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Aim

• Investigate the writing of L1 Chinese and L1 English students in three disciplines (Biological Sciences, Economics and Engineering).

Outline

1. Establish that there are differences in use of visuals
2. Investigate tutors’ views on this
3. Explore EAP tutors’ views
Deficit approach

- student writing is ‘remedial’, ‘immature’ and contains ‘problems’ or ‘errors’ - especially L2 English student writing (Chen and Baker, 2010; Paquot, 2010)

Vs.

Academic literacies approach

- writing within the academy is a set of social practices in which genre, context and culture are highly significant
- highlights ‘the variety and specificity of institutional practices, and students’ struggles to make sense of these’ (Lea and Street, 2006: 376).
- All student writers are in a constant struggle to establish the preferred ways of making meaning within their particular context (e.g. Lillis, 2006).
The data

The corpora

- British Academic Written English (BAWE) & beyond
- All proficient writing
- 58 texts from L1 Chinese students (107,000 words)
- 202 texts from L1 English students (429,000 words)

Interviews & questionnaires

- Interviews with 18 lecturers in Biological Sciences, Economics and Engineering in 6 UK universities
- Questionnaire responses from 200+ teachers of EAP (English for Academic Purposes)
- Interviews with students - ongoing

ESRC project number
RES-000-23-0800
A year 3 Engineering assignment
The role of maternal effect genes in the development of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans

ABSTRACT

Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) has been used as one of the favourite model organisms for developmental studies. Embryogenesis of C. elegans extensively relies on maternal effect genes for intrinsically asymmetric cell division and cell-cell interactions. In this review, the early embryogenesis of C. elegans, from the establishment of Anterior-Posterior polarity initiated by sperm entry to the asymmetrical cell divisions and different cell lineages induced by a variety of cell fate determinants is summarized, some of the molecular mechanisms carried out by the crucial maternally expressed cell fate determinants underlying these processes are described.

INTRODUCTION

The C. elegans and its life cycle

Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) is a small (~1 mm long) free-living soil nematode that has a predominantly hermaphroditic adult life. (Figure 1)

![Diagram of C. elegans](image)

Figure 1 Adult C. elegans [1] Upper diagram: differential interference contrast image of an adult C. elegans. Lower diagram: anatomical structures of adult C. elegans (schematic drawing). Middle Left scale bar: 0.1 mm

The life cycle of C. elegans contains an embryonic stage, four larval stages (L1–L4) and an adult stage. (Figure 2) Molt (apoplosysis, new cuticle formation, and ecdysis) takes place at the end of each larval stage. Under certain external conditions such as starvation, a non-growing stage, dauer larvae, may form through a facultative, reversible, arrest at the lethargus in the second of four cuticle molts. The life cycle is about 2 to 3 weeks. Each...
The coefficient on class attendance is 0.13, which implies that holding all other variables constant, if you increase class attendance by 1 unit (1% increase in class attendance in a year), then the exam mark will increase by 0.13 units (0.13% increase in your mark). The coefficient on lecture attendance is 0.06, meaning holding all other variables constant, attending 1 more lecture will increase your mark by 0.06%. The coefficient on revision lecture attendance is slightly surprising, at -0.04, implying that by attending 1 more revision lecture, your mark will decrease by 0.04%. The intercept can be interpreted to mean that if you attended no classes, revision or standard lectures, you would score 49.33%.

The coefficient on class attendance was significant at the 0.01% level implying that in the multiple regression model, class attendance has a significant impact on test mark. The coefficient on lecture attendance was not significant, even at the 10% level, implying perhaps that lecture attendance does not have a significant impact in a multivariate framework. However, lecture attendance does appear to have a reasonably high correlation with class attendance, so the regression might be suffering from multicollinearity, which may be a potential issue. However, multicollinearity must be occurring with another factor being 'unhelpful' for it to have a negative impact on the regression. The coefficient on revision lecture attendance was significant up to the 1% level, thus implying that while we can fairly sure that revision lectures have a significant negative impact, it is unclear for the fact that the null hypothesis is indeed correct (type I error) and that the result is not significant.

The F-test for the joint explanatory power of the independent variables yielded an F-statistic of 13.07. This is significant at the 0.01% level as it exceeds the critical value of 3.78. Hence we can reject the null hypothesis given in the appendices. This means that the explanatory variables have made a significant joint contribution to exam performance.

Question 1
To investigate whether there are differences in performance between the sub-sample of 2002 students and previous year’s students, I have created a dummy variable for 2002 and added it to the original equation, as shown by equations 1 and 2 in appendix 1. The first equation is known as the restricted equation, as opposed to the unrestricted model in equation 2, because it imposes the F-test null hypothesis (see hypothesis 4 in appendix 1) on equation 2. Hence in equation 2, the intercept is allowed to vary whereas it is not allowed to equation 1 and is assumed to be constant in all years.

The intercept in equation 3 can be interpreted as follows, meaning that if you attended no lectures and had no A’s at A level, you would score 56.97. This is slightly misleading in the sense that you would not have got onto the course if you did not score any A’s at A level. The coefficient of this could be that student who attended revision lectures would spend more time reviewing topics mentioned in the revision lecture and ignoring other lectures. However, as the coefficient is small, we can safely omit it without affecting the statistical significance.

The variable of interest in equation 3 is the dummy variable introduced in equation 2, which measures the effect of being in the 2002 cohort. The coefficient of 0.19 on the 2002 dummy variable means that if you are a 2002 student, you will score a proportion of 1.19% less than if you are 2001 student. The coefficient of -1.19 on the 2003 dummy variable means that if you are a 2003 student, you will score a proportion of 1.19% less than if you are a 2002 student. Hence, we can reject the null hypothesis given in the appendices.

1 As explained in question 1, the intercept term was 0.67.
Methods

1. Extraction of corpus linguistic keywords and counts of visuals

2. Thematic analysis of lecturer interviews

3. Quantitative and qualitative analysis of questionnaire responses
### Keywords relating to visuals and lists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L1&amp; discipline</th>
<th>Chi-Biol</th>
<th>Chi-Econ</th>
<th>Chi-Engin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selected keywords</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>growth</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>table</td>
<td>curve</td>
<td>eq.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>data</td>
<td>refer</td>
<td>according</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>equation</td>
<td>model</td>
<td>figure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>figure</td>
<td>per</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>graph</td>
<td>output</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A word which is *positively* key occurs *more* often than would be expected by chance in comparison with the reference corpus.
Keywords relating to visuals and lists

Biology
• All "Phases" are labeled on the graph. The curve of the Exponential Phase was straight, though some point lay outside this best straight line of fit (0041a).

Economics
• Actually the total loss resulting from the lower monopoly output (Q M) is the grey triangle. The part of the grey triangle above P C is the loss of consumer surplus (6008q).

Engineering
• According to the program and refer to the figure 4.1.1, it is easy to find… (6107d).
Lists and ‘listlikes’

Sales promotion:
- Monthly promotions, according to customer sales and current interest
- Discounts for bulk orders
- Free P&P on orders over £25, encouraging bulk buying
- Discounts for new businesses using us for the first time, on condition they use us for a minimum of two more orders

Public relations:
- User friendly website
- Easy search tools within website, enabling you to find the exact bulb you want even for the engineering minded
- Extensive “Help” and “FAQ” pages
- 12hour guaranteed reply to email queries

Conclusions

The experiment yielded the following conclusions:

- The efficiency of a single stage centrifugal pump at high pump speed (3000 RPM) is better than it at low pump speed (2000 RPM).
- The input power with high pump speed increases faster than the one with low pump speed as discharge increases.
- The relationship between total head and discharge is not affected by pump speed, but higher pump speed provides higher total head.
## Counts of visual and list items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tables</th>
<th>Figures</th>
<th>Lists</th>
<th>Listlikes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chi-Biology</td>
<td>15****</td>
<td>25****</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng-Biology</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14****</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>25****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng-Economics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-Engineering</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng-Engineering</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

per 10,000 words

* p<.05
** p<.01
**** p<.0001
Summary… and some questions

Summary

• L1 Chinese students make significantly greater use of visuals and lists than L1 English students.
• All BAWE assignments have been judged proficient.
• => suggests these are different, yet equally valued, ways of writing.

Questions

• Are visuals and lists used as strategies to meet the challenge of producing extended pieces of writing in unfamiliar genres in L2?
• Perhaps some students are more visually-oriented?
• What do discipline tutors think of this greater use of visuals and lists?
• Do EAP tutors teach students how to use visuals and lists as strategies?
Interviews with lecturers

Importance of visuals

- Diagrams and formulae are ‘the spine of the essay’ (Economics)
- The ‘challenge’ is ‘to marry the diagrams with the text’ (Economics)
- Including visuals helps students gain better marks as it avoids having to describe and introducing errors (Biology)
- Marks for presentation may include the assessment of diagrams, tables and overall layout (Engineering).

Being concise

- Preference for ‘precision, incision, concision’ (Economics)
- ‘There’s never been a penalty for an essay that’s too short’ (Biology)
- Good writing is ‘clear’, ‘concise’; and dislike ‘verbosity’ (Engineering)
- British ss ‘use too many words’ - ‘don’t use 10 when you can use 5’.
- Proforma may say ‘include a table here’. May be given font sizes, margin size, line spacing, ‘so people can’t cram in words’.
Interviews with lecturers 2

Bulleted lists are ok

• …but the words have to be ‘particularly good’
• ‘easier to mark if bullet points’
• in exams, bullets are ‘ideal’ not paras. ‘no need to dress it up as an essay’. Be straightforward. (Engineering)
• ‘Gives visual emphasis’.
• ‘essay questions allow you to hide the things you don’t know.’ (Economics)

‘Maths-oriented’ and ‘journalistic’ students in Engineering and Economics

• 2 types of student – ‘maths-inclined student who would be happy putting bullet points instead of prose’ and students who are good at writing prose but ‘not as strong mathematically’.
• students see essays as a ‘refuge from problem-solving questions’ (Economics)
• ‘graphic literacy’ is seldom taught in writing classes – *why*?

• Most applied linguists are ‘trained in the humanities, where words are central to disciplinary values and argumentation’  
  Johns (1998:183)

• Tutors may ‘find themselves relying on disciplinary norms they are familiar with’  
  (Gardner and Holmes, 2009: 251)

• There’s often a concentration on ‘linear text’ (Johns, 1988: 183) rather than on the interaction of visuals with text.
Survey of writing tutors

• 219 responses
• 87% of respondents teach in universities
• 60% have been teaching for 10 years +
• 50% + have a Masters degree in Applied Linguistics or Education
• 20% teach at foundation level, 36% UG in-sessional, 34% postgraduate
• Teach a mix of L1 English only, L2 English only, and both L1 and L2 English students
Teaching the use of visuals

- ‘The visuals are too complicated and student specific for me to know thoroughly and they know them better.’
- ‘I don’t know much about their individual subjects - just general knowledge or what I pick up from them.’
- ‘On the presessional course in the UK I taught on, lists were certainly discouraged and little explicit attention was paid to integrating visuals into writing.’
- ‘I have students do an ethnography of writing in their field, so that they can answer these questions.’
- ‘We are often quite detached from the disciplines because our students go into so many different spaces after completing foundation’
- ‘Some students are permitted to use these features and some not, so for this reason it is not sensible to teach them.’
Implications for practice: Writing tutors

- remain open-minded as to what might be acceptable;
- include ‘graphic literacy’ in academic writing classes;
- research writing in their discipline (cf. Johns', 1997, plea for students to become researchers of their disciplines' practices);
- search corpora (e.g. BAWE, MICUSP) for particular discipline features;
- collect exemplars of the writing their students are asked to produce;
- move beyond lexicogrammatical considerations such as the acceptability of *I* or the choice of passive or active voice to considering assignments holistically

(e.g. Is it ok to use a table to display results or should these be given in prose? Can the conclusion be presented as a bulleted list? If images are given, can a lengthy caption be included?)
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