

Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Stannern-trend eucrite petrogenesis: An assessment of partial melt contamination models via experimental petrology

Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

Crossley, S. D.; Mayne, R. G.; Lunning, N. G.; McCoy, T. J.; Greenwood, R. C. and Franchi, I. A. (2016). Stannern-trend eucrite petrogenesis: An assessment of partial melt contamination models via experimental petrology. In: 79th Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society, 7-12 Aug 2016, Berlin, Germany.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© [not recorded]

Version: Version of Record

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

**STANNERN-TREND EUCRITE PETROGENESIS: AN ASSESSMENT OF PARTIAL MELT
CONTAMINATION MODELS VIA EXPERIMENTAL PETROLOGY**

S. D. Crossley¹, R. G. Mayne¹, N. G. Lunning², T. J. McCoy², R. C. Greenwood³, and I. A. Franchi³. ¹Texas Christian University Monnig Meteorite Collection, School of Geology, Energy, and the Environment, 2950 West Bowie SWR 207, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, TX 76109 (s.crossley@tcu.edu), ²Smithsonian Institution, NMNH, Washington DC, 20560, USA, ³Open University, Planetary and Space Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK.

Introduction: Stannern-trend eucrites are problematic in simple petrogenetic models for HEDs, as they cannot be explained as either products of fractional crystallization in a magma ocean, or as partial melts of a chondritic precursor [1]. Currently, the most widely accepted petrogenetic model asserts that they may represent the products formed when Main-Group-Nuevo-Laredo-trend eucritic magmas were contaminated by melts released during fusion of eucritic crust [1]. Melting experiments were conducted with eucrites at near-solidus temperatures in order to determine carrier phases and transport mechanisms for incompatible elements [2]. These experiments at near-solidus temperatures did not yield enough melt product in some samples for analysis [2]. However, we expand upon previous experimental conditions (i.e. increasing temperature) to yield a greater percentage partial melt, which can be analyzed and used to test the currently accepted model of Stannern-trend eucrite petrogenesis. Specifically, a composition enriched in incompatible elements (i.e. Ti and LREEs), but otherwise similar in bulk composition to main-group eucrites, should be possible to reproduce from the assimilation of a partial melt product with a main-group eucritic composition. To most accurately replicate vestan petrologic processes, the starting material would need to meet a set of petrologic and geochemical criteria in order to reflect the petrogenetic processes involved in the formation of Stannern-trend eucrites.

Starting Material: The starting material for experimentation must be an unbrecciated, unequilibrated main-group eucrite that is preferably fine-grained and rich in mesostases. In June 2015, the Monnig Meteorite Collection at TCU acquired the main mass (510 g) of NWA 8562, an unbrecciated, unequilibrated main-group eucrite [3,4]. The $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ value of the sample plots close to and within error of the HED fractionation line of [5] and indicates that NWA 8562 is an isotopically normal member of the HED suite [4].

Petrographic characterization. Two thin sections of the meteorite were examined to characterize the suitability of NWA 8562 for petrologic experimentation. NWA 8562 is composed of approximately 60% pyroxene and 35% plagioclase, with accessory silica, ilmenite, and troilite [3]. Most plagioclase range from $<10\mu\text{m}$ to $\sim 80\mu\text{m}$. Pyroxenes retain igneous zonation and range from pigeonite to ferro-augite [3]. The size of pyroxenes range from $<10\mu\text{m}$ to $\sim 100\mu\text{m}$. The presence of metastable ferro-augite and Fe-rich mineral endmembers places NWA 8562 within the Type 1 eucrite classification [6]. Some shock mosaicism is present in pyroxene.

Experimental & Analytical Methods: Following experimental techniques established in [2], we prepared four samples ($\sim 0.3\text{-}0.4\text{ g}$ each) of NWA 8562, and placed each sample in an alumina crucible inside a 1 atm gas mixing furnace. The four experiments were run at 1050, 1100, 1150, and 1200°C for 24 hours at $\log f\text{O}_2 = \text{IW}-0.5$. At the end of the run time, the samples were drop-quenched in water. Major element geochemistry has been gathered via electron microprobe for both starting material and experimental products. Trace elements have been measured for bulk composition via ICP-MS, and their distribution in both unheated and heated samples was measured using LA-ICP-MS.

Preliminary Results: Experiments yielded approximate melt fractions of <5 , 20, 50, and $>95\%$, respectively. Melting occurs most extensively in mesostasis-rich regions between plagioclase and pyroxene. Fe-rich pyroxenes are also major contributors in low-percent melts. Major element geochemical analysis of the low melt-fraction products ($<5\%$) shows a strong enrichment in TiO_2 , P_2O_5 , K_2O , and SO_3 , relative to bulk composition, and depletion in Cr_2O_3 , Al_2O_3 , MgO , and NiO .

Discussion: Simple mixing equations, such as those used in [1], applied to TiO_2 and Mg\# can yield products within the Stannern-trend compositional range. TiO_2 is sourced from ilmenite, chromite, and ilvospinel s. P_2O_5 is largely derived from mesostasis, possibly metasomatic, fayalite in these experiments. Contribution of phases introduced through metasomatic processes will be considered with regard to [1]. We will also quantitatively assess the application of this data and trace element concentrations within the constraints of [1] in order to ascertain its validity.

References: [1] Barrat J.A. et al. (2007) *GCA*, 71, 4108-4124. [2] Yamaguchi A. et al. (2013) *Earth and Planet. Sci. Letters*, 368, 101-109. [3] Agee C. (2014) *Met. Bull.*, 103. [4] Crossley S.D. et al. (2016) *LPSC XLVII*, #2821. [5] Greenwood R. C. et al. (2014) *EPSL*, 390, 165-174. [6] Takeda H. and Graham A.L. (1991) *Meteoritics*, 26, 129-134.