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Broadly speaking, institutional research repositories can be split into three categories based on the way in which they secure content.

1. “Passive Repositories”, which essentially rely on content drifting in as and when faculty members become aware of the repository for themselves.

2. “Incentivised Repositories”, which typically have one or more dedicated staff member involved in managing, advocating and developing the repository, as well as supporting faculty members in their engagement with the repository.

3. “Mandated Repositories”, which encompass all the elements of incentivised repositories, but are also underpinned by an institutional mandate, requiring faculty members to deposit their research.

Much debate exists in the literature, on listservs, and in the blogosphere as to whether a successful and sustainable repository can be achieved solely through advocacy, management, and development, or whether this is only likely to happen if an institutional mandate is introduced. A much quoted figure is that a non-mandated repository is only likely to capture around 15% of its institution’s research output, and at the very most (for an Incentivised Repository) 30% (Harnad, 2009).

In terms of the Open Access “mission” of the repository, the following trend is also very encouraging:

Clearly, active advocacy and a well-thought-through program of development can really help in bolstering the level of full-text deposits in an institutional research repository. In the case of ORO, this has also resulted in around 60% of peer-reviewed journal output being regularly self-archived. Therefore, as concluded in the poster, for institutions that are reluctant or not yet ready to introduce a mandate, it is still possible to maintain a well-populated, well-used, and sustainable research repository.
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