Thermal H$_2$O emission from the Herbig-Haro flow HH 54

How to cite:

For guidance on citations see FAQs.

© 1996 European Southern Observatory

Version: Version of Record

Link(s) to article on publisher’s website:

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online’s data policy on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk
Thermal $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ emission from the Herbig-Haro flow HH 54
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Abstract. The first detection of thermal water emission from a Herbig-Haro object is presented. The observations were performed with the LWS (Long Wavelength Spectrograph) aboard ISO (Infrared Space Observatory). Besides $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, rotational lines of CO are present in the spectrum of HH 54. These high-$J$ CO lines are used to derive the physical model parameters of the FIR (far-infrared) molecular line emitting regions. This model fits simultaneously the observed OH and H$_2$O spectra for an OH abundance $X(\text{OH}) = 10^{-6}$ and a water vapour abundance $X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 10^{-5}$.

At a distance of 250 pc, the total CO, OH and H$_2$O rotational line cooling rate is estimated to be $1.3 \times 10^{-2} L_\odot$, which is comparable to the mechanical luminosity generated by the $10 \text{km s}^{-1}$ shocks, suggesting that practically all of the cooling of the weak-shock regions is done by these three molecular species alone.
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1. Introduction

The Herbig-Haro object HH 54 is associated with the star forming dark cloud Cha i, at a distance $d = 250$ pc, although this is uncertain by as much as $\pm 100$ pc. The object consists of several arcsecond scale bright knots enveloped by diffuse emission ($\sim 40''$; Graham & Hartigan 1988). The overall optical emission line spectrum is indicative of the presence of relatively highly excited gas and can be explained with J-shocks of velocities of at least 60 km s$^{-1}$ (Schwartz & Dopita 1980). Considerably lower velocities, of $\sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$, are observed in the CO (1–0) emission from a cold ($\sim 15$ K), extended molecular flow, whose emission peaks $\sim 3''$ away from HH 54 (Knee 1992). Recent NIR (near-infrared) observations by Gredel (1994) testify to the presence of warm, purely collisionally excited, H$_2$ gas. This rather hot gas ($\sim 2000$ K, $N(\text{H}_2) = 3.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$) might be expected to emit strongly in relatively highly excited rotational lines of CO and H$_2$O. Many of these transitions fall within the waveband of the LWS and in this Letter we report on the first detection of thermally excited H$_2$O emission from an HH flow, as well as observations of lines of CO and OH.

2. Observations

LWS-grating scans (43–196.7 $\mu$m, $R = 140 - 330$), were obtained towards HH 54B ($\alpha = 12^h 52^m 10^s.6$, $\delta = -76^\circ 40'0''$, equinox 1950.0) during ISO-revolution no. 92 on February 17, 1996. The instrument and its capabilities are described by Clegg et al. (1996) and by Swinyard et al. (1996) respectively. The ab-
solute flux calibration is based on observations of the planet Uranus (Swinyard et al.). Full grating scans were also obtained towards HH 52, HH 53 and the CO outflow, which was mapped (3 × 3, 100′′ grid). These observations are described by Nisini et al. (1996).

3. Results

The FIR spectrum towards HH 54 consists of emission lines and continuous radiation (Fig. 1). The line spectrum of HH 54 is dominated by [O I] 63 μm, as expected for shocked gas. The [O I] 63/145 μm emission is intrinsic to HH 54 and compatible with the predictions of existing J-shock models, whereas the observed spatial distribution and intensity of the [C II] 158 μm line is characteristic of a PDR at the cloud surface (see: Nisini et al.).

In addition, several, weaker lines are present longward of ~100 μm, coinciding in wavelength with rotational transitions of CO, H2O and OH. No such emission could be discerned in any of the other spectra of this region. All the line measurements are given by Nisini et al.

The continuum level is consistent with the sum of the zodiacal and galactic backgrounds and the dark cloud emission determined by IRAS. Situated within HH 54 is the IRAS point source 12522–7640, which has significant flux density only at 60 μm (0.59 ± 0.09 Jy). This flux can probably be ascribed entirely to [O I] line emission, for which we find (corresponding to the IRAS band) $S_{60} = 0.50 ± 0.03$ Jy, i.e. any stellar source would be extremely faint at these wavelengths. We conclude, therefore, that the observed diffuse radiation field is weak, a circumstance that largely simplifies the analysis of the molecular line emission in the following sections.

4. Discussion

4.1. The CO spectrum

CO emission lines from HH 54 were traced from the rotational upper level $J = 14$ to $J ≤ 19$. The observed decrease in CO line flux with $J$ (Fig. 1) implies that the CO emitting gas is not very highly excited and, consequently, that the emission feature at 113.5 μm is unlikely to be attributable to CO (23–22).

The quite limited spatial (~80′′) and spectral (~1500 km s$^{-1}$) resolution of the observations justified initially only average values of the physical parameters being estimated. The observed CO line ratios are reasonably well fit by a model of HH 54, where the average kinetic temperature of the gas is $T_{\text{kin}} = (330 ± 30)$ K and the logarithmic volume density (in cm$^{-3}$) is $\log n(H_2) = 5.3 ± 0.2$ (Fig. 2). The hydrogen column density of the model, $N(H_2) = 5.0 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, is larger by three orders of magnitude than that of the hot H2 gas. We assume that the relative abundance of carbon monoxide molecules $X(\text{CO}) = [N(\text{CO})/N(H_2)] = 8 \times 10^{-5}$.

Further, the absolute flux level of the observed CO lines then implies that only about $f_\nu = (10 ± 2)$ of the LWS-beam is filled by the emission. Hence, we derive the CO source size ~25′′ to 30′′ (0.03 pc), comparable to the extent of HH 54 at optical and NIR wavelengths.

The excitation and radiative transfer calculations were all performed in the Sobolev approximation using a Large Velocity Gradient code. The Einstein A values and energies were adopted from Chackerian & Tipping (1983), with collisional excitation rate coefficients, extrapolated beyond $J = 20$ to $J = 25$, being taken from Schinke et al. (1985). An intrinsic line width of 10 km s$^{-1}$ was adopted, a choice which will be justified below (sect. 4.3), and all CO transitions were found to be optically thin. Our model of the CO emission is not particularly sensitive to the choice of line widths, as long as $\Delta v > 0.5$ km s$^{-1}$.

The total cooling rate in the CO lines from HH 54 is found to be $L_{\text{CO}} = 1.0 \times 10^{-2} L_\odot$. The thickness of the emitting layer is $d r = [N(H_2)/n(H_2)] = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm and, hence, the mass of the molecular material is $6.8 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$.

For the lower rotational transitions, the predictions of our model can be compared to observations obtained from the ground. Towards HH 54, spectra of CO (1–0) and (2–1) have been obtained with the 15 m SEXT (L. Knee, private communication). At 5.0 km s$^{-1}$ from line centre, the intensities are $T_{\text{mb}}(1–0) = (0.36 ± 0.06)$ K and $T_{\text{mb}}(2–1) = (0.71 ± 0.08)$ K. The corresponding values of our model would be somewhat less than 0.075 K and 0.82 K for the (1–0) and (2–1) transitions respectively. It thus appears that the observed lowest transition is dominated by the cold component (~15 K) of the extended outflow, whereas the warm gas contributes significantly to the (2–1) line. This assertion could be further tested by (2–1) mapping and SEXT observations in the (3–2) line for which the predicted on-source radiation temperature is 5.7 K.
4.2. The H$_2$O and OH spectra

Keeping all parameters of the CO model of HH 54 fixed, we computed the ortho- and para-water spectra with the H$_2$O abundance, $X$(H$_2$O), as the only free parameter. For both, the number of included energy levels was 45, involving 164 transitions, several of which are masing. The radiative transition rates are those of Chandra et al. (1984), whereas for the collision rates we used the H$_2$O–He values presented by Green et al. (1993). The distribution of the ortho and para forms was assumed to be in the ratio of the statistical weights of their nuclear spins (3 : 1). As for the CO computations, the radiation field included both the cosmic microwave background and that of the diffuse dust emission (Sect. 3), the effects of which on the level populations are relatively minor, though.

The data are well represented by the adopted CO model and a water abundance $X$(H$_2$O) = 10$^{-5}$, i.e. [H$_2$O/CO] $\sim$ 10$^{-4}$. Similarly, this model fits the OH spectrum as well, for $X$(OH) = 10$^{-6}$ (44 transitions from the HITRAN database, Rothman et al. 1987, with collision rate coefficients from Offer et al. 1994). In Fig. 3, the modeled intensities of CO, OH and H$_2$O, convolved with the instrumental profile, are shown superposed onto the observed spectral line data. The water spectrum is very sensitive to changes of the model parameters: the fact that the 179.5 $\mu$m line, connecting to the ground state of ortho-H$_2$O, is observed to be the strongest, puts severe constraints on both the temperature and density of the emitting gas. The overall success of the model, viz. the capability to simultaneously fit the CO, OH and H$_2$O observations, lends credence to the probable uniqueness of our model of HH 54.

The H$_2$O lines identified in Fig. 3 originate from levels within $E/k \sim$ 300 K above ground and, with the exception of the 138.5 $\mu$m para-line, are all optically thick ($\tau \approx 1$ to $\sim 70$). The opacity in the 557 GHz ortho ground state transition is $\tau \approx 60$ and we predict a line temperature of about 0.3 K for future observations with the 1 m-dish aboard the Odin satellite. The total rotational line cooling, due to both ortho- and para-H$_2$O, amounts to $L_{H_2O} = 2 \times 10^{-3} L_\odot$, i.e. about 20% of that due to CO, being ten times more abundant. The OH cooling rate is half of that of H$_2$O. Hence, the radiative losses from HH 54 through CO, OH and H$_2$O line emission are $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-2} L_\odot$. Anticipating the results of the next section, the mechanical power input is $L_k = \frac{1}{2} \mu m_H n_0 v_s^2 \times \text{area} = 1.8 \times 10^{-2} L_\odot$, so that more than 70% is radiated away in the CO, OH and H$_2$O lines. In view of the uncertainties involved it is not excluded that essentially all (with some dust cooling) of the shock luminosity is radiated by these species alone.

4.3. Excitation of the molecules

In the absence of a strong radiation field the most likely physical mechanism of excitation of HH 54 is collisional heating by shock waves. The relatively low temperatures derived above...
(\approx 300 \text{K}) are indicative of rather slow shocks, whose velocities are of order 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}. The compression of the gas behind the shocks is given by \( n_{\text{max}}/n_0 = C v_s/p \), where \( C = 77 \) for \( v_s = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), and where \( p = B_{0,\perp}/n_0^2 \) relates the normal component of the pre-shock magnetic field, \( B_{0,\perp} \), to the pre-shock density, \( n_0 \) (see: Hollenbach et al. 1989). Crutcher et al. (1993) found \( B_{0,\perp} < 16 \mu \text{G} \) for dark clouds (average density \( n(H) \approx 2 \times 10^{3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)) so that \( p \approx 0.4 \) for \( q = 0.5 \). Taking \( n_{\text{max}} \) to be the observed post-shock value of the volume density, i.e. \( 2 \times 10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), and using \( v_s = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) leads to \( n_0(H_2) \approx 10^{4} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). The value of \( p \) is uncertain by at least a factor of two, affecting the estimate of the pre-shock density accordingly.

Kaufman & Neufeld (1996) have recently presented detailed model calculations of molecular, non-dissociative C-shocks. The lowest pre-shock density \( \left( 10^{3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \right) \) considered in these models is of the order of our estimate for the conditions in HH 54. Low-velocity models for \( \log n(H_2) = 4.0 \) reproduce our CO, OH and H$_2$O observations very well, with the observed line ratios falling in between the models for \( v_s = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and \( 15 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) respectively. On the basis of the previously estimated source solid angle, viz. \( \Omega_{\text{source}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-8} \text{ sr} \) (Sect. 4.1), the lower shock velocity of \( 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) would be favoured by the model’s absolute fluxes. In this case, model fluxes would be in very good agreement with the observations, i.e. within the observational error of the brighter lines, the exception being the OH 119 \( \mu \text{m} \) doublet which would appear underestimated by the model by a factor of two to three.

Although the identification of C-shocks as the exciting agent of the molecules seems basically correct in the case of HH 54, a few comments may be in order. (1) The C-shock models have been computed for planar, stationary shock waves. However, our estimates of the shock parameters are close to those where C-dissociation is outside the domain of C-shock excitation. A unifying shock model would thus be desirable. E.g., models of wide bow shocks have yet to be developed to the level of sophistication as those of Kaufman & Neufeld. Needless to say that further progress on the observational side would require improved spatial and spectral resolution.

5. Conclusions

In short, we conclude the following:

1. The shocked flow HH 54 has been successfully observed with the LWS. The spectrum of thermally excited H$_2$O lines is the first obtained towards an HH-object.
2. The high-J CO transitions present in the spectrum are used to estimate the physical characteristics of the line emitting regions. This CO model fits simultaneously also the observed OH and H$_2$O spectra for abundances \( X(\text{OH}) = 10^{-6} \) and \( X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 10^{-5} \) respectively.
3. Essentially all of the weak-shock energy of HH 54 is dissipated in rotational lines of CO, OH and H$_2$O.
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