

Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Diagnosing and treating operational and implementation barriers in synoptic marketing planning

Journal Item

How to cite:

Dibb, Sally; Simkin, Lyndon and Wilson, David (2008). Diagnosing and treating operational and implementation barriers in synoptic marketing planning. Industrial Marketing Management, 37(5) pp. 539–553.

For guidance on citations see FAQs.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc.

Version: Accepted Manuscript

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.indmarman.2007.08.002

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data $\underline{\text{policy}}$ on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

Diagnosing and Treating Operational and Implementation Barriers in Synoptic Marketing Planning

Dr Sally Dibb
S.Dibb@open.ac.uk
+44 1908 652787
Open University Business School
Open University
Milton Keynes
MK7 6AA
UK

Dr Lyndon Simkin*
Lyndon.Simkin@wbs.ac.uk
+44 2476 522168
Warwick Business School
University of Warwick
Coventry
CV4 7AL
UK

Dr David Wilson

David.Wilson@wbs.ac.uk

+44 2476 523914

Warwick Business School

University of Warwick

Coventry

CV4 7AL

UK

* Main contact

Sally Dibb PhD is Professor of Marketing at the Open University Business School. She is co-chair of the Academy of Marketing's special interest group in market segmentation and part of the AIM research project. Sally has published extensively, including papers in *Industrial Marketing Management*, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *European Journal of Marketing*, and *Long Range Planning*. She is the author of many books examining strategic marketing. Recent books include *Marketing Planning* and *Market Segmentation Success!*

Lyndon Simkin PhD is a Reader in Strategic Marketing at Warwick Business School. He is co-chair of the Academy of Marketing's special interest group in market segmentation, a highly regarded consultant to leading blue chip corporations and a high court expert witness in marketing litigation cases. Lyndon has authored books. such Marketing Planning, numerous as Segmentation Success! and Marketing: Concepts and Strategies, as well as many journal articles, including papers in Industrial Marketing Management, European Journal of Marketing, Journal of Marketing Management and Omega.

David Wilson PhD is Professor of Strategy at Warwick Business School, a fellow of the Academy of Management, chair of the European Group for Organization Studies and a board member of EGOS. He recently became Dean of Warwick Business School. David is widely published, including books such as *Strategy: Analysis and Practice* or *Images of Strategy* and papers in *Organization Studies*, *Long Range Planning*, *European Management Review* and the *Journal of Management Studies*.

Diagnosing and Treating Operational and Implementation Barriers in Synoptic Marketing Planning

Abstract

Strategic marketing planning is now widely adopted by business-to-business organizations. While marketing planning principles are well established, practitioners attempting to implement the process often find their progress impeded by a variety of barriers. These barriers are explored through a review of published evidence and case study analysis of several organizations. This analysis exposes three levels of barriers to effective business-to-business marketing planning, relating to (i) organizational infrastructure, (ii) the planning process and (iii) implementation. These barriers reflect the synoptic nature of planning in many organizations. The findings lead to the development of a practitioner-oriented diagnostic and treatment tool which guides managers through the marketing planning process. Although this diagnostic deals specifically with issues which are relevant to the marketing planner, its wider implications for strategic planning are also explored.

Key Words

Business-to-business marketing, marketing planning, marketing plan, marketing strategy, synoptic planning, implementation barriers, marketing management.

Diagnosing and Treating Operational and Implementation

Barriers in Synoptic Marketing Planning

1. Introduction

Strategic marketing planning is adopted by businesses-to-business organizations from all

sectors (McDonald, 2002). Firms use this approach to develop a marketing strategy and a

tactical plan which becomes the framework for directing, implementing and controlling

marketing activities (Claycomb et al., 2000). With its focus on marketing activities, marketing

planning is clearly distinct from strategic business planning, which takes a broader view of

corporate goals and the strategic and tactical choices through which these can be achieved

(Byars and Neil, 1987).

Marketing planning principles are well established (Gilligan and Wilson, 2004; Greenley, et al

2004) and research shows that marketing planning is adopted by most large businesses and

many small and medium sized enterprises (Brooksbank, 1999; Dibb and Simkin, 1997). With

evidence that successful marketing strategies and the application of planning approaches are

linked (Brooksbank, 1991), the use of marketing plans is now widely endorsed in the

literature (Claycomb, et al 2000; Jain, 2002). Confirmation of the specific benefits of formal

marketing planning for business-to-business organizations have also been reported (cf: Gross

et al., 1993; Ford, 2001).

Despite the reported advantages of marketing planning (Greenley, et al., 2004; Hutt and Speh,

2003), practitioners often find that careful management is needed to achieve the claimed

benefits. All too often, firms engaged in marketing planning find that their progress is

impeded by a variety of implementation barriers (Simkin, 2002). Business-to-business

organizations are no exception. Among the many reasons for these problems the need for a

systematic and robust marketing planning process is a recurring theme (Gilligan and Wilson,

2004).

Robust marketing plans can only be developed and implemented if marketing practitioners

move quickly to identify and overcome the barriers they encounter (Jain, 2002; Piercy and

Morgan, 1994). A practitioner-friendly approach is urgently needed which guides marketing

planners through this process. This paper presents such an approach, by clearly framing

marketing planning barriers within the context of the stages in the process in which they

occur. The key contention is that managing planning impediments should fully reflect the

synoptic process of marketing planning adopted by corporations. The term synoptic is used to

reflect the sequential nature of the process: recognizing that barriers occur at different levels -

some are present at the outset of planning; others affect the planning process itself, while a

further set impacts upon the implementation phase.

Three sets of strategic marketing planning barriers faced by business-to-business

organizations are presented in this paper. These relate to: (i) the organizational infrastructure,

(ii) the marketing planning process, and (iii) the implementation of the resulting plans. These

barriers are explored through a review of published evidence and also by case study analysis.

The case studies follow the experiences of three organizations as they progress through the

marketing planning process. In each instance, the infrastructure, process and implementation

barriers are explored. As many of these difficulties can be pre-empted, a managerial tool is

then designed which practitioners can use to diagnose and treat the emerging barriers. This

checklist-driven device enables managers to systematically work through a series of treatment

action points. Although this diagnostic deals specifically with issues which are relevant to the

marketing planner, its wider implications for strategic planning are also explored.

2. Marketing Planning Barriers

Business-to-business organization use marketing planning to co-ordinate and control their

marketing activities, among other uses (Doyle, 2001; McDonald, 2002). Through this

systematic process marketing opportunities are assessed and the organization's marketing

strategy is designed and implemented. All aspects of marketing management are involved:

driving businesses through a process of marketing analysis, determination of competitive

advantage (Varadarajan and Clark, 1994), strategy development, and the implementation of

marketing programs (McDonald, 1996). The usual output, a written document known as the

marketing plan, captures the analysis and strategic thinking at the heart of the planning

process and focuses on the marketing programs and implementation activities which will be

rolled-out.

When marketing textbooks describe marketing planning, the focus is on explanations of the

process and the rationale for its use (Dibb et al., 2006). The marketing planning benefits

which McDonald (2002) presents are typical: greater awareness of marketing trends, more

informed decision-making, improved communication and co-ordination within and between

functions, more efficient resource allocation, greater responsiveness to change, and a better fit

between marketing strategy and tactical programs.

The problems for practitioners attempting to achieve these benefits are the implementation

barriers they encounter when applying marketing planning in practice. Some of these

difficulties are reported in the academic and practitioner literature by authors who describe a

host of organizational, operational, managerial and communications barriers (Doyle, 1998;

Greenley, 1982; Simkin, 2000; Verhage and Waarts, 1988). As Table 1 shows, these

impediments range from leadership, cultural, and communication issues, to shortages of data,

personnel and other resources.

TABLE 1 INSERT HERE

Guidance about managing and implementing marketing planning in the face of these problems

is more difficult to find. Much of the available work draws on internal marketing principles

(eg: Leeflang and de Mortanges, 1996; McDonald, 2002; Piercy, 2000). For example, in his

discussion of internal marketing, Lings (1999) argues for the application of marketing

principles within the company, using communication and guidance programs targeted at

internal audiences to develop responsiveness and a unified sense of purpose among

employees. Day and Montgomery (1999), drawing on the work of Deshpande (1998), agree,

arguing that personnel involved in marketing activities (including planning) need to be cross-

functional, cross-hierarchical and more cooperative in their practices in order to be effective.

They suggest that without addressing who to involve in planning and how to facilitate their

cooperation, there is less likelihood of a successful outcome.

A few authors go further, identifying a range of so-called marketing planning 'pre-requisites'

(eg: McDonald, 1992), which organizations are encouraged to address at the outset of the

marketing planning process. Simkin's (2002) list of these requirements, which includes

operational considerations, personnel involvement concerns, level of command issues,

resource requirements, internal and external communications, timing concerns and the need to

manage participants' expectations, is typical. Cravens (1998) also emphasizes the role which

resources, communication and skills play in the successful facilitation of the plan and its

implementation. While such guidance enables businesses to anticipate marketing planning

problems and to be more proactive in finding solutions, it is based only on a basic

understanding of the problem.

Part of the implementation problem is that best-practice guidance fails to distinguish between

barriers impeding the planning process and those impacting upon the actioning of the

planning outcomes. This is an important distinction, given that the marketing literature is

supportive of formal and linear planning approaches. For example, Leppard and McDonald's

(1991) case study analysis of a cross-section of UK corporations, identified the characteristics

of a complete marketing planning process. This includes an information gathering and

analysis stage, determination of marketing objectives and a marketing strategy, the creation of

marketing programs, implementation of the plan's programs and ongoing monitoring of

performance (Leppard and McDonald, 1991). A key feature of such "synoptic" methods is

that they enable organizations to make structural and operational changes to assist the

planning process.

The difficulty with the existing literature on barriers is that it fails to reflect the synoptic

nature of planning, and is therefore insufficiently specific in the remedies it offers. Simple

lists of marketing planning barriers and pre-requisites are not an adequate solution to this

problem. Managers need clear and systematic guidance if they are to effectively implement

their marketing plans. They need to know when planning barriers are likely to occur, to

understand how they can be diagnosed and what they can do to overcome them. If, as the

evidence suggests, managers are adopting synoptic marketing planning approaches,

operational and implementation guidance is needed which reflects the character of that

process. This involves offering specific direction and remedial actions which are tailored to

the sequential nature of the process, explicitly dealing with the different levels at which the

barriers occur. These barriers are now explored more fully through case study analysis.

3. Analysis of Case Studies

Studies of marketing planning highlight the complexities of the process and the wide range of

personnel who become involved in the process (cf: Dibb and Simkin, 2008; Leeflang and de

Mortanges, 1996; McDonald, 1992; Simkin, 2002). A detailed review of these impediments

and their causes can only be achieved through ongoing contact with those responsible for

conducting and implementing marketing planning programs. A case study approach was

deemed appropriate because of the need for an in-depth appreciation of the planning

implementation process (Blaxter et al., 1996; Burgelman and Grove, 2001; Yin, 1994). A

multiple case design was adopted, with the aim of achieving theoretical replication across the

organizations studied. The firms were all large global organizations with well-established

marketing functions and marketing planning cycles, from the IT services, defense electronics,

and construction sectors. Each organization had a particular set of problems which senior

management felt might be solved through the planning activity. These issues are explored in

more detail in the individual case studies.

There were three underlying reasons for selecting these case organizations. First, each of the

firms had a stated commitment to the effective implementation of marketing planning, the

process at the heart of the study. On the basis of previous published studies, judgments were

made about whether the challenges facing these companies are typical of those encountered

by other business-to-business organizations. Second, a deliberate decision was made to study

the practices of large corporations with well-established marketing functions, because it was

felt that exploring the problems faced by more experienced marketers would provide a rich

source of data. These are, therefore, worthy of analysis because they enable the observation

of complex phenomena which would normally not be readily accessible. Third, ready access

over a prolonged period was available to senior and line managers, policy makers, client-

facing personnel and product delivery staff across national borders and business units. Access

was also negotiated with other stakeholders, including clients, suppliers, business partners and

advisors. The opportunity for prolonged and open access to such large corporations was

critical to the success of the research. In particular, it meant that a longitudinal study of the

organizations' planning activities could be undertaken. Given the synoptic nature of planning

and the implications for implementation problems, a cross-sectional study would not have

sufficed. It is not the intention to claim that the findings from these case studies can then be

generalized to others. Instead, in line with Yin's (1994) recommendations, the aim is to

generalize the results to marketing planning theory. These findings will then provide a

theoretical basis against which other cases can be compared in the future.

These were *embedded* cases, with data being collected through frequent meetings with senior

marketing, strategy and sales personnel and on-going observation over three or four years.

Some of these meetings were regular departmental meetings, while others were set up with

individuals or groups of managers specifically to evaluate the planning activity. Using

detailed checklists compiled from the literature review, a wide range of issues was monitored.

These issues were specifically organized to reflect the point in the planning process at which

they occurred, dealing with the characteristics of the process as well as the implementation of

its outputs. Information was collected on the:

functional structure of the business

marketing planning objectives

characteristics of the marketing planning program

marketing analyses and data collection carried out

personnel involved and the nature of internal marketing processes

outcomes of the marketing planning process, including implementation barriers and

actions taken to overcome them.

As Flint et al. (2002) indicate, the trustworthiness of the research data is an important

consideration for researchers. Here, a number of steps were taken to ensure the quality and

credibility of the data. Detailed notes were taken to record the required information.

Immediately after the meetings these data were recorded in a format reflecting the synoptic

character of the marketing planning. The stages of the process therefore formed the

framework around which case descriptions were subsequently developed. By teasing out the

different levels of implementation barriers, and by developing insights into their causes and

effects, a process of explanation building was adopted (Yin, 1994). Follow-up interviews and

discussions with relevant personnel played an important role in this iterative process,

providing the opportunity for further probing and for the accuracy of the information to be

checked. This increased the confidence that the findings credibly represented the collected

data.

The material presented in the cases has been organized to reflect the synoptic character of the

marketing planning process, to capture the marketing planning objectives and to highlight the

different levels of implementation barriers. Each case contains background information, an

overview of corporate objectives, and consideration of the planning process. The problems

encountered are explored and the adopted solutions examined. In each instance, these barriers

are organized into infrastructure, process and implementation impediments. Following the

presentation of the three cases, the fundamental issues causing the problems are more fully

explored and remedial actions are proposed.

3.1 Case 1: The IT Services Corporation

Background

This global IT services business had integrated a host of national companies and operating

brands within a single corporate vision. The organization had a strong ideas-led reputation

and a good track record for expertise and responsiveness to clients' needs. However, there

was little formalized planning activity, and weaknesses in the company's external brand

image combined with problems harnessing internal capabilities had caused profitability

problems.

The senior leadership team decided to improve the corporation's fortunes through a marketing

planning program to address the operational issues faced by the business. The aim was to

harness the positive aspects of the organization's informal decision-making, while instigating

rigorous opportunity analysis and resource allocation. This approach was supported by the

organization's parent company, which wanted to see a stronger target market strategy and

more objective assessment of market opportunities.

There were many problems to overcome. The sales-led culture meant that planning was not

deemed a priority: if a customer called, planning meetings were cancelled. Team spirit was

adversely affected by the split locations of the business teams. Awareness of the resource and

operational requirements for effective planning was low and managers were reluctant to take

responsibility for implementing plans. Under this sales-driven approach there was no

behavior of seeking repeat business or in developing replicable solutions for different clients.

The profit potential of new leads was neither formally assessed nor benchmarked against the

corporation's objectives. Instead the emphasis was on the intellectual challenge of developing

the next bespoke solution. Communication between business teams and across product

groups was poor, even though clients in different sectors shared common IT problems.

Interestingly, many clients chose the organization because they expected to benefit from its

cross-sector, multi-national expertise.

Agreed Marketing Planning Objectives and the Adopted Process

1. To identify key opportunities, to develop stronger competencies in these areas, and to rebalance the organization's portfolio.

2. To develop customer-derived service and product propositions that reflect market trends

while differentiating the organization from is rivals.

3. To establish a clearly defined target market strategy, based around building relationships with a much broader set of client stakeholders than previously, including those directing

client organization strategies.

4. To reflect the virtual nature of day-to-day networking and decision-making inside this

fragmented and geographically spread organization.

The organization developed a team-based approach to marketing planning, involving senior

directors, line personnel, external facilitators and industry sector experts. The initial focus

was on each business unit, with separate management teams responsible for their own plans.

Planning activities involved assessing client needs, market trends and competitors' plans, in

order to develop client-centered business propositions rather than focusing first on the

organization's technical solutions.

Profitability gains were quickly achieved and the organization's reputation within its target

markets improved, within the first year from the onset of the new approach to marketing

planning. From being loss making, within four years the organization became hugely

profitable, was an employer of choice in its sector, with an enviable bid-to-win ratio.

Feedback from new clients praised the organization's engagement style, focusing on its

understanding of customer issues and compelling product and service propositions. However,

the company's eighteen-month planning journey was punctuated by a series of problems.

Problems and Solutions

- 1. Infrastructure Barriers
- Managers were unfamiliar with the skills, information requirements and tools of marketing planning. They needed training and support, as well as guidance in identifying opportunities and target markets.
- Personnel and data shortages were a problem, with shortfalls in relation to the market challenges faced by clients, the consequent impact upon IT needs, and competitive intelligence. The planning process was more systematic and analytical than anything practiced previously. The need for additional information and for personnel who were skilled in data gathering and analysis had to be addressed.
- Time was scarce, yet the analyses and strategic thinking were time-consuming. With pressure for immediate sales wins, some business units were better at balancing day-to-day demands with producing their marketing plans. The most successful units were used to champion the process among managers and to put pressure on other business units. This helped move the business away from its short-termism and sales-led ethos.
- Ineffectual communication across teams and client sectors was a major barrier. A program of activity was designed to address these internal communication challenges and to instill the benefits of sharing ideas and learnings.

2. Process Issues

- Some managers were reluctant participants. Using managers to champion the benefits of planning helped, but marginalizing or removing problem managers from positions of interference was also necessary in some cases.
- There were few existing mechanisms to share the learning and outcomes between business units. A process was established for pooling market insights, and for sharing experiences and strategic thinking.
- Inconsistent approaches in how key opportunities were identified and agreed was a problem. Adopting the same portfolio planning tools ensured that the cross-functional and multi-hierarchy personnel used the same approach for prioritizing opportunities.

3. Implementation Blockers

- Priorities were now being more systematically agreed, yet some managers continued to pursue the old priorities. Line manager reviews and the organization's reward scheme were modified to reflect the new target market strategy.
- The firm's new strategy demanded that client-facing personnel broadened their contact base inside clients to include more senior executives. This was achieved by broadening the client-facing team and by careful management of these complex interactions. Better skilled client-handling staff were recruited to assist.
- As the organization's marketing and business development resources were reallocated, senior managers needed persuading to revise the performance metrics used, to allow a

more systematic assessment of the new marketing strategy. Initially there was resistance, but eventually, the organization's monitoring procedures caught up.

This organization had significant operational challenges to address. New procedures were

needed, the organization's skill-base had to be supplemented, and a radical re-think of internal

communications was required. External support was sought to facilitate change and to

support the analytical aspects of planning. Fresh recruits brought new market insights,

different behaviors and extra skills into the company. Having established a new approach to

managing the portfolio, client-facing personnel had to be orientated to this new way of

behaving and changes were needed in how performance was measured.

An important outcome of the process was a revised business model, with client-led product

development, a new target market strategy, a broader set of target stakeholders inside the new

clients, and an updated approach to client engagement and relationship management.

Underlying these developments was a newfound focus on internal marketing, through which

managers were encouraged to engage with the analyses, strategic thinking and implementation

activities associated with the planning process. Although the adoption of marketing planning

brought significant benefits to the organization, the program was not without difficulties.

3.2 The Construction Industry Supplier

Background

This leading supplier of bitumen and bespoke coatings/emulsions operated in a crowded

marketplace with major rivals from the giant multinational petrochemicals businesses, such as

BP, Shell and Exxon. By focusing only on the bitumen sector of road and building

construction, the organization had established market leadership despite the intimidating

competitive set. A shrewd understanding of the marketplace, astute market segmentation,

effective managerial processes, a focus on product innovation and logistical flexibility, had

enabled this smaller, independent organization to seize leadership and gain an enviable

customer reputation. A core challenge was to co-ordinate planning activity across many

market segments in twenty countries. In so doing, the business also needed to take time out

from day-to-day operations to understand market dynamics and plan accordingly.

The organization traded primarily in northern Europe, the USA and South America. Each of

its twenty key territories had its own sales and marketing team handling brand development,

target marketing, marketing planning and the execution of sales and marketing programs.

One Sales and Marketing vice-president co-ordinated these efforts and ensured a fit with the

organization's strategic plan and overall branding. Historically the organization focused on

three core product groups: PEN bitumen, polymer modified bitumen, and emulsions of

bitumen. However, the organization had increasingly structured around customer

applications, such as sidewalk dressings (emulsions), road dressings, road construction,

roofing, fine surfaces (eg: grand prix racing circuits and airport aprons), plus a variety of

specialist applications for bitumen including drainage sealants, carpet tiles and the

waterproofing of dams. Each market had been researched to determine the differing customer

needs, core market trends and dominant competitors. The organization was under pressure

from shareholders to both grow revenue streams and maintain market leadership in existing

operations.

Agreed Marketing Planning Objectives and the Adopted Process

1. To up-date the organization's understanding of the marketplace and emerging challenges.

IMM Manuscript 06-030RR

First submitted: 15th February 2006; Resubmitted 25th June 2006.

- 2. To identify priority target markets based on the analyses undertaken as part of the planning process.
- 3. To maintain the organization's reputation as fast-moving and innovative by up-dating its brand positioning and customer service proposition.
- 4. To provide the foundations for designing innovative marketing and sales programs to implement the evolving marketing strategy.

In year one, teams of around twenty managers were assembled in each national market, including sales and marketing executives, senior managers responsible for product development, production, finance and operations, and the CEO. The process began with the group examining: (a) the current market position, (b) customers' buying processes and needs, (c) market trends, (d) competitors' situations and their implications, (e) organizational strengths and weaknesses, opportunities and threats, and (f) deficiencies in the firm's marketing intelligence and information. In some cases, the availability of information was poor and efforts were needed to fill gaps in understanding. The intention, once these difficulties were overcome, was to conduct a series of marketing analyses to redefine the targeting approach, branding, basis for competing and marketing proposition.

Three key difficulties were identified: (i) a lack of competitor intelligence; (ii) the organization's highly subjective, inconsistent approach for determining target market attractiveness; and (iii) even though the emerging market trends had implications for the organization's long-term strategy, senior decision-makers had problems considering strategy beyond a three-year horizon. In an attempt to overcome these difficulties, the twenty managers in each country were 'paired' up to examine key customer markets, networking with external experts and partners to supplement their knowledge. The aim was to amass customer and competitor data before collectively agreeing the relative market attractiveness of

different market segments and product opportunities and the organization's ability to profitably pursue these. This systematic process added objectivity to the determination of target market attractiveness and provided a useful portfolio-planning tool to help steer future strategy. There was rapid progress within the first four months in each country. However, despite the strong team spirit and well-motivated participants, a number of problems occurred.

Problems and Solutions

1. Infrastructure Barriers

- Competitor intelligence was poor, confined to simplistic overviews of one or two key industry players. Competitor analysis had been neglected in favor of focusing on identifying changing client needs and addressing these ahead of the competition.
- The small number of managers enabled fast-moving sharing of ideas during the analysis stage of the planning, but limited the depth of national market or customer segment knowledge. Networking with external experts and greater participation in industry bodies was used to overcome this problem.
- The company structure inhibited communication and data sharing between countries and key accounts. In year two, the process was changed to include a broader set of managers to share insights and agree strategy. This ensured that economies of scale were harnessed, while allowing national teams to reflect local issues in their plans.

2. Process Issues

- Efforts to engage in more countries and market segments were inhibited by the organization's subjective approach to assessing target market attractiveness. The planning process adopted a transparent cross-functional, multi-hierarchical approach to portfolio planning, using the directional policy matrix. This allowed a more objective approach to agreeing priorities and resource allocation.
- The organization's short-term planning horizon resulted in detailed tactical plans for twoyear periods, but ignored medium-term issues. Action was taken to modify the process to capture and react to medium-term issues arising from the market analyses.

3. Implementation Blockers

- The 'co-operative' style of leadership in many national teams resulted in decision-making by slow-moving, democratic committees. An external consultant persuaded the corporation to adopt leaner and faster decision-making involving fewer leaders.
- With success mainly measured on the basis of profitability, other measures, such as market share gains and increased brand awareness were being neglected. The assessment of new opportunities and the redefinition of target markets were not forward-thinking enough. More balanced performance measures were established.
- The corporation was reorganized to concentrate on pan-global customer groups or market segments. National managerial teams were broken up to concentrate on these new

Many individuals moved to new roles or geographical territories. The resulting disruptions negatively affected the analyses and production of plans.

Many problems centered on organizational structure, reporting issues and the re-structuring

around customer segments instead of national markets. Managers had to hand over their

marketing planning responsibilities and simultaneously begin analyzing unfamiliar markets.

The scale of this operational change caused delays, as managers struggled to re-orientate

themselves. This reorganization required a full year's trading to become established. The

ongoing planning activity adapted to reflect these structural changes in subsequent planning

cycles, but the process required two cycles (years) before it become established. Through the

introduction of portfolio planning and more formally specified performance measures, the

organization was able to monitor the outcomes of the new marketing strategy and programs.

In the following four years, the organization further cemented its market leadership and

gained market share.

3.3. The Defense Systems Manufacturer

Background

This defense corporation manufactured electronics and power modules for missiles and radar

systems. Its technology also had applications in non-defense markets, such as oil and gas

exploration, medical monitors, security alarm sensors, and the production of niche-capability

semiconductors. Yet the corporate mindset was on developing groundbreaking bespoke

solutions for military applications by responding to one-off invitations to tender.

reactive planning approach was a poor fit with non-military market opportunities, which tend

to involve repeat sales of similar components to different clients. Managers involved in

planning for the future, regarded such markets as mundane. As a result, unfamiliar non-

defense market sectors were being inadequately resourced.

The sales and marketing personnel in the well-established marketing function were familiar

with marketing planning principles. Detailed marketing strategies and tactical plans were

already routinely prepared for the organization's defense markets. A decision was now taken

to more proactively target non-defense opportunities by engaging in a detailed program of

marketing planning. Year one of the new strategy was dogged with problems: there was a

dearth of marketing intelligence on the non-defense sectors, and financial constraints meant

that no extra personnel were available. However, access was made to external consultants and

to market research providers.

Participating personnel knew little of customer needs or expectations in these unfamiliar

sectors and were unsure about the relevance of their organization's competencies to these

markets, the nature of competition, general market trends or specific product requirements.

There was no internally available marketing intelligence or the necessary skills or time to

collect it. Although highly motivated, those involved were also committed to working on

core defense product teams. However, the 'can do' culture of this fast-growing organization

created the necessary momentum for developing the new marketing plans.

Agreed Marketing Planning Objectives and the Adopted Process

1. To identify and explore non-defense marketing opportunities.

2. To develop target market strategies for the identified opportunities. To determine which business customers to pursue, appropriate points of contact, suitable positioning and bases

for competing.

3. To create specific marketing programs for the new sectors. New campaigns would be required, supported by sales and client handling personnel with skills not already within

the organization.

4. To manage the roll-out and resourcing of the new programs, using a detailed cost-benefit

analysis to ensure viability of the proposed target market strategy and marketing

programs.

Around forty sales, marketing, technical and R and D personnel participated in the process,

making good progress in unearthing pertinent information so that basic marketing plans could

be produced in year one. An external consultant guided the process, instigating regular

reporting points to ensure progress. Work teams focused on a range of non-defense sectors

emerging from a brainstorming exercise. The leadership team was proactively involved in

supporting the work teams. The process followed by the team helped to (a) determine which

potential markets were worthy of further investigation, (b) specify the core marketing

intelligence information gaps to address, (c) specify the required personnel, MIS, financial

resources, as well as communication needs, skills and time necessary to produce a full

marketing plan in year two.

In the first planning season, targeting strategies were developed for the most viable

opportunities and the sales team set about identifying prospects. There were many initial

successful engagements, resulting in a large order book and the scale economies required to

make this business growth strategy viable. Although this helped motivate the teams, some

operational problems and skills shortages also emerged. Within four years, many of these

problems had been overcome, with over 50% of revenue coming from non-defense sales, a

doubling of the organization's workforce and a trebling of profitability.

<u>Problems and Solutions</u>

1. Infrastructure Barriers

- Data shortages caused major problems. The MIS needed to be developed and wideranging market analyses were needed to populate it. A system was set up to enable ready access to information, reducing the duplication of efforts across work teams.
- Personnel lacked the specialist skills to carry out some aspects of the process. External experts were brought in to provide appropriate training and support.
- Time pressures were huge, as managers balanced the non-defense and defense work. Faster moving work teams shared ideas on data collection and overcoming skill gaps, while helping showcase the commercial benefits of the process.
- An internal shortage of resources for required brand building in the non-defense sectors meant that some skills were sourced externally.
- All five SBUs were searching for non-defense customers, placing a strain on resources, co-ordination and communication within the organization. A central marketing function was established to manage these activities. This helped improve internal communications and aided the sharing of market insights.

2. Process Issues

- New skills and processes were needed to handle the non-defense marketing campaigns. These processes had to be introduced in a way that did not jeopardize the corporate ethos or detract from the core defense business.
- The organization was used to long-lead times for pursuing one-off defense opportunities. The immediacy of non-defense sales leads required a more nimble approach to resource allocation, production planning and logistics.
- The organization struggled to handle the wide variety of opportunities. Tools were created to assess the relative merits of each emerging opportunity to ensure that only the most worthwhile were pursued.
- Current processes for control and performance monitoring were inadequate for handling such diverse sectors. Senior management instigated review meetings every three months through which the main 'must do actions' were agreed and monitored.

3. Implementation Blockers

- The organization's brand was weak outside its core defense market and rapid investment was needed. Additional sales and marketing personnel were needed with skills in the new sectors. The integration of these staff needed careful handling, to avoid alienating existing personnel or damaging the team-based culture.
- There were still shortages in data and client-sector knowledge. More work was needed to improve the functionality of the MIS and additional personnel with relevant client sector knowledge and analytical skills were recruited.
- Some realignment of the existing business units proved necessary. Senior management had to sensitively handle the consequent the period of uncertainty among staff.

In the non-defense sectors, systematic customer, market and competitor analysis helped the corporation to pinpoint the most attractive opportunities and develop appropriate marketing

propositions. A new brand identity was also developed. Sales in these markets have taken

existing technologies to new customers, filled excess production capacity and made

significant contributions to cash flow and profitability. Sales are well ahead of projections

and there is a growing awareness of the importance of these non-defense activities to the

organization.

Nevertheless, it took the corporation four years longer than expected to fully establish its non-

defense operation. With hindsight, senior managers recognized that many of the problems

causing this delay were avoidable. Progress came when the organization realized how

important it was to provide a suitable infrastructure for the planning and strategizing, and to

audit the roll-out of its plans. Through its experiences, the leadership team became more

proactive in identifying potential barriers, and in remedying the problems.

4. Discussion

The case studies reveal a range of marketing planning barriers relating to operational and

structural issues, resources, data and communication. Although this analysis has featured

large international business-to-business organizations, the findings are broadly consistent with

studies incorporating smaller business-to-business firms and business-to-consumer markets

(Brooksbank, 1999; Greenley, et al., 2004; Siu, (2002).

As Table 2 illustrates, the impediments emerging from the findings can be categorized into

three groups, according to the point at which they occur. Thus infrastructure issues need to

be addressed at the outset of marketing planning, process concerns occur during the marketing

IMM Manuscript 06-030RR

First submitted: 15th February 2006; Resubmitted 25th June 2006.

planning activity, and implementation barriers must be overcome if the outcomes of

marketing planning are to be put into practice at the end of the planning process.

This classification reflects the synoptic or sequential nature of the marketing planning

process, explains the changing character of these impediments as planning progresses, and

highlights the need for implementation guidance which distinguishes between these barriers.

As Table 2 illustrates, it can also be used as the basis for a management tool which diagnoses

and suggests treatments to counter these problems. These issues will be explored further

within the managerial implications section.

TABLE 2 TO BE INSERTED HERE

4.1 Infrastructure: Diagnosing the Issues

The smooth launch and progression of marketing planning relies on the amenability of

organizational structure and operations. Some of the problems the case organizations faced

existed because those charged with providing leadership did little to drive the process

forward. The construction supplier had to make tough decisions about how personnel were

organized in order to overcome some of its infrastructure problems. Even at planning's

inception, leadership is required to allocate aspects of the required analysis, to ease internal

communication, and to ensure that bespoke planning resources are identified.

Careful management of basic infrastructure elements is also needed. These relate to the

availability of data, financial and personnel resources, as well as to requirements for company

operations, structure and communication. The data requirement is linked to whether there is

an existing marketing intelligence system (MIS) for storing customer feedback, market trend

information and competitor intelligence. In practice, at the outset of marketing planning, such

systems are often far from perfect. Information can be incomplete, inconsistent, and

erratically up-dated. As the construction industry supplier found, even corporations with

reasonable customer information can suffer from shortages in competitor intelligence. In such

circumstances, a quick response is needed to correct the deficiencies. The defense

corporation benefited from its early decision to invest in a well-specified MIS.

Financial and personnel resourcing needs careful consideration. The IT organization

discovered to its cost that a realistic assessment of the likely time required for planning is

vital. Marketing planning is a resource-hungry activity which invades the time of sales and

marketing personnel and impacts upon their day-to-day activities. Dealing with information

gaps is especially time-consuming. This was particularly problematic for the defense

corporation, which had almost no customer or competitive information in the non-defense

sectors into which it moved. Managers' efforts to fill these information gaps initially

deflected from their day-to-day managerial responsibilities. This kind of problem is

compounded by the need to involve individuals in the process who will ultimately be

responsible for actioning the plan's recommendations (McDonald 2002; Simkin, 2000). A

realistic and forward-thinking approach to resource allocation is essential, taking into

consideration the suitability and skill set of available personnel. For the IT organization, a

shortfall in relevant sector expertise was overcome by employing external experts with

relevant experience.

Free-flowing internal communications and sharing of information are imperative. Such

communication helps bridge the gap between sales and marketing personnel and between

product groups or customer segments. Effective marketing planning relies on good quality

analysis, systematic strategy development and the formulation of suitable marketing

programs. It is damaging if marketing information emerging from the analyses is poorly

shared, so proactive management is essential. The case organizations chose to handle this

aspect in different ways. For the construction supplier this involved overcoming problems

associated with its organizational structure, while the IT organization devised and

implemented a program of information-sharing events.

4.2 Process: Diagnosing the Issues

Clear leadership is needed to guide participants and to ensure the robustness of the process

pursued (Christensen, 2003; Greenley, et al., 2004). As the pressures on time and the demands

for resources intensify, senior managers must continue to free resources, ensure information is

shared, and facilitate communication of the process outcomes. Those leading the IT

organization had to work hard to champion the benefits of the planning process. A particular

challenge was to reduce interference from managers who were resistant to the planning

outcomes. Ensuring open channels of communications within and between functions was an

important step. This helped to ensure that the process became 'self-fuelling', with the results

of the analysis driving the planning forward.

At this point, those leading planning must also be ready to play a new role (Saker and Speed,

1992). Far-reaching marketing analyses will inevitably generate opportunities and target

market options which were not previously apparent. Senior management must ensure a good

fit between emerging proposals and the organization's strategic plan, and probably a re-

alignment of resources. Indeed, the output from the analyses may well lead to changes in the

corporate strategic plan. As the defense corporation found, the impact of planning on the

organization cannot be underestimated.

The requirement for personnel and financial resources will be strongly felt throughout the

process. Once underway, the marketing planning process will require the continual up-dating

of marketing analyses, marketing strategy and the formulation of detailed tactical programs.

This process can tie up the time of many staff, who need freedom to handle the necessary

tasks and to communicate the outcomes. No-where was this felt more strongly than in the

defense corporation. Existing personnel were time-poor and deficient in the necessary to

skills or experience to carry out the required activities. The support of bought-in specialists

provided a partial solution to managing the burden.

Effective marketing planning relies on an appropriately 'stocked' MIS. As organizations

move through the process, information shortfalls and deficiencies in the MIS must be quickly

rectified. Although the defense corporation made rapid progress in developing and populating

its MIS, the unfamiliarity of the markets it was entering meant that data shortages affected the

entirety of the planning process. Such time-lags in identifying information discrepancies and

populating a fully specified MIS with the required data, are largely inevitable. Ongoing

efforts are needed to manage these deficiencies so that their impact upon progress can be

minimized (Dibb and Simkin, 2008).

As the construction supplier discovered, shortfalls in suitable competitive information may be

particularly marked. For this organization, such data were essential in helping to differentiate

its marketing proposition from that of its rivals (Doyle, 1998). It is curious how many

organizations are able to do little more than descriptively list their rivals, competing brands

and products. The case organizations were typical of many others in this respect, all

identifying deficiencies in their understanding of competitors' strategies, competencies and

the implications for their own strategies. Although considerable progress was made, a more

proactive approach to competitive analysis would have reduced the vulnerability of these

organizations.

4.3 Implementation: Diagnosing the Issues

The implementation stage sometimes requires structural changes within the organization to

accommodate the marketing strategy and marketing programs arising from the plan. In some

instances, a company re-organization may be taking place for other reasons. Clear direction

from senior managers is needed to carry these recommendations through, while minimizing

the disruption so often associated with such organizational change. For the IT organization,

this involved broadening client-facing teams and recruiting additional staff to deal with the

new emphasis on client problems. The defense corporation underwent a more radical

structural overall, to enable the new non-defense applications to be properly served. Senior

managers had to proactively handle the marketing plan implementation needs within the

context of that change.

Just as the people, time, communication and budget resources which are initially allocated to

marketing planning often prove to be inadequate, rarely are contingencies made for tackling

unanticipated events. Yet unexpected barriers often arise during implementation, requiring

time, effort and resources to overcome. For example, one of the organizations was affected

by the resignation of a key member of the planning team at this critical time. The temporary

deployment of a bought-in expert, while costly, enabled the implementation phase to

continue.

Although data requirements may seem to ease, there is a continual need to update customer

and competitor data. For the defense corporation, ongoing efforts were needed to improve the

quality of the new MIS. Meanwhile the construction supplier recognized that establishing a

strong culture of data collection could ensure the long-term survival of effective planning.

Monitoring performance and benchmarking progress against rivals are some of the areas

identified as requiring new data after the development of the marketing plan.

Implementation effectiveness will be partly determined by the ability of managers to

communicate the marketing strategy and programs throughout the organization. Such

communication helps ensure that the consequences of strategic and tactical recommendations

are shared between SBUs or managerial teams. Senior management may wrongly assume that

common corporate goals will automatically ensure co-operation across managerial boundaries

(Hickson et al., 1986; Kukalis, 1991). In fact, as the IT organization found, such 'out of the

box' behavior is unlikely unless it is carefully orchestrated. Therefore, continuing

communication both within and between functions is imperative during this implementation

phase.

No implementation program is complete until a suitable set of performance measures is in

place to assess the impact of the marketing planning efforts. In practice, none of the case

organizations had adequate marketing-oriented performance measures in place.

consequence, benchmarking progress against rivals or assessing the effectiveness of

marketing planning proved difficult. Sales and profitability are widely accepted performance

measures in most boardrooms. Market share, marketing shareholder value, brand awareness,

customer loyalty and customer satisfaction are less often applied (Piercy, 2000). Yet the

creation of a balanced set of performance measures, including long and short-term, financial,

operational and customer-focused, ensures a more robust assessment of the implementation

and outcomes of marketing planning. It is possible that commitment to the analysis, strategy

and marketing programs associated with marketing planning would be more likely if adequate

performance measures were in place at the outset.

5. Managerial Implications

The case analysis confirms that the organizations studied encountered many of the marketing

planning barriers identified in earlier published studies. These barriers occur before the

planning activity starts, during the planning process and into the implementation phase. The

categorization of barriers presented in Table 2 is revealing in two important ways. First, it

shows that some barriers persist throughout the process, from planning through to

These focus around operations and structure, data shortfall, resource implementation.

availability, and communication. Second, the categorization reveals that the particular

character and relative importance of some of these barriers change as the marketing planning

process progresses to implementation. These subtleties are revealed by examining the

problems at the infrastructure, process and implementation levels.

The problems identified in the *diagnosis* section of Table 2 are commonplace, yet most can be

pre-empted. The checklist in the treatment half of the table can be used to good effect if it is

addressed before embarking on a marketing planning program. Those running the program

should systematically work through each of the *treatment* action points. To assist this process

these points are synthesized below into a series of practical treatment points and guidance.

5.1 Infrastructure Treatments

The findings from the case analysis pinpointed a range of infrastructure treatments (see Table

The first four priority areas listed below must be addressed by managers prior to 2).

beginning the planning program. Plans for the induction of participants should also be in

place at this stage.

1. Involve and empower a suitable senior champion.

2. Audit available financial and personnel resources and prepare an action plan for overcoming shortages.

3. Identify cross-functional teams of participants, clarifying their involvement with relevant line managers.

4. Review available marketing intelligence against projected needs and prepare a plan for filling any gaps.

5. Induct participants into the planning process: manage their expectations about the process and required commitment, communicate timeframes, and ensure awareness of the process is high.

Creating the appropriate conditions for marketing planning is of paramount importance.

These infrastructure issues must be clearly established from the outset if they are not to hinder

progress later on. The senior management team should identify a committed and respected

senior executive to lead the initiative; it should clarify the reporting structure to be applied

during the planning program; and, empower participating managers to drive the process

forward. There are also complex resource issues which must be addressed. The process of

planning is invasive: busy managers find they have extra work to undertake. This needs

carefully managing; so that the required personnel are available and have sufficient time in

which to actively participate. Cross-functional teams often work particularly well, providing

greater insight than if only marketing managers are involved in the process. The commitment

of such teams can be assured by carefully briefing participants at the start of the program.

Information gaps and data shortfalls must be systematically addressed. Once identified, the

gaps should be quickly filled, so that appropriate data are available for the analysis phase of

planning. A well-specified program of research and the creation of suitable information

storage will be needed. Inevitably there will be budget implications associated with these

decisions. A detailed schedule including timeframes and deadlines for each phase of activity

should be established at the start of the planning program. It is important to ensure that this

includes sufficient time for progress meetings, as well as the opportunity for the presentation

and sharing of findings.

IMM Manuscript 06-030RR

First submitted: 15th February 2006; Resubmitted 25th June 2006.

5.2 Process Treatments

The process phase of planning also has a range of treatments associated with it (see Table 2).

Those listed below provide the starting point for managers involved in leading and

implementing the planning program. The first four priorities must be addressed before the

process phase begins. The final point relates to the dissemination phase, the approach to

which should also be agreed in advance.

1. Agree the process and personnel to be involved in the following activities: market analysis, determination of opportunities and capabilities, review of strategic priorities,

marketing objectives and marketing programs.

2. Identify skill gaps and training needs, seeking external input as required.

3. Ensure ongoing availability and access to required personnel and financial resources and

have an action plan in place for overcoming shortages.

4. Agree priorities for required additional data, then collect data and update the MIS.

5. Communicate analysis and strategic outcomes with internal audiences.

In most organizations, a handful of managers will have some prior knowledge of marketing

planning, although not all will share the same view of the process. Others will have no

previous relevant experience. Adopting and enforcing a robust process helps to ensure

consistency and overcome these discrepancies. This process must include a period of market

analysis which is used to identify opportunities and prioritize target markets, prior to the

specification and implementation of detailed sales and marketing programs. Members of the

team need to understand their personal remit within the overall process and appreciate how

their activities will synergize with the eventual plan.

A realistic review of the required resources for this planning phase is essential. Individual

participants will need mentoring and their skills developing, notably in undertaking the

analyses and deriving workable target market strategies. Managers who initially were

involved, may be called upon for other duties or be distracted by their 'day jobs'. Those

leading the program must be prepared to take remedial action to counter these problems.

The marketing planning process invariably yields new market data, with personnel more

broadly exposed to market information than previously. The right kinds of systems are

needed to capture, manage and disseminate such insights, aided by those involved in driving

the planning process forward. The communication among relevant audiences of emerging

insights, strategic direction and the plan's eventual recommendations must also be organized

through the preparation of a schedule of contact. These requirements should be seen from the

very start as an essential part of the marketing planning process.

5.3 Implementation Treatments

The analysis of cases highlights a number of implementation treatments (see Table 2). The

areas listed below should be regarded as priorities for the implementation phase, and the

implications of each must be considered before this part of the process commences:

1. Audit the previous track record for implementing planning outcomes, identifying areas of difficulty, then prepare a detailed specification for rolling-out the marketing plan,

including timescales, required resources, reporting procedures, and schedules.

2. Assess the availability of financial and other resources against the requirements, taking action to remedy shortfalls and ensure procedures are in place for routinely updating the

MIS as new data become available.

3. Agree performance measures against which progress will be monitored.

4. Conduct orientation sessions for participants and other organizational stakeholders to

communicate widely the outcomes of the planning process.

5. Establish inter-functional review meetings to monitor progress, maintain momentum and

to enable remedial action to handle emerging problems.

One of most important learning points from the case study analyses is the need to review an

organization's previous track record for operationalising plans, strategies or new initiatives.

Considerable insights can be gained by exploring what worked previously, and what did not.

It is worth questioning whether managers were empowered to work effectively, whether they

were willing to become involved and if they freely shared ideas. Other considerations include

whether internal communications were adequate and if IT systems coped with data input

needs and dissemination requirements. The level of support provided by senior executives

should also be considered, as should any timing or other resource difficulties.

A retrospective audit of these problem areas invariably eases the planning process and ensures

that previous mistakes can be avoided. The implementation structure for the marketing plan

should be addressed in advance: the expected audiences, forums for sharing its outputs,

announcement dates and reporting channels. Anyone directly affected by the resulting plan's

recommendations should receive an appropriate orientation, so that its consequences are fully

understood. Monitoring those involved, to guarantee their ongoing commitment to the plan is

also sensible. The corporation's leadership team must establish performance measures to

judge the plan and its outcomes. Review meetings will be needed to continue to identify

impediments to the roll-out of the plan and to agree suitable remedies. The cost of ignoring

these issues can be high, seriously hindering even the strongest of marketing plans.

6. Further Research

This paper combines insights from the literature and case study analyses to explore barriers to

marketing planning. The firms studied were large global organizations from the IT services,

defense electronics and construction sectors. All were already involved in marketing planning

and had well-developed marketing functions, yet they still encountered problems in

36 of 48

undertaking marketing planning and implementing the resulting plans. The findings suggest

there is value in adopting a more structured approach to dealing with these planning

impediments. Three levels of barriers have been presented, reflecting the sequential, synoptic

nature of planning in many organizations. These relate to organizational infrastructure, the

planning process and implementation roll-out. Diagnosing these barriers highlights a number

of effectiveness issues for which a series of treatments have been indicated. In particular, it is

suggested that marketing planning in practice can be eased by creating an appropriate

infrastructure for planning initiatives; adopting robust processes; and, planning for the

implementation and roll-out of the plan's recommendations. The synoptic planning diagnosis

and treatment tool captures the managerial implications of the findings in a usable format for

those attempting to put marketing planning into practice.

While there are problems in generalizing the findings of individual case studies to

organizations in different sectors or situations, the theoretical framework which underpins the

analysis can be used as a basis against which new cases can be analyzed. Through this route,

the research can be extended to include firms operating in other contexts. Further research

could usefully extend these longitudinal studies over a longer timer period. This would

enable a longer-term examination of implementation barrier effects and the remedial action

taken to correct them. It would thus be possible to explore the extent to which certain

implementation barriers can be readily managed or whether particular problems prove to be

more persistent. This would provide insights into the scale and scope of different barriers,

allowing more informed choices about priorities in managing them. For example, assuming

an availability of financial resources, shortfalls in certain areas – such as data availability –

37 of 48

can be relatively readily handled. Problems caused by inflexible or unreceptive corporate

culture, are altogether more difficult to solve.

Although this paper has examined implementation within the context of *marketing* planning,

the findings have parallels with studies elsewhere in the marketing and strategic management

literatures. Market segmentation studies have highlighted implementation barriers (Abratt,

1993; Dibb and Simkin, 2001 and 2008; Palmer and Millier, 2003), some of which are

specific to particular stages in the process. In terms of content, the identified planning

barriers also fit closely with recent research in strategy implementation. For example, the

clear lack of even basic operations and procedures in the three case examples reinforces the

importance that Dean and Sharfman (1996) attributed to the procedural rationality in strategic

decision-making. The findings are also consistent with those who have argued the case

theoretically and empirically for viewing strategic decisions as phased over time, moving

from planning to implementation (Mintzberg et al., 1976; Quinn, 1980). A more detailed

examination of the wider implications for strategic planning is provided in Appendix 1.

These parallels between the marketing planning and the strategic management literature are

not particularly surprising. The implementation issue, with all of its inherent complexity, is

one which transcends many areas of social science (Lorange, 1998; Pressman and Wildavsky,

1973). The multi-layered nature of the problem has rendered it difficult for researchers to

study. Using case study analysis is one way in which the intricacies of this puzzle can begin

to be unraveled. The marketing planning case studies presented here and the resulting

diagnostic tool, are just small steps in the journey. However, practitioners will undoubtedly

38 of 48

benefit from the guidance offered in this paper to prescribing treatments to marketing planning barriers.

APPENDIX 1: IMPLICATIONS FOR STRATEGIC PLANNING

Planning Barriers

In terms of content, the degree of overlap and comparability for the marketing planning barriers and those in the general strategy literature are highly congruent.

- The dearth of basic operations and procedures in the three cases reinforces the importance that Dean and Sharfman (1996) attribute to procedural rationality in strategic decision-making.
- Strong leadership, both in terms of whether and in what ways senior managers are involved, is shown as essential to successful marketing planning. These findings were factors in Miller et al.'s (2004) study of strategic decision implementation, where both the decision and the organization were shown to suffer if senior managers fail to offer support or engage ineffectively with the decision process.
- The need for resources to support all aspects of marketing planning was clear. This is consistent with the strategy implementation area, where it is shown that if resources do not support the strategic planning process, then barriers are greater (Miller, et al., 2004). These include financial resources (where financial resources are needed to support the process) and human resources (where skills and knowledge are required to underpin the process).
- The importance of effective communication with internal/external stakeholders mirrors the work of Hickson et al., (2003) who found that gaining high levels of acceptability for the process amongst stakeholders was critical in determining positive implementation performance.
- The importance of effective and useful performance metrics is a key concern in the implementation of other strategic decisions, just as it is in marketing planning. McGee et al. (2005) indicate that performance metrics are positively linked to effective strategy implementation. These include financial and operational measures, as well as clear measures of organizational effectiveness. In all three cases presented in this paper, such metrics were either non-existent, or were poorly utilized initially in the process.
- The case studies reveal problems caused by partial knowledge transfer in marketing planning. Firms' knowledge base is seen as a key factor in effective strategic planning and implementation (Spender, 1996), in particular the extent to which the knowledge individuals possess (often tacit) can be transformed into collective, owned and objectifiable knowledge (extant) in the organization.

Planning as a Synoptic Process

At least half of strategic decisions fail at the implementation stage (Nutt, 1999). Such failures tend to be in areas under management control, rather than those which are external, such as government regulations or unpredictable events. In marketing planning studies, organizational structure, personnel and operational practices are identified as potential causes of implementation difficulty (Cravens, 1998; Gratton, 1996). Similar issues are linked to success in strategy implementation. Dean and Sharfman (1996) suggest that lack of procedural rationality (effective operational practices) is a significant implementation barrier, whilst Miller et al. (2004) identified organizational conditions and managerial activities as key impediments.

In strategic management, despite lengthy discussions over whether or not strategies are planned or emerge (Mintzberg and Waters, 1985), the distinctions between planning and implementing have been highlighted both theoretically and empirically (Hickson, et al., 2003; Miller, et al., 2004).

References

- Abratt, R., Market Segmentation Practices of Industrial Marketers, *Industrial Marketing Management*, 22, 79-84 (1993).
- Blaxter, L., Hughes, C. and Tight, M., *How to Research*. Open University Press, Buckingham, 1996.
- Brooksbank, R.W., Successful Marketing Practice: A Literature Review and Checklist for Marketing Practitioners, *European Journal of Marketing* 25, 20-29 (1991).
- Brooksbank, R., The Theory and Practice of Marketing Planning in the Smaller Business, *Marketing Intelligence and Planning* 17(2), 78-91 (1999).
- Byars, L.L. and Neil, T.C., Organizational Philosophy and Mission Statements, *Planning Review* 15, 32-35 (1987).
- Burgelman, R. and Grove, A., Strategy is Destiny. Simon and Schuster, New York, 2001.
- Christensen, C., Keynote Speech: *The Innovator's Solution: Creating and Sustaining Successful Growth*, Strategic Management Society Conference, Baltimore, November, 2003.
- Claycomb, C., Germain, R. and Dröge, C., The Effects of Formal Strategic Marketing Planning on the Industrial Firm's Configuration, Structure, Exchange Patterns, and Performance, *Industrial Marketing Management* 29, 219-234 (2000).
- Cravens, D., Implementation Strategies in the Market-Driven Era, *Academy of Marketing Science Journal* 26(3), 237-241 (1998).
- Day, G.S. and Montgomery, D.B., Charting New Directions for Marketing, *Journal of Marketing* 63, 3-13 (1999).
- Dean, J. and Sharfman, M., Does Decision Process Matter? A Study of Strategic Decision-Making Effectiveness, *Academy of Management Journal* 39(2), 368-396 (1996).
- Deshpande, R., "1998-200 Research Priorities", MSI Trustees Meeting, Phoenix Az, 1998.
- Deshpande, R., *Using Marketing Knowledge*. Sage, Thousand Oaks, Ca., 2001.
- Dibb, S., How Marketing Planning Builds Internal Networks, *Long Range Planning* 30(1), 53-63 (1997).
- Dibb, S. and Simkin, L., Marketing Planning. Thomson, London, 2008.
- Dibb, S. and Simkin, L., *Market Segmentation Success: Making It Happen!* Haworth, New York, 2008.
- Dibb, S. and Simkin, L., Marketing and Marketing Planning: Still Barriers to Overcome, in *European Marketing Conference (EMAC) Proceedings* 4, Warwick, 1997, pp 1636-1645.
- Dibb, S. and Simkin, L., Market Segmentation: Diagnosing and Treating the Barriers, *Industrial Marketing Management* 30, 609-625 (2001).

- Dibb, S., Simkin, L., Ferrell, O.C. and Pride, W., *Marketing: Concepts and Strategies*. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, 2006.
- Doyle, P., Marketing Management and Strategy. Pearson, Hemel Hempstead, 1998.
- Doyle, P., Marketing Management and Strategy. Pearson, Hemel Hempstead, 2001.
- Flint, D.J., Woodruff, R.B. and Gardial, S.F., Exploring the Phenomenon of Customers' Desired Value Change in a Business-to Business Context, *Journal of Marketing* 66(4), 102-117 (2002).
- Ford, D.I., *Understanding Business Marketing and Purchasing*. Thomson Learning, London, 2001.
- Giles, W., Making Strategy Work, Long Range Planning 24(5), 75-91 (1991).
- Gilligan, C. and Wilson, R.M.S., *Strategic Marketing Management: Planning, Implementation and Control*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2004.
- Gratton, L., Implementing a Strategic Vision, Key Factors for Success, *Long Range Planning* 29(3), 290-303 (1996).
- Greenley, G., An Overview of Marketing Planning in UK Manufacturing Companies, European Journal of Marketing 16(7), 3-15 (1982).
- Greenley, G., Hooley, G. and Saunders, J., Management Processes in Marketing Planning, *European Journal of Marketing* 38(8), 933-953 (2004).
- Gross, A.C., Banting, P.M., Meredith, L.N. and Ford, D.I., *Business Marketing*. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, 1993.
- Hickson, D.J., Butler, R.J., Cray, D., Mallory, G.R. and Wilson, D.C., Top Decisions: Strategic Decision-Making in Organizations. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1986.
- Hickson, D.J., Miller, S.J. and Wilson, D.C., Planned or Prioritized? Two Options in Managing the Implementation of Strategic Decisions, *Journal of Management Studies* 40 (7), 1803-1836 (2003).
- Hutt, M. and Speh, T., Business Marketing Management. South Western, New York, 2003.
- Jain, S.C., Marketing Planning and Strategy. South Western, Cincinnati OH, 2002.
- Kukalis, S., Determinants of Strategic Planning Systems in Large Organizations: A Contingency Approach, *Journal of Management Studies* 28(2), 143-160 (1991).
- Leeflang, P. and de Mortanges, C.P., An Empirical Investigation into the Process of Strategic Marketing Planning, *Journal of Euromarketing* 6(1), 77-101 (1996).
- Leppard, J.W. and McDonald, M.H.B., Marketing Planning and Corporate Culture: A Conceptual Framework Which Examines Management Attitudes in the Context of Marketing Planning, *Journal of Marketing Management* 7(3), 213-236 (1991).
- Lings, I., Balancing Internal and External Market Orientations, *Journal of Marketing Management* 15(4), 239-263 (1999).

- Lorange, P., Strategy Implementation: The New Realities, *Long Range Planning* 31(1), 18-29 (1998).
- McDonald, M., Ten Barriers to Marketing Planning, *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing* 7(1), 5-18 (1992).
- McDonald, M., Strategic Marketing Planning: Theory, Practice and Research Agendas, Journal of Marketing Management 12, 5-27 (1996).
- McDonald, M., Marketing Plans. Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2002.
- McGee, J., Thomas, H. and Wilson, D., *Strategy: Analysis and Practice*. McGraw-Hill, London, 2005.
- Miller, S.J., Wilson, D. and Hickson, D., Beyond Planning Strategies for Successfully Implementing Strategic Decisions, *Long Range Planning* 37, 201-218 (2004).
- Mintzberg, H. Rainsinghani, D. and Theoret, A., The Structure of 'Unstructured' Decision Processes, *Administrative Science Quarterly* 21, 246-275 (1976).
- Mintzberg, H. and Waters, J., Of Strategies Deliberate or Emergent, *Strategic Management Journal* 6, 257-272 (1985).
- Nutt, P.C., Surprising But True: Half the Decisions in Organizations Fail, *Academy of Management Executive* 13(4), 75-90 (1999).
- Palmer, R.A. and Millier, P., Segmentation: Identification, Intuition, and Implementation, Industrial Marketing Management 33, 779-785 (2003).
- Pearson, G. and Proctor, T., The Modern Framework for Marketing Planning, *Marketing Intelligence and Planning* 12(4), 22-26 (1994).
- Piercy, N. and Morgan, N., The Marketing Planning Process: Behavioral Problems Compared to Analytical Techniques in Explaining Marketing Planning Credibility, *Journal of Business Research* 29(3), 167-178 (1994).
- Piercy, N., Market-Led Strategic Change. Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2000.
- Pressman, J.L. and Wildavsky, A., *Implementation: How Great Expectations in Washington are Dashed in Oakland*, University of California Press, Berkeley, 1973.
- Quinn, J.B., Strategies for Change: Logical Incrementalism. Irwin, Homewood Illinois, 1980.
- Saker, J. and Speed, G., Corporate Culture: Is It Really a Barrier to Marketing Planning? Journal of Marketing Management 8, 177-181 (1992).
- Siu, W.M., Marketing Activities and Performance, *Industrial Marketing Management* 31(2), 177-188 (2002).
- Simkin, L., People and Processes in Marketing Planning: The Benefits of Controlling Implementation, *Journal of Marketing Management* 12, 375-390 (1996).
- Simkin, L., Delivering Effective Marketing Planning, *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing* 8(4), 335-350 (2000).

- Simkin, L., Barriers Impeding Effective Implementation of Marketing Plans A New Research and Training Agenda, *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing* 17(1), 8-22 (2002).
- Spender, J.C., Making Knowledge the Basis of a Dynamic Theory of the Firm, *Strategic Management Journal* 17(Winter Special Issue), 45-62 (1996).
- Varadarajan, P.R. and Clark, T., Delineating the Scope of Corporate, Business and Marketing Strategy, *Journal of Business Research* 31, 93-105 (1994).
- Verhage, B. and Waarts, E., Marketing Planning for Improved Performance: A Comparative Analysis, *International Marketing Review* (Summer), 20-30 (1988).
- Yin, R.K., Case Study Research: Design and Methods. Sage Publications, London, 1994.

$\begin{array}{c} IMM\ Manuscript\ 06\text{-}030RR \\ First\ submitted:\ 15^{th}\ February\ 2006;\ Resubmitted\ 25^{th}\ June\ 2006. \end{array}$

TABLE 1: Barriers to Marketing Planning Implementation

SOURCES	MARKETING PLANNING BARRIERS	
McDonald, 1992; Giles, 1991; Jain, 2002; Pearson and Proctor, 1994; Simkin, 2002	Strategy determined in isolation of analyses or formulations of tactical programs	
Dibb, 1997; Greenley, 1982; Jain, 2002; Simkin 2002	Blinkered understanding of the external macromarketing environment forces	
Greenley, 1982; Simkin, 2002	Inadequate marketing intelligence available within the organization	
Dibb, 1997; Pearson and Proctor, 1994; Simkin, 1996	Little sharing of marketing intelligence between functions and tiers of management	
McDonald, 1992; Piercy and Morgan, 1994; Simkin, 1996	Inadequate senior management support for marketing planning activity	
Dibb, 1997; McDonald, 1992; Giles, 1991; Greenley, 1982; Pearson and Proctor, 1994; Piercy and Morgan, 1994; Simkin, 2002.	Poor internal communication within marketing, between functions, business units and management tiers	
McDonald, 1992; Piercy and Morgan, 1994; Simkin, 2002	Planning personnel losing impetus and motivation, owing to resource pressures	
Dibb, 1997; Greenley, 1982; Jain, 2002; Piercy and Morgan, 1994; Simkin 2002	Insufficient detail in marketing programs or the implementation plan	
McDonald, 1992; Simkin, 2002	Inability to break away from existing ways of operating	
McDonald, 1992; Piercy and Morgan, 1994; Simkin, 1996	Lack of confidence/conviction amongst those responsible for marketing planning	
Pearson and Proctor, 1994; Simkin, 2002	Insufficient vision or the ability to think laterally	

TABLE 2: Diagnosing and Treating Infrastructure, Process and Implementation Barriers

	Diagnosis Proposals					
	INFRASTRUCTURE	PROCESS	IMPLEMENTATION			
Operations and Structure	Poor senior management involvement and/or lack of leadership: insufficient support for planning and freeing resources; little long-term view of planning's role and the resulting need to modify strategy.	Ineffective senior management involvement slows process and fails to free up people or information; no routine integration of marketing analyses with strategic decisions or marketing programs; poor appreciation of the fit between marketing planning and corporate strategic planning; repeated company re-organization threatens the stability of the process.	Poor senior management involvement, preventing proper implementation of planning outcomes; patchy use of reviews/progress audits: little remedial action; lack of performance measures or the recognition that new metrics must be created; difficulties adjusting to structural changes resulting from the process; repeated company reorganization, making implementation difficult to achieve.			
Resources	Little training/orientation for key planning skills (notably the analyses); inadequate bespoke financial resources; insufficient time to plan/conduct the process; lack of expertise and suitable personnel for analyses and strategic decisions.	Insufficient financial resources for the process; time taken impinges on day-to-day tasks; poor knowledge of planning process and tools; personnel have inadequate expertise to translate analysis into meaningful marketing strategy and tactics.	Insufficient bespoke financial resources needed to implement the resulting program; time taken to implement the process continues to impinge upon day-to-day tasks; no recognition that roll-out requires people and time, plus new performance metrics.			
Data	No MIS in place; lack of competitor intelligence; weak culture of data collection; ill-informed managers.	Inadequate efforts to update the MIS and to check quality of information; weak culture of data collection and sharing, making it difficult to fill data gaps.	The need to continually update the MIS is not acted upon; no ethos created for routinely using/adding to the information in the MIS.			
Communication and Co-ordination	Weak communication within/between functions, causing problems instigating and deploying marketing planning; poor identification and awareness of key stakeholder groups.	Weak communication within/between functions impedes efficiency of the process; information often perceived to provide individuals with power at the expense of others.	Weak communication within and between functions, causing slow, inefficient implementation; poor internal targeting and internal marketing of planning outcomes/decisions.			

Treatment Proposals					
	INFRASTRUCTURE	PROCESS	IMPLEMENTATION		
Operations and Structure	 Identify and involve a senior champion. Clarify the level of command, empowering those responsible with driving the process forward. 	 Specify the process and personnel for analyzing the market situation, opportunities and capabilities. Agree process and personnel for determining strategic priorities, marketing objectives and marketing programs. 	 Conduct audit of business's track record for implementing planning outcomes, identifying areas of previous difficulty. Develop specification for implementation of marketing plan, including: timescales; required personnel, financial and other resources; reporting procedures; and leadership schedules. Be aware of previous problem areas. Agree performance measures against which progress will be monitored. 		
Resources	 Audit required financial & personnel resources and compare with those available. Identify shortfalls & develop action plan to overcome. Identify teams of participants, ensuring crossfunctional involvement as needed. Ensure necessary participant availability by liaising with relevant line managers. 		 Assess the availability of resources for the implementation activities included in the detailed specification. Take action to deal with any shortfalls. Ensure the necessary authorizations are in place to sign-off required personnel, time, financial and other resources. 		
Data	Review available marketing intelligence against project needs. Develop a checklist of required data and options for filling these gaps.		Ensure procedures are in place to routinely update MIS as new data become available.		
Communication and Co-ordination	 Induct participants into the process. Manage expectations about what will be involved and explain participants' roles within the process. Develop and communicate the timeframes and format for the planning process, so that participants can allocate the required time. Enable required communications between participants and those with whom they must liaise, so that easy access to information and personnel is assured. 	 Communicate with internal audiences as the analyses and strategic thinking progress. Ensure appropriate access to data and key personnel throughout the process. 	 Set-up orientation sessions for participating managers and other organizational members to widely communicate the outcomes and implementation needs of the planning process. Develop schedule of interfunctional & cross-hierarchy review meetings to monitor progress, maintain momentum and provide support to overcome operational problems. Establish procedures for remedial actions to handle emerging implementation problems. 		