

# Open Research Online

---

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

## A comparative analysis of Simplified General Circulation Models of the atmosphere of Venus

Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

Lewis, S.; Lebonnois, S.; Covey, C.; Dawson, J.; Lee, C.; Parish, H.; Read, P. L.; Schubert, G.; Yamamoto, M.; Bengtsson, L.; Grinspoon, D.; Limaye, S.; Schmidt, H.; Svedhem, H. and Titov, D. (2010). A comparative analysis of Simplified General Circulation Models of the atmosphere of Venus. In: European Planetary Science Congress, 19-24 Sep 2010, Rome, Italy.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2010 The Authors

Version: Version of Record

---

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's [data policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

---

[oro.open.ac.uk](http://oro.open.ac.uk)



## A comparative analysis of Simplified General Circulation Models of the atmosphere of Venus

**S. R. Lewis** (1), S. Lebonnois (2), C. Covey (3), J. Dawson (1), C. Lee (4), H. Parish (5), P. L. Read (6), G. Schubert (5), M. Yamamoto (7), L. Bengtsson (8), D. Grinspoon (9), S. Limaye (10), H. Schmidt (8), H. Svedhem (11) and D. Titov (12).  
(1) Open University, Great-Britain (s.r.lewis@open.ac.uk / Fax:+44(0)1908 654192), (2) CNRS/University Paris 6, France, (3) LLNL, USA, (4) Ashima Research, USA, (5) UCLA, USA, (6) Oxford University, Great-Britain, (7) Kyushu University, Japan, (8) Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology at Hamburg, Germany, (9) Denver Museum of Nature and Science, USA, (10) University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA, (11) ESA/ESTEC, the Netherlands, (12) Max-Planck-Institute at Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany.

### Abstract

Within the context of a working group supported by ISSI (Bern, Switzerland), we have made an inter-comparison work between Global Circulation Models using simplified parameterizations for radiative forcing and other physical processes. Even with similar schemes and parameters, the different GCMs produce different circulations, illustrating interesting differences between dynamical model cores.

### 1. Introduction

With the successful Venus Express mission, and future missions planned for Venus exploration in the near future, study of the atmosphere of Venus has been a rapidly expanding field in the last few years. The development of Global Circulation Models (GCMs) has focused on helping researchers to understand the details of the super-rotation mechanism, the large-scale planetary waves and the polar vortices which are seen in this complex atmospheric system.

Several groups that have been developing such tools have joined together within the framework of a working group supported by the International Space Science Institute (ISSI, Bern, Switzerland), and have started to compare how the different models behave under the same forcing conditions. The goal of this intercomparison project is to test how robust the response of the different numerical models is to identical constraints.

A similar project has been conducted recently at CalTech (Lee and Richardson, 2010, hereafter LR10) using three different dynamical cores within a common model frame, and we wanted to build upon this first study. We developed a common protocol and conducted many simulations of Venus atmospheric circu-

lation with three additional GCMs: the CCSR model developed in Japan (Yamamoto and Takahashi, 2003), the LMD model developed in France (Lebonnois et al., 2010) and the Open University model (OU) developed in Great Britain. A new model developed in UCLA contributed simulations under very similar conditions, and is therefore added to the project. We add to these new simulations the results of the LR10 study, as well as the results obtained in Oxford by Lee (2006); Lee et al. (2007). These models are using a range of different types of dynamical cores (spectral, finite differences or finite volumes). The baseline common parameters include resolution, initial conditions, planetary and atmospheric parameters as well as several physical parameterizations: thermal forcing, upper and lower boundary conditions. In this work, thermal forcing is reduced to a simple newtonian cooling parameterization with diurnally averaged conditions and no orbital variation of solar forcing.

### 2. Results

Comparison between the models shows how the different models spin up from rest, yielding different final states. Though all models do reach states with significantly positive superrotation, the amplitude and shape of the zonal wind fields is highly variable between different GCMs and with changes in model parameters. We have been varying the physical parameters to study the mode sensitivity. The upper boundary conditions do not seem to have a very strong impact on the zonal wind field over most of the model domain, with an effect localized in the upper atmosphere, a finding which is consistent between all models. In contrast, the choice of lower boundary conditions (the planetary boundary layer scheme and presence, or absence, of surface topography) at the planet's surface has a significant influence on the deep atmospheric winds. The

vertical resolution (number of levels) in the model is demonstrated to have a strong effect in most models. Modifications in the horizontal resolution were also found to be significant, both on the shape and strength of the peak winds and on the deep atmospheric winds. The impact on the peak winds seems to be qualitatively consistent between models, though the impact on the winds deeper in the atmosphere is not.

We have also investigated the impact of varying the initial conditions. Most previous experiments have been initialised with an isothermal atmosphere at rest, but we find evidence of different end states in models which were initialised with super-rotating winds, even after very long integration times. These experiments have been conducted with the CCSR, LMD and OU models. The results vary in detail between the models, though in each case the atmosphere tends to stabilize with much higher peak winds.

### 3. Conclusions

Though this work is done using a simplified thermal forcing and therefore may not be fully representative of the real Venus atmosphere, it offers some guidance to the community concerning the degree of complexity and sensitivity of the GCMs currently developed for the Venus atmosphere. It also illustrates interesting differences between dynamical model cores of the type in common use in terrestrial GCMs under conditions which lead to small residual differences becoming highly significant, providing a strong test of model dynamics.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the International Space Science Institute, Bern, Switzerland, <http://www.issibern.ch/>, for making this project possible.

## References

- Lebonnois, S., Hourdin, F., Eymet, V., Cresspin, A., Fournier, R., Forget, F., 2010. Superrotation of Venus' atmosphere analysed with a full General Circulation Model. *J. Geophys. Res.* In press.
- Lee, C., 2006. Modelling of the atmosphere of Venus. Ph.D. thesis, University of Oxford.
- Lee, C., Lewis, S. R., Read, P. L., 2007. Superrotation in a Venus general circulation model. *J. Geophys. Res.* 112.
- Lee, C., Richardson, M. I., 2010. A General Circulation Model ensemble study of the atmospheric circulation of Venus. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115.
- Yamamoto, M., Takahashi, M., 2003. The Fully Developed Superrotation Simulated by a General Circulation Model of a Venus-like Atmosphere. *J. Atm. Sc.* 60, 561–574.