The extraordinary mid-infrared spectral properties of FeLoBAL quasars
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ABSTRACT

We present mid-infrared (mid-IR) spectra of six FeLoBAL quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) at 1 < z < 1.8, taken with the Spitzer Space Telescope. The spectra span a range of shapes, from hot dust-dominated active galactic nuclei (AGNs) with silicate emission at 9.7 μm to moderately obscured starbursts with strong polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission. The spectrum of one object, SDSS 1214−0001, shows the most prominent PAHs yet seen in any QSO at any redshift, implying that the starburst dominates the mid-IR emission with an associated star formation rate on the order of 2700 M⊙ yr⁻¹. With the caveats that our sample is small and not robustly selected, we combine our mid-IR spectral diagnostics with previous observations to propose that FeLoBAL QSOs are at least largely comprised of systems in which (1) a merger-driven starburst is ending, (2) a luminous AGN is in the last stages of burning through its surrounding dust, and (3) we may be viewing over a restricted line-of-sight range.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is now well established that intimate links between the growth of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) and the production of stars in galaxies exist. Indirect evidence for such links comes from, for example, the tight relationship between central black hole (BH) and stellar bulge masses (e.g., Magorrian et al. 1998; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Gadotti & Kauffmann 2008). The growth of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) and the presence of galaxies that simultaneously harbor both high rates of star formation (on the order of tens to thousands of M⊙ yr⁻¹) and rapid accretion onto a central BH (Sanders & Mirabel 1988) is in the last stages of burning through its surrounding dust, and (3) we may be viewing over a restricted line-of-sight range.
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10 BAL QSOs come in three subtypes. High ionization BAL QSOs (HiBALs) show absorption in C iv 1549, N v 1240, Si iv 1394, and Lyα, and comprise about 10% of all QSOs (Trump et al. 2006). Low-ionization BAL QSOs (LoBALs) additionally show absorption in Mg ii 2799 and other lower ionization species, and comprise ~1.3% of all QSOs. Finally, FeLoBAL QSOs, in addition to showing all the absorption lines seen in LoBALs, also show weak iron absorption features (Hazard et al. 1987; Becker et al. 1997). They account for around 0.33% of all QSOs, though the exact fraction is unclear.
Hutsemekers 1987; Murray et al. 1995; Schmidt & Hines 1999; Gallagher et al. 2007; Doi et al. 2009), while the LoBALs remain controversial, with evidence favoring both orientation and evolution (e.g., Voit et al. 1993; Ogli et al. 1999; Gallagher 2008; Urrutia et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2010). For FeLoBALs there is also controversy, but the evidence favoring the evolution scenario is (arguably) stronger than for the LoBALs. Based on rest-frame ultraviolet (UV) and optical spectra, Hall et al. (2002) suggest that FeLoBALs are young objects still enveloped in dust. Similar conclusions are reached by Gregg et al. (2002), who also postulate that FeLoBALs may be associated with mergers. Further evidence comes from the discovery of iron absorption lines in the UV spectra of two low-redshift ULIRGs which harbor obscured AGNs (Farrah et al. 2005). Finally, mid-IR photometry observations (Farrah et al. 2007a, hereafter F07) found that many FeLoBAL QSOs were IR-luminous, and may harbor high rates of star formation.

Excellent distinguishing evidence of the “youth versus orientation” debate for FeLoBAL QSOs would be observations that directly show that high rates of star formation accompany the AGNs. Given their reddened nature, a good place to look for such evidence is in the mid-IR, in which the spectral shapes of reddened AGNs differ markedly from those of star-forming regions. The outstanding capabilities of the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004) on board the Spitzer Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004) provided a huge step forward in available mid-IR spectroscopic capabilities, with the opportunity to shed light on the FeLoBAL phenomenon. In this paper, we use Spitzer-IRS observations of six FeLoBAL QSOs to examine the idea that they are young objects. We assume a spatially flat cosmology, with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega = 1$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

### 2. SAMPLE SELECTION

We selected our sample in early 2006, with the requirements that the candidates be confirmed as FeLoBAL QSOs via rest-frame UV spectroscopy and lie in a redshift range where we can observe useful diagnostics with the IRS. Accordingly, we chose six objects (Table 1) at random from F07. The F07 sample is randomly drawn from the FeLoBAL QSO population known at the time; lies in the redshift range $1.0 < z < 1.8$, thus placing important mid-IR spectral features in the IRS bandpass; and has photometry observations at 24 $\mu$m, 70 $\mu$m, and 160 $\mu$m, which provide good constraints on IR luminosities.

However, a downside of this selection is that our sample is heterogeneous. When we selected our sample, the few known FeLoBAL QSOs had been found in different surveys. Since then, larger homogeneous samples of FeLoBAL QSOs have been published (Trump et al. 2006; Scaringi et al. 2009), but these samples were not available to us at the time. Accordingly, some level of bias is inevitable in our sample, though it is difficult to quantify what effect this may have had. We, therefore, simply list the origins of our sample; three objects were found via the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Hall et al. 2002; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008), one (ISO 0056–2738) was discovered serendipitously from the follow-up of distant clusters (Duc et al. 2002), and two (SDSS 1427+2709 and SDSS 1556+3517) were discovered during the spectroscopic follow-up of quasars from the FIRST Survey (Becker et al. 1997; Najita et al. 2000). SDSS 1556+3517 is radio-loud while the other five are radio-quiet.

Furthermore, at least three of our samples appear to have atypical iron absorption features (Hall et al. 2002). SDSS 1154+0300 has troughs where the absorption remains significant at velocities comparable to the spacing between absorption features ($\geq 12,000$ km s$^{-1}$), causing them to overlap each other. SDSS 2215−0045 and possibly SDSS 1214−0001 have stronger Fe II absorption than Fe II absorption, suggesting that the gas in which the BALs occur is dense, hot, and moderately highly ionized. We, therefore, do not correlate mid-IR spectral properties with those of the iron absorption features.

### 3. METHODS

We conducted observation with the IRS using the first order of the short-low module, and both orders of the long-low module, giving observed-frame wavelength coverage of 7.5–35 $\mu$m. As the 24 $\mu$m fluxes of our sample span a range of values, we used different observation times for each object so as to give a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of at least 15 in the continuum at observed frame 24 $\mu$m. Observations were performed in staring mode, using “high” accuracy peak up observations performed with the blue array from a nearby Two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) star.

The data were processed through the Spitzer Science Center’s pipeline software (version 18.7), which performs standard tasks

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>R.A. (2000)</th>
<th>Decl.</th>
<th>z$_{sys}$</th>
<th>z$_{abs}$</th>
<th>f$_{24}$</th>
<th>f$_{70}$</th>
<th>f$_{160}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{bol,24\mu m}^a$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{bol,70\mu m}^a$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{bol,160\mu m}^a$</th>
<th>L$_{IR}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) ISO J005645.1−273816</td>
<td>00 36 45.2</td>
<td>−27 38 15.6</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>&lt;7.0</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>0.09–0.40</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>12.5–13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) SDSS J115436.60+03006.3</td>
<td>11 54 36.6</td>
<td>03 00 06.4</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>0.35–0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>12.9–13.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) SDSS J121441.42−000317.8</td>
<td>12 14 41.4</td>
<td>−00 03 17.9</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>0.07–0.15</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>12.7–12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) SDSS J142703.62−270940.3</td>
<td>14 27 03.6</td>
<td>−27 09 40.3</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>0.09–0.17</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>12.8–13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) SDSS J155633.78+351757.3</td>
<td>15 56 33.8</td>
<td>35 17 57.8</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>0.40–0.70</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>13.1–13.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) SDSS J221511.93−004549.9</td>
<td>22 15 11.9</td>
<td>−00 45 49.9</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>0.09–0.30</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>13.0–13.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. All flux densities are quoted in mJy. Errors are typically 10% at 24 $\mu$m, 20% at 70 $\mu$m, and 25% at 160 $\mu$m. Luminosities are the logarithm of the rest-frame 1–1000 $\mu$m luminosity, in units of solar luminosities (3.826 × 10$^{36}$ W), taken from F07. Limits and ranges are 3 $\sigma$.

a Systemic redshift from narrow optical emission lines.

b Fractional AGN luminosity, computed using the prescription in Section 4.3 (Nardini et al. 2008).

c Fractional AGN luminosity, derived from the SED fits in F07.

d Hall et al. (2002).

---

11 We include the qualifier as the rest-frame UV absorption line properties of FeLoBAL QSOs have not been studied exhaustively.
such as ramp fitting and dark current subtraction, and produces Basic Calibrated Data (BCD) frames. Starting with these frames, we produced reduced spectra using the SMART v8.0 software package, following the methods described in Lebouteiller et al. (2010), which we summarize here. Individual frames were cleaned of “bad” pixels using the IRSCLEAN task. The first and last five pixels, corresponding to regions of reduced sensitivity on the detector, were then removed. The individual frames at each nod position were then median combined with equal weighting on each resolution element. Sky background was removed from each image by subtracting the image for the same order taken with the other nod position (i.e., “nod–nod” sky subtraction). One-dimensional spectra were then extracted using “optimal” extraction with default parameters and defringed using the internal SMART algorithm. We found that, in all cases, the sources were point-like, with an FWHM that was never wider than the point-spread function (PSF). We also checked that the sources were centered in the IRS slit by extracting spectra using “simple” (i.e., not PSF-weighted) extraction. We found that the resulting spectra were of slightly lower S/N but consistent with the optimally extracted spectra, confirming that any slit offset is insignificant.

This procedure results in separate spectra for each nod and for each order. The spectra for each nod were inspected; features present in only one nod were treated as artifacts and removed. The two nod positions were then combined. As the two nod positions have slightly offset wavelength grids, we combined the nods by first interleaving them, and then interpolating the fully sampled spectrum onto a reference wavelength grid. The nod-combined spectra in the three orders were then merged to give the final spectrum for each object. Overall, we obtained excellent continuum matches between different orders. There was a significant order mismatch in only one case (SDSS 1556+3517), between the SL1 and LL2 orders. For this object, we scaled the SL1 spectrum by a factor of 1.10 to match the blue end of the LL2 continuum.

There remain, however, several uncertainties over error propagation in the IRS reduction process. For example, defringing is still not completely understood, so some residuals likely remain that are not included in the “formal” errors. Another example is the order mismatch between SL1 and LL2 for SDSS 1556+3517—a PSF-weighted “optimal” extraction should in principle not produce this effect, and while the scaling is small, we do not understand why it is necessary. Overall, therefore, we regard the resulting errors on each resolution element to be somewhat smaller than they should be, though the degree of this underestimate is likely insignificant.

4. RESULTS

The IRS spectra are presented in Figure 1. Spectral measurements are presented in Table 2. We found one further object serendipitously in the slit of ISO 0056−2738, which appears to be a polycyclic-aromatic-hydrocarbon-dominated (PAH-dominated) system at $z \simeq 1.42$, but we do not consider it further in this paper.

### 4.1. Spectral Features

The spectra show a variety of spectral features. Four objects show one or more broad emission features at 6.2 $\mu$m, 7.7 $\mu$m, 11.2 $\mu$m, and 12.7 $\mu$m, attributed to bending and stretching modes in PAHs (the 12.7 $\mu$m feature also contains a contribution from [Ne v] $\lambda$12.81). In all cases, the redshifts determined from the PAHs were consistent with the optical emission line redshifts rather than the redshifts at which the UV absorption features peak placing the source of the PAH emission in the host galaxy rather than in the outflow. The PAH fluxes and equivalent widths (EWs) were computed by integrating the flux after subtracting the PAH-dominated system at $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$11.2.81. We measured the strengths of these features via

$$S_{\text{all}} = \ln \left( \frac{F_{\text{obs}}(9.7 \mu \text{m})}{F_{\text{cont}}(9.7 \mu \text{m})} \right),$$

where $F_{\text{obs}}$ is the observed flux density at rest-frame 9.7 $\mu$m and $F_{\text{cont}}$ is the flux at the same wavelength deduced from a spline fit to the continuum on either side (Spoon et al. 2007; Levenson et al. 2007; Sirocky et al. 2008).

We also searched for other features seen in IR-luminous systems, though these are reliably measurable only with higher resolution data, so we do not present flux measurements. Both SDSS 1214−0001 and SDSS 1427+2709 show strong [Ne iii] $\lambda$15.56, and weak but significant [Ne v] $\lambda$14.32. SDSS 1214−0001 additionally has weak detections at the positions of [Ar ii] $\lambda$6.99 and H$_2$(S(2)] $\lambda$12.29. The other four objects show no further features that we can identify. SDSS 1154+0300 has an apparent feature at rest-frame $\sim$8 $\mu$m that we cannot find a reliable ID for, though as the spectrum has low $S/N$ it is possible that this feature arises from the juxtaposition of a
Figure 1. *Spitzer*-IRS low-resolution spectra of our sample, plotted in the rest frame using the optical emission line redshifts. The data are plotted in black, with 1σ errors in gray. Flux densities are in mJy and wavelengths are in μm. The number in the top left of each panel is the ID number in Table 1. The green solid (dashed) lines mark the 6.2 μm, 7.7 μm, 8.6 μm, 11.2 μm, and 12.7 μm PAH features assuming the systemic (peak absorption, if available) redshift. The red lines perform the same function for the [Ne II]λ12.81, [Ne V]λ14.32, and [Ne III]λ15.56 lines. The yellow shaded region shows the approximate extent of the 9.7 μm silicate absorption feature, but see Bowey et al. (1998), Draine (2003), and Nikutta et al. (2009).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

4.2. Qualitative Comparisons

4.2.1. Objects 3 and 4

We start by comparing the two objects with prominent PAHs, SDSS 1214−0001 and SDSS 1427+2709, to well-studied...
Figure 2. Example of the spline fits used to determine the shape of the underlying continuum for the PAH fluxes, in this case for the 6.2 \( \mu m \) PAH feature in SDSS 1214–0001. The (rest-frame) spectrum is plotted in black. The points used to define the continuum are shown in green, while the spline fit and its residuals are shown in blue. The errors on the spectrum have been omitted for clarity. The dashed line shows the adopted peak wavelength of the PAH feature, while the dotted lines show the lower and upper limits of integration. This plot also demonstrates the excellent agreement between the two nods; the “double” blue error bars show separately the error bars for the two nod positions, which have slightly offset wavelength grids. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 3. Comparison of our spectra to well-studied low-redshift objects. Objects showing silicate absorption are in the left panel and the others are in the right panel. Comparison spectra are taken from Brandl et al. (2006), Armus et al. (2007), Shi et al. (2007), and Imanishi et al. (2007). Left: red, Mrk 231; orange, composite of local \(<10^{11} L_\odot \) starbursts; green, Arp 220; blue, IRAS 15206+3342 (a local ULIRG with iron absorption features in its UV spectrum). Right: red, 3C 273; orange, I Zw 1 (a low-z NLS1); green, PG 1211+143; blue, PG 1351+640 (both far-IR luminous QSOs). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

4.2.2. Objects 1, 2, 5, and 6

Turning to the other four sources, SDSS 2215–0045 is a good match to QSOs with strong silicate emission and weak but detectable PAHs, of which several exist (Hao et al. 2005). Interestingly, SDSS 2215–0045 is an excellent match to PG 1351+640, an IR-luminous, CO-detected QSO with narrow BALs, Fe ii in emission under H\( \beta \), and slight morphological disturbance (Gelderman & Whittle 1994; Falcke et al. 1995; Zheng et al. 2001; Evans et al. 2001). Conversely, SDSS 1556+3517, with its weaker silicate emission feature and negligible PAHs, is a good match both to some classical QSOs and to NLS1 systems such as PG 1211+143 (which has a high velocity outflow; Pounds & Page 2006; Shi et al. 2007) and IZw 1 (which has optical and UV Fe ii emission and a decaying starburst; Marziani et al. 1996; Schinnerer et al. 1998; Surace et al. 1998). SDSS 1154+0300 has a poorer quality IRS spectrum but is also consistent with QSOs with weak silicate emission and negligible PAHs. ISO 0056–2738 is of too low S/N to draw any conclusions other than it appears to be generally consistent with AGNs.

4.2.3. The Sample as a Whole

Finally, we compare the ensemble properties of our sample to other classes of active galaxies. We start by looking for matches of HiBALs or LoBALs to which we can compare our work does not exist. Even compared to the general AGN population, though, the peculiarity of these two objects, in particular SDSS 1214–0001, is thrown into sharp relief. Their PAHs are extraordinarily prominent, far more so than for any QSO, radio-loud or radio-quiet, that we are aware of (Haas et al. 2005; Hao et al. 2005; Shi et al. 2006; Maiolino et al. 2007), including those selected to be far-IR luminous (Lutz et al. 2008; Martínez-Sansigre et al. 2008), as well as the X-ray luminous “type 2 QSO” objects (Sturm et al. 2006). There are, however, a few systems with prominent PAHs among the Narrow Line Seyfert 1 (NLS1, objects with H\( \beta \) FWHMs of < 2000 km s\(^{-1}\)) objects (Osterbrock & Pogge 1985) population (Sani et al. 2010) and several examples of Sy2 ULIRGs with strong PAHs (Armus et al. 2007; Imanishi et al. 2007; Farrah et al. 2007b; see also the type 2 object LH901A in Sturm et al. 2006).

4.2.3. The Sample as a Whole

Finally, we compare the ensemble properties of our sample to other classes of active galaxies. We start by looking for matches...
with IR-selected AGN samples. One sample where we might expect overlap is with the X-ray-detected LIRGs in Brand et al. (2008b), but the two samples do not resemble each other. None of the 16 (Brand et al. 2008b) objects have detectable PAHs; though 9 have some silicate absorption, the rest are featureless power laws. Our two PAH-dominated sources resemble some sources in optically faint 70 μm selected samples (Brand et al. 2008a; Farrah et al. 2009a), but 70 μm selected samples have no sources with silicates in emission. We do better if we instead compare to IRS observations of high-redshift 15 μm selected samples (Hernán-Caballero et al. 2009), likely because we are selecting based on hotter dust; 15 μm samples contain objects comparable to our PAH strong objects, but have few sources with silicates in emission. The one optically selected sample that appears reasonably matched to ours is the NLS1 sample of Sani et al. (2010), which contains both PAH-dominated objects and a few objects with what appears to be weak silicate emission.

4.3. Spectral Diagnostics

We move on to quantitative diagnostics. As our spectra are of relatively low S/N and do not have coverage in all the IRS modules, we use simple diagnostics that allow for easy comparisons with other samples.

We start with the PAH features. The PAH flux ratios of our sample, considered either as functions of each other or of PAH luminosity (Figures 4 and 5), are slightly offset from those of low-redshift ULIRGs, but lie within the dispersion of high-redshift 70 μm or 24 μm selected samples. This is straightforward to understand. Local ULIRGs are selected without a bias toward AGNs, and have lower IR luminosities, on average, than our sample. Conversely, the Sajina et al. (2007) and Dasyra et al. (2009) samples are (arguably) biased toward AGNs, and have comparable total IR luminosities to our objects. It is thus not surprising that the PAH flux ratios and luminosities of our sample resemble the high-redshift comparison objects. It is also interesting that the two systems with the strongest PAH detections (SDSS 1214+0001 and SDSS 1427+2709) are also the strongest 160 μm detections, consistent with the idea that starbursts are associated with colder dust, but the small size of our sample means this consistency could simply be coincidence, so we do not comment on it further.

We estimate star formation rates from the PAH features using

$$\text{SFR} \left[ M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \right] = 1.18 \times 10^{-41} L_P [\text{erg s}^{-1}],$$  

where $L_P$ is the combined luminosity of the 6.2 μm and 11.2 μm PAH features (Farrah et al. 2007b). The values are...
Figure 5. PAH luminosity diagnostic plots. Color coding is the same as in Figure 4.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 6. “Fork” diagnostic diagram (Spoon et al. 2007). The FeLoBALs are plotted in black while the other points are color coded as in Figure 4. Plots of the composite spectra for each region are shown in Figure 2 of Spoon et al. (2007). With respect to the comparison objects plotted in Figure 3; Mrk 231 is class 1A, IRAS 15206+3342 is class 1B, Arp 220 is class 3B, the starburst composite is class 1C, and the remaining objects are all class 1A. The “bump” sources lie close together on this plot, so, for clarity, we plot their average as a single point.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

listed in Table 2. Overall, they are comparable to those derived for other high-redshift IR-luminous sources, including “bump” selected starbursts (Farrah et al. 2008), submillimeter-selected starbursts (Pope et al. 2008; Menéndez-Delmestre et al. 2009), and far-IR bright QSOs (Lutz et al. 2008). The star formation rate in SDSS 1214−0001 is extraordinarily high; assuming continuous star formation, this star formation rate is capable of manufacturing 10^{11} M_☉ of stars in less than 100 Myr, and ≲50 Myr if we assume a late-stage exponentially decaying burst.

Next, we employ the “Fork” diagnostic of Spoon et al. (2007; Figure 6), which employs both the 6.2 μm PAH feature and the 9.7 μm silicate feature. Here our sample is not distributed in a similar way to the 70 μm or 24 μm selected sources, but instead lies along the lower branch of the fork. Spoon et al. (2007) postulate that sources move around the Fork diagram as their power source evolves, starting on the upper branch and then moving diagonally or vertically downward as starburst/AGN activity clears the obscuration from the nuclear regions. Therefore, solely from this diagnostic, we would classify our sample as late-stage ULIRGs.

We move on to consider mid-IR continuum diagnostics. Given the limited wavelength coverage, we use the rest-frame 6 μm continuum luminosity as a proxy for the bolometric AGN luminosity (Nardini et al. 2008; Watabe et al. 2009). Following Nardini et al. (2008), we define

$$ R = \frac{L_6}{L_{IR}}, $$

(3)

where L_6 is the 6 μm luminosity as measured from the IRS spectra and L_{IR} is the 1–1000 μm luminosity from F07. The fractional contribution of an AGN to the 6 μm luminosity, α_6,
The resulting $\alpha_{\text{bol}}$ values are listed in Table 1. For comparison, we also list the $\alpha_{\text{bol}}$ Values obtained from the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) in F07. In four cases the values are consistent, but for two (SDSS 1556+3517 and SDSS 2215−0045) they are not. We think it likely that the SED-derived values are more reliable, as they are direct measurements from the (albeit sparsely sampled) full SEDs, while the values computed using Equation (5) are calibrated using a wide range of sources. It is interesting that these two objects are the only two with detected silicate emission, but we do not know if this is the cause for the discrepancy, or just a coincidence. Considering either method though, the sources with strong PAHs have weak AGN contributions to the total IR luminosity, $\alpha_{\text{bol}}$, is

$$\alpha_{\text{bol}} = \frac{\alpha_6}{\alpha_6 + \left(\frac{\beta_{\text{bol}}}{\beta_0}\right)(1 - \alpha_6)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5) 

The fit is good, with $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.4$. The fit is poor at $\lesssim 6$ $\mu$m, with a steeply rising contribution from “starlight” with decreasing wavelength that is probably an attempt to fit the AGN continuum. At $\lambda \gtrsim 6$ $\mu$m though, we obtain an excellent fit. The PAHs are well fitted by the Drude profiles, and the continuum is predicted to come mostly from dust at 50$k$, with small contributions from the 135 $k$ and 300 $k$ components.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A general caveat to all of what follows is our small and heterogeneous sample. Our conclusions should thus be regarded as tentative.

The mid-IR spectra of our sample span a wide range of shapes. We see classical QSO spectra with hot silicate dust together with classical starburst spectra with strong PAHs. The “starburst” spectra have more prominent PAHs than those seen in any other QSO observed so far, while the hot silicate dust sources do not have a close match in any purely mid-/far-IR selected sample of which we are aware. It is possible that our heterogeneous selection leads to the heterogeneity of the spectra, but if this were true then we might expect the ISO-selected object to have the strongest PAHs, which is not the case. Indeed, the two spectra at the extreme ends of the range of spectral shapes are both SDSS objects. The star formation rates of our sample span levels comparable to those in the most luminous starbursts found in any survey at any wavelength to those seen in moderately IR-luminous AGNs. The spectral diagnostics mark our sample as late-stage ULIRGs with a wide range of fractional AGN contributions.

These results, combined with the optical classification of these objects as reddened QSOs with a strong outflow, are in principle compatible with a continuum of “end-of-ULIRG” versus “peculiar QSO” contributions to the FeLoBAL QSO population. To frame the discussion, we describe three points on this continuum in detail.

1. FeLoBAL QSOs are a transition stage between starburst-dominated ULIRGs and QSOs. As our sample spans the entirety of this transition, and because FeLoBALs are observed to be rare,\(^\text{13}\) we can set constraints on the timescale of this transition depending on how intrinsically common the Fe absorption features are. If Fe absorption is ubiquitous in such a transition, then the transition must be short in comparison to the ULIRG or QSO lifetime. Taking the ULIRG and QSO lifetimes to be $\sim 10^8$ yr (Tacconi et al. 2008), the transition must take $\lesssim 10^7$ yr (see also Gregg et al. 2002). If, on the other hand, the iron absorption features are intrinsically rare, then the transition can take longer.

2. FeLoBALs are comprised of comparable fractions of two galaxy populations, one that is transitioning from a ULIRG to a QSO, and another that is an unusual phase that QSOs go through, unconnected to mergers. Here the heterogeneity of our sample arises from observing two unconnected populations.

3. FeLoBAL QSOs are entirely an “unusual QSO” class, and have nothing to do with mergers or otherwise “transitioning” systems. Instead, the iron absorption features mark QSOs with both an outflow and atypically large amounts of iron in their interstellar media.

Formally, we cannot rule out any of these scenarios. The third though seems unlikely. It is hard to see how such a heterogeneous set of mid-IR spectra could arise from observing a peculiar class

\(^\text{13}\) A further reason behind the rarity of FeLoBALs is that they are hard to detect; FeLoBAL QSOs are red, have few or no broad emission lines and no UV excess, and are thus hard to find in standard QSO searches (see, e.g., Appenzeller et al. 2005). The iron absorption features themselves are weak.
of QSOs in which nothing more interesting than an outflow with large quantities of iron is going on, especially if we assume that the restrictive selection on rest-frame UV properties means we are viewing them over a restricted range in the line of sight. Furthermore, most systems with star formation rates in the range seen in our sample are ULIRGs, which (at low redshift, at least) are nearly all mergers.

Distinguishing between the first and second scenarios, however, is more difficult. On one hand, the first scenario uses a single origin for which there is independent evidence from studying ULIRGs. The second scenario thus seems contrived, as it proposes two origins where one will do. On the other hand, our sample is small, and it is tempting to place undue emphasis on the properties of SDSS 1214−0001, as it is so peculiar. If this object were removed then the “unusual QSO” scenario would become more attractive. That said, the counterargument also holds; remove (say) SDSS 1556+3517, and the “end-of-ULIRG” scenario becomes more attractive. We are mindful though that it is only the properties of SDSS 1214−0001 (and to an extent SDSS 1427+2709) that make us seriously consider the “end-of-ULIRG” scenario.

Recent work that may shed light on this issue is the study of NLS1s by Sani et al. (2010). Their spectra show that at least some NLS1s harbor intense star formation and a weak mid-IR AGN continuum. NLS1s also have strong optical Fe emission lines, a large soft X-ray excess, and exhibit rapid large-amplitude X-ray variability. Furthermore, their BH masses appear to be smaller than those in BLS1s of comparable luminosity, though there is controversy over whether NLS1s lie below (Peterson et al. 2000; Grupe & Mathur 2004) or on (Botte et al. 2005; Peterson et al. 2000; Grupe & Mathur 2004) the \( \sigma \) relation only slightly greater than unity. While conclusions drawn on only two objects are not trustworthy, this difference in ratio is consistent with our proposed evolutionary sequence. SDSS 1214−0001 has strong PAHs and silicates in absorption while SDSS 2215−0045 has weak PAHs and silicates in emission, implying that SDSS 1214−0001 is at an earlier evolutionary phase than SDSS 2215−0045. Moreover, the enhanced \([\text{Fe}^{iii}] / [\text{Fe}^{ii}]\) ratio in SDSS 2215−0045 compared to SDSS 1214−0001 could be interpreted as the BAL outflow in SDSS 2215−0045 being more developed. Further support for this idea would be an \([\text{Fe}^{iii}] / [\text{Fe}^{ii}]\) ratio greater than unity in SDSS 1427+2709, but the only published spectrum we are aware of is in Becker et al. (2000), in which the \([\text{Fe}^{iii}]\) lines lie in a noisy region at the extreme blue end of the bandpass. They do not, however, appear to be significantly stronger than the \( \text{Fe}^{ii} \) lines, so we simply note this as an interesting avenue for future work.

There are three ways to test this conclusion. First, FeLoBAL QSO hosts should be in the final stages of merging, so their host galaxies should show slight signs of morphological disturbance. Second, larger mid-IR spectroscopic surveys of FeLoBAL QSOs should show a similar range of spectral shapes to ours. Third, far-IR photometric surveys of FeLoBALs should find both a moderate enhancement of the fraction of far-IR bright sources compared to the classical QSO population, corresponding to those FeLoBAL QSOs that still harbor high star formation rates, and a correlation between far-IR luminosity and PAH EW. Moreover, it would be useful to perform radiative transfer modeling of a BAL wind through a starburst to determine the conditions under which iron absorption is observed in such systems, and so provide insight into whether our conclusions are feasible.

We close with a caveat and a speculative comment. First, there is an important parameter that we cannot address: the way in which iron absorption features in FeLoBALs signpost starburst and AGN activity. For example, if the Fe absorption only occurs when a starburst is ending and an AGN is blowing away its surrounding dust, but can occur throughout such a transition, then our first scenario is likely correct. As a contrived counterexample, if Fe absorption can only occur during the early phases of such a transition, but can occur randomly in reddened AGN in which there is no significant star formation, then in a small sample such as ours we would conclude, incorrectly, that all FeLoBAL QSOs were a ULIRG-to-QSO transition where the Fe absorption is present throughout. Resolving this issue is beyond the scope of this paper, so we note it as a caveat to our conclusions.

Second, Hall et al. (2002) note that two of our samples, SDSS 1214−0001 and SDSS 2215−0045, have \([\text{Fe}^{iii}] / [\text{Fe}^{ii}]\) ratios greater than unity, implying the BALs arise in unusually dense, hot gas. The two ratios, however, are different; SDSS 2215−0045 has an \([\text{Fe}^{iii}] / [\text{Fe}^{ii}]\) ratio much greater than unity, while SDSS 1214−0001 has an \([\text{Fe}^{iii}] / [\text{Fe}^{ii}]\) ratio only slightly greater than unity. While conclusions drawn on only two objects are not trustworthy, this difference in ratio is consistent with our proposed evolutionary sequence. SDSS 1214−0001 has strong PAHs and silicates in absorption while SDSS 2215−0045 has weak PAHs and silicates in emission, implying that SDSS 1214−0001 is at an earlier evolutionary phase than SDSS 2215−0045. Moreover, the enhanced \([\text{Fe}^{iii}] / [\text{Fe}^{ii}]\) ratio in SDSS 2215−0045 compared to SDSS 1214−0001 could be interpreted as the BAL outflow in SDSS 2215−0045 being more developed. Further support for this idea would be an \([\text{Fe}^{iii}] / [\text{Fe}^{ii}]\) ratio greater than unity in SDSS 1427+2709, but the only published spectrum we are aware of is in Becker et al. (2000), in which the \([\text{Fe}^{iii}]\) lines lie in a noisy region at the extreme blue end of the bandpass. They do not, however, appear to be significantly stronger than the \( \text{Fe}^{ii} \) lines, so we simply note this as an interesting avenue for future work.
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14 This is consistent with the “youth” hypothesis that Hall et al. (2002) give for SDSS 2215−0045.