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Introduction
The technique of data assimilation is employed in a novel way for a planetary atmosphere to perform a complete spatial and temporal assimilation of atmospheric constituent data over periods of several Mars years. Observations of martian atmospheric constituents, generally made from orbiting spacecraft, are often sparse and incomplete. A global circulation model can be used to predict the transport, phase changes, and chemical reactions that these species undergo. If constrained by observations, it can then provide a consistent interpolation to unobserved regions and, in principle, a useful a priori for future retrievals. Furthermore, any consistent misfit between the model predictions and new observations can be used to identify potentially important physical processes that are missing from the model, including inferring the presence and location of sources and sinks.

Data Assimilation
Data assimilation is the combination of observations and models, which provide physical constraints and propagate the observational information that is introduced. This offers some significant potential advantages for the analysis of atmospheric data from other planets [4]. Thermal and dust opacity observations have been successfully assimilated over a period of about eight Mars Years (MY), including data from the Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES) aboard NASA Mars Global Surveyor (5, 6) in MY24–27 and Mars Climate Sounder (MCS), which include ozone retrievals from NASA Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) in MY28–31.

Previous work has focused on assimilation of temperature and total column dust opacity into a Mars global circulation model (MGCM), which includes the option of a coupled photochemical model [2, 3]. We now add assimilation of water vapour, water cloud aerosol and chemical species. Results shown in this poster for water vapour are for MY24–25 and for water ice and ozone are for MY30.

Below: dust absorption optical depth at 9.3 μm, normalised to 810 Pa and averaged over longitude. This should be multiplied by about 2.6 to get a broadband visible dust total extinction. The data here are from [7], assimilation gives similar zonally- and diurnally-averaged results.

Ozone Assimilation
The Mars Color Imager (MARCI) [1] aboard MRO provides near-daily global mapping of ozone column concentration. These data were used alongside MGS temperature and dust opacity observations, which help to ensure a realistic atmospheric dynamical state. Ozone has been successfully assimilated into the MGCM and can be shown to improve the model’s predictive capability, although the system generally retains information from observations over only a short period. This is in contrast with results for high spatial and photographic ozone in daylight. This is less of a problem in polar regions around winter, and assimilation of ozone is able to highlight differences in the structure of the martian polar vortex when compared to a control model run.

Water Ice Assimilation
We have assimilated MGS data, which includes vertical profile information [10]. This can be challenging since the MGCM rapidly converts between water vapour and ice.

Right: zonal-mean water ice opacity fields from the assimilation procedure around northern hemisphere summer solstice with GCM’s summer convective state.

Below: zonal-mean longwave heating rates around northern (a,d) summer, (b,e) autumn, and (c,f) winter, and for local times of 3 am (a–c) and 3 pm (d–f). Black contours show the assimilated ice opacity. Tropical clouds result in additional local heating during the day and cooling at night. Heating due to tropical atmospheric temperatures increase to 10–15 K around 20 km altitude. Polar haze clouds have a smaller radiative impact.

Clouds also have an indirect impact on the atmosphere by strengthening the overturning circulation, leading to an increase in temperatures over the pole by around 0–6 K at 60–90 km altitude, transporting additional ice, leading to temperature increase in the tropics of around 2 K.

Conclusions
The data set resulting from a constituent assimilation allows a detailed study of the atmospheric state that is not possible using observations or models alone. The MGCM has the ability to transport many independent tracers, so a wide variety of photochemically active and passive trace species can be assimilated simultaneously as observations become available.

Chemical data assimilation is a relatively new area of Mars research. Assimilation of even a single chemical species can provide constraints on other observed constituents and provide estimates for unobserved constituents. Chemical rate coefficients, primarily from laboratory experiments, can be tested by reconciling observational datasets and theoretical models. The assimilation of such observations should lead to improved in martian chemical models and better use of present and future observations, such as those from 2016 ESA ExoMars Trace Gas-Orbiter.
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