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V-band “Bull's eye” antenna for CubeSat applications

Clement J. Vourch and Timothy D. Drysdale

Abstract— We simulate and measure the radiation pattern of a V-band “Bull's eye” antenna for communication between CubeSats. We also propose a modification that allows the beam direction to be arbitrarily chosen over a ±20° range, useful for swarms where CubeSats must communicate with more than one neighbour. The “Bull's eye” antenna offers high gain in a low profile, which makes it readily integrable into a CubeSat chassis. We study the relationship between the number of indented rings, and overall gain, for emission along the boresight, finding seven rings is optimal. Anechoic chamber pattern measurements show good agreement between measurement and simulation for both E-plane and H-plane. The simulated gain is 19.1dBi at the intended operating frequency of 60GHz, and is better than 16.7dBi over the 5.06GHz bandwidth, with a 19.7dBi peak gain, and the resonant frequency is centered at 60.08GHz with S11 parameter of -55.4dB. We simulate designs with centre-shifted rings, indicating smoothly increasing beam deflection with ring offset, and maximum deflection of 19.8° (16.1°) in E-plane (H-plane) at the maximum shift of one indentation width.

Index Terms—Low-profile antenna, CubeSat antenna, inter-satellite communication, bull's eye, beam deflection.

I. INTRODUCTION

CubeSats are small satellites that will eventually operate in swarms by using point-to-point or multipoint-to-point communication in order to spread the risks, costs and tasks of the mission (e.g. Edison Demonstration of Smallsat Networks (EDSN), and the European CubeSat Project QB50 [1]). An inter-CubeSat communication system should support high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network. Compact, inexpensive chipsets are emerging for high data rates, e.g. uncompressed video, between the nodes of the network.

For our “Bull’s eye” antenna, we chose the frequency of operation to be 60GHz so as to match both the inter-satellite communication band (59-71GHz) and the measurement capabilities of our equipment (<67GHz). Moreover, typical 60GHz unlicensed band chipsets offer 500-1500MHz bandwidth per channel. 60GHz was hence a good trade-off for the center frequency of our antenna.

The dimensions of the antenna are illustrated in Fig. 1, where the side length \(L_{\text{side}}\) and the thickness \(h\) of the plate are respectively 100mm and 3.2mm, with 10mm x 10 mm cut-outs in the four corners to match the CubeSat chassis.

![Fig. 1. Dimensions of the “Bull’s eye” antenna](image-url)

In this Paper, we present the design, simulation and measurement of a “Bull’s eye” antenna for CubeSat applications. We advance the state-of-the-art by demonstrating fabrication using readily available workshop equipment, directly comparing measured beam pattern with simulation, and quantifying the relationship between number of rings and overall gain. We further show that the main beam can be deflected by progressively offsetting (shifting) the centre of the rings. Until recently directive antennas were not preferred on CubeSat mission but latest commercial Altitude Determination and Control Systems exhibit accurate pointing specifications [7]. Hence, in order to fully exploit the large bandwidth (which comes at a price of lower receiver sensitivity) yet keep an extended communication distance, a directive antenna is seen as a good solution for an inter-CubeSat communication scenario.

II. DESIGN AND SIMULATION

For our “Bull’s eye” antenna, we chose the frequency of operation to be 60GHz so as to match both the inter-satellite communication band (59-71GHz) and the measurement capabilities of our equipment (<67GHz). Moreover, typical 60GHz unlicensed band chipsets offer 500-1500MHz bandwidth per channel. 60GHz was hence a good trade-off for the center frequency of our antenna.

The dimensions of the antenna are illustrated in Fig. 1, where the side length \(L_{\text{side}}\) and the thickness \(h\) of the plate are respectively 100mm and 3.2mm, with 10mm x 10 mm cut-outs in the four corners to match the CubeSat chassis.
Starting from a scaled version of the antenna presented in [8], the dimensions were adjusted by hand until simulations showed they produced maximum gain within these constraints. Simulations were conducted using CST Microwave Studio’s frequency domain solver.

The resulting optimized dimensions are: the diameter of the central circular raised region $D$ is 7.12 mm. The period of the corrugated structure is 4.53 mm, with the width of low rings $R_{\text{indented}}=2.67$ mm and the width of high rings $R_{\text{high}}=1.86$ mm. The depth of the indented rings is 0.91 mm.

The antenna is fed by a central sub-wavelength aperture, which is coupled to a WR-15 rectangular waveguide on the back side. The central aperture would ideally have a rectangular cross section the same as the waveguide, but this is difficult to achieve with standard milling tools. The ethos of CubeSats is to reduce the cost of entering space, so we adopted a rounded rectangular shape which could be made using a 0.5 mm diameter milling tool. So as to improve the match, the dimensions of the rounded rectangle were optimized under the constraints it had to be 3.2 mm long due to the thickness of the aluminum plate. The sub-wavelength aperture has hence a length $L$ of 3.4 mm, a width $W$ of 0.53 mm, and rounded edges (0.265 mm radius).

The number of indented rings is an important parameter [9]. As shown Table I, the gain reaches almost asymptotically a maximum value that is a consequence of finite surface wave propagation distances, in the presence of the rings, which act as scatters. A simple sub-wavelength aperture has a gain of 6.44 dBi, which improves to 18.2 dBi if ten indented rings are added, where ten is the maximum number of indented rings a standard 100 mm x 100 mm face of a CubeSat can contain. The maximum gain is 19.6 dBi with eight rings. The gain per additional ring falls below 1 dB between six and nine rings, suggesting seven rings as a good compromise between size and performance. Moreover, with a seven-ring structure, more than one antenna can fit per CubeSat side which could eventually lead to separate uplink and downlink channels. For these reasons we chose to characterize a seven-ring structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of indented rings</th>
<th>Gain (dBi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulated $S11$ parameter and gain are plotted in Fig. 2. The $S11$ parameter shows a resonant frequency at 60.08 GHz with a level of -55.4 dB. The bandwidth at -10 dB is 5.06 GHz (8.4%), between 57.99 GHz and 63.05 GHz. The $S11$ parameter at 60 GHz is -38.0 dB. The resonant frequency of the antenna lies within the region of maximum gain.

The gain ranges between 16.7 dBi and 19.7 dBi within the 5.06 GHz bandwidth (-10 dB bandwidth between 57.99 GHz and 63.05 GHz). At 60 GHz, the gain reaches 19.1 dBi.

![Fig. 3. A 6 GHz 6-indented ring “Bull’s Eye” antenna mounted on CubeSat chassis (antenna shown is an earlier iteration with overall similar dimensions, albeit only six indented rings)](image326x149 to 563x355)

III. FABRICATION AND MEASUREMENT

In order to evaluate the antenna performance, a “Bull’s eye” structure was fabricated in aluminum with seven indented rings, using a 3-axis XYZ SM2000 CNC machine. This type of antenna mounts onto the face of a CubeSat chassis, as shown in Fig. 3.
antenna where the field strength is negligible. The chassis was removed for the measurement for this reason, and to allow direct comparison with simulation.

The E-plane and H-plane far-field radiation patterns were recorded separately, with the AUT mounted on a rotating stage, taking measurements of the S21 parameter from -100° to 100° every 2° using a linearly polarized 23dBi horn antenna (Custom Microwave, Inc., model HO15R), separated by 110cm (220λ), and an Agilent PNA (E8361A) with 500Hz IF bandwidth and averaging of 10 points per sample. In practice, despite the large aperture, this gives a far-field pattern.

![E-plane and H-plane radiation patterns](image)

**Fig. 4.** Measured and simulated, E-plane (a) and H-plane (b), radiation pattern

Figure 4 shows good agreement between the simulation and the measurement of the radiation pattern for both the E-plane and the H-plane. From -80° to +80°, the E-plane radiation pattern measurement shows good agreement with error smaller than 2.71dB, and very good agreement for the main beam pattern between -8° and +8° with error smaller than 1.91dB.

At ±64°, the H-plane radiation pattern measurement shows additional lobes at -23dB, which we attribute to the dielectric mounting used to hold the antenna during measurement (which extends further out than CubeSat would). However a very good agreement can be noticed between -30° and +30° with a maximum error of 1.96dB.

These results give us a good confidence with regard to the behaviour of the antenna at the frequency of interest.

**IV. CENTER-SHIFTED RINGS FOR BEAM DEFLECTION**

By taking advantage of the behavior of the composite diffracted evanescent wave [10], we were able to design and simulate “Bull’s eye” antennas with center-shifted rings in order to alter the main beam direction [11]. The angle of the main beam and gain is plotted Fig. 5 (a) and Fig. 6 (a) for E- and H-plane shifts. The parameter Shift is the offset of the first raised ring. Subsequent rings are shifted such that the nth ring is shifted by n x Shift. Rindented is the initial width of the low ring (2.67mm). For reduced computing time, we used five indented rings and CST Microwave Studio’s transient solver.

![Simulated angle (*) and gain (dBi) of the main beam according to the shift of the centre of the rings along the H-plane (a), and normalized radiation pattern for different values of Shift (0, 1, 2 and 2.67mm) (b)](image)

**Fig. 5.** Simulated angle (*) and gain (dBi) of the main beam according to the shift of the centre of the rings along the H-plane (a), and normalized radiation pattern for different values of Shift (0, 1, 2 and 2.67mm) (b); Inset table: E- and H-plane angle according to the Shift parameter
For ring shifting along the E-plane, the beam deflection ranges from $0^\circ$ to $16.1^\circ$ and the gain tends to decrease, going from $18.4\, \text{dBi}$ to $13.8\, \text{dBi}$, as shown in Fig. 5 (a,b).

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 6.** Simulated angle ($^\circ$) and gain (dBi) of the main beam according to the shift of the centre of the rings along the E-plane (a), and normalized radiation patterns for different values of Shift ($0, 1, 2$ and $2.67\, \text{mm}$) (b). Inset table: 3dB beamwidths for E- and H-plane patterns shown in Fig. 5 (b) and Fig. 6 (b).

For ring shifting along the H-plane, the beam deflection ranges from $0^\circ$ to $16.1^\circ$ and the gain decreases smoothly from $18.4\, \text{dBi}$ to $15.2\, \text{dBi}$, as shown in Fig. 5 (a,b).

These results show the first beam deflection at microwave frequency using a "Bull’s eye" antenna and highlight the flexibility of this antenna.

V. CONCLUSION

We designed, fabricated and characterized a low-profile antenna suitable for V-band communication between CubeSats, and simulated a novel approach to altering the direction of the main beam. The antenna is $3.2\, \text{mm}$ thick and, thus, can conveniently integrate onto the outside of the CubeSat. We used a rounded edge feedthrough to permit fabrication by a milling machine.

We studied the effect of adding additional rings to a subwavelength aperture structure, finding that seven indented rings was a good compromise between gain and area (total diameter of $66.8\, \text{mm}$). In terms of gain, there are diminishing returns for adding more than seven indented rings. Our E-plane (H-plane) measurements agreed to better than $2.71\, \text{dBi}$ $(4.68\, \text{dBi})$ over $\pm 60^\circ$, and the gain was better than $16.7\, \text{dBi}$ over the $5.06\, \text{GHz}$ bandwidth.

We proposed and simulated a modification (shifted rings) which allows arbitrary choice of main beam direction from $0^\circ$ to $19.8^\circ$ ($0^\circ$-$16.1^\circ$) for E-plane (H-plane). The 3dB bandwidth of the pattern was similar at all deflection angles, $6.3$-$7.5^\circ$ (13.0-$14.4^\circ$) for E-plane (H-plane). Our approach offers more flexibility in CubeSat swarm design by allowing communication between CubeSats that are not directly facing each other along the normal to their chassis faces.
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