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Abstract
Following K-Ar dating of a mudstone and a sandstone, a third sample has been dated by the Curiosity rover exploring Gale Crater. The Mojave 2 mudstone, which contains relatively abundant jarosite, yielded a young K-Ar bulk age of 2.57 ± 0.39 Ga (1σ precision). A two-step heating experiment was implemented in an effort to resolve the K-Ar ages of primary and secondary mineralogical components within the sample. This technique involves measurement of 40Ar released in low-temperature (500°C) and high-temperature (930°C) steps, and a model of the potassium distribution within the mineralogical components of the sample. Using this method, the high-temperature step yields a K-Ar model age of 4.07 ± 0.63 Ga associated with detrital plagioclase, compatible with the age obtained on the Cumberland mudstone by Curiosity. The low-temperature step, associated with jarosite mixed with K-bearing evaporites and/or phyllosilicates, gave a youthful K-Ar model age of 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga. The interpretation of this result is complicated by the potential for argon loss after mineral formation. Comparison with the results on Cumberland and previously published constraints on argon retentivity of the individual phases likely to be present suggests that the formation age of the secondary materials, correcting for plausible extents of argon loss, is still less than 3 Ga, suggesting post-3 Ga aqueous processes occurred in the sediments in Gale Crater. Such a result is inconsistent with K-bearing mineral formation in Gale Lake and instead suggests postdepositional fluid flow at a time after surface fluvial activity on Mars is thought to have largely ceased.

1. Introduction
The surface regions of Mars and their associated geologic features are divided into three major epochs: the Noachian (4.1–3.7 Ga), the Hesperian (3.7–3.1 Ga), and the Amazonian (3.1 to present) (Hartmann & Neukum, 2001; Nimmo & Tanaka, 2005). The Noachian is characterized by abundant surface water, illustrated by the presence of phyllosilicates and high-drainage-density valley networks (e.g., Ehlimann et al., 2011; Fassett & Head, 2008). A relative increase in the proportions of sulfates and other evaporite minerals, along with the lack of highly dissected drainage networks, in Hesperian-aged terranes suggest a large-scale desiccation of Mars (Bibring et al., 2006). This overall drying trend continued in the Amazonian, with liquid water playing no apparent major role in generating or altering large-scale features of the Martian surface during this time period. Understanding the absolute and relative timing of these geomorphic and mineralogical features is critical to a complete understanding of the planet’s evolution. However, the ages of Mars’ epochs are based on cratering chronology and are accordingly subject to limitations and uncertainties inherent to that technique (e.g., Hartmann, 2005; Hartmann & Daubar, 2017; Hartmann & Neukum, 2001; McEwen et al., 2005; Robbins et al., 2014).

Although the Curiosity rover was not designed to implement the K-Ar method, by combining the capabilities of the Alpha Particle X-ray Spectrometer (APXS), CheMin, and Sample Analysis at Mars (SAM) instruments on board the rover, in situ radiometric dating of Martian materials by the K-Ar method is possible (Farley et al., 2014), albeit with analytical uncertainties far larger than those routinely obtained in terrestrial labs. The results of Farley et al. (2014) and Vasconcelos et al. (2016) represent the first attempts at off-planet radiometric geochronology. A K-Ar bulk age of 4.21 ± 0.35 Ga (1σ) was obtained from the Cumberland sample (Farley et al., 2014), drilled from the Sheepbed lacustrine mudstone in Gale Crater. This age is consistent with crater-counting results from nearby terranes (Le Deit et al., 2012) and the expected ancient age of igneous
materials on Mars, suggesting that the technique successfully retrieved the formation age of minerals in the sample. A second geochronology experiment was undertaken on the Windjana sandstone in the Kimberly formation; this experiment was clearly unsuccessful because it resulted in extremely variable and young K-Ar ages of 627 ± 50 Ma and 1710 ± 110 Ma on separate aliquots of the same sample (Vasconcelos et al., 2016). The failure of this second experiment has been attributed to incomplete argon extraction due to a combination of the larger grain size of the material sampled at Windjana compared to that of Cumberland, as well as the extraordinary abundance of the highly argon-retentive mineral sanidine (~20 wt %) in the Windjana sample.

The mudstone sample Mojave 2, drilled later in the mission in the Pahrump Hills, was found to contain several weight percent jarosite [KFe₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆], making it the most jarosite-rich sample yet discovered by Curiosity (Rampe et al., 2017). Like many other samples measured by CheMin, Mojave 2 also contains phyllosilicates and an amorphous component (Rampe et al., 2017). As jarosite is formed by interaction with water (as are the phyllosilicates and possibly the amorphous materials), the Mojave 2 sample potentially offers an opportunity to investigate the timing of aqueous interaction with the sediments in Gale Crater relative to the formation of the potassium-containing detrital igneous grains (i.e., feldspar and any glass present) in those sediments. A unique aspect of the current work is an attempt to separate the ages of these two components. Jarosite has been shown to be suitable for K-Ar dating (Vasconcelos et al., 1994) and releases argon at temperatures below 500°C (Kula & Baldwin, 2011). In contrast, plagioclase retains the vast majority of its argon to above 500°C (e.g., Bogard et al., 1979; Cassata et al., 2009). To take advantage of this differential Ar release, a two-step heating methodology was devised and applied to Mojave 2; stepped heating experiments are a standard technique in noble gas geochronology used to resolve ages of various components in single samples. Such a two-step measurement was not attempted for the Cumberland sample to allow method development with a simpler analytical protocol; two-step heating for the Windjana sample was not attempted due to the small amount of potassium inferred to be held in its secondary phases. The phases present in Mojave 2 cluster conveniently by formation mechanism and argon release temperature: the low-temperature step should yield information about the formation and preservation of minerals associated with water in the sample (i.e., secondary components), while the high-temperature step should date detrital components only.

2. Sample and Methodology
2.1. Sample Description

The Mojave 2 drill hole is located stratigraphically low in the Pahrump Hills locality, which is in the Murray mudstone formation, at the base of Mount Sharp. The Pahrump Hills are ~13 m thick, representing the lowermost 10% of the Murray formation (Grotzinger et al., 2015). The Murray is a deposit of mudstone and fine-grained sandstone with millimeter-scale lamination interpreted to represent the distal lacustrine sediment deposited in the lake once contained in Gale Crater. Mojave 2 is located within a finely laminated mudstone in the Pahrump Hills, with a grain size too small to be resolved by the Mars Hands Lens Imager (MAHLI) (<60 μm; Grotzinger et al., 2015). These fine-grained sediments interfinger with the coarser-grained deltaic sediments, which comprise the lower Bradbury group (Grotzinger et al., 2015). The coarsening-upward nature of the Pahrump Hills sequence indicates fluvo-deltaic progradation over the older lacustrine mudstone deposits (Grotzinger et al., 2015).

Diagenetic features have been observed in the Pahrump Hills and in association with the Mojave 2 target specifically (Gellert et al., 2015; Grotzinger et al., 2015; McBride et al., 2015; Nachon et al., 2017; Schieber et al., 2015). Lenticular crystal laths, lighter in color than the host rock, comprise ~30% of the substrate in the brushed Mojave 2 target (Figure 1; McBride et al., 2015; Schieber et al., 2015). Their presence in broken pieces of outcrop show that these features extend vertically into the bedrock, implying that they are not simply a surface feature (Grotzinger et al., 2015). On the basis of morphology, these lenticular forms have been suggested to be gypsum crystals, which, in terrestrial environments, form in saline mudflats and ephemeral lakes (Mees et al., 2012; Schieber et al., 2015). Given the lack of mineralogical (CheMin) or chemical (APXS) evidence for calcium sulfates in the Mojave 2 sample, it is possible that these crystal laths formed syndepositionally with the Murray mudstone and were later redissolved by postdepositional fluid flow, forming pseudomorphs of unknown composition (L. Kah, personal communication, 2017).
Drilling followed standard MSL procedures: a 5 cm deep hole was drilled, and rock from below 1.5–2 cm depth was delivered to the CHIMRA unit on board Curiosity (Sunshine, 2010). The rock cuttings were sieved to <150 μm for delivery to SAM and CheMin (Anderson et al., 2012; Mahaffy et al., 2012).

### 2.2. Sample Chemistry and Mineralogy

The mineralogy of Mojave 2 includes plagioclase and jarosite and no detectable sanidine (~1 wt % detection limit (Vaniman et al., 2014); the full mineralogy is listed in Table 1). This mineral assemblage paradoxically suggests both oxidizing (hematite) and reducing (magnetite) environments, as well as acidic (jarosite) and neutral (apatite) conditions. This juxtaposition could imply a postdepositional acidic, oxidizing fluid flowed through the Pahrump Hills area, possibly forming the jarosite (Rampe et al., 2017). Mojave 2 also contains an amorphous phase making up over 50 wt % of the total sample (Rampe et al., 2017). A comparison to the apparently successful geochronology experiment on Cumberland reveals that the only major difference in mineralogy between the two samples is the presence of jarosite in Mojave 2, which is known to be amenable to argon extraction at relatively low temperatures. In contrast with the failed run at Windjana on the other hand, the lack of coarse-grained sanidine in Mojave 2 eliminates this potential difficulty with argon extraction.

The chemical composition of Mojave 2 was measured several times by the APXS instrument: the surface of Mojave 2 after the dust removal tool was applied to the surface, tailings from a preliminary “minidrill” of the Mojave 2 outcrop, the drill tailings from the full drill, the dump pile from before sieving was completed (unsieved), and the dump pile discarded after sieving (sieved to <150 μm) and delivery to the SAM and CheMin instruments; these measurements yielded K2O contents of 0.69, 0.74, 0.63, 0.73, and 0.73 wt %, respectively. Of the available measurements, the presieve and postsieve dump piles are the most closely related to the K2O content of the Mojave 2 sample measured by SAM, because they consist of the same material taken from below the 1.5–2 cm depth during drilling, while the other measurements targeted materials which were not ingested by the rover. The fact that the K2O measurements of the presieve and postsieve sample are identical adds confidence in this measured value. The full chemistry of the postsieve dump pile is shown in Table 2.

### 2.3. Release Temperatures

To correlate the ⁴⁰Ar releases in each temperature step (<500°C and 500–930°C) with the component of the sample containing the associated parent ⁴⁰K, the temperature step in which each potassium-bearing material releases Ar must be established. Of the minerals in
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**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Mojave 2</th>
<th>Windjana</th>
<th>Cumberland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>23.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>22.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augite</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>20 ± 3.0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeonite</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopyroxene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>12 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEMATITE</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassanite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarosite</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorapatite</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akaganite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrophyllosilicate</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10 ± 0.2</td>
<td>18 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous</td>
<td>53 ± 15</td>
<td>15 ± 0.3</td>
<td>31 ± 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Phases potentially containing significant potassium are in bold. See references for discussions of reported uncertainties.

---

### Figure 1.

MAHLI images of (a) the brushed Mojave 2 surface, showing pronounced crystal laths, and (b) a magnification of the image in the area denoted by the black rectangle. The brushed area is approximately 4 cm across along the short axis. The color has been stretched to increase contrast.
Mojave 2, plagioclase, jarosite, phyllosilicates (e.g., illite), the amorphous phase(s), and possibly pyroxene are the only components likely to contain significant proportions of the total potassium. Pyroxenes release argon at very high temperatures (Cassata et al., 2011). However, the median K$_2$O content of over a thousand microprobe analyses of pyroxenes in Martian meteorites with nonzero K$_2$O values is ~0.02 wt % (references given in the supporting information), indicating that the ~6.8 wt % of pyroxene in Mojave 2 plays no role in the K-Ar characteristics of this bulk-rock analysis. Plagioclase hosts potassium (see section 3.1 below) and is known to release the vast majority of its argon in excess of 500°C (Bogard et al., 1979; Cassata et al., 2009), so it will degas almost entirely in the high-temperature step. Indeed, complete Ar degassing from plagioclase might be expected to require temperatures higher than the 930°C achieved in the SAM oven. Based on the very old age of 4.21 ± 0.35 Ga measured on the Cumberland mudstone, complete (or near-complete) extraction of Ar from feldspar was apparently achieved (Farley et al., 2014). It is possible that the small grain size of the mudstone and/or flux melting associated with volatile-bearing minerals in the rock aid in the release of Ar. The similarities in grain size and mineralogy between Mojave 2 and Cumberland suggest that near-complete Ar release from plagioclase in Mojave 2 might be expected as well. Jarosite begins to break down structurally at ~300°C, implying that Ar release occurs via disruption of the mineral lattice (as opposed to diffusion) well below the first temperature step cutoff of 500°C (Kula & Baldwin, 2011). In Ar-Ar dating experiments, illite and other clays have been shown to release >95% of their total Ar content below 500°C (Evernden et al., 1960; Hassanipak & Wampler, 1996). Illite argon release may also proceed by structural changes during dehydroxylation (Halliday, 1978), suggesting that behavior similar to jarosite might be expected.

Characterizing the expected Ar release temperatures for the amorphous fraction is more complex, since the phase or phases that host potassium in this material are uncertain, even though the amorphous fraction contains a nontrivial fraction of the potassium in Mojave 2 (see section 3.1 for discussion). Likely constituents of the amorphous fraction such as nanophase iron oxide, hissingite, and allophane are devoid of potassium. Glass is unlikely to be present in Mojave 2 (see section 3.1.1) but would, if present, likely contain at least some potassium and release its argon mostly in the high-temperature step (Gombosi et al., 2015). More speculatively, potassium in the amorphous fraction may be carried by X-ray amorphous salts that would likely release Ar in the low-temperature step. As an example, the common K-bearing salt KCl (sylvite) releases Ar at temperatures <500°C (Amirkhanoff et al., 1961). Similarly, if any potassium-containing salts in Mojave 2 are hydrated, they could potentially behave in a similar manner to jarosite, breaking down via dehydration and releasing argon due to crystallographic shifts at <500°C (e.g., ~255°C for the common K-bearing sulfate polyhalite [K$_2$Ca$_2$Mg(SO$_4$)$_4$$\cdot$2H$_2$O]; Leitner et al., 2014). The assumption that any salts present would likely release Ar in the low-temperature step is also supported by the fact that they must be amorphous or nanocrystalline (≤500 unit cells) to avoid detection by CheMin. The tiny grain size and/or lack of large-scale crystalline order might further decrease the argon release temperatures of these materials.

To summarize, Ar in the high-temperature step will be strongly dominated by plagioclase, a detrital igneous mineral. In contrast, the low-temperature step will carry Ar from jarosite and possibly from nanocrystalline salts and clays. Jarosite and any salts in Mojave 2 are almost certainly diagenetic and/or authigenic (Rampe et al., 2017) and clays measured in earlier samples at Gale Crater appear to be authigenic (Bristow et al., 2015). Thus, the two-step experiment may isolate the K-Ar age of the detrital igneous components from the K-Ar age of fluid-associated low-temperature diagenetic/authigenic phases.

### 2.4. Noble Gas Extraction

Samples were delivered to preconditioned quartz cups in the SAM instrument prepared by the same method described by Ming et al. (2014). A portioning tube of known volume (76 mm$^3$) was used to
pour sample into a funnel that delivered the powder to the SAM instrument. The exact amount of sample delivered is not directly measured. Rather, a model is used to describe the most likely amount transferred (Farley et al., 2014). Three separate aliquots of Mojave 2 were delivered to the SAM instrument to facilitate multiple independent measurements: two triple-portion aliquots (135 ± 18 mg each, all reported uncertainties are 1σ) were used for noble gas geochronology experiments, and one single-portion aliquot (45 ± 6 mg) was used in an evolved gas analysis (EGA; Sutter et al., 2017). While the first triple-portion aliquot was deposited in a pristine quartz cup, the second was deposited on top of the residue of the single-portion aliquot (i.e., into the same sample cup already used for EGA). It is possible that some 40Ar could be retained by this single portion through the EGA heating process and then released during the high-temperature step of the noble gas experiment. Because the aliquot previously analyzed during EGA is one third of the mass of the aliquot used for noble gas measurement and because EGA reaches temperatures similar to those achieved in noble gas extraction, we consider the total effect of this potential extraneous argon release to be negligible.

After delivery, each sample was prepared for noble gas measurements by conducting a “boil-off” stage, where the sample was heated gradually over 26 min to a maximum target temperature of ~150°C under He flow to drive off adsorbed volatiles, which are mostly composed of residual derivatizing agent in the SAM vacuum system or adsorbed on the sample (Freissinet et al., 2015; Glavin et al., 2013). After boil off, the first step was performed by heating the sample monotonically to ~500°C over approximately 1 h, targeting phases that release argon at low temperatures. We use the term “low-temperature phases” for these materials in reference to the temperature of argon release (as opposed to their formation temperature). Unlike a typical EGA, the released gases were exposed to the Linde 13x zeolite scrubber and an SAES ST175 sintered titanium and molybdenum getter (heated to approximately 300°C) within SAM to purify the noble gases of reactive species (Mahaffy et al., 2012). After the gases were purified, they were first measured by the quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) in a low-sensitivity dynamic mode (Farley et al., 2014), similar to a conventional EGA analysis (Sutter et al., 2017) but without the He carrier gas. Upon completion of the dynamic mode measurements, a semistatic mode was initiated wherein a valve pumping the QMS was almost entirely closed to allow a buildup of pressure, increasing sensitivity (Farley et al., 2014). At the end of the semistatic measurement, all gas was pumped out of the manifold while leaving the QMS in scanning mode to enable a determination of the background present in semistatic mode (Vasconcelos et al., 2016). The following sol, an identical procedure was followed with the second heating step, which reached a peak temperature of around 930°C, releasing radiogenic argon from the phases with high release temperatures (“high-temperature phases”). This two-step heating procedure was validated using the SAM testbed instrument on Earth prior to its execution on Mars.

2.5. Quantification of 40Ar

The mass 40 peak in the mass spectrum (M40) is corrected for a small isobaric contribution from the hydrocarbon C3H4 using mass 39 (C3H3) as a tracer (Figure 2). The ratio of C3H4/C3H3 was measured to be 0.56 ± 0.02 earlier in the mission during a previous SAM run containing little to no 40Ar. The 40Ar contribution to the mass 40 signal can then be calculated as follows:

Figure 2. High-sensitivity mode and background measurement gas traces from (a) the high-temperature step of the failed run, (b) the high-temperature step of the successful run, and (c) the low-temperature step of the successful run. The stable (i.e., least sloped) portion of the runs are highlighted with the gray bar. Corrected Signal points are discussed in section 2.5.
After hydrocarbon isobaric interferences are corrected, the background measured at the end of the run is subtracted from the mass spectrum, yielding what we infer to be the $^{40}$Ar peak. In the absence of additional data we cannot correct for Martian atmosphere-derived $^{40}$Ar or any other nonradiogenic sources of $^{40}$Ar. As previously discussed by Farley et al. (2014), nonradiogenic $^{40}$Ar is unlikely to be significant in these ancient K-rich rocks.

3. Results

Here we develop a model for the potassium content of each phase in Mojave 2 and then calculate the K-Ar age of the bulk sample and each step separately using the model. Unless otherwise specified, the word age herein refers to the K-Ar age (i.e., the output of the age equation). Therefore, the terms “bulk age,” “model age,” “mixed age,” and “K-weighted average age” mean the K-Ar age of the entire sample, the K-Ar age of one or more specific components of the sample, the K-Ar age of multiple components of the sample, and the K-Ar age resulting from multiple combined components with differing potassium contents, respectively. The one exception is the phrase “formation age,” which refers to the time at which a component physically formed.

3.1. Potassium Partitioning

A model of the K$_2$O content of each phase in Mojave 2 is vital for assigning ages to the diagenetic and detrital components based on $^{40}$Ar release from the low- and high-temperature steps. This problem may be modeled by a simple mass-balance equation:

$$
K_T = K_2 + K_1 = K_{\text{plag}} + K_{\text{K-spar*}} + K_{\text{jar}} + K_{\text{phyllo}} + K_{\text{amorph}}
$$

(2)

$$
K_2 = K_{\text{plag}} + K_{\text{K-spar*}}
$$

(3)

$$
K_1 = K_{\text{jar}} + K_{\text{phyllo}} + K_{\text{amorph}} \lor K_1 = K_T - K_2,
$$

(4)

where $K_T$ is the total potassium in the sample, $K_2$ and $K_1$ are the total potassium contents of the phases releasing argon in high-temperature (step 2) and low-temperature (step 1) steps, and $K_{\text{plag}}$, $K_{\text{K-spar*}}$, $K_{\text{jar}}$, $K_{\text{phyllo}}$, and $K_{\text{amorph}}$ are the potassium contents of each individual component (plag = plagioclase, K-spar* = potassium feldspar, jar = jarosite, phyllo = phyllosilicate, and amorph = amorphous) weighted by their abundances as determined by CheMin (Table 1). $K_{\text{K-spar*}}$ is noted with an asterisk because although potassium feldspar was not detected in the Mojave 2 sample, it has been detected in 8 of the 11 samples drilled thus far in the mission, and thus, we cannot, a priori, rule out the presence of potassium feldspar in Mojave 2 at concentrations below the CheMin detection limit (~1 wt%; Vaniman et al., 2014). The effect that $<1$ wt % potassium feldspar might have on the step 1 and step 2 model ages is included in the discussion below. The two forms of equation (4) reflect that $K_{\text{phyllo}} + K_{\text{amorph}}$ are not well constrained by CheMin and thus $K_1$ is better estimated by difference. Given the bulk K$_2$O content of the sample and the total amount of $^{40}$Ar released during heating ($^{40}$Ar$_T$), the bulk K-Ar age of the sample is calculated from the ratio:

$$
\frac{^{40}\text{Ar}_T}{K_T}.
$$

(5)

Since the total $^{40}$Ar release is simply the sum of $^{40}$Ar released in each heating step:

$$
^{40}\text{Ar}_T = ^{40}\text{Ar}_1 + ^{40}\text{Ar}_2
$$

(6)

the model age associated with each step is calculated by

$$
^{40}\text{Ar}_2/K_2 \quad \text{(high-T step)}
$$

(7)

and

$$
^{40}\text{Ar}_1/K_1 \text{ or alternatively } ^{40}\text{Ar}_1/(K_T - K_2) \quad \text{(low-T step)},
$$

(8)

which is then used to solve the K-Ar age equation, after converting K to $^{40}$K:

$$
t = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left[ \frac{^{40}\text{Ar}}{^{40}\text{K}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda e} \right) + 1 \right]
$$

(9)

where $t$ is time since formation, $\lambda$ is the $^{40}$K decay constant ($5.5492 \pm 0.0093 \times 10^{-10} \text{ a}^{-1}$; Renne et al., 2010),
Figure 3. Ca# (or An# as defined in Rampe et al., 2017) versus K2O content for Martian meteorite plagioclase microprobe analyses (i.e., analyses with molar Or <10%). For the main panel, the data shown are restricted to plagioclase analyses with Ca#s in the range given by Rampe et al. (2017). The inset shows all plagioclase measurements.

and $\lambda_e$ is the electron capture decay constant ($5.755 \pm 0.016 \times 10^{-11}$ a$^{-1}$; Renne et al., 2010). It is apparent from equations (7) and (8) that estimating the fraction of the bulk K$_2$O content measured by APXS that is contained in phases that degas in each of the steps is critical to determining the model step ages and that assumptions made about the potassium contents of these phases will affect the model ages of both steps.

The K$_2$O content of the plagioclase cannot be directly measured by any instrument onboard Curiosity, but it can be estimated from published analyses of plagioclase in Martian meteorites. The average anorthite content (An, defined as 100 × Ca/(Ca + Na), molar) of Mojave 2 was calculated using plagioclase unit cell parameters that are based on a Rietveld refinement; the resulting value is An$_{41 \pm 6}$ (Rampe et al., 2017). The calculated plagioclase composition for Mojave 2 was reported more recently by Morrison et al. (2017) is identical: An$_{41 \pm 6}$. In both terrestrial plagioclases (e.g., Deer et al., 1997) and Martian meteorite "plagioclases" (e.g., Papike et al., 2009), there is a broad inverse correlation between Ca# (100 × Ca/(Ca + Na), molar) and the K$_2$O content of the plagioclase, that is, more Na-rich plagioclases have higher K$_2$O contents. Note that An number mentioned above is equivalent to Ca# and the vast majority of Martian plagioclases are actually maskelynite (e.g., McSween & Treiman, 1998). Based on 1,442 plagioclase analyses from Martian meteorites with 100 × K/(Ca + Na + K) < 10 (molar; a commonly used dividing line between plagioclase and alkali feldspar; Deer et al., 1997), we fit a third-order polynomial to the K$_2$O content (in weight percent) as a function of Ca# (Figure 3). Fitting was done by minimizing the sum of absolute deviations and all plotted plagioclase compositions satisfy the stoichiometric constraints discussed in Papike et al. (2009). Our data set includes analyses from both the polymict breccia NWA 7034/7533/7475 (of Noachian age; Humayun et al., 2013) and SNCs (plus the orthopyroxenite ALH 84001). All together, these samples span nearly the entire geologic history of Mars and, more importantly, calculated K$_2$O contents at An$_{41}$ based on fits using just the polymict breccia data or the SNC plus orthopyroxenite data overlap at 1σ. Given the functional relationship shown in Figure 3, the average An content of Mojave 2 translates into a K$_2$O content of 0.45 ± 0.11 wt % (see section 3.3 for a discussion of uncertainty estimations). Based on this estimate, plagioclase contains around 15% of the total K$_2$O in Mojave 2, implying that K$_{plag}$ (equations (2) and (3)) is 0.11 ± 0.02 wt % K$_2$O.

Jaroisite forms a solid-solution series with natrijaroisite [NaFe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$(OH)] in nature (e.g., Brophy & Sheridan, 1965; Dutrizac, 1983, 2008), so K$_{jar}$ will vary depending on the amount of sodium that has substituted for potassium in the jaroisite structure. The formation of synthetic jaroisite with K/(K + Na) < 0.5 requires that the K/(K + Na) of the precipitating solution be < 0.1 (Brophy & Sheridan, 1965; Dutrizac, 1983), suggesting that natural jaroisites should generally be relatively K rich; this preference is also observed in analyses of natural samples (Dutrizac, 2008), and such low temperatures are believed to have been prevalent throughout Gale Crater’s history (Vaniman et al., 2014). Based on these considerations of jaroisite geochemistry and because the Murray formation does not show strong sodium enrichments (Siebach et al., 2017), we assume limits between pure K endmember and 1:1 KNa jaroisite. As jaroisite makes up 3.1 wt % of Mojave 2, this range in K content implies that 40–20% of the potassium in the sample is carried by jaroisite, placing K$_{jar}$ in the range 0.29 ± 0.10 to 0.15 ± 0.05 wt % K$_2$O.

The remaining 45–65% of the K$_2$O in Mojave 2 must reside in the amorphous and/or phyllosilicate fractions. Amorphous material is common in samples at Gale Crater and has also been measured elsewhere on Mars, by both landed missions and orbiters (Bish et al., 2013; Blake et al., 2013; Morris et al., 2000; Singer, 1985; Vaniman et al., 2014). The likely components of the amorphous phases in Gale Crater, deduced by examining the differences between expected chemistry based on CheMin results and the APXS measurements, include volcanic or impact glass, hisingerite, amorphous sulfate salts, and nanophase iron oxides (Dehouck et al., 2014). Of these phases, the glasses and sulfates are possible hosts of potassium. Below, we examine the likelihood that potassium is held in glass, salts, or phyllosilicates. Determination of which phase(s) contain...
potassium is central to the geologic interpretation of the K-Ar age measured. For example, salts, being authigenic and releasing argon at low temperatures, might yield information about when liquid water was most recently present in Gale Crater, while a glass, of either igneous or impact origin, would release argon at high temperature and reflect information about the detrital grains in the sample.

3.1.1. Glass

Putative glass has been observed on Mars from orbit and in aeolian deposits in Gale Crater (Cannon & Mustard, 2015; Minitti et al., 2013), though not in the Gale Crater bedrock. The K2O contents of Martian glasses can potentially vary from values <0.5 wt %, assuming that glasses exist that have bulk compositions similar to those of basaltic shergottites (Treiman & Filiberto, 2015) or the basaltic clasts in NWA 7533 (Humayun et al., 2013) to ~7 wt % if the glasses are either similar in composition to the most silica-rich breccia clast in NWA 7533 or to the compositions of some melt inclusions in Nakhla (e.g., Lee & Chatzitheodoridis, 2016). Given this large range in potassium concentrations, all of the K2O in the amorphous fraction could hypothetically be accommodated by the presence of a glass with ~2 wt % K2O (assuming half of the total amorphous content of the sample is glass). However, glass devitrifies to plagioclase and other minerals or dissolves rapidly under most geologic conditions, especially in the presence of water, and even more so in high-ionic strength water (Lofgren, 1970; Wolff-Boenisch et al., 2004). For example, a 1 mm basaltic glass sphere at 25°C will survive only 500 years in moderately acidic conditions (~4,000 years for a glass of a rhodolitic composition; Wolff-Boenisch et al., 2004). The Mojave 2 sample, the Pahrump Hills area, and Gale Crater more broadly exhibit abundant evidence for the presence of liquid water at the time of deposition, postdeposition, and during diagenesis (e.g., Gellert et al., 2015; Grotzinger et al., 2014; Nachon et al., 2014; Schieber et al., 2015; Stack et al., 2014). Additionally, modeling efforts suggest that any glass present at the Yellowknife Bay Locality has dissolved preferentially (Bridges et al., 2015). We therefore find it unlikely that Mojave 2 contains significant amounts of K2O in glass.

3.1.2. Salts

Mojave 2 contains 6.19 wt % SO3 of which only ~1 wt % is held in jarosite, indicating that ~5 wt % SO3 in Mojave 2 is held in the amorphous phases. Alternatively, the remaining unassigned SO3 could be present in individual phases at abundances below the ~1 wt % CheMin detection limit, though the lack of any observed evaporites throughout the mission and the large amount of SO3 that would need to be contained in these minor mineral phases make this possibility unlikely. Additionally, though no Cl-bearing species are present in the crystalline fraction, Mojave 2 contains 0.42 wt % Cl (Rampe et al., 2017). Fluorapatite is present at 1.8 wt % and could host some chlorine, though even if it is pure chlorapatite, it would carry only 0.12 wt % Cl. This relatively high concentration of anions that cannot be linked (via the CheMin analysis; Table 1) to specific minerals containing structural sulfur or chlorine argues for the presence of X-ray amorphous salts in Mojave 2. X-ray amorphous K-bearing sulfate salts have been shown to precipitate from acid sulfate analog brines by cryoprecipitation (Morris et al., 2015). Such amorphous salts are the most likely carriers of a large fraction of the S and Cl in Mojave 2. As potassium is a common cation in salts, X-ray amorphous salts are also likely hosts of potassium in Mojave 2.

3.1.3. Phyllosilicates

Potassium in Mojave 2 could also be held in the phyllosilicate fraction, though the exact amount of K-bearing phyllosilicates in Mojave 2 is difficult to constrain. Illite is the most common phyllosilicate containing potassium. Although K-bearing smectite has been observed terrestrial, these occurrences are rare and require formation conditions not thought to have been present in Gale Crater (e.g., Bischoff, 1972; Dekov et al., 2007). Due to the combination of the relatively subtle peak position shifts between differing phyllosilicate species and the broadness of those peaks, their characterization is difficult using the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern generated by the CheMin instrument (Vaniman et al., 2014). However, the 001 spacing of phyllosilicates, a feature that distinguishes illites from smectites, can be deduced from CheMin data (Bristow et al., 2015). The dehydrating conditions within the measurement chamber can cause smectite to collapse, reducing its 001 spacing to approximately that of illite, making the two phases nearly indistinguishable with respect to CheMin (e.g., Bristow et al., 2015; Treiman et al., 2016; Vaniman et al., 2014). Optimization of the Mojave 2 XRD pattern fit suggests that if illite is present, it does not make up a significant portion of the phyllosilicates (T. Bristow, personal communication, 2017). If nanocrystalline or disordered illitic clays are present, they would not appear as distinct peaks but would contribute to the broad “hump” in the XRD pattern that is associated with the amorphous component and thus would be included with that component.
The water peak attributed to dehydroxylation in the SAM EGA can also provide partial constraints on the nature of the phyllosilicate phases in Mojave 2. There are two dehydroxylation peaks in the Mojave 2 EGA pattern. One occurs at >800°C and is more consistent with smectite clay minerals (e.g., saponite) than illite (McAdam et al., 2017). The amount of water released at this high temperature and measured by the SAM EGA is consistent with the weight percent of phyllosilicates calculated from the XRD pattern if the clay minerals are entirely smectite (McAdam et al., 2017). The other dehydroxylation peak occurs at a lower temperature of ~450°C, is attributed to jarosite, and the water abundance is within error of the expected amount based on the CheMin estimate of the mass fraction of jarosite in Mojave 2 (McAdam et al., 2017).

Overall, there are no data precluding K-bearing phyllosilicates in Mojave 2, but nor is there any evidence to support their presence, especially at a high abundance. The exact distribution of K2O between putative K-phyllosilicate and salt components is unknown and cannot be quantitatively constrained based on the available data, but it appears likely that most or all of the K2O associated with the low-temperature release of argon not attributable to jarosite is held in X-ray amorphous salt species.

3.2. 40Ar Results

Table 3 shows the 40Ar results obtained from the two heating steps of Mojave 2 (aliquot 2, see paragraph below). In the first step, 3,590 ± 480 pmol/g of 40Ar were measured, while 2,330 ± 320 pmol were measured in the second step. For both steps the hydrocarbon correction was small, ~10% of the mass 40 signal on step 1 and ~5% on step 2. The corrections associated with background measured at the end of the runs was similarly small, a 5% correction to step 1 and a 3% correction to step 2. These corrections are slightly higher than those applied to the Cumberland (Farley et al., 2014) and Windjana (Vasconcelos et al., 2016) samples, mainly because the 40Ar yields were lower during the two individual Mojave 2 heating steps and the amount of hydrocarbons measured in the SAM instrument was somewhat higher.

An initial attempt at noble gas analysis on Mojave 2 ("aliquot 1") was not successfully completed. Upon inlet of the gas released during the first heating step into the quadrupole mass spectrometer during the high-sensitivity semistatic mode, an overpressure condition was generated that caused the experiment to be aborted and the gas to be vented (i.e., the run terminated at the start of the semistatic analysis). Therefore, data were only collected during the low-sensitivity portion of the run. This overpressure condition was possibly due to a buildup of organics in SAM, which had not been fully released during the boil-off stage. The second heating step was conducted as planned. Unexpectedly, the 40Ar yield from step 2 of aliquot 1 (1,640 ± 220 pmol) was ~30% lower than the same step from aliquot 2 (2,330 ± 320 pmol). Using the available low-sensitivity portion of the high-temperature step of aliquot 1, we find that it too was ~30% lower than that measured in aliquot 2. This proportional decrease in 40Ar retrieved from aliquot 1 relative to aliquot 2 is most readily attributed to incomplete sample delivery of aliquot 1, possibly due to loss via a wind gust as the sample was transferred from CHIMRA into SAM.

3.3. Age Calculations and Uncertainties

Combining the total 40Ar release from Mojave 2 (aliquot 2; Table 3) with the APXS K2O measurement and the modeled sample mass, a bulk K-Ar age of 2.57 ± 0.39 Ga is obtained using equations (6) and (9). Based on the potassium-partitioning model, the 40Ar in step 2 is associated with potassium in detrital feldspar and yields a model age of 4.07 ± 0.63 Ga via equations (7) and (9). The jarosite, phyllosilicates, and/or salts in step 1 combined with the potassium partitioning model indicate a model age of 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga from equations (8) and (9).

Several individual sources of error were combined to quantify the uncertainties on the reported K-Ar ages including the sample mass transfer model (Farley et al., 2014), the APXS measurement of the bulk potassium in the sample, the SAM measurement of 40Ar, and, for the model ages, the mineralogy as measured by CheMin, and the estimates of the potassium contents of the minerals within Mojave 2. The reported uncertainties do not include any potential systematic errors, which cannot be quantified. All uncertainties are reported here at the 1σ uncertainty level. The uncertainty associated with sample delivery is derived from modeling efforts performed at JPL (Farley et al., 2014) and has a relative uncertainty of approximately 13%. The bulk potassium content has an accuracy of 15% of the measured value (R. Gellert, personal communication, 2017). Except where noted, uncertainties associated with the CheMin estimates of the weight fractions.
of mineral and amorphous phases are taken from the original references (e.g., Rampe et al., 2017; Treiman et al., 2016; Vaniman et al., 2014).

The uncertainty associated with the measurement of $^{40}$Ar is calculated for each sweep of the mass spectrum according to the equation:

$$
\sigma_{\text{Ar}} = \left[ \sigma_{\text{Ar}}^2 + \left( K_{\text{HC}} \times M39 \right)^2 \times \left( \sigma_{\text{HC}} / K_{\text{HC}} \right)^2 + \left( \sigma_{39} / M39 \right)^2 + \sigma_{\text{bg}}^2 \right]^{1/2},
$$

(10)

where $\sigma$ indicates the absolute uncertainty of the component in subscript (e.g., $\sigma_{\text{Ar}}$ is the overall $^{40}$Ar measurement uncertainty, $\sigma_{\text{HC}}$ is the uncertainty in the hydrocarbon correction, and $\sigma_{\text{bg}}$ is the uncertainty on the background correction). The uncertainty associated with the background correction is taken as the standard error of the mean of the measurements taken after pumpdown (see Figure 2).

The estimated potassium content of the plagioclase in the sample has an uncertainty of 20%, reflecting the stated uncertainty of the $\text{An}^#$ estimated for the average plagioclase in Mojave 2 by CheMin (Rampe et al., 2017) combined with the standard deviation of the data from the best fit regression line (Figure 3).

The dominant sources of uncertainty in this study are the sample transfer model and the estimates of potassium contents of the various phases. The low abundance of jarosite measured by CheMin has an uncertainty large enough to impact the final age calculations on the model age of the first step.

4. Discussion

Here we discuss the implications of the bulk K-Ar age measured on the Mojave 2 sample, followed by discussion of the model ages for the two temperature steps. We also consider the assumptions regarding the argon retention characteristics of the various phases within the sample and their potential impact on the model ages. Finally, we put these results into the context of the broader geological history of Mars.

4.1. Bulk Age

The bulk age of 2.57 ± 0.39 Ga determined for Mojave 2 is lower than the previous result of 4.21 ± 0.35 Ga from the Cumberland sample (Farley et al., 2014), suggesting that one or more of the potassium-bearing components in Mojave 2 has a formation age younger than the K-Ar age measured at Cumberland. As the sediment source for both samples is thought to be the crater rim and walls (Grotzinger et al., 2015), the detrital plagioclase is unlikely to have a formation age billions of years younger than that measured in Cumberland, implying that the young component in the sample is the jarosite, phyllosilicates, and/or amorphous materials.

4.2. Ages of the Two Steps

4.2.1. High-T Step

The high-temperature step is modeled to record the age of the detrital plagioclase feldspar in Mojave 2. A detrital component model age of 4.07 ± 0.63 Ga is consistent with the previous in situ geochronology experiment at Cumberland (Farley et al., 2014). This model age is sensitive to the mineralogy reported by CheMin, especially whether sanidine was present at low concentrations in the sample. Sandine was not detected by CheMin in Mojave 2, but many of the rocks analyzed at Gale Crater do carry sanidine (Rampe et al., 2017; Treiman et al., 2016; Vaniman et al., 2014). If sandine was, in fact, present in Mojave 2 but below the detection limit of CheMin (~1 wt %), its $^{40}$Ar would be released either completely or partially during the high-temperature step. By analogy with the Cumberland sample (also a mudstone) that contained

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noble Gas Results From Mojave 2</th>
<th>$K_2O$ (wt %)</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar (pmol/g)</th>
<th>K-Ar age (Ga)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulk (aliquot 2)</td>
<td>$K_1 = 0.73 \pm 0.11$</td>
<td>5920 ± 800</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-temperature step (aliquot 2)</td>
<td>$K_1 = 0.62 \pm 0.11$ (model)</td>
<td>3590 ± 480</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.36$^b$ (model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-temperature step (aliquot 2)</td>
<td>$K_2 = 0.11 \pm 0.02$ (model)</td>
<td>2330 ± 320</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.63$^b$ (model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-temperature step (aliquot 1)$^a$</td>
<td>$K_2 = 0.11 \pm 0.02$ (model)</td>
<td>1640 ± 220</td>
<td>3.51 ± 0.61$^b$ (model)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Uncertainties are reported at the 1σ level.

$^a$Data only collected for step 2 of the failed run on aliquot 1. $^b$Note that the model ages of the two high-temperature steps are within uncertainty of one another due to uncertainty introduced from the potassium measurement.

4.2.2. Low-T Step

The low-temperature step is modeled to record the age of the jarosite in Mojave 2. A detrital component model age of 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga is consistent with the previous in situ geochronology experiment at Cumberland (Farley et al., 2014). This model age is sensitive to the mineralogy reported by CheMin, especially whether sanidine was present at low concentrations in the sample. Sandine was not detected by CheMin in Mojave 2, but many of the rocks analyzed at Gale Crater do carry sanidine (Rampe et al., 2017; Treiman et al., 2016; Vaniman et al., 2014). If sandine was, in fact, present in Mojave 2 but below the detection limit of CheMin (~1 wt %), its $^{40}$Ar would be released either completely or partially during the low-temperature step. By analogy with the Cumberland sample (also a mudstone) that contained...
1.6 wt % K-feldspar and completely (or almost completely) degassed (Farley et al., 2014), we assume that any sanidine in the Mojave 2 sample would also have degassed thoroughly during the high-temperature step. However, its potassium content would have been incorrectly assigned to the low-temperature step rather than the high-temperature step. This would have implications for the model ages of both steps (equations (7) and (8)), with the age of step 2 needing to be revised downward and the age of step 1 revised upward.

A limit to how large this effect could be is obtained by considering the plausible formation ages of the Gale Crater feldspars. Detrital minerals in Mojave 2 are most likely older than the crater, being sourced from the crater target rocks, making their plausible formation age >3.6 Ga (Le Deit et al., 2012; Thomson et al., 2011). If more than 0.25 wt % end-member sanidine was present in the sample, the model age for step 2 would fall below 3.6 Ga. This is a reasonable upper limit on the amount of undetected sanidine in Mojave 2. By mass balance, the increase in the low-temperature step model age due to <0.25 wt % sanidine is <4% of the age (well within the stated uncertainty). Thus, the step 1 model age is robust to the presence of plausible amounts of sanidine.

4.2.2. Low-T Step

The model age of 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga measured in step 1 is a mixed age involving jarosite and amorphous/phyllosilicate fractions (Table 3). We cannot specifically disentangle the ages of these individual components, resulting in two possibilities: (1) the jarosite and amorphous/phyllosilicate components have the same K-Ar age or (2) the step 1 model age is a mixture of two or more different K-Ar ages. In the first scenario, assuming complete argon retention, the model age of 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga represents the formation age of both of these components and most likely reflects a single fluid flow event, which formed or recrystallized the secondary phases in Mojave 2 at that time, consistent with the interpretations of Rampe et al. (2017). In the second scenario, the step 1 model age is a K-weighted average age of the jarosite and other low-temperature K-bearing components in the sample, meaning at least one phase has a K-Ar age of ≲2 Ga. The only potential scenario in which both phases have a formation age older than the model age is in the case of argon loss, which is explored in the following section.

4.3. Argon Loss From Phases in the Low-Temperature Step

A K-Ar age is only equivalent to a mineral formation age in the case of a closed system. Thus, we must consider that a potential reason for the observation of a young K-Ar age at Mojave 2 is the loss of radiogenic argon from one or more of the low-temperature phases at any time prior to those phases being analyzed in SAM. This loss could have occurred via solution reprecipitation (i.e., as a result of fluid migration events), by diffusion over geologic time, during sample drilling and handling, or as a result of the brief low-temperature (<150°C) boil-off step applied to the sample to release adsorbed volatiles. If radiogenic 40Ar was lost before being measured by SAM, the K-Ar age of the low-temperature components would be younger than their formation age or ages. Jarosite is known to retain 40Ar over geologic time at temperatures up to ~150°C (Kula & Baldwin, 2011; Vasconcelos et al., 1994). Therefore, if one of the components in Mojave 2 has lost significant argon, it is unlikely to be the jarosite.

We next assess the K-weighted average age of step 1 based on a full range (0–100%) of Ar retention in the low-temperature phases excluding jarosite (Figure 4). If all of these phases are fully retentive with respect to argon, then the K-weighted average formation age of step 1 is 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga, as calculated, suggesting a single age resetting event occurred at this time (see section 4.4 below for discussion of this possibility). If the amorphous/phyllosilicate fraction has lost all of its argon (making that component’s K-Ar age zero), the model age of the jarosite alone would be 3.17 ± 0.60 Ga, placing a firm upper limit on its formation age if it were endmember jarosite [i.e., KFe3(SO4)2(OH)6]. Decreasing the K content of the jarosite increases its model age in this scenario. However, as described above, K-rich jarosite is geochemically likely (Dutrizac, 2008). For a reasonable lower compositional limit of 1:1 KNa, the model age of the jarosite alone is 4.25 ± 0.66 Ga.
Without strong constraints on the actual phases making up the amorphous/phyllosilicate fraction, it is difficult to constrain the extent to which this fraction has lost argon by natural processes. Illite has an argon closure temperature of around 100°C on timescales of millions of years (e.g., Evernden et al., 1960; Hamilton et al., 1989); mineral assemblages present in the drilled Cumberland and John Klein samples suggest burial temperatures <80°C (Vaniman et al., 2014), arguing against potential 40Ar loss from putative illite in Mojave 2. Possible potassium-containing salts in Mojave 2 likely also retain radiogenic argon over geologic time against diffusive loss. Several sulfate and chloride salts have been determined to be useful for Ar dating (e.g., Leitner et al., 2014; Renne et al., 2001; Smits & Gentner, 1950), although there are no data on Ar closure temperatures for these phases. It has been suggested that liquid brines in the near subsurface may occur in Gale Crater from deliquescing of perchlorate in the soil (Martín-Torres et al., 2015). The possibility exists that these brines have reprecipitated salts within Mojave 2, resetting their ages. However, jarosite is dissolved relatively rapidly in the presence of circumneutral water (Madden, Bodnar, & Rimstidt, 2004); jarosite’s presence in the sample argues against resetting of any salts in Mojave 2 by the presence of liquid water after their initial deposition. The presence of a brine would be unlikely to affect the argon retention of phyllosilicates.

Crystalline hydrated salts have been observed to lose their water under the extremely dry conditions inside CheMin, causing salts that were crystalline to appear X-ray amorphous (Vaniman et al., 2014). This process could have occurred with K-bearing hydrated salts in Mojave 2. If a similar phenomenon occurs within SAM during sample processing before analysis, most likely as a result of the boil-off stage (150°C maximum), the change in mineral structure could cause the loss of significant amounts of argon from these phases. However, the evolved water trace in the Mojave EGA below 150°C is minimal and mostly attributed to the release of adsorbed water (Sutter et al., 2017), suggesting that argon loss coincident with dehydration during the boil-off stage is unlikely. Phyllosilicate phases would also be unlikely to lose significant amounts of argon at 150°C (Evernden et al., 1960).

The K-Ar geochronology result on the Cumberland sample (Farley et al., 2014) provides additional insight into the issue of Ar retention. Like Mojave 2, the amorphous and/or phyllosilicate components in Cumberland were a significant host of potassium (Figure 5). Cumberland’s bulk K-Ar age was measured to be 4.21 ± 0.35 Ga (Farley et al., 2014); if greater than 25% of the argon contained in the amorphous/phyllosilicate fraction was lost prior to analysis, the K-Ar age of the sample corrected for Ar loss would exceed the age of Mars. By analogy between Cumberland and Mojave 2, more than 25% Ar loss in the amorphous and/or phyllosilicate fractions of Mojave 2 seems unlikely. To put this degree of loss in perspective, more than 90% Ar loss from these phases would be required to cause the loss-corrected model age of the low-T phases in Mojave 2 to exceed 3.0 Ga (60% if the jarosite in the sample has 1:1 KNa rather than pure K jarosite). Therefore, it appears that the K-weighted average formation age of the low-T components in Mojave 2 is <3.0 Ga, indicating that at least one major phase in Mojave 2 was formed or recrystallized well after the Hesperian period on Mars. Given that the only major mineralogical difference between Cumberland and Mojave 2 is the presence of jarosite, if the jarosite and evaporite/phyllosilicate phases have different formation ages (i.e., Mojave 2 reflects the second scenario described above in section 4.2.2), jarosite appears most likely to be this young component.

### 4.4. Implications of Young Components in Mojave 2

The most noteworthy result from the low-temperature step is the young model age attributable to the phase or phases in Mojave 2 whose genesis reflects an interaction with water, indicating a likely formation age of...
the jarosite and potentially the K-bearing salts and/or phyllosilicates of less than 3 Ga. This young age is potentially an indication of the most recent aqueous activity at Mojave 2. It is possible that this last aqueous activity occurred in the final stages of the drying of the lake in Gale Crater, implying that the lake (and therefore the presence of large perennial bodies of water on Mars) was much longer-lived than previously thought (Bristow et al., 2015; Grotzinger et al., 2015). However, the presence of such a long-lived lake would contravene the generally understood geologic history of water on Mars (Bibring et al., 2006). It is more likely that the formation age (or ages) of these diagenetic materials is related to postdepositional subsurface fluid flow. There are a number of diagenetic features in Mojave 2 and in the surrounding bedrock that are indicative of water/rock interaction and postdepositional fluids (Gellert et al., 2015; Grotzinger et al., 2015; McBride et al., 2015; Nachon et al., 2017; Schieber et al., 2015). We postulate that the crystal laths observed in Mojave 2 (Figure 1; McBride et al., 2015; Schieber et al., 2015) could have been redissolved by such a fluid during precipitation of the jarosite. Specifically, Rampe et al. (2017) suggest that after mudstone deposition in a circumneutral, relatively reducing lake environment, one or more influxes of oxidizing acidic fluids could have produced the jarosite in Mojave 2. Additionally, young alluvial fans and chloride deposits hint at minor fluvial activity extending well into the Amazonian in the vicinity of Gale Crater (Ehlmann & Buzz, 2015; Grant et al., 2014). These late fluvial features reinforce the prospect of relatively young water-lain minerals inside Gale Crater.

Evidence of relatively recent water activity in Gale Crater is paralleled by alteration features in the Nakhlite Martian meteorites (Changela & Bridges, 2010; Hicks et al., 2014) that substantially postdate the meteorites' crystallization ages. These alteration features (small veinlets of carbonate, ferric saponite, serpentine, and amorphous gel), historically described as "iddingsite" (see Treiman, 2005, for an overview), have been dated to the Amazonian period with a maximum age of 670 Ma by the K-Ar method (Swindle et al., 2000). This alteration age is much younger than the crystallization age of ~1.3 Ga determined for the nakhlite host rocks (Nyquist et al., 2001) and is attributed to impact-generated hydrothermal activity (Changela & Bridges, 2010; Schwenzfer et al., 2012).

Recurring slope lineae are contemporary slope-darkening streaks observed on Mars (e.g., McEwen et al., 2014, 2011). These transient features occur on Sun-facing slopes during the warmest period of the year and are suggested to be associated with hydrated salts such as perchlorates (Ojha et al., 2014, 2015), potentially indicating that they are formed via liquid brine flows. These modern observations, taken in combination with the young alteration features in the nakhlite meteorites and the young K-Ar age observed at Mojave 2 associated with water-lain features, suggest that liquid water at or near the surface of Mars has been at least episodically (though perhaps only locally) available since the Hesperian-Amazonian transition and likely throughout the planet's geologic history.

5. Conclusions

A third radiometric dating experiment has been conducted on Mars using the SAM instrument on the Curiosity rover, yielding a bulk K-Ar age of 2.57 ± 0.39 Ga on the Mojave 2 mudstone. A new two-step heating methodology resulted in separate model ages of what we infer to be detrital feldspar and diagenetic components of the Mojave 2 sample of 4.07 ± 0.63 Ga and 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga, respectively. The ancient age of the detrital component is consistent with previous results and the expected age of igneous material in Gale Crater. The young K-Ar age of the diagenetic component could reflect a formation age or could result from 40Ar loss from the amorphous/phyllosilicate component before measurement, either in nature or in the SAM instrument. Given a full range of argon retention in the amorphous/phyllosilicate component, the model K-weighted average age of the low-temperature phases extends from 2.12 ± 0.36 Ga if argon is quantitatively retained in all phases to a jarosite model age of 3.17 ± 0.60 Ga if argon is completely lost from the amorphous/phyllosilicate portion (4.25 ± 0.66 Ga in the case of more K-poor 1:1 KNa jarosite). By analysis of the likely sample components and by comparison to Cumberland, the argon loss from the phyllosilicate/amorphous phase(s) appears unlikely to be substantial, making the likely K-weighted average age of the low-temperature materials <3.0 Ga, corrected for any minor argon loss. This age indicates that at least one of these components was formed well into the Amazonian, after aqueous activity on Mars is thought to have largely stopped and is inconsistent with precipitation from the desiccation of the lake in Gale Crater. The prevalent diagenetic features in Mojave 2 and the surrounding bedrock suggestive of...
postdepositional fluid flow may be associated with this relatively young age, which is potentially indicative of the most recent liquid water activity at the Mojave 2 drill site. In concert with alteration patterns in meteorites and modern observations of liquid surface water, these results suggest that liquid water has potentially been available at or near the surface of Mars throughout its history.
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