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Fractionation of Li, Be, Ga, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, Sb, W and Bi in the peraluminous Early Permian Variscan granites of the Cornubian Batholith: Precursor processes to magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation

Beth Simons, Jens C.Ø. Andersen, Robin K. Shail, Frances E. Jenner

The Early Permian Variscan Cornubian Batholith is a peraluminous, composite pluton intruded into Devonian and Carboniferous metamorphosed sedimentary and volcanic rocks. Within the batholith there are: G1 (two-mica), G2 (muscovite), G3 (biotite), G4 (tourmaline) and G5 (topaz) granites. G1–G2 and G3–G4 are derived from greywacke sources and linked through fractionation of assemblages dominated by feldspars and biotite, with minor mantle involvement in G3. G5 formed through flux-induced biotite-dominate melting in the lower crust during granulate facies metamorphism. Fractionation enriched G2 granites in Li (average 315 ppm), Be (12 ppm), Ta (4.4 ppm), In (74 ppb), Sn (18 ppm) and W (12 ppm) relative to crustal abundances and G1 granites. Ga (24 ppm), Nb (16 ppm) and Bi (0.46 ppm) are not significantly enriched during fractionation, implying they are more compatible in the fractionating assemblage. Sb (0.16 ppm) is depleted in G1-G2 relative to the average upper and lower continental crust. Muscovite, a late-stage magmatic/subsolidus mineral, is the major host of Li, Nb, In, Sn and W in G2 granites. G2 granites are spatially associated with W-Sn greisen mineralisation. Fractionation within the younger G3–G4 granite system enriched Li (average 364 ppm), Ga (28 ppm), In (80 ppb), Sn (14 ppm), Nb (27 ppm), Ta (4.6 ppm), W (6.3 ppm) and Bi (0.61 ppm) in the G4 granites with retention of Be in G3 granites due to partitioning of Be into cordierite during fractionation. The distribution of Nb and Ta is controlled by accessory phases such as rutile within the G4 granites, facilitated by high F and lowering the melt temperature, leading to disseminated Nb and Ta mineralisation. Lithium, In, Sn and W are hosted in biotite micas which may prove favourable for breakdown on ingress of hydrothermal fluids. Higher degrees of scattering on trace element plots may be attributable to fluid–rock interactions or variability within the magma chamber. The G3-G4 system is more boron-rich, evidenced by a higher modal abundance of tourmaline. In this system, there is a stronger increase of Sn compared to G1-G2 granites, implying Sn in tourmaline-dominated mineral lodes may represent exsolution from G4 granites. G1–G4 granite abundances can be accounted for by 20–30% partial melting and 10–40% fractionation of a greywacke source. G5 granites are analogues of Rare Metal Granites described in France and Germany. These granites are enriched in Li (average 1363 ppm), Ga (38 ppm), Sn (21 ppm), W (24 ppm), Nb (52 ppm) and Ta (15 ppm). Within G5 granites, the metals partition into accessory minerals such as rutile, columbite-tantalite and cassiterite, forming disseminated magmatic mineralisation. High observed concentrations of Li, In, Sn, W, Nb and Ta in G4 and G5 granites are likely facilitated by high F, Li and P, which lower melt temperature and promote retention of these elements in the melt, prior to crystallisation of disseminated magmatic mineralisation.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Growth in the low carbon and consumer electronics sectors has led to a rise in demand for metals that are not typical historical exploration and mining targets. For instance, indium (In), beryllium (Be) and bismuth (Bi) are used extensively in products such as solar panels and touch screen devices, and lithium (Li) is essential for high capacity energy storage (Moss et al., 2011). Several metals including Be, niobium (Nb), tantalum (Ta), antimony (Sb), gallium (Ga), tungsten (W) and bismuth (Bi) are identified as having strategic importance for the UK and the EU (e.g. House of Commons, 2011; European Commision, 2014). Consumption of several of these metals already outstrips supply and there is an immediate need to identify further resources. In addition, market price...
fluctuations in some major metals such as Zn can impact the supply of minor ‘speciality’ metals that are produced solely as by-products, particularly In, for which sphalerite is a major carrier (e.g. Cook et al., 2009; Jovic et al., 2011).

Peraluminous granites are a major global source of magmatic and magmatic-hydrothermal ore deposits, particularly for elements often described as lithophile during the petrogenesis of granitic melts (Li, Nb, Ta, Be, Sn and W) (e.g. Černý et al., 2005; Sial et al., 2011). Factors such as source composition (Romer and Kroner, 2014), degree of fractionation (Lehmann, 1987; Taylor and Wall, 1992) and hydrothermal overprinting (Štumpková, 1987; Haapala, 1997) control enrichment of these lithophile metals within granites. There are limited studies on the behaviour of Ga, In, W and Bi during source melting and fractionation of peraluminous granite magmas. A prerequisite for understanding the distribution of rare metals in magmatic-hydrothermal systems is an understanding of their behaviour in precursor magmas prior to, and during, the exsolution of magmatic-hydrothermal fluids.

The purpose of this study is to further understanding of the magmatic behaviour of Li, Be, Ga, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, W, Sb and Bi in peraluminous granitic systems through an investigation of the Variscan granites of the Cornubian Batholith in SW England. The region has an extensive history of mining. It has historical and contemporary production of metals now deemed “critical” (e.g. Bi, W, Sb) and there is evidence for In concentrations comparable to those in regions of China and Canada (e.g. Jackson et al., 1989; Cook et al., 2011a; Andersen et al., 2016). There are several stages of (largely) fracture-controlled mineralisation related to the release of magmatic–hydrothermal fluids during, and immediately after, pluton construction, with variable mixing between meteoric and metamorphic fluids (e.g. Jackson et al., 1989). Mineralisation styles are diverse, and granite-related mineralisation encompasses magnetite and sulphide skarns with silicate-hosted Sn, greisen-bordered sheeted vein divers, and granite-related mineralisation encompasses magnetite and metamorphic pluton construction, with variable mixing between meteoric and minor sedimentary graben and half-graben structures (Floyd et al., 1991; Leveridge and Hartley, 2006). During Late Devonian convergence, SW England occupied a lower plate position, with continental collision initiating in the Early Carboniferous (Shail and Leveridge, 2009). Metamorphism of the Devonian–Carboniferous basin successions is very low grade (epizone–anchizone), with localised greenschist facies in Devonian rocks (Warr et al., 1991). By the Late Carboniferous (c. 305 Ma), Variscan convergence had been replaced by a dextral transtensional regime, with reactivation of Variscan thrusts and development of new fault systems during NNW–SSE lithospheric extension continuing throughout most of the Early Permian (Alexander and Shail, 1995). Red-bed sedimentary successions were deposited and mantle-derived lamprophyres and high-K basalts erupted and intruded during this Early Permian extension (e.g. Leat et al., 1987; Shail and Wilkinson, 1994; Dupuis et al., 2015) The lamprophyres and basalts are contemporary with the main expression of post-collisional magmatism represented by the Cornubian Batholith (Dupuis et al., 2015).

2.2. The Cornubian Batholith

The Cornubian Batholith is a WSW–ENE trending, 250 km long and 20–40 km wide composite granite intrusion extending from beyond the Isles of Scilly in the west to Dartmoor in the east, at the current erosional level. The batholith is hosted by a passive margin succession of Devonian and Carboniferous sedimentary and volcanic rocks (Willis-Richards and Jackson, 1989; Shail and Leveridge, 2009). The two-mica (G1) and muscovite (G2) granites represent the oldest magmatism in the region with U–Pb monazite ages from 293.7 ± 0.6 to 281.7 ± 0.8 Ma (Chesley et al., 1993; Clark et al., 1994). The former are confined to the Isles of Scilly, Carmnmenellis and Bodmin plutons with minor G2 granite stocks at Carn Marth, Cligga, Kit Hill and Hemerdon. Biotite (G3) granites represent a significant second stage of magmatic activity in SW England, with U–Pb monazite ages from 281.8 ± 0.8 to 272.3 ± 1.8 Ma (Chen et al., 1993; Chesley et al., 1993) and are confined to the Land’s End, St. Austell and Dartmoor plutons (Fig. 1). Associated with G3 granites are evolved tourmaline (G4) granites, forming dykes and small stocks within the Land’s End, St. Austell and Dartmoor plutons. Topaz (G5) granites and aplites form small stocks within the St. Austell Granite and comprise the majority of the Tregonning Granite, the latter of which has an Ar–Ar zinnwaldite cooling age of 281.0 ± 1.3 Ma (Clark et al., 1994). Across the batholith there are minor aplites and pegmatites associated with G1–G5 granites (e.g. Dangerfield and Hawkes, 1981; Manning et al., 1996; Müller et al., 2006; Simons et al., 2016) (Fig. 1). Other expressions of magmatism include rhyolite/microgranite dykes, locally termed “elvans” and rhyolitic lavas, which are now extensively exposed as clasts in Permian red-bed sediments.

2.2.1. Two-mica (G1) and muscovite (G2) granites

The G1 granites are coarse- (G1a) to fine-grained (G1c), commonly porphyritic, and are mainly monzogranites. They have perthitic orthoclase phenocrysts (<25 mm), 5–10 modal % tric和平idenite micras (Mg siderophylite/siderephylite), up to 6 modal % muscovite, 1 modal % tourmaline and a variety of accessory minerals including zircon, monazite, xenotime, andalusite, apatite, ilmenite, fluorite and topaz (Fig. 2A). Enclaves of granites within each other, metasedimentary enclaves and strained quartz are widespread throughout all G1 granites (Fig. 2B) (Exley and Stone, 1964; Charoy, 1986; Chappell and Hine, 2006; Simons et al., 2016).

Muscovite (G2) granites are confined to small stocks such as Cligga, Kit Hill and Hemerdon. G2 granites are fine- to coarse-grained with variable sizes and abundances of perthitic orthoclase phenocrysts. Muscovite is dominant, up to 10 modal %, with tric和平idenite micras, which are Li siderophylite, trending towards zinnwaldite in composition. Plagioclase trends towards near albite compositions and tourmaline reaches up to 2 modal %. Accessory minerals include topaz, apatite, zircon, rutile (Nb-bearing) and fluorite. The muscovite granites are spatially associated with W–Sn greisen-bordered veins (Fig. 2C) (Exley and Stone, 1964). The G1 and G2 granites are linked through
fractionation of an assemblage dominated by biotite, feldspars, zircon, monazite, allanite and apatite. They are derived from a greywacke-type source within the lower crust, with melting at moderate temperatures (731–806 °C) and pressures (≤ 5 kbar) (Chappell and Hine, 2006; Charoy, 1986; Simons et al., 2016).

2.2.2. Biotite (G3) and tourmaline (G4) granites

The G3 granites are coarse- (G3a) to fine-grained (G3d), commonly porphyritic, and are mainly monzogranites. They have perthitic orthoclase phenocrysts (> 25 mm), 10 modal % trioctahedral micas (Mg siderophyllite / siderophyllite), up to 2.5 modal % tourmaline and a variety of accessory minerals including cordierite, muscovite, zircon, monazite, xenotime, andalusite, apatite, ilmenite, and fluorite (Fig. 2D).

Tourmaline (G4) granites are texturally variable, comprising fine-grained (G4a), globular quartz (G4b) and coarse-grained Li mica-bearing porphyritic variants (G4c) (Fig. 2E) (Manning et al., 1996). G4 granites are spatially associated with G3 granites, for example, crosscutting as sheets or forming gradational contacts, and are therefore confined to the Land’s End, St. Austell and Dartmoor plutons. In G4 granites, tourmaline is the dominant ferromagnesian mineral, with Li-rich triotahedral micas (Li siderophyllite, zinnwaldite) and approximately equal abundances of orthoclase and albite (An8). Accessory minerals include muscovite, topaz, cordierite, apatite, zircon, monazite, Nb-(Ta)-rutile, phosphates and fluorite (Hill and Manning, 1987; Manning et al., 1996). Metasedimentary and mafic microgranular enclaves (MME), along with quartz-tourmaline orbicules and pegmatitic pockets are widespread throughout all G3 and G4 granites (Fig. 2F; Stimac et al., 1995; Müller et al., 2006; Drivenes et al., 2015).

The G3 and G4 granites appear to be linked through fractionation of an assemblage dominated by biotite and feldspars with minor zircon, monazite, allanite and apatite. They are derived from a greywacke-type source within the lower crust, with melting at higher temperatures (768–847 °C) and lower pressures (< 5 kbar) than G1–G2 granites, due to continued intrusion of mafic igneous rocks into the crust during ongoing extensional and erosional exhumation of the lower plate. There is a minor mantle component in the G3 granites and scatter on major element plots is interpreted as evidence for fluid overprint (Darbyshire and Shepherd, 1994; Simons et al., 2016), although this may represent variable pulses of evolved melt from a deep magma chamber (e.g. Merino et al., 2013).

2.2.3. Topaz (G5) granites

The topaz (G5a) granites are medium-grained, equigranular and aphyric and distinguished by up to 3 modal % of euhedral to subhedral topaz and Li–Fe trioctahedral micas such as zinnwaldite and lepidolite (Fig. 2G) (Henderson et al., 1989; Stone et al., 1988). The Tregonning Granite and Nanpean and Hensbarrow stocks within the St. Austell Granite are the main exposures. Contacts with adjacent G3 and G4 granites from now inaccessible exposures within the St Austell Granite are reported to be sharp, with zones of pegmatite and aplite and localised zones of extensive tourmalinisation developed in host granite or metasedimentary rock (Manning and Hill, 1990). Topaz-rich aplite (G5b) and pegmatite sheets are associated with the Tregonning Granite, where they primarily occur in metasedimentary host rocks. The Meldon Aplite, north of the Dartmoor Granite, is a topaz aplite, but there is no outcrop of G5 granite. Accessory minerals are diverse, comprising apatite, amblygonite, zircon Nb–(Ta)–rutile, Mn–ilmenite, columbite–tantalite and cassiterite (Scott et al., 1998; Stone and George, 1978). The topaz granites do not appear to be petrogenetically linked to the G1–G2 or G3–G4 granites and are interpreted as forming from fluid-fluxed melting.
of a biotite-rich source, with the fluids derived from granulite facies metamorphism in the lower crust (Simons et al., 2016; Stone, 1992).

3. Methodology

Granite samples (n = 91) were primarily those described by Simons et al. (2016); additional samples used by Müller et al. (2006) were obtained from the Natural History Museum, London (collection BM.2004.P14, specimen numbers 1, 7, 8, 10, 21, 23, 24, 30 and 31). Lamprophyre (n = 9) and basalt (n = 3) samples were collected from across SW England to provide a mantle end-member composition required to assess the potential for mixing of mantle and felsic melts. Mafic microgranular enclave samples (n = 2) from some of the locations studied by Stimac et al. (1995) were also analysed.
Major elements, Ga, Nb and Sn were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis at Activation Laboratories, Canada. Samples were prepared as fused beads for major elements and pressed powder pellets for Ga, Nb and Sn. Major element data with standard reference material (SRM) recoveries are reported by Simons et al. (2016). The remaining trace elements (Li, Be, In, Sb, W, Bi) were determined following the methods of Yu et al. (2001) using an Agilent 7700 ICP-MS at Camborne School of Mines, along with Ga, Nb and Sn for validation purposes. Prior to analysis, the instrument was calibrated using multi-elemental standard solutions and sampling depth and carrier gas flow were tuned to ensure that oxide and doubly charged ion formation were kept <1%. Isotope $^{115}\text{In}$ (95.7% of naturally occurring In) has an isobaric interference with $^{115}\text{Sn}$ (0.34% of naturally occurring Sn). This isobaric interference was corrected by monitoring $^{118}\text{Sn}$ (24.22% of naturally occurring Sn), and subtracting the corresponding signal from $^{115}\text{In}$ that represents $^{115}\text{Sn}$ (see also White et al., 2015). Detection limits were estimated at 10 times the standard deviation of 10 blanks (typically <0.5 ppm). The analytical reproducibility is generally better than 10%, except for Sb which is 12%. International standard reference material (Supplementary Table 1).

From 115In that represents 115Sn (see also White et al., 2015). Detection limits were estimated at 10 times the standard deviation of 10 blanks (typically <0.5 ppm). The analytical reproducibility is generally better than 10%, except for Sb which is 12%. International standard reference material (Supplementary Table 1).

Using 1/TiO2 as a fractionation indicator, where increasing 1/TiO2 indicates increasing degrees of fractionation or a variable source (e.g. Förster et al., 1999; Romer et al., 2014), the metals show differing behaviour across the G1–G2, G3–G4 and G5 granite series (Fig. 4). Niobium and tantalum increase with granite fractionation with stronger increases for Nb in G3–G4 granites. Li and Ga are significantly elevated in the G3–G4 granite series relative to G1–G2 granites. Conversely, In, Sn, W and Bi increase in G1–G2 granites, but within the G3–G4 series, several G4 values scatter towards lower In, Sn and Bi abundances, with no

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Be</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>In$^a$</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Bi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1b</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2$^b$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3c</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3a</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4d</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4c</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Indium data in ppb.

$^b$ Hemerdon is omitted due to hydrothermal alteration. From Rudnick and Gao (2004).

$^c$ Average from Hu and Gao (2008).

$^d$ Ground samples (GG6, G15, GG28, GG44) sourced from the study of Darbyshire and Shepherd (1994). Tungsten not analysed due to sample preparation method. Included in this table are samples from Natural History Museum collection BM.0014.P14: G3a–specimen numbers 7, 24, 30; G4a – specimen number 8; G4c – specimen numbers 1, 31; G4d – specimen numbers 10, 21, 23.
strong trend for W in G3–G4 granites. Beryllium shows increases with fractionation in G1–G2 granites, but decreases in G3–G4 granites. Antimony shows no overall trends with variations in 1/TiO₂. Limited data from the G5 granites (n = 9) means that no clear trends are discernible, but these granites invariably plot towards extremes of all values, except for Be, In, Nb and Bi compared to the G1, G2, G3 and G4 granites.

Regional maps displaying the distribution of Li, Be, Ga, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, Sb, W and Bi identify variations in the behaviour of these metals within the magmatic system (Fig. 5). There are clear increases in abundance with granite fractionation for Li, Ga, Nb, Ta and Sn, with the highest abundances of these metals confined to geochemically evolved G2 and G4 granites (Fig. 5C–E). Lithium is particularly enriched in the lepidolite-bearing Tregorning Granite and Meldon Aplite, both with abundances in the 99th percentile. These localities are also enriched in Ga, Nb, Ta and W. Indium, which generally shows an enrichment in G2 and G4 granites, shows higher average abundances in the Land’s End Granite and within the Carnmenellis Granite, regardless of the granite type (Fig. 5F). Beryllium is unique in showing a marked increase in medium-grained G1b (Fig. 1) and G2 granites, but not in G4 granites, indicated by abundances in the 98th percentile upwards within the Cligga, Carn Marth and Kit Hill G2 granites (Fig. 5B).

The behaviour of Sb isn’t related to the degree magmatic evolution, with high abundances centred in locations such as Burras (Carnmenellis), Hemerdon, Lamorna (Land’s End) and Yes Tor (Dartmoor) that have no petrogenetic links (Fig. 5H). It is also apparent from these maps, that Sn and W have a decoupled relationship, with the former more strongly enriched in the younger, boron-rich systems of the G3–G4 granites than the latter.

4.2. Mineral chemistry

There are very limited data on the partitioning of metals such as In, Sn and W within major silicate minerals in peraluminous granites. Previous studies suggest that muscovite is the dominant host of Sn and W over biotite (Alderton and Moore, 1981; Neiva et al., 2002) and that biotite may contain In (Miroshnichenko, 1965). Incorporation of trace elements, with variable ionic radii and charge, into micas is well established (Tischendorf et al., 2001 and references therein).
accessory mineral. Tungsten has high average abundances (195 ppm), but also partitions into an accessory mineral. Topaz is not a dominant host of any rare metal, with only Ga having an average abundance above 2 ppm (Table 3).

Across all of the granite types, abundances of Sn and W in micas are consistent with the studies by Neves (1997) and Gomes and Neiva (2002); in both of these studies biotite is the primary host of Sn. Tourmaline from Schwarzwald, Germany, has lower abundances of Li, but similar Nb, Ta, Sn and W (Marks et al., 2013). Topaz in SW England remains unenriched in Sn–W and rare metals, converse to findings in the Erzgebirge, where topaz contains 5–35 ppm Ga and up to 23 ppm Sn (Breiter et al., 2013).

![Fig. 4. Trace element variation diagrams using 1/TiO₂ as a differentiation index for A – Li, showing a positive trend for both G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites; G5 granites plot towards extreme values. The circled G4 granites show low Li attributable to alteration. B – Converse trends for Be, increasing with fractionation in G1–G2 and decreasing in G3–G4. C – Positive curvilinear trend for Ga for G3–G4 granites and no trend for G1–G2 granites (constant abundance with fractionation). D – Curvilinear positive trends for Nb in G1–G2 and G3–G4, with stronger enrichment in the latter. G5 granites plot towards extreme Nb values, with a distinctive gap between evolved G2/G4 granites and G5. E – Curvilinear positive trends for Ta, with stronger correlations for G1–G2 granites with fractionation. F – Trends for Li/Ta and Sn/Ta show increasing Sn with fractionation. G5 granites plot towards high Sn. The circled G4 granites show low Sn attributable to alteration. G – G1–G2 granites have a curvilinear positive Sn correlation with granite fractionation. G3–G4 granites broadly increase but there is no comprehensive correlation. Low values of Sn are likely attributable to alteration. G5 granites plot towards high Sn. H – There is no conclusive trend observed for any granites with Sb. I – W increases strongly within G1–G2 granites but shows no conclusive correlation with fractionation in the G3–G4 granites. G5 granites plot towards high W abundances, with a distinctive gap between the most evolved G2 and G4 W abundances and G5 granites. J – Positive correlation with Bi and fractionation in G1–G2 granites and no overall trend in G3–G4 granites.](image-url)
5. Trace element modelling

5.1. Methods

The G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites are predominantly crustally-sourced, with a very minor contribution (<10%) from mantle-derived melts within the G3–G4 granites (Charoy, 1986; Darbyshire and Shepherd, 1994; Chappell and Hine, 2006; Simons et al., 2016). Lower crustal source rocks are not exposed in SW England, so for samples of Gramscatho Group metagreywacke, used in the studies of Floyd et al. (1991) and Darbyshire and Shepherd (1994), have been used as a compositional proxy and were analysed for their rare metal contents (Table 1). Although these metagreywackes were derived from the upper plate during Variscan convergence, their RDM model ages are similar to those for the granites and suggest that their lower plate source shared a similar peri-Gondwanan basement origin (Nance et al., 2015; Shail and Leveridge, 2009). The rare metal abundances of greywacke from Hu and Gao (2008) are also utilised as a proxy composition and these are very similar to geochemical analyses of the Gramscatho Group greywackes.

There are limited experimentally determined partition coefficients for the metals in this study. For geochemical modelling of source melting and fractional crystallisation, partition coefficients for Li, Be, Ga, Nb and Ta in peraluminous granites are taken from the literature for the metals in this study. For geochemical modelling of source melt-to-geochemical analyses of the Gramscatho Group greywackes.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Pluron</th>
<th>Major silicate minerals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CN09</td>
<td>G1a</td>
<td>Carnmellis</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (An1), Musc, FeMusc, Mg Sid, Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL02</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Clogga</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (Anx), Musc, FeMusc, Li Sid, Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT01</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Kit’ Hill</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (Anx), Musc, Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE08</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Land’s End</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (Anx), Musc, FeMusc, Li Sid, Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE02</td>
<td>G3a</td>
<td>Land’s End</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (Anx), Mg Sid, Crd, Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT07</td>
<td>G3a</td>
<td>Dartmoo</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (Anx), Sid, Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU02</td>
<td>G4a</td>
<td>St. Austell</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (Anx), Zwd, Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU06</td>
<td>G5</td>
<td>St. Austell</td>
<td>Qtz, Kfs, Pl (Anx), Poly, Tz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Crd — Cordierite; FeMusc — Ferroan muscovite; Kfs — K-feldspar (orthoclase); Li Sid — Lithian siderophyllite; Mg Sid — Magnesian siderophyllite; Musc — Muscovite; Pl — Plagioclase; Poly — Polythionite;Qtz — Quartz; Sid — Siderophyllite; Tur — Tourmaline (schorl); Tz — Topaz; Zwd — Zinnwaldite.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9097</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferron muscovite</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (oligoclase)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoclase</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourmaline</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6070</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2379</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferron muscovite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3034</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (albite)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoclase</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourmaline</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2085</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderophyllite</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (oligoclase)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoclase</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourmaline</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordierite</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3857</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16,299</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (albite)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoclase</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>b.d.</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourmaline</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22,650</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidolite</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (albite)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topaz</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Analyses by presence of microperthite.

Fig. 5. Regional maps showing trace element distribution, subdivided by 50th, 75th, 90th, 95th, 99th and 100th percentiles for A – Li, B – Be, C – Ga, D – Nb, E – Ta, F – In, G – C, H – Sb, I – W and J – Bi. Note that high abundances for many of the metals are centred over the G5 granites of the Tregonning and St. Austell granites, with the exception of Be which has high abundances in G2 granites. Hemerdon, south of the Dartmoor Granite, shows high Sn, Sb, W and Bi and is most likely affected by suboxides / hydrothermal processes.

such as biotite, hornblende and magnetite, and would therefore be more readily depleted during fractionation (Taylor and Wall, 1992). However, the Cornubian granites contain ilmenite, rather than magnetite, indicating their reduced nature and the linear increase in Sn versus 1/TiO2 (Fig. 4) implies Sn was relatively incompatible during fractionation.

The batch melting and Rayleigh fractionation equations (Eq. [A.1–A.2]), along with the average abundance of the rare metals from the Hu and Gao (2008) greywacke and the Gramscatho greywacke source proxy were utilised. Additional data for metametasedimentary rocks in the region from the study of Hall (1990) for Li, Nb and Sn were utilised to demonstrate the range of concentrations within metametasedimentary rocks in the region. Major element and REE models indicated that 20% and 30% partial melting of a greywacke source would be sufficient to produce the element abundances shown in the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites, respectively (Simons et al., 2016).

Using these data 30% melting followed by 10% and 30% fractional crystallisation (G1–G2) and 40% fractional crystallisation (G3–G4) was modelled using the batch and Rayleigh fractionation models.

5.2. A fractional crystallisation model for distribution of rare metals in G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites

Prior to establishing the likely parental melt compositions, it is important to first consider the overprinting effects of fractional
Fig. 6. Lithium, Ga, Nb, Ta, In, Sn and W distribution shown as the cumulative percentage of whole rock abundances. A — Distribution for G1a granite. Gallium and Sn are fairly evenly distributed between major silicates. Indium and W are dominantly concentrated in muscovite, with Li, Nb and Ta in Mg siderophyllite. B — Distribution in G2 granite (with Li siderophyllite) is similar to G1a granite, with muscovite a dominant host for In and W and Li siderophyllite hosting Li and Nb. Note that Ta is depleted in major silicates, most likely partitioning into Fe–Ti oxides. C — Metal distribution in G2 granite (without Li siderophyllite). Niobium, Ta and Sn show depletions in major silicates and are again likely to be distributed in Fe–Ti oxides. Muscovite is again the dominant host of Nb, In and W, with Li partitioning into muscovite in the absence of trioctahedral micas. D — Other than W, the metals are accounted for in major silicates, particularly siderophyllite mica, in G3a granite, Land’s End. Muscovite is an accessory mineral, fine-grained and subsolidus / late-stage and may represent a host of the metals, consistent with G1 and G2 granites. E — In G4b granite, St. Austell, Nb and Ta are strongly depleted in major silicates, most likely partitioning into rutile. Gallium is evenly distributed between major silicates whereas Li, In, Sn and W partition into zinnwaldite. F — Niobium, Ta, Sn, W and to some extent In, are depleted in major silicates, partitioning into accessory minerals such as rutile, ilmenite, cassiterite and wolframite in G5 granite, St. Austell.

crystallisation. Fig. 4 indicates the strong influence of granite fractionation for several of the metals within the Cornubian Batholith. For G1–G2 granites, the modelled Li, Be, Nb, Ta, In, W and Bi abundances are consistent with fractionation of an assemblage comprising 20% biotite, 23% alkali feldspar, 50% plagioclase and minor garnet from the least evolved G1 granite to produce a more evolved G2 granite (Fig. 7, Supplementary Fig. 1a). There is likely minor quartz in the fractionating assemblage due to the high SiO₂ content of the melt, but quartz is excluded in these models due to lack of partitioning information. It is likely that the trace elements in this study have partition coefficients significantly <1 for quartz due to low ppm concentrations (e.g. Drivenes et al., 2016) and a model without quartz therefore represents a minimum melt enrichment scenario for metal enrichment during fractionation. Across the batholith, modelled Sn abundances are slightly lower than observed concentrations, likely due to over-estimation of Sn compatibility. Modelled Nb and Sb abundances are slightly higher than observed concentrations, implying either an under-estimation of compatibility of these elements during fractionation or partitioning into a mineral not modelled, likely Fe–oxides for Nb. Gallium remains constant with fractionation (Fig. 7c). Up to 30% fractionation is required to account for the range of the G2 granites, with high Sn and W values in the sample from Hemerdon likely attributable to fluid–rock interactions (Supplementary Table 3).

A modified fractionating assemblage, comprising 25% biotite, 20% plagioclase, 50% alkali feldspar, minor cordierite and garnet is sufficient to model fractionation from G3 to more evolved G4 granites for Nb, Ta, In, Sn and Bi (Fig. 8, Supplementary Fig. 1b). Up to 40% fractionation is sufficient for the majority of observed G4 concentrations (Supplementary Table 4). Like G1–G2 granites, there is a discordance between observed and modelled Sb, with modelled Sb abundances higher than observed abundances, implying an under-estimation of the compatibility of Sb during differentiation. The Li, Ga and W concentrations within G4 granites do scatter slightly above modelled abundances compared to the models for G2 granites; the most evolved sample for modelling was chosen as one that
does not display significant evidence for fluid fractionation, demonstrated by anomalies on trace element plots (Fig. 4). The scatters in Li, W and Bi are also likely attributable to element mobility associated with hydrothermal fluids, supported by modelling of Ba and Sr and variations in Rb/Sr (Simons et al., 2016).

5.3. Partial melting of a greywacke source

Fractional crystallisation modelling indicates the importance of this process for attaining high abundances of the trace metals in evolved granites, consistent with previous models for Sn, Nb and Ta (e.g.  

---

![Fig. 7. Model for G1–G2 granites: Combined 20% partial melting with 0% (i.e. only a 20% partial melt), 10% and 30% fractional crystallisation models for variable source abundances (x-axis) of the metals to account for source heterogeneity. The modelled abundances (y-axis) for a given source abundance are demonstrated by the symbols and the observed abundances for the Cornubian G1–G2 granites are on the right hand side. The average Gramscatho greywacke, taken as a proxy for the source, is indicated along with additional data from Devonian and Carboniferous shales from Hall (1990) and greywackes of Hu and Gao (2008) to show the possible ranges within sedimentary source material. For the majority of the elements, 30% partial melting and between 10 and 30% fractionation is sufficient to account for the range of abundances shown within the G1–G2 granites. There are notable exceptions. B — Be scatters upwards from modelled abundances, although these observed abundances may be achieved using higher source values of up to 5 ppm (London and Evensen, 2002). C — Ga partitions into plagioclase and micas and so modelled abundances do not significantly vary with fractionation. H — Modelled Sb abundances are significantly higher than observed Sb in the G1–G2 granites. This could be due to an overestimation of Sb in the source, partitioning into the residue during melting that is not accounted for by current partition coefficients or lack of magmatic control on Sb in SW England.](image-url)
Modelling of partial melting was undertaken to assess the source required to attain the metal abundances in the least evolved G1 and G3 granites. The samples representing the least fractionated partial melt are the least geochemically evolved; they are consistent with those identified in Simons et al. (2016). For the metals in this study, the least evolved sample has low Li, Be, Nb, Ta, Sn and W relative to other G1 samples. For the G1–G2 granites, there is a close match for modelled and observed Be, Ga, Nb, Ta, W and Bi abundances with 20% partial melting, utilising the Gramscatho Group greywacke samples as a proxy for a source (Fig. 9A). Indium and Sb have lower observed abundances, and Sn higher, within the least evolved G1 granite relative to modelled abundances, although the...

Fig. 8. Model for G3–G4 granites: Combined 30% partial melting with 0% (i.e. only a 20% partial melt), 10% and 30% fractional crystallisation models for variable source abundances (x-axis) of the metals to account for source heterogeneity. Other details remain the same as Fig. 6. D — Nb and G — Sn scatter towards higher observed abundances than those modelled using a Gramscatho greywacke source abundance, most likely attributable to variable partitioning of these elements facilitated by evolution of the melt towards high-F (see discussion) or overestimation of partitioning. The remaining metals are accounted for by 30% partial melting and 40% fractionation. As with G1–G2 granite models, modelled H — Sb abundances are significantly higher than those observed, again attributable to an overestimation of Sb incompatibility, partitioning into the residue during melting that is not accounted for by current partition coefficients or lack of magmatic control on Sb in SW England, with the latter most likely due to lack of correlation between Sb and magmatic evolution indicators such as 1/TiO₂.
Niobium partitioning is likely also controlled by Fe-Ti oxides and residual biotite during melting. The observed Li abundances could be attained with a higher source Li abundance and the attain the observed concentrations, with the exception of Li and Sn. For both, the melting of a muscovite-depleted source, consistent with higher T melting, is sufficient to attain the observed concentrations, with the exception of Li and Sn. For both, the observed Ti abundances could be attained with a higher source Li abundance and the compatibility of Sn is likely overestimated using the mineral/melt ratios from this study. Niobium partitioning is likely also controlled by Fe-Ti oxides and residual biotite during melting.

modelled In values are consistent with other G1 samples within the batholith. This may reflect under-estimated compatibility for In and Sb, and overestimated compatibility during melting for Sn. Minor Sn may also be contained within Fe-Ti oxides in the source.

Using a combined 20% partial melting and up to 30% fractional crystallisation model, the majority of the observed metal abundances within the G1–G2 granites can be accounted for, taking into account source heterogeneity (Fig. 7). Beryllium abundances scatter towards higher than modelled values using Gramscatho greywacke Be abundances; higher modelled abundances would be achieved if using the sedimentary source estimations of London and Evensen (2002) for G1 granites, 30% partial melting of a muscovite-depleted source, consistent with higher T melting, is sufficient to attain the observed concentrations, with the exception of Li and Sn. For both, the observed Ti abundances could be attained with a higher source Li abundance and the compatibility of Sn is likely overestimated using the mineral/melt ratios from this study. Niobium partitioning is likely also controlled by Fe-Ti oxides and residual biotite during melting.

The granites are contemporaneous with lamprophyres, dikes, sills and basalts (Dupuis et al., 2015). G3 and G4 granites also include mafic microgranular enclaves (MME) e.g. Stimac et al. (1995). This is common with other granitic terrains, and raises the possibility of metal transfer between mantle- and crustally-derived magmas (e.g. Chappell, 1996; StenPsych and Seifert, 2010). The mantle contribution to the Cornubian Batholith appears to be confined to G3 granites and is minor (Darbyshire and Shepherd, 1994). Nevertheless, mantle He signatures are present in sulphide mineralisation associated with the earliest through to the latest granites and indicate persistent devolatisation of mantle-derived melts emplaced in the lower crust during batholith construction (Shail et al., 2009).

When the granite trace element data from this study are plotted with lamprophyre, basalt and MME data (Supplementary Table 1) there are no clear trends (Fig. 10). Granite data invariably scatter towards higher abundances, whilst MME, lamprophyre and basalt compositions lie generally towards lower abundance of the metals. Only Nb shows an increased abundance relative to the granites in this study, but there is no evidence for continuation of trends from mafic/ultramafic through MME to granites (Fig. 9B). Magma mixing would be expected to result in linear trends through all compositions, including MME, from mafic/ultramafic rocks towards the granites.

6. Discussion

6.1. Concentration of metals by fractional crystallisation

Lithium, Be, Nb, Ta, Sn, In and W increase with granite fractionation in G1–G2 granites, and Li, Nb, Ta and Bi increase in the G3–G4 granites as expected due to their incompatible nature in a fractionating assemblage dominated by feldspars (e.g. for Sn, Lehmann, 1987) (Fig. 4). Gallium increases within G3–G4 granites but remains constant in G1–G2 granites. Ga is compatible in biotite, with D[melt]/D[rock] of 3.1 (Ewart and Griffin, 1994), which is present in modelled fractionating assemblages for G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites. Providing biotite is in the fractionating assemblage, limited variation with fractionation is expected, consistent with the variations for Ga in the G1–G2 granites. The increase in Ga in the G3–G4 granites could therefore be attributed to higher source abundances, or increased degrees of partial melting of biotite. Indium shows similar behaviour to other lithophile metals such as W, Nb and Ta, implying this metal is relatively incompatible during granite fractionation. Antimony shows no clear trend with fractionation. Upward scatter of observed trace elements relative to modelled abundances could reflect deviation from estimated source abundances, suboxidos or hydrothermal processes (Haapala, 1997) or devolatisation of host rocks (Williamson et al., 2010). It is noted that whilst Sn and W are commonly associated, in SW England there appears to be a decoupling of these elements. Whilst both increase with fractionation, W shows a significantly stronger enrichment in the older G1–G2 granites whereas Sn increases more strongly in the
Fig. 10. Trace element variation diagrams using Mg (wt.%) as the abscissa showing G1–G4 granites, lamprophyre, basalt and mafic microgranular enclave (MME) data. These diagrams represent the typical trends for all trace elements in this study. A – Beryllium plot, showing concave trends for G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites, with mafic and ultramafic rocks and MME lying away from the granite trends, towards moderate to low Be abundances. B – Niobium plot showing the main granite trend, with mafic and ultramafic rocks and MME lying towards higher Nb abundances. It is not possible to decipher linear mixing lines through lamprophyres, basalts, MME and granites, implying that magma mixing is not a dominant factor in controlling metal distribution.

Fig. 11. Nb/Ta vs. Ta. G1–G2 granites show a curvilinear trend for both elements, typical of fractionation. For G3–G4 granites, Ta shows a curvilinear trend, typical of fractionation. G5 granites plot towards high Ta abundances. The proposed value of Nb/Ta ≤ 5 for the magmatic-hydrothermal transition in peraluminous granites (Ballouard et al., 2016) indicates the majority of the samples lie in the authors defined magmatic field, consistent with field and other geochemical observations, with a possible value of Nb/Ta ≤ 3 representing the magmatic-hydrothermal transition for Cornubian granites.

younger G3–G4 granites (see Fig. 5). This is likely a consequence of the conditions of melting and availability of W and Sn over time, discussed further below.

Alternatively, the depletion of Li, In, Sn and W within evolved G4 granites, plotting away from the overall fractional trend may indicate losses during exsolution of magmatic-hydrothermal fluids. The globular tourmaline (G4b) subtype show lower abundances of Li, In, Sn, W and Bi relative to other G4 granites. Manning et al. (1996) suggested that G4b granites achieved water saturation, with loss of B from the melt, and therefore potentially Li, In, Sn, W and Bi. Depletion could also represent alteration of micas; Henderson et al. (1989) noted that this could result in the loss of Li along with Rb, Cs and F. G4b granites have snowball quartz textures with radial inclusions supporting fluid saturation (Müller and Selten, 1999).

Equally, these granites may represent distinct pulses of melt from a fractionating magma chamber at depth (e.g. Merino et al., 2013). Both Nb and Ta increase strongly during fractionation crystallisation, particularly Ta which is less compatible than Nb in biotite (Stepanov and Hermann, 2013), with some suggestion that they are further concentrated by hydrothermal processes in the magmatic-hydrothermal transition, particularly in G2 granites (Ballouard et al., 2016), although Nb, Ta-rich rutile and columbite can be typical magmatic phases disseminated throughout a granite body at high degrees of fractionation. The scatter of Ta in G4 granites towards higher Nb/Ta at relatively constant Ta may represent variable melts not linked to the observed G3 granites; if Nb/Ta ≤ 5 is a proxy for hydrothermal processes (Ballouard et al., 2016), these samples lie dominantly within the magmatic field. Given the mineralogical and field features, the samples can all be considered primarily magmatic. This implies, using this model, the magmatic-hydrothermal transition in the Cornubian Batholith is at lower Nb/Ta values (~3). (Fig. 11).

The Hemerdon Granite is commonly an outlier on the models, with elevated abundances of Sn, W and Bi. This is not unexpected considering the extensive mineralisation in this area and the difficulty in obtaining relatively unaltered samples. Thus these high abundances likely reflect fluid–rock interactions. Beryllium shows higher than expected concentrations in G2 granites for the G1–G2 fractionation model using a Gramscatho Group greywacke source abundance of 2.2 ppm. This might be attributable to source variation or incorporation into quartz which can occur during greisenisation (e.g. Williamson et al., 1997); greisen-style mineralisation is unequivocally spatially associated with G2 granites. Within the G3–G4 granites, the lower abundance of Be within the more evolved G4 granites appears to be controlled by strong partitioning of Be into cordierite. Beryllium has a partition coefficient of D_{Be,Melt} = 100 to >1000 and even a small modal abundance of cordierite in a fractionating assemblage will deplete any residual melt in Be (Evensen and London, 2003). Cordierite occurs in G3 granites, but not G4 granites, implying early crystallisation of this mineral in granites linked by fractionation (Simons et al., 2016), or crystallisation of cordierite in a deeper magma chamber prior to G4 melt extraction. Ultimately, in the Müller et al. (2006) study, metals such as Sn were shown to increase with granite fractionation in the G3–G4 granite series within the Land’s End Granite; this is supported here and shown not only for Sn but additional metals such as Li, Ca, Nb, Ta, In, W and Bi across the batholith encompassing G1–G4 granites.

Highly evolved topaz (G5) granites in France and Germany have been interpreted as attaining their rare metal enrichment through fractionation from more voluminous, less evolved peraluminous melts (Raimbault et al., 1995; Breiter et al., 2005). However, fractionation trends shown by G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites do not translate into G5 granite compositions (Stone, 1992; Manning and Hill, 1990; Simons et al., 2016). A preferred model of biotite-dominated melting in the lower crust during granulite-facies metamorphism in the lower crust to liberate Li, Nb, Ta, Sn, W and F is preferred (e.g. Cuney and Barbey,
There is a strong association between major NW–SE trending faults in the region and G5 granites. These faults may have aided extraction of low degrees of melt from the source region and transport to higher levels in the crust.

6.2. Partial melting of a metasedimentary source

Trace element modelling for the least evolved G1 and G3 granites shows that the abundances of a number of the metals in this study can be accounted for by up to 30% partial melting of a sedimentary source, similar to a greywacke. Unlike in other regions within the Variscan belt, basement rocks are not exposed in SW England. South west England either represents Avalonian terrane or a similar, but separate, Gondwana-derived terrane (e.g. Shail and Leveridge, 2009; Nance et al., 2015). It is noted by Romer and Kroner (2014) that Avalonian sedimentary rock compositions show mixed enriched–normal signatures, with only small positive Sn anomalies. The Gramscatho greywackes, used as a peri-Gondwanan, starting compositional proxy, are compositionally similar to the average upper crustal abundances of Rudnick and Gao (2004) and greywackes of Hu and Gao (2008), with slight enrichment in Be, Ta and Bi and strong enrichment in Li. This indicates that a significantly enriched source may not be required in the Cornubian Batholith source rocks, in common with Avalonian geochemical signatures, but the partitioning of the metals into source minerals and temperature may be a key control.

Data from this study indicate that W partitions strongly into muscovite micas within the granites (Fig. 6). Muscovite micas are often the first minerals involved in incongruent melting reactions (Harris and Inger, 1992; Harris et al., 1995). If greywacke source micas are also enriched in W, any W that is not partitioned into residual minerals during melting will be released to the resultant melt. Metals such as Sn and Nb are hosted in muscovite (Fig. 6), but also in biotite and Fe–Ti oxides (e.g. Stepanov and Hermann, 2013); these are not melted until higher temperatures are attained. Higher temperature melting will potentially release increased abundances of metal hosted in biotite and Fe–Ti oxides to the melt, reducing the need for extreme fractionation, but also lowering the requirement for low degrees of partial melting of an enriched source.

The low Nb within the least evolved G1 granite, relative to modelled Nb abundances of melting a Gramscatho greywacke source (Fig. 9A), is likely linked to partitioning of Nb into residual minerals during melting or retention of Nb in Ti-oxides not accessible at conditions of minimum melting. This study indicates that biotite can both host Nb and Ta, confirming previous studies (Bea et al., 1994; Stepanov et al., 2014). The Nb partition coefficients will vary, dependant on the Ti-abundance within the source biotite and degree of equilibrium between source Fe–Ti oxides and melt (Marschall et al., 2013). During melting of metasedimentary sources, Nb can partition into residual biotite and Fe–Ti oxides; the former may be consumed during higher degrees of partial melting or melting at higher temperatures (Spear et al., 1999; Stepanov and Hermann, 2013; Stepanov et al., 2014). The G3 granites resulted from higher temperature melting that was dominated by biotite breakdown, but with consumption of Fe–Ti oxides also likely (Chappell and Hine, 2006; Simons et al., 2016), and hence are characterised by high Nb in the parental melt (see also discussion surrounding mica melting in Romer and Kroner, 2016). G3 granites were emplaced during a period of tectonic extension in SW England and higher melting temperatures are aided by continued emplacement of mantle-derived melts in the lower crust.

The combined melting and fractional crystallisation models show a good match between modelled and observed abundances for the majority of the elements. In both the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites, there are discrepancies between modelled and observed abundances, when utilising the Gramscatho greywacke as a proxy for source abundance. Whilst this can largely be accounted for using the greywacke values of Hu and Gao (2008) to account for source heterogeneity, Sn and Sb still show a discordance between modelled and observed abundances. The Sn discrepancy could result from an over estimation of the compatibility of Sn in mica or melting of a slightly enriched source, as suggested by Romer and Kroner (2016) for the Cornubian granites. The mineral/melt ratio utilised from the mineral chemistry data in this study for mica (up to 2.32) is likely an over estimate of the true partition coefficient. As a melt evolves, the Sn partition coefficient is likely to reduce for mica, as B, OH and F increase, helping to increase diffusion and extend enrichment of metals such as Sn within the residual melt (Pollard et al., 1987). Assuming complete incompatibility of Sn, 30% melting and up to 50% fractionation was shown by Williamson et al. (2010) to account for the range of Sn observed in the Cornubian granites. This study demonstrates that Sn is compatible in biotite and muscovite and in all likelihood the true partition coefficient must lie between 0 (Williamson et al., 2010) and 2.32 (this study). Quartz was also not modelled in the fractionating assemblage in this study to give a minimum melt enrichment scenario for metal enrichment during fractionation. Given the compatibility of Sn in biotite and Fe–Ti oxides, it could also be assumed that not all Sn will be released to a melt during partial melting and may be retained in the source during early muscovite-dominated melting, only being released to a melt at higher temperatures of partial melting.

The partitioning of Sb is either underestimated in the main silicate minerals or alternatively, the lack of correlation on the plot of 1/TiO2 vs. Sb might indicate that Sb is not controlled by granite crystallisation processes. There are few previous studies of Sb partitioning, but one, of a basanitic melt, indicates that Sb has a strong preference for garnet, not micas (Adam and Green, 2006). It is not clear into which mineral(s) Sb partitions. However, the failure of the model, coupled with lack of evidence of a granite control leads to the conclusion that Sb distribution in SW England is not dominated by granite-related magmatic processes. Much of the documented Sb mineralisation in the region occurs in Carboniferous pre-granite shear zone veins and is not associated with granite-related mineralisation styles (e.g. Clayton and Spiro, 2000).

6.3. The role of the mantle

A contribution to the metal budget from a mantle source is not required or supported by the observed variations, converse to the theory of Seifert (2008) for the origins of Sn and W in the granites of the Erzgebirge, Germany. Interpretation of enclave geochemistry is problematic due to chemical exchange of element between the host granite melt and enclave, obscuring the original mafic composition of the enclave (e.g. Stimac et al., 1995). Mixing models for individual elements may not be consistent between different samples due to variable advection and/or diffusion processes (Orsini et al., 1991; Perugini et al., 2008). Whilst the mixing behaviour of the elements in this study remains largely unknown, it is noted by Tindale (1991) there will be more chemical exchange of large ion lithophile elements (LILE) due to their large ionic radius to charge ratio and compatibility in both granite and enclave minerals. Lithium also behaves as a LILE due to its radius to charge ratio, despite a small ionic radius. High field strength elements (HFSE) such as Nb, Ta and W have high charges and are generally less mobile as it is difficult to maintain charge balance, but mobility is affected by presence of other elements such as For Ti. It is therefore thought that the enrichment of the metals (Li, Sn, W) in the enclaves relative to the lamprophyres and basalts represents chemical exchange of these elements between the granitic melt and original melt; this is also noted for other incompatible elements such as Th and U by Stimac et al. (1995). Given the amount of chemical exchange between enclaves and their granite hosts it may be impossible to determine the extent of mixing; for this an enclave that has undergone no chemical exchange would be needed.
6.4. The role of fluorine and phosphorus

Although F was not analysed as part of this study, F appears to be a key control on the distribution of Nb, Ta, Sn, W and to a lesser extent In, within the Cornubian batholith. Using previous data, there is a moderate increase in F in the G1–G2 granites from approximately 0.2% F (Charoy, 1986; Chappell and Hine, 2006) in G1a granites of the Carnmenellis pluton to approximately 0.4% F in the smaller G2 granite stocks such as Cligga and St. Michael’s Mount (Hall, 1971; Moore and Jackson, 1977). For the G3–G4 series, F increases from approximately 0.2% in the biotite granites to approximately 0.7% F in the tourmaline granites of the St. Austell Granite (Hill and Manning, 1987). G5 granites contain F in excess of 1 wt.% (Manning and Hill, 1990). Increased F is common in fractionated peraluminous melts and along with other fluorine-bearing elements such as B and P lowers the temperature of the melt (Černý et al., 2005). High F promotes the retention of Nb, Ta and W within a low temperature melt, with these metals partitioning in favour of a melt during melt evolution. As the F content of a melt decreases during crystallisation of F-bearing minerals, Nb, Ta and, to a lesser extent, W, will increasingly partition into silicates (e.g. micas) and oxides (e.g. rutile, columbite, wolframite) if the melt is saturated with respect to these minerals (Manning and Henderson, 1984; Keppler, 1993; Linnen, 1998). This is observed in the Cornubian Batholith as the granites with the highest F contents (G4 and G5) show the highest Nb and Ta (Fig. 4). Within the trioctahedral micas, Nb and Ta have a negative correlation with F, supporting their incorporation into accessory minerals rather than major silicates (Supplementary Fig. 2). The G4 granites contain columbite-tantalite and Nb-rich rutile (Scott et al., 1998) and data from this study indicate Nb and Ta are controlled by accessory minerals (Fig. 6E–F). Metal distribution also implies that Sn, W and minor In are controlled by high F; the G4 and G5 granites with high F, have accessory minerals hosting Sn, W and In (Fig. 6F).

The topaz granites are an “anomaly” in SW England and do not exhibit the geochemical characteristics of the G1–G2 or G3–G4 granites. Fractionation of a G1 or G3 granite melt to produce a topaz (G5) granite is problematic, as linear relationships on bivariate major and trace element plots and field relations cannot be resolved (e.g. Manning and Hill, 1990; Stone, 1992; Simons et al., 2016). Conventional fractionation models, as applied to the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites, do not therefore apply to the G5 granites. A model of flux-induced melting of lower crustal source containing biotite during granulite facies metamorphism is preferred (Stone, 1992; Cuney and Barbey, 2014; Simons et al., 2016). Melting of biotite would likely liberate Li, Nb, Ta, Sn and F, with minor In and W into a melt (Fig. 6). Extension in SW England at the time of granite emplacement supports the influx of mantle-derived melts into the lower crust and subsequent high temperature, low-pressure conditions. High F in a source, would also be beneficial for transport of HFSE (Sn, Nb, Ta and W). Fluorine contents are higher in the topaz granites compared to the all other granite types (e.g. Stone, 1992) and the distribution of Nb, Ta, In, Sn and W is controlled by accessory minerals including Nb-bearing rutile, cassiterite and columbite. Granites that evolve towards or have high F contents can be characterised by the occurrence of disseminated magmatic mineralisation, depending on depth of intrusion, with limited partitioning of metals such as Sn and W into fluids exsolved from the melt (e.g. Pollard et al., 1987). In SW England, the G5 granites have F-bearing minerals such as F-rich micas, F-rich topaz and primary fluorite, implying early retention of F in the melt, rather than F-rich fluid exsolution. Accessory cassiterite is reported from G5 granites (Stone and George, 1978, 1983). There is a positive correlation between P2O5 and Rb, commonly concentrated in residual melts, and a negative correlation between P2O5 and compatible elements such as Sr and Ba within the Cornubian Batholith (Simons et al., 2016). Applying this to the rare metals, there is a positive correlation, with some scatter, for Be, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, Ta, W and Bi for G1–G2 granites, with no overall trend for Li, Ga and Sb. For G3–G4 granites, Li, Ga, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, Ta and Bi show a positive correlation, Be a negative correlation, and there is no overall trend for Sb, W and Bi (examples in Fig. 12). Scatter towards lower abundances in G4 granites is confined to the globular quartz variant (G4b); these plots towards higher P2O5 which supports fluid alteration of these samples, as discussed above. The combined effects of F and P, along with Li, appear to favour retention of Nb, Ta, In, Sn, W and to a lesser extent Be, Ga and Bi within the melt and hence increase the potential, depending on late magmatic evolution, for subsequent partitioning into exsolving magmatic-hydrothermal fluids.

6.5. Biotite micas as indicators of magmatic evolution

As previously demonstrated by Stone et al. (1988), trioctahedral micas are particularly effective at recording evolving melt compositions within the batholith. Using Mg-Li (apfu) as a differentiation index, the trace elements form clear trends (Tischendorf et al., 1997, 2001). Tungsten increases with mica evolution from G1 to G3 granites, with decreasing Mg and increasing Li (Fig. 13A). The strong enrichment of W with increasing Li can be attributed to the overall incompatible nature of W within felsic melts, consistent with whole rock trends (Stone and George, 1978, 1983). There is a positive correlation between P2O5 and Rb, commonly concentrated in residual melts, and a negative correlation between P2O5 and compatible elements such as Sr and Ba within the Cornubian Batholith (Simons et al., 2016). Applying this to the rare metals, there is a positive correlation, with some scatter, for Be, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, Ta, W and Bi for G1–G2 granites, with no overall trend for Li, Ga and Sb. For G3–G4 granites, Li, Ga, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, Ta and Bi show a positive correlation, Be a negative correlation, and there is no overall trend for Sb, W and Bi (examples in Fig. 12). Scatter towards lower abundances in G4 granites is confined to the globular quartz variant (G4b); these plots towards higher P2O5 which supports fluid alteration of these samples, as discussed above. The combined effects of F and P, along with Li, appear to favour retention of Nb, Ta, In, Sn, W and to a lesser extent Be, Ga and Bi within the melt and hence increase the potential, depending on late magmatic evolution, for subsequent partitioning into exsolving magmatic-hydrothermal fluids.
6.6. Implications for magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation

Radiometric dating of granites and associated mineralisation indicates that each pluton has its own discrete episodes of magmatism and mineralisation and there is not a region-wide fluid source for the mineralisation (e.g. Chen et al., 1993; Chesley et al., 1993; Clark et al., 1993). Given the composite nature of the batholith, multistage emplacement of G1–G5 granites beneath the current exposure level is a distinct possibility, with multiple stages of fluid exsolution and fluid transport to higher levels within the crust via deep crustal faults. However, the in situ differentiation of the granites, with fractionation of Sn–W and associated metals is demonstrated at the current exposure level. There are contrasts in the rare metal magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation between the two major episodes of magmatism represented by the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites, and also the volumetrically minor (at the current exposure levels) G5 episode. These granite suites have potentially exsolved rare metals in differing relative proportions due to the variations source melting conditions and subsequent fractionation.

Lithium is fairly ubiquitous across the study area, occurring within triocahedral and dioctahedral micas and tourmaline and increasing with fractionation. The strongest enrichment of Li is within the G5 granites and topaz aplices. The G5b pegmatites, similar to those associated with the Tregonning Granite, may represent a potential source of Li-rich minerals, but these pegmatites are volumetrically minor, similar to the Li–Cs–Ta-type pegmatites described elsewhere, for example in the Moldanubian Zone of the Bohemian Massif, Czech Republic (e.g. Breiter, 2014). Lithium enrichment in Li-Fe micas, particularly prevalent in the G4 and G5 granites could represent a source of Li.

Beryllium has divergent behaviour between the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites. There is a strong increase in Be within the G1–G2 granites, implying that hydrothermal fluids exsolving from the more evolved G2 granites may be enriched in Be. Any pegmatite associated with this granite series would be expected to carry Be minerals, consistent with descriptions of beryl and bertrandite within the Trolvis Quarry pegmatite of the Carnmenellis G1 granite (Hosking, 1954). Conversely, Be is depleted in the most evolved G4 granites because of its removal by cordierite fractionation.

In both the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites, Sn and W increase with fractionation. However, there are key differences between these two suites that result in a decoupling of Sn and W behaviour between them. Tungsten enrichment in granites and their associated magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation is more strongly associated with the older G1–G2 granites. In contrast, Sn is fairly ubiquitous across both suites but shows a consistent increase with fractionation in younger G3–G4 granites. This is likely to be controlled, in part, by contrasting source melting temperatures between the two suites. For early granites, the melting temperature was lower, which resulted in muscovite-dominated and minor biotite melting. If W was dominantly hosted within source muscovite, and Sn within source biotite and Fe–Ti oxides, W will be melted out of the source first. As extension in SW England continued, further underplating and/or lower crustal sill emplacement of mantle-derived melts caused increasing temperatures, biotite and...
Fe–Ti oxides become involved in melting reactions and release additional Sn to the G3–G4 melts.

In the evolved G2 granites, Sn and W are hosted within muscovite micas. Muscovite is both primary and late-stage magmatic/subsidius in G2 granites. Both primary (muscovite) and late-stage (Li–Fe muscovite) contain comparable Sn, with slightly higher W in the latter (Table 3). This implies that Sn and W in muscovite may partition into fluids that exsolve from cooling muscovite (G2) granites as late-stage muscovite should represent the magmatic-hydrothermal transition. It has been suggested by Neiva (1987) that biotite breakdown in already crystallised granite will liberate metals such as Sn and W, making them available for mineralisation. Although such a model is not thought to be a dominant source for Sn and W in SW England mineralisation, these elements will be redistributed during biotite alteration to chlorite (e.g. Alderton et al., 1980). G2 granites are spatially associated with Sn–W–Ta–Nb–(W) magmatic mineralisation; this has not been exploited. The role of G5 granites in controlling W–Sn magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation is unclear; it has been suggested that they are surrounded by tourmalinised alteration haloes that relate to volatile exsolution (e.g. Manning and Hill, 1990). However, it is clear that Sn–Ta–Nb–(W) is retained more effectively in the magmatic system than for the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites. The G5 granites are worked for kaolin in the St. Austell and Dartmoor granites.

Within the G3–G4 granite series, tourmaline is the dominant late-stage magmatic mineral, rather than muscovite, although accessory (secondary) muscovite is still present. Both Sn and W are dominantly hosted within Li-rich micas in G4 granites and could be readily redistributed by hydrothermal fluids. The G3–G4 system is associated with strong increases in Li, F. Boron also increases, indicated by the increased modal abundance of tourmaline and presence of late-stage orbicules (e.g. Drivenes et al., 2015); the system is evolving towards possible miscibility between boron-rich melts and/or fluids. This implies, that unlike Sn, W is not preferentially enriched in boron-rich melts or fluids that presumably remain towards the later stages of solidification (Fig. 5 for Sn–W distribution). This is in part supported by experimental work demonstrating that in the presence of a boron-rich fluid, W is preferentially retained in melts rather than partitioning into hydrothermal fluids exsolving from cooling granitic bodies, whereas Sn is commonly associated with quartz-tourmaline lodes in the region (Manning and Henderson, 1984).

Indium increases with fractionation in the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granite series. Like Sn and W, In is hosted within muscovite in the G2 granites, implying that In is incompatible in this fractionation trend and may be available for partitioning into hydrothermal fluids that exsolve from these granites. Within the G3–G4 granites, In also increases, like Sn and W, but is partitioned into Li-rich micas and tourmaline. However, unlike Sn and W, In mineralisation is preferentially hosted by sulphides rather than oxides (Andersen et al., 2016) implying a mechanism that requires the presence of sulphur for precipitation of In-bearing minerals or substitution into other sulphides.

Within evolved G2 granites, Nb and Ta are hosted within muscovite, biotite group minerals (if present), Fe–Ti oxides and columbite-tantalite group minerals. As muscovite is late-stage and biotite group minerals will readily undergo breakdown during hydrothermal alteration, this may provide a mechanism for incorporating Nb and Ta into magmatic-hydrothermal fluids associated with evolved G2 granites, if Nb and Ta have not already been sequestered to form disseminated Nb–Ta–rutile and/or columbite disseminated throughout the granite. Both Nb and Ta increase with fractionation in the G3–G4 granites. However, in the G4 and G5 granites, the distribution of Nb and Ta is dominantly controlled by accessory minerals such as rutile and columbite, disseminated throughout the granites (Scott et al., 1998), implying limited potential for their partitioning into magmatic-hydrothermal fluids.

Gallium is distributed fairly evenly between the major minerals in all granite types and exhibits limited correlation with fractionation. Feldspars and micas readily undergo hydrothermal alteration and this may control Ga content in magmatic-hydrothermal fluids. Gallium has higher abundances in G4 granites and therefore may be preferentially associated with these granites, but there are no data on the distribution of Ga in SW England granite-related mineralisation. Antimony is not enriched by fractionation in the granites and Sb mineralisation in the region is therefore unlikely to be granite derived.

Differentiation in the G1–G2 granite series increases all metals apart from Ga and Sb. The G2 granites are strongly correlated with regional sheeted vein greisen W–Sn mineralisation across the region. Our study also supports the suggestion of Müller et al. (2006) that the G4 granites within the northern part of the Land’s End Granite are the immediate magmatic precursors to tourmaline-dominated magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation in the region. The G5 granites evolve towards very low-grade disseminated Sn–Ta–Nb–(W) magmatic mineralisation; this has not been exploited. The role of G5 granites in controlling W–Sn magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation is unclear; it has been suggested that they are surrounded by tourmalinised alteration haloes that relate to volatile exsolution (e.g. Manning and Hill, 1990). However, it is clear that Sn–Ta–Nb–(W) is retained more effectively in the magmatic system than for the G1–G2 and G3–G4 granites. The G5 granites are worked for kaolin in the St. Austell and Dartmoor granites.

6.7. Global analogues

Mineralised granites can develop from either unenriched or enriched sources as most rare and base metals of interest tend to be incompatible and so can be enriched during melting and granite fractionation (e.g. Lehmann, 1982, 1987). Fractional crystallisation is enhanced by the presence of fluxing elements (e.g. B, P, F), high K, shallow crustal settings and high temperatures (e.g. Černý et al., 2005), all of which are characteristics of the granites of SW England.

Other localities with peraluminous granites and rare metal mineralisation such as the Variscan granites of the Erzgebirge, Germany and the Jindgan granites, Dahutang area, China, have also formed from both enriched and unenriched sources, with concentration of rare metals (Li, Sn, W, Ta, Nb, Bi) enhanced by the presence of fluxing elements, temperature of melting and high levels of crustal emplacement (e.g. Breiter, 2012; Huang and Jiang, 2014). The Variscan Carrazeda de Ansiães granites in Portugal formed from heterogeneous metapelites, and like the Cornubian Batholith from a source relatively unenriched in Sn and W; fractional crystallisation in the presence of F and B was sufficient to increase metals in the granites prior to exsolution of Sn-bearing hydrothermal fluids (Teixeira et al., 2012). Tin and W in two-mica and muscovite granites in Portugal differentially partition into muscovite (Neiva et al., 2002) and are therefore similar to the Cornubian Batholith. Partial melting of variably enriched metamictic rock bodies following by fractional crystallisation processes have previously been invoked as a method for enriching metals such as Sn and W (and fluxing elements) within other European Variscan granites (e.g. Romer and Kroner, 2016) (e.g. Breiter, 2012; Kovalíková et al., 2007) and China (e.g. Huang and Jiang, 2014). There is limited information available about In within peraluminous granites. However, within the mineralisation associated with the highly evolved A-type granites of the Wiborg Batholith, Finland, there is significant In incorporated into sulphides (Cook et al., 2011b; Haapala and Lukkari, 2005). There may be a similar increase of In with granite fractionation associated with this locality, as reported for melt inclusions for the area (Lukkari et al., 2009).

The topaz (G5) granites are most similar to descriptions of RMG (Černý et al., 2005). With regards to the metals in this study, peraluminous RMG are characterised by the presence of extreme enrichment of Li, Be, Nb, Ta, Sn and W and disseminated magmatic mineralisation, promoted by high-F, Li and/or P. Variscan RMG with associated disseminated magmatic mineralisation include the Beauvoir Granite, Massif Central (Marignac and Cuney, 1999) and Podlesí Stock, Czech Republic (Breiter et al., 1997). The former is interpreted as forming from a rare metal-enriched fluid derived from the breakdown of biotite during granulite facies metamorphism (Cuney and Barbey,
7. Conclusions

1. The Early Permian post-Variscan Cornubian Batholith is composite, constructed over 20 Ma. An older stage of magmatism, generated during muscovite-dominated and minor biotite melting of a metagreywacke source formed two mica (G1) granites, with fractionation to form muscovite (G2) granites. Continued extension and underplating of mantle-derived melts, induced higher temperature, biotite-dominated melting, leading to a second major stage of magmatism, producing biotite (G3) granites andfractionated tourmaline (G4) granites. Minor topaz (G5) granites form from flux-induced melting of biotite in the lower crust.

2. Lithium, Be, Nb, Ta, Sn, W and Bi are enriched in the granites of the Cornubian Batholith relative to average crustal abundances. Topaz (G5) granites have the highest Li (mean 1363 ppm), Ga (38 ppm), Nb (52 ppm), Ta (15 ppm), Sn (21 ppm) and W (24 ppm) relative to the remaining G1–G4 granites. Beryllium is most strongly enriched in muscovite (G2) granites (mean 12 ppm) and Bi in tourmaline (G4) granites (mean 0.94 ppm). Indium has a consistent abundance in the granites relative to crustal abundances, with G4 granites having the highest modal abundance (mean 90 ppb). Sb has lower abundances in the granites compared to average crustal abundances.

3. Fractionation, dominated by biotite andfeldspars, increases Be, Nb, Ta, In, Sn, W and Bi in the G1–G2 granites. Gallium remains constant and Sb shows no overall trend. Within the G3–G4 granites, Li, Ga, Nb and Ta increase strongly with fractionation. Beryllium decreases, due to cordierite fractionation, and Sb and Bi show no overall trend. Several In, Sn and Bi values scatter towards lower abundances in the G3–G4 granites due to fluid involvement, particularly in the globular quartz facies.

4. Trace element modelling indicates that 20% partial melting of a greywacke source and up to 30% fractionation is sufficient to account for the range of observed abundances of the metals in G1–G2 granites. In G3–G4 granites, 30% partial melting and up to 40% fractionation is sufficient for the majority of elements. Observed Nb and Ta abundances scatter upwards relative to modelled values, facilitated by high F. Observed Sb lies far below modelled concentrations. There is no evidence for a mantle source using the constraints provided here.

5. G5 granites form from fluid fluxed melting of a biotite-rich source. This source would be enriched in F, Li, Nb, Ta and Sn hosted within source biotite, producing a melt enriched in these elements, consistent with the G5 topaz granites in SW England and RMG such as the Beauvoir Granite, Massif Central, France.

6. Lithium is dominantly hosted by triotahedral micas across all granite types. Gallium is distributed fairly evenly between all major silicates. Niobium and Ta partition into muscovite in G1–G2 granites, triotahedral micas in G3 granites and accessory minerals in G4 and G5 granites, although Fe-Ti oxides are an important host in all granite types and columbite-tantalite host Nb and Ta in G5 granites. Indium, Sn and W follow Nb and Ta, with the exception of G4 granites, in which they are incorporated into triotahedral micas.

7. There is a strong spatial association between W–Sn greisen deposits and G2 granites, e.g. Hemerdon, Clogga and St. Michael’s Mount. The metals partition dominantly into muscovite, with limited disseminated magmatic mineralisation. G4 granites are likely precursors to tourmaline-dominated magmatic–hydrothermal mineralisation and represent sources of Sn, for example in the mineralisation north of the Land’s End Granite and tourmaline-dominated systems around the St. Austell Granite. Beryllium is not associated with G4 granites. Phosphorus and F cause the retention of Nb, Ta, Sn, W and to a lesser extent, In, in the melt. In G4 and G5 granites with high P (>0.4 wt.%) and F (>1 wt.%), this promotes disseminated magmatic mineralisation. High F promotes disseminated magmatic mineralisation through lowering melt temperature resulting in retention of HFSE in the melt. On crystallisation of an F-bearing mineral, minerals such as columbite precipitate, providing melt saturation is achieved (e.g. Linnen, 1998).

8. There is a decoupling of W and Sn behaviour in SW England. Whilst both increase with fractionation, W shows a significantly stronger enrichment in the older G1–G2 granites whereas Sn increases more strongly in the younger G3–G4 granites. This is likely a consequence of increasing temperatures in the lower crustal source region that progress from lower temperature muscovite-biotite (W ≈ Sn) melting to higher temperature biotite-dominated melting (Sn > W).

9. G1–G2 granites are similar to two-mica and muscovite-bearing granites of Portugal associated with W mineralisation, formed through partial melting of unenriched greywacke sources, with metal enrichment via fractional crystallisation. Analogues of G3–G4 granites include granites within the Variscan zone in Germany and Spain, which are also fractionated sedimentary-sourced melts.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2017.02.007.
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Appendix A

Eqs. A.1–2 Trace element modelling equations and partition coefficients.

After Shaw (1970):

[Eq. A.1] Batch melting: \( C_i/C_o = 1/[D + F(1 - D)] \).

[Eq. A.2] Raleigh fractionation: \( C_i/C_o = F^{(D-1)} \).

where:

- \( C_i \) = Weight concentration of a trace element in the melt.
- \( C_o \) = Weight concentration of a trace element in the source.
- \( D \) = Bulk distribution coefficient for residual solids (batch melting) or the fractionating assemblage (Raleigh fractionation).
- \( F \) = Weight fraction of melt produced (batch melting) or the fraction of melt remaining.

(Raleigh fractionation).
Table A.1
Partition coefficients used for partial melting and fractionation crystallisation modelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G2*</th>
<th>Kfs</th>
<th>Pl</th>
<th>Bt</th>
<th>Msc</th>
<th>Grt</th>
<th>Sil</th>
<th>Crd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.16–0.91</td>
<td>0.06–0.45</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All zeros, unless otherwise stated, are estimated as 0, in part due to high charge and large radius, following the methods of Williamson et al., 2010. (1) Ichenhower and London, 1995; (2) Evensen and London, 2003; (3) Ewart and Griffin, 1994; (4) Adam and Green, 2006; (5) Stepanov and Hermann, 2013; (6) Mahood and Hิดreth, 1983; (7) Streck and Gruner, 1997; (8) Evensen and London, 2002.

b Set a 0 for this study due to lack of partition coefficients for quartz and assumed incompatibility; there is likely to be a minor amount of quartz in a fractionating assemblage.

Appendix B. Appendix references


B. Simons et al. / Lithos 278–279 (2017) 491–512

References


