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ABSTRACT

We present a catalogue of dense cores in a \(~4° \times 2°\) field of the Taurus star-forming region, inclusive of the L1495 cloud, derived from Herschel SPIRE and PACS observations in the 70 \(\mu\)m, 160 \(\mu\)m, 250 \(\mu\)m, 350 \(\mu\)m, and 500 \(\mu\)m continuum bands. Estimates of mean dust temperature and total mass are derived using modified blackbody fits to the spectral energy distributions. We detect 528 starless cores of which \(~10–20%\) are gravitationally bound and therefore presumably prestellar. Our census of unbound objects is \(~85\%) complete for \(M > 0.015M_\odot\) in low density regions (\(A_V < \sim 5\) mag), while the bound (prestellar) subset is \(~85\%) complete for \(M > 0.1M_\odot\) overall. The prestellar CMF is consistent with lognormal form, resembling the stellar system initial mass function, as has been reported previously. All of the inferred prestellar cores lie on filamentary structures whose column densities exceed the expected threshold for filamentary collapse, in agreement with previous reports. Unlike the prestellar CMF, the unbound starless CMF is not lognormal, but instead is consistent with a power-law form below \(0.3M_\odot\) and shows no evidence for a low-mass turnover. It resembles previously reported mass distributions for CO clumps at low masses (\(M < \sim 0.3M_\odot\)). The volume density PDF, however, is accurately lognormal except at high densities. It is consistent with the effects of self-gravity on magnetized supersonic turbulence. The only significant deviation from lognormality is a high-density tail which can be attributed unambiguously to prestellar cores.
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1 INTRODUCTION

While much progress has been made in determining the form of the stellar initial mass function (IMF) (Chabrier 2003; Kroupa et al. 2012), a detailed explanation of its form and

* Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.
† E-mail: Ken.Marsh@astro.cf.ac.uk
2 OBSERVATIONS

The observational data on which the present catalogue is based consists of a set of images of the L1495 cloud in the Taurus star-forming region, made as part of the HGBS (André et al. 2010). The data were taken using PACS (Poglitsch et al. 2010) at 70 μm and 160 μm and SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010) at 250 μm, 350 μm, and 500 μm in fast-scanning (60 s~1) parallel mode. The North-South scan direction for L1495 was split into two observations taken on 12 February 2010 and 7 August 2010, while the East-West cross-scan direction was observed in a single run on 8 August 2010. The corresponding Herschel Observation IDs are 1342202254, 1342190616, and 1342202090. An additional PACS observation (Observation ID 1342242047) was taken on 20 March 2012 in the orthogonal scan direction to fill a gap found in the previous PACS data.

Calibrated scan-map images at the PACS wavelengths were produced in the HIPE Version 10 pipeline (Ott 2010) using Scanamorphos Version 20 (Roussel 2013). The SPIRE images were produced in the same pipeline using the “naïve” map-making procedure and the destriper module. The arbitrary sky offset values were corrected by comparison with Planck and IRAS data using a procedure similar to that employed by Bernard et al. (2010). The estimated offset values for SPIRE correspond well to the ones determined from the zero-point correction task in HIPE. The spatial resolution values (FWHM beam sizes) of these maps are approximately $8.5'\prime$, $13.5'\prime$, $18.2'\prime$, $24.9'\prime$, and $36.3'\prime$ in the 70 μm, 160 μm, 250 μm, 350 μm, and 500 μm wavelength bands, respectively. In the PACS bands, however, the effective beams resulting from fast scanning are somewhat elongated, at $\sim 6'\prime \times 12'\prime$ (70 μm) and $\sim 12'\prime \times 16'\prime$ (160 μm).

The present catalogue is based on a rectangular subregion of size $\sim 4'\times 2'\circ$, whose long axis is aligned approximately with the axis of the long filamentary structure at a position angle of $-52^\circ$.

3 SOURCE DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION

The production of the catalogue of cores for all of the various star-forming regions within the Gould Belt represents a parallel effort by a number of groups. To ensure uniformity, all groups are adhering strictly to the procedure specified in detail by Könyves et al. (2015). The identification of starless cores is then essentially a two-step process:

Step 1: Detection: Source detection is carried out using the getsources algorithm (Men'shchikov et al. 2012) as provided by the Nov. 2013 major release (Version v1.140127). Starless core candidates are detected while making simultaneous use of images in the four Herschel wavebands in the 160–500 μm range, the 160 μm image being a temperature-corrected version (see Könyves et al. 2015 for details). In addition, a column density map is used as if it were an additional waveband, the purpose being to give extra weight to regions of high column density. This map, with an effective spatial resolution of 18.2′′ corresponding to the 250 μm beam, is obtained using the technique described in Appendix A of Palmeirim et al. (2013). The output of the detection step consists only of sources deemed “reliable” on the basis of the getsources “global goodness” parameter, which combines the global detection significance, the combined signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) over all bands, and source geometry knowledge.

As discussed by Könyves et al. (2015), the detection of cores is carried out separately from that of protostellar objects, the latter being based on a second run of getsources in which detection is based on the 70 μm data only. The Herschel results for protostellar objects in this region will be discussed separately (J. M. Kirk et al., in preparation).

1 http://gouldbelt-herschel.cea.fr

2 The adopted sky median values for the Taurus N2/N3 regions are: 4.27, 69.2, 47.0, 29.0, and 13.4 MJy sr~1 at wavelengths of 70 μm, 160 μm, 250 μm, 350 μm, and 500 μm, respectively.

3 Subsequently referred to as the “high-resolution” column density map.
Step 2: Classification:

Classification as a starless core candidate requires that all of the following conditions be met:

(i) column density detection significance greater than 5 (where significance in this case refers to detection at the relevant single spatial scale, as defined by Men'shchikov et al. 2012);

(ii) column density \((S/N)_{\text{peak}} > 1\) at 18.2 ″ resolution;

(iii) global detection significance over all wavelengths (Men'shchikov et al. 2012) greater than 10;

(iv) flux detection with significance greater than 5 for at least two wavelengths between 160 µm and 500 µm;

(v) flux measurement with \(S/N > 1\) in at least one band between 160 µm and 500 µm for which the monochromatic detection significance is greater than 5;

(vi) detection significance less than 5 for the 70 µm band or 70 µm peak flux per pixel less than zero or source size (full width at half maximum, FWHM) at 70 µm larger than 1.5 times the 70 µm beam size;

(vii) source not spatially coincident with a known galaxy, based on comparison with the NASA Extragalactic Database (NED) or with a known young stellar object (YSO) based on the published list of Widefield Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) detections (Rebull et al. 2011);

(viii) source appears real based on visual examination of the SPIRE images and column density maps.

Imposition of the last criterion is facilitated by examination of the “summary card” for each source, included as one of the on-line catalogue products. It includes a cutout column density image of the source, upon which is superposed the elliptical 50% contour as estimated by getsources. To provide some additional confidence in the reality of the extracted source, the subimage is also superposed with a source ellipse estimated by an entirely different source extraction technique, namely the Cardiff Source-finding Algorithm (CSAR) discussed in Appendix B of Kirk et al. (2013). The latter was run on the high-resolution (18.2 ″) column density map. The above procedure resulted in 528 objects classified as starless cores, of which 46% were detected by CSAR4. Their locations are shown in Fig. 1 relative to a column density image of the field produced by the Palmeirim et al. (2013) technique.

Although most of the sources in Fig. 1 are clearly associated with filamentary structure in L1495, we cannot rule out the possibility that a few of them may be extragalactic contaminants. Some extragalactic sources may, in fact, have been missed in the NED as a result of foreground confusion by Taurus itself. To assess this possibility we note that the estimated rms level of extragalactic contaminants is < 10 mJy in Herschel bands between 160 µm and 500 µm (García-Lario et al. 2014), more than an order of magnitude lower than that of 99% our detected cores. Nevertheless, some extragalactic sources may be present in the remainder.

4 A source detected by getsources is considered to have a CSAR counterpart if the CSAR position falls within the 50% elliptical contour of the high-resolution column density map as measured by getsources.

Figure 1. Column density map of the region studied. The spatial resolution is approximately 18.2 ″ and the column density units are hydrogen molecules per cm\(^2\). The overplotted symbols represent the locations of detected cores (green circles = unbound starless, filled blue diamonds = prestellar, open blue diamonds = candidate prestellar). The peak column density is 5.5 \(\times\) \(10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\), although the display scale is truncated at 1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\) to improve the visibility of faint features.

4 ESTIMATES OF CORE MASSES AND TEMPERATURES

Estimates of the core masses and dust temperatures were obtained for each source by fitting a modified blackbody spectrum to the observed spectral energy distribution (SED) constructed from the set of getsources flux densities in the wavelength range 160–500 µm. The SED point at each wavelength represents the flux density integrated over the entire source, and overlapping sources are deblended as described by Men'shchikov et al. (2012). The flux densities used in SED fitting are presented in Table B1. For this exercise, sources were assumed to be isothermal and have a wave-
length variation of opacity of the form (Hildebrand 1983; Roy et al. 2014):
\[ \kappa(\lambda) = 0.1 \left(\frac{300}{\lambda}\right)^2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \]  
(1)
where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength in \( \mu \text{m} \). The fitting procedure used was the same as that described by Könyves et al. (2015), and involved a weighted least squares fit in which each SED flux was weighted by the inverse square of its measurement uncertainty, as estimated by getsources. Those uncertainties are dominated by errors associated with background subtraction.

The fits were based on an assumed distance of 140 pc. Following Könyves et al. (2015), the 500 \( \mu \text{m} \) flux was excluded from the fit in the small minority of cases in which this flux exceeded that at 350 \( \mu \text{m} \), as a precaution against confusion contamination at the longer wavelength.

Some of the detected cores can be expected to be gravitationally bound, and thus likely to go on to form stars. We therefore refer to the members of this subset as prestellar cores, and identify them by modelling the sources as Bonnor-Ebert (B-E) spheres (Bonnor 1956; Ebert 1955). For a source of outer radius \( R \), the B-E critical mass (Bonnor 1956) is given by:
\[ M_{\text{BE}} = 2.4 \frac{R a_s^2}{G} \]  
(2)
where \( G \) is the gravitational constant and \( a_s \) is the isothermal sound speed. The latter is given by \( a_s = (kT/\mu) \) where \( k \) is Boltzmann’s constant and \( T \) is the gas temperature which we equate with the estimated dust temperature.

The correspondence between \( R \) and the source size estimated using getsources has been investigated by Könyves et al. (2015). Briefly, simulations of getsources extractions on a set of B-E source models show that the getsources FWHM values provide good measurements of the outer radii of critical B-E spheres (with an accuracy better than 10-20%) if spatially well resolved. For marginally-resolved cores, the getsources FWHM estimate provides a reliable estimate of the true B-E radius after convolution with the beam. For cores with extended power-law wings, however, the source sizes are not measured as reliably by the current version of getsources. Based on these considerations we take \( R \) as the geometric mean of the source FWHM major and minor axes as estimated by getsources. On this basis, we equate with the estimated dust temperature.

Following André et al. (2007) and Könyves et al. (2015), we then classify a detected starless core as “prestellar” if its estimated mass exceeds \( M_{\text{BE}}/2 \) (cf. Bertoldi & McKee 1992). The factor of 2 corresponds to the value of the virial ratio below which an object would be regarded as gravitationally bound, taking \( M_{\text{BE}} \) as a proxy for the virial mass. Since the mass threshold depends linearly on \( R \), misclassification is likely for many marginally-resolved sources. In order to avoid rejecting genuine prestellar cores in that category entirely, we provide an additional list of “candidate prestellar cores” which, although lacking sufficient mass for robust classification as prestellar, nevertheless remain plausible candidates. We select them by lowering the threshold value of \( M/M_{\text{BE}} \) from 0.5 (well-resolved cores) down to 0.2 (marginally-resolved cores). The actual functional dependence of the threshold, \( t \), on angular source size has been estimated, in the case of the Aquila region, by Könyves et al. (2015) using simulations. They obtained \( t = 0.2 \left(\frac{\theta_{\text{source}}}{\theta_{\text{beam}}}\right)^{0.4} \) subject to \( 0.2 \leq t \leq 0.5 \), where \( \theta_{\text{source}} \) and \( \theta_{\text{beam}} \) represent the FWHMs of source and beam in the high-resolution column density map. Our Taurus simulations, conducted in connection with the completeness estimates described in Appendix A, suggest that the latter expression is appropriate here also.

Fig. 2 shows a plot of estimated mass, \( M \), versus radius, \( R \). The plotted points are distinguished based on their gravitational status, i.e., bound, possibly bound (candidate prestellar), or unbound. Also plotted for comparison are previous Taurus data from the literature. Clearly, the masses and radii are well correlated and are consistent with the power-law relation found from previous studies of dense cores and clumps in molecular clouds (e.g., Larson 1981; Kauffmann et al. 2010). They are also consistent with extinction-based results for L1495 (Schmalzl et al. 2010), \(^{18}\text{O}\) (Onishi et al. 1996), \(^{13}\text{CO}\) (Onishi et al. 2002), and the Kirk et al. (2013) results for other parts of the Taurus molecular cloud (the Barnard 18 and L1536 clouds) based on Herschel data, and with NH\(_3\) data (Benson & Myers 1989).

Fig. 3 shows a plot of dust temperature versus mean column density and indicates that these quantities are negatively correlated. Such a correlation might be expected based on a model in which the dust is heated by the interstellar radiation field (ISRF). Large column densities then provide more shielding, resulting in cooler dust (Evans et al. 2001; Stamatakos et al. 2007; Roy et al. 2014). But
could this correlation be an artifact, induced by a degeneracy between mass and temperature in the SED measurement model? Such a degeneracy is certainly possible in cases of limited wavelength coverage, particularly if the observed wavelengths are all on the Rayleigh-Jeans side of the Planck peak for the particular dust temperature. For the present observations, however, the Herschel wavelengths bracket the peak of the modified blackbody function for all of the core temperatures likely to be encountered. It is true that temperature gradients within cores can also give rise to mass biases (and hence column density biases) when using simple SED fitting, but these biases are typically at the $\lesssim 30\%$ level (see, for example, Roy et al. 2014; Könyves et al. 2015) and not sufficient to produce the correlation apparent in Fig. 3. Furthermore, the latter correlation remains even after full account is taken of the effects of radial temperature gradients (Marsh et al. 2014). We thus conclude that the correlation is real, and consistent with the ISRF heating model (Stamatellos et al. 2007).

The temperature versus mass plot of Fig. 4 shows that these quantities are at least partially correlated in the sense that the more massive cores ($M \gtrsim 1 M_\odot$) have the lowest temperatures. There is, however, no information as to whether the converse is true; the detection sensitivity cutoff prevents us from knowing whether all low mass cores have high temperatures. Therefore, although this figure indicates that, within the detected population, unbound starless cores have systematically higher temperatures than prestellar cores, we cannot rule out the existence of a population of cool starless cores. This issue is discussed further in Appendix A.

5 OVERALL STARLESS CORE MASS FUNCTION

The dashed red histogram in Fig. 5 represents the uncorrected mass distribution for the sample as a whole, without regard for inferred gravitational status (bound or unbound). One feature of this distribution is an apparent decrease in source counts for masses below $\sim 0.01 M_\odot$, in the mass regime dominated by unbound starless cores. However, comparison with the completeness curve for unbound cores (Appendix A) suggests that this behaviour may be an effect of incompleteness. Although the detailed form of the completeness curve is subject to uncertainty as discussed in Appendix A, it is nevertheless instructive to apply it as a correction to the raw CMF to get an indication of how the true starless CMF may look. The result is shown by the solid red histogram in Fig. 5. We conclude that there is no evidence for a low-mass turnover in the starless CMF in the range surveyed by Herschel and that the overall starless CMF probably continues to rise towards even lower masses. This result means that the apparent turnovers in previous estimates of the Taurus CMF (for example, the submillimetre-based estimate of Sadavoy et al. 2010 and the extinction-based estimate of Schmalzl et al. 2010) were due to the incompleteness of those surveys. In the case of the Sadavoy et al. (2010) survey, some of the incompleteness in the overall starless core population can be attributed to the fact that ground-based 850 $\mu$m observations preferentially select the densest objects, i.e., those most likely to be prestellar, for reasons discussed by Ward-Thompson et al. (in preparation). In any event, the Herschel observations have served to extend the overall starless CMF, as derived from dust emission, by an order of magnitude in mass below that of previous estimates.

In the mass range dominated by unbound cores ($M \lesssim$
The optimal scaling factor is then 1.3, but given the large statistical uncertainties due to the relatively small number of detections of prestellar cores based on the somewhat more relaxed criteria specified in that section. The total number of prestellar cores in the starless sample is therefore somewhere in the range 56–90, representing ~10–20% of the starless core sample. This represents a significant difference with respect to the Aquila region, for which the bound fraction of Herschel cores is ~60% (Könyves et al. 2015). The CMFs of the robust and candidate subsets of bound cores are shown by the solid and dashed blue histograms, respectively, in Fig. 5. There may well be even more prestellar cores whose masses fall below the completeness limit of ~0.15 $M_\odot$. Such a possibility is raised by the previous interferometric detection of a pre-brown dwarf candidate in Ophiuchus/L1688 (André et al. 2012) whose mass, ~0.02 $M_\odot$, falls well below the Herschel completeness limit.

Above the completeness limit, the prestellar CMF is consistent with lognormal form and resembles the Chabrier (2003) estimate of the stellar system IMF, consistent with previous findings for other star-forming regions (Alves et al. 2007; Simpson et al. 2008; André et al. 2010). Those studies did, however, find that to reconcile the CMF with the IMF, scaling was required on the mass axis, and the scaling factor was interpreted in terms of the star formation efficiency based on a simple model in which each core gives rise to one stellar system. We estimate the scaling factor by multiplying the mass axis of the Chabrier (2003) IMF by various factors, and selecting the value which minimises the weighted sum of squares of residuals with respect to the observed CMF. The weighting used is inverse-variance, based on the Poisson error bars of the observed CMF values. The optimal scaling factor is then 1.3, but given the large statistical uncertainties due to the relatively small number of prestellar cores, the data are consistent with values ranging from ~1 to ~2. Hence there is no evidence for star formation efficiencies which differ significantly from the value ~40% reported for Aquila (Könyves et al. 2015). These conclusions are unchanged if the Chabrier (2003) system IMF is replaced by those of Kroupa et al. (2012).

Errors in our estimated CMF can arise from a number of effects. As mentioned earlier, the non-isothermal structure of cores has an effect on masses estimated by the simple SED fitting procedure used here. As discussed by Könyves et al. (2015), the masses can be underestimated by factors ranging from ~20% to ~2 depending on the column density (and hence, indirectly, the mass) of the core. Consequently,

6 THE PRESTELLAR CORE SUBSET

We find that 56 of our 528 starless cores fulfil the requirements for robust classification as gravitationally bound, i.e., prestellar, based on the criteria listed in Section 4. An additional 34 cores may be regarded as candidate (non-robust) detections of prestellar cores based on the somewhat more relaxed criteria specified in that section. The total number of prestellar cores in the starless sample is therefore somewhere in the range 56–90, representing ~10–20% of the starless core sample. This represents a significant difference with respect to the Aquila region, for which the bound fraction of Herschel cores is ~60% (Könyves et al. 2015). The CMFs of the robust and candidate subsets of bound cores are shown by the solid and dashed blue histograms, respectively, in Fig. 5. There may well be even more prestellar cores whose masses fall below the completeness limit of ~0.15 $M_\odot$. Such a possibility is raised by the previous interferometric detection of a pre-brown dwarf candidate in Ophiuchus/L1688 (André et al. 2012) whose mass, ~0.02 $M_\odot$, falls well below the Herschel completeness limit.

Above the completeness limit, the prestellar CMF is consistent with lognormal form and resembles the Chabrier (2003) estimate of the stellar system IMF, consistent with previous findings for other star-forming regions (Alves et al. 2007; Simpson et al. 2008; André et al. 2010). Those studies did, however, find that to reconcile the CMF with the IMF, scaling was required on the mass axis, and the scaling factor was interpreted in terms of the star formation efficiency based on a simple model in which each core gives rise to one stellar system. We estimate the scaling factor by multiplying the mass axis of the Chabrier (2003) IMF by various factors, and selecting the value which minimises the weighted sum of squares of residuals with respect to the observed CMF. The weighting used is inverse-variance, based on the Poisson error bars of the observed CMF values. The optimal scaling factor is then 1.3, but given the large statistical uncertainties due to the relatively small number of prestellar cores, the data are consistent with values ranging from ~1 to ~2. Hence there is no evidence for star formation efficiencies which differ significantly from the value ~40% reported for Aquila (Könyves et al. 2015). These conclusions are unchanged if the Chabrier (2003) system IMF is replaced by those of Kroupa et al. (2012).

Errors in our estimated CMF can arise from a number of effects. As mentioned earlier, the non-isothermal structure of cores has an effect on masses estimated by the simple SED fitting procedure used here. As discussed by Könyves et al. (2015), the masses can be underestimated by factors ranging from ~20% to ~2 depending on the column density (and hence, indirectly, the mass) of the core. Consequently,
the number of cores classified as prestellar is reduced. The masses may be further underestimated due to the underestimation of outer radii for cores with developed power-law wings. More detailed modelling of the temperature and density structure would alleviate these effects, but in the meantime we cannot say conclusively that the prestellar CMF in L1495 is of lognormal form, but only that it is consistent with that form given the current level of uncertainty.

6.1 Relationship to filaments

Herschel observations have provided strong indications of an evolutionary link between filaments and cores (Andrè et al. 2010, 2014), and so it is of interest to ask what fraction of prestellar cores lies on filaments. In this regard Polychroni et al. (2013) found that 71% of prestellar cores in the L1641 cloud of Orion A were located on filaments with $A_V > 5$ mag, leaving a substantial fraction that were not. This may be compared with the early estimate of $> 60\%$ for the fraction on supercritical filaments in Aquila (Andrè et al. 2010) and the more recent (and more robust) estimate of $75.1^{\pm2.5}\%$ by Könyves et al. (2015).

There is no common consensus in the literature regarding the definition of a filament. Most definitions are observationally based and therefore quite subjective. To provide a context for subsequent discussion, we adopt as a working definition the one given by Andrè et al. (2014), i.e., “any elongated ISM structure with an aspect ratio larger than $\sim 5$–10 that is significantly overdense with respect to its surroundings,” but qualify it further by specifying that the elongated structure must be visible directly in a column density image that has not been spatially filtered.

To investigate the situation for L1495, we compare the locations of our inferred prestellar cores with the background filamentary structure extracted using the recently developed getfilaments algorithm (Men’shchikov 2013). The latter is similar to getsources in that it involves filtering at a range of spatial scales. Fig. 6 shows the results obtained from the L1495 column density map. It represents a reconstruction of elongated structures up to a transverse spatial scale of 145″ which, at 140 pc, corresponds to the 0.1 pc characteristic filamentary width found in previous studies (Arzoumanian et al. 2011; Palmeirim et al. 2013). Note that the spatial filtering has suppressed the broad power-law wings revealed by those studies. The wings actually extend considerably further out than the 0.1 pc corresponding to the FWHM of the cross-sectional profile. The spatial filtering does, however, serve to show the smaller scale features superposed on the overall filament structure. These features are largely in the form of threadlike structures referred to as “fibres” by Andrè et al. (2014) who find them to be spatially correlated with the velocity coherent streams of Hacar et al. (2013). We will use the term “filamentary structure” to include both the large-scale filaments and the smaller-scale fibres.

Superposed on Fig. 6 are the core locations. We find that 100% of the prestellar cores are located on filamentary structure with $A_V > 5$ mag, as opposed to 40% for the starless core sample as a whole. A similarly strong correlation was found between YSO locations and filamentary structure in Taurus by Doi et al. (2015). Fig. 7 shows a histogram of total background column density values at the locations of the prestellar cores. It is prominently peaked at $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and indicates that 90% of the column densities exceed $5.9 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. The latter, which may be interpreted as an approximate lower threshold for star formation, is consistent with the value $\sim 7 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ for Aquila (Andrè et al. 2014; Könyves et al. 2015) and also with the threshold found by Goldsmith et al. (2008) for Taurus young stars. If we take 0.1 pc as the mean filamentary width, then the estimated line masses of filamentary structure in the immediate vicinities of prestellar cores throughout L1495 are in the range $32 \pm 15 M_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$. Our mean value is about a factor of two larger than the value $15 M_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$ found by Hacar et al. (2013) using $N_2H^+$ observations, and the value $17 M_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$ found by Schmalzl et al. (2010) based on near-infrared extinction measurements. The reason for the discrepancy is not clear, but it is significant that all three estimates are greater than or equal to the theoretical value of $16 M_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$ for an isothermal cylinder in pressure equilibrium at 10 K (Inutsuka & Miyama 1997). This suggests that all of the prestellar cores in L1495 are located in supercritical filaments, in agreement with the HGBS findings in Aquila (Andrè et al. 2010; Könyves et al. 2015). We note that none of the above estimates includes the contribution of the extended power-law wings whose inclusion further increases the estimated line mass. For example, the line mass integrated over the full filamentary cross section of the B211 filament is estimated to be $54 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ (Palmeirim et al. 2013).

6.2 Relationship to unbound starless cores

While the majority of our starless cores are gravitationally unbound and therefore not prestellar, they nevertheless can provide some important information relevant to star formation. For example, they may represent density enhancements resulting from the interstellar turbulence widely considered to play a dominant role in the determination of the IMF (see, for example, Padoan & Nordlund 2002; Hennebelle & Chabrier 2008). The probability distribution function (PDF) of the gas density produced by supersonic turbulent flow of isothermal gas is well approximated by a lognormal form (Elmegreen & Scalo (2004) and references therein). It is therefore of interest to plot a histogram of estimated density values for the L1495 starless cores, and this histogram is shown in Fig. 8. These densities are mean values, calculated by dividing the mass of each core by its total volume, based on the estimated outer radius, taken to be the deconvolved FWHM of the source. These densities also correspond to the $n(H_2)$ values listed in Table B2 of Appendix B. It is evident that, in sharp contrast to the CMF, the volume density distribution is accurately lognormal except for a tail at high densities. A maximum likelihood fit for $3 \times 10^2 \leq n(H_2) [\text{cm}^{-2}] \leq 3 \times 10^4$ gives a reduced chi squared value of 0.95, indicating complete consistency with a lognormal function.

In deriving Fig. 8 it was necessary to make allowance for incompleteness since low-mass cores ($M < 0.01 M_\odot$) make a significant contribution to the peak of the histogram. We therefore applied the same incompleteness correction as for the starless CMF in Fig. 5. In order to investigate the sensitivity to this correction, we have also derived an alternate version based on a low-mass extrapolation of the “complete” portion of the observed CMF in Fig. 5, the key assumption
Figure 6. The locations of prestellar cores (filled red diamonds) and additional prestellar core candidates (open red diamonds) with respect to filamentary structure, shown with the same field of view as for Fig. 1. The image has been rotated such that equatorial north is 52° anticlockwise from vertical. The filamentary structure represents a limited-scale reconstruction, up to a transverse spatial scale of 0.1 pc, obtained using the *getfilaments* algorithm (Men'shchikov 2013). The greyscale values correspond to the total (unfiltered) background line densities within the boundaries of the reconstructed features, based on an assumed characteristic filamentary width of 0.1 pc, and are truncated at an upper value corresponding to 16 $M_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$ (100% on the greyscale). As a result, the portions in black have a mass per unit length in excess of the approximate threshold for cylindrical stability.

being that the true CMF of unbound starless cores is of power-law form. An almost identical result was obtained, indicating that the shape of the estimated volume density histogram is not strongly dependent on the specific assumptions made regarding incompleteness.

The variance in log density may provide information on the kinetic energy injection mechanism, the Mach number, and the magnetic field (Molina et al. 2012). To compare Fig. 8 with turbulence models, however, it must be borne in mind that what we have plotted is essentially a type of mass-weighted PDF, as opposed to the volume-weighted version usually presented in simulations. More quantitatively, if we make the simplifying assumption that the density is uniform within an individual core (as would be the case for pressure-confined clumps that probably form the bulk of the unbound core distribution) and assume a mass-radius law of the form $M \propto R^k$, then the core density histogram of Fig. 8 can be represented as a PDF, $P_C(\rho)$, which is related to the volume-weighted version, $P_V(\rho)$, by:

5 We do not, of course, assume that all cores have the same density. The distribution of core densities would reflect the range of external pressures.
\[ P_C(\rho) \propto \rho^{3/(3-k)} P_V(\rho) \]

where \( \rho \) is the density. Furthermore, the assumption of log-normal form for \( P_V(\rho) \) means that \( P_C(\rho) \) is also log-normal with the same variance in log density, but with a higher mean density, \( \rho_0 \). It is possible that our density histogram is somewhat narrower than the true mass-weighted PDF since it does not include the low density background component. This is probably not a large effect, however, since in transforming the volume-weighted PDFs (as presented, for example, by Molina et al. 2012) to their mass-weighted counterparts, the low-density background component is deemphasised. Despite this, the variance of the distribution is unchanged by the transformation, as illustrated by Fig. 6 of Ginsburg et al. (2013). This enables Fig. 8 to be compared with previously published simulations and theory.

Using the customary notation \( s \equiv \ln(\rho/\rho_0) \), our observations then yield a standard deviation, \( \sigma_s \), of 1.2 \pm 0.1, which is consistent with simulations of magnetized (MHD) turbulence obtained by Molina et al. (2012) for Mach numbers, \( M_s \), in the range \( \sim 5-10 \). In those simulations, however, unmagnetized supersonic turbulence results in an asymmetric low-density tail to the distribution which is not evident in Fig. 8. The absence of such a tail seems to argue for the moderating influence of a magnetic field.

The above value of \( \sigma_s \) may also be compared with the results of a recent study of the turbulence-driven density distribution in a non-star-forming cloud comprised of G43.17+01 and G43.16–0.03 by Ginsburg et al. (2013), who obtained \( 1.5 \leq \sigma_s < 1.9 \) and used the result to constrain the compressive part of the driving force. The importance of the latter study is that it focused on turbulence at the pre-star-forming stage of the cloud, essentially unaffected by gravity. A more detailed comparison of the PDFs of L1495 and G43.17+01/G43.16–0.03 may therefore provide evolutionary information on the density structures.

The one major deviation from lognormality in Fig. 8 is the presence of the high-density tail in the distribution. We attribute this tail to the gravitational collapse of cores at the high density end. This interpretation is confirmed by restricting the plot to prestellar cores only, as indicated by the dotted line in the figure. Such behaviour is predicted by simulations (Kritsuk et al. 2011; Collins et al. 2012; Federrath & Klessen 2013) which yield model PDFs similar in appearance to Fig. 8.

The core density above which gravity becomes dominant, i.e., the point, \( \rho_{\text{dev}} \), at which the histogram in Fig. 8 deviates from lognormal behaviour, is \( \sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). A similar situation can be inferred in Aquila (Königs et al. 2015), whereby the volume density PDF shows the tentative presence of a power-law tail above \( 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). The importance of the deviation value is that it can be related directly to the background volume density threshold for star formation, \( n_{\text{crit}} \), a quantity which so far has been difficult to obtain observationally (Kainulainen et al. 2014). The relationship is based on the fact that the volume density contrast of a critical B-E sphere over the background is \( \sim 2.4 \) (cf. André et al. 2007). We thereby estimate \( n_{\text{crit}} \sim 4 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

The above value may be compared with the expected threshold based on the collapse of supercritical filaments, as discussed by André et al. (2014). Specifically, the critical line mass of 16 \( M_\odot \text{pc}^{-1} \), together with the characteristic filamentary width of 0.1 pc, implies a critical number density \( n_{\text{crit}} \sim 2 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). This is consistent with our \( n_{\text{crit}} \) estimate, given the factor of \( \sim 2 \) uncertainty in the latter. Our estimate is also consistent with the value \( \sim 3 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) expected in the theoretical picture described by Padoan et al. (2014), but an order of magnitude larger than an observational value, \( (5 \pm 2) \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), obtained by Kainulainen et al. (2014) for other nearby regions via a novel technique involving column density PDFs.

The average logarithmic slope of the high density tail of the histogram in Fig. 8 is \( \sim -1 \), but with considerable uncertainty (values in the range \( -0.8 \) to \( -1.6 \) are consistent with the data). Taking these values together with the power-law index, \( k = 1 \), appropriate to critical B-E spheres, Eq. (3) would imply that the slope of the high density tail of the volume-weighted version, \( P_V(\rho) \), should be in the range \( \sim -2.3 \) to \( -3.1 \). These slopes are somewhat steeper than the theoretical asymptotic value of \( -1.54 \) (Girichidis et al. 2014) and the values suggested by simulations, which fall in the range \( [-7]/4, -3/2 \) (Kritsuk et al. 2011). Further progress in comparing the observational characteristics of prestellar cores with theoretical prediction must await a more detailed analysis. Indeed, a fruitful avenue may be to apply our observational core detection procedure directly to the simulated images produced by the gravo-turbulence models.

Previous analyses of the observationally determined density structure of star-forming regions have focused on PDFs of column density rather than volume density. Those PDFs have been characterised by a lognormal at low column densities which becomes dominated by a power law tail at the high end (Kainulainen et al. 2009; Schneider et al. 2013, 2015; Benedettini et al. 2015). The presence of the lognormal component has, however, been questioned by Lombardi et al. (2015) who argue that column density PDFs of molecular clouds can be accounted for entirely by truncated power laws. Conversely, the reality of some of the power-law components has been questioned by Brunt (2015) who has shown that spurious power-law tails can arise if proper account is not taken of noise and warm background components. The PDF of total column density in L1495, shown by the blue histogram in Fig. 8, does appear to have distinct power-law behaviour for all but the lowest column densities. To interpret the PDF in light of the various possible effects, it is helpful to separate the contributions of starless cores and filamentary structure, by replotting the PDF after subtraction of the filamentary background (the `getsources cleaned background image). The resulting histogram is shown in gold in Fig. 9. It represents the column density PDF associated with compact sources on size scales \( \lesssim 4' \) and is seen to be qualitatively similar to the PDF of volume density in Fig. 8. In particular, the high density tail in both cases is fully accounted for by the prestellar cores which have been identified via source extraction and whose contribution is shown by the blue histogram in Fig. 9. These tails, therefore, cannot be attributed to artifacts of the type discussed by Brunt (2015).

With regard to the histogram of total column density, Fig. 9 shows that the cores themselves do not account for the highest column densities in the region—the filamentary background is important also, as illustrated by the green histogram in that figure. Although the peak column densities occur at the locations of prestellar cores, the filamentary
contribution is dominant at those locations, a situation similar to that in Aquila (Kónyves et al. 2015).

7 CONCLUSIONS

The principal conclusions from this study can be summarized as follows:

(i) We have obtained a census of prestellar cores in L1495 (total = 56) which is ~ 85 % complete for $M/M_\odot > 0.1$;

(ii) The overall starless CMF is not well represented by a lognormal function and shows no evidence of a low-mass turnover. Instead, it is consistent with power-law behaviour similar to that shown by clumps seen in various Isotopologues of CO;

(iii) The prestellar CMF of L1495 resembles the stellar system IMF as has been found previously for other star-forming regions, albeit with rather low number statistics;

(iv) All of the inferred prestellar cores lie on filamentary structure and the associated background column density distribution is sharply peaked near the critical value for cylindrical stability against gravitational collapse;

(v) The PDF of volume density for starless cores is consistent with the effect of self gravity on magnetized supersonic turbulence. Indeed, it is characterised by a lognormal except for the presence of a high density tail attributable entirely to collapsing prestellar cores. Note that this conclusion applies to the starless core population only and does not include the contribution of the filamentary background.
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APPENDIX A: ESTIMATION OF CATALOGUE COMPLETENESS

The completeness of starless core detection may be estimated by repeating the full source extraction and source classification procedure using simulated data for a set of model cores whose spatial distribution, spatial density, and physical parameters resemble those in the actual L1495 cloud. In doing so, one must consider that the detectability of a core is not fully characterised by its mass. The mean cloud. In doing so, one must consider that the detectability of a core is not fully characterised by its mass. The mean cloud.

The completeness of starless core detection may be estimated by repeating the full source extraction and source classification procedure using simulated data for a set of model cores whose spatial distribution, spatial density, and physical parameters resemble those in the actual L1495 cloud. In doing so, one must consider that the detectability of a core is not fully characterised by its mass. The mean cloud.
terised by median central density values of approximately 

Three populations of bound cores were generated, characterised by the point at which self-gravity becomes dominant. The continuous sequence that includes prestellar cores. The latter may therefore provide useful constraints on population which is more diffuse. We have therefore modelled the completeness of those two object types separately.

Following Könyves et al. (2015), we have modelled the bound (prestellar) cores as critical B-E spheres. The radial temperature distribution was determined from a dust radiative transfer model (Men’shchikov et al., in preparation). Three populations of bound cores were generated, characterized by median central density values of approximately $4 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ (low density case), $2 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ (moderate density case), and $6 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ (high density case). Also shown for comparison are the observational values for the extracted sources, represented by the coloured symbols. These are divided into prestellar cores (blue filled circles), and gravitationally unbound cores (open circles, where green and gold designate those seen against high and low column density backgrounds, respectively, based on a threshold of $A_V = 7$ mag). For reference, the horizontal dotted line represents the approximate flux density sensitivity limit.

![Figure A1](image_url) The 250 μm flux densities of observed and model cores as a function of mass. Black symbols represent the model prestellar cores used in the completeness simulation, taken to be critical B-E spheres. They are plotted for three density regimes, indicated by triangles (low density case), open circles (moderate density case) and squares (high density case). Also shown for comparison are the observational values for the extracted sources, represented by the coloured symbols. These are divided into prestellar cores (blue filled circles), and gravitationally unbound cores (open circles, where green and gold designate those seen against high and low column density backgrounds, respectively, based on a threshold of $A_V = 7$ mag). For reference, the horizontal dotted line represents the approximate flux density sensitivity limit.

It is, of course, possible that the detected objects are not representative of the entire population of unbound starless cores. For example, the detection limit in Fig. 4 leaves open the possibility of a large population of cool objects of low mass. Likewise, we cannot rule out the existence of a substantial population of objects of very low density contrast, at all sizes, which are not detected by *getsources*. In order to provide a meaningful definition of completeness for unbound starless cores, we therefore need a more precise observational definition. So as a working set of criteria, we take such objects to be gravitationally unbound condensations whose sizes are approximately consistent with the Larson (1981) mass-radius relation and whose dust temperatures are consistent with the mass-temperature behaviour shown in Fig. 4. We have made an assessment of the detection completeness of such objects using simulations based on empirically determined values of physical parameters. The latter were based on the following functional forms, adapted from Marsh et al. (2014), for the radial dependence of molecular hydrogen number density, $n(r)$, and dust temperature, $T(r)$, assuming spherical symmetry:

$$n(r) = n_0 / [1 + (r/r_0)^2]$$

$$T(r) = T_0 + (T_{\text{out}} - T_0) r / r_{\text{out}}$$

where $r_0$ represents the radius of an inner plateau, $r_{\text{out}}$ is the outer radius of the core, $T_0$ is the central core temperature, and $T_{\text{out}}$ is the temperature at the outer radius. In relating $n(r)$ to the corresponding profile of mass density, we assumed a mean molecular weight, $\mu$, of 2.8 (Roy et al. 2014). The assumed mass distribution was based on the Kroupa (2001) IMF, and the slope of the mass-radius relation was taken as 1.9 (Larson 1981). The relative values of $r_0$ and $r_{\text{out}}$ and their statistical dispersions were based on the results of Marsh et al. (2014). The temperatures $T_0$ and $T_{\text{out}}$ were also based on the latter results, with a constant value of 11 K assumed for $T_{\text{out}}$. The emergent intensity was then calculated using the opacity law given by Eq. (1).

Using the above source models, we have constructed synthetic images for 384 critical B-E cores and 600 additional objects whose parameter values were based on empirical data. The latter subset was designed to represent the general unbound starless population. Each model image was convolved with the *Herschel* beam at the corresponding wavelength using published beam data. Specifically, the PACS beams were obtained by azimuthal averag-
ing the observationally determined PSFs from Lutz (2012). For SPIRE, azimuthally symmetric PSFs were obtained using the radial profiles from Griffin et al. (2013). The model images were then sprinkled onto noisy backgrounds corresponding to the getsources cleaned background images (Men'shchikov et al. 2012) at the five wavelengths. They were distributed randomly over the portions of the image dominated by dense filamentary material which, for the empirically-modelled objects, were taken as the regions in which the 250 µm background intensity exceeded 57 MJy sr⁻¹ after applying the Planck offset correction. This intensity level corresponds to AV ≤ 5 mag over most of the region. The B-E population, on the other hand, was distributed over the regions for which the local column density exceeded 5 × 10²¹ cm⁻² (AV > 5 mag). Fig. A2 shows the simulated 250 µm image together with the locations of the model sources.

Having thus generated synthetic images at the five wavelengths for the same 4° × 2° field as the Herschel observations, the same source detection and classification procedure, as discussed in Section 2, was used to produce a set of core candidates—their locations are also indicated in Fig. A2. Comparison of recovered sources with the “truth” table from the simulations enabled completeness curves to be derived. These curves were derived separately for the unbound and bound cores and the results are shown in Fig. A3. In the case of unbound cores, the completeness curve is representative of moderate backgrounds for which AV ≤ 5 mag. The error bars represent Poisson errors only and do not incorporate the additional effects of model errors which are difficult to quantify. But subject to that caveat, we estimate that our census of unbound cores is ~ 85% complete for M/M⊙ > 0.015 at AV ≤ 5 mag.

For the prestellar cores we have compared the results of our numerical simulation with the predicted completeness obtained from the model described in Appendix B.2 of Könyves et al. (2015), which is based on critical B-E spheres. The predicted curve for L1495 is indicated by the black dashed curve in Fig. A3 and is seen to be quite consistent with the simulation. Since this curve is model-based it represents true mass as opposed to observationally derived mass, the latter of which may be systematically underestimated by up to a factor of 2 as discussed in Section 6. Based on these results we estimate that the census of bound cores is ~ 85% complete for M/M⊙ > 0.1.

APPENDIX B: THE CATALOGUE AND DERIVED CORE PROPERTIES

Tables B1 and B2 show example portions of the dense core catalogue and the derived properties for dense cores, respectively. The complete tables are available in the on-line version of the paper. The quoted values of integrated flux for non-detections are, in some cases, negative, particularly at 70 µm wavelength. Such values, in conjunction with their standard deviations, convey statistical information necessary for optimal fitting of SEDs using the maximum likelihood criterion. They can also be physically meaningful since, e.g., starless cores can be seen in absorption against a warmer background at 70 µm.

In addition to starless cores, both tables contain entries for dense cores in which protostars are embedded. For present purposes, an embedded core is defined as one in which a significant compact source of 70 µm emission is present within its 50% column density contour. Their derived properties are more uncertain and their masses may be underestimated by a factor of ~ 2. We detect 10 such objects in L1495. They are not considered further in the present work, but will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

The rightmost column of Table B1 indicates matches with objects listed in the SIMBAD database and includes several previous surveys of dense cores. In most cases, those surveys were made at lower resolution and typically have blended the core either with neighbouring cores or with associated background filamentary structure. One example is LDN 1495N, whose mass and radius are listed as 1.85 M⊙.
Figure A3. Catalogue completeness as a function of mass based on simulated data for unbound cores and bound (prestellar) cores, represented by the red and blue curves, respectively. Error bars represent Poisson statistics only. The curve for unbound cores is representative of moderate ($A_V \lesssim 5$ mag) backgrounds. In that regime, low mass unbound cores ($M/M_\odot < 0.1$) are more easily detectable than their prestellar counterparts due to their systematically higher temperatures. The simulated completeness for prestellar cores may be compared with the expected behaviour based on the model described by Könyves et al. (2015), indicated by the black dashed curve.

and 0.07 pc, respectively, in the NH$_3$ survey of Benson & Myers (1989), whereas the corresponding Herschel values are 1.39 $M_\odot$ and 0.050 pc, respectively. More extreme examples are to be found in comparisons with the C$^{18}$O catalogue of Onishi et al. (1996), in which objects 2, 3, and 11 have masses in the range 16.6–46.0 $M_\odot$, considerably in excess of their Herschel counterparts. A closer correspondence is found between our Herschel cores and the H$^{13}$CO$^+$ cores of Onishi et al. (2002), as discussed by Marsh et al. (2014).

Comments in the far right column of Table B2 indicate failed SED fits (see Section 4). They also indicate cases in which the source was spatially unresolved in column density. In those cases, the mean peak column densities and volume densities were derived using the observed source size only.
Table B1. Catalogue of dense cores in L1495. The full table is presented in the on-line version of the paper. A portion is reproduced here to show form and content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source number</th>
<th>RA2000</th>
<th>Dec2000</th>
<th>(\text{Sig}_{70})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{250})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{500})</th>
<th>(\text{conv,500})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{500})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{70})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{250})</th>
<th>(\text{PA}_{250})</th>
<th>(\text{Cont'd})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>040924.1+284723</td>
<td>+28 47 23</td>
<td>-2.81 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-5.55 ± 0.02</td>
<td>5.41 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8.07 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.02</td>
<td>29 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>041024.2+281925</td>
<td>+28 19 25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td>29 ± 27</td>
<td>59 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>041335.4+282117</td>
<td>+28 21 17</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.02</td>
<td>6.79 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-3.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.61 ± 0.02</td>
<td>143 ± 116</td>
<td>153 ± 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452</td>
<td>041840.7+281816</td>
<td>+28 18 16</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.02</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>3.49 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.01</td>
<td>9 ± 8</td>
<td>159 ± 159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source number</th>
<th>RA2000</th>
<th>Dec2000</th>
<th>(\text{Sig}_{160})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{160})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{160}/\text{bg})</th>
<th>(\text{conv,160})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{160})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{250})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{500})</th>
<th>(\text{PA}_{500})</th>
<th>(\text{Cont'd})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.84±01</td>
<td>2.6±02</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>5.06±01</td>
<td>1.02±00</td>
<td>8.1±02</td>
<td>56 ± 35</td>
<td>56 ± 8.8</td>
<td>2.13±01</td>
<td>3.5±02</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.87±02</td>
<td>2.2±02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.47±02</td>
<td>1.3±02</td>
<td>3.8±02</td>
<td>20 ± 17</td>
<td>69 ± 8.1</td>
<td>1.97±01</td>
<td>3.0±02</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.87±03</td>
<td>2.3±02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.44±02</td>
<td>1.6±02</td>
<td>1.1±01</td>
<td>176 ± 53</td>
<td>86 ± 18.8</td>
<td>6.37±01</td>
<td>5.4±02</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>827</td>
<td>2.13±01</td>
<td>4.5±01</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>2.3±01</td>
<td>2.06±01</td>
<td>5.3±01</td>
<td>14 ± 14</td>
<td>90 ± 67.0</td>
<td>1.06±01</td>
<td>5.5±01</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source number</th>
<th>RA2000</th>
<th>Dec2000</th>
<th>(\text{Sig}_{250})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{250})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{250}/\text{bg})</th>
<th>(\text{conv,250})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{250})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{500})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{70})</th>
<th>(\text{PA}_{70})</th>
<th>(\text{Cont'd})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2.72±01</td>
<td>6.9±02</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>4.25±01</td>
<td>1.17±00</td>
<td>1.2±01</td>
<td>50 ± 41</td>
<td>134 ± 13.8</td>
<td>2.34±01</td>
<td>5.8±02</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>2.86±01</td>
<td>5.3±02</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.31±01</td>
<td>1.05±00</td>
<td>1.4±00</td>
<td>34 ± 25</td>
<td>68 ± 14.0</td>
<td>1.2±00</td>
<td>7.3±02</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>9.26±01</td>
<td>7.6±02</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.73±00</td>
<td>1.06±01</td>
<td>2.8±01</td>
<td>81 ± 68</td>
<td>168 ± 41.4</td>
<td>9.5±01</td>
<td>7.4±02</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.6</td>
<td>5.24±01</td>
<td>7.2±01</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>5.21±00</td>
<td>4.4±00</td>
<td>6.6±01</td>
<td>25 ± 25</td>
<td>147 ± 157.5</td>
<td>2.3±01</td>
<td>8.2±01</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source number</th>
<th>RA2000</th>
<th>Dec2000</th>
<th>(\text{Sig}_{500})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{500})</th>
<th>(\text{pk}_{500}/\text{bg})</th>
<th>(\text{conv,500})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{500})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{70})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{250})</th>
<th>(\text{PA}_{250})</th>
<th>(\text{Cont'd})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>56 ± 35</td>
<td>119 ± 56</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>53 ± 18</td>
<td>41 ± 3</td>
<td>3 ± 0</td>
<td>3 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>22 ± 18</td>
<td>157 ± 5</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a** Source number in catalogue.
**b** Source name. The full name consists of the prefix “HGBS_J” followed directly by the tabulated label created from J2000 sexagesimal coordinates.
**c** Detection significance from monochromatic single scales in the image at the specified wavelength. Non-detections are labelled as 0.0.
**d** Estimate of the peak intensity.
**e** Uncertainty in peak intensity.
**f** Contrast of the peak intensity over the local background.
**g** Peak intensity after smoothing to a 367.3 beam.
**h** Estimate of the total flux and its uncertainty.
**i** Uncertainty in total flux.
**j** Estimate of source size (FWHM) along major axis.
**k** Estimate of source size (FWHM) along minor axis.
**l** Position angle of major axis, east of north.
**m** Detection significance from single scales on high-resolution column density map.
**n** Peak column density.
**o** Contrast of peak column density over the local background.
**p** Peak column density after smoothing to a 367.3 beam.
**q** Local background column density.
**r** FWHM along major axis in column density.
**s** FWHM along minor axis in column density.
**t** Position angle of major axis in column density.
**u** Number of Herschel bands in which the source is significant \(\text{Sig}_{A} > 5\) and has a positive flux density, excluding the column density plane.
**v** Equal to 1 if source found independently by CSAR, and 0 otherwise.
**w** 1=starless(unbound); 2=prestellar; 3=candidate prestellar; 4=dense core with embedded protostar.
**x** SIMBAD association: ID of nearest match if within 1° of Herschel position. A key to the abbreviations used is given in the online version.
Table B2. Derived properties of dense cores in L1495. The full table is presented in the on-line version of the paper. A portion is reproduced here to show form and content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Src$^a$</th>
<th>Source name$^b$</th>
<th>RA2000</th>
<th>Dec2000</th>
<th>$(N_H^{\text{col}})^{c}$</th>
<th>$(R_{\text{col}})^{d}$</th>
<th>$(M_c)^{e}$</th>
<th>$(\sigma T_d)^{g}$</th>
<th>$(N_{H^2}^{pk})^{i}$</th>
<th>$(N_{H^2}^{\text{dec}})^{j}$</th>
<th>$(\bar{n}_{H^2}^{pk})^{k}$</th>
<th>$(\bar{n}_{H^2}^{\text{dec}})^{l}$</th>
<th>$(\bar{n}_{H_2}^{\text{obs}})^{m}$</th>
<th>$(\bar{n}_{H_2}^{\text{dec}})^{n}$</th>
<th>$(\alpha_{BE})^{o}$</th>
<th>Core Comments</th>
<th>Core type$^p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>040924.1+284723</td>
<td>04 09 24.10</td>
<td>+28 47 23</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>041042.8+281925</td>
<td>04 10 42.84</td>
<td>+28 19 25</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>041335.4+282117</td>
<td>04 13 35.45</td>
<td>+28 21 17</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>041840.7+281916</td>
<td>04 18 40.67</td>
<td>+28 19 16</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a  Source number in catalogue
b  Source name. The full name consists of the prefix “HGBSΓJ” followed directly by the tabulated label created from J2000 sexagesimal coordinates.
c  Core radius as observed, derived from the high-resolution (18''2) column density map, assuming 140 pc distance.
d  Core radius, beam-deconvolved; derived from the high-resolution (18''2) column density map, assuming 140 pc distance.
e  Estimated core mass.
f  Uncertainty in core mass.
g  Estimated dust temperature.
h  Uncertainty in dust temperature.
i  Peak H$_2$ column density at the resolution of the 500 µm data, derived from a modified blackbody SED fit to the core peak flux densities measured in a common 36''3 beam at all wavelengths.
j  Average column density, derived from the estimated mass and radius before deconvolution.
k  Average column density, derived from the estimated mass and radius after deconvolution.
l  Beam-averaged peak volume density at the resolution of the 500 µm data, derived from the peak column density on the basis of an assumed Gaussian source profile, using: $n_{pk}^{H_2} = \sqrt{4 \ln 2 / \pi} \cdot N_{H_2}^{pk} / FWHM_{500}$. 
m  Average volume density, based on the estimated radius before deconvolution, using: $\bar{n}_{H_2}^{\text{obs}} = M_c / (4/3 \pi R_c^3 \mu m_H)$, where $\mu = 2.8$. 
n  Average volume density, based on the estimated radius after deconvolution, but otherwise using the same equation.
o  Bonnor-Ebert mass ratio, $\alpha_{BE} = M_{BE}/M_c$ (see Section 4). 
p  1=starless(unbound); 2=prestellar; 3=candidate prestellar; 4=dense core with embedded protostar.