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Abstract. Four XMM-Newton observations of the core of M 31, spaced at 6 month intervals, show that the brightest point X-ray source, RX J0042.6+4115, has a 0.4–10 keV luminosity of \( \sim 5 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), and exhibits significant variability in intensity and X-ray spectrum over a time scale of \( \sim 100 \text{ s} \) including hard flares; such behaviour is only observed in Z-sources and transient black hole binaries in our Galaxy. The lightcurves, X-ray spectra and hardness-intensity data from the four XMM-Newton observations all strongly suggest that it is a Z-source, bringing the total number of known Z-sources to nine.
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1. Introduction

The Andromeda galaxy (M 31) is the nearest spiral galaxy, at a distance of 760 kpc (van den Bergh 2000). The X-ray emission from M 31 is dominated by point sources. While the study of variability in X-ray sources in external galaxies has been limited by the sensitivity of observatories prior to XMM-Newton, its three large X-ray telescopes can make variability within a single observation visible down to fluxes of \( \sim 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) in the 0.3–10 keV band (e.g. Jansen et al. 2001), equivalent to luminosities of \( \sim 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) in M 31. The XMM-Newton observations of M 31 have already revealed a persistent, stellar-mass black hole binary (Barnard et al. 2003a, Paper I), periodic intensity dips in the M 31 globular cluster X-ray source Bo158 (Trudolyubov et al. 2002) and pulsations in a white-dwarf transient (Osborne et al. 2001).

RX J0042.6+4115, the subject of this paper, was discovered in the Einstein survey of Trinchieri & Fabbiano (1991), and was named by Supper et al. (1997), who associated it with a globular cluster. However, its location was more accurately given in a recent Chandra survey (Kong et al. 2002) as 00°42′38.581 +41′16′03″80 with a positional error of 0′01, and was not associated with any foreground object, globular cluster or background AGN. Kong et al. (2002) found variability in the lightcurves and spectra of RX J0042.6+4115 and report a luminosity in the 0.3–7 keV band of \( 1.5 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), assuming a standard absorbed power law spectral model with an index of 1.7 and absorption equivalent to \( 10^{23} \) hydrogen atom cm\(^{-2}\). Our results from four XMM-Newton observations of RX J0042.6+4115 reveal 0.3–7 keV luminosities of \( \sim 3–4 \text{ times} \) that reported by Kong et al. (2002) while spectral fits required a blackbody component in addition to a power law component. Furthermore, significant variability is observed over time-scales of \( \sim 100 \text{ s} \). Of the Galactic X-ray binary population, such behaviour is only observed in Z-sources and X-ray transients. As this object is clearly not a transient, we argue that RX J0042.6+4115 is the 9th Z-source.

The paper is constructed as follows: the properties of Z-sources are briefly reviewed in the next section; the XMM-Newton observations and analysis techniques are then discussed; lightcurves, colour-colour diagrams and energy spectra are presented in the Results section and the case for classifying RX J0042.6+4116 as an analogue to the Galactic Z-sources presented in the Discussion section.

2. Properties of Z-sources

The Z-source subclass of low mass X-ray binaries (LMXB) is characterised by high X-ray luminosities \( \geq 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989) and intensity variations of up to 100% over timescales of minutes (White et al. 1976, and references within); they are named after the patterns traced on their colour-colour diagrams. If X-ray lightcurves of a source are obtained in several energy bands, then one can define hard and soft colours to see spectral variations over time; the commonly used colour-colour diagram (CD) is a plot of hard colour vs. soft colour. Z-sources exhibit three-branched, approximately Z-shaped CDs, with temporal properties that are strongly correlated to the position of the source on the CD (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989). The branches are historically named the horizontal branch (HB), normal branch (NB) and flaring branch (FB). During spectral evolution, the Z-source moves smoothly along the branches without jumping from one
branch to another; the mass accretion rate is thought to increase as the source travels in the sense HB to NB to FB (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989). The Z-sources exhibit significant branch movement over time-scales of ~100 s or less and trace out their complete pattern in ~1 day (Muno et al. 2002). All Galactic Z-sources exhibit kilohertz quasi-periodic oscillations (see Liu et al. 2001, and references within). This tells us that they all contain neutron star primaries, since the power density spectra of LMXB with black hole primaries are not thought to contain any power at >500 Hz (Sunyaev & Revnivtsev 2000). These Z-sources may be divided further into two subsets: Cygnus X-2, GX 5−1 and GX 349+0 have strong, horizontal HBs and weak flaring branches while Sco X-1, GX 17+2 and GX 349+2 have strong FBs and weak, vertical HBs (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989). The underlying differences that account for this division of Z-sources is unknown.

Two additional Z-source candidates have recently been identified: Circinus X-1 (e.g. Iaria et al. 2001) and the first extragalactic Z-source LMC X-2 (Smale & Kuulkers 2000). It is highly likely that the set of 6–7 known Z-sources in our Galaxy is complete, due to their high X-ray luminosities, yet they account for only ~5% of the Galactic LMXB (Liu et al. 2001).

The spectral evolution of Sco X-1 along its flaring branch was studied by Barnard et al. (2003b), using data from the Rossi-XTE observatory (Bradt et al. 1993); luminosities of ~5−12 × 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1} were observed in the 1−30 keV energy band. This exceeds the Eddington luminosity for an accreting, 1.4 M_\odot neutron star, the luminosity where the radiation pressure on accreting material balances the gravitation forces (~2 × 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) (Frank et al. 2002). However, the majority of the X-ray emission comes from an extended accretion disc corona and only 10−30% of the 1−30 keV emission comes from the neutron star surface, in the form of blackbody emission; the luminosity of the neutron star is generally sub-Eddington (Barnard et al. 2003b). We can therefore expect the X-ray spectrum of an analogue of Sco X-1 to contain a strong blackbody component as well as the standard power law component fitted by Kong et al. (2002).

3. Observations

Four XMM-Newton observations were made of the core of M31; data from the three EPIC detectors in each observation were analysed for variation between observations and variability within observations. The three imaging CCD instruments, two MOS (Turner et al. 2001) and one PN (Strüder et al. 2001) share a common, circular field of view with a radius of 30′ (Jansen et al. 2001). A journal of the XMM-Newton observations of the core of M31 are presented in Table 1. Lightcurves of RX J0042.6+4115 were obtained in the 0.3−2.5 keV (top panel) and 2.5−10 keV (bottom panel) energy bands; the upper curve in each panel is the background-subtracted source curve, while the lower curve is the background curve. The lightcurves were accumulated with time bins of 400 s. Clearly, the amplitude of flaring is higher in the 2.5−10 keV energy band, and it is also clear that the background makes no contribution to the flares.

Table 1. Journal of XMM-Newton observations of the M31 core.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>Filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25/07/00 (rev0100)</td>
<td>34 ks</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27/12/00 (rev0193)</td>
<td>13 ks</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>29/06/01 (rev0285)</td>
<td>56 ks</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>06/01/02 (rev0381)</td>
<td>61 ks</td>
<td>Thin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Variability of EPIC lightcurves of RX J0042.6+4115 in different energy bands with 400 s binning; \( \chi^2/d.o.f. \) and \( P \) (the probability that a random fit to the data would be a worse fit) are shown for the best fit lines of constant intensity to lightcurves in three energy bands for each observation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>( \chi^2_{0.3–2.5,\text{keV}}/d.o.f. )</th>
<th>( P_{0.3–2.5,\text{keV}} )</th>
<th>( \chi^2_{2.5–10,\text{keV}}/d.o.f. )</th>
<th>( P_{2.5–10,\text{keV}} )</th>
<th>( \chi^2_{0.3–10,\text{keV}}/d.o.f. )</th>
<th>( P_{0.3–10,\text{keV}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100/77</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>143/77</td>
<td>( 7 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>162/77</td>
<td>( 6 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33/24</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>23/24</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>28/24</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>513/131</td>
<td>( &lt;10^{-6} )</td>
<td>412/131</td>
<td>( &lt;10^{-6} )</td>
<td>259/131</td>
<td>( &lt;10^{-6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>730/152</td>
<td>( &lt;10^{-6} )</td>
<td>497/152</td>
<td>( &lt;10^{-6} )</td>
<td>441/152</td>
<td>( &lt;10^{-6} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results

Observations 1, 3 and 4 exhibit significant variability in all energy bands, while the lightcurves from observation 2 exhibit no significant variability; each lightcurve was fitted with a line of constant intensity and the resulting best fit \( \chi^2/d.o.f. \) and probability of randomly getting a larger \( \chi^2/d.o.f. \) (\( P \)) are presented in Table 2. Strong intensity enhancements occur at the start of observations 3 and 4; in fact, the lightcurves of RX J0042.6+4115 closely resemble the 1998, January RXTE lightcurves of Sco X-1, which captured a transition between the FB and the NB (Barnard et al. 2003b). The flares in Sco X-1 last for a few thousand seconds and are hard, meaning that the fractional amplitude of the flares increases with energy (White et al. 1976). Combined EPIC (PN + MOS1 + MOS2) lightcurves of RX J0042.6+4115 from observation 4 in the 0.3–2.5 and 2.5–10 keV energy bands are given in Fig. 2 with 400 s binning; clearly the relative amplitude of flaring is higher in the 2.5–10 keV energy band (~100%) than in the 0.3–2.5 keV band (~33%).

Hardness-intensity data was obtained for the 4 observations of RX J0042.6+4115; the hardness was defined as the ratio of the 4.0–10 keV intensity to the 0.3–2.5 keV intensity, and data were integrated over 2000 s. The high energy band 4.0–10 keV was chosen to better separate the three branches; Z-sources are more variable at higher energies than at low energies (see e.g. White et al. 1976, who modelled the X-ray spectrum as bremsstrahlung emission and interpreted the increase in hardness with intensity as an increase in temperature). Figure 3 shows the hardness vs intensity diagram (HID) for all observations of RX J0042.6+4115; stars, circles, triangles and squares represent data from observations 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. The resulting HID appears to show fragments of the Z-pattern characteristic of the Cygnus X-2 like Z-sources (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989); it appears that observation 1 is on the NB, observation 2 is near the HB/NB apex, observation 3 is on the FB, and observation 4 is on the HB.

In order to illustrate the effects of long integration times on HID for Z-sources, we present in Fig. 4 hardness vs. intensity data from 400 ks of RXTE data on Sco X-1 using 96 s binning and 2000 s binning. We see that using 2000 s bins preserves the shape of the HID that would be seen at 96 s resolution, although the curve is more sparsely sampled; hence we can be confident that our HID of RX J0042.6+4115 is consistent with Z-source HID.

The intervals of high intensity variability were omitted from spectral analysis, because the hardness ratios of these data (and therefore spectra) significantly varied; as a result, the spectrum from observation 1 had an exposure of only 10 ks, while the spectra of observations 3 and 4 both had exposures of ~50 ks. The PN spectra from the four observations of RX J0042.6+4115 were first fitted by simple power law models (A1–A4). None of these fits were statistically acceptable. Fits to the spectrum of observation 4 using models consisting of a single bremsstrahlung component (\( \chi^2/d.o.f. = 103/29 \)) or a single compton component (\( \chi^2/d.o.f. = 247/27 \)) were also rejected. Hence a two component emission model was applied, consisting of a blackbody and a power law. These two component models (B1–B4) all provided good fits to their respective spectra. All models incorporated freely-fitted, line-of-sight absorption. The unfolded, 0.4–10 keV PN spectrum of RX J0042.6+4115 from observation 1 is presented in Fig. 5; the data are shown (filled circles) along with the power law (1) and blackbody (2) components, and the sum for the best fit model. The best fit parameters, \( \chi^2/d.o.f. \) and fluxes of each model are presented in Table 3; 90% confidence errors were obtained for the two-component models, but not the single component models since the fits were unacceptable. The spectra were then tested for the presence of line emission. There is evidence for a 6.4 keV line, corresponding to fluorescent iron K\alpha emission in observation 3 only: F-testing showed that the
The peculiar softness of observation 3 data appears to be due to the steep photon index, bearing out the association of observation 3 data with the flaring branch – the photon index steepens along the flaring branch in Sco X-1 (Barnard et al. 2003b). Meanwhile the hardness of observation 4 data may be explained by the increased blackbody contribution to the X-ray flux, since its fractional contribution to the 4.0–10 keV flux is greater than for the 0.3–2.5 keV flux, as demonstrated in Fig. 5.

5. Discussion

In order to place RX J0042.6+4115 in M 31, we must show that it is not a foreground object or a background AGN. If RX J0042.6+4115 was local, it would have to be within a few kpc, and so the 0.4–10 keV luminosity would be reduced by a factor of $\sim 10^6$, to $\sim 5 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Thus RX J0042.6+4115 would be too faint to be a persistently bright LMXB (Lewin et al. 1995) and would be either a cataclysmic variable or soft X-ray transient (SXT) in quiescence. However, the observed X-ray spectra for RX J0042.6+4115 are completely unlike any observed in cataclysmic variables (see Kuulkers et al. 2003, and references within). Also the blackbody temperatures in our spectra are many times higher than expected for a quiescent SXT (cf. $\sim 0.3$ keV, Menou & McClintock 2001). Finally, they are inconsistent with observed spectra from AGN, which in the 0.5–10 keV energy range are described by a simple power law (Page 1998) whereas the spectra of RX J0042.6+4115 require a second component. It would be hard to understand this object if it were in our Galaxy or in a distant background galaxy, whereas it is easily comprehensible as a member of M 31.

RX J0042.6+4115 is therefore a high luminosity X-ray binary, with a total 0.4–10 keV luminosity of $5\times10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$, including $0.8\times10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from a $\sim 1$ keV blackbody component. The total luminosity exceeds the Eddington limit for a 1.4 $M_\odot$ neutron star, however the blackbody component does not. Two Galactic Z-sources are known to regularly exceed the Eddington limit: Sco X-1 and GX 5–1 (e.g. Liu et al. 2001), while of the black hole XB, only transients in outburst (Chen et al. 1997) or extreme oddballs such as GRS 1915+105 (e.g. Belloni et al. 2000) reach the luminosities we have observed in RX J0042.6+4115.

Outbursts in X-ray transients generally rise in intensity quickly (a few days) and decay exponentially; the decline time-scales cluster around 30 days, although time-scales of 400 days are known (Chen et al. 1997). The first detection of RX J0042.6+4115 was over 20 years ago by the Einstein satellite, when the 0.2–4.0 keV luminosity was $2.4 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Trinchieri & Fabbiano 1991), and it has been persistently bright in every observation since (e.g. Supper et al. 1997, 2001), and so is highly unlikely to be a transient.

Since the three branches of the Z were observed over 18 months, it is possible that RX J0042.6+4115 is a very luminous atoll source. However, Muno et al. (2002) report that three-branched colour diagrams in atoll sources are only observed in systems where the intensity varies by a factor of $\geq 80$; atoll sources that vary in X-ray intensity by no more than a factor of 10 only ever display a portion of the pattern (Muno et al. 2002). The 0.3–10 keV intensity of RX J0042.6+4115 varies
Table 3. Results from fitting the 0.3–10 keV PN X-ray spectra of RX J0042.6+4115 with spectral models A[n] and B[n]; A1–A4 are single component power law models fitted to observations 1–4 respectively, and B1–B4 are equivalent two-component models, consisting of a blackbody and a power law. Best fit parameters are given, along with the $\chi^2$/d.o.f. and unabsorbed 0.4–10 keV fluxes of the total emission and the blackbody component, where applicable. Numbers in parentheses indicate 90% confidence errors on the last digit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$N_H/10^{22}$ atom cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$kT$ keV</th>
<th>$n_{BB}/10^{34}$ photon cm$^{-2}$ at 1 keV</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</th>
<th>$F^{\text{tot}}/10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$F^{\text{BB}}/10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>49/29</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>49/24</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>351/30</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>258/29</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.14(3)</td>
<td>1.08(10)</td>
<td>1.28(18)</td>
<td>2.02(3)</td>
<td>1.36(3)</td>
<td>34/27</td>
<td>8(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.14(2)</td>
<td>1.05(8)</td>
<td>1.87(15)</td>
<td>1.95(4)</td>
<td>1.22(3)</td>
<td>23/22</td>
<td>8(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>0.16(2)</td>
<td>1.11(3)</td>
<td>2.79(8)</td>
<td>2.24(2)</td>
<td>1.12(1)</td>
<td>37/28</td>
<td>7.3(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>0.13(2)</td>
<td>1.08(3)</td>
<td>2.65(10)</td>
<td>2.10(3)</td>
<td>1.00(2)</td>
<td>33/27</td>
<td>7.0(9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by a factor of ~2 over the four XMM-Newton observations, and yet three patterns of hardness-intensity behaviour are observed. In this regard, RX J0042.6+4115 is unlike any Galactic atoll source.

The lightcurves, hardness-intensity diagram, and the luminosities and composition of the X-ray spectra all strongly suggest that RX J0042.6+4115 is a Z-source; its luminosity and Z-pattern suggest that it is a super-Eddington, Cyg X-2-like Z-source analogous to GX 5−1. If RX J0042.6+4115 is a Z-source, it would be only the 9th to be found.
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