The dark matter haloes and host galaxies of Mg II absorbers at z 1

How to cite:

For guidance on citations see FAQs.

© 2011 The Authors

Version: Version of Record

Link(s) to article on publisher’s website:
http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19208.x

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online’s data policy on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.
The dark matter haloes and host galaxies of Mg II absorbers at $z \sim 1$

Britt F. Lundgren, David A. Wake, Nikhil Padmanabhan, Alison Coil and Donald G. York

1 Yale Center of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA
2 Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0354, USA
3 Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA
4 Enrico Fermi Institute, 5640 Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Accepted 2011 June 7. Received 2011 June 1; in original form 2011 April 5

ABSTRACT

Strong foreground absorption features from singly ionized magnesium (Mg II) are commonly observed in the spectra of quasars and are presumed to probe a wide range of galactic environments. To date, measurements of the average dark matter (DM) halo masses of intervening Mg II absorbers by way of large-scale cross-correlations with luminous galaxies have been limited to $z < 0.7$. In this work, we cross-correlate 21 strong ($W_{\lambda 2796} \gtrsim 0.6$ Å) Mg II absorption systems detected in quasar spectra from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Seventh Data Release with $\sim 32,000$ spectroscopically confirmed galaxies at $0.7 \leq z \leq 1.45$ from the DEEP2 galaxy redshift survey. We measure DM halo biases of $b_G = 1.44 \pm 0.02$ and $b_A = 1.49 \pm 0.45$ for the DEEP2 galaxies and Mg II absorbers respectively, indicating that their clustering amplitudes are roughly consistent. Haloes with the bias we measure for the Mg II absorbers have a corresponding mass of $1.8 \pm 3 \times 10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$, although the actual mean absorber halo mass will depend on the precise distribution of absorbers within DM haloes. This mass estimate is consistent with observations at $z = 0.6$, suggesting that the halo masses of typical Mg II absorbers do not significantly evolve from $z \sim 1$. We additionally measure the average $W_{\lambda 2796} \geq 0.6$ Å gas covering fraction to be $f_c = 0.5$ within $60 h^{-1}$ kpc around the DEEP2 galaxies, and we find an absence of coincident strong Mg II absorption beyond a projected separation of $\sim 40 h^{-1}$ kpc. Although the star-forming $z > 1$ DEEP2 galaxies are known to exhibit ubiquitous blueshifted Mg II absorption, we find no direct evidence in our small sample linking $W_{\lambda 2796} \geq 0.6$ Å absorbers to galaxies with ongoing star formation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Absorption features in quasar spectra (quasar absorption lines, QALs) provide nearly unbiased probes of the gas and dust content of foreground galaxies and the intergalactic medium over vast ranges in redshift. As such, the growing catalogues of QALs now available from large spectroscopic surveys [e.g. Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS); York et al. 2000] may be used as reliable tracers of the metal abundances of galaxy environments throughout $\sim 90$ per cent of cosmic history. However, critical questions regarding the physical origins of QALs remain to be resolved. Metal-rich QALs have a well-established association with $\sim L^*$ galaxies (e.g. Bergeron 1986; Cristiani, Danziger & Shaver 1987; Yanny et al. 1987; Bergeron & Boissé 1991; LeBrun et al. 1993; Steidel 1993; Steidel, Dickinson & Persson 1994), but whether the absorbing gas primarily traces the cool extended regions of dark matter (DM) haloes, dwarf satellite galaxies, galactic discs or supernovae-driven outflows remains an active matter of debate.

The root of the ambiguity surrounding the origins of QALs lies in the fact that while these absorbers are easily detected at moderate and high redshifts, where the most prolific UV metal lines (e.g. singly ionized magnesium, Mg II; a tracer of photoionized cold gas) are redshifted into the optical, their galactic hosts are nearly always too faint to observe. For a limited number of objects, deep imaging has been used to identify candidate galaxies as hosts of Mg II absorbers (e.g. LeBrun et al. 1993; Steidel et al. 1997; Bouché et al. 2007; Nestor et al. 2007; Chun et al. 2010; Straka et al. 2010; Meiring et al. 2011). The results of these investigations suggest a correlation between absorber equivalent width
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and host star formation rate, though many galaxy hosts of large equivalent width absorbers remain undetected in even the deepest imaging. 

Recent efforts to determine the typical physical properties of galaxies hosting strong Mg II absorbers have attempted statistical analyses using large absorber catalogues. The typical luminosities and colours of Mg II hosts have been inferred from the stacking of residual light around thousands of quasars exhibiting Mg II absorption (Zibetti et al. 2007; Caler, Sheth & Jain 2010). Host star formation rates and dust properties have been inferred from spectral stacks of hundreds of identified absorbers (York et al. 2006; Wild, Hewett & Pettini 2007; Ménard et al. 2009, 2010; Ménard & Chelouche 2009; Noterdaeme, Srianand & Mohan 2010), and average absorber DM halo masses have been indirectly obtained from Mg II clustering measurements (Bouché et al. 2006; Gauthier, Chen & Tinker 2009; Lundgren et al. 2009). The imaging and spectral stacking analyses collectively indicate a general correlation between Mg II equivalent width and the star formation rate of the host galaxy, whilst the clustering analyses suggest a weak global anticorrelation of the absorber equivalent width with galaxy halo mass. Taken together, these findings disfavour the classical picture in which strong Mg II absorption is primarily produced by virialized gas in the extended haloes of massive galaxies.

Now a new paradigm seems to be emerging in which the majority of strong Mg II absorbers originate in galactic discs on scales <10 h⁻¹ kpc and in star formation driven outflows on larger scales, out to ~150 kpc. Deep imaging and spectroscopic follow-up of galaxy–absorber pairs at z > 0.7 have confirmed the existence of a strong correlation between W₂₇⁹⁶ > 2 Å absorbers and starburst galaxies (Bouché et al. 2007; Nestor et al. 2011). Further strengthening the case for supernovae-driven outflows is the recent determination that the redshift number density evolution of strong Mg II absorbers traces the global star formation rate (Ménard et al. 2009; Chelouche & Bowen 2010).

However, studies of Mg II absorbers in the local Universe have shown that the origins are less clear in the case of the much larger population of absorbers with W₂₇⁹⁶ ≲ 2 Å. In an examination of such lower equivalent width Mg II absorbers at z ~ 0.2, Chen et al. (2010) found no compelling evidence of a correlation between absorption properties and the recent star formation histories of galaxies. Furthermore, recent studies of the galactic counterparts of W₂₇⁹⁶ ≲ 2 Å absorbers at z ~ 0.1 find no evidence for outflows from star formation (Kacprzak et al. 2011), suggesting that Mg II absorption primarily probes infalling gas from disc/halo processes in normal galaxies, at least for absorbers with similar equivalent widths in the local Universe. To what extent galaxy evolution or galaxy sample selection biases may be able to reconcile the contradictory findings of Mg II origins in star formation driven outflows at z ≥ 0.5 and cold mode accretion at z ≤ 0.3 still remains to be determined.

For large data sets, clustering measurements can be used to determine the typical environments of any well-defined sample of extragalactic objects. The space density of strong Mg II absorbers is low on average, only 0.3 absorbers with W₂₇⁹⁶ ≳ 0.6 Å are observed per unit redshift along quasar sightlines at z = 0.5 (Nestor, Turnshek & Rao 2005) – so Mg II clustering estimates in the aforementioned works utilized two-point cross-correlations with much more numerous luminous galaxies in order to extract an absorber clustering signal of measurable strength. Luminous red galaxies (LRGs) have thus far been a favoured galaxy sample for this purpose, since their observable redshift range in the SDSS has a large overlap with Mg II absorbers. In addition to their convenient redshift range, LRGs are excellent tracers of structure in the Universe (e.g. Eisenstein et al. 2005; Zehavi et al. 2005; Ross et al. 2007; Blake, Collister & Lahav 2008; Wake et al. 2008a), and they exhibit minimal stellar mass evolution over their observable redshift range in the SDSS (z ≲ 0.8) (Wake et al. 2006, 2008a; Brown et al. 2007, 2008; Cool et al. 2008). The DM halo bias of LRGs is thus precisely measured (Blake, Collister & Lahav 2008; Wake et al. 2008a; Padmanabhan et al. 2009), making these galaxies a favourable population for amplifying the clustering signals of quasars and absorbers. Measurements of the Mg II–LRG two-point cross-correlation have converged on a typical Mg II DM halo mass of ~10¹² M⊙ at z ~ 0.6 (Bouché, Murphy & Péroux 2004; Bouché et al. 2006; Lundgren et al. 2009; Gauthier et al. 2009).

Few deep spectroscopic surveys exist with sufficient numbers of galaxies to attempt measurements of large-scale absorber clustering (and thus, the DM bias) at higher redshifts (z > 0.6). Lyman break galaxies have been used to examine the bias of strong QALs at z > 2; Adelberger et al. (2005) measured the clustering of triple ionized carbon (C iv) absorption lines (λ1548, 1551) around UV-selected galaxies at 2 < z < 3, finding that C iv absorbers cluster similarly to typical star-forming galaxies. Still, a similar analysis has not yet been performed for a sample of Mg II absorbers, which trace gas with a lower ionization temperature and are thus not always coincident with C iv absorption.

The DEEP2 galaxy redshift survey (Davis et al. 2003) provides a sample of ~32 000 galaxies with spectroscopically confirmed redshifts in the range 0.7 < z < 1.45, ideal for use in correlations with Mg II detected in the SDSS. This galaxy sample is particularly interesting for Mg II investigations, as its star-forming population has been recently found to exhibit ubiquitous Mg II outflows (observed as blueshifted intrinsic absorption in the stacked rest-frame galaxy spectra) across a wide range of galaxy properties (Weiner et al. 2009). The outflows reported for the DEEP2 galaxies have typical column densities of N₂₇⁹⁶ ~ 10¹⁴ cm⁻² and velocities of ~400 km s⁻¹. These values are consistent with observations from QAL data, and Weiner et al. (2009) speculate that the ejected gas could eventually reach projected separations of 50 h⁻¹ kpc. However, no direct connection between feedback from normal star-forming galaxies and QALs has yet been observed.

In this work, we calculate the two-point redshift-space cross-correlation of the full sample of DEEP2 galaxies with 21 Mg II absorbers identified in SDSS Seventh Data Release (SDSS DR7) quasar spectra within the DEEP2 survey area. By comparing to the average DM halo bias of the galaxy sample, obtained through a calculation of the galaxy autocorrelation, we estimate the typical bias of the absorbers and thereby quantify their average halo mass. We also measure the covering fraction of W₂₇⁹⁶ ≲ 2 Å absorption for the DEEP2 galaxy sample and compare with previous results. A description of the data is given in Section 2. The methodology we apply for the analysis is described in Section 3, and results are presented in Sections 4–7. A discussion of the results is given in Section 8, and a summary of important findings is presented in Section 9.

Throughout this paper, we assume a flat Λ-dominated cold dark matter cosmology with Ω_m = 0.27, H₀ = 73 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ and σ₈ = 0.8 unless otherwise stated.
2 DATA

2.1 The Mg II absorber sample

The quasar spectra used in this analysis are drawn from the SDSS DR7 (Abazajian et al. 2009). The SDSS DR7 quasar catalogue (Schneider et al. 2010) contains 88 quasars in regions of overlap with the DEEP2 survey. Each of the quasars in the DEEP2 survey area was run through an automated pipeline that detects strong Mg II absorption systems with high precision (for full description, see York et al. 2011). In brief, this pipeline first searches for absorption features in quasar spectra. For each detected absorption feature, a Gaussian profile is fitted to the normalized flux to extract precise centroid and equivalent width measurements. In order to identify the ion and redshift corresponding to each measured absorption line, a system-finding algorithm then identifies pairs of 4σ absorber detections matching the wavelength separation expected for Mg II at a given redshift. The reliability of the identification is further quantified for each detected absorption system, taking into account the doublet ratio measured for Mg II, the number of additional ions matched in absorption at the same redshift, and any blending with other absorption features identified at another redshift.

Due to the magnitude-limited design of the SDSS survey, the spectral signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) correlates with the optical apparent magnitude of each quasar. The completeness of the SDSS absorption line data for any object thereby varies simultaneously with absorber equivalent width and quasar magnitude, such that the fainter the quasar, the poorer the spectral S/N, and thus the larger the minimum absorber equivalent width for detection at the required 4σ limit. A full description of the detection completeness is provided in York et al. (in preparation), but generally z ∼ 1 Mg II absorption systems with $W_r^{2796} > 0.6$ Å are detected with >90 per cent completeness in SDSS quasars with $m_r < 20$. Because we include in our sample quasars with $m_r > 20$, all candidate absorption systems were verified by visual inspection to rule out any false detections due to artefacts such as problematic continuum subtraction around narrow emission lines or noise spikes.

In all, we find 21 Mg II absorption systems with $W_r^{2796} > 0.6$ Å and a velocity separation of v > 15 000 km s$^{-1}$ in the quasar rest frame. This velocity difference is generally sufficient to ensure that the absorbers are unambiguously unassociated with the background quasar and thus originating in foreground galactic environments (Wild et al. 2008). The mean rest-frame equivalent width of the 18 absorbers extracted from spectra with $m_r < 20$ is $W_r^{2796} = 1.19$ Å compared to $W_r^{2796} = 1.88$ Å for the remaining three absorbers drawn from fainter quasars. While we expect that the three included spectra with $m_r > 20$ are only complete to $W_r^{2796} = 1.0$ Å, these data represent a small fraction of the selected sample. Additional details of the Mg II absorber detections are provided in Table 1.

2.2 The DEEP2 galaxy sample

The galaxies used in this analysis are taken from the DEEP2 galaxy redshift survey (Davis et al. 2003), which obtained spectra for ∼32 000 galaxies using the DImaging Multi-Object Spectrograph (DEIMOS) spectrograph (Faber et al. 2003) on the Keck II telescope. The DEEP2 survey observed galaxies in the redshift range 0.7 < z < 1.45, with a limiting magnitude of $R_{AB} = 24.1$. A magnitude cut of 18.5 < $R_{AB} < 24.1$, in combination with a BRI colour cut, was applied to select galaxies in the redshift range of interest from Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope CFH12K imaging (Coil et al. 2004b). The survey geometry consists of four spatially separated fields, which together cover 3 deg$^2$ of the sky. Additional details of the survey observations and data reduction are available in Davis et al. (2003) and Coil et al. (2004a). The DEEP2 galaxy catalogue is a flux-limited sample with $<m_B> = 23.3$ and a mean rest-frame B-band luminosity ($M_B$) = −20.1, which has been used extensively for clustering measurements at z ∼ 1. For this work, we apply the exact sample used by Coil et al. (2007) to measure the quasar–galaxy cross-correlation. The redshift distribution of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>z(Qu)</th>
<th>$m_r$</th>
<th>$z_{MgII}$</th>
<th>$W_r^{2796}$ (Å)</th>
<th>$W_r^{2803}$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>351.48732</td>
<td>−0.083341</td>
<td>1.4082</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>0.9432</td>
<td>0.8301 ± 0.1817</td>
<td>0.8980 ± 0.2115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>351.389734</td>
<td>0.376114</td>
<td>1.4939</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>0.9530</td>
<td>0.7624 ± 0.1132</td>
<td>0.5218 ± 0.0942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1.3378</td>
<td>0.5715 ± 0.1120</td>
<td>0.3760 ± 0.1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>352.190154</td>
<td>0.083659</td>
<td>1.5272</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>0.9575</td>
<td>0.7678 ± 0.1819</td>
<td>0.9195 ± 0.2830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>353.175522</td>
<td>0.009120</td>
<td>1.6039</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>0.8301</td>
<td>0.9497 ± 0.1339</td>
<td>0.4552 ± 0.0820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>351.848711</td>
<td>−0.045322</td>
<td>1.2276</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>0.8184</td>
<td>1.3990 ± 0.2354</td>
<td>0.8161 ± 0.2398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.391044</td>
<td>0.756865</td>
<td>1.8999</td>
<td>20.04</td>
<td>1.3018</td>
<td>2.4576 ± 0.2789</td>
<td>1.6670 ± 0.2828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>252.786865</td>
<td>34.942695</td>
<td>1.5404</td>
<td>18.17</td>
<td>1.2706</td>
<td>2.0955 ± 0.1149</td>
<td>1.9330 ± 0.1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>352.792496</td>
<td>35.086504</td>
<td>2.2455</td>
<td>19.78</td>
<td>0.8715</td>
<td>0.8865 ± 0.2100</td>
<td>0.1069 ± 0.1892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.8765</td>
<td>1.0621 ± 0.1886</td>
<td>0.7175 ± 0.1913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.928474</td>
<td>0.667494</td>
<td>1.8943</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>1.3302</td>
<td>1.4213 ± 0.2219</td>
<td>1.1321 ± 0.2459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36.875392</td>
<td>0.792676</td>
<td>1.4858</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>0.7751</td>
<td>1.9954 ± 0.4050</td>
<td>1.0501 ± 0.2772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>214.659800</td>
<td>52.399823</td>
<td>1.1181</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>0.7236</td>
<td>0.5651 ± 0.1213</td>
<td>0.5071 ± 0.1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1.0227</td>
<td>1.5198 ± 0.1661</td>
<td>0.9206 ± 0.1256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>215.023321</td>
<td>53.010203</td>
<td>1.6467</td>
<td>19.79</td>
<td>1.3172</td>
<td>1.3978 ± 0.3068</td>
<td>0.7815 ± 0.1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>252.112461</td>
<td>35.004821</td>
<td>2.9356</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>1.2803</td>
<td>0.8275 ± 0.1004</td>
<td>0.7407 ± 0.1723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>351.815063</td>
<td>0.237062</td>
<td>2.5291</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.0394</td>
<td>2.0403 ± 0.4246</td>
<td>3.2598 ± 0.4487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>351.646090</td>
<td>0.363794</td>
<td>1.2571</td>
<td>20.24</td>
<td>0.7459</td>
<td>1.7217 ± 0.3797</td>
<td>1.3855 ± 0.3918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>37.002673</td>
<td>0.453234</td>
<td>2.3975</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>0.7219</td>
<td>1.7812 ± 0.1318</td>
<td>1.3863 ± 0.1208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>36.683492</td>
<td>0.551612</td>
<td>2.3761</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>1.3523</td>
<td>0.5641 ± 0.1105</td>
<td>0.3256 ± 0.0799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>36.790855</td>
<td>0.461854</td>
<td>2.3879</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>1.2268</td>
<td>1.4689 ± 0.2991</td>
<td>0.9484 ± 0.3121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEEP2 galaxies is shown in Fig. 1, with the distribution of Mg II absorbers for comparison.

3 THE TWO-POINT CROSS-CORRELATION FUNCTION

Due to the extremely low space density of the Mg II systems in our sample, we are unable to measure the absorber clustering by way of an autocorrelation function. However, we can exploit the high space density of the DEEP2 galaxies to measure the clustering of the Mg II systems via their cross-correlation with these galaxies.

The two-point cross-correlation function between two sets of objects a and b, $\xi_{ab}(s)$, is defined as a measurement of the excess probability above Poisson distribution of finding an object a at a separation s from another object b. In order to calculate the Mg II–galaxy two-point correlation function, we compare the number of Mg II–galaxy pairs to the number of Mg II–random pairs as a function of scale such that

$$\xi(s) = \frac{n_{AG} N_{AG}(s)}{n_{G} N_{GR}(s)} - 1,$$

(1)

where $N_{AG}$ and $N_{GR}$ are the absorber–galaxy and absorber–random pair counts respectively, $n_{G}$ and $n_{G}$ are the number of DEEP2 galaxies and DEEP2 random galaxies respectively, and s is the pair separation in redshift space. We choose to use this estimator for the cross-correlation since it only requires a random catalogue for the galaxies, and hence only knowledge of the galaxy selection function is required. This greatly simplifies our calculation, since the selection function of the Mg II absorbers is complex.

The DEEP2 random galaxy catalogue is generated following the spatially dependent selection function of the DEEP2 galaxies, which takes into account the window function of the survey and the spatially dependent redshift success rate, along with the redshift selection function. Details of this procedure are given in Coil et al. (2007). We generate a random catalogue that is 1000 times larger than the galaxy catalogue, which is sufficient to ensure shot noise in the absorber–random pair counts does not contribute significantly to our error budget.

We generate errors on the cross-correlation by bootstrap resampling the absorber systems. We draw 1000 randomly selected samples of 21 absorbers including repeats and recalculate the cross-correlation function. We then find the central 68 per cent of these measurements to define the 1σ error on each point. Normally such a technique would be unsuitable for a clustering measurement, but the absorbers are so spatially sparse that they are essentially independent of each other.

Since the cross-correlation function is related to the autocorrelation functions of both input samples, we need to measure the autocorrelation of the DEEP2 galaxies in order to determine the clustering properties of the Mg II absorbers. For this measurement, we use the Landy & Szalay (1993) estimator as

$$\xi(s) = \frac{N_{GG}(s) - 2N_{GR}(s) + N_{RR}(s)}{N_{RR}(s)} - 1,$$

(2)

where $N_{GG}$, $N_{GR}$ and $N_{RR}$ respectively represent the galaxy–galaxy, galaxy–random and random–random pair counts. This slightly more complex estimator differs from what was used to calculate the absorber–galaxy cross-correlation; however, we repeated the calculation of the galaxy autocorrelation using an estimator symmetric to equation (1) and found no significant difference in the results.

We use the same randoms as before but this time estimate the errors using jackknife resampling, removing each of the 10 DEEP2 DEIMOS fields, one at a time. Whilst one would normally need more than 10 fields to accurately estimate the errors and covariance of a clustering measurement using this technique, our overall error budget is dominated by the errors on the cross-correlation. We thereby find this small number of fields to be sufficient for our purposes.

We show in Fig. 2 the redshift-space Mg II–DEEP2 galaxy two-point cross-correlation function (filled circles) compared to the two-point autocorrelation of the galaxies (open circles). Within the errors, the Mg II–galaxy cross-correlation and the galaxy autocorrelation are indistinguishable, implying that on scales larger than 0.6 $h^{-1}$ Mpc, Mg II absorbers live in the same environments as the DEEP2 galaxies.

4 THE BIAS AND DARK MATTER HALO MASSES OF Mg II ABSORBERS

The relationship between the clustering of galaxies and the clustering of the underlying DM distribution is often described by the bias (b) parameter. In the simplest case, the bias (b) is just assumed to be independent of scale so that $\xi_{gal}(r) = b^2 \xi_{DM}(r)$, so it is straightforward to estimate $b$ if $\xi_{DM}(r)$ is known.

In our case we have measured the redshift-space correlation function, $\xi(s)$, rather than the real-space correlation function, $\xi(r)$, and so must take into account the effect that the peculiar velocities of galaxies have on the distance measurements in the redshift direction. There are two terms that contribute to the peculiar velocity: the small-scale random motion of galaxies with DM haloes and the large-scale coherent streaming of galaxies from underdense to overdense regions, known as the Kaiser effect (Kaiser 1987). Since we only wish to measure the bias we can restrict ourselves to consider only the large-scale clustering amplitude, which means we only include the Kaiser effect when converting from $\xi(r)$ to $\xi(s)$.

Kaiser (1987) showed that one can relate $\xi(s)$ to $\xi(r)$ as

$$\xi(s) = \xi(r) \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \beta + \frac{1}{5} \beta^2 \right).$$

(3)
reach the linear theory asymptote [i.e. there is no effect from peculiar velocities and only the Kaiser (1987) corrections need to be applied] range from 4 to 8 $h^{-1}$ Mpc (Hawkins et al. 2003; Tinker, Weinberg & Zheng 2006). We choose to make two fits on scales $>2h^{-1}$ Mpc and $>6h^{-1}$ Mpc. The smaller scale yields a higher S/N measurement, but may be biased due to any difference in the peculiar velocities of absorbers and galaxies resulting in a difference in the suppression of $\xi(s)$. Any such bias is likely to be dwarfed by our measurement errors and indeed both fits are equivalent within the errors. It would of course be possible to overcome these potential biases by using the projected correlation function, but with such low numbers of absorbers the measurement becomes incredibly noisy and essentially unusable.

In order to estimate the errors on the measured cross-correlation, we fit to each of our bootstrap samples and again find the central 68th percentile to represent the 1σ error. This yields bias measurements for the DEEP2 galaxies of $1.44 \pm 0.02$ ($s > 2h^{-1}$ Mpc) and $1.38 \pm 0.05$ ($s > 6h^{-1}$ Mpc) and bias measurements of $1.49 \pm 0.45$ ($s > 2h^{-1}$ Mpc) and $1.92 \pm 0.61$ ($s > 6h^{-1}$ Mpc) for the Mg II absorbers. We note that not incorporating the Kaiser effect in our modelling of the measurements produces bias values that are 20 per cent higher.

We can use these bias measurements to estimate the halo mass of both the absorbers and galaxies. The simplest approach is to determine which mass haloes have the same bias as the absorbers or galaxies using the halo mass bias relation of Tinker et al. (2010). By this method, we calculate mean halo masses of $(log M) = 12.19 \pm 0.03 M_\odot$ ($s > 2h^{-1}$ Mpc) and $(log M) = 12.09 \pm 0.08 M_\odot$ ($s > 6h^{-1}$ Mpc) for the DEEP2 galaxies, and $(log M) = 12.25 \pm 0.52 M_\odot$ ($s > 2h^{-1}$ Mpc) and $(log M) = 12.74 \pm 0.46 M_\odot$ ($s > 6h^{-1}$ Mpc) for the Mg II absorbers.

However, we know that the galaxies and absorbers will not occupy haloes of a single mass in this manner, but will be distributed over a range of halo masses. This distribution is known as the halo occupation distribution (HOD). There are many different HODs that can yield the same value of the bias, for instance adding more high-mass haloes can be balanced out with more low-mass haloes. However, since the relationship between bias and halo mass is non-linear, these different HODs can yield different mean halo masses for the same bias. Therefore, if we wish to estimate an accurate mean halo mass, it is important to use the appropriate HOD.

Much work has been done on determining the HOD for galaxy samples, and a suitable form for luminosity limited samples is given by Zheng et al. (2005) (approximately a step function for central galaxies and a power law for satellites). While our galaxy sample is not strictly luminosity-limited, it should yield a reasonable estimate of the mean halo mass (see appendix A of Wake et al. 2008). If we fit using this HOD with parameters suitable for the DEEP2 galaxies and a halo model as described in Wake et al. (2011), we find a higher mean halo mass of $(log M) = 12.63 \pm 0.01 M_\odot$. The same formalism may be applied to the Mg II absorbers, yielding a mean halo mass of $(log M) = 12.66 \pm 0.01 M_\odot$.

However, there is no reason to believe that Mg II absorbers have a HOD that resembles a luminosity-limited galaxy sample. While the gas causing the absorption is likely to trace the underlying galaxy population, its presence or absence will depend on additional physics, such as gas temperature, that will be correlated with the DM halo properties in a complex way [see Tinker & Chen (2008) for a Mg II–specific HOD model]. With a significantly more precise measurement of the Mg II absorber–galaxy cross-correlation, it will be possible to put constraints on the Mg II absorber HOD. Such a measurement requires a larger overlapping survey, so we must reserve this analysis for future work.

where

$$\beta \simeq \frac{(\Omega_m)^{0.55}}{b},$$

and $\Omega_m$ is the matter density of the universe at the redshift of interest.

On large scales, the cross-correlation can be determined from the respective autocorrelations with $\xi_{AG} = \xi_A \xi_G$, and it is related to the DM clustering as $\xi_{AG}(r) = b_A b_G \xi_{DM}(r)$. Therefore, the conversion from real space to redshift space must include $\beta$ terms for both the absorbers and the galaxies and may be parametrized as

$$\xi_{AG}(s) = \xi_{AG}(r) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{3} (\beta_A + \beta_G) + \frac{1}{5} \beta_A \beta_G \right].$$

where $\beta_G$ and $\beta_A$ depend on the bias of the galaxies and absorbers, respectively.

We calculate $\xi_{DM}(r)$ at $z = 0.95$, the mean galaxy redshift, as described in Wake et al. (2011) using the non-linear power spectrum produced by the camb package\(^2\) which makes use of the halofit routine from Smith et al. (2003). We then measure the DEEP2 galaxy bias by fitting to the galaxy autocorrelation function. Fitting on large scales allows us to include the value of the galaxy bias in equation (5) and fit to the Mg II–DEEP2 cross-correlation to extract the absorber bias. Estimates of the scales at which $\xi(s)/\xi(r)$

\(^2\) http://camb.info

---

**Figure 2.** The two-point redshift-space cross-correlation of Mg II absorption line systems and DEEP2 galaxies is shown in comparison with the autocorrelation of the same DEEP2 galaxies, each with 1σ confidence intervals overplotted. The galaxy autocorrelation and absorber–galaxy cross-correlation are consistent within each other on all scales, suggesting that the absorbers occupy the same average environments as the DEEP2 galaxies.
One possible systematic error that could affect the bias and halo mass determinations arises if the galaxy and absorber samples have different redshift distributions and the galaxy clustering amplitude, and hence bias, depends on redshift. We show in Fig. 1 the redshift distribution of the DEEP2 galaxies, the full DR7 Mg II absorber catalogue and the 21 Mg II absorbers in the DEEP2 area. The full DR7 Mg II sample is fairly flat with redshift and so would trace the DEEP2 sample evenly, however the Mg II absorbers in the DEEP2 area have a clear structure in their redshift distribution, which could potentially bias our calculations.

Since the DEEP2 galaxy sample is magnitude-limited, the clustering amplitude we measure could contain a redshift-dependent bias due to the preference for detecting more luminous (and thus more biased) galaxies at higher redshifts. However, Coil et al. (2007) find no significant effect on the relevant scales, and we again confirm this with our calculations. We do see a trend on small scales (<1 h^{-1} Mpc) and some variation on the largest scales (>20 h^{-1} Mpc), although this could well be caused by measurement error.

To test to what extent redshift-dependent bias affects our measurements, we select a sample of 1260 DEEP2 galaxies that match the redshift distribution of the 21 Mg II absorbers in DEEP2 area. We do this by selecting the 60 DEEP2 galaxies that have redshifts closest to the redshift of each absorber yielding a sample of 1260 galaxies. We then cross-correlate these galaxies with the full DEEP2 sample and find a cross-correlation function almost identical to the autocorrelation of the full sample (weighted mean difference <1 percent for 0.2 < s < 40). This result indicates that the differing redshift distributions should have no effect on our results.

5 THE GALAXY PROPERTIES OF SMALL-SCALE PAIRS

Whilst the two-point cross-correlation analysis provides us with information about the distribution of Mg II absorbers relative to massive galaxies on large scales, the detection of prominent Mg II outflows in the spectra of the star-forming z ~ 1.4 DEEP2 galaxies reported by Weiner et al. (2009) suggests that, given a sufficient number of close quasar sightlines, one might find frequent absorption coincident with these galaxies on small scales. Adding to this expectation is the observational data indicating that L* galaxies typically have a cold gas covering fraction of unity on scales less than 60 h^{-1} kpc (Bergeron & Boissé 1991; Bechtold & Ellingson 1992; Steidel et al. 1994).

Three galaxies in the DEEP2 spectroscopic sample are located within 60 h^{-1} kpc of quasar sightline in the SDSS DR7. These galaxies have DEEP2 DR3 catalogue IDs of 31052516, 41013534 and 41045894, and respective redshifts of 0.7346, 0.7222 and 0.8488. The first two of the three neighbouring quasar spectra exhibit Mg II absorption that is sufficiently strong so as to be identified by the automated pipeline. These detected absorbers have redshifts of 0.7459 and 0.7219 (see ID numbers 15 and 16 in Table 1). The third quasar spectrum has sufficient S/N that we would expect to detect an absorber with the minimum equivalent width of our sample, should it be present. However, we detect no Mg II absorption of this strength in the spectrum.

The Mg II absorber with z = 0.7219, which has the smallest measured projected comoving separation from any DEEP2 galaxy (37 h^{-1} kpc), exhibits an equivalent width of 1.78 Å, which is slightly greater than the mean value for our sample (1.29 Å). The velocity offset between the galaxy and the detected absorption is well within the 3σ confidence intervals for the DEEP2 galaxy redshifts, making this pair a likely direct detection of both absorber and host galaxy. The DEEP2 spectrum of the galaxy in this close pair is shown in Fig. 3, and the corresponding Mg II detection is presented in Fig. 4.

While the spectroscopically identified galaxy DEEP2 41013534 has the smallest angular separation from this quasar sightline, eight other likely galaxies are identified in the DEEP2 photometric catalogue within 100 h^{-1} kpc of the same absorber, and three of these are detected within a projected separation of 60 h^{-1} kpc. Due to the detection of more than one object within this radius, we cannot say with complete certainty that the spectroscopically observed galaxy is singly related to this Mg II absorber. However, the nearly exact match in redshift and small projected separation makes a strong
argument for a physical link between the spectroscopic galaxy and absorption complex.

If we assume that this absorber and galaxy are associated, the physical characteristics of the galaxy spectrum can provide insight into the origin of the strong Mg II absorption complex. The spectrum of galaxy DEEP2 41013534 exhibits typical early-type characteristics. We find no observable emission lines in this spectrum that may be attributed to active star formation. Additionally, the rest-frame $U - B$ colour of this galaxy is 1.5, placing it firmly on the red sequence. Due to the redshift of this galaxy and the spectral coverage of the DEEP2 survey, we are unable to determine whether this galaxy exhibits evidence of intrinsic, blueshifted Mg II absorption, which has been observed in many star-forming DEEP2 galaxies (Weiner et al. 2009). However, the absence of any other clear star formation indicators in this galaxy implies that we cannot directly link the strong coincident Mg II absorption with a measurable rate of ongoing star formation activity in this case.

If we consider a scenario in which the Mg II absorbing gas originated in this galaxy during an earlier period of star formation activity, we can roughly constrain the time since the original burst. Assuming that it is emitted isotropically, gas with an outflow velocity of 400 km s$^{-1}$, which is typical for the star-forming galaxies measured by Weiner et al. (2009), would take $\sim$100 Myr to travel the comoving distance of $37 \, h^{-1}$ kpc to the quasar sightline. Stellar absorption features in the stacked spectra of similar quiescent galaxies in DEEP2 with $0.7 \leq z \leq 1$ indicate that these galaxies have an average age of $\sim$1 Gyr (Schiavon et al. 2006). Considering that we are unable to constrain the specific velocity of outflows from such a star formation event in this galaxy, the estimated age of the galaxy appears to be roughly consistent with the time required for the gas to reach the separation at which it is observed. So, while we are unable to directly connect the observed Mg II absorption in this close pair to ongoing star formation, we also cannot rule out a scenario in which the gas was emitted during an earlier period of star formation activity $\sim$1 Gyr in the past.

We emphasize that this single absorber–galaxy pair is a perilously small sample from which to draw conclusions regarding the average star formation properties of Mg II host galaxies. However, given the growing collection of literature linking strong Mg II absorbers with active star formation, particularly at higher redshifts, we found the contradiction presented by this absorber–galaxy pair to be at least somewhat provocative and worthy of discussion.

6 THE Mg II COVERING FRACTION OF DEEP2 GALAXIES

The incidence of Mg II absorption in close proximity to galaxies provides an estimate of the cold gas covering fraction, $f_c$, of galaxy haloes. Measurements of $f_c$ determined for a range of comoving separations allow us to constrain the effective gas radius, $R_c$, which is critical for understanding the gas physics in galaxy evolution as well as for properly parametrizing hydrodynamic simulations.

Among the full galaxy sample of DEEP2, 63 galaxies are detected within a projected distance of 200 $h^{-1}$ kpc from a SDSS quasar sightline in which Mg II at the redshift of the galaxy would be observable outside of the Lyman $\alpha$ forest. If we restrict this number to quasars having observed magnitudes of $m_i \leq 20$, the approximate S/N limit to which we can reliably detect Mg II with $W_{12796} \geq 0.6$ Å at the median redshift of our sample, 41 galaxies remain. For each included quasar–galaxy pair, we search for Mg II in our list of 21 absorbers presented in Table 1. Allowing for a redshift separation of $|\zred - \zabs| < 0.008$, we count the number of absorber–galaxy pairs and compare to the total number of observable quasar–galaxy pairs available in order to compute $f_c$ as a function of impact parameter in the rest frame of the absorbers. The width of the redshift bin in this calculation is equivalent to the scale of the maximum outflow velocities detected in Weiner et al. (2009) ($1000$ km s$^{-1}$) at the maximum redshift of galaxies in DEEP2, $z = 1.4$.

We measure the $W_{12796} \geq 0.6$ Å Mg II covering fraction for DEEP2 galaxies to be $f_c = 0.5$ (one absorber detected in a sample of two quasar–galaxy pairs) on scales of 20–60 $h^{-1}$ kpc, which decreases rapidly with increasing impact parameter. In the range 20–100 $h^{-1}$ kpc, we find a covering fraction of $f_c = 0.125 \pm 0.017$ (one absorber detected among eight possible quasar–galaxy pairs), and between 100 and 200 $h^{-1}$ kpc, $f_c$ drops to 0 (measured from a sample of 33 possible quasar–galaxy pairs). Due to the small size of our statistical sample, we estimate the 1$\sigma$ errors on these measurements using the prescriptions of Helene (1984), which assumes data with a Poisson distribution.

It is important to emphasize that our covering fraction estimates are driven by the detection of the single absorber, which is discussed in the previous section. Because we do not detect any galaxy–absorber pairs with larger projected separations, it is fair to speculate that the typical effective gas radius of the DEEP2 sample may be as small as $\sim$40 $h^{-1}$ kpc. The sample we examine is, however, undesirably small and limited by our conservative cuts on the S/N of the SDSS quasar spectra.

7 COMPLETENESS OF THE GALAXY AND ABSORBER SAMPLES ON SMALL SCALES

The obscuration of foreground galaxies by the brighter background quasar is commonly evoked to explain the lack of detected absorber–galaxy pairs with small angular separations. Investigating the angular incidence of DEEP2 galaxies around SDSS quasars with detected absorption, we found no galaxies within 2.8 arcsec (equivalent to a comoving distance of 30 $h^{-1}$ kpc at $z = 1$). This finding indicates that the photometric DEEP2 catalogues are incomplete on scales smaller than this separation around the quasars we examine. At slightly larger radii, we find no evidence for photometric objects being systematically excluded for spectroscopic follow-up, so we expect our analysis to be complete beyond this radius.

In addition to this photometric incompleteness, our analysis may also be limited by the spectroscopic resolution of the data. The galaxy redshifts in the DEEP2 catalogue have a typical 1$\sigma$ precision of $\sigma_z = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ which corresponds to a physical scale of $\sim$400 $h^{-1}$ kpc for matter in the Hubble flow at $z = 1$. As such, detections of galaxies and absorbers that are physically associated at the same redshift may still have measured separations on the order of this limiting resolution.

To investigate any possible incompleteness in the Mg II data, we re-examined the spectra of all quasars with a projected separation of $<200 \, h^{-1}$ kpc from DEEP2 galaxies. Stacking each of these 63 spectra in the rest frame of the nearby galaxy revealed a 2$\sigma$ cumulative detection of Mg II, which grew in significance as we reduced the maximum projected separation allowed. After examining the individual spectra contributing to the stack, we determined that no Mg II absorbers with $>3\sigma$ significance were undetected by the automated algorithm. We also found that the bulk of the contribution to the Mg II absorption signal in the stacked spectrum could be attributed to the single, strong Mg II absorber with a $37 \, h^{-1}$ kpc separation from a DEEP2 galaxy (ID 16 in Table 1), which has been thoroughly discussed in Section 5.
8 DISCUSSION

The bias we measure for Mg II absorbers is consistent with that of the DEEP2 galaxies, although the measurement error is substantial due to the small size of the Mg II sample. This basic agreement suggests that strong Mg II absorbers at $z \sim 1$ reside in similar environments to those of the galaxies in the DEEP2 survey.

Furthermore, the average Mg II halo mass we estimate, $1.56 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$, is consistent with observations at lower redshift. Lundgren et al. (2009) measured a typical halo mass of $1.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot$ for absorbers with $W_r^{\lambda 2796} = 0.6$, in agreement with Bouché et al. (2006) and Gauthier et al. (2009). The consistency with our measurement at higher redshift suggests that the halo masses of Mg II absorbers evolve very little from $z = 1$, though the errors remain large.

It is also worth noting that the luminosity function of $z \sim 0.65$ galaxies selected by Mg II absorption in nearby quasar sightlines has been shown to peak at $M_B = -20$ (Steidel et al. 1994), which is consistent with the mean luminosity of the DEEP2 galaxies. While we have not analysed a statistical sample of Mg II-selected galaxies, it seems likely that the $z \sim 1$ Mg II absorbers not only trace the same environments as the DEEP2 galaxy sample, but also similar types of galaxies ($\sim L_B$).

8.1 Constraining Mg II halo evolution

As measurements of the bias of Mg II have never been reported at this redshift, we can provide the first constraints on theoretical models describing the evolution of absorbers and their respective haloes. Tinker & Chen (2008) produced a model for the HOD of cold gas at $z = 0.6$, where the bias of Mg II has been precisely measured from cross-correlations with LRGs. With this model, Tinker & Chen (2008) predict the probability of finding an absorber with an equivalent width $W_r$ in a halo of mass $M_h$. In doing so, they demonstrate that the observed anticorrelation of Mg II and bias at $z = 0.6$ may be reproduced by the absence of high-density cold gas in the hot haloes of the most massive (and most biased) galaxies.

Tinker & Chen (2010) built on the Tinker & Chen (2008) model to incorporate the observed redshift evolution of the Mg II number density, thus enabling predictions of the absorber HOD as a function of redshift. The number density of Mg II absorbers in the SDSS has been shown to be roughly constant with redshift (Nestor et al. 2005; Prochter, Prochaska & Burles 2006a; Lundgren et al. 2009). Reconciling this non-evolving Mg II number density within the context of hierarchical growth, which produces fewer haloes at a fixed mass at higher redshifts, requires evolution in the distribution of cold gas in haloes. One can achieve this effect by varying either the effective gas radius of the haloes or the typical absorber halo mass.

As detailed in Tinker & Chen (2010), the observational outcomes of these two scenarios are degenerate in Mg II number density, but they diverge in predicted bias over a range in redshift.

In Fig. 5 we overlay the Mg II bias measurement from this work on to the curves of projected bias evolution calculated separately for models of evolving gas radius and halo mass from Tinker & Chen (2010). The bias measurement at $z \sim 1$ suggests a preference for the model of gas radius evolution, though the error on our measurement is still too large to rule out the mass evolution model. It is important to note that the model curves of Tinker & Chen (2010) have been calculated for an absorber sample with $W_r > 1$ Å, whereas our data extend to a lower equivalent width limit. However, if the anticorrelation of bias and Mg II equivalent width hold, then we would expect our bias measurement to lie slightly above the generated curve, as it does. The amplitude of the disagreement between the model curves and our bias measurement may thus appear slightly exaggerated in this plot. Still, any correction due to the equivalent width limit of the absorber sample is unlikely to change the measurement beyond the large, stated errors.

As detailed in Tinker & Chen (2010), the physical implications of a model without mass evolution require the gas radius of a halo, $R_g$, to expand relative to the halo virial radius, $R_{200}$, with increasing redshift as

$$R_g(M_h, z) = R_{200}(M_h) \times 0.4 \left( \frac{1 + z}{1.6} \right)^{1.47}.$$

By this calculation, the gas radius should be just greater than half of the DM halo virial radius at $z = 1$. This implies the gas radius increases by ~40 per cent in units of $R_{200}$ between $z = 0.6$ and 1.

The errors on our absorber bias measurement are largely due to the small number of Mg II absorbers available in this analysis. Thus, better constraining the absorber–galaxy cross-correlation measurement requires a deeper Mg II survey in the DEEP2 region. The size of the Mg II sample in this work is largely determined by the S/N and resolution of SDSS spectra. Follow-up observations of the same SDSS quasars with either higher S/N or higher resolution or both might easily increase the number of Mg II detections, without necessitating the detection of many additional quasars within the survey footprint. Increasing the size of the DEEP2 Mg II data by a factor of 4 might reduce the error on the Mg II bias measurement sufficiently to rule out the Tinker et al. (2010) model of evolving halo mass.

A larger data set may also enable measurements of bias for subsets of the absorber sample split by any number of observable parameters (e.g. equivalent width). Since previous analyses have identified a weak anticorrelation of absorber equivalent width and bias (Bouché et al. 2006; Gauthier et al. 2009; Lundgren et al. 2009), it would be interesting to examine whether any evolution in this trend is evident higher redshift. Due to the limitations of our current data, we must save this potentially interesting analysis for future work.

8.2 Comparison to previous measurements of the Mg II covering fraction

The average effective gas radius of ~40 $h^{-1}$ kpc, estimated from our measurement of the Mg II covering fraction in DEEP2 galaxies,
agrees well with the speculated extent of star formation driven outflows from the same galaxy population, which was estimated by Weiner et al. (2009) to be 20–50 h\(^{-1}\) kpc.

Early studies found that galaxies with luminosities of \(L^*\) have a \(W_{\lambda 2796} > 0.3\) Å covering fraction of 0.25 ≤ \(f_c\) ≤ 1 on scales less than \(60\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc (Bergeron & Boissé 1991; Bechtold & Ellingson 1992; Steidel et al. 1994). These analyses revealed an anticorrelation between \(R_g\) and \(W_{\lambda 2796}\), which was also shown to scale slightly with galaxy luminosity. An additional dependence of \(f_c\) and \(R_g\) on galaxy type was suggested by the results of Bowen, Blades & Pettini (1995), who used Hubble Space Telescope to examine \(z < 0.2\) galaxies in close proximity to quasar sightlines. Bowen et al. (1995) measured an effective gas radius \(R_g \sim 50\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc for galaxies with late-type or interacting morphologies and found an absence of Mg\(\text{II}\) absorption for the early-type galaxies with high confidence.

Due to the varying choices of galaxy samples, \(W_{\lambda 2796}\), and median absorber redshift, the covering fraction measurements from numerous other studies are difficult to directly compare with our results. Tripp & Bowen (2005) measured a covering fraction of \(f_c \sim 0.5\) within \(60\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc for \(W_{\lambda 2796} > 0.1\) Å absorbers at \(z \sim 0.5\). Chen & Tinker (2008) measure a covering fraction of \(f_c \sim 0.8\) within \(69\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc for \(W_{\lambda 2796} > 0.3\) Å which seems to follow a scaling relation dependent on halo mass and galaxy luminosity. In general agreement with this result, Barton & Cooke (2009) measure 0.25 < \(f_c < 0.4\) for \(W_{\lambda 2796} > 0.3\) Å within \(\sim 75\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc at \(z \sim 0.1\).

A number of additional measurements, motivated by recent Mg\(\text{II}\)–LRG correlation results, have investigated the cold gas content of LRG haloes (Chen et al. 2010; Gauthier, Chen & Tinker 2010; Bowen & Chelouche 2011), which generally agree on a \(W_{\lambda 2796} \geq 0.6\) Å Mg\(\text{II}\) covering fraction of \(\sim 10\) per cent for \(100\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc radii around LRGs. However, Bowen & Chelouche (2011) find little evidence to support a trend of decreasing \(f_c\) on the same scales with increasing galaxy luminosity, which might be expected if Mg\(\text{II}\) gas is evaporated at larger radii in the haloes of more luminous galaxies (Tinker & Chen 2008; Chen et al. 2010).

Unfortunately, the number of absorber–galaxy pairs at separations less than \(100\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc in this work is insufficient to make a strong argument in support of any of these previous analyses. Future surveys to obtain quasar spectra with higher resolution and S/N would be most useful in order to better constrain the gas covering fraction of these galaxies. Such a data set would also allow for the extension of the covering fraction measurement to lower absorber equivalent width limits.

### 9 SUMMARY

We measure the two-point cross-correlation function of 21 Mg\(\text{II}\) absorbers detected in the SDSS DR7 with \(32\,000\) spectroscopic galaxies from the DEEP2 galaxy survey in the redshift range \(0.7 \leq z \leq 1.4\). By fitting models of the DM clustering to the results, we produce the first estimate of the DM bias and halo mass of Mg\(\text{II}\) absorbers at \(z \sim 1\).

The bias we measure for the Mg\(\text{II}\) absorbers, \(b_\Lambda = 1.49 \pm 0.45\), is consistent with that of the DEEP2 galaxy sample, \(b_\Omega = 1.44 \pm 0.02\). This finding suggests that strong \(W_{\lambda 2796} > 0.6\) Å Mg\(\text{II}\) absorbers occupy similar DM halo environments to those of the DEEP2 galaxies.

Haloes with the bias we measure for the Mg\(\text{II}\) absorbers have a corresponding mass of \(1.8 \pm 4.1 \times 10^{12} \, h^{-1} \, M_\odot\), although the actual mean absorber halo mass will depend precisely on how these absorbers populate DM haloes. In comparison with measurements at lower redshift, these results indicate that the DM halo masses of Mg\(\text{II}\) absorbers with \(W_{\lambda 2796} > 0.6\) Å undergo no significant evolution from \(z \sim 0.6\).

Since the redshift number density of these absorbers has also been shown to have roughly no evolution, these results agree with the Tinker et al. (2010) model in which only the gas radius of Mg\(\text{II}\) haloes evolves with redshift. Within this model, the gas radii of haloes expand with increasing redshift such that the haloes at \(z = 1\) have a gas radius that is \(\sim 40\) per cent larger in units of the DM halo virial radius, compared to \(z = 0.6\). However, the errors on our Mg\(\text{II}\) bias measurement are still too large to rule out other halo evolution models in which the halo mass also evolves with redshift.

We measure the covering fraction of strong Mg\(\text{II}\) absorption to be \(f_c = 0.5\) within \(60\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc around DEEP2 galaxies. We find no absorber–host–galaxy pairs on scales larger than \(37\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc, suggesting that the effective gas radius of strong Mg\(\text{II}\) absorption around DEEP2 galaxies may be as small as \(\sim 40\) h\(^{-1}\) kpc.

In our sample, we identify just one candidate absorber–host–galaxy pair, which exhibits no evidence of ongoing star formation. Despite the small sample size, this finding suggests that absorbers with similar equivalent widths \(W_{\lambda 2796} \sim 1.8\) Å may not preferentially trace galaxies with high star formation rates at \(z \sim 1\). However, we stress that a much larger sample would be required to fully test this result.

A larger overlapping survey of quasars and galaxies will be necessary to better constrain measurements of the typical environments of Mg\(\text{II}\) absorbers as well as the cold gas covering fraction of typical galaxies at \(z \gtrsim 1\). Surveys such as the SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey will soon provide the higher densities of quasars necessary to achieve this required precision. Follow-up spectroscopy of already identified quasars at higher resolution or S/N could additionally produce the numbers of absorbers in deep galaxy survey footprints needed to vastly improve our understanding of the distribution of cold gas in DM haloes in the near future.
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