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Abstract—Clay minerals, although ubiquitous on the ancient terrains of Mars, have not been observed in Martian meteorite Allan Hills (ALH) 84001, which is an orthopyroxenite sample of the early Martian crust with a secondary carbonate assemblage. We used a low-temperature (20 °C) one-dimensional (1-D) transport thermochemical model to investigate the possible aqueous alteration processes that produced the carbonate assemblage of ALH 84001 while avoiding the coprecipitation of clay minerals. We found that the carbonate in ALH 84001 could have been produced in a process, whereby a low-temperature (~20 °C) fluid, initially equilibrated with the early Martian atmosphere, moved through surficial clay mineral and silica-rich layers, percolated through the parent rock of the meteorite, and precipitated carbonates (thereby decreasing the partial pressure of CO2) as it evaporated. This finding requires that before encountering the unweathered orthopyroxenite host of ALH 84001, the fluid permeated rock that became weathered during the process. We were able to predict the composition of the clay minerals formed during weathering, which included the dioctahedral smectite nontronite, kaolinite, and chlorite, all of which have been previously detected on Mars. We also calculated host rock replacement in local equilibrium conditions by the hydrated silicate talc, which is typically considered to be a higher temperature hydrothermal phase on Earth, but may have been a common constituent in the formation of Martian soils through pervasive aqueous alteration. Finally, goethite and magnetite were also found to precipitate in the secondary alteration assemblage, the latter associated with the generation of H2. Apparently, despite the limited water–rock interaction that must have led to the formation of the carbonates ~3.9 Ga ago, in the vicinity of the ALH 84001 source rocks, clay formation would have been widespread.

INTRODUCTION

In the wake of the Curiosity rover’s discovery (Farley et al. 2014; Vaniman et al. 2014) of smectites in ~4.21 Ga old crater-rim derived rocks in >3.5 Ga (Thomson et al. 2011; Deit et al. 2013) Gale crater on Mars, the absence of secondary phyllosilicates in the ancient (~4.1 Ga) Martian meteorite ALH 84001 requires further investigation. If clay minerals are ubiquitous on the ancient terrains of Mars and the secondary carbonates in the meteorite are formed by low-temperature aqueous alteration of the host rock, why are there no secondary phyllosilicates in ALH 84001 formed by this process?

Clay minerals were predicted to occur on the surface of Mars as a result of aqueous alteration of the basalts (e.g., Zolensky et al. 1988) and have been detected since, both from orbital hyperspectral spectrometers (e.g., Bibring et al. 2006; Mustard et al. 2008) and in situ with the instruments on the NASA rover MSL Curiosity (Vaniman et al. 2014), and probably Opportunity (e.g., Arvidson et al. 2014).
Within the Mawrth Vallis region and in Nili Fossae (both of Noachian-age, \(>3.7\) Ga), Fe/Mg-bearing smectites and Al-rich clays have been interpreted as the results of weathering at “moderate to alkaline pH” (Mustard et al. 2008). On the other hand, Fe\(^{3+}\)-rich results of weathering at “moderate to alkaline pH” smectites and Al-rich clays have been interpreted as the origin of pyrophyllite and greigite in the carbonates. The Fe\(^{3+}\)-rich smectites at Matijevic Hill, at the rim of Endurance Crater (also Noachian in age) are interpreted as having been formed by the neutralization of fluids that were originally “mildly acidic” in character, with pH > 5 (Arvidson et al. 2014).

Carbonates have also been detected by orbital spectroscopy at Nili Fossae outcrops and possibly in dust (see reviews by Ehnhlm and Edwards [2014] and Niles et al. [2013]). In situ, they have been detected in soil by the Phoenix lander (Boynton et al. 2009), and by the Spirit rover in an outcrop at the Columbia Hills in Gusev crater (Morris et al. 2010). But the large, widespread outcrops of carbonates predicted by models of a warm early Martian climate (e.g., Fanale et al. 1982; Pollack et al. 1987) have failed to materialize: compared to the clay minerals, carbonates are rare and highly localized. However, a recent reanalysis of orbital data points to somewhat more extensive occurrences of carbonates on the surface of Mars, together with a spatial (and possibly chemical) relationship with clay minerals (Wray et al. 2016).

Here we aim to constrain the pH and composition of the carbonate and clay mineral-forming fluids of the ancient terrain where ALH 84001 was emplaced.

**Aqueous Alteration in 84001**

The \(~4.1\) Ga old (Lapen et al. 2010) meteorite ALH 84001 is the only known Martian meteorite entirely belonging to the Noachian near-surface of Mars. It is composed of \(~97%\) orthopyroxene (En\(^{99}\)Wo\(_{3}\)), \(~1%\) chromite, and \(~1%\) maskelynite (An\(_{33}\)Ab\(_{67}\)) by volume; and minor amounts of phosphates (mainly apatite), olivine (Fo\(_{85}\)), augite (En\(_{45}\)Wo\(_{55}\)), and silica glass (Mittlefehldt 1994; Treiman 1995, 1998; Shearer et al. 1999). A \(~3.92\) Ga (Nyquist et al. 2001) zoned carbonate secondary assemblage in ALH 84001 forms \(~1\) vol% of the meteorite (Mittlefehldt 1994). It occurs in interstices and replacing maskelynite and orthopyroxene (Mittlefehldt 1994; Treiman 1995; Kring et al. 1998) and can be divided into at least two distinct groups: “rosette”-type spheroid-zoned concretions, and massive ankeritic “slab”-like domains (Eiler et al. 2002b; Corrigan and Harvey 2004). Most rosettes measure \(~50\) to \(~200\) μm in diameter and are composed of orange-colored cores of ankerite-magnesioankerite/ankerite-dolomite solid solution or ferromagnesian calcite, overlain by a \(~5–10\) μm thick black rim of siderite (with substantial admixed nanocrystalline magnetite), itself coated by a \(~10–15\) μm white magnesite rim and another black siderite–magnetite rim (e.g., McKay et al. 1996; Eiler et al. 2002b; Thomas-Keppt and Schuer et al. 2009). (A ternary diagram of representative carbonate compositions is shown in Fig. la.) Sulfide grains (pyrite, and possibly pyrrhotite and greigite) occur next to primary chromite grains and within the carbonates, with some apparently in carbonate-crossing veins (Mittlefehldt 1994; Treiman 1995; McKay et al. 1996; Greenwood et al. 2000; Eiler et al. 2002b; Thomas-Keppt et al. 2009). Trace amounts of apparently preterrestrial fine-scaled phyllosilicates (“phlogopitic mica”) intergrown with the carbonates have been described, possibly postdating the carbonate deposition (Brearley 2000).

Magnetite nanocrystals, together with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, sulfides, and fossil-like structures within the carbonate rosettes were interpreted as possibly biogenic (e.g., McKay et al. 1996; Thomas-Keppt et al. 2000), but an abiotic origin for these has since been explained (e.g., Golden et al. 2000, 2001; Steele et al. 2007; Treiman and Essene 2011). A large range of pressure–temperature (P-T) conditions have been invoked to explain the abiotic formation of the alteration assemblage (see supporting information, Fig. S1). But stable isotope analyses have shown that relatively low-temperature fluids were responsible for producing the carbonates. Specifically, the higher \(\delta^{18}O_{SMOW}\) in the carbonates compared to the host rock is indicative of an external source for the carbonates, particularly from a permeating fluid (Romanek et al. 1994). The large range in high \(\delta^{18}O\) (0–+22.6 \(\%\); Romanek et al. 1994; Valley et al. 1997; Eiler et al. 2002a) and \(\delta^{13}C_{PDB}\) (+27–+64 \(\%\); Grady et al. 1994; Romanek et al. 1994; Jull et al. 1997; Niles et al. 2005; Valley et al. 1997; Niles et al. 2005; Haley et al. 2011) suggests high variability of \(\delta^{18}O\) and \(\delta^{13}C\) within the carbonates themselves, and that the carbonates did not experience equilibrium with the host rock or compositional and isotopic homogenization, as would occur at high temperatures (e.g., Hoefs 2009, p. 15) typical in metamorphic carbonates (e.g., Sheppard and Schwartz 1970). A precise temperature of 14–22 °C for the formation of the carbonates was determined by C-O clumped isotope thermometry (Haley et al. 2011). The mechanism favored by most investigators for the low-temperature formation of the zoned carbonate is evaporation (McSween and Harvey 1998; Warren 1998), whereby in a short time scale, flood waters percolated through ALH 84001 and precipitated the carbonates while the water evaporated, resulting in the compositional and isotopic zonation observed in them, and accounting for the lack of other secondary processes.
minerals. Other authors expanded the evaporitic scenario (e.g., Scott 1999; Eiler et al. 2002a; Knauth et al. 2003; Holland et al. 2005; Niles et al. 2009; Halevy et al. 2011). Furthermore, rubidium and strontium systematics in ALH 84001 point to pre-existing phyllosilicates as the origin of $^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr enrichment in the carbonates, suggesting that fluids leached phyllosilicates prior to forming the carbonates (Beard et al. 2013).

The apparent paradox between the presence of carbonates but the absence of clays in the meteorite, and the presence of phyllosilicates but the rarity of carbonates on the surface of early Mars, can be addressed as a multicomponent mineral–liquid–gas system that can be simulated with thermochemical modeling. The pressure–temperature (P-T) conditions related to a suite of geological contexts can be used in the software to simultaneously assess the relative stabilities of large sets of observed and predicted mineral phases that would occur in natural systems. Conversely, the presence of a particular set of alteration minerals which are computed to be stable in a modeled system is indicative of specific P-T conditions which can be extended to describe a natural system and infer geological processes where the calculated alteration minerals are present.

**THERMOCHEMICAL MODELING METHOD**

**Mineral Precipitation and Equilibria Computations**

We used the thermochemical modeling software CHIM-XPT (Reed 1998) to simulate aqueous alteration of the ALH 84001 host rock. The program uses a modified Newton–Raphson method to solve equations of chemical equilibria for aqueous species and minerals in its database using extended Debye–Hückel theory from Tanger and Helgeson (1988) (Reed 1982, 1998; Spycher and Reed 1988). The database is derived and modified from the updated SUPCRT92/ SUPCRT 2007 databases (Johnson et al. 1992) available at http://geopig.asu.edu/sites/default/files/slop07.dat, and mineral, gas, and heat capacity data from Holland and Powell (2011). (Further details on the database can be found at http://pages.uoregon.edu/palandri/.) CHIM-XPT and its predecessor, CHILLER, have been used to characterize phyllosilicate compositions at impact-generated hydrothermal systems on Mars (Bridges and Schwenzer 2012; Filiberto and Schwenzer 2013; Schwenzer and Kring 2013), low-temperature (13 °C) aqueous alteration conditions postdating hydrothermal activity at a Noachian-aged impact site (Filiberto and Schwenzer 2013), clay minerals forming the Sheepbed mudstones at Yellowknife Bay in Gale Crater (Bridges et al. 2015), and the fluids associated with the clay formation (Schwenzer et al. 2016).

All our models were run at 20 °C, which is comparable with the estimate of the temperature at which the carbonates may have precipitated in the meteorite according to C-O clumped isotope thermometry (Halevy et al. 2011), but is significantly higher than average Martian surface temperatures at present. We disallowed the formation of dolomite and other minerals whose growth is kinetically retarded under these low-temperature conditions (e.g., Arvidson and Mackenzie (1999), and see supporting information (Table S1) for more references and all disallowed minerals. Magneisite, e.g., was actively suppressed as it is kinetically retarded (e.g., Hänchen et al. 2008), though the Mg-bearing carbonates, huntite (Mg$_5$Ca (CO$_3$)$_4$) and nesquehonite (MgCO$_3$ · 3H$_2$O), were allowed to form. Both huntite and nesquehonite can serve as precursors to magnesite. The latter rapidly transforms to hydromagnesite ((Mg$_5$(CO$_3$)$_4$(OH)$_2$ · 4H$_2$O) by the loss of crystalline water and dehydroxylation with a minor temperature increase (≥52 °C; Davies and Bubela 1973) and then to magnesite at >220 °C (Hollingbery and Hull 2010), consistent with the observation of higher P-T magnetite and graphite in the meteorite (Treiman and Essene 2011; Steele et al. 2012b). Methane (CH$_4$) equilibration and formation with the reduction of H$_2$O and CO$_2$ was also prohibited in the models, given the kinetic barrier to abiogenic CH$_4$ formation at low temperature (e.g., Seewald et al. 2006; McCollom 2013).

CHIM-XPT is able to calculate the molar fraction of endmember minerals for a number of ideal solid solution minerals. Minerals that form solid solutions and that precipitated in the models are grouped in the results for clarity, e.g., “chlorite” in the figures includes the solid solution endmembers clinochlore (Mg$_5$Al$_2$Si$_2$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$), chamisite (Fe$_5$Al$_2$Si$_2$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$), penantite (Mn$_5$Al$_2$Si$_2$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$), and “Al-free chlorite” (Mg$_6$Si$_4$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$). Carbonate compositions, on the other hand, are addressed in the results as compositional mixtures of computed discrete mineral phases, as CHIM-XPT does not calculate carbonate solid solutions, e.g., ankerite ((CaFe)(CO$_3$)$_2$) is different to calcite (CaCO$_3$) + siderite (FeCO$_3$), although compositionally identical. We allowed a continuous range of carbonate compositions to form between the carbonate mineral endmembers, but assumed that intermediate compositions form as a result of mixing between the precipitating endmembers. We report the saturation indices of carbonate minerals in the supporting information (Fig. S2) to address this
shortcoming, and point out that this method allows us to put upper limits on the cations and CO$_3^{2-}$ in solution required to form the carbonates, as multiple carbonate endmembers (e.g., huntite and calcite) must both saturate and precipitate to form intermediate compositions (e.g., magnesian calcite). Further solid solutions and mineral endmembers are detailed in the supporting information (Table S2).

We did not seek to simulate the morphology of the carbonate rosettes, but aimed to understand the conditions at which the diverse carbonate compositions precipitated, the compositions of the associated alteration fluid, and the precipitation and stability of other secondary phases which may or may not be relevant to the carbonate minerals in ALH 84001. We regard a model as an instructive possibility when the resulting mineral assemblage resembles the compositional range of the carbonates in ALH 84001, while minerals other than carbonates are absent or minor.
Starting Conditions

Table 1 lists the starting conditions of each model computed here. The composition of the initial rock used in the alteration models was an “unaltered” ALH 84001, i.e., the host rock mineralogy of ALH 84001 minus the carbonate minerals, and assuming olivine and other minerals were not present prior to alteration (Table 2). All rocks and minerals are specified in our thermodynamic database as sums of elemental molar abundances, i.e., our models make no provision for differential solubilities or reaction rates of the constituent minerals, but nevertheless, ~99 wt% of the host is orthopyroxene. Ti and Cr compounds were not included as reactants because the database does not include Ti and Cr species and minerals. Given the relative insolubility of Ti(IV) and Cr(III) oxides in natural waters under ambient conditions (e.g., Imahashi and Takamatsu 1976; Richard and Bourg 1991), and their low abundance in ALH 84001 (principally in accessory chromite), we consider that their importance on secondary mineral composition and chemical speciation of solutes was negligible.

CO₂ is the most abundant gas in the Martian atmosphere at present (Owen et al. 1977; Mahaffy et al. 2013). Initial CO₂ fugacities (fCO₂) of 0.5 bar (Model A) and 1 bar (Model B) were chosen for the system, and the amount of CO₂ in the reactant water (Table 1) was allowed to vary throughout the model run after the initial equilibration with the CO₂ atmosphere, simulating an environment in relatively poor communication with the atmosphere (Halevy et al. 2011). Specifically, the models were treated as closed systems to CO₂, where CO₂ was a limiting factor: CO₂ used in carbonate formation and other reactions was not replenished. A pCO₂ of 1 bar appears to be consistent with the early Martian atmospheric pressure estimated by global circulation models which allow for transient liquid water on the surface (Wordsworth et al. 2013), and near the upper limit to account for ancient crater sizes (Kite et al. 2014), although lower atmospheric pressures (<400 mbar; Cassata et al. 2012) have been proposed for the surface of the early Martian atmosphere. An amount of O₂ equal to the pressure existing in the current Martian atmosphere (pO₂ ≈ 1.45 × 10⁻⁵ bar; Mahaffy et al. 2013) was also included in the initial composition. Other atmospheric species (such as N₂ and SO₂) were not introduced into the initial composition of the reactant fluid in the models, as the early Martian atmosphere was most likely principally composed of CO₂ (Pollack et al. 1987; Jakosky and Phillips 2001; Wordsworth et al. 2013), although relatively large partial pressures of other constituents (e.g., SO₂; Halevy et al. 2007) have been proposed to have coexisted with CO₂.

Physical Model

As opposed to the alteration models assuming whole rock chemical equilibrium in the ALH 84001 system or fixed water to rock ratios (W/R) (e.g., Niles et al. 2009; Van Berk et al. 2011), here we used a one-dimensional (1-D) flow model to mimic dissolution–precipitation reactions occurring with groundwater percolation. We assumed that the source of cations for the minerals precipitated was the dissolved orthopyroxenite host rock. An external source for cations, such as the dissolution of an overlying carbonate-rich deposit, could potentially produce the mineralogy observed in ALH 84001, but would ultimately not address the primary source of cations. In the model, a small parcel (10⁻⁶ kg) of unreacted rock was titrated into a fixed amount (~1 kg) of initial fluid initially in equilibrium with the atmosphere, and the rock–fluid–gas system reached equilibrium.
Subsequently, all mineral precipitates in the equilibrium assemblage were removed from the system, and another small parcel of rock was titrated. As such, at each titration step, the system achieved local equilibrium, but was out of equilibrium with the host rock and minerals precipitated in preceding steps. In this simple 1-D reactive-transport model, W/R is the mass ratio of the initial amount of fluid to the total sum of reacted rock with each titration step. W/R decreased with successive titration steps as the total amount of reacted rock increased, effectively simulating the fluid infiltration pathways in natural systems. Minerals precipitated at high W/R were formed at low degrees of alteration, and minerals precipitated at low W/R were formed with pervasive and extensive alteration of the host rock.

An added advantage of 1-D flow models is that fCO2 below those specified by the initial conditions were also tested for their potential to precipitate phases of interest in the system, as all mineral precipitates (including CO2-sequestering carbonates) were removed from the system as the fluid percolated unaltered host rock, thereby decreasing the fCO2 of the fluid.

To investigate the effect of evaporation (an origin for the zoned carbonates expounded by McSween and Harvey [1998] and Warren [1998]) on forming secondary minerals, and the composition of the precipitated carbonates, water was isothermally removed in incremental steps from the system at log10 W/R = 3, 2, 1, and 0, until activity coefficients could not be determined by extended Debye–Hückel theory (at high ionic strengths, typically at 90–99% H2O evaporated). CO2 and other gas compounds were still allowed to exchange with the fluid and be incorporated into mineral precipitates. We assumed that equilibration between the minerals precipitated and evaporating fluid was kinetically slower than the formation of mineral precipitates from the evaporating fluid. As in the percolation experiments, minerals formed were removed from the system to prevent back-reaction between the solid fraction and the remaining fluid.

**RESULTS**

**1-D Infiltration Models**

The resulting carbonate compositions precipitated in the flow models are summarized in carbonate ternary diagrams (Figs. 1b and 1c). In both 1-D flow models, the carbonate compositions progressed from pure FeCO3 to pure CaCO3 along the FeCO3-CaCO3 join. However, the system initially equilibrated with 1 bar fCO2 (Model B) resulted in a compositional migration to higher Mg content (~Fe67.9Mg20.5Ca9.8Mn2.0(CO3); Fig. 1c), coinciding with a peak of carbonate production rate in the host rock (~30 wt% of alteration phases at log10 W/R = 2.05; Fig. 2b). This composition falls in range of some carbonate compositions observed in ALH 84001 (Fig. 1a), whereas the system initially equilibrated with 0.5 bar fCO2 (Model A) did not produce Mg-bearing carbonates (Fig. 1b) like the majority of those in the meteorite. A summary of the carbonate compositions produced at specific W/R for each of the models is given in Table 3. Figure 2 shows a comparison of the rates of carbonate and other secondary minerals precipitated in models in relation to the W/R; maximum amounts of carbonate produced were similar across the models, but the larger amount of CO2 in Model B extended the range of carbonate precipitation to lower W/R (compare Figs. 2a and 2b), allowing for the precipitation of more magnesian carbonates as Mg from the host rock was dissolved. Mg2+, Ca2+, Fe2+, and Mn2+ were precipitated from the fluid as carbonates (Fig. 2) while CO2 and carbon-bearing species were available in solution (ΣC in Fig. 3), signifying that the limiting factor to carbonation in this system was the supply of dissolved CO2 (more than ~2 x 10−4 mol kg−1 H2O of total carbon-bearing species, ΣC in Fig. 3, was needed to maintain the carbonation precipitation).

During the peak of carbonate production and while carbonates remained abundant minerals in the system (log10 W/R ≈ 4.1–2.2 in Model A, and log10 W/R ≈ 4.1–1.8 in Model B), pH remained buffered and relatively unchanged, near neutral values (Fig. 3).

Carbonate mineralization decreased sharply at log10 W/R ≈ 2.2 and 1.8 in Models A and B, respectively (Fig. 2), also marking a change in redox, fO2, and pH conditions, as the initially oxidized fluid became strongly basic (pH > 10, Fig. 3) and more reduced (from Eh = 0.36 V [Model A] and Eh = 0.38 [Model B] at the height of carbonate precipitation, to −0.30 V [Model A] and Eh = −0.42 [Model B] at the peak of magnetite precipitation), coinciding with the increased precipitation of talc, chlorite, and alabandite (MnS), which do not occur in the meteorite (Fig. 2). This is revealed by the elevated concentrations of H2 (Fig. 4) and decreased fO2 of the fluid (Fig. 5). H2 was produced from the reduction of H2O and the oxidation of the Fe endmember of the orthopyroxene (orthopyroxene in ALH 84001 is ~En70Fe27) with water while precipitating magnetite:

\[
3\text{FeSiO}_3(\text{ferroilite}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \\
\rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4 (\text{magnetite}) + \text{H}_2 (g) + 3\text{SiO}_2 (aq)
\] (1)
Although magnetite was stable at lower W/R and higher pH, at higher W/R, above the redox and pH shift, goethite ($\alpha$-FeO(OH)) was the stable Fe-oxide (hydroxide) (Figs. 2 and 5). The change in redox was controlled by the depletion of dissolved CO$_2$ at high W/R, siderite and ankerite were major sinks of Fe(II) (Figs. 1–3), but at lower W/R, Fe in solution was precipitated as Fe(III) in goethite and magnetite (Figs. 2 and 3).

The progressive alteration of the host rock with continued percolation of the reactant fluid (read from high to low W/R in Fig. 2) shows that prior to the peak in carbonate production, amorphous silica (SiO$_2$) was the alteration mineral preferentially precipitated, and $\Sigma$Si$_{\text{aq}}$ (total Si-bearing species in solution) increased until carbonation stopped (Fig. 3). At this point, $\Sigma$Si$_{\text{aq}}$ was removed as phyllosilicates and other hydrated silicate minerals (Fig. 2), which also acted as sinks for dissolved species of Mg$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$ (Fig. 3), K$^+$, and Na$^+$. (Formulae of these minerals, as used in the CHIM-XPT thermodynamic database are reported in the supporting information Table S2.) Most of the chlorite precipitated was the “Al-free chlorite” endmember with a formula of Mg$_6$Si$_4$O$_{10}$(OH)$_8$, compositionally similar to serpentine and talc).

K$^+$ and Na$^+$, although minor elements in ALH 84001 primarily forming the maskelynite, were particularly concentrated in the alteration fluid at low W/R. Chloride salts, which would be major sinks for K$^+$ and Na$^+$, did not form despite the relatively high concentration of Cl in the fluid at low W/R (Fig. 3).
although Fe-celadonite (KFeAlSi₄O₁₀(OH)₂) sequestered K⁺ at very low W/R (Fig. 2).

**Isothermal Evaporation**

Isothermal evaporation of the water brought significant changes to the carbonate compositions (Fig. 1) and mineral assemblages precipitated (Figs. 6 and 7). Generally, the proportion and mass of carbonate precipitated increased in both Models A and B at all W/R (Figs. 6 and 7). Evaporation at high W/R produced diverse Ca-Mg-Fe-Mn carbonates (Figs. 1b, 1c, 6a, 7a–b) but only Ca carbonates were produced by evaporating water at low W/R (Figs. 6b–d and 7c–d), i.e., with pervasive alteration of the host rock.

**DISCUSSION**

**Carbonate Compositions**

Out of all the models tested, the most successful in producing sufficient carbonate proportions and diverse compositions approximating the carbonates in ALH 84001 was Model B followed by evaporation from \( \log_{10} W/R = 3 \) (Figs. 1c and 7a). This resulted in carbonates falling in a range of carbonate compositions, including siderite, calcite, and intermediate compositions between the three major endmembers at a range of W/R (Fig. 6). No intermediate carbonates containing Ca, Fe, and Mg precipitated in the 1-D flow or evaporation models for Model A (Fig. 1b). Arguably, the higher carbonate in solution in Model B (Fig. 3b) allowed the carbonation reaction to occur (Fig. 2b), while Si was elevated in the solution (Fig. 3b); cations were effectively removed from the solution as hydrated silicates (especially chlorite and talc) in Model A (Fig. 2a). For purposes of the discussion, we concentrate on Model B.

While the sequence of precipitated carbonates in the models (starting with FeCO₃ at high water–rock ratio, progressing to Fe-Ca-Mg carbonates at mid high W/R, and finally calcite at low W/R [Fig. 1c]) is in a different order from the zonation pattern observed in ALH 84001 (Ca-rich cores with increasing Fe content toward the exterior, followed by sideritic and magnesite rims), we hypothesize that the first fluids that arrived at the nucleation sites for the carbonates were relatively low W/R fluids (\( \log_{10} W/R \leq 1.8 \); Fig. 1c) that had percolated through the fractures and pores of the dry host rock and the dry (but actively being weathered) stratigraphically
overlying rock of similar composition, and precipitated the first Ca-rich crystals of the cores. Subsequent fluids percolated the fractures experiencing less reaction with the overlying host rock as porosity was clogged by hydrated silicates produced by the first low W/R fluids. Alternatively, the groundwater table rose to the level of the ALH 84001 host as a response to recharge from the percolating fluids. As a result, increasingly Fe- and Mg-carbonates would precipitate at log$_{10}$ W//R $> 2.7$; Fig. 1c). Finally, evaporation of the relatively abundant and dilute fluids that had experienced little interaction with the host rock would produce the more voluminous Mg carbonates (Figs. 1c, 7a–b). The Mg-rich precursor carbonate huntite is required prior to transformation to the observed magnesite, but this may also explain the Sr enrichment observed in the meteorite by Beard et al. (2013). Though the weathering of Mg silicates may increase Sr/Ca ratios of the permeating alteration fluid, Sr also substitutes readily for Ca in the relatively open-latticed structure of huntite, in comparison to magnesite (Dollase and Reeder 1986; Stanger and Neal 1994). Unfortunately, recognizing whether the Mg carbonate in ALH 84001 is recrystallized, postdepositionally transformed huntite is not trivial. Huntite occurs as an evaporitic near-surface weathering product and as a fine-grained diagenetic mineral in dissolution pores of ultramafic rocks (e.g., Kinsman 1967; Stanger and Neal 1994; Akbulut and Kadir 2003), but is metastable (Garrels et al. 1987; Kinsman 1967), and is replaced in time by magnesite–dolomite or dolomite–calcite, with no diagnostic habits or pseudomorphs preserved.
Hydrated Silicates and Other Alteration Phases

Alteration phases other than carbonates were abundant even at the peak of carbonate precipitation in both 1-D flow models (Fig. 2; Table 3). In contrast, in the meteorite, carbonates form almost the entirety of the alteration assemblage observed, meaning that if the carbonates in ALH 84001 formed under the conditions tested, this would only have been possible if a mechanism had been in place to dissolve and remove (or inhibit the formation of) secondary phases other than carbonate. Conceivably, shock could have transformed any existing smectites back to olivine and pyroxene, although direct evidence for this transformation does not exist in the meteorite. We note, however, that silica glasses are observed in the meteorite (e.g., Scott et al. 1997); the silica phases were possibly contemporaneously precipitated with the carbonates, and shock-mobilized thereafter (Greenwood and McSween 2001). Low-temperature equilibrium conditions inhibit the precipitation of Mg carbonate (e.g., Hänchen et al. 2008; Saldi et al. 2009), so we consider it unlikely that pervasive equilibrium dissolution of the host rock by the alteration fluid and subsequent precipitation of the carbonates occurred. The lack of textural and mineralogical evidence for intensive fluid interaction in ALH 84001 has been noted (Treiman and Romanek 1998), and the compositional and isotopic zonation of the carbonates in the meteorite is strongly indicative of disequilibrium conditions (e.g., Harvey and McSween 1996; Treiman 1997; Valley et al. 1997).

While kaolinite, nontronite, and celadonite are not unusual phyllosilicates in low-temperature aqueous alteration systems on Earth, the precipitation of talc and chlorite in the models under these conditions (Fig. 2) is unusual and requires further justification. Talc forms, and is stable, at ambient conditions in laboratory tests (Bricker et al. 1973; Tosca et al. 2011), and authigenic sedimentary talc is reported in (among other carbonate-rich deposits) Neoproterozoic carbonate layers in Svalbard and the Yukon (Tosca et al. 2011; and references therein). Apparently, pH exerts a strong control on the formation of talc, preferentially precipitating in alkaline conditions (Tosca et al. 2011), in line with the talc computed in the batch equilibrium models. (Alternatively, thermochemical data available to us for nontronite and other smectites are as yet deficient, especially considering that they are complex solid solutions.) From the observations of soil formation in ancient (~4.2 Ga; Farley et al. 2014) rocks in Gale crater on Mars, mostly from the low-temperature chemical weathering of olivine (Retallack 2014), talc minerals may have precipitated from
weathered pyroxene under low-temperature, basic conditions. Low-temperature chlorite is also relatively uncommon on Earth as it tends to form in diagenetic and low-temperature metamorphic environments (and appears associated with craters on Mars; Ehlmann and Edwards 2014), but is, e.g., thought to be authigenic in weathered sedimentary deltaic sandstones at 20–40 °C in South Texas (Grigsby 2001).

Goethite (FeO(OH)) was the first phase to precipitate from the fluid in both models (log10 W/R > 4.8; Fig. 2). Goethite and carbonate coprecipitated and were stable in a small window (log10 W/R ≈ 2–1.9; Fig. 2), as were carbonate with magnetite and sulfides (log10 W/R < 1.9; Fig. 2). Hematite grains are ubiquitous in the carbonates of ALH 84001, but goethite has not been detected in the meteorite (Steele et al. 2007). However, goethite and hematite are close in stability, and either form from the common metastable ferrihydrite (~5Fe2O3·9H2O) precursor at room temperature, the determining factor being pH (Schwertmann and Murad 1983). At pH of 2–5 and 10–14, goethite tends to precipitate, while hematite is favored at pH 5.5–9.5 (Schwertmann and Murad 1983; Cudennec and Lecerf 2006). On the other hand, goethite that coprecipitated with the carbonates (Fig. 2) at moderately alkaline pH (Fig. 5) may have been transformed to the hematite observed in the meteorite by an event postdating aqueous alteration and evaporation involving higher temperatures, i.e., the postimpact transformation of siderite into magnetite, as suggested by Brearley (2003). Cudennec and Lecerf (2005) reported that the topotactic (solid-state crystallographic) thermal dehydroxylation of pure goethite to hematite occurs at 260–320 °C, with no intermediate phase being produced. Shock metamorphism as that which almost certainly occurred after the deposition of the carbonates (and as evidenced by the fragmentation of the carbonates and emplacement of feldspathic melt glass within them [Treiman 1995, 1998]) would also have the effect of transforming carbonates to macromolecular carbon and graphite (Steele et al. 2007, 2012a, 2012b). Goethite and magnetite did not coprecipitate in the models (Fig. 2). In this regard, the magnetite crystals occurring in ALH 84001 would not have necessitated transportation into the host rock by allochthonous fluids, as e.g., Thomas-Keprta et al. (2009) have previously suggested. We note that much work has been carried out to determine the origin of the magnetite crystals in ALH 84001 (Scott 1999; Thomas-Keprta et al. 2000, 2009; Barber and Scott 2002; Brearley 2003; Golden et al. 2004; Treiman et al. 2005; Thomas-Keprta et al. 2009).
and Essene 2011). Here, an abiogenic, low-temperature pathway from the oxidation of Fe-pyroxene, involving the production of H₂ (Fig. 4), was able to precipitate magnetite (Fig. 2) without direct participation of carbonate minerals in the reaction (Reaction 1). A serious limit on this hypothesis is the lack of observed hydrated silicates in ALH 84001, and calculated to have been stable in the 1-D flow models while magnetite was being precipitated (Fig. 2). Incidentally, magnetite formed in the reduction reaction could catalyze the reduction of CO₂ to form hydrocarbons (Zolotov and Shock 1999), e.g., the variable methane the Curiosity rover has recently measured in the atmosphere at Gale Crater (Webster et al. 2015). The possible abiotic production of CH₄ on early Mars has been discussed extensively, particularly in its role and abundance in the atmosphere (e.g., Chassefière and Leblanc 2011a, 2011b). Similarly, H₂ and CO₂ have been advocated as potential greenhouse agents in the early Martian atmosphere which enabled water to stay above the freezing point (e.g., Hirschmann and Withers 2008; Ramirez et al. 2014). However, as mentioned above, CH₄-H₂O-CO₂ equilibration is inhibited at low temperatures (and disallowed in our model), and so a kinetic barrier prohibits the formation of CH₄ from the reduction of CO₂ and H₂O (e.g., Seewald et al. 2006; McCollom 2013).

Isotope Considerations

Rubidium and strontium systematics in ALH 84001 were used to suggest pre-existing phyllosilicates as the origin of ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr enrichment (Beard et al. 2013). On the basis of the reaction models carried out here it appears that phyllosilicates kaolinite and smectite plus silica could have formed above the host rock of ALH 84001 (Fig. 2) if fluids permeating downward from the surface produced the carbonates, but the silicates would not have necessarily predated the carbonates significantly.

Although our models do not account for the stable isotope observations in ALH 84001, we note that the C and O isotopic variability in the carbonate rosettes (e.g., Romanek et al. 1994; Valley et al. 1997; Leshin et al. 1998; Eiler et al. 2002b; Niles et al. 2005) and H isotope observations (Leshin et al. 1996; Eiler et al. 2002a) are plausibly consistent with the results of the “1-D flow and evaporation” model we present. Specifically, the model did not allow for chemical equilibrium with the bulk host rock and the precipitated minerals, so it permits the variation of stable isotopes along the
alteration path and among the mineral compositions precipitated, as well as isotopic disequilibrium between the host rock and the secondary precipitates. While the $\delta^{18}O$ in the rosettes increases from core to rim congruently the host rock and the secondary precipitates. While the precipitated, as well as isotopic disequilibrium between alteration path and among the mineral compositions agree with an evaporative environment controlling computed the generation of H$_2$ from the formation of $\delta^{13}C$ values (46–65‰). Finally, Niles et al. (2009) and Van Berk et al. (2011) suggested that decreasing pCO$_2$ caused the carbonates in ALH 84001 to precipitate, presumably as an upwelling CO$_2$-charged fluid degassed and increased in pH. In a broad sense, our 1-D flow model is consistent with theirs, given that the Fe carbonates precipitated at $f$/CO$_2$ = 0.93 – 0.22 bar and the Mg-rich carbonates precipitated at $f$/CO$_2$ = 5 x 10$^{-2}$ – 5 x 10$^{-3}$ bar (in Model B, Figs. 1c, 2b, and 4b), compared to the initial reactant fluid with $f$/CO$_2$ = 1 bar. However, our mechanism to remove CO$_2$ from the system consisted of water–rock reaction with fluids percolating from the surface, rather than an externally controlled parameter, and we have considered the role of compositional changes to the percolating fluid as a result of fluid–rock dissolution–precipitation processes as well as the role of evaporation on the secondary assemblage. Notably, near-neutral pH and relatively low temperatures were required to produce carbonates in both the high and low $f$/CO$_2$ thermochemical models run in this study.

The low-temperature conditions we envision for the precipitation of the carbonate concretions in ALH 84001 are similar to those leading to sphaerosiderite precipitation in terrestrial soils (Ludvigson et al. 2013), and unlike those that formed the zoned Ca-Fe-Mg carbonate globules in basalts, and crustal and mantle

**Comparison to Other Geochemical Models of the Carbonates in ALH 84001**

Other workers have investigated the distinctive carbonates of ALH 84001 with thermochemical models. Kopp and Humayun (2003) argued that dissolution of the carbonate concretions, subsequent to their deposition on Mars, produced the characteristically zoned siderite–magnesite–siderite rims, and that this process plausibly occurred in Antarctica, during the terrestrial residence time of the meteorite. With the use of a kinetic dissolution–precipitation model, they contended that low amounts of meltwater infiltrating the rock dissolved Mg and Fe from the native carbonates, and subsequent sublimation of the fluids at low $f$/O$_2$ (<10$^{-69}$ bar at 25°C) produced the magnetite crystals and carbonate rims. While a suggestive argument, we emphasize that hematite has also been reported in the carbonate rosettes of ALH 84001 (Steele et al. 2007), indicating that $f$/O$_2$ may have been different from that described in their model. To our knowledge, no other carbonate-bearing Antarctic meteorite, Martian or otherwise, has developed similar magnesite rims around pre-existing carbonate, despite the relative abundance of Antarctic hydrous Mg carbonates on fusion crusts and cracks of chondrites (e.g., Velbel et al. 1991), which do not occur in ALH 84001. (We point out, however, that the Tatahoiune diogenite does contain terrestrial calcite “rosettes,” formed over 60 years of exposure to warm semi-arid conditions; Barrat et al. 1999.) Niles et al. (2005) also offered evidence against an Antarctic origin for the magnesite, based on their measurements of high $\delta^{13}C$ values (46 – 65‰). Finally, Niles et al. (2009) and Van Berk et al. (2011) suggested that decreasing pCO$_2$ caused the carbonates in ALH 84001 to precipitate, presumably as an upwelling CO$_2$-charged fluid degassed and increased in pH. In a broad sense, our 1-D flow model is consistent with theirs, given that the Fe carbonates precipitated at $f$/CO$_2$ = 0.93 – 0.22 bar and the Mg-rich carbonates precipitated at $f$/CO$_2$ = 5 x 10$^{-2}$ – 5 x 10$^{-3}$ bar (in Model B, Figs. 1c, 2b, and 4b), compared to the initial reactant fluid with $f$/CO$_2$ = 1 bar. However, our mechanism to remove CO$_2$ from the system consisted of water–rock reaction with fluids percolating from the surface, rather than an externally controlled parameter, and we have considered the role of compositional changes to the percolating fluid as a result of fluid–rock dissolution–precipitation processes as well as the role of evaporation on the secondary assemblage. Notably, near-neutral pH and relatively low temperatures were required to produce carbonates in both the high and low $f$/CO$_2$ thermochemical models run in this study.

The low-temperature conditions we envision for the precipitation of the carbonate concretions in ALH 84001 are similar to those leading to sphaerosiderite precipitation in terrestrial soils (Ludvigson et al. 2013), and unlike those that formed the zoned Ca-Fe-Mg carbonate globules in basalts, and crustal and mantle
xenoliths in Spitsbergen (Norway; Treiman et al. 2002). Sphaerosiderites are FeCO₃ concretions formed mainly by infiltrating meteoric fluids (<30 °C; e.g., Ludvigson et al. 2013), whereas the Spitsbergen carbonate globules were probably precipitated from permeating hydrothermal fluids (50–70 °C) which allowed the direct formation of magnesite (Treiman et al. 2002) instead of requiring a huntite precursor.

**Comparison to Other Ancient Martian Systems**

It is useful to discuss previous work on Martian aqueous systems and observed alteration assemblages to ascertain the validity of our model. Siderite and Ca-siderite carbonates are observed in the nakhlite group of Martian meteorites (especially Lafayette, Governador Valadares, and Nakhla), and were probably formed from the dissolution of surrounding rocks in a near-surface environment (e.g., Bridges and Grady 2000; Changela and Bridges 2010; Hicks et al. 2014). Clay minerals (saponite) postdate and partially dissolve the carbonates, which are likely metastable (Changela and Bridges 2010; Hicks 2014). A CO₂-rich brine that first precipitated the Fe-Ca carbonates in the nakhlites at 150–200 °C, subsequently precipitated the clay minerals as it cooled to ~50 °C (Bridges and Schwenzer 2012), suggesting that the fluid permeating the nakhlites and the associated hydrothermal alteration system were longer lived than the alteration environment of ALH 84001. Similar to the nakhlites, in ALH 84001, clay minerals probably also postdated the carbonates, but furthermore, would have reached saturation in the fluid and thermodynamic stability contemporaneously with the carbonates (Fig. 2).

In a more relevant temperature regime (13 °C), Filiberto and Schwenzer (2013) investigated the potential alteration phases resulting from the alteration of the ancient basalt at Home Plate (Columbia Hills, Gusev Crater), which resulted in assemblages dominated by chlorite or nontronite across all W/R, and only some carbonate (up to ~25 wt% of all secondary products) in fluids with high dissolved initial ΣC (approximately one order of magnitude higher than used in our models). On a first basis, their modeled assemblages appear similar to the ones modeled here, but importantly, the Home Plate basalt composition and the reactant water they used contained significantly more Cl and S, most likely suppressing higher carbonate formation in favor of other secondary phases—an unlikely scenario for ALH 84001. Van Berk and Fu (2011), and later, Van Berk et al. (2012), carried out 1-D diffusive mass transport models on olivine-rich host rocks which effectively reproduced the carbonate assemblages at the Comanche outcrop (Mg₀.₆₂Fe₀.₂₅Ca₀.₁₁Mn₀.₀₂CO₃; Morris et al. 2010) also at Gusev crater, and carbonates and stratigraphically lower phyllosilicates at Nili Fossae (Ehlmann et al. 2008). Our model results, in which infiltrating fluids first precipitated mainly silica, then carbonates, and finally progressed to phyllosilicates, are broadly consistent with theirs, indicating that similar pCO₂ and temperature conditions (~1 bar pCO₂ and <25 °C), as well as fluid compositions prevailed at the site where ALH 84001 was altered, and at the Comanche outcrop and Nili Fossae. Their phyllosilicate assemblages differed somewhat from the assemblages calculated here: at Nili Fossae, they computed talc, diaspore, chrysotile, greenalite, prehnite, and minor chloride precipitation at depth, whereas here, talc, chlorite, and minor amounts of magnetite, apatite, and ferroceladonite precipitated toward lower W/R (Fig. 2). This difference is most likely driven by the different host rock compositions, as ALH 84001 is virtually olivine-free, and the host rocks considered by Van Berk and Fu (2011) are relatively olivine-rich. (Although, it is plausible that more olivine was present in the host rock of ALH 84001 prior to the formation of the carbonates, and that the latter mainly replaced the olivine; Treiman 2005.) Another significant difference between their models and ours concerns the progression of carbonate compositions. While here, the carbonates invariably start at the Fe endmember composition at high W/R and progress along the Fe-Ca carbonate join with further percolation (via an excursion to higher Mg content; Figs. 1a and 1b), their carbonate compositions progress from Fe- to Mg-carbonate near the Fe-Mg join and then toward the Ca endmember along the Mg-Ca join, signifying that ankerite compositions, as present in the cores of the rosettes in ALH 84001, would be absent. Recent equilibrium thermochemical models of the Comanche outcrop carbonates (Ruff et al. 2014) also suggested that the carbonate formed from the leaching of the nearby olivine-rich Algonquin outcrop. Finally, mineralogical analyses and geochemical modeling carried out for Yellowknife Bay in Gale crater revealed that mudstones there contained sulfates (basanite and anhydrite) magnetite, hematite, and smectites (possibly saponite) among other components (Vaniman et al. 2014; Bridges et al. 2015). While hematite and magnetite occur in ALH 84001, and dioctahedral smectite (nontronite) was calculated to precipitate while carbonates were stable (Fig. 2), the presence of extensive sulfates at Yellowknife Bay argues against a direct association with substantial carbonate formation. In fact, carbonates are not present above MSL’s CheMin’s detection limit (>1 wt%) at Yellowknife Bay (Ming et al. 2014; Vaniman et al. 2014).
CONCLUSION

Over 30 years since its recovery from Antarctica, ALH 84001 continues to reveal a wealth of information about hydrological and geochemical conditions and surroundings on early Mars. Here we have presented evidence for the conditions under which the carbonate rosettes precipitated, the composition of the fluids that altered the host rock, and the alteration mineralogy of the rocks in the vicinity of ALH 84001, when it was emplaced near the surface of Mars. At low temperature (20 °C) and pCO2 ≤ 1 bar, and under local equilibrium conditions with a 1-D fluid flow model, we precipitated a range of carbonate compositions closely resembling those observed in the meteorite, following evaporation. The Ca-Fe carbonates were most likely produced with fluids that reacted with the orthopyroxenite overlying the host of the meteorite (low W/R), and the higher Fe and Mg carbonates reflect subsequent permeation of carbonate compositions closely resembling those observed in the meteorite, following evaporation. The Ca-Fe carbonates were most likely produced with fluids that reacted with the orthopyroxenite overlying the host of the meteorite (low W/R), and the higher Fe and Mg carbonates reflect subsequent permeation of fluids that experienced little reaction with the orthopyroxenite (high W/R). High Mg carbonate was precipitated by evaporation of the water in the system. Carbonate–evaporite formation was likely a faster process than the equilibration of the alteration fluid with the host rock and the secondary precipitates. The formation of pure magnesite was probably a process that occurred postdepositionally as a result of diagenesis or shock metamorphism from the precursor Mg-rich carbonate, and is beyond the scope of this work. Formation of carbonates in ALH 84001 resulted from relatively limited water–rock interaction to result in maximal production of carbonates relative to Mg-bearing hydrated silicates, and evaporation played a major role in producing the metastable Mg carbonates, possibly crystallizing on the order of days (Bridges et al. 2001). Thus, a short-lived, open, aqueous system appears to be in agreement with the formation of carbonates in ALH 84001.

The production of carbonates in ALH 84001 entails the formation of other secondary phases, which may not be represented in the meteorite or were destroyed or transformed by subsequent shock. As percolating fluids permeated ALH 84001 and the rocks surrounding ALH 84001, fluid compositions evolved, and pCO2 and W/R decreased. Principal minerals produced from high W/R to low W/R were SiO2, goethite, carbonates ( siderite, ankerite, huntite, and calcite), kaolinite, nontronite, chlorite, talc, magnetite, and sulfide. Goethite coprecipitated with carbonate, showing that previously observed hematite in the ALH 84001 rosettes (Steele et al. 2007) may come from the transformation of goethite. Similarly, with increased water–rock interaction (lower W/R), magnetite and carbonate were stable, indicating that the carbonates and magnetite in ALH 84001 may have been produced from the same fluid, and generated H2.
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