td>
<td>−2820 to −2785</td>
<td>0.20–0.21</td>
<td>230–340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Lederberg High TI</td>
<td>−2855 to −2846</td>
<td>0.20–0.21</td>
<td>270–390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
Properties of geomorphic units.
contact between these two units was topographically expressed as a moat (Fig. 13). We also noted that in some regions the darker floor unit appeared to consist of multiple flow-like morphologies and contained wrinkle ridges not present in the layered deposit. In some locations layered light-toned mesas were capped with dark material.
Fig. 11. The three images of Fig. 10 are each draped over our digital elevation model mosaic. View is looking south towards the Chryse escarpment and apex of the Hypanis deposit. The rounded buttes which dot the region have a much greater relief and reach a higher elevation than any of remnant of the Hypanis light-toned deposit. Images are 60 km wide. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 12. All figures with the red/blue glasses symbol are HiRISE anaglyphs requiring these glasses to view in 3D. We viewed HiRISE anaglyphs to search the large region for key contacts and stratigraphic information. This image is a mosaic of 5 HiRISE stereopair anaglyphs of the North and West perimeter of the Hypanis main lobe. The largest relief features are the cliffs along the perimeter of the main lobe and the rounded mounds, which appear on both the Hypanis deposit and the darker floor units. The location of Fig. 14 has two corners marked. Additionally, a 1 km wide pit at the westernmost border of the mosaic is also one of the proposed locations for a habitation site mentioned at NASA's Human Landing Site Workshop in October 2015. See Gupta et al. (2015a,b) for more information about potential shelter, resources, water extraction, and science investigations at this candidate site. HiRISE Anaglyph, NASA/JPL/UA.
Fig. 13. This figure shows that the contact between the light-toned layered fan-shaped Hypanis deposit (right) and the darker cratered terrain (left) appears to be an onlapping or embaying relationship. A moat separates the two units, and the darker material has a raised ridge (black arrow) along the western edge of the moat, suggesting the darker unit deflated after its emplacement. This location suggests the darker units to the west are younger than the fan deposit. NASA/JPL/UA.
Fig. 15. Cliffs and benches along the northern diffuse border of the main lobe appear flat and laterally continuous. A darker unit near the top of the image (black arrow) appears to slope upward toward the layered beds to its west. This could indicate where the darker unit flowed onto the layered slopes at a time when the fan unit was larger. HiRISE Anaglyph, NASA/JPL/UA.
Fig. 16. An impact crater in the floor unit at the base of the cliffs leaves behind an ejecta blanket which has eroded in a different manner than the layered deposit. The ejecta blanket in the floor unit appears to have eroded in place into darker boulders. This contrasts with the fan unit which appears to erode into smaller clasts, possibly contributing to the bedforms which cover the deposit. The bottom-most layers of the Hypanis main lobe contain larger clasts or lighter toned boulders. HiRISE Anaglyph, NASA/JPL/UA.

Fig. 17. A mesa (top center) is capped with a darker and more cratered material. This suggests that the cap rock was more extensive, perhaps covering much more or all of the fan shaped deposit. It is also possible that this capping unit helped preserve the underlying layered deposit. In addition to the dark floor unit, there is a second flat-lying fractured floor unit which is more light toned and is situated as an apron of the fan layers. HiRISE Anaglyph, NASA/JPL/UA.
Fig. 18. Layers exposed in the fan-shaped deposit have some variations in textures within the section. The lowest layers identified (right) contain clasts as large as 1–3 m in size. However, no boulders are seen as talus beneath these lower cliff, which raises the question of whether these are not a conglomerate of rocks and boulders eroding out of a matrix, but rather beds of a more uniform and smaller clast size which break into chunks when exposed. The majority of the exposed fan deposit beds in the region are stratigraphically above (left) these rockier or chunkier beds, and no clasts can be observed at HiRISE resolution. On top of the Hypanis layers are Aeolian bedforms. HiRISE Anaglyph, NASA/JPL/UA.

Fig. 19. A closer view of cliff and bench strata near Fig. 18. HiRISE Anaglyph, NASA/JPL/UA.
The terminus or front of the main lobe is not continuous. Buttes and mesas with steep cliffs that create a diffuse perimeter. Cliff heights of 30–70 m are common, containing over 30 sub-horizontal cliff and bench layers in some locations.

3.1.3. Thermal inertia

The thermal inertia values were obtained from processed and calibrated (Fergason et al., 2006) band 9 THEMIS images (Christensen et al., 2004). We examined THEMIS images acquired between years 2003–2004 (~orbits 5000–12000, Mars years 26–27). Since surface temperatures vary seasonally, even images acquired at the same local time (pre-dawn) could differ by up to ~200 Jm⁻²K⁻¹s⁻¹/² (this study, and Baldridge et al., 2013). This uncertainty is expected, and the absolute difference in thermal inertias of various units was consistent across the images. Thus, we stretched the images in Fig. 20 to match the values in THEMIS I05975009 (Ls = 171, Lt = 5), and reported values in Table 5 based on this image. This scaling decision was not entirely arbitrary; we examined contemporary Mars Orbiter Camera (MOC) wide-angle (WAC) and narrow-angle (NAC) images to guide us to use THEMIS thermal inertia measurements from relatively quiet atmospheric periods with no indications of dust-storms or a lighter dustier surface.

The light-toned fan units had the lowest thermal inertia averages (150–240 Jm⁻²K⁻¹s⁻¹/²). The neighboring highland units surrounding Hypanis to the south had slightly higher values (190–270 Jm⁻²K⁻¹s⁻¹/²), and the dark-toned smooth ponded material in Lederberg crater had the highest values (270–390 Jm⁻²K⁻¹s⁻¹/²).

3.1.4. Albedo

We found for both CTX images analyzed (F02_036517 and P17_007534) that histograms of albedos formed a normal bell-shaped curve centered at 0.18 and 0.20 respectively, and that the values ranged up to 0.02 from the mean. Estimated Lambertian albedos were ultimately recorded from CTX P17_007534 in Table 5.

3.1.5. Spectra

CRISM data in our study region were limited in coverage at higher resolution, and a map of CRISM footprints is shown in Fig. 21. If we assume that Hypanis is a deltaic deposit, then we might expect to find the best Fe/Mg clay signatures in the lowest strata along the distal front.

(Fig. 17). The terminus or front of the main lobe is not continuous. Buttes and mesas with steep cliffs that create a diffuse perimeter. Cliff heights of 30–70 m are common, containing over 30 sub-horizontal cliff and bench layers in some locations.
exposures of the main lobe or channels. These areas were not covered by any higher resolution targeted CRISM sequences. We examined spectra from all CRISM cubes in Fig. 21, and found no strong signatures that would indicate igneous rocks, but some absorption features near 1.4, 1.9, and 2.3 microns implying hydration and the presence of Fe/Mg smectite clays. We identified several spatially coherent regions in the Hypanis vicinity (red regions, Fig. 21) with strong absorptions at 2.3 microns (D2300 parameter) from lower resolution CRISM MSP products. Where data are present, the bulk of hydration and clay signatures in the mapped region geographically correspond to crater rims and polygonally fractured terrain as seen in HiRISE images.

3.2. Formation processes tests

3.2.1. Main lobe

We evaluated formation hypotheses and list results in Tables 1 and 2. The main lobe of the Hypanis deposit consists of layered beds with gradients between 0–2° (Table 6 and Fig. 22). From our preliminary analysis, the northern front of the main lobe was most likely to contain cliffs with a stratigraphic record of depositional change. From our measurements in this region, we did not identify a change in slope that could indicate the interface of topset and foreset beds. However, we later discuss that this property might be unlikely to be testable after all due to the size of the potential deltaic deposit and the amount of erosion suggested by its present surface.

From visual examination of CTX images, we identified avulsion nodes at three different locations (Fig. 5). From our regional elevation mosaic we found that Hypanis is nestled in a topographic bay (Fig. 23) along the

![Fig. 22. The northern edge of main lobe (A) ends with cliffs roughly 70 m in height. Sun angle shadowing of the cliff and bench structure highlights the sedimentary layers in Hypanis. View (top right) is looking south at layering in the main lobe cliffs. In these images HiRISE image ESP_021577_1920 is overlaid on a HiRISE stereo DTM. Sample strike and dip measurement data points (yellow dots) trace around curved edges in the cliffs to better fit bed planes, and the resulting calculated dips are reported in Table 6. Red elevation contour lines also show that beds are nearly flat. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image1)

![Fig. 23. Layers in Hypanis fan form small cliffs and terraces. HiRISE ESP_021577_1920, NASA/JPL/UA.](image2)
Chryse escarpment, with lower elevations to the northeast. We searched the immediate surroundings of the Hypanis deposit for water strandlines, spectral signatures of byproducts of standing water, and other deltaic or lacustrine landforms which could provide cotemporaneous support for a standing body of water in this open basin. We found no additional support from within the immediately surrounding basin, but several strong cases could be made for the greater region. These phenomena include the potential Sabrina delta (60 km to the west in Magong crater, with similar albedo, thermal inertia, apex elevation, and morphology to the Hypanis deposit, Hauber et al. (2009) and hydro-volcanic features in Lederberg crater (30 km north of the main lobe, Brož and Hauber (2013). Polygonal fractures in the darker units which make up the floor and cap units at Hypanis could also be indicative of past standing water over the entire region, as the associated clay signatures lead us to favor ice-wedging or desiccation/mud-cracking as the leading formation hypotheses for fractures in the darker unit (discussed later in Figs. 29 and 30). Larger clasts were identified in the lowest exposed strata of the fan deposit in HiRISE images and anaglyphs (Figs. 18, 19, and 23). The majority of the remnant Hypanis deposit lies above these blockier beds and has no visible clasts at HiRISE resolution. These blockier layers are not associated with a higher THEMIS-derived thermal inertia as expected for boulders entrained in a conglomerate. In fact, no difference was detected between the thermal inertia of beds containing these blocks and beds without visible clasts, and the entire fan deposit exhibits a very low thermal inertia (150–240 Jm−2K−1s−1/2) (Figs. 4f, 20, and Table 5). We searched all HiRISE digital elevation models and images for ridges bounding any light-toned layered sequence. We found no levees in the region, which often form during mudflows and can support channelized travel of high sediment loads to distant parts of the fan-deposit. We searched the MOLA global digital elevation model for a likely source for a mudflow and found none nearby. We show in Fig. 24 that the nearest potential sediment source in such a case is too distant to support the mudflow hypothesis.

We measured the along-flow and across-fan profiles of the main fan (Figs. 25 and 26) for overall slope and shape. The slope profile had

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clff</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Dip° ± Error</th>
<th>Dip Direction ± Error</th>
<th>Number of points</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.24</td>
<td>31.45 ± 45.73</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>66.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.27</td>
<td>59.32 ± 88.16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>14.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.34</td>
<td>56.32 ± 30.41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>67.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.11</td>
<td>85.34 ± 16.04</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>92.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.12</td>
<td>73.51 ± 20.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>95.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.63</td>
<td>187.24 ± 105.90</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>86.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.39</td>
<td>97.03 ± 15.29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>97.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.17</td>
<td>92.67 ± 6.64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>99.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.53</td>
<td>93.69 ± 20.25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>95.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.83 ± 1.05</td>
<td>65.24 ± 37.69</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>94.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.41</td>
<td>71.79 ± 23.69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>74.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.43</td>
<td>39.55 ± 23.85</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>71.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.38</td>
<td>56.56 ± 12.25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>82.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.56</td>
<td>35.47 ± 57.40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>69.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.45</td>
<td>53.54 ± 19.10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>85.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 24. If short-timescale repeated overland flow of mud was responsible for producing the layered Hypanis deposit, what would a likely source region be? We show a region bounded by a yellow curved line which is the nearest source of large relief and missing material, but travel of a mudflow over such a great distance is very unlikely. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 25. Context map for elevation profiles. Profiles a-e marked in black are found in supplemental Fig. S3.

Fig. 26. Long elevation profiles show the topographic relationships between the lobes and inverted channels. The apex of the deposit begins around elevation -2550 m.
a much lower gradient than expected for a classical alluvial fan (see slope distinction from Section 5 of Schon et al. (2012) and discussion in Wood (2006), but low gradients are not inconsistent with potentially analogous megafans on Earth, such as the Kosi or Okavango for instance (Stanistreet and McCarthy, 1993). As previously reported, the main lobe did not display a fixed avulsion node (Fig. 5), but a more complex alluvial/fluvial system could avulse for a number of reasons. We examined all HiRISE images of the layered deposit to assess the clast size distribution within the main lobe. One might expect in the classical alluvial fan case to find larger grains, cobbles, or boulders within recent channels. We found no evidence of boulders, but note that the 0.25 m/pixel resolution of HiRISE images means that we would not see boulders smaller than about 75 cm without favorable lighting conditions to cast a large shadow. Furthermore, we see no visible evidence of clasts of any size within the deposit.

3.2.2. Northern lobe

We observed that the northern lobe of the Hypanis deposit (Fig. 4c labeled B) does not consist of rocky units visible in HiRISE images, or a thermal inertia consistent with a rocky composition (thermal inertia calculated from THEMIS images I05975009 (Fig. 20) and (THEMIS image 116883014). We examined a HiRISE anaglyph and DEM (Fig. 27) of the northern lobe and did not find topographic signatures of a moraine at the foot of the lobe. The layers presumed to be within the northern lobe are indeed visible in the walls of the channel or neck, but are covered in many places by bedforms or talus from the ledges above (Fig. 28). We measured these beds with LayerTools and again found very low dip angles (less than 2°). These layers do not seem to conform with underlying topography. We determined from HiRISE images and our DEM that the shape was not consistent with either a rock glacier or dust-covered dirty glacier. Profiles plotted across the northern lobe showed that a rounded top (perhaps indicative of an esker) is not present (see supplemental Fig. S3). We examined our regional datasets and found no clear signs of contemporaneous glaciation.

We did not see any clasts or boulders in the HiRISE image of the northern lobe, and the low THEMIS thermal inertia possibly supports that there was not a large fresh rocky unit exposed at the surface. We noted that the source of a potential mudflow would be the same as for the main lobe (Fig. 24) which is too distant to be a likely mechanism. No levees were seen, which could have strongly supported a debris flow hypothesis.

The highest point of the northern lobe (-1650 m) occurs at its neck and is shaded white near the arrow in Fig. 27. We identified locations outside of the northern lobe and channel on the main deposit with elevations higher than ~1650 m.
4. Interpretations

Our results partially support a deltaic origin for the Hypanis deposit, and we favor this interpretation over the alluvial fan hypotheses. Our results do not support a mudflow origin. Low thermal inertia, thin sub-horizontal beds, and avulsion node migration are all consistent with an ancient deltaic environment along the Chryse escarpment. The lateral extent of these nearly flat beds over distances upwards of 10 km and their erosional morphology are indicative of a sedimentary lacustrine or marine process where finer clasts settle. The brighter albedo component

Fig. 28. HiRISE anaglyphs of the northern lobe: (a) fragments of a darker cap unit overlie the layered deposit. (b) darker material to the east, on top, and to the west of the neck of the northern lobe suggests a draping relationship postdating the lighter channel deposit. (c) sub-horizontal layers in the neck of the northern lobe are best exposed here, as farther north bedforms cover the lobe and create a blurred border.
in the bedforms atop and at the base of the layered deposit is likely sourced from aeolian erosion of the light-toned beds and indicates that the fluvial units erode into sand- or silt-sized clasts. The variations in thermal inertia across the deposit surface likely represent the amount of aeolian bedforms present, and could be a sign of active erosion. The lowest thermal inertia values seem confined to the flanks of the light-toned deposit and to the flats beyond the main lobe front cliffs where locally sourced fine grain bedforms cover the deposit.

Our results from the distal northern lobe tests strongly support a fluvial origin; the northern lobe could have been formed in a typical fashion of fans and deltas, with new channels incising into a higher standing main lobe, and sediment being carried farther down-gradient until deposition on top of older deposits. We believe the northern lobe is part of the delta structure, and not formed by a different process.

We believe the distal island deposits (E in Fig. 4c) were once part of either the main lobe (A) or the inverted braided channel (C). The matching thermal inertia, morphology, and albedo indicate that these remnant islands were once connected to the Hypanis fluvial system. The position of these distal deposits could have been linked to channel C if flow had meandered north of its current path, or been related to main lobe A. The diffuse northern border of the main lobe (with abrupt cliff faces and visual signs of high levels of erosion) indicates that the deposit was once larger. The basin floor topography from the main deposit northward is not currently blocked, and the distal islands stand 10–15 m higher than their surroundings. If these distal deposits were part of the main lobe, a delta at Hypanis would have been over 130 km in length. Even if these deposits were not part of the main lobe, the Hypanis delta main lobe (A as mapped in Fig. 4c) is still the largest potential delta identified to date on Mars. The main lobe alone is ~40 km in length, spans an area just over 1,000 km², and has a sediment volume of ~80 km³. The main lobe area alone (excluding the distal northern lobe, inverted channels, and distal island deposits) is comparable to small river deltas on Earth, such as the Colorado River Delta at the Gulf of California, but is dwarfed by the aerial extent of deltas like The Nile, about 30 times its size (Table 7).

Based on our topographic analysis and cross-cutting relationships, we interpret that the main lobe (A) formed subaqueously first and was much larger than its current area. Then the northern lobe (B) formed as the shore receded to the north. The water level retreated further and braided inverted channels C then D formed subaerially, leading downslope toward a lower shoreline.

Table 7
Relative sizes of several commonly referenced deltas on Mars and Earth compared to the main lobe of Hypanis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delta Name</th>
<th>Approximate Area (km²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jezero</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eberswalde</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabrina</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypanis</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosi Megafan</td>
<td>9,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekong</td>
<td>55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approximate areas were measured in JMARS according to geologic maps and literature review. The main lobe of Hypanis is roughly comparable in size to the delta of the Colorado River at the Gulf of California, as well as other smaller river deltas on Earth (not listed). Hypanis is much larger than Jezero and Eberswalde, which both are believed to have formed in ponded craters or closed basins. Sabrina, in Magong crater, was included for reference as it likely formed by a similar process as Hypanis as noted in this work and in Hauber et al. (2009).

Fig. 29. The darker floor units surrounding Hypanis are, in some places, fractured polygonally or orthogonally. Linear to curvilinear floor fractures extend several kilometers in some cases, and appear at various stages of either formation or exhumation/degradation. Our leading hypotheses for formation of these features are ice-wedging/periglacial processes, or desiccation. The locations of these example polygons and fractures are shown in Fig. 4a. (a) A large field of similarly sized polygons near an impact crater ejecta blanket (Fig. 4a) fades into a more linear fracture pattern towards the north edge of the image. (b) Orthogonal or zipper-like fractured terrain at the base of the channel C deposit. The large N-S trending fracture consists of two raised ridges and a central trough. (c) A fractured floor region appears to be in an eroded state. (d) A localized lighter unit exhibits a different fracture pattern than its surroundings. (e) Fractures in the darker floor unit at the base of the northern lobe. (f) A large orthogonal cross pattern at the base of the Hypanis layered deposit is perhaps representative of a different process than that which created polygonal terrain.
We interpret the darker surrounding floor units and dark patchy cap unit to be younger Hesperian volcanic or volcani-sedimentary deposits. Although the lower resolution global geologic map of Mars (Tanaka et al., 2014) marks this region as late Noachian highlands, this unit more closely resembles the neighboring unit to the north (early Hesperian transition unit) with its N-S trending wrinkle ridges. Although only marginally darker in measured albedo, these units are morphologically quite different. They retain small craters (see Edgett, 2009 and lie topographically above the flat deltaic beds. They appear to embody the light-toned deposits and in some areas show signs of deflation. The darker units also are found in some places to slope up onto (onlap) the deltaic deposit and cover its top surface. The presence of volcanic or volcani-clastic deposits in this broader region to the north of Hypanis is well documented by both the Viking 1 and Pathfinder data, and this darker younger unit is perhaps characteristic of the local eHt (early Hesperian transition) unit mapped by Tanaka et al. (2014), which appropriately contains a moderate amount of wrinkle ridges and some volcanic deposits in places.

These darker units also display polygonal, orthogonal, and zipper-like fracturing (Fig. 29). We found patches of this polygonally fractured terrain as far as the Lederberg crater rim (Fig. 30). We posit that these fractures (and polygons above ~2 m in size) are likely a result of freeze-thaw action or ice wedging. Smaller polygons in the region are often associated with CRISM smectite clay signatures (Fig. 31). We posit that these fractures were created by desiccation. As it appears that there were several flows emplacing these darker surrounding units, and since the albedo of these units are very similar, we have not yet tried to separately describe flow events, compositional differences, or fracture processes within this broader dark wrinkle ridge containing unit. Since this unit appears to be an eroding cap on top of the northern lobe deposit, we believe the darker unit likely covered all of the Hypanis deposit, and perhaps helped shelter and preserve the sedimentary units from the regional catastrophic erosion of ~3.2 Ga.

5. Discussion

Despite the lack of clear evidence of an angular unconformity (with topset beds above foresets at a higher slope) we believe it would be unlikely to affirm this test given the large size and severe state of erosion of the ancient Hypanis deposit. Smaller and/or steeper deltaic deposits may benefit from subjection to this test. Also, not all types of deltas would have superposing beds with contrasting dipping angles like this (Coe, 2003). It could also be possible that the majority of the beds measured were bottomset or topset beds, but without a higher resolution oblique view of the deposit cliffs, the clast size distribution within a layer is hard to discern. (Similarly, if a large active terrestrial delta dried and lithified, it is unclear whether we would be able to identify topset and foreset beds from the same orbital assets we currently have at Mars.)

We marked the test of additional support of a standing body of water as Maybe (Table 1) due to the strong similarity of Hypanis to the potential delta in Magong crater (Hauber et al., 2009), the similarity in elevation of other potential deltas (Di Achille and Hynek, 2010a), and the proximity of hydro-volcanic features (Brož and Hauber, 2013). Our spectral analysis also supports a Chryse ring of hydrated minerals presented by Carter et al., (2015a) near these elevations. The polygonal fractures in the floor unit at the base of Hypanis are strikingly similar to those at the base of the potential Martian delta in Jezero crater (W. Abbey, pers. comm.). Perhaps this indicates a similar depositional environment.

A theoretical argument could still be made for Hypanis being a low gradient alluvial fan composed of mudflows. We assigned the result of
Maybe for the test of the characteristic shape and morphology of an alluvial fan (Table 1), since alluvial fans could consist of sand and mud. While terrestrial examples of low gradient fine grain mudfans do exist, none to our knowledge resemble suitable analogs of the complex morphology of the Hypanis deposit.

If our favored hypothesis of a deltaic environment is correct, the amount of water required to fill the portion of Chryse spanned by Hypanis is not trivial. A lake of 100 km in length is perhaps the minimum size of a body of water that could have housed the large delta. Our analysis supports the idea of an even larger body of water in Chryse planitia, and potentially a northern ocean on Mars, and would be consistent with proposed shorelines by Clifford and Parker (2001), Di Achille and Hynek (2010a), and Rodriguez et al. (2015).

A potential ocean is supported by other bodies of work as well. Baker et al. (1991) argued that many geomorphological features could be explained by an episodic northern ocean (Oceanus Borealis) and ice-sheet formation. Lucchitta (1993) added that geomorphic features in the northern hemisphere would also be consistent with an ice covered polar lake or ocean, which could even be completely frozen. Parker (1994) proposed two traceable paleoshorelines, which were again tested with MOLA data by Head et al. (1998). The later results were consistent with the hypothesis of a large standing body of water in the northern lowlands of Mars since Head et al. (1998) found smoothing at all length scales below Parkers younger Contact 2, that the contact was a close approximation to an equipotential surface, and the enclosed volume was consistent with total outflow channel discharge and maximum estimated megaregolith pore space. Malin and Edgett (1999) analyzed MOC images specifically targeted to re-examine terrain previously argued to support an ocean coastline, but found no evidence to support the shorelines previously proposed. Clifford and Parker (1999) posited that the existence of a primordial ocean on Mars was an inevitable consequence of the hydraulic and thermal conditions that existed during the Early Noachian. Carr (2000) suggested that while 1.5 m/pix MOC images did not support identification of shoreline features, other new images of valleys strongly support sustained or episodic flow of water over the surface, and high erosion rates could support a warm climate and presence of large bodies of water during heavy bombardment. Clifford and Parker (2001) further summarized hydraulic and thermal conditions could imply the northern surface was covered with standing bodies of water and ice. Fig. 6 in Clifford and Parker (2001) marks the Arabian Shoreline globally, which matches the locations of Hypanis and Sabrina along the Chryse escarpment.

Di Achille and Hynek (2010a) as previously discussed, mapped an equipotential surface based on the elevations of valleys and a list of potential deltaic deposits, which is consistent with our analysis at Hypanis. Fig. 3 in Rodriguez et al. (2015) also draws a shoreline during the Late Noachian based on the geomorphology of valleys and craters that is again consistent with the location of Hypanis. Recent work by Citron et al. (2018) is also relevant to northern plains water bodies. If Hypanis is much older than the reported 3.6 Ga, then it could fit their proposed model of an earlier Arabia shoreline. If 3.6 Ga is an accurate age for Hypanis, then perhaps Hypanis would instead fit into a model of the Deuteronilus paleoshoreline, belonging to a body of water after Tharsis and true polar wander could have warped Martian topography. Recent work by Rodriguez et al. (2016) shows that boldes hitting a northern body of water can create tsunami deposits. While we might expect to see the same effects, we have not yet found evidence of these types of deposits in our study region, which is farther south and at a higher elevation than the units found in Rodriguez et al. (2016).

Although not as strongly supported by current topography, it is also possible that Hypanis was deposited into a more confined closed basin, with a northern border which has since disappeared. Either a glacial dam or a land dam could have ponded the water into which Hypanis formed. During periods of high obliquity, glacial ice migrated to the mid latitudes of Mars, and possibly as far as the equator. According to the Late Noachian Icy Highlands (LNIH) model (Fastook and Head, 2015), it is possible that early Mars was cold and icy rather than warm and wet, and top down melting of ice sheets can account for widespread valley network formation. Even without invoking this climate model, large obliquity shifts alone could create ice deposits at 14° N over 3.6 billion years ago (Mellon and Jakosky, 1995; Laskar et al., 2004). Ice to the north could have created a closed basin topography, but the delta sediments were still sourced from the Noachian highlands to the south (by either groundwater, precipitation led surface runoff, or glacial melting). While our specific landform tests in Table 2 were negative for ice-related processes, we cannot rule out that ice was not present in the region at that time. It is also possible that our topographic test for eskers was inadequate, as 3 billions years of erosion, burial, and exhumation could reduce a rounded top to a flat top. Evidence of regional icy regolith in the form of impact craters with slurry rampart ejecta (black arrows Fig. 4f; Reiss et al., 2006) is common in the region, but appears to stratigraphically post-date Hypanis.

A land dam could also have existed north of Hypanis. A plethora of rounded buttes dot the northern portion of our study region and are frequently arranged in a circular pattern interpreted to be former large impact crater rims. It is not impossible that crater walls once formed a closed basin before failing due to river incision, catastrophic flooding, aeolian abrasion, or other gradational processes.

6. Conclusion

We used high-resolution orbital images, thermal IR images, and topographic data to assess the deposit at the terminus of Hypanis Valles along the edge of the Chryse basin. We favor a deltaic origin for the deposit over a mudflat or alluvial fan hypothesis. The implication of our proposed subaqueous formation is that a large body of water was present in the Chryse basin during the late Noachian. This deltaic deposit was then capped by a darker unit, which has subsequently been eroded. Hypanis is the largest potential delta on Mars to date, and its position along the edge of the northern lowlands is consistent with proposed paleo-shorelines of a northern ocean.
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