DNA and Etruscan identity
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Abstract: From the time of Herodotus, who suggested that the Etruscans were immigrants to Italy, to the present day, the origin of the Etruscans has been debated. Since the mid-twentieth century a convincing academic consensus has been built that the Etruscans were an autochthonous people. The development of molecular archaeology, investigating ancient biological molecules, particularly DNA, has brought new evidence to the debate. This area is still developing and many of its findings are experimental or provisional.
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Introduction

From the time of Herodotus—who suggested that the Etruscans were immigrants to Italy—to the present day, the origin of the Etruscans has been debated. Since the mid-twentieth century a convincing academic consensus has been built that the Etruscans were an autochthonous people. The development of molecular archaeology, investigating ancient biological molecules, particularly DNA, has brought new evidence to the debate. This area is still developing and many of its findings are experimental or provisional.

1 The search for Etruscan DNA

A fundamental feature of gene distribution in Europe is a gradient of variability from high diversity in the southwest of Asia toward lower diversity in the northwest of Europe. This gradient is thought to derive from the Neolithic colonization of Europe after the last Ice Age when much of Europe was depopulated. The variability in the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) in modern samples from southern Tuscany lies between
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these extremes.\textsuperscript{6} Assuming some degree of continuity between the modern Tuscan and Etruscan population,\textsuperscript{7} this observation suggests that the Etruscan population was descended from the Neolithic population, which would be consistent with the hypothesis that the Etruscan language is a relic of pre-Indo-European times and with the current archaeological consensus of an autochthonous origin. Subsequent research has sought to identify genetic material distinct from this generalized Neolithic “background” that might be related to a distinct Etruscan ethnicity, whether autochthonous or immigrant. A further challenge for studies using contemporary genetic variability is that it is associated with contemporary linguistic and geographic variability and the distribution of recent social groups.\textsuperscript{8} This means that contemporary samples from Tuscany will have patterns of variability generated by their geographic, linguistic, and social origins and contacts, irrespective of any relationship to an ancient Etruscan ancestry.

Since about 2000, the study of population genetics has made significant advances in its methodology, particularly in the adoption of statistical techniques to interpret laboratory findings, the development of computer simulation techniques to model population histories, the extraction and analysis of ancient DNA, and the development of techniques that can analyze sections of the genome that are larger than the single molecules that were the focus of earlier studies.\textsuperscript{9}

The first major investigation of the Etruscans analyzed eighty samples of bone from ten cemeteries in Etruria along with samples from Adria in the Po Valley and Capua in Campania.\textsuperscript{10} The investigation sought to answer two questions. (1) Were the Etruscans a biological population or just people who shared a culture but not ancestry? And (2) what is their relationship to modern populations and are they linked to other Eurasians?\textsuperscript{11} The first question is interesting because it directly addresses a basic assumption: that the Etruscans were a people. This assumption is rarely questioned in Etruscology; after all, the Roman sources identify the Etruscans (the nomen Etruscum) as a group, even if they are then subdivided into the duodecim populi Etruriae (twelve peoples of Etruria).\textsuperscript{12} The research group led by Vernesi found that the internal genetic diversity in the Etruscan DNA was low, comparable to that in modern European populations, and not distinct, meaning there is no clear Etruscan “genetic
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fingerprints” that could be detected. The research also suggested that the Etruscan samples had a shared set of ancestors, and this is consistent with the existence of the gentilicial system of family structure based on blood lines that is directly observable in Etruscan personal names and in the sharing of family chamber tombs over several generations that became widespread from the Late Orientalizing period onward. Genetic relationships between individuals from the same chamber tomb have also been demonstrated using mtDNA evidence at Tarquinia. At a more general level, the findings are consistent with Etruscan autochthony, since cultural and settlement continuity from the Late Bronze Age to the Etruscan period would generate a shared ancestry extending over at least ten generations.

2 Etruscans and modern DNA

The second question, posed by Vernesi and colleagues, of the relationship between the genetic diversity in the ancient DNA from Etruria and the genetic diversity observed in modern populations, aimed to investigate any genealogical or emigrational links between the Etruscans and other Eurasian populations. The results were not decisive: the samples were found to have less genetic resemblance to modern Tuscans than there is resemblance between randomly chosen modern European populations. Analyses of the shortest genetic difference between the (Etruscan) samples and modern populations found them closest to Tuscans, with Turks, other Mediterranean peoples, and the Cornish (from the southwest of England) following. Assessment of the mix of genetic material in the samples (admixture coefficient) suggested that Etruscans are more closely related to Turks and North Africans than to Basques and northeast Europeans, and that the samples were more likely to have components of Turkish or North African DNA than samples from Tuscans, southern Italians, or Sicilians. The conclusion drawn was that the findings support an autochthonous origin for the Etruscans, inasmuch as their DNA is similar to that of other Europeans, but without excluding some component of female-derived DNA originating in the eastern or southern Mediterranean. This study was subsequently criticized for technical and methodological reasons, including the origin of the samples in old, possibly contaminated museum collections; however, this need not invalidate tentative conclusions
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despite the hazards involved in being certain that the DNA identified is authentically ancient.\textsuperscript{18}

The tentative observation that Tuscans have the shortest genetic distance from the Etruscan ancient DNA (aDNA) has been further investigated by attempts to simulate population histories that could have led to the degree of relatedness between Etruscans and Tuscans that was observed by Vernesi’s group.\textsuperscript{19} This work concluded that the Tuscans are largely descended from non-Etruscan ancestors.\textsuperscript{20} Projecting the simulations backward in time suggests that the genetic lines of the Etruscan samples emerged in the early Neolithic ca. 5500–4000 BCE (coalescence), a hypothesis consistent with an unbroken sequence of cultural development.\textsuperscript{21}

Contrary to these studies, principal component analysis comparing 322 samples of modern Tuscan DNA with samples from other parts of western Eurasia found that Tuscan mtDNA has many affinities with European DNA but samples from Murlo had a higher proportion of haplogroups that are commonly found in the Near East.\textsuperscript{22} Eleven haplotypes in the Tuscan samples were not found in 10,589 samples from Europe, including Italy, but were found in samples from Near Eastern populations spread from the Levant through Jordan, Mesopotamia, Iran, and the Caucasus, with one in Turkey. The findings indicate post-Neolithic, genetic input from the Near East to the present-day population of Tuscany.\textsuperscript{23}

A subsequent, more detailed study of 258 samples from Tuscany has identified that approximately three percent of these contain typical Near Eastern haplogroups, significantly reducing the proportion estimated by Achilli and colleagues in 2007.\textsuperscript{24} Other studies have also identified a link between modern Tuscan and Anatolian DNA but suggested that the two populations divided at least as far back as the Neolithic Period.\textsuperscript{25}

An alternative approach to identifying intrusive populations is to identify a restricted geographic distribution of a gene mutation and then attempt to chronologically reconstruct the history of the mutation of that gene to provide a date for the first occurrence of that mutation. In Tuscany, nine of fifty-three samples from Elba contained a distinct mutation (U7a2a subsequently renamed U7b1) of a haplotype most commonly found in southwest Asia, with a distribution centered in Iran.\textsuperscript{26} The occurrence of this mutation has been tentatively dated to 750 BCE–950 CE, which could
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indicate the arrival of a Near Eastern immigrant carrying the mutation in the Etruscan period. However, the date range is wide and many other historical scenarios could easily account for the presence of this material in Italy.

Yet more recent work, using genome-wide, rather than maternal mtDNA, has identified a component of near eastern DNA in Tuscan samples, estimating that the genetic material mixed into the pool between 600–1100 BCE. Once again, as the authors note, these are estimates and various historical circumstances may have led to the formation of the observed dataset. Likewise, from an archaeological point of view, eastern genetic material in Italy is not to be unexpected in that period, and does not do anything to prove migration from Anatolia to Etruria. One new element of the study is the identification as the Caucasus as the area with the most closely related DNA to Tuscany, as an ancestral location this would seem, on the face of it, to be historically unlikely.27

3 Italian cattle DNA

A study of DNA from eleven rare breeds of Italian cattle identified Near Eastern haplotypes in Tuscan breeds,28 and this led to a hypothesis that the cattle arrived in Tuscany with Etruscan settlers in the Late Bronze Age. Earlier studies of cattle DNA also detected genetic variation in European cattle that can be associated with southwest Asia, where *Bos taurus* was originally domesticated. Those studies also identify the highest levels of alleles associated with *Bos indicus* (zebu) in Italian cattle and relatively high levels of them in Greek cattle, and these are said to derive from cultural associations between Anatolia, Greece, and Italy over the past three millennia.29

A more comprehensive study concluded that the cattle originating in the Neolithic in the Near East also have mtDNA deriving from North African cattle and wild aurochsen; it presents a circum-Mediterranean distribution of cattle DNA illustrating that the African (T1) and Near Eastern (T and T2) haplotypes are found around most of the northern shores of the Mediterranean, making the Tuscan cattle less of a special case that could be associated with a hypothetical migration of (Etruscan) people from the Near East.30

---

4 Conclusions

Given the Neolithic colonization of Europe, it is to be expected that Tuscan, or indeed Etruscan, DNA should contain haplotypes characteristic of southwest Asia. The Tuscans or Etruscans occupy a position on the clines of various distributions of haplotypes, just like any other European population. There have not yet been enough studies to reliably distinguish a Tuscan combination of haplotypes as distinct from other combinations in Italy, even if it is now possible to separate northern Italian samples from Bergamo from Tuscan samples.\(^{31}\) Even if the arrival of southwest Asian haplotypes could be dated to ca. 2,800 years ago, this would not confirm that the Etruscans were immigrants, because we already know that people moved around the first-millennium Mediterranean, either as immigrants or as groups of Greek or Phoenician colonists. Traditional archaeological techniques find it challenging to convincingly identify intrusive alien individuals, but ethnic diversity is indicated by the presence of foreigners, particularly Sardinians, in cemeteries in Etruria from the tenth–ninth centuries onward,\(^{32}\) and there is plentiful evidence for cultural and economic exchange in the subsequent Orientalizing period\(^{33}\) that has led to the suggestion of Near Easterners in the elite of Etruria\(^{34}\) and provides a plausible context for genetic exchange to occur. Mythistorical accounts, new artistic styles or uses of materials, and personal names occasionally suggest the movements of people\(^{35}\) and also occasionally suggest a non-Etruscan origin of individuals, who are usually assumed to be males. The mtDNA evidence indicates there was also immigration of childbearing females across the Mediterranean, possibly in the Etruscan period.

None of the DNA studies to date conclusively prove that Etruscans were an intrusive population in Italy that originated in the Eastern Mediterranean or Anatolia. Likewise, none conclusively prove that Tuscans are descended from Etruscans. On balance, there are indications that the evidence of DNA can support the theory that Etruscan people are autochthonous in central Italy. The absence of decisive results derives from the fundamental fact that archaeological ethnicities do not neatly map onto patterns in genetic diversity. Studies of modern genetic variation mapped with socio-cultural categories can demonstrate correlation between the two,\(^{36}\) but the time-depth of the archaeological perspective creates complex layering in genetic diversity as population dynamics change, populations relocate and intermingle over
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time. The genetic diversity of the ancient people we identify as Etruscans, will have been a complex mix of genetic material deriving from western European, south west Asia and neighboring areas. Unraveling that mix, and relating it to the socio-cultural development of archaeological societies is an exciting challenge for both archaeogeneticists and archaeologists.
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