Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Do employee-owned firms produce more positive employee behavioural outcomes? If not why not? A British-Spanish comparative analysis

Journal Item

How to cite:

Basterretxea, Imanol and Storey, John (2018). Do employee-owned firms produce more positive employee behavioural outcomes? If not why not? A British-Spanish comparative analysis. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 56(2) pp. 292–319.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2017 John Wiley Sons, Inc

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Version: Supplementary Material

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/bjir.12247>

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

Do employee-owned firms produce more positive employee behavioural outcomes? If not why not?

Those are the questions that a recent [paper](#) published in the British Journal of Industrial Relations (BJIR), tries to answer. We draw upon the results of a detailed comparative study of behaviours in the two largest co-owned retailers in Europe (Eroski in Spain and John Lewis in the UK). We were able to assess developments over time and across economic cycles.

One of the many rationales advanced as justifying employee-owned enterprises is the idea that workers in such enterprises will be more engaged and more productive. The suggestion is that workers in these enterprises will think and behave differently: the essential nature of that difference being that they can be expected to act more like ‘owners’: be more customer (and market) focused and willing to make sacrifices in hard times in order to sustain the enterprise. They would be expected to expend ‘discretionary effort’. In managerial language, they might be expected to be more willing than an employee in a conventional firm, to ‘go the extra mile’.

What did we find? We found the so-called ‘three-pronged hypothesis’ to be valid. This refers to the need for employee ownership to be complemented with increased employee participation in decision making and with supportive human resource policies. But we also found a need for a fourth prong – that is, a form of leadership which recognizes the potential inherent in co-ownership and which animates that potential by continual supportive steps to make it real.

This finding sat alongside a mixed set of outcomes with regard to measures of productivity, absenteeism, employee attitudes and employee turnover.

Workers in both firms demonstrated levels of commitment which exceeded those in other forms of employment. But, at the same time, there were indicators of complacency with some examples of higher levels of sickness absence and responses to satisfaction surveys which revealed lower scores than expected.

Managers in both firms explained these results as stemming from higher expectations held by co-owners.

So, to what extent do these firms offer encouragement that co-ownership might provide a viable alternative to conventional firms? Co-ownership does not provide a simple, direct read-across to high commitment and high reward. But, they do offer the potential for shared knowledge, shared power and shared reward. To realize that potential seems to require constant skillful effort.

A dark rectangular box containing white and green text. The text reads: "Since 1929 the purpose of our business has been the happiness of those who work in it. Some things never change." The last sentence is in green. At the bottom right, the text "JOHN LEWIS PARTNERSHIP" is written in white.

Since 1929 the purpose of our business has been the happiness of those who work in it.
Some things never change.

JOHN LEWIS
PARTNERSHIP

The full article is titled: “[Do employee-owned firms produce more positive employee behavioural outcomes? If not why not? A British-Spanish comparative analysis](#)” by Imanol Basterretxea (Universidad del País Vasco UPV/EHU, Spain) and

John Storey (The Open University, UK). Published in the British Journal of Industrial Relations, June 2017. Link: