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Abstract: The While Semantic Web Services (SWS) research aims at automating Web service tasks such as discovery, orchestration and execution, its take-up is very limited so far. This is due to several reasons, such as inherent complexity of existing SWS frameworks and the considerable costs involved in creating correct SWS descriptions. In addition, while semantics are in use to enable tasks such as discovery, interaction between service consumers, providers and brokering environments is still not supported by semantic message descriptions. On the other hand, the Linked Data approach has produced a set of established principles for sharing and describing data, such as RDF as representation language and the integral use of dereferencable URIs. In this paper we propose to apply those principles to expose Web services and Web APIs and introduce a framework in which service registries as well as services contribute to the automation of service discovery, and hence, workload is distributed more efficiently. This is achieved by developing a Linked Data compliant Web services framework with that communicate with semi-centralised registries but compute their suitability for a given request themselves. All communications among different framework components are using RDF-based message protocols including service input and output. This framework aims at optimizing load balance and performance by dynamically assembling services at run time in a massively distributed Web environment.
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I. Introduction

When These Dynamically assembling services at run-time for developing massively distributed and interoperable systems [1] is an ultimate goal of Web services. Using XML via HTTP as the communication standard to exchange data between client applications and remote functionalities is the current standard of Web services, which is built around WSDL, SOAP and UDDI for completing the lifecycle of service description, publication and invocation. In the past decade, many research efforts have been made to realize the ultimate goal by adding value to the current standards. However, most of today’s Web service applications are still developed in static and RPC/Document style [2].

These standards only represent the functional data structure and the syntax of a service [3], which ask service requesters to do most of the work manually. As a result, the automation level of communications among service requesters, broker and services is low. For example, clients find it difficult to automatically invoke services at run time because they need to manually build invocation SOAP messages based on the parameter specifications described in the WSDL file although the invocation skeleton, although the skeleton can be generated on the fly. Moreover, clients require prerequisite knowledge of each parameter’s meaning by reading the service release document in order to correctly assign the parameters. Communication between broker and service requesters is even worse as no service request protocol has been defined yet, which makes dynamic service discovery impossible. Furthermore, UDDI has nearly disappeared from industry usage, although UDDI used to be defined as discovery center in the literature of Web service lifecycle. In real world, most application developers directly use Web services based on their own knowledge. In order to solve these issues, Semantic Web technologies have been deployed to equip Web services. However, can Semantic Web Service (SWS) technology alone solve the dynamic problem?

The most recent SWS technologies can be divided into two different processes: (1) top-down process is defined by using domain ontologies, such as WSMO [4] and OWL-S [5]; (2) bottom-up process uses light-weight service annotations, such as WSMO-lite [7] and SAWSDL [3]. Both processes just move the hard discovery work from requester’s side to the broker’s side. In SWS environments, services need to publish either semantic description files or annotations into brokers in order to be discovered and invoked by requesters. Thus, brokers have to take a very heavy workload acting as a central point.

In spite of all these research efforts, the automation level has not dramatically increased. One main reason is the disservered description layers of syntax and semantics. Syntactic descriptions such as WSDL and SOAP are still important for service invocation. Meanwhile, semantic descriptions or annotations only represent the syntax with semantics but they are nothing to do with services themselves to affect service behavior and invocation. In other words, current SWS
approaches merely focus on enriching semantics for syntax without considering the actual data structure definitions that are very important for applications at run-time. Thus, semantic brokers can facilitate automatic service discovery, but run-time service invocation is still a big issue to prevent achieving the initial goal of Web services.

When the idea of Web services was born, the Semantic Web concept was not there yet. Why can we not go back to see whether we could re-think about Web services standards from the perspective of Semantic Web at the start? Most recent development of Linked Open Data (LOD) [6] gives us a new opportunity to link services together and specify services in a global unified semantics. In this paper, we view Web services with semantics from a different angle and introduce a Linked Data Compliant Framework (LDCF) based on RDF and Linked Open Data. In LDCF, all the communication protocols in the lifecycle are RDF messages. Most importantly, Web services, requesters and registry share equal workload, which makes dynamically discovering, assembling and invoking more efficient and realistic to be achievable.

The following summarizes the roles of Web services, requesters and registry in LDCF:

The requester needs to semantically describe the desired requirements about the requested Web services and send these requirements to the registry.

The registry needs to pre-filter services only based on categorization of the Web services and pass the semantic requirements to all Web services that are registered within the required category. Finally, the registry selects or orchestrates Web services based on Web services’ semantic responses about whether they are qualified to the requirements.

Web services need to publish its categorization information to the registry and be aware the semantic requirements to notify the registry whether they satisfy the requirements.

The key contribution of this paper is to start use Semantic Web technologies throughout the whole Web services development, brokerage and consumption lifecycle and all three parts of Web services, service requester and service broker are semantic-aware.

The remainder of this paper is organized into three sections. Section 2 discusses the background and related work. Section 3 introduces the motivations. Section 4 explains the LDCF in all details. Section 5 discusses the current Linked Services technologies that can be used as the first step towards the proposed LDCF. Section 6 finally draws the conclusion and outlines the future work.

II. Background and Related Work

A. Big Web Services vs. RestFul Services

W3C defines Web services\(^1\) as "a software system designed to support interoperable machine-to-machine interaction over a network. It has an interface described in a machine-processable format (specifically Web Services Description Language WSDL). Other systems interact with the Web services in a manner prescribed by its description using SOAP messages, typically conveyed using HTTP with an XML serialization in conjunction with other web-related standards." The Web services implemented in this definition are usually called Big Web services. Critics argue that Big Web services are too complex and based upon large software vendors or integrators, rather than typical open source implementation. Moreover, with an XML-based language it is difficult to identify the right construct to express a data model in a way that is fully supported by all SOAP/WSDL implementations [10].

With the popularity of Web 2.0, software functionalities accessible via HTTP (i.e. “Web services”) are becoming the main underlying feature, which facilitates easy data exchange across the Web. Therefore, in contrast to Big Web Services, RestFul services implemented by using the PUT, GET and DELETE HTTP methods alongside POST become more popular. RestFul services are often better integrated with HTTP and web browsers than SOAP-based services. They do not require XML messages or WSDL-like service definitions. However, the major limitation of RestFul services is lacking of basic standards to support service discovery and dynamic output parsing.

B. Light-weight Service Annotations and LOD

The main conceptual frameworks and specifications for semantically describing services (e.g. WSMO, OWL-S and SAWSDL, which derive from WSDL-S [11]) are very comprehensive. Most SWS initiatives were built upon the enrichment of WSDL Web services with semantics. Moreover, these comprehensive semantic standards are too heavy to show the usability to the industry. It is only most recently that lightweight services (e.g. Web APIs and RESTful services) and service annotations have been researched. The main results of these recent studies are SA-REST [11], WSMO-Lite and MicroWSMO. However, these changes are still focusing on service annotations for implementing a big middle broker layer rather than thinking of adding semantic values inside services.

Over the last few years, a significant portion of research on the Semantic Web has been devoted to create what is referred as LOD. LOD is a way to publish data on the Web in order for machines to understand the explicit meaning of the data. The data is linked to other external data sets, and can in turn be linked from external data sets. Meanwhile, LOD is based upon a set of principles, including the usage of HTTP URLs to provide information and allowing access based on RDF and SPARQL. Since these principles were outlined, there has been a large uptake, most notably through DBpedia\(^2\) to produce a vast amount of linked datasets on the Web.

With the potential of LOD, service-oriented architecture can use the dataset directly to develop semantic services rather than to add semantic value later. In fact, LOD has been proposed as an approach for publishing and describing services, namely linked services [13] and Linked Open Services\(^3\). As a result, the service annotations are part of the LOD cloud.

C. Context-aware Web services

Service’s performance adapting to dynamic changes influenced by meaningful inputs is a new Web services movement introduced in [16] and [17]. The basic principle is to enable services to understand the context of a service request, (e.g. input parameters and non-functional properties) and to provide

\(^1\)http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Web_service

\(^2\)http://dbpedia.org/About

\(^3\)http://www.linkedopenservices.org/
the corresponded results. However, this process is only suitable for a limited scale of applications because the context-aware ontology is only specified at the domain level. Moreover, it is very unrealistic to match all possible performance to all possible contexts in one service and specific domain, excepting a manually negotiate process is required before the service invocation. For example, the different inputs will affect the speed of the service responding. However, the idea of Context-aware Web services gives an illumination of meaningful inputs can enhance the understandability between services and requesters at run-time.

III. Motivation

In this section, we give two scenarios that have two basic requirements of dynamic service discovery and runtime service invocations.

A. Context-aware applications in a ubiquitous environment

Context is defined as “meta-information to characterize the specific situation of an entity, to describe a group of conceptual entities, and to partition a knowledge base into manageable sets or as a logical construct to facilitate reasoning services” [8].

Based on this context definition, we introduced a typical context-aware application scenario [9] for Personalized Semantic News in the EU-funded NoTube project as follows:

A NoTube platform user acquires news items from generic broadcast streams and obtains additional enriched news information by using a set of personalized news related services (see Figure 1). The platform should enable the use of user profile information and preferences to match the available news services. For example a user demands interesting news when he/she is using an iPhone and travelling by bus. His/Her profile describes that he/she prefers to use English and is generally interested in sports. The application should enable the user to get the interesting news data by discovering, selecting and invoking the suitable news services that match the user’s context.

B. E-Learning applications for learning content sharing and exchanging

In most e-Learning applications, sharing and exchanging learning objects in a multiplicity of distributed environment are the important requirements. In the EU-funded mEducator project, there is a scenario about searching, publishing and creating learning contents for different topics and languages from/to multiple and different medical Learning Content Management Services (LCMSs). In the meantime, each LCMS has its own input and output specifications. Moreover, the LCMSs can be added into the environment at any time when more education institutes joined. The application should enable dynamically invoking the suitable services to perform the functions.

IV. The Linked Data Compliant Framework (LDCF) for Dynamic and Web-scale Consumption of Web Services

The implementation and consumption of Autonomous Matchmaking Web services must follow four basic principles and the overall run-time lifecycle is represented in Figure 2.

A. The principles

One service includes two layers, namely the autonomous matchmaking layer and the functionality layer, and two invocation endpoints for each layer respectively. The autonomous matchmaking layer receives service searching message (SSM) from the registry and sends back “yes” or “no” confirmation response message (CRM) to the SSM sender. The functionality layer receives service invocation input message (SIIM) and sends back a matched output message (MOM), which was defined inside the previous SSM.

The service registers a service semantic annotations (SSA) as RDF into service registry and has the ability of identifying the

\[\text{http://www.notube.tv/}\]

\[\text{http://www.meducator.net/}\]
function capability. The SSA includes at least the ground information about the two invocation endpoints and non-functional properties. The most important non-functional property is category that describes the general purpose of the service. The other properties are optional such as response time, license type and fees. Since the service itself will identify the function capability when receiving SSM, then publishing the functional semantic is not necessary.

The service registry is able to indentify the right service(s) and send back the Invocation Endpoint Message (IEM) to the service requester. When a service request is received, service registry firstly pre-filters services only based the categorization property. Then the request message is sent to the services that are grouped in the required category.

All messages are RDF with semantic annotations on each entity and the semantics are referenced by LOD. For example, a FOAF ID defined in LOD Cloud can be used to annotate a userId entity that is one parameter of an input message (a clearer example will be illustrated later).

Figure 2. Run-time lifecycle of Smantic-aware Web services

B. Message definition

- Service Searching Message (SSM)

SSM is designed to specify the requirement of the desired service(s) from the service requester’s point of view. The ultimate goal of SSM is to allow the service autonomous matchmaking layer to understand what the requester needs. There are two major advantages: (1) SSM is a message (not service annotation) protocol that is purely defined by the needs of application developments at design time and is searching the desired service at run time when communicating to services through Registry via the message. (2) SSM aims to use global understandable semantic references of LOD, although a domain specific ontology is also allowed. In this way, the service autonomous matchmaking layer can decide whether the service functionality is suitable according to the SSM. The RDF schema of SSM is defined in Figure 3.

Each SSM includes at least functional requirements of the desired service and the brokerage mode attribute. The specification of non-functional requirements is an optional part to enhance the brokerage process for selecting service(s).

The hasMode property is an enum data type defining two elements: “single” and “set”. The “single” indicates only one best suitable service is requested and the “set” means that all suitable services are required. Because hasMode is only useful for the registry, it will not pass to Web services and SSM’s (in Figure 3) are the SSM messages without hasMode property.

The FunctionalRequirement class consists of InputMessage, OutputMessage and ServiceCategory. InputMessage and OutputMessage include Parameters what are composed by one Element or more. ServiceCategory indicates service domain. The most important part of the SSM schema is to use global recognizable RDF entities to semantically reference the Element and ServiceCategory. Based on current semantic web standards, LOD is most suitable resource to be applied. For example, the Service Finder RDFs\(^6\) can be one of the ServiceCategory references.

The NonFunctionalRequirement class includes nonfunctional parameters that can be semantically referenced to specify the properties like response-time, fee and language.

- Confirmation Response Message (CRM)

CRM is a simple message to confirm whether the service is suitable by sending to the SSM sender. The first-draft RDF schema of CRM is defined in Figure 4.

The hasRegistrationID property is a unique identifier that is registered and links to other service information in the service registry, for instance, non-functional properties and request endpoint.

- Invocation Endpoint Message (IEM)

\(^6\) [http://www.service-finder.eu/ontologies/ServiceCategories](http://www.service-finder.eu/ontologies/ServiceCategories)
An instant message of IEM is sent from the service registry to service requester for supporting the invocation endpoint(s). Based on the service requested hasMode property defined in SSM, the registry will decide whether a set of service endpoints or single service endpoint should be included in the message. The first-draft of the IEM RDF schema shows in Figure 5.

Figure 5. IEM RDF schema

- Service Invocation Input Message (SIIM)

When the service requester gets the invocation endpoint(s), (an) instant SIIM(s) will be sent to these endpoint(s) for service invocation. The first-draft of SIIM RDF schema is illustrated in Figure 6.

As defined in SSM, the Element included in Parameter of InputMessage is semantically referenced to enable service side to correctly retrieve the input data.

Figure 6. SIIM RDF schema

- Matched Output Message (MOM)

All response messages from invoked services follow MOM RDF schema. MOM is very similar to SIIM but change the Element input value to the Element output value as displayed in Figure 7. This time, the semantics of Element is used by the service requester to finally pickup the correct response data.

Figure 7. MOM RDF schema

C. Benefits

There are two major benefits of applying the LDCF.

All information and communication messages are semantically understandable by using unified RDF data structure and LOD semantics. As result, all three parts can know the data structure and semantics at the same time, which is a fundamental requirement to enable services to be dynamically assembled and invoked.

The workload among Web services, Service registry and service requester to achieve dynamically assembling and invoking services are trade-off. Each part of the three takes their own responsibilities to efficiently finish the service consumption life-cycle. Therefore, LDCF is suitable for large-scale distributed applications.

D. Service development suggestions for the scenarios

To implement the LDCF in both context-aware and e-Learning scenarios requires four steps:

Step 1. Describing and storing service properties with semantics

For example, the news service from the context-aware scenario takes topic and keywords as input parameters and produces title description and stream URIs as output parameters. The service providers should have their own service specification to enable comparing it to the SSM. The document in Listing 1 shows an example of storing the input message specification as RDF.

The hasSemanticReference properties being highlighted is the key elements in the document. In the similar way, the output message can be specified as a RDF document as well. When receiving SSM, the service first responds to the registry whether it is suitable. When the service is invoked, it retrieves the semantic matched input parameters to produce the semantic matched outputs.

```xml
<rdf:RDF>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="http://.../semanticWS/InputMessage"/>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="http://.../semanticWS/SParameter"/>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:about="http://.../semanticWS/Element"/>
  <rdfs:ObjectProperty rdf:about="http://.../semanticWS/hasPart">
    <rdfs:range rdf:resource="http://.../semanticWS/Element"/>
    <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="http://.../semanticWS/SParameter"/>
  </rdfs:ObjectProperty>
  <rdfs:DatatypeProperty rdf:about="http://.../semanticWS/hasName">
    <rdfs:range rdf:resource="http://.../semanticWS/Element"/>
    <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="http://.../semanticWS/SParameter"/>
  </rdfs:DatatypeProperty>
</rdf:RDF>
```
Step 2. Implementing services.

Services should be implemented according to the described service properties (in our case, the RDF descriptions) and grounded with an invocation endpoint.

Step 3. Developing SSM comparing mechanism with a Autonomous Matchmaking endpoint.

The comparing mechanism should define the rules of acceptable SSMs. For example, if the input_service \( \supseteq \) input_requirement and output_service \( \subseteq \) output_requirement, then the SSM is acceptable and the service will send a “yes” response to the registry. Otherwise, a “no” response is sent. If the SSM includes non-functional properties, then the non-functional property comparing mechanism should be defined or leave it to the registry to decide.

Step 4. Publishing endpoints to the registry.

The two endpoints of Autonomous Matchmaking and invocation should be published into the registry. The non-functional properties are optional to be published based on whether services desire to be brokered.

V. Linked Services Towards LDCF

The more recent Linked Services [14] stream of SWS research partially addresses principles proposed in this paper. Here we introduce the Linked Services approach and its potential to contribute towards the vision of this paper.

A. Linked Services: overview

In order to support annotation of a variety of services, such as WSDL services as well as REST APIs, the EC-funded project SOA4ALL\(^7\), has developed iServe\(^8\) a novel and open platform for publishing semantic annotations of services based on a direct application of linked data principles [14]. iServe supports publishing service annotations as linked data—Linked Services—expressed in terms of a simple conceptual model that is suitable for both human and machine consumption and abstracts from existing heterogeneity around service kinds and annotation formalisms. In particular iServe provides:

- Import of service annotations in a range of formalisms (e.g., SAWSDL, WSMO-Lite, MicroWSMO, OWL-S) covering both WSDL services and Web APIs;
- Means for publishing semantic annotations of services which are automatically assigned a resolvable HTTP URI;
- Support for content negotiation so that service annotations can be returned in plain HTML or in RDF for direct machine consumption;
- SPARQL endpoint allowing querying over the services annotations;
- REST API to allow remote applications to consume and provide annotations;
- Support for linking service annotations to existing vocabularies on the Web.

\(^7\) http://www.soa4all.eu/

\(^8\) http://iserve.kmi.open.ac.uk

---

**Listing 1.** An example of a RDF document provided by service providers for describing service properties.
In order to cater for interoperability, iServe uses what can be considered the maximum common denominator between existing SWS formalisms which we refer to as the Minimal Service Model (MSM). The MSM, first introduced together with WSMO-Lite and hRESTS [15], is thus a simple RDF(S) ontology able to capture (part of) the semantics of both Web services and Web APIs in a common model. MSM is extensible to benefit from the added expressivity of other formalisms. The MSM, denoted with the 'msm' namespace in Figure 8, defines Services as having a number of Operations each of which have an Input, Output MessageContent, and Faults. In turn, a MessageContent may be composed of MessageParts which may be mandatory or optional. iServe additionally uses the SAWSDL, WSMO-Lite and hRESTS vocabularies. The SAWSDL vocabulary captures in RDF the three main kinds of annotations over WSDL and XML Schema, including modelReference, liftingSchemaMapping and loweringSchemaMapping that SAWSDL supports. WSMO-Lite builds upon SAWSDL by extending it with a model specifying the semantics of the particular service annotations. It provides a simple RDF(S) ontology together with a methodology for expressing functional and non-functional semantics, and an information model for WSDL services based on SAWSDL’s modelReference hooks. The hRESTS vocabulary extends the MSM with specific attributes for operations so as to allow modeling additional details necessary for Web APIs.

Figure 8. iServe conceptual model for services – The Minimal Service Model and WSMO-Lite

In order to support users in creating semantic annotations for services three editors have been developed: SWEET [12] (SemanticWeb sErVices Editing Tool), SOWER (SWEET is nOt a Wsdl EditoR), and SmartLink [16] which support users in annotating Web APIs and WSDL services respectively.

B. Towards Linked Services as implementation of LDCF

We perceive Linked Services as a very useful step towards our vision proposed in this paper. The MSM shows a strong overlap with our SSM schema and hence, the schema and tool support provided to facilitate the Linked Services vision show considerable potential towards LDCF.

While the iServe approach enables uptake of SWS technology by a wider audience, the automation and matchmaking scenarios, which it facilitates, are still limited. The reason for that being that the MSM so far does not consider execution aspects only in a very limited way, to ensure simplicity and low costs for producing MSM-based service annotations. Future work has to be invested in a detailed evaluation of the two proposed schemas and the possibilities to extend the Linked Services approach in a way that fully facilitates the autonomous matchmaking mechanisms proposed in this paper.

VI. Conclusion and Further Discussions

In this paper we introduced a new Web services framework namely LDCF: Linked Data Compliant Framework. The LDCF is based on the most recent Semantic Web and Web services research results aiming to achieve dynamic service discovery, assembling and invocation in a large-scale, distributed environment. The main ideas are (1) the LDCF uses RDF messages as a communication protocol among services, requesters and the registry; (2) the RDF entities are referenced by LOD dataset for giving the semantics and for filling the knowledge gap between requesters and services; (3) the LDCF uses Autonomous Matchmaking to notify the suitableness to the registry, which better fits into the distributed environment than typical WS standards and SWS frameworks.

The LDCF is a first attempt to refine the WS or SWS discovery, assembling and invocation lifecycle by just using Semantic Web technology to develop services rather than adding semantic layers to the syntax based WS blocks. However, the LDCF approach is still at the very early stage and it has many open questions that need to be answered. For instance, is autonomous matchmaking necessary when a broker is there? One answer could be “yes”, because it distributes the discovery workloads from the centralized broker. Moreover, Autonomous matchmaking can reduce the fault rates at runtime if a service changes its behavior or takes different service requirements to modify its own behavior like context-aware services. The other answer could be “no”, if the centralized broker is allocated in a powerful machine or has powerful distributed calculation mechanism such as Grid computing and services are very stable. The other issue may be related to using RDF not OWL or other semantic standards. We have to say that this is just based on current industry practice on RESTful Web services that produce mainly RDF results and one reason could be RDF is easier to be grounded than OWL and other standards.

This paper aims to start to reconsider Web services using Semantic Web eyes in order to resolve current Web services and SWS problems when dynamically discovering, assembling and invoking services. Our future work will involve industry partners to investigate the Autonomous Matchmaking mechanism, usability and practicability to improve the LDCF. Furthermore, a more comprehensive Autonomous Matchmaking mechanism will be studied.
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